

Development Workflows

How a list of goals get worked on

- Past: Waterfall
- Recent: Agile

Waterfall model

Each step done in turn before the next starts

- If a hole is found in a previous step, back up
- Common key: All requirements in advance
- Fantastic for "known" tasks
- Great for hard requirements:
 - features
 - resources (incl people)
 - deadlines
- Generally terrible for most software
 - slow
 - inaccurate

Waterfall aims for predictability

- If you know everything to be done
- and how long that will take
- You have:
 - A schedule
 - A list of needed resources (incl. people)

No one likes:

- "it will be done when it is done and will cost whatever it costs"

Waterfall process does not just produce code

- Plans and descriptions
 - Task Dependencies
- Documentation
- So many Gantt charts
 - Identify "critical path"

Waterfall Criticisms

Coding is still as much art as science

- Our estimates are awful
- Coders are not fungible
- Work is often custom

Waterfall "resets" when requirements change

- Software requirements change all the time
 - "Didn't know we needed to know"

Waterfall+Software

- tends to break schedules + budgets
- or create products clients aren't happy with

Agile development

<https://agilemanifesto.org/>

Common ideas:

- Focus on product
- Favor team over process
- Produce working code very frequently

Agile Ideals

- Driven by team members
- Regular feedback with "client"
 - Outside client, PM, manager, etc
- Product able to release frequently
 - Not that it does, but it CAN
- Team build realistic estimates
 - Often based on consensus
 - For the next batch of features
- Each task is small and quick to add
 - Fully tested & "done"
- Done when it is done

Agile issues

- Companies still want reliable
 - schedules
 - budgets
- Often assumes coders are fungible
- Often assumes team is uniform
- Coders may not be given sole focus
 - multitasking has overhead costs
- Devs often hate meetings

Agile in Practice

- Scrum-like
- Kanban

Scrum

"Scrum" is a particular approach of proj management

- Exactly followed OR borrowed from/alterd

Notable features:

- "sprints" (1 week, 2 week, 1 month)
 - starts by defining tasks due for the sprint
 - focused work period
 - daily "scrums" (or "standups")
 - ends with a "sprint review" (incl demo)
- "standup"
 - very quick meetings to maintain progress

Kanban

Inspired by Toyota efforts, but different

- No sprints, continuous
- Track tasks through stages
 - Never stay more than 1-2 days in a stage
- If done, grab new task

Generally similar to Scrum (or vice-versa)

- standups
- tasks
 - define and estimate
 - tracking
 - fully tested and done

Tasks or Stories

Tasks (or "User Stories" in formal Scrum)

- Small enough to be done in 1-2 days
 - If bigger, break it down
- Team creates or has input on estimate
- Should produce noticeable change
 - In practice: just track time
- Should not be used to track time
 - In practice: used to track time
- May be in backlog (not for current work)
 - Backlog may have estimated/not estimated

Defining Tasks

- Might come from "client"
- Might come from PM
- Might come from team
- May lack, but needs before work starts:
 - Full definition
 - Estimate
- Often includes a "definition of done"
 - "Done when..."
 - Should be confirmable by someone else
- Tasks are done/not done
 - 80% done is...not done

Estimating Tasks

"Story Points" vs "hours"

- Story Points tries to disconnect from clock time
 - Companies often reconnect these
- May be in weird sequences (fibonacci)
 - To highlight tasks that are too big
 - estimate is too much guess
 - should be broken down before work
- "Planning Poker"
 - Team voting and discussing estimate
 - Hard when team has different specialties

Velocity - Dealing with bad estimates

Doesn't care about (ideally)

- actual hours vs estimate
- if one dev is finishing more of estimate

The sum of estimates for tasks/completed

- divided by actual time (or sprints)

Know actual time to complete remaining work

- Even if estimates are terrible
- As long as consistently terrible

Standup Meetings

- Theory: daily
 - Practice: 1-5/week
- Theory: quick (15 minutes)
 - Practice: 15-120 minutes

Should not be about justifying work

- About identifying + resolving "blockers"
- Don't forget extra tasks you may have for a sprint
 - Want to avoid surprises at end of sprint

Code Review

Code must be reviewed and approved

- Before merge

Everyone has code reviews

- Not based on seniority

Code Review Purpose

Review is not for technical accuracy

- About team understanding
- ...and overall quality
- confirm API, tests, tangled logic
 - names

Even new devs have contributions to make

- Can you follow with minimal context?
- You aren't ignorant!
- Keep codebase approachable
 - Easy for a codebase to become arcane
 - Quickly dies as soon as team changes

Learning from a Code Review

Code Reviews are chances to learn

- Understand the code base + concepts
 - Every codebase will have built in concepts
 - This context is key task to joining team
- Learn best practices

After school, no one is teaching you new tricks!

PR Etiquette

Pending Reviews block tasks!

- So do yours quickly
 - I suggest setting a time block each day
 - Easy to delay in favor of your other deadlines
 - But that leaves team with a problem
- Allow for delay with your own work
 - Don't push PR last minute

Don't make your Review an attack

- Call out good things!
 - Small choice is often worthy of praise
 - "I love this variable name"
 - "I stan the intuitive API!"
- Feedback is often better than "LGTM"
- Learn to be non-critical in critique
 - Don't say "this is bad"
 - Do say "would it be better to...?"
 - Do say "is there a way to...?"
- Be clear about expectations for Approval

Reviews of you are not attacks (hopefully)

Don't consider a Review a pass/fail

- much like your review notes in class
 - not every comment is a loss of points
- Instructing you on codebase conventions
 - you may have had no way to know!
- Instructing you on best practices
 - Chance to learn!
- May be bad advice/needlessly critical
 - Did they test your review skill before hire?

Agile In Practice

If you fall behind, *must* change one of:

- Resources (people)
- Features
- Deadline

Why identifying blockage is so important

Watery Agile falling

Not Agile:

- set deadline
- mostly "set" features
 - "nice to haves"

Not Waterfall:

- no per-day schedule
- requirements adapt
 - within features

Common issues

- Too many meetings
 - = too many low-value meetings
- Sprints/Tasks as time-tracking
 - Different purposes
- Coders are not fungible
 - Different areas (mobile, frontend, backend)
 - Different skills (service calls, arch, UI)
- Not done tasks