

Topological Manifolds

Labix

April 12, 2024

Abstract

Contents

1	Topological Manifolds and Singular Homology	3
1.1	Orientability	3
1.2	Fundamental Class	4
2	The Theory of Surfaces	6
2.1	The Homology of Surfaces	6
2.2	The Euler Characteristic	6
3	Homology and Cohomology on Manifolds	7
3.1	de Rham Cohomology	7
3.2	de Rham Cohomology of Common Manifolds	8
4	Poincare Duality	9
4.1	The Cap Product	9
4.2	Cohomology with Compact Support	9
4.3	The Duality Theorem	9

1 Topological Manifolds and Singular Homology

1.1 Orientability

Recall the notion of orientation in finite dimensional vector bases. We say that two bases of a vector space have the same orientation if the change of basis matrix has determinant greater than 0. Since topological manifolds locally look like finite-dimensional vector spaces, we expect that orientations can be generalized to manifolds.

The key observation in defining orientation through homology is the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1.1

Let M be a k -dimensional topological manifold and $x \in M$ a point. Then

$$H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) \cong H_n(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{*\}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = k \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq k \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1.2: Local Orientation

A local orientation of M at x is a choice of generator of $H_k(M, M \setminus \{x\})$.

Let U be a chart on a topological manifold M and that $B \subseteq M$ is such that on the chart U , B is an open / closed ball $B_r(z)$. For convention, we give a name to subsets of these type.

Definition 1.1.3: Open and Closed Ball in Manifolds

Let M be a k -dimensional topological manifold and U a chart of M . We say that B is an open / closed ball if under the homeomorphism of the chart $U \cong \mathbb{R}^k$, the image of B is a ball $B_r(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Notice that the inclusion $(M, M \setminus B) \hookrightarrow (M, M \setminus \{y\})$ induces a map in homology:

$$H_k(M, M \setminus B) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_k(M, M \setminus \{y\})$$

It is an isomorphism since B is homeomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{R}^k which is contractible. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 1.1.4: Consistent Local Orientations

Let $(\omega_y)_{y \in B}$ be a family of local orientations. We say that it is consistent if there is a generator $\omega_B \in H_k(M, M \setminus B)$ such that $\omega_B \mapsto \omega_y$ for each $y \in B$ under the isomorphism

$$H_k(M, M \setminus B) \cong H_k(M, M \setminus \{y\})$$

With this, we can now formally define orientations in a manifold.

Definition 1.1.5: Orientation of a Manifold

Let M be a k -dimensional topological manifold. An orientation of M is a function $x \mapsto \omega_x \in H_k(M, M \setminus \{x\})$ assigning every point to a local orientation such that for every $x \in M$, there exists $B \subseteq U$ a subset of a chart U for B homeomorphic to an open / closed ball in \mathbb{R}^k , for $(\omega_x)_{x \in B}$ a consistent local orientation.

Since $H_k(M, M \setminus \{x\})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , this means that there are only two possible choices of distinct orientation classes for each point $x \in M$.

Definition 1.1.6: Orientation Bundle

Let M be a topological manifold. Define the orientation bundle \widetilde{M} to be the set of pairs

$$\widetilde{M} = \{(x, \omega_x) \mid x \in M, \omega_x \in H_k(M, M \setminus \{x\})\}$$

together the projection map $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ defined by $\pi(x, \omega_x) = x$ and with the topology defined as follows.

Let B be an open ball in M . Since there are exactly two distinct orientation classes on B , $\pi^{-1} = B_+ \amalg B_-$. Define the topology of \widetilde{M} to be generated by sets of the form B_+ and B_- .

Lemma 1.1.7

For any topological manifold M , \widetilde{M} is a manifold. Moreover, it is orientable with a canonical orientation.

Lemma 1.1.8

Giving an orientation of M is equivalent to giving a continuous map $s : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ such that $s \circ \pi = \text{id}$ (section of the orientation bundle).

Proof. Let $s : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be continuous and that $s \circ \pi = \text{id}$. Then s assigns a orientation ω_x to each $x \in M$. The map is continuous if and only if for each open ball in M and $\pi^{-1}(B) = B_+ \amalg B_-$, the preimages $s^{-1}(B_+)$ and $s^{-1}(B_-)$ are both open in B . Since these two preimages are disjoint and jointly cover B , this condition is equivalent $s(B) = B_+$ or $s(B) = B_-$. This precisely means that the local orientations are consistent. \square

Corollary 1.1.9

Let M be a connected topological manifold. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a non-trivial 2-sheeted cover and M is non-orientable
- $\widetilde{M} \cong M \amalg M$ and M admits precisely two orientations

Corollary 1.1.10

Any simply connected manifold is orientable.

1.2 Fundamental Class**Proposition 1.2.1**

Let M be a connected compact smooth manifold of dimension n . If M is orientable then $H_n(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise $H_n(M) = 0$.

Definition 1.2.2: Fundamental Class

Let M be a connected compact orientable smooth manifold of dimension n . A fundamental class for M is a generator for the top homology

$$H_n(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

Recall that S^k and $\partial\Delta^{k+1}$ are homeomorphic.

Proposition 1.2.3

The cycle $\partial\Delta^{k+1} \in C_k(\partial\Delta^{k+1})$ represents a generator in for the top homology of S^k .

Corollary 1.2.4

Let S_+^k and S_-^k be the northern and southern hemisphere of S^k respectively. Choose homomorphisms

$$\sigma_+ : \Delta^k \xrightarrow{\cong} S_+^k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_- : \Delta^k \xrightarrow{\cong} S_-^k$$

such that both σ_+, σ_- map the boundary $\partial\Delta^k$ homeomorphically onto the equator $S_+^k \cap S_-^k$ and the composition

$$\partial\Delta^k \xrightarrow{\sigma_+} S_+^k \cap S_-^k \xrightarrow{(\sigma_-)^{-1}} S_-^k$$

is the identity. Then the cycle $\sigma_+ - \sigma_- \in C_k(S^k)$ represents a fundamental class for S^k .

2 The Theory of Surfaces

2.1 The Homology of Surfaces

Recall that a compact surface is a connected topological manifold of dimension 2 that is compact. Moreover, every compact surface is homeomorphic to either $\Sigma_g = \mathbb{T} \# \cdots \# \mathbb{T}$ for $g \geq 0$ or $N_h = \mathbb{RP}^2 \# \cdots \# \mathbb{RP}^2$ for $h \geq 1$. We can now compute the homology groups of these surfaces and moreover, show that Σ_g is orientable while N_h is not.

Proposition 2.1.1

Let $g \geq 0$. The homology of the g -holed torus Σ_g is given by

$$H_n(\Sigma_g) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0, 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{2g} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.1.2

The surfaces Σ_g for $g \geq 0$ is orientable.

Proposition 2.1.3

Let $h \geq 1$. The homology of N_h is given by

$$H_n(N_h) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}^{h-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.1.4

The surfaces N_h for $h \geq 1$ is non-orientable.

2.2 The Euler Characteristic

3 Homology and Cohomology on Manifolds

3.1 de Rham Cohomology

Proposition 3.1.1

Let M be a smooth manifold. Then differential forms of M , $\Omega^0(M), \dots, \Omega^n(M), \dots$ together with the exterior derivative $d : \Omega^n(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(M)$ form a cochain complex.

Definition 3.1.2

Let M be a smooth manifold. Define the de Rham cohomology groups of M to be the cohomology of the chain of differential forms:

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(M; \mathbb{R}) = H^n(\Omega^\bullet(M); \mathbb{R})$$

Proposition 3.1.3

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n . Then the following are true for the de Rham cohomology of M .

- $H_{\text{dR}}^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a vector space over \mathbb{R} for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- For $r > n$ we have $H_{\text{dR}}^r(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$
- If M has m connected components then $H_{\text{dR}}^0(M; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^m$

Theorem 3.1.4

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n . Then the direct sum

$$H^*(M) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n H_{\text{dR}}^k(M; \mathbb{R})$$

is an \mathbb{R} -algebra where multiplication defined by $a \wedge b \in H_{\text{dR}}^{s+l}(M; \mathbb{R})$ for $a \in H_{\text{dR}}^s(M; \mathbb{R})$ and $b \in H_{\text{dR}}^l(M; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, this multiplication is anti-commutative, namely for $a \in H_{\text{dR}}^s(M; \mathbb{R})$ and $b \in H_{\text{dR}}^l(M; \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$a \wedge b = (-1)^{sl} b \wedge a$$

Proposition 3.1.5

Let M, N be smooth manifolds and $f : M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map. Then f induces an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$f^* : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^*(M)$$

such that $f^*(a \wedge b) = f^*(a) \wedge f^*(b)$. Moreover, it is functorial:

- If $g : N \rightarrow K$ is another smooth map of manifolds, then $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$
- If $\text{id} : M \rightarrow M$ is the identity map on the manifold, then $\text{id}^* : H^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ is the trivial map on \mathbb{R} -algebras.

Theorem 3.1.6: Homotopy Invariance of de Rham Cohomology

Let $f : M \times I \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map of manifolds varying for each $t \in I = [0, 1]$. Write $f_t(x) = f(x, t)$. Then the pull back maps $f_0^*, f_1^* : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ are equal:

$$f_0^* = f_1^*$$

3.2 de Rham Cohomology of Common Manifolds

Proposition 3.2.1

The real space \mathbb{R}^n has the de Rham cohomology

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2.2

The n -sphere S^n has the de Rham cohomology

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 0, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.2.3

Let $p, q \geq 1$, the sphere S^{p+q} is not diffeomorphic to any $M \times N$ manifolds where $\dim(M) = p$ and $\dim(N) = q$.

Proposition 3.2.4

Every smooth vector fields on S^{2n} vanishes at some point of the sphere.

Proposition 3.2.5

The real projective space \mathbb{RP}^n has the de Rham cohomology

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(\mathbb{RP}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ or } k = n \text{ where } n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4 Poincare Duality

4.1 The Cap Product

Definition 4.1.1: The Cap Product

Let $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_k] \in C_k(X)$ and $\phi \in C^l(X)$ where $k \geq l$ with coefficients in a ring R . Define the cap product to be

$$\sigma \frown \phi = \phi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_l]})\sigma|_{[v_l, \dots, v_k]} \in C_{k-l}(X)$$

Lemma 4.1.2

The cap product $\frown: C_k(X) \times C^l(X) \rightarrow C_{k-l}(X)$ with coefficients in a ring R induces a cap product in homology $\frown: H_k(X) \times H^l(X, R) \rightarrow H_{k-l}(X)$ for $k \geq l$.

4.2 Cohomology with Compact Support

4.3 The Duality Theorem

Theorem 4.3.1: Poincare Duality

Let M be a compact and oriented topological n -manifold. Then the homomorphism

$$D: H^p(M) \rightarrow H_{n-p}(M)$$

is an isomorphism.