

# IMAGE SEGMENTATION BY SUPERPIXELS

**T.Dumont<sup>a</sup>, B.Figliuzzi<sup>b</sup>**

a. MINES ParisTech, theo.dumont@mines-paristech.fr

b. MINES ParisTech CMM, bruno.figliuzzi@mines-paristech.fr

## Key-words:

deep learning; convolutional neural networks; image segmentation

## Abstract:

In this paper, we study different options to improve the performance of a deep learning convolutional neural network

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Segmentation . . . . .	3
1.2	Superpixels . . . . .	3
1.3	Ce qu'est une bonne superpixelsegmentation . . . . .	3
1.3.1	Metrics . . . . .	3
1.3.2	SLIC . . . . .	4
1.3.3	Autres algorithmes . . . . .	4
1.4	Motivations/ambitions . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Dataset generation</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	COCO dataset . . . . .	4
2.1.1	The COCO dataset . . . . .	4
2.1.2	Characteristics . . . . .	4
2.2	Eikonal . . . . .	4
2.3	Notre utilisation de eikonal . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>The model</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Approach . . . . .	4
3.2	Network architecture . . . . .	4
3.2.1	Layers definitions . . . . .	4
3.2.2	Chen . . . . .	6
3.2.3	UNet . . . . .	7
3.2.4	Chen + UNet . . . . .	7
3.3	Total Variation (TV) Loss . . . . .	7
3.3.1	MSE . . . . .	7
3.3.2	TV . . . . .	7
3.4	Implementation . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Expérience et résultats</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Hyperparameters . . . . .	7
4.2	Results on dataset . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion/Discussion</b>	<b>8</b>

## Todo

-

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Segmentation

What it is `piche`



**Figure 1:** *An image and its segmented image*

Motivation

## 1.2 Superpixels

What it is `piche`



**Figure 2:** *Partition d'une image en superpixels*

**Applications & Motivation** démarrer une segmentation  
fournir un support sur lequel faire de la classification (couleur/texture moyenne, etc)

## 1.3 Ce qu'est une bonne superpixelsegmentation

### 1.3.1 Metrics

`metric1`

**metric1**

**metric1**

**metric1**

### 1.3.2 SLIC

### 1.3.3 Autres algorithmes

## 1.4 Motivations/ambitions

Difficultés que l'on cherche à résoudre

Pas de vraie approche DL pour segmentation avec superpixels

Ambitions améliorer les métriques

## 2 Dataset generation

### 2.1 COCO dataset

#### 2.1.1 The COCO dataset

COCO dataset

#### 2.1.2 Characteristics

max, min pixels, etc graphes pour décrire les données

### 2.2 Eikonal

### 2.3 Notre utilisation de eikonal

en plus réutilisé derrière sur image qui sort du réseau  
faire un petit résumé

## 3 The model


### 3.1 Approach

description générale de l'approche (NN puis eikonal)

### 3.2 Network architecture

#### 3.2.1 Layers definitions

**Dilated convolution** We consider a layer  $L = (L_j)_{j \in \llbracket 1, w \rrbracket}$ ,  $w$  being the number of feature maps  $L_j$  of  $L$ . We also consider  $K = (K_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , each  $K_{i,j}$  being a  $3 \times 3$  convolutional kernel. The dilated convolution operation of  $K_{i,j}$  on  $L_j$  is denoted by  $L_j *_r K_{i,j}$ ,  $r$  being the dilation parameter. The output  $C(x)$  of a



**Figure 3:** Illustration of two types of convolutions

pixel  $x$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(x) &:= (L_j *_r K_{i,j})(x) \\
 &= \sum_{a+rb=x} L_j(a) K_{i,j}(b) \\
 &= \sum_b L_j(x - rb) K_{i,j}(b)
 \end{aligned}$$

and we recognize the simple convolution when  $r = 1$ .

A dilated convolution enables the network getting larger receptive fields while preserving the input resolution<sup>1</sup>

**Adaptive Batch Normalization (ABN)** As we have seen in (2.1.2), page 4, we need to normalize the data. We define the *adaptive normalization function*  $\Psi$  as:

$$\Psi(x) = a x + b BN(x),$$

where  $BN$  is the classic batch normalization<sup>2</sup>, defined as:

$$BN(x) = \frac{x - \mathbb{E}[x]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[x] + \epsilon}} * \gamma + \beta.$$

As such,  $\Psi$  combines identity mapping and batch normalization.  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are learned parameters<sup>3</sup> by backpropagation. It allows the model to adapt to each dataset, choosing whether or not giving a big importance to the identity term and the normalization term.


**Leaky rectifier (LReLU)** In order to let our neural network model complex patterns in the data, we have to add a non-linear property to the model. It often is an activation function, such as a sigmoid or a tanh (Figure 4).

The problem with these activation functions is that they are bounded and their gradient is very low on the edges. Because we want are going to manipulate high scalar values, we have to use an unbounded activation function, such as ReLU,  $\Phi(x) = \max(0, x)$  (Figure 5a). But the issue with ReLU is that all


<sup>1</sup>ref ?

<sup>2</sup>reference ?

<sup>3</sup>ref : [https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/\\_modules/torch/nn/modules/batchnorm.html](https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/_modules/torch/nn/modules/batchnorm.html)



**Figure 4:** *Illustration of two bounded rectifiers*



**Figure 5:** *Illustration of two unbounded rectifiers*

the negative values become zero immediately, which decreases the ability of our model to train from the data. Hence the implementation of a *leaky rectifier*, LReLU:

$$\Phi(x) = \max(\alpha x, x), \text{ with } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

By implementing a Leaky Rectifier, we are able to take into account the negative valued pixels.

### 3.2.2 Chen

**Context Aggregation Network (CAN)** <sup>4</sup> blabla sur le RGB en entrée, RGB en sortie  $I \rightarrow f(I)$

input $I$	$\rightarrow$	$L^1$	$\rightarrow$	$\dots$	$\rightarrow$	$L^s$	$\rightarrow$	$\dots$	$\rightarrow$	output ( $L^d$ )
$m \times n \times 3$		$m \times n \times w_1$				$m \times n \times w_s$				$m \times n \times 3$

**Table 1:** *Layers*

<sup>4</sup>reference

**Architecture of a block** Each block  $L_s$  is made of 3 layers:

1. A *dilated convolution*,  $r_s = 2^s$
2. An *adaptative batch normalization*
3. A *leaky rectifier (ReLU)*

so that the content of an intermediate layer  $L^s$  can be computed from the content of the previous layer  $L^{s-1}$ :

$$L_i^s = \Phi \left( \Psi^s \left( b_i^s + \sum_j L_j^{s-1} *_{r_s} K_{i,j}^s \right) \right). \quad (1)$$

where ... is ...  
and

$$L_j^{s-1} *_{r_s} K_{i,j}^s = \sum_{a+r_s b=x} L_j^{s-1}(a) K_{i,j}^s(b) \quad (2)$$

because of 3.2.1, page 4.

Layer	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Convolution	$3 \times 3$						
Dilation	1						
Batch Normalization	Yes						
LReLU	Yes						

**Table 2:** *Chen*

### 3.2.3 UNet

### 3.2.4 Chen + UNet

## 3.3 Total Variation (TV) Loss

### 3.3.1 MSE

### 3.3.2 TV

#### Formula

**Why** est-ce que l'on parle de la façon dont on compute le gradient et des différentes méthodes que l'on a essayées

## 3.4 Implementation

The network was implemented with PyTorch<sup>5</sup> and we used GPU acceleration [...] (pytorch), se renseigner (section assez courante) GPU acceleraation code sur github

# 4 Expérience et résultats

## 4.1 Hyperparameters

évolution des paramètres a et b ?

entraînement (lr, alpha) -> courbes de loss, et loss qui sature (cluster) d'où changement de lr au cours des epochs

<sup>5</sup>Code can be found at <https://github.com/theodumont/superpixels-segmentation>.

## 4.2 Results on dataset

## 5 Conclusion/Discussion

On a présenté un nouveau...

On a prouvé...

Il reste à faire...

relire tous les mails pour avoir toutes les infos sur performances etc

## Special thanks

## Sources

- [1] [1] C. Smith, J.C. Green, Titre de l'article, Titre du journal, 10 (2009) 55-72
- [2] M. Truk, C. Bidul. Titre du bouquin, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1973
- [3] P. Machin, Titre de la thèse, Thèse, Université Poitiers, 1992
- [4] D. Pierre, J.-P. Paul, B. Jacques, Titre communication, in: D. Editor, G. Editeur, ( éd.), Proceedings of Conference XXX , Publisher, Paris, France, 1995, pp. 3–6