

# Existential Paths of Real Addition on Intervals

by Sven Nilsen, 2017

The existential paths of real addition, constrained by two functions  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  of some real variables, are closed under a constructive higher order family of functions:

$$\exists \text{add}\{g_0(a), g_1(b)\} \Leftrightarrow g_2(a+b)$$

$g_0/g_1$	<b>false<sub>1</sub></b>	<b>(&gt; a)</b>	<b>(= a)</b>	<b>(&gt;= a)</b>	<b>(&lt; a)</b>	<b>(≠ a)</b>	<b>(&lt;= a)</b>	<b>true<sub>1</sub></b>
<b>false<sub>1</sub></b>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>	false <sub>1</sub>
<b>(&gt; b)</b>	false <sub>1</sub>	(> a+b)	(> a+b)	(> a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>
<b>(= b)</b>	false <sub>1</sub>	(> a+b)	(= a+b)	(>= a+b)	(< a+b)	(≠ a+b)	(<= a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>
<b>(&gt;= b)</b>	false <sub>1</sub>	(> a+b)	(>= a+b)	(>= a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>
<b>(&lt; b)</b>	false <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	(< a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	(< a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	(< a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>
<b>(≠ b)</b>	false <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	(≠ a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>
<b>(&lt;= b)</b>	false <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	(<= a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	(< a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>	(<= a+b)	true <sub>1</sub>
<b>true<sub>1</sub></b>	false <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>	true <sub>1</sub>

Each function defines a sub-type of the real numbers. There are 8 functions in a concrete family, parameterized over a real number. The inverted sub-type is also in the same concrete family.

Using functions from concrete families, one can use Boolean algebra to define an arbitrary sub-set of the real numbers, which becomes a family of functions parameterized over multiple real numbers. The existential path is then constructed by the following law:

$$\exists \text{add}\{\sum i \{ \prod n \{ g_{in} \} \}, \sum j \{ \prod m \{ g_{jm} \} \} \} \Leftrightarrow \sum i, j \{ \prod n, m \{ \exists \text{add}\{g_{in}, g_{jm}\} \} \}$$

This is possible because every sub-type defined as a Boolean expression of sub-types can be written in the following form, where  $\sum$  means union and  $\prod$  means intersection of sub-types:

$$\sum i \{ \prod n \{ g_{in} \} \}$$

It does not matter what the original Boolean expression is, since transformation to the form above allows one to swap any inverted sub-type with another function from the same family.

$\neg( (> 2) \wedge (<= 3) )$	Original expression
$\neg(> 2) \vee \neg(<= 3)$	Using De Morgan's law
$(<= 2) \vee (> 3)$	Target form of expression

The target form makes it easier to apply the law for the existential path.

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \text{add}\{(> 2) \wedge (< 3), (> 3) \wedge (<= 4)\} \\ & \exists \text{add}\{(> 2), (> 3)\} \wedge \exists \text{add}\{(< 3), (> 3)\} \wedge \exists \text{add}\{(> 2), (<= 4)\} \wedge \exists \text{add}\{(< 3), (<= 4)\} \\ & (> 5) \wedge \text{true}_1 \wedge \text{true}_1 \wedge (< 7) \\ & (> 5) \wedge (< 7) \end{aligned}$$

Another example:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \text{add}\{(> 2) \wedge (< 3) \vee (= 8), (<= 4)\} \\ & \exists \text{add}\{(> 2) \wedge (< 3), (<= 4)\} \vee \exists \text{add}\{(< 3), (<= 4)\} \\ & \exists \text{add}\{(> 2), (<= 4)\} \wedge \exists \text{add}\{(< 3), (<= 4)\} \vee \exists \text{add}\{(< 3), (<= 4)\} \\ & \text{true}_1 \wedge (< 7) \vee (<= 12) \\ & (< 7) \vee (<= 12) \\ & (<= 12) \end{aligned}$$

Another way to write is to use the '+' operator on the sub-types:

$$\begin{aligned} & (> 2) \wedge (< 3) \vee (= 8) + (<= 4) \\ & ( (> 2) \wedge (< 3) + (<= 4) ) \vee ( (= 8) + (<= 4) ) \\ & ( (> 2) + (<= 4) ) \wedge ( (< 3) + (<= 4) ) \vee ( (= 8) + (<= 4) ) \\ & \text{true}_1 \wedge (< 7) \vee (<= 12) \\ & (< 7) \vee (<= 12) \\ & (<= 12) \end{aligned}$$

One can use the following rules to easier remember operator precedence:

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge b + c &= (a + c) \wedge (b + c) \\ a \vee b + c &= (a + c) \vee (b + c) \\ a \wedge b \vee c + d &= (a \wedge b + c) \vee (c + d) = (a + c) \wedge (b + c) \vee (c + d) \end{aligned}$$