Geometric Group Theory

Labix

February 23, 2025

Abstract

Potentially good books: Humphreys, Erdmann and Wildson

Contents

1	1.1 Quasi-Isometric Spaces	3 3
2	The Geometry of Presentations 2.1 The Cayley Graph of a Group	4
3	Metric Properties of Cayley Graphs3.1Geodesics on Cayley Graphs3.2The Svarc-Milnor Lemma3.3Representing Geodesics in a Canonical Way	7 7 7
4	The Growth Type of Groups4.1 Growth Function14.2 Distortion14.3 The Dehn Function1	11
5	The Word Problem for Groups15.1 The Word Problem is Undecidable15.2 Dehn Presentations and The Word Problem1	
6	The Geometry of Boundaries16.1 Ends of a Group via Geodesic Rays16.2 Infinite Connected Components at Infinity16.3 Basic Properties of Ends1	14
7	Hyperbolic Groups17.1 Hyperbolic Metric Spaces17.2 Gromov Hyperbolic Groups1	

1 Quasi-Isometries

1.1 Quasi-Isometric Spaces

Definition 1.1.1: Quasi-Isometries

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a function of sets. We say that f is a quasi-isometry if the following are true.

• There exists $A \ge 1$ and $B \ge 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{A}d_X(x_1, x_2) - B \le d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \le Ad_X(x, y) + B$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

• There exists $C \ge 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$d_Y(y, f(x_0)) \ge C$$

for all $y \in Y$.

In this case we say that X and Y are quasi-isometric.

Proposition 1.1.2

Quasi-isometry is an equivalence relation on metric spaces.

1.2 Quasi-Geodesics

2 The Geometry of Presentations

2.1 The Cayley Graph of a Group

Definition 2.1.1: The Cayley Graph of a Group

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Define the Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ of G with respect to S to consist of the following data.

- The vertices are given by V(Cay(G, S)) = G
- The edges are given by $E(Cay(G, S)) = \{(g, gs) \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$

Let (V, E) be a graph. Recall that a graph automorphism consists of a bijective map of vertices and a bijective map of edges such that

$$\{\phi(v),\phi(w)\}\in E$$

for all $\{v, w\} \in E$. They form a group by composition.

Lemma 2.1.2: The Action Lemma

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then G acts on the Cayley graph Cay(G,S) of G with respect to S via tha map

$$\cdot:G\times \operatorname{Cay}(G,S)\to\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$$

defined by $h \cdot g = hg$ and $h \cdot (g, gs) = (hg, hgs)$. Moreover, the action is faithful.

Proposition 2.1.3

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then the following are true regarding Cay(G,S).

- Cay(G, S) has no embedded cycles.
- Cay(G, S) is connected.

Proposition 2.1.4

Let S be a set. Then $Cay(F_S, S)$ is a tree.

Proposition 2.1.5

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then $Cay(F_S,S)$ is a universal cover of Cay(G,S).

2.2 The Word Metric on a Cayley Graph

Given a graph Γ , there are two ways to specify a path in Γ .

- We can define a path by a sequence $\gamma_V : [n] \to V(\Gamma)$ of adjacent vertices.
- We can also define a path by a sequence $\gamma_E : [n-1] \to E(\Gamma)$ of edges.

The above notation also indicates that any path is determined by either n vertices or n-1 edges.

Definition 2.2.1: The Word Metric

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Define the word metric on ${\rm Cay}(G,S)$ to be the map

$$d_S: V(\mathsf{Cay}(G,S)) \times V(\mathsf{Cay}(G,S)) \to \mathbb{N}$$

given by

$$d_S(g,h) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_V : [n] \to V(\text{Cay}(G,S)) \text{ is a path from } g \text{ to } h\}$$

Lemma 2.2.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then d_S is a metric on Cay(G, S).

Proposition 2.2.3

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Let $g \in G$ be fixed. Then the map

$$(h,k) \mapsto (gh,gk)$$

given by the action lemma is an isometry. In other words,

$$d_S(h,k) = d_S(gh,gk)$$

Let X be a metric space with two metrics d_1 and d_2 . Recall that d_1 and d_2 are bilipschitz equivalent if there exists two constants $0 < c_1 \le c_2 < \infty$ such that

$$c_1 d_1(x, y) \le d_2(x, y) \le c_2 d_1(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.2.4

Let G be a group. Let S,T be generating sets of G. Then d_S and d_T are bilipschitz equivalent.

Definition 2.2.5: The Word Norm

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Let Cay(G,S) be the Cayley complex of G and S. Define the word norm of $g \in G$ to be

$$||g||_S = d_S(1_G, g)$$

Lemma 2.2.6

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then the following are true.

- $d_S(g,h) = \|g^{-1}h\|_S$ for all $g,h \in G$.
- $||g^{-1}||_S = ||g||_S$ for all $g \in G$.
- $||gh||_S \le ||g||_S + ||h||_S$ for all $g, h \in G$.

2.3 Realizing the Cayley Graph as a Connected Space

We have proved that Cayley graphs are connected as graphs, in the sense that any two vertices are connected by a path. But a priori the graph is not connected as a topological space, whose topology is generated by the metric.

Definition 2.3.1: Geometric Realization of Cayley Graphs

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Define the geometric realization |Cay(G,S)| of the Cayley graph to be the space

$$|\mathsf{Cay}(G,S)| = \frac{E(\mathsf{Cay}(G,S)) \times I}{\sim}$$

where $((g_1, g_1s_1), t_1) \sim ((g_2, g_2s_2), t_2)$ if one of the following are true.

- They describe the same vertex (with different representations of elements in G): $((g_1, g_1s_1), t_1) = ((g_2, g_2s_2), t_2).$
- They describe the same vertex but they lie on different edges: Either one of the following
 - $g_1 = g_2$ and $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ - $g_1 = g_2 s_2$ and $t_1 = 0$, $t_2 = 1$ - $g_1 s_1 = g_2 s_2$ and $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ - $g_1 s_1 = g_2$ and $t_1 = 1$, $t_2 = 0$
- They describe the same point on an edge but different orientations: $(g_1,g_1s_1)=(g_2,g_2s_2^{-1})$ and $t_1=1-t_2$.

In particular, this gives a 1-dimensional CW complex.

We can also give a metric on the realization so that its restriction to the actual Cayley graph recovers the word metric.

Definition 2.3.2: Metric on realization

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Define a metric $d: |Cay(G,S)| \times |Cay(G,S)| \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows.

$$d([((g_1,g_1s_1)t_1)],[((g_2,g_2s_2),t_2)]) = \begin{cases} |t_1-t_2| & \text{if } (g_1,g_1s_1) = (g_2,g_2s_2) \\ |t_1-(1-t_2)| & \text{if } (g_1,g_1s_1) = (g_2s_2,g_2) \\ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_1+d_S(g_1,g_2)+t_2 \\ t_1+d_S(g_1,g_2s_2)+1-t_2 \\ 1-t_1+d_S(g_1s_1,g_2s_2)+1-t_2 \\ 1-t_1+d_S(g_1s_1,g_2s_2)+1-t_2 \end{array} \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We abuse notation sometimes and freely interchange the use of the Cayley graph and its geometric realization when the context is clear.

2.4 Realizing the Cayley Graph as a Presentation Complex

3 Metric Properties of Cayley Graphs

3.1 Geodesics on Cayley Graphs

Definition 3.1.1: Geodesic Words

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set. Let $\gamma_V:[n]\to V(\operatorname{Cay}(G,S))$ be a path in $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$. We say that γ_V is a geodesic word if

$$d_S(\gamma_V(0), \gamma_V(n)) = n$$

This is not the same definition as geodesics in metric spaces. (It doesn't make sense to talk about paths in Cay(G,S) because it is a discrete topological space when we consider the topology generated by the metric).

Recalling that the metric on Cay(G,S) is defined as the minimum length of a path between two elements, we see that geodesics are precisely paths that realizes such a distance minimizing path.

Proposition 3.1.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then $\gamma_V:[n]\to V(\operatorname{Cay}(G,S))$ is a geodesic word if and only if $|\gamma_V|:[0,n]\to |\operatorname{Cay}(G,S)|$ is a geodesic in the sense of metric spaces.

Lemma 3.1.3

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set. If $\gamma_V:[n]\to \operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$ is a geodesic, then $\gamma_V(0)*\cdots*\gamma_V(n)$ is a reduced word.

Note: The converse is not true. Consider $G = \langle a, b \rangle a^3 = b^2$. Both a^3 and b^2 are reduced words but they have different lengths.

3.2 The Svarc-Milnor Lemma

Let G be a group acting on a space X. Recall that G is a properly discontinuous group action if for every compact set $K \subseteq X$, we have

$$(g \cdot K) \cap K \neq \emptyset$$

for finitely many $g \in G$.

Theorem 3.2.1: The Svarc-Milnor Lemma

Let X be a geodesic metric space such that $B_r(x)$ is compact for all $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let G be a group acting on X such that the action is properly discontinuous and X/G is compact. Then the following are true.

- *G* is finitely generated.
- For any finite generating set S of G, |Cay(G, S)| is quasi-isometric to (X, d)

3.3 Representing Geodesics in a Canonical Way

Note: geodesics are not the unique distance minimizing curve between two elements. Therefore we want to find a representative.

Definition 3.3.1: Short Lex Ordering

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. Let $u, v \in F(S)$. We say that

$$u <_{sl} v$$

if one of the following are true.

- \bullet |u| < |v|
- |u| = |v| and there exists w such that u = w * u', v = w * v' and $u' <_{sl} v'$.

We call $<_{sl}$ the short lex ordering on F(S).

Lemma 3.3.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set. Then $<_{sl}$ is a total order on F(S).

Definition 3.3.3: Short Lex Representative

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Let $g \in G$. Define the short lex representative of g with respect to S to be

$$\min_{\leq_{sl}} \left\{ s \in F(S) \mid s = g \text{ in G} \right\}$$

Lemma 3.3.4

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Any subword of a short lex representative with respect to S is a short lex representative.

Corollary 3.3.5

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G. Then the set of paths in Cay(G,S) consisting of short lex representatives form a spanning tree for Cay(G,S).

4 The Growth Type of Groups

4.1 Growth Function

Definition 4.1.1: Ball Around an Element

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. Let R>0. Define the ball around $g\in G$ with radius n to be

$$B_n^{G,S}(g) = \{ h \in G \mid d_S(g,h) \le n \}$$

Proposition 4.1.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set. Let $g, h \in G$. Then

$$\left|B_n^G(g)\right| = \left|B_m^G(h)\right|$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.1.3: Growth Function

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. Let R > 0. Define the growth function $\Gamma_{G,S} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ of G with respect to S to be

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = \left| B_n^{G,S}(1_G) \right|$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 4.1.4

Let G be a group Let S be a finite generating set of G. Then the following are true.

- $\Gamma_{G,S}(m+n) \leq \Gamma_{G,S}(m)\Gamma_{G,S}(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq (2|S|+1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For any pair (h,k) of elements of G such that $d_S(1,h)=m$ and $d_S(1,k)=n$, we have that

$$d_S(1_G, hk) \le d_S(1_G, h) + d_S(h, hk) = d_S(1_G, h) + d_S(1_G, k) = m + n$$

This means that for any unique pair of elements (h,k) with $h \in B_m^{G,S}(1_G)$ and $k \in B_n^{G,S}(1_G)$, there exists a possibly non-unique element $hk \in B_{m+n}^{G,S}(1_G)$. Hence

$$\left|B_{m+n}^{G,S}(1_G)\right| \le \left|B_m^{G,S}(1_G)\right| \cdot \left|B_n^{G,S}(1_G)\right|$$

and so $\Gamma_{G,S}(m+n) \leq \Gamma_{G,S}(m)\Gamma_{G,S}(n)$.

Notice that $\Gamma_{G,S}(1) = (2|S|+1)$ since the paths of the Cayley graph is given by S and their inverses. Together with the identity element which has zero norm gives the formula. We can then recursively apply the above inequality to get

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \le (\Gamma_{G,S}(1))^n = (2|S|+1)^n$$

Lemma 4.1.5

Let G be a group Let S be a finite generating set of G. Then the following are true.

- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $G \cong F(S)$.

Proof. The induced homomorphism $\phi: F(S) \to G$ sends $B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})$ surjectively to $B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})$. Indeed if $\gamma_V: [n] \to F(S)$ is a geodesic, then $\phi \circ \gamma_V$ may not be a geodesic so that $d_S(1_G, \phi \circ \gamma_V(n)) \le n$. This means that $\phi \circ \gamma_V(n) \in B_n^{G,S}(1_G)$. Conversely, if $g \in B_n^{G,S}(1_G)$ then $g = w_1 \cdots w_n$ is a reduced word in G for $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in S$. Then $w_1 \cdots w_n$ is also a reduced word in F(S) and hence lie in $B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})$. Moreover, $\phi(w_1 \cdots w_n) = g$. Hence ϕ is surjective on the two balls. Then we have

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = \left| B_n^{G,S}(1_G) \right| = \left| \phi \left(B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)}) \right) \right| \le \left| B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)}) \right| = \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n)$$

Lemma 4.1.6

Let S be a finite set. Then

$$\Gamma_{F(S),S}(n) = \frac{1 - |S|(2|S| - 1)^n}{1 - |S|}$$

Proof. I claim that the number of reduced words of length n is $2|S|(2|S|-1)^{n-1}$ when $n\geq 1$. We induct on n. When n=1, then any reduced word is just the choice of a letter. Hence there are 2|S| number of reduced words of length 1. Now suppose that the number of reduced words of length k is given by $2|S|(2|S|-1)^{k-1}$. Any reduced word of length k+1 is given by the concatenation of a reduced word of length k and a choice of letter that is not the inverse of the last element of the given word. Thus there are $2|S|(2|S|-1)^{k-1}\cdot(2|S|-1=2|S|(2|S|-1)^k)$ number of reduced words of length k+1. This completely the induction step.

Then we have

$$\Gamma_{F(S),S}(n) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2|S|(2|S|-1)^{n-1}$$

$$= 1 + 2|S| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (2|S|-1)^{i}$$

$$= 1 + 2|S| \frac{1 - (2|S|-1)^{n}}{1 - 2|S|+1}$$

$$= 1 + |S| \frac{1 - (2|S|-1)^{n}}{1 - |S|}$$

$$= \frac{1 - |S| + |S| (1 - (2|S|-1)^{n})}{1 - |S|}$$

$$= \frac{1 - |S|(2|S|-1)^{n}}{1 - |S|}$$

Proposition 4.1.7

Let G be a group Let S be a finite generating set of G. Then the following are equivalent.

- *G* is a finite group.
- $\Gamma_{G,S}$ is bounded.
- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = \Gamma_{G,S}(n+1)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4.1.8

Let G be a group. Let S,T be finite generating sets of G. Then there exists C,D>0 such that

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq C\Gamma_{G,T}(n)$$
 and $\Gamma_{G,T}(n) \leq D\Gamma_{G,S}(n)$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.1.9

There exists a finitely generated group G with finite generators S such that $\Gamma_{G,S}$ has superpolynomial growth but subexponential growth.

Theorem 4.1.10: [Hirsch 1958]

Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group. Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. Then [G:H] is finite and H is torsion-free.

Theorem 4.1.11: [Jennings 1955]

Let H be a finitely generated torsion-free and nilpotent group. Then H is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H_d(\mathbb{Z})$ for some $d \geq 1$.

Note: $H_d(\mathbb{Z})$ is the upper triangular matrices of $SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 4.1.12: [Gromov 1981]

Let G be a finitely generated group such that $\Gamma_{G,S}$ has at most polynomial growth. Then there exists some subgroup $H \leq G$ such that [G:H] is finite and H is nilpotent.

Theorem 4.1.13: [Bass 1972, Guivarch 1973]

Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group. Then there exists $C, D, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$Cn^d \le \Gamma_{G,S}(n) \le Dp^d$$

($\Gamma_{G,S}$ has polynomial growth rate).

4.2 Distortion

Definition 4.2.1: Undistorted Subgroups

Let G be a group. Let S,T be generating sets of G. Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup. We say that H is undistorted in G if there exists C > 0 such that

$$d_T(g,h) \leq Cd_S(g,h)$$

for all $g, h \in H$.

Intuitively, this means that when we restrict the metric to the subgroup, the shortest path when we had in H for two elements is still the shortest when we consider the two elements in G.

4.3 The Dehn Function

Definition 4.3.1: Area of an Element

Let G be a group. Let $G=\langle S\mid R\rangle$ be a finite presentation of G. Let $p:F_S\to G$ be the induced map by the universal property. Let $w\in F_S$ be such that $p(w)=1_G$. Define the area of w to be

$$A(w) = \min \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w = \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i a_i^{-1} \text{ for } a_i \in F(S) \text{ and } r_i \in R \right\}$$

Definition 4.3.2: Dehn Functions

Let G be a group. Let $G=\langle S\mid R\rangle$ be a finite presentation of G. Let $p:F_S\to G$ be the induced map by the universal property. Define the Dehn function of G with respect to the presentation to be $\mathrm{Dehn}_{\langle S\mid R\rangle}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ given by

$$Dehn_{(S \mid R)}(n) = \max\{A(s_1 \cdots s_k) \mid 0 \le k \le n, s_1, \dots, s_k \in F_S, p(s_1 \cdots s_k) = 1_G\}$$

5 The Word Problem for Groups

5.1 The Word Problem is Undecidable

Definition 5.1.1: Solvable Word Problem

Let G be a group. Let $G = \langle S \mid R \rangle$ be a finite presentation for G. Let W be the set of all words of S. We say that G has a solvable word problem if $\{w \in W \mid w = 1_G \text{ in } G\}$ is decidable.

Theorem 5.1.2

There exists a finitely presented group with an unsolvable word problem.

5.2 Dehn Presentations and The Word Problem

Recall that a group is finitely presented if $G \cong \langle S \mid R \rangle$ if S and R are finite sets.

Definition 5.2.1: Dehn Presentations

Let G be a group. Let $G=\langle S\mid R\rangle$ be a finite presentation of G. Let $p:F_S\to G$ be the induced map by the universal property. A Dehn presentation of G is a finite presentation $G\cong \langle S\mid R\rangle$ such that for all $w\in F(S)$ such that $p(w)=1_G$, there exists $r_1,r_2\in R$ such that the following are true.

- $||r_1|| > ||r_2||$.
- r_1 is a subword of w.
- Either $r_1 * r_2$ or $r_2 * r_1$ lie in R.

Theorem 5.2.2: Dehn's Algorithm

Let G be a group. If G admits a Dehn presentation, then the word problem for G is solvable.

6 The Geometry of Boundaries

6.1 Ends of a Group via Geodesic Rays

Definition 6.1.1: Geodesic Ray

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. A geodesic ray in ${\rm Cay}(G,S)$ is a continuous map

$$\phi: [0,\infty) \to \operatorname{Cay}(G,S)$$

such that if $B \subseteq \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is bounded then $\phi^{-1}(B)$ is bounded.

This is called proper rays in Loh.

Definition 6.1.2: Ends of a Group

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. Define

$$\operatorname{Ends}(G,S) = \{\phi : [0,\infty) \to \operatorname{Cay}(G,S) \mid \phi \text{ is a geodesic ray } \}/\sim$$

where $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{im}(\phi_1) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)$ and $\operatorname{im}(\phi_2) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)$ lie in the same path component of $\operatorname{Cay}(G,S) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)$.

6.2 Infinite Connected Components at Infinity

Definition 6.2.1: Infinite Connected Components

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. Define the set of infinite connected components of G with respect to S by

$$E_S(n) = \{ [X] \in \pi_0(Cay(G, S) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)) \mid |X| = \infty \}$$

Definition 6.2.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G. Define

$$C_S(n) = \operatorname{Cay}(G, S) \setminus \bigcup_{A \in E_S(n)} A$$

6.3 Basic Properties of Ends

(ends are a quasi-isometry invariant)

Theorem 6.3.1: (Stallings)

Let G be a finitely generated group. Then

$$|\operatorname{End}(G)| = 0, 1, 2 \text{ or } \infty$$

7 Hyperbolic Groups

7.1 Hyperbolic Metric Spaces

Definition 7.1.1: Geodesic Triangle

Let (X,d) be a geodesic metric space. Let $x,y,z\in X$. Define the geodesic triangle to be a triple

$$T(x, y, z) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$$

such that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma: I \to X$ are geodesics with starting points x, y, z and ending points y, z, x respectively.

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Recall that the neighbourhood of a set $U \subseteq M$ of size r is the set

$$N_r(U) = \{ x \in M \mid d(U, x) \le r \}$$

Definition 7.1.2: Gromov Hyperbolic Metric Space

Let (X,d) be a geodesic metric space. Let $\delta > 0$. We say that X is a Gromov δ -hyperbolic metric space if for all geodesic triangles T(x,y,z) for $x,y,z \in X$, we have

$$\alpha \subseteq N_{\delta}(\operatorname{im}(\beta)) \cup N_{\delta}(\operatorname{im}(\gamma))$$

7.2 Gromov Hyperbolic Groups

Definition 7.2.1: Gromov Hyperbolic Groups

Let G be a group. Let $\delta > 0$. We say that G is δ -hyperbolic if there exists a finite generating set S of G such that |Cay(G,S)| is a Gromov δ -hyperbolic metric space.

Examples: finite groups, free groups.

Theorem 7.2.2

Let *G* be a group. Then the following are equivalent.

- *G* is a Gromov hyperbolic group.
- G admits a Dehn presentation.
- ullet There exists a finite presentation of G for which the Dehn function is linear.

Corollary 7.2.3

Let G be a group. If G is a Gromov hyperbolic group, then the following are true.

- *G* is finitely presented.
- The word problem for *G* is decidable.