Sub-Type Aliasing

by Sven Nilsen, 2017

In this paper I show that path semantics with constrained functions has a natural proof that corresponds to sub-type aliasing. Complex type signatures can be shortened down significantly without becoming ambiguous. This technique does not require explicit definitions of sub-type aliases, but instead one can directly use the definition of the sub-type.

This technique is best illustrated with a real world example: A cyclic group can be represented as a matrix containing only 1s and 0s where there each column and each row contains only one `1`:

```
m: matrix \land [dim] [eq] true \land [cyclic_group] true cyclic_group: matrix \land [dim] [eq] true \rightarrow bool
```

By associating the sub-type of `cyclic_group` as the default and largest sub-type, one can write:

```
m: [cyclic_group] true
```

A shorthand version, which is compatible with the syntax for defining a new type:

```
m: cyclic_group
```

The rest of the paper is proving the soundness of this technique. From reduction of proofs with multiple constraints:

```
a: [f] b \land [g] c \le a: [f\{[g] c\}] b \land [g\{[f] b\}] c

f: A \to B

g: A \to C
```

To check for consistency it is sufficient to check either case, since one implies the other:

```
b: [\exists f\{[g] c\}] true \iff c: [\exists g\{[f] b\}] true \exists f\{[g] c\}: B \rightarrow bool \exists g\{[f] b\}: C \rightarrow bool
```

Something interesting happens when adding a new assumption:

```
f{[g] c} <=> ∀f
b: [∃f{[g] c}] true <=> b: [∃f{∀f}] true <=> b: [∃f] true
a: [f] b ∧ [g] c <=> a: [f] b
```

Therefore, `f` has taken on the role of defining the whole sub-type, such that `[g] c` can be eliminated.