

# Boolean Algebra Using If-Else

by Sven Nilsen, 2017

In path semantics you might need to convert between Boolean algebra and If-Else forms.

First defining the syntax of If-Else using slot lambda calculus:

$$(\{ \_ \}) = (\_ \_)(\{ \_ \})(\_ \_)(?) (\{ \_ \}) (\_ \_)$$
$$(\text{if } \_ \{ \_ \} \text{ else } \{ \_ \}) = (\_ \_)(\text{if})(\_ \_)(?) (\_ \_)(\{ \_ \})(?) (\_ \_)(\text{else})(\{ \_ \})(\_ \_)$$

Slot lambda calculus is used to prove equivalence or transfer values between two expressions, without relying on a complex grammar language or a parser. It is a simple language that contains its own grammar and parser.

Setting up logical equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{not } <=> \backslash(a: \text{bool}) = \text{if } a \{ \text{false} \} \text{ else } \{ \text{true} \} \\ \text{and } <=> \backslash(a: \text{bool}, b: \text{bool}) = \text{if } a \{ b \} \text{ else } \{ \text{false} \} \\ \text{or } <=> \backslash(a: \text{bool}, b: \text{bool}) = \text{if } a \{ \text{true} \} \text{ else } \{ b \} \end{aligned}$$

Constructing the slot lambdas:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{not: } \backslash(a: \text{bool}) &= (\text{if } \_ \{ \_ \} \text{ else } \{ \_ \})(a)(\text{false})(\text{true}) \\ \text{and: } \backslash(a: \text{bool}, b: \text{bool}) &= (\text{if } \_ \{ \_ \} \text{ else } \{ \_ \})(a)(b)(\text{false}) \\ \text{or: } \backslash(a: \text{bool}, b: \text{bool}) &= (\text{if } \_ \{ \_ \} \text{ else } \{ \_ \})(a)(\text{true})(b) \end{aligned}$$

When the application rule evaluates according to normal if-else rule, this is equivalent to Boolean algebra.

For example, assume the following function:

$$\backslash(a: \text{nat}, b: \text{nat}) = a + b$$

Examining the path:

$$\text{is\_zero}(a: \text{nat}) = a == 0$$
$$\backslash([\text{is\_zero}] \text{ za}, [\text{is\_zero}] \text{ zb}) = [\text{is\_zero}] \text{ if } \text{za} \{ \text{zb} \} \text{ else } \{ \text{false} \}$$

Since it is known that this is logically equivalent to `and`, one can write:

$$\backslash([\text{is\_zero}] \text{ za}, [\text{is\_zero}] \text{ zb}) = [\text{is\_zero}] \text{ and}(\text{za}, \text{zb})$$

This proves there is a symmetric path:

$$\text{add}[\text{is\_zero}] <=> \text{and}$$