

# Commutative Algebra 2

Labix

January 18, 2025

**Abstract**

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Filtrations</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Filtrations and Stable Filtrations . . . . .	3
1.2	Artin-Rees Lemma . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Completions</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	General Completion Methods . . . . .	4
2.2	I-Adic Completion . . . . .	4
2.3	Completion of a Ring . . . . .	4
2.4	Hensel's Lemma . . . . .	5
2.5	The Artin-Rees Lemma . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Homological Dimension Theory</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Regular Sequences</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Regular Sequences . . . . .	7
4.2	Relation to the Koszul Complex . . . . .	7
4.3	Depth of an Ideal . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Regular Local Rings</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	Basic Properties . . . . .	8
5.2	Homological Methods . . . . .	8
<b>6</b>	<b>Two Important Rings Through the Koszul Complex</b>	<b>9</b>
6.1	Gorenstein Rings . . . . .	9
6.2	Cohen-Macaulay Rings . . . . .	9
<b>7</b>	<b>Kähler Differentials</b>	<b>10</b>
7.1	Kähler Differentials . . . . .	10
7.2	Transferring the System of Differentials . . . . .	15
7.3	Characterization for Separability . . . . .	17
<b>8</b>	<b>The Picard Group of an Integral Domain</b>	<b>19</b>
8.1	The Picard Group . . . . .	19

# 1 Filtrations

## 1.1 Filtrations and Stable Filtrations

### Definition 1.1.1: Descending Filtrations

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A descending filtration of  $M$  consists of a sequence of  $R$ -submodules  $M_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_n \supseteq \cdots$$

## 1.2 Artin-Rees Lemma

## 2 Completions

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category (for example  $\mathbf{Ab}$ ,  $\mathbf{Ring}$ ,  ${}_R\mathbf{Mod}$ ,  $\mathbf{Vect}_k$ ). Fix  $\mathcal{J}$  a diagram. Recall that as long as all diagrams  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  admits a limits, then the assignment

$$\lim_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

is a well defined functor. Moreover, it is left exact.

### 2.1 General Completion Methods

#### Definition 2.1.1: Completion of a Module

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n \supset \cdots$  be a descending filtration of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$ . Define the completion of  $M$  with respect to the filtration to be the inverse limit

$$\widehat{M} = \varprojlim_i \frac{M}{M_i}$$

### 2.2 I-Adic Completion

#### Definition 2.2.1: I-Adic Completion

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Define the  $I$ -adic completion of  $M$  to be the completion of  $M$  with respect to the filtration

$$I^0 M \supset I^1 M \supset \cdots \supset I^n M \supset \cdots$$

Explicitly, it is given by the inverse limit

$$\widehat{M} = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{M}{I^n M}$$

#### Proposition 2.2.2

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then there is an  $R$ -module isomorphism

$$\widehat{M} \cong M \otimes_R \widehat{R}$$

#### Definition 2.2.3: I-Adically Complete

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $M$  is  $I$ -adically complete if the induced map of inverse limits

$$M \rightarrow \widehat{M}$$

is an  $R$ -module isomorphism.

### 2.3 Completion of a Ring

#### Proposition 2.3.1

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\widehat{R}$  be the  $I$ -adic completion of  $R$ . If  $R$  is Noetherian, then the following are true.

- $\widehat{R}$  is Noetherian.
- $\widehat{R}$  is a flat  $R$ -module.

**Proposition 2.3.2**

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $m$  be a maximal ideal. Then  $\widehat{R}$  is a local ring with unique maximal ideal  $\widehat{m}\widehat{R}_m$ .

**Definition 2.3.3: Complete Local Rings**

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $m$  be a maximal ideal. We say that  $R$  is a complete local ring if  $R$  is  $m$ -adically complete.

**Lemma 2.3.4**

Let  $R$  be a complete local ring. Then the following are true.

- $R$  is a local ring.

**2.4 Hensel's Lemma****Theorem 2.4.1: Hensel's Lemma**

Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete local ring. Let  $\overline{(-)} : R[x] \rightarrow (R/m)[x]$  be the projection map. Let  $f \in R[x]$  be monic. If  $g, h \in (R/m)[x]$  are monic and  $\overline{f} = gh$ , then there exists unique polynomials  $u, v \in R[x]$  such that  $f = uv$  and  $\overline{u} = g$  and  $\overline{v} = h$ .

**2.5 The Artin-Rees Lemma****Theorem 2.5.1: Artin-Rees Lemma**

Assume  $A$  is Noetherian and  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Let  $M$  be a finite module and  $N \subset M$  a submodule. Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$I^n M \cap N = I^{n-c}(I^c M \cap N)$$

for every  $n > c$ .

### 3 Homological Dimension Theory

## 4 Regular Sequences

### 4.1 Regular Sequences

#### Definition 4.1.1: $M$ -Regular Sequences

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  be an ordered sequence in  $R$ . We say that the sequence is  $M$ -regular if the following are true.

- $x_1$  is not a zero divisor on  $M$ .
- $x_k$  is not a zero divisor on  $\frac{M}{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})M}$  for all  $2 \leq k \leq n$ .

We simply say that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a regular sequence if  $M = R$ .

It is important to note that  $M$ -regularity depends on the order of the elements in the sequence.

### 4.2 Relation to the Koszul Complex

#### Theorem 4.2.1

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  be an ordered sequence in  $R$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is  $M$ -regular, then

$$H_p^{\text{Kos}}(x_1, \dots, x_n; M) = 0$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ .

#### Theorem 4.2.2

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a regular sequence, then the Koszul complex  $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a free resolution of  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

#### Theorem 4.2.3: Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem

Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated module over  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $M$  has a free resolution of length at most  $n + 1$ .

### 4.3 Depth of an Ideal

## 5 Regular Local Rings

### 5.1 Basic Properties

Regularity is an important concept in algebraic geometry to detecting singularities. We motivate the definition by the following proposition.

#### Definition 5.1.1: Regular Local Rings

A Noetherian local ring  $(R, m)$  is said to be regular if

$$\dim_k(m/m^2) = \dim(R)$$

for  $k = R/m$  the residue field of  $R$ .

#### Theorem 5.1.2

Let  $(R, m)$  be a Noetherian local ring. Let  $n$  be the minimal number of elements needed to generate  $m$ . Then  $R$  is regular if and only if  $n = \dim(R)$ .

#### Theorem 5.1.3

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring of dimension 1 with maximal ideal  $m$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- $A$  is regular
- $m$  is principal
- $A$  is an integral domain, and all ideals are of the form  $m^n$  for  $n \geq 0$  or  $(0)$
- $A$  is a principal ideal domain

### 5.2 Homological Methods



## 6 Two Important Rings Through the Koszul Complex

In this section we will investigate two particular types of Noetherian local rings. Therefore it is important to revise on what we know about Noetherian local rings as of now.

- Noetherian means that the supremum of the set of all ascending chains of terminate at a largest ideal.
- Locality means that the ring has a unique maximal ideal.

Noetherian local rings enjoy the fundamental theorem of dimension theory, which says that the different definitions of dimensions coincide. The definitions of the four types of rings depends heavily on the notion of dimension.

### 6.1 Gorenstein Rings

**Definition 6.1.1: Gorenstein Rings**

**Definition 6.1.2: Injective Dimension**

### 6.2 Cohen-Macaulay Rings

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Regular} & & \text{Complete} & & \text{Gorenstein} & & \text{Cohen-Macaulay} \\ \text{Local Rings} & \subset & \text{Intersection Rings} & \subset & \text{Rings} & \subset & \text{Rings} \end{array}$$

## 7 Kähler Differentials

The goal of this section is to define the derivations and the module of Kähler differentials, as well as seeing some first consequences such as the two exact sequences. To show existence of the module of Kähler differentials, we will see two different constructions of the module.

### 7.1 Kähler Differentials

We now define the module of Kähler Differentials which is the main object of study. For each  $A$ -derivation  $d$  from an  $A$ -algebra  $B$  to a  $B$ -module  $M$ ,  $d$  factors through a universal object no matter what  $d$  we choose. This is the content of the following definition.

#### Definition 7.1.1: Kähler Differentials

A  $B$ -module  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$  together with an  $A$ -derivation  $d : B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}^1$  is said to be a module Kähler Differentials of  $B$  over  $A$  if it satisfies the following universal property:

For any  $B$ -module  $M$ , and for any  $A$ -derivation  $d' : B \rightarrow M$ , there exists a unique  $B$ -module homomorphism  $f : \Omega_{B/A}^1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $d' = f \circ d$ . In other words, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{B/A}^1 \\ & \searrow d' & \downarrow \exists! f \\ & & M \end{array}$$

The above definition merely shows what properties we would like a module of Kähler differentials to satisfy. Notice that we have yet to show its existence. The above construction is also universal in the following sense.

#### Lemma 7.1.2

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra. Let  $M$  be a  $B$ -module. Then there is a canonical  $B$ -module isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, M) \cong \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $M$  a  $B$ -module. Let  $d' \in \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M)$ . By the universal property of  $\Omega_{B/A}^1(M)$ , there exists a unique  $B$ -module homomorphism  $f : \Omega_{B/A}^1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $d' = f \circ d$ . This gives a map  $\phi : \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, M)$  defined by  $\phi(d') = f$ .

Conversely, given a map  $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, M)$ , pre-composition with  $d$  gives a pull back map  $d^* : \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_A(B, M)$  defined by  $d^*(g) = g \circ d$ . These map are inverses of each other:

$$\begin{aligned} (d^* \circ \phi)(d') &= d^*(f) \\ &= f \circ d \\ &= d' \end{aligned} \quad (\text{By universal property})$$

and  $(\phi \circ d^*)(g) = \phi(g \circ d) = g$ . Thus these map is a bijective map of sets.

It remains to show that  $d^*$  is a  $B$ -module homomorphism. Let  $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, M)$ .

- $d^*(f + g) = (f + g) \circ d$  is a map

$$b \xrightarrow{d} d(b) \xrightarrow{f+g} f(d(b)) + g(d(b))$$

for  $b \in B$ .  $d^*(f) + d^*(g) = f \circ d + g \circ d$  is a map

$$b \mapsto f(d(b)) + g(d(b))$$

thus addition is preserved by  $d^*$ .

- Let  $u \in B$ . We want to show that  $d^*(u \cdot f) = u \cdot d^*(f)$ . The left hand side sends an element  $b \in B$  by

$$b \xrightarrow{d} d(b) \xrightarrow{u \cdot f} u \cdot f(d(b))$$

The right hand side sends  $b \mapsto u \cdot f(d(b))$ . Thus proving they are the same. And so we have reached the conclusion.  $\square$

The definition of the module and the above lemma shows the following: The functor  $M \mapsto \text{Der}_A(B, M)$  between the category of  $B$ -modules is representable. Indeed, one may recall that a functor is said to be representable if it is naturally isomorphic to the Hom functor together with a fixed object, which is precisely the content of the above lemma.

Let us now see an explicit construction of the module to prove the existence of the module of Kähler Differentials.

### Proposition 7.1.3

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra. Let  $F$  be the free  $B$ -module generated by the symbols  $\{d(b) \mid b \in B\}$ . Let  $R$  be the submodule of  $F$  generated by the following relations:

- $d(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) - a_1d(b_1) - a_2d(b_2)$  for all  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $a_1, a_2 \in A$
- $d(b_1b_2) - b_1d(b_2) - b_2d(b_1)$  for all  $b_1, b_2 \in B$

Then  $F/R$  is a module of Kähler Differentials for  $B$  over  $A$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $F/R$  is a  $B$ -module. Moreover, define  $d : B \rightarrow F/R$  by  $b \mapsto d(b) + R$ . This map is an  $A$ -derivation since the following are satisfied:

- $d$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism: Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . Then  $a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$  is mapped to  $d(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) + R$ . We know from the relations that  $d(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) + R = a_1d(b_1) + a_2d(b_2) + R$ . Thus  $d$  is  $A$ -linear.
- $d$  satisfies the Leibniz rule: Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Then  $b_1b_2$  is mapped to  $d(b_1b_2) + R$ . Since  $d(b_1b_2) + R = b_1d(b_2) + d(b_1)b_2 + R$ , we have that  $b_1b_2$  is mapped to  $b_1d(b_2) + d(b_1)b_2 + R$ .

This shows that  $d : B \rightarrow F/R$  is an  $A$  derivation.

It remains to show that  $(F/R, d)$  has the universal property. Let  $M$  be a  $B$ -module and  $d' : B \rightarrow M$  an  $A$ -derivation. Define a map  $f : F \rightarrow M$  on generators by  $d(b) \mapsto d'(b)$  and extending from generators to the entire module. This is a  $B$ -module homomorphism by definition. Clearly  $f \circ d = d'$ . It also unique since  $f$  is defined on the generators of  $F$ .

Finally we want to show that  $f$  projects to a map  $\bar{f} : F/R \rightarrow M$ . This requires us to check that  $f(d(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2)) = f(a_1d(b_1) + a_2d(b_2))$  and  $f(d(b_1b_2)) = f(b_1d(b_2) + d(b_1)b_2)$ . But this is clear. Since  $f : F \rightarrow R$  is a  $B$ -module homomorphism, we have

$$f(d(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2)) - f(a_1d(b_1) + a_2d(b_2)) = 0$$

and

$$f(d(b_1b_2)) - f(b_1d(b_2) + d(b_1)b_2) = 0$$

implying  $f$  sends  $d(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2) - a_1d(b_1) - a_2d(b_2)$  and  $d(b_1b_2) - b_1d(b_2) - d(b_1)b_2$  to 0. Since we checked them on generators of  $R$  this result extends to all of  $R$ . Thus we are done.  $\square$

Aside from the construction through quotients, we can also express the module explicitly via the kernel of a diagonal morphism. Using the universal property, we see that all these constructions are the same.

**Proposition 7.1.4**

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B$  be an  $A$ -algebra. Let  $f : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$  be a function defined to be  $f(b_1 \otimes_A b_2) = b_1 b_2$ . Let  $I$  be the kernel of  $f$ . Then  $(I/I^2, d)$  is a module of Kähler Differentials of  $B$  over  $A$ , where the derivation is the homomorphism  $d : B \rightarrow I/I^2$  defined by  $db = 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \pmod{I^2}$ .

*Proof.* We break down the proof in 3 main steps.

Step 1: Show that  $\ker(f) = \langle 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \mid b \in B \rangle$ .

Write  $I = \langle 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \mid b \in B \rangle$ . For any generator  $1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$  of  $I$ , we see that

$$f(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1) = 0$$

Thus  $I \subseteq \ker(f)$ . Now suppose that  $\sum_{i,j} b_i \otimes b_j \in \ker(f)$ . Then using the identity

$$b_i \otimes b_j = b_i b_j \otimes 1 + (b_i \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b_j - b_j \otimes 1)$$

and the fact that  $b_i b_j = 0$  (because  $0 = f(b_i \otimes b_j) = b_i b_j$ ) we see that

$$\sum_{i,j} b_i \otimes b_j = \sum_{i,j} (b_i \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b_j - b_j \otimes 1)$$

Since each  $1 \otimes b_j - b_j \otimes 1$  lies in  $\ker(f)$ , we conclude that  $\sum_{i,j} b_i \otimes b_j$  so that  $I = \ker(f)$ .

Step 2: Check that  $d : B \rightarrow I/I^2$  is an  $A$ -derivation.

- $d : B \rightarrow I/I^2$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism: Let  $a_1 a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2) &= 1 \otimes (a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2) - (a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2) \otimes 1 + I^2 \\ &= a_1(1 \otimes b_1) + a_2(1 \otimes b_2) - a_1(b_1 \otimes 1) - a_2(b_2 \otimes 1) + I^2 \\ &= a_1 d(b_1) + a_2 d(b_2) + I^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are done. (Notice that we did not use the fact that all the expressions are taken modulo  $I^2$ )

- $d$  satisfies the Leibniz rule: Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . Then we have  $d(b_1 b_2) = 1 \otimes b_1 b_2 - b_1 b_2 \otimes 1 + I^2$  on one hand. On the other hand we have

$$b_1 d(b_2) + b_2 d(b_1) = b_1(1 \otimes b_2 - b_2 \otimes 1) + b_2(1 \otimes b_1 - b_1 \otimes 1) + I^2$$

Subtracting them gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(b_1 b_2) - b_1 d(b_2) - b_2 d(b_1) &= 1 \otimes b_1 b_2 - b_1 \otimes b_2 - b_2 \otimes b_1 + b_2 b_1 \otimes 1 \\ &= (1 \otimes b_1 - b_1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b_2 - b_2 \otimes 1) + I^2 \end{aligned}$$

But  $(1 \otimes b_1 - b_1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b_2 - b_2 \otimes 1)$  lies in  $I^2$  thus subtraction gives 0.

Thus  $d$  is an  $A$ -derivation.

Step 3: Show that the universal property is satisfied.

Let  $M$  be a  $B$ -module and  $d' : B \rightarrow M$  an  $A$ -derivation. We want to find a unique  $\tilde{\phi} : B \rightarrow M$  such that  $d' = \tilde{\phi} \circ d$ .

Step 3.1: Construct a homomorphism of  $A$ -algebra from  $B \otimes B$  to  $B \ltimes M$

Define  $\phi : B \otimes B \rightarrow B \ltimes M$  (Refer to ?? for definition of  $B \ltimes M$ ) by

$$\phi(b_1 \otimes b_2) = (b_1 b_2, b_1 d'(b_2))$$

and extend it linearly so that  $\phi(b_1 \otimes b_2 + b_3 \otimes b_4) = \phi(b_1 \otimes b_2) + \phi(b_3 \otimes b_4)$ . This is a homomorphism of  $A$ -algebra since

- Addition is preserved: This is by definition.
- $\phi(ab_1 \otimes b_2) = \phi(b_1 \otimes ab_2) = a\phi(b_1 \otimes b_2)$ : Let  $a \in A$  and  $b_1 \otimes b_2 \in B \otimes_A B$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(ab_1 \otimes b_2) &= (ab_1b_2, ab_1d'(b_2)) \\ &= a \cdot \phi(b_1 \otimes b_2) \\ \phi(b_1 \otimes ab_2) &= (ab_1b_2, b_1d'(ab_2)) \\ &= (ab_1b_2, ab_1d'(b_2))\end{aligned}$$

Thus we are done.

- Product is preserved: For  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((u_1 \otimes u_2) \cdot \phi(v_1 \otimes v_2)) &= (u_1u_2, u_1d'(u_2)) \cdot (v_1v_2, v_1d'(v_2)) \\ &= (u_1u_2v_1v_2, u_1u_2v_1d'(v_2) + v_1v_2u_1d'(u_2)) \\ &= (u_1v_1u_2v_2, u_1v_1d'(u_2v_2)) \\ &= \phi(u_1v_1 \otimes u_2v_2)\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $A$ -algebra.

Step 3.2: Construct  $\tilde{\phi}$  from  $\phi$ .

Since  $\phi$  is a map  $B \otimes B$  to  $B \ltimes M$ , we can restrict this map to  $I$  a result in a new map  $\bar{\phi} : I \rightarrow B \ltimes M$ . Notice that for  $1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$  a generator of  $I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\phi}(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1) &= \bar{\phi}(1 \otimes b) - \bar{\phi}(b \otimes 1) \\ &= (b, d'(b)) - (b, d'(1)) \\ &= (b, d'(b)) - (b, 0) \\ &= (0, d'(b))\end{aligned}$$

Thus we actually have a map  $\bar{\phi} : I \rightarrow M$ . Finally, notice that for  $(1 \otimes u - u \otimes 1)(1 \otimes v - v \otimes 1)$  a generator of  $I^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\phi}(x) &= \phi(1 \otimes u - u \otimes 1)\phi(1 \otimes v - v \otimes 1) \\ &= \sum (0, d'(u))(0, d'(v)) \\ &= \sum (0, 0) \quad \text{(Mult. in Trivial Extension)} \\ &= (0, 0)\end{aligned}$$

which shows  $\bar{\phi}$  kills of  $I^2$  and thus  $\bar{\phi}$  factors through  $I/I^2$  so that we get a map  $\tilde{\phi} : I/I^2 \rightarrow M$ .

Step 3.3: Show that  $\tilde{\phi}$  satisfies all the required properties.

For  $b \in B$ , we have that

$$\tilde{\phi}(d(b)) = \tilde{\phi}(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 + I^2) = d'(b)$$

and thus  $d' = \tilde{\phi} \circ d$ . Moreover, this map is unique since it is defined on the generators of  $I$ , namely the  $d(b)$  for  $b \in B$ .

This concludes the proof.

Materials referenced: [?], [?], [?]

□

This version of the module of Kähler Differentials generalizes well to the theory of schemes. Interested readers are referred to [?].

Our first step towards computing the module of Kähler Differentials for coordinate rings comes from a computation of the polynomial ring.

## Lemma 7.1.5

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  so that  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra. Then

$$\Omega_{B/A}^1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Bd(x_i)$$

is a finitely generated  $B$ -module.

*Proof.* I claim that  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$  has basis  $d(x_1), \dots, d(x_n)$ . We proceed by induction.

When  $n = 1$ , a general polynomial in  $A[x]$  is of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$$

for  $c_i \in A$ . Applying  $d$  subject to the conditions of quotienting gives

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i d(x^i)$$

But  $d(x^i) = xd(x^{i-1}) + x^{i-1}d(x)$ . Repeating this allows us to reduce  $d(x^i) = g_i(x)d(x)$ . Doing this for each  $x^i$  in the sum in fact gives us  $f(x) = \frac{df}{dx}d(x)$ . Thus we see that  $\Omega_{A[x]/A}^1$  is a  $A[x]$  module with basis  $d(x)$ .

Now suppose that  $\Omega_{A[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]/A}^1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} Bd(x_i)$ . Then for every  $f \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we can write the function as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^s g_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n^i$$

and then we can apply the same process again:

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=0}^s (x_n^i d(g_i) + g_i d(x_n^i))$$

except that now  $d(g_i)$  by induction hypothesis can be written in terms of the basis  $d(x_1), \dots, d(x_{n-1})$ . As a side note: by doing some multiplication, one can easily see that

$$d(f) = \sum_{i=0}^s \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} d(x_i)$$

By ??, since  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$  is a  $B$ -module, there exists a free  $B$  module  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m B$  such that the map  $\psi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}^1$  is surjective. In fact, by choosing  $m = n$  and mapping each basis  $e_i$  of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n B$  to  $d(x_i)$ , we obtain a surjective map.

Now consider the map  $\partial : B \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B$  (No calculus involved, just notation!) defined by

$$f \mapsto \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)$$

It is clear that this map is an  $A$ -derivation. By the universal property of  $\Omega_{B/A}^1$ , the derivation factors through  $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A}^1$ . This leaves us with a  $B$ -module homomorphism

$\phi : \Omega_{B/A}^1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B$  defined by

$$d(f) \mapsto \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)$$

This map is surjective. Notice that for each monomial  $x_i$  in  $B$ , we have  $\partial(x_i) = e_i$ . Since  $\partial = \phi \circ d$ ,  $d(x_i) \in \Omega_{A/k}^1$  maps to  $e_i$  and thus  $\phi$  is surjective.

It is clear that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are inverses of each other since the basis elements that they map to and from are the same.  $\square$

## 7.2 Transferring the System of Differentials

This section aims to develop the necessary machinery in order to compute the module of Kähler Differentials for coordinate rings. We will see explicit calculation of the cuspidal cubic, an ellipse and the double cone to demonstrate how the two exact sequences can be used along with the Jacobian of the defining equations of the variety to compute the module of Kähler Differentials.

### Theorem 7.2.1: First Exact Sequence

Let  $B, C$  be  $A$ -algebras and let  $\phi : B \rightarrow C$  be an  $A$ -algebra homomorphism. Then the following sequence is an exact sequence of  $C$ -modules:

$$\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B C \xrightarrow{f} \Omega_{C/A}^1 \xrightarrow{g} \Omega_{C/B}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  is defined respectively as

$$f(d_{B/A}(b) \otimes c) = c \cdot d_{C/A}(\phi(b))$$

and

$$g(d_{C/A}(c)) = d_{C/B}(c)$$

and extended linearly.

*Proof.* Denote  $d_{B/A}, d_{C/A}, d_{C/B}$  the derivations for  $\Omega_{B/A}^1, \Omega_{C/A}^1, \Omega_{C/B}^1$  respectively. Clearly  $g$  is surjective since for any  $c_1 d_{C/B}(c_2) \in \Omega_{C/B}^1$ , just choose  $c_1 d_{C/A}(c_2) \in \Omega_{C/A}^1$ . We just have to show that  $\ker(g) = \text{im}(f)$ . It is enough to show that

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{C/B}^1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{C/A}^1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B C, N)$$

is exact by ???. Using the fact that  $\text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B C, N) = \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, N)$  (??) and the fact that  $\text{Hom}(\Omega_{B/A}^1, N) \cong \text{Der}_A(B, N)$ , we can transform the sequence into

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}_B(C, N) \xrightarrow{u} \text{Der}_A(C, N) \xrightarrow{v} \text{Der}_A(B, N)$$

Notice that  $u$  is just the inclusion map and  $v$  is just the restriction map. In particular, an  $A$ -derivation is a  $B$ -derivation if and only if its restriction to  $B$  is trivial. Hence we conclude that  $\text{im}(u) = \ker(v)$ . Materials Referenced: [?], [?]  $\square$

### Theorem 7.2.2: Second Exact Sequence

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $B$  an  $A$ -algebra. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $B$  and  $C = B/I$ . Then the following sequence is an exact sequence of  $C$ -modules:

$$I/I^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B C \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{C/A}^1 \xrightarrow{f} 0$$

where  $\delta$  and  $f$  is defined respectively as

$$\delta(i + I^2) = d(i) \otimes 1$$

and

$$f(d(b) \otimes c) = c \cdot d(\phi(b))$$

and then extended linearly.

*Proof.* Notice that  $\delta$  is well defined. Indeed, if  $i + I^2 = j + I^2$ , then there exists  $h_1, h_2 \in I$  such that  $i - j = h_1 h_2$ . Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(i - j) &= d(h_1 h_2) \otimes 1 \\ &= h_1 d(h_2) \otimes 1 + h_2 d(h_1) \otimes 1 \\ &= d(h_2) \otimes h_1 + I + d(h_1) \otimes h_2 + I \\ &= d(h_2) \otimes 0 + d(h_1) \otimes 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $f$  is surjective. Indeed for any  $d(b + I) \in \Omega_{C/A}^1$ , just choose  $d(b) \otimes 1 \in \Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B C$ . Then  $f(d(b) \otimes 1) = d(b + I)$ .

It remains to show that  $\text{im}(\delta) = \ker(f)$ . Notice that to prove the exactness of the sequence in question, we just have to show the exactness of the following sequence (by ??):

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{C/A}^1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B \frac{B}{I}, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(I/I^2, N)$$

Using the fact that  $I/I^2 \cong I \otimes_B \frac{B}{I}$  (by ??) and  $\text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes_B B/I, N) = \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, N)$  (by ??) we can transform this sequence into

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(\Omega_{C/A}^1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_B(I, N)$$

and further using  $\text{Der}_A(B, N) \cong \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/A}^1, N)$  (by 7.1.2), transform into

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Der}_A(B/I, N) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Der}_A(B, N) \xrightarrow{\delta_*} \text{Hom}_B(I, N)$$

There is no need to prove the second arrow to be injective. We need to show exactness between the second and third arrow.

Notice that any  $\phi \in \text{Der}_A(B/I, N)$  can be extended naturally to an  $A$ -linear derivation from  $B$  to  $N$ : just pre-compose it with the projection map  $p : B \rightarrow B/I$ . This map is  $A$ -linear hence  $\phi \circ p$  is  $A$ -linear. Moreover,  $p$  is  $B$ -linear and  $\phi$  is a derivation so that it satisfies the Leibniz rule. Also, a natural map from  $\text{Der}_A(B, N)$  to  $\text{Hom}_B(I, N)$  is given just by restricting  $\psi \in \text{Der}_A(B, N)$  to  $I$ . The new map under restriction will naturally become a homomorphism from  $I$  to  $N$ . The kernel of the third arrow is just any derivation in  $\text{Der}_A(B, N)$  that is identically 0 on  $I$ .

But these derivations are precisely those of  $\text{Der}_A(B/I, N)$ !

□

A very nice application towards computing the module of differential forms is given by the second exact sequence. For  $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $C = \frac{B}{I=(f_1, \dots, f_r)}$ , we can use ?? to see that  $\Omega_{B/A}^1 \otimes C \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C dx_i$ . By the second exact sequence 7.2.2, we see that

$$\Omega_{C/A}^1 \cong \text{coker} \left( \frac{I}{I^2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n C dx_i \right)$$

Since  $I/I^2$  is a  $C$ -module, by ?? there exists a surjective map  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m C de_i \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ . In fact  $m = r$  since  $I$  is finitely generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  and thus the map sends  $e_i$  to  $f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .



Now consider the map

$$J : \bigoplus_{i=1}^r Cde_i \rightarrow \frac{I}{I^2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Cdx_i$$

This is a map from a free module of rank  $r$  to a free module of rank  $n$ . So we can write this in an  $n \times r$  matrix. Since the map  $I/I^2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Cdx_i$  sends  $f_i$  to  $d(f_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_k} dx_k$  (by second exact sequence 7.2.2) and  $e_i$  is sent  $f_i$ , we have that  $J$  is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, since  $\text{im}(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) = \text{im}(B \rightarrow C)$ , we thus have

$$\text{coker}(J) \cong \Omega_{C/A}^1$$

which means that  $\Omega_{C/A}^1$  is just the cokernel of the matrix. This exposition can be found in [?].

### 7.3 Characterization for Separability

The module of Kähler differentials give a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite extension to be separable. Before the main proposition, we will need a lemma.

#### Lemma 7.3.1

Let  $L/K$  be a finite field extension and  $\Omega_{L/K}^1$  the module of Kähler Differentials. Let  $f(b) = c_0 + c_1b + \cdots + c_nb^n \in L$  for  $c_0, \dots, c_n \in K$  and  $b \in L$ . Then  $d(f(b)) = f'(b)d(b)$  where  $f'(b)$  is the derivative of  $f(b)$  with respect to  $b$  in the sense of calculus.

*Proof.* Since  $f(b)$  is a finite sum, we apply linearity and Leibniz rule of  $d$  to get

$$f'(b) = d(c_0) + bd(c_1) + c_1d(b) + \cdots + b^nd(c_n) + c_nd(b^n)$$

Since each  $c_0, \dots, c_n \in K$ , we obtain  $f'(b) = c_1d(b) + \cdots + c_n \cdot nb^{n-1}d(b)$ . Thus factoring out  $d(b)$  in the sum, we obtain precisely the standard derivative in calculus, and that  $d(f(b)) = f'(b)d(b)$  □

#### Proposition 7.3.2

Let  $K$  be a field and  $L/K$  a finite field extension. Then  $L/K$  is separable if and only if  $\Omega_{L/K}^1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L/K$  is separable. Suppose that  $b \in L$  has minimal polynomial  $f \in K[x]$ .  $f$  is separable since  $L/K$  is separable. By 7.3.1, we have that  $d(f(b)) = f'(b)d(b)$ . But the fact that  $f$  is separable implies that  $f'(b) \neq 0$ . At the same time we have  $f(b) = 0$  since  $f$  is the minimal polynomial of  $b$ . This implies that  $d(f(b)) = 0$  in  $\Omega_{L/K}^1 = 0$ . Since  $L$  is a field, and  $f'(b) \neq 0$ , we must have  $d(b) = 0$  for all  $b \in L$ . This means that  $\Omega_{L/K}^1 = 0$ .

If  $L/K$  is inseparable, then there exists an intermediate field  $E$  such that  $L/E$  is a simple inseparable extension. Since  $L/K$  is finite,  $L/E$  is finite and thus is algebraic which means that there exists some polynomial  $p \in E[t]$  for which  $L = \frac{E[t]}{(p(t))}$ . In this case, we have already seen that

$$\Omega_{L/E}^1 \cong \frac{Ldt}{(p'(t)dt)} \cong \frac{L}{(p'(t))}$$

Since  $p'(t) = 0$ , we have that  $\Omega_{L/E}^1 \cong L \neq 0$ . By the first exact sequence 7.2.1, we have that  $\Omega_{L/K}^1$  maps surjectively onto  $\Omega_{L/E}^1 \neq 0$  which proves that  $\Omega_{L/K}^1$  is non-zero.  
 Materials referenced: [?], [?] □

This gives a very nice characterization of separability. Readers can find more in [?] and [?]. To extend this equivalence under the assumption that  $L/K$  is algebraic instead of finite, one can show that  $\Omega^1$  preserves colimits in the sense in [?]. Namely that the functor  $F : \text{Algebra}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_T$  from the category of  $R$ -algebra to the category of  $T$ -modules where  $T$  is a colimit of a diagram in the category of  $R$ -algebra preserves colimits. Then observe that an algebraic extension is the colimit of the finite subextensions.

Analogous to the above result, there is a similar proposition for  $\text{Der}_K(L)$  for when  $L/K$  is algebraic and separable. This is given by [?].

### Proposition 7.3.3

Let  $L/K$  be an algebraic field extension that is separable. Then  $\text{Der}_K(L) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D \in \text{Der}_K(L)$ . If  $a \in L$ , let  $p$  be the minimal polynomial of  $a$ . Then

$$0 = D(p(a)) = p'(a)D(a)$$

by 7.3.1. Since  $p$  is separable over  $K$ ,  $p'(a) \neq 0$ . Thus  $D(a) = 0$  and so we are done.

Materials referenced: [?] □

This proposition will be of use at ??.

## 8 The Picard Group of an Integral Domain

### 8.1 The Picard Group

#### Definition 8.1.1: The Picard Group of a Ring

Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Define the picard group of  $R$  to be the set

$$\text{Pic}(R) = \{I \subseteq R \mid I \text{ is invertible}\} / \sim$$

where  $I \sim J$  if  $I$  and  $J$  are isomorphic as  $R$ -modules, together with binary operation given by tensor products.

#### Lemma 8.1.2

Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $R$  is a UFD, then  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is trivial.