Sub-Type Aliasing

by Sven Nilsen, 2017

In this paper I show that path semantics with constrained functions has a natural proof that corresponds to sub-type aliasing. Complex type signatures can be shortened down significantly without becoming ambiguous. This technique does not require explicit definitions of sub-type aliases, but instead one can directly use the definition of the sub-type.

This technique is best illustrated with a real world example: A cyclic group can be represented as a matrix containing only 1s and 0s where there each column and each row contains only one `1`:

```
m : matrix \land [dim] [eq] true \land [cyclic_group] true cyclic_group : matrix \land [dim] [eq] true \rightarrow bool
```

By associating the sub-type of `cyclic_group` as the default and largest sub-type, one can write:

```
m: [cyclic_group] true
```

A shorthand version, which is compatible with the syntax for defining a new type:

```
m: cyclic_group
```

The rest of the paper is proving the soundness of this technique. From reduction of proofs with multiple constraints:

```
a: [f] b \land [g] c <=> a: [f{[g] c}] b \land [g{[f] b}] c
f: A \rightarrow B
g: A \rightarrow C
```

To check for consistency it is sufficient to check either case, since one implies the other:

```
b: [\exists f\{[g] c\}] true \iff c: [\exists g\{[f] b\}] true \exists f\{[g] c\} : B \rightarrow bool \exists g\{[f] b\} : C \rightarrow bool
```

Something interesting happens when adding a new assumption:

```
[g] c <=> \forallf
b : [\existsf{[g] c}] true <=> b : [\existsf{\forallf}] true <=> b : [\existsf] true
a : [f] b \land [g] c <=> a : [f] b
```

Therefore, `f` has taken on the role of defining the whole sub-type, such that `[g] c` can be eliminated.