

Sheaf Theory

Labix

March 7, 2025

Abstract

Contents

1	The General Theory of Sheaves	3
1.1	Basic Definition of Presheaves	3
1.2	Basic Definition of Sheaves	5
1.3	Sheaves with Values in a Category	7
2	Abelian Sheaves and its Category	9
2.1	Subsheaves of a Sheaf	9
2.2	Image Sheaves	9
2.3	The Category of Sheaves	10
2.4	Adjunction of the Image Functors	11
2.5	Ringed Spaces	12
3	Modules over a Sheaf	14
3.1	The Category of \mathcal{O}_X -Modules	14
3.2	Locally Free Sheaves	15
3.3	Invertible Sheaves	15
3.4	Quasi-Coherent Sheaves	16
3.5	Coherent Sheaves	16
4	Sheaf Cohomology	18
4.1	The Global Section Functor	18
4.2	Flasque Sheaves	19
4.3	Sheaves on Noetherian Spaces	20
4.4	Čech Cohomology	20
5	Sites, Sieves and Grothendieck Topologies	22
5.1	Sites on a Category	22
6	Sheaf Cohomology of Sites	23
6.1	Sheaves on Sites	23
6.2	Sheaf Cohomology of Sites	23
7	Etale Cohomology	24

1 The General Theory of Sheaves

1.1 Basic Definition of Presheaves

As with how we equipped to each variety V its coordinate ring $k[V]$ which are functions on V , we want to equip to each spectrum some ring which are functions on them. It will not make sense that we can define functions on spectrums immediately.

In its full generality, sheaves are designed to encode all local information into one compact notation. It often has uses in complex geometry for its differential forms and smooth functions. In algebraic geometry it is fundamental for redefining the notion of a variety.

Definition 1.1.1: The Category of Open Sets

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Define the category $\mathbf{Open}(X)$ to consist of the following data.

- The objects $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{Open}(X)) = \mathcal{T}$ are the open sets of X
- For $U, V \subseteq X$ two open sets of X , the morphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Open}(X)}(U, V) = \begin{cases} \{\iota : U \hookrightarrow V \text{ the inclusion map}\} & \text{if } U \subseteq V \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Composition is given as the composition of functions.

Recall from Category Theory 1 that a presheaf on \mathcal{C} is just a contravariant functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. Using the category of open sets we can now define presheaves on spaces.

Definition 1.1.2: Presheaves on a Space

Let X be a space. A presheaf on X is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

with potentially additional structures on the sets such as **Grp**, **Ring** etc.

Explicitly, a presheaf consists of the following data.

- A function

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

This means each open set U of X gets associated with a set, potentially with additional structures (groups / rings). Each individual element of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is called a section. Each element of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is instead called a global section

- For each inclusion of open sets $V \subseteq U$, there exists a restriction map $\text{res}_{V,U} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ satisfying
 - $\text{res}_{U,U} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ is the identity
 - Whenever $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$, then $\text{res}_{W,V} \circ \text{res}_{V,U} = \text{res}_{W,U}$

The reason that the map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ is called a restriction is that we will soon see that elements of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are actually functions over some ring or field.

Notation: we often use $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ to denote the set $\mathcal{F}(U)$ and $s|_V$ to denote $\text{res}_{U,V}(s)$ for $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$.

Given a space X and a point $p \in X$, the neighbourhoods of p form a diagram in $\mathbf{Open}(X)$. Instead of going through the categorical definition, we write the colimit of $F : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ under this

diagram as

$$\operatorname{colim}_{V_p} \mathcal{F}(V_p)$$

denoting that the V_p varies by restriction.

Definition 1.1.3: Stalks and Germs

Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf on a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) . Let $p \in X$. Consider the full subcategory \mathcal{J}_p of $\mathbf{Open}(X)$ consisting of open sets in X that contain p . Define the stalk of \mathcal{F} at p to be the colimit

$$\mathcal{F}_{X,p} = \operatorname{colim}_{V_p} \mathcal{F}(V_p)$$

Lemma 1.1.4

Let X be a space and let $F : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ be a presheaf on X . Then for any $p \in X$, the stalk at p is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{X,p} = \{(U, s) \mid x \in U \subset X \text{ open}, s \in \mathcal{F}(U)\} / \sim$$

where we say that $(U_1, s_1) \sim (U_2, s_2)$ if there exists some $V \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$ open such that $\operatorname{res}_{V,U_1}(s_1) = \operatorname{res}_{V,U_2}(s_2)$.

Think of the definition of stalks as follows: Treat f and g to be sections in $\mathcal{F}(U_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}(U_2)$ where $V \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$ is open and contains x . Then we think of f and g to be the same function in the stalk as long as they agree on some open set that contains x .

Indeed, since we do not care about the entirety of the domain of f and g , and only care about what happens locally near x , it makes sense for us to treat them as a function when they appear to be the same locally.

Definition 1.1.5: Morphism of Presheaves

Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be presheaves on X . A morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ consists of a collection of morphism of sets (groups, rings, etc)

$$\phi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$$

for each open set U such that if $V \subseteq U$ is an inclusion, the following digram commutes, where ρ, ρ' are restriction maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi(U)} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \downarrow \operatorname{res}_{V,U} & & \downarrow \operatorname{res}_{V,U} \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\phi(V)} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array}$$

An isomorphism of presheaves is just a morphism of presheaves such that each $\phi(U)$ is an isomorphism. In other words, morphism of presheaves is just a natural transformation between \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} .

Notice that the natural transformation ϕ here takes every open set U and maps it to a group homomorphism $\phi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ if \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are presheaves with values in \mathbf{Grp} .

1.2 Basic Definition of Sheaves

Definition 1.2.1: Sheaves

Let X be a space. A sheaf on X is a presheaf $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Open} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ satisfying two additional properties

- Identity: If $\{U_i | i \in I\}$ is an open cover of U and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $\phi_1|_{U_i} = \phi_2|_{U_i}$ for all i , then $\phi_1 = \phi_2$
- Gluing: If $\{U_i | i \in I\}$ is an open cover of U and $\phi_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ for all $i \in I$ such that $\phi_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \phi_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for all $i, j \in I$, then there exists some $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $\phi|_{U_i} = \phi_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Definition 1.2.2: Abelian Sheaves

Let X be a space. An abelian sheaf on X is a presheaf

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

such that the underlying presheaf of sets $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a sheaf.

Proposition 1.2.3

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on a topological space X . Then ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if the induced map on the stalk $\phi_p : \mathcal{F}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ is an isomorphism for all $p \in X$.

Proof. Suppose that ϕ is an isomorphism of sheaves. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{F}_{X,p} & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow \exists! a & \nwarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}(U) & & \mathcal{G}_{X,p} & & \mathcal{F}(V) \\
 \downarrow \phi(U) & \nearrow & \nwarrow & \downarrow \phi(V) & \\
 \mathcal{G}(U) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{V,U}} & \mathcal{G}(V) & &
 \end{array}$$

which is due to the fact that $\phi(U)$ and $\phi(V)$ are isomorphisms. This unique map $a : \mathcal{G}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X,p}$ exists by the universal property of the colimit. Similarly, we can construct a map $b : \mathcal{F}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{X,p}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{X,p}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ are colimits, the unique map from $\mathcal{F}_{X,p}$ to itself must be the identity. Hence $a \circ b$ is the identity. Similarly, $b \circ a$ is also the identity hence $\mathcal{F}_{X,p}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ are isomorphic.

Now suppose that ϕ_p is an isomorphism for each $p \in X$. We show that $\phi(U)$ is bijective for all $U \subseteq X$ open. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $\phi(U)(s) = 0$. Then for each $p \in U$, $\phi(U)(s)$ considered as an element in $\mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ is equal to 0. Since ϕ_p is injective, $s = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}_{X,p}$. This means that there exists an open neighbourhood $V_p \subseteq U$ of p such that $s|_{V_p} = 0$ for each $p \in U$. Since U is covered by the open neighbourhoods V_p for $p \in U$, by the identity axiom we conclude that $s = 0$ in $\phi(U)$. Thus ϕ is injective.

Now let $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$. Let t_p be the corresponding element of t in $\mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ for each $p \in U$. Since ϕ_p is surjective, there exists $s_p \in \mathcal{F}_{X,p}$ such that $\phi_p(s_p) = t_p$ for each $p \in U$. Suppose that on a neighbourhood V_p of p , s_p is represented as r_p . Then $\phi(r_p) \in \mathcal{G}(V_p)$ and $t|_{V_p}$ are two elements whose germs in $\mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ are equal. Hence there exists $W_p \subseteq V_p$ containing p such that $\phi(r_p) = t|_{W_p}$ in $\mathcal{G}(W_p)$. Now U is covered by open sets of the form W_p for each $p \in U$. Let $p \in W_p$ and $q \in W_q$. Then both $r_p|_{W_p \cap W_q}$ and $r_q|_{W_p \cap W_q}$ are two sections in $\mathcal{F}(W_p \cap W_q)$ that are sent to $t|_{W_p \cap W_q} \in \mathcal{G}(W_p \cap W_q)$ by $\phi(W_p \cap W_q)$. By injectivity, they are equal. We conclude

that

$$r_p|_{W_p \cap W_q} = r_q|_{W_p \cap W_q}$$

By the gluing axiom, we conclude that there exists a section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{W_p} = r_p$. Now $\phi(s)$ and t are two sections in $\mathcal{G}(U)$ such that for any $p \in U$, $\phi(s)|_{W_p} = t|_{W_p}$. By the identity axiom, we conclude that $\phi(s) = t$. \square

Note that the above proposition is very untrue for presheaves because as one can see, proving both injective and surjective requires to use of the sheaf axioms instead of just the presheaf datum.

Definition 1.2.4: Sheafification

Let X be a space and let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf on X . We say that a sheaf \mathcal{F}^+ is the sheafification of \mathcal{F} if there exists a morphism of presheaves $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ such that the following universal property.

If \mathcal{G} is a sheaf such that there is a morphism of presheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, there exists a unique morphism of sheaves $\psi : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{F}^+ \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \exists! \psi \\ & & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.2.5

Let X be a space and \mathcal{F} a presheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F}^+ exists and is unique up to isomorphism. Explicitly, \mathcal{F}^+ can be defined as follows.

- For each open set $U \subseteq X$,

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \bigcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall p \in U, s(p) \in \mathcal{F}_{X,p} \text{ and } \exists V_p \subseteq U \text{ and} \\ t \in \mathcal{F}(V_p) \text{ s.t. } \forall q \in V_p, s(q) = t_q \in \mathcal{F}_{X,q} \end{array} \right\}$$

- For each $V \subseteq U$ an inclusion, there is a unique morphism $\mathcal{F}^+(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+(V)$ that sends $s \in U$ to its restriction

$$s|_V : V \rightarrow \bigcup_{p \in V} \mathcal{F}_{X,p}$$

We end the section with a formula to construct a sheaf given its values on just the basis of a space.

Theorem 1.2.6

Let X be a topological space. Let \mathcal{B} be the basis of X . Suppose that \mathcal{F}_0 is a sheaf defined on the basis \mathcal{B} of X . Then the natural extension to open sets U by

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \left\{ (s_i)_i \in \prod_i \mathcal{F}_0(B_i) \mid B_i \in \mathcal{B}, B_i \subseteq U, s_i|_{B_i \cap B_j} = s_j|_{B_i \cap B_j} \right\} = \varprojlim_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B} \\ B \subseteq U}} \mathcal{F}(B)$$

defines a sheaf for X .

Proof.

\square

This means that sheaves are uniquely determined by their values in the basis of X . We can simply define the sheaf on the basis elements and by this natural extension, a sheaf will be defined for all of X .

1.3 Sheaves with Values in a Category

Presheaves are easily generalized to take values in an arbitrary category because they are simply defined to be contravariant functors.

Definition 1.3.1: Presheaves with Values in a Category

Let X be a space. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A presheaf on X with values in \mathcal{C} is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

However, it is hard to define sheaves with values on an arbitrary category \mathcal{C} . This is because in our definition of sheaves on sets, we made use of the fact that morphisms in \mathbf{Set} have a well defined notion of restriction. We will define sheaves on a general category by first considering sheaves with an underlying set.

Theorem 1.3.2

Let X be a space. Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf with values in \mathbf{Set} . Then the following are equivalent characterizations.

- \mathcal{F} is a sheaf
- For any open covering $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \xrightarrow{g} \prod_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

where f is given by the product of the unique maps $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ and g is given by sending $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to $(s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} - s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$ is exact.

- For any open covering $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$, the following diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \xrightleftharpoons[h]{g} \prod_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is an equalizer diagram, where f is given by the product of the unique maps $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$, g is given by sending $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to $(s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$, h is given by sending $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to $(s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$.

Proof.

We first note that the second and third condition are equivalent. Therefore we just have to show that the first condition is equivalent to the third one. Let \mathcal{F} be an abelian sheaf. We want to show that $\text{im}(f) = \{x \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \mid g(x) = h(x)\}$. Therefore we begin with $x \in \text{im}(f)$. Then $f(x) = (x_i)_{i \in I}$. But we have that $g((x_i)_{i \in I}) = (x_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$ and $h((x_i)_{i \in I}) = (x_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$. We want to show that for all $i, j \in I$, we have that $x_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = x_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$. But x_i is just the restriction of x to U_i and x_j is the restriction of x to U_j . But by definition of a sheaf, restricting to V_i and further to V_{ij} is the same as directly restricting to V_{ij} . Similarly, restricting to V_j and further to V_{ij} is the same as directly restricting to V_{ij} . We conclude that applying g and h to $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ give the same element. Thus $x \in \{x \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \mid g(x) = h(x)\}$.

Now let $(y_i)_{i \in I} \in \{x \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \mid g(x) = h(x)\}$. This means that $y_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = y_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for all $i, j \in I$. By the gluing axiom, there exists $y \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $y|_{U_i} = y_i$. Thus y is in the image of f and we conclude that $y \in \text{im}(f)$.

Finally, we also need to show that f is injective. Suppose that $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ is such that $x_i = y_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then by the identity axiom we conclude that $x = y$. Thus f is injective. Thus the equalizer condition is satisfied.

Now suppose that the equalizer condition is satisfied. Then f is injective. This means that for $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $x_i = y_i$ for all $i \in I$, then $x = y$. But this is precisely the identity

axiom. Suppose that $\text{im}(f) = \text{Eq}(g, h)$. Let $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that

$$s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = g(s_i) = h(s_i) = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

for all $i, j \in I$. Then $(s_i)_{i \in I} \in \text{Eq}(g, h) = \text{im}(f)$. Which means that there exists some $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$. But this is the content of the gluing axiom. Since the identity and the gluing axiom are satisfied, we conclude that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf. \square

Motivated by the above characterization, we define sheaves with values on a category with finite products as follows.

Definition 1.3.3: Sheaves with Values in a Category

Let X be a space. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite products. Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf on X with values in \mathcal{C} . We say that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf with values in \mathcal{C} if for every open covering $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$, the following diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \xrightleftharpoons[h]{g} \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is an equalizer diagram, where f is given by the product of the unique maps $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$, g is given by sending $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to $(s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i, j \in I}$, h is given by sending $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to $(s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i, j \in I}$.

2 Abelian Sheaves and its Category

2.1 Subsheaves of a Sheaf

Definition 2.1.1: Subsheaf

Let X be a space. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of groups. A subsheaf of \mathcal{F} is a sheaf \mathcal{F}' such that for every open set $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{F}'(U)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and that the restriction maps of the sheaf \mathcal{F}' are induced by those of \mathcal{F} .

It follows directly from the definition that for any point P , the stalk \mathcal{F}'_P is a subgroup of \mathcal{F}_P .

Definition 2.1.2: Kernel of a Presheaves

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves. Define the presheaf kernel of ϕ to be the presheaf given by

$$U \rightarrow \ker(\phi(U))$$

Notice that the definitions here make sense because essentially $\phi(U)$ is a group (ring) homomorphism if the presheaf we are working with is a presheaf of groups or rings.

Proposition 2.1.3

The presheaf kernel of a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2.1.4: The Image Presheaf

Let X be a space and let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of presheaves. Define the image presheaf

$$\text{im}(\phi) : \mathbf{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

of ϕ as follows.

- For $U \subseteq X$ an open set, $\text{im}(\phi)(U) = \text{im}(\phi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U))$
- For $V \subseteq U$ an inclusion, there is a unique morphism $\text{im}(\phi)(U) \rightarrow \text{im}(\phi)(V)$ given by the restriction of the morphism $\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V)$ to the set $\text{im}(\phi)(U) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(U)$.

2.2 Image Sheaves

Definition 2.2.1: Direct Image Sheaf

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of topological spaces. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X . Define the direct image sheaf on Y as follows. For every open set $V \subseteq Y$, define

$$f_*\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))$$

This means that $f_*\mathcal{F}$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(V) & \xleftarrow{f^{-1}} & V \\ \mathcal{F} \downarrow & \searrow f_*\mathcal{F} & \\ \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.2.2

The direct image sheaf on Y is indeed a sheaf on Y .

Proof. The proof is direct since \mathcal{F} is already a sheaf itself and we are only taking sparser open sets than open sets in X . \square

Definition 2.2.3: Inverse Image Sheaf

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of topological spaces. Let \mathcal{G} be a presheaf on Y . Define the inverse image sheaf on X as follows. For every open set $U \subseteq X$, define

$$f^+\mathcal{G}(U) = \lim_{\substack{V \supseteq f(U) \\ V \subseteq Y \text{ open}}} \mathcal{G}(V)$$

The sheaffication of $f^+\mathcal{G}$, $f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ is called the inverse image sheaf of \mathcal{G} under f .

Note: The direct image sheaf and inverse image sheaf are adjoint functors. Goertz Wedhorn P.55.

2.3 The Category of Sheaves**Definition 2.3.1: The Category of Sheaves of Abelian Groups**

Let X be a topological space. The category of sheaves of abelian groups is the category $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ where

- The objects of $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ are the sheaves on X
- Given two sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} on X , a morphism from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{G} is a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ which is just a natural transformation
- Composition is given by the composition of natural transformations

Theorem 2.3.2

Let X be a space. Then the category $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ of sheaves of abelian groups is an abelian category.

Since $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ is an abelian category, all kinds of limiting objects can be formed. This includes kernels, cokernels and direct sums. Moreover, we can now talk about morphism of sheaves that are injective and surjective.

Lemma 2.3.3

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves. Then the categorical kernel and cokernel of ϕ is canonically isomorphic to the sheaves $\ker(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(\phi)$.

Proposition 2.3.4

Let X be a space. Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Then the following are true.

- $\ker(\phi)_{X,p} = \ker(\phi_p)$
- $\operatorname{im}(\phi)_{X,p} = \operatorname{im}(\phi_p)$

Proof. \square

Proposition 2.3.5

Let X be a space. Let $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Denote $\phi_p : \mathcal{F}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ the induced map on stalks. Then the following are true.

- ϕ is injective if and only if ϕ_p is injective
- ϕ is surjective if and only if ϕ_p is surjective

Proposition 2.3.6

Let X be a topological space. Let \mathcal{F}^i be a collection of sheaves on X . The cochain complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\phi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{\phi^i} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact in $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ if and only if for every $p \in X$ the corresponding sequence of stalks

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X,p}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_p^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}_{X,p}^i \xrightarrow{\phi_p^i} \mathcal{F}_{X,p}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. Suppose that we are given an exact sequence of sheaves $\phi^i : \mathcal{F}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i+1}$. Then we have that $\text{im}(\phi^{i-1}) = \ker(\phi^i)$. This passes to the stalks hence $\text{im}(\phi^{i-1})_{X,p} = \ker(\phi^i)_{X,p}$. By the above proposition above, we conclude that $\text{im}(\phi_p^{i-1}) = \ker(\phi_p^i)$ hence there is an exact sequence of stalks.

Now suppose that there is an exact sequence of stalks $\phi_p^i : \mathcal{F}_{X,p}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X,p}^{i+1}$. Then similarly we have that

$$\ker(\phi_p^i)_{X,p} = \ker(\phi_p^i) = \text{im}(\phi_p^{i-1}) = \text{im}(\phi^{i-1})_{X,p}$$

We conclude that this gives $\ker(\phi^i) = \text{im}(\phi^{i-1})$ by 1.1.6. \square

2.4 Adjunction of the Image Functors

Definition 2.4.1: Direct Image Functor

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of spaces. Define the direct image functor

$$f_* : \mathbf{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(Y)$$

as follows.

- f_* sends a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X to the direct image sheaf $f_*\mathcal{F}$ on Y
- For a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ on X , f_* sends it to the morphism $f_*(\phi) : f_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{G}$ defined by the components

$$(f_*\mathcal{F}(V) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))) \xrightarrow{\phi(f^{-1}(V))} (\mathcal{G}(f^{-1}(V)) = f_*\mathcal{G}(V))$$

for each $V \subseteq Y$ open.

Definition 2.4.2: Inverse Image Functor

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map of spaces. Define the inverse image functor

$$f^{-1} : \mathbf{Ab}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(X)$$

as follows.

- f^{-1} sends a sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y to the inverse image sheaf $f^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ on X

- For a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ on X , f^{-1} sends it to the morphism $f^{-1}(\phi) : f^{-1}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ defined by ???

Theorem 2.4.3

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Then the inverse image functor f^{-1} is left adjoint

$$f^{-1} : \mathbf{Ab}(Y) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Ab}(X) : f_*$$

to the direct image functor f_* . Explicitly, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(X)}(f^{-1}(-), -) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}(Y)}(-, f_*(-))$$

This immediately implies the following:

Corollary 2.4.4

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map. Then the functor f_* is left exact, and the functor f^{-1} is right exact.

Theorem 2.4.5

Let X be a topological space. Then the category $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ has enough injectives.

2.5 Ringed Spaces

Definition 2.5.1: Ringed Space

A ringed space is a topological space X together with a sheaf of rings on X . A locally ringed space is a ringed space X where all stalks are local rings.

Definition 2.5.2: Residue Fields

Let X be a locally ringed space. Let $p \in X$. Define the residue field of p to be

$$k(p) = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X,p}}{m}$$

where m is the unique maximal ideal of the local ring.

Definition 2.5.3: Morphisms of Ringed Spaces

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) be ringed spaces. A morphism of ringed spaces from (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) is a pair $(f, f^\#)$ of continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a map $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of rings on Y .

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) are locally ringed spaces. Given a point $p \in X$, we obtain induced maps

$$f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$$

for any neighbourhood V of $f(p)$. Taking the colimit, we obtain a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(p)} = \mathrm{colim}_V \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_V \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$$

As V ranges through the neighbourhood of $f(p)$, $f^{-1}(V)$ ranges over a subset of the neighbourhoods of p so that we obtain a map

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(p)} = \mathrm{colim}_V \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_V \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$$

Definition 2.5.4: Morphisms of Locally Ringed Spaces

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) be ringed spaces. Then a morphism of locally ringed spaces is a morphism of ringed spaces such that for each $p \in X$, the induced map of local rings

$$f_p^\# : \mathcal{O}_{Y, f(p)} = \operatorname{colim}_V \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_V \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$$

is a local homomorphism of local rings.

Definition 2.5.5: Open Embedding

Let $U \rightarrow Y$ be an isomorphism of U and an open subset of Y , together with an isomorphism of ringed spaces $(U, \mathcal{O}|_U)$ and $(V, \mathcal{O}_Y|_V)$. Then this map of ringed spaces is called an open embedding or an open immersion of ringed spaces.

3 Modules over a Sheaf

3.1 The Category of \mathcal{O}_X -Modules

Definition 3.1.1: Sheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a ringed space. We say that an abelian sheaf \mathcal{F} of X is a sheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules if the following are true.

- For every open set $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is an $\mathcal{A}(U)$ -module
- For each inclusion of open sets $V \subseteq U$, the restriction homomorphism $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ is such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(U) \times \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\text{action}} & \mathcal{F}(U) \\ \text{res}_{U,V} \times \text{res}_{U,V} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_{U,V} \\ \mathcal{A}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\text{action}} & \mathcal{F}(V) \end{array}$$

Definition 3.1.2: The Category of \mathcal{A} -Modules

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a ringed space. Define the category of \mathcal{A}_X -modules $\text{Mod}_X(\mathcal{A})$ to consist of the following data.

- The objects consists sheaves of \mathcal{A}_X -modules.
- The morphisms are just morphisms of sheaves between \mathcal{A}_X -modules.
- Composition is given by the composition of morphisms of sheaves.

Proposition 3.1.3

Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of rings over X and let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be sheaves of \mathcal{A} -modules. Then the direct sum

$$\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$$

is also a sheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules.

Theorem 3.1.4

Let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of rings over X . Then the category $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} -modules is an abelian category.

Proposition 3.1.5

Denote i the trivial functor taking a sheaf to its presheaf. Then the functor i and the sheafification functor $+$ are adjoints. In other words,

$$\text{Hom}(i(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}^+)$$

for a presheaf \mathcal{G} and a sheaf \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 3.1.6

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} be sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Then the tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G})(U) = (\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{G}(U))^+$$

is also a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

3.2 Locally Free Sheaves

Definition 3.2.1: Free and Locally Free Sheaves

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a ringed space. Let \mathcal{F} be a \mathcal{A} -module. We say that \mathcal{F} is free if there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{A}^{\oplus n}$$

of sheaves. We say that \mathcal{F} is locally free if X has an open cover $\{U_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that for each U_i , there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong (\mathcal{A}|_{U_i})^{\oplus n}$$

of sheaves. In this case we say that the rank of \mathcal{F} is n .

Lemma 3.2.2

If X is connected then the rank of a locally free sheaf on X is constant.

Proposition 3.2.3

Let (X, \mathcal{O}) be a ringed space. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O} -modules. Then \mathcal{F} is locally free of rank r if and only if

$$\mathcal{F}_{X,p} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,p}^r$$

for all $p \in X$.

Definition 3.2.4: The Category of Locally Free Sheaves

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a ringed space. Define the category of locally free sheaves \mathbf{Loc}_n of rank n over X as follows.

- The objects are the locally free sheaves of rank n over X
- The morphisms are the morphisms of sheaves of modules
- Composition is given by the composition of morphisms of sheaves.

Theorem 3.2.5

Let X be a space. Then there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Vect}_n(X) \cong \mathbf{Loc}_n(X)$$

between vector bundles over X and sheaves of free \mathcal{A} -modules over X for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.3 Invertible Sheaves

Definition 3.3.1: Invertible Sheaf

Let X be a ringed space. We say that a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is invertible if it is a locally free sheaf on rank 1.

Theorem 3.3.2

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Then the following are equivalent characterization of a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F}

- \mathcal{F} is invertible
- There exists a sheaf G such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} G \cong \mathcal{O}_X$
- $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_X$

Proposition 3.3.3

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be invertible sheaves on X . Then

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$$

is also an invertible sheaf.

Definition 3.3.4: The Picard Group

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Define the Picard group of X to be the set

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \{\mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{L} \text{ is an invertible sheaf on } X\}$$

together with the tensor product \otimes .

3.4 Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Quasi-coherent sheaves and coherent sheaves has extremely abstract definitions. If one is only interested in the case of Algebraic Geometry, then there is a very nice explicit way of defining it, so readers may skip this part.

Definition 3.4.1: Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -module. We say that \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf if for all $p \in X$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X$ such that there is an exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes I}|_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes J}|_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U \longrightarrow 0$$

for some countable indexing sets I and J .

Definition 3.4.2: The Category of Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Define the category of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\mathbf{QCoh}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$$

over (X, \mathcal{O}_X) as follows.

- The objects are the quasi-coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} over (X, \mathcal{O}_X)
- The morphisms are morphisms between sheaves of modules. This means that if \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, then a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a natural transformation $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ that respects the module structure.
- Composition is given by the composition of sheaves

Theorem 3.4.3

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Then $\mathbf{QCoh}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is an abelian category.

3.5 Coherent Sheaves**Definition 3.5.1: Sheaf of Finite Type**

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -module. We say that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of finite type if for all $p \in X$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X$ such that there is a

surjective morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes n}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.5.2: Coherent Sheaves

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -module. We say that \mathcal{F} is coherent if the following are true.

- \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of finite type.
- For any $U \subseteq X$ and any morphism

$$\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes n}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$$

of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, then kernel of φ is a sheaf of finite type.

Definition 3.5.3: The Category of Quasi-Coherent Sheaves

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Define the category of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\mathbf{Coh}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$$

over (X, \mathcal{O}_X) as follows.

- The objects are the coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} over (X, \mathcal{O}_X)
- The morphisms are morphisms between sheaves of modules. This means that if \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, then a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a natural transformation $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ that respects the module structure.
- Composition is given by the composition of sheaves

Theorem 3.5.4

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Then $\mathbf{Coh}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is an abelian category.

Proposition 3.5.5

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Let the following

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of sheaves. If two of the three sheaves are coherent, then so is the last one.

4 Sheaf Cohomology

4.1 The Global Section Functor

Definition 4.1.1: Global Section Functor

Let X be a space. Define the global section functor to be the functor $\Gamma(X, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ defined as follows.

- A sheaf \mathcal{F} of groups on X is sent to $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$
- For a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $\Gamma(X, -)$ sends it to the ring homomorphism $\phi(X) : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X)$

In general, we can define functor by sending every sheaf to a the local section of a chosen open set. This operation in general is left exact. This means that we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1.2

Let X be a space and let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}''$ be sheaves on X such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\phi(U)} \mathcal{F}'(U) \xrightarrow{\psi(U)} \mathcal{F}''(U)$$

of local sections for any chosen open set $U \subseteq X$.

Proof. We want to show that $\ker(\phi(U)) = 0$. Suppose that $s \in \ker(\phi(U))$. Then $\phi(U)(s) = 0$. Then for any $p \in U$, $(\phi(U), \phi(U)(s)) \in \mathcal{G}_{X,p}$ is equivalent to the 0 section. By 1.4.5, ϕ_p is injective hence s is equivalent to the zero section in $\mathcal{F}_{X,p}$ for some open subset U_p of U . Since this is true for any p , we conclude that $s = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(U)$ by the gluing axiom.

It remains to show that $\text{im}(\phi(U)) = \ker(\psi(U))$. Suppose that $t \in \text{im}(\phi(U))$. Then there exists a section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $\phi(U)(s) = t$. By 1.4.6, $\psi(U)(t) = (\psi \circ \phi)(U)(s) = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}''_{X,p}$ for every $p \in U$. Since this is true for all p , we conclude that $\psi(U)(t) = 0$ by gluing axiom. Hence $\text{im}(\phi(U)) \subseteq \ker(\psi(U))$.

Now suppose that $t \in \ker(\psi(U))$. Then $\psi(U)(t) = 0$ and hence $(\psi(U), \psi(U)(t))$ is equivalent to the zero section in $\mathcal{F}''_{X,p}$ for every $p \in U$. \square

Corollary 4.1.3

Let X be a space. The global section functor $\Gamma(X, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is a left exact functor.

Proof. The global section functor is a special case of the above proposition. \square

Definition 4.1.4: Cohomology Functors

Let X be a space. Define the cohomology functors

$$H^i(X, -) = R^i\Gamma(X, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

of X to be the right derived functors of $\Gamma(X, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$. For any sheaf \mathcal{F} of abelian groups on X , the groups $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ are called the cohomology groups of \mathcal{F} .

4.2 Flasque Sheaves

Definition 4.2.1: Flasque Sheaves

A sheaf \mathcal{F} on a space X is said to be flasque if for every pair of open sets $V \subset U$, the restriction map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ is surjective.

Lemma 4.2.2

If (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a ringed space, then any injective \mathcal{O}_X -module is flasque.

Lemma 4.2.3

Let X be a space and let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}''$ be sheaves on X such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of sheaves. Then the following are true.

- If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' are flasque, then \mathcal{F}'' is flasque.
- If \mathcal{F} is flasque, then for any $U \subseteq X$ open, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F}'(U) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{F}''(U) \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' are flasque. Then by naturality we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi(U)} & \mathcal{F}'(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi(U)} & \mathcal{F}''(U) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\phi(V)} & \mathcal{F}'(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi(V)} & \mathcal{F}''(V) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

for any $V \subseteq U$ open sets. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}''(V)$. Then by surjectivity of $\psi(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}'(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(V)$, there exists $t \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ that maps correspondingly by the same maps to s . Then using the map $\phi(U)$ we can send s to an element $r \in \mathcal{F}''(U)$ such that r is sent to s under the map $\mathcal{F}''(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(V)$. Thus this map is surjective and \mathcal{F}'' is flasque.

Now suppose that \mathcal{F} is flasque. □

Proposition 4.2.4

Let X be a space and let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} is flasque then \mathcal{F} is acyclic for $\Gamma(X, -)$. Explicitly, this means that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ has enough injective objects, suppose that \mathcal{I} is an injective object of $\mathbf{Ab}(X)$ such that \mathcal{F} is a subobject of \mathcal{I} . Let \mathcal{G} be the quotient sheaf \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I} . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

By lemma 3.2.2, \mathcal{I} is flasque. By 3.2.3, \mathcal{G} is flasque. By 3.2.3, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since \mathcal{I} is injective, we have that $H^i(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. By passing to the long exact sequence in cohomology, we deduce that $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{G})$ for each $i \geq 2$. Applying the same method again gives $H^1(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$. Thus by induction, we conclude that $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. \square

Proposition 4.2.5

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. Then the derived functors of

$$\Gamma(X, -) : \mathcal{O}_X \mathbf{Mod}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

coincide with the derived functors of $\Gamma(X, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$.

Thus there is no distinction in considering the cohomology groups of sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and when considering them as simply a sheaf of abelian groups.

4.3 Sheaves on Noetherian Spaces

Theorem 4.3.1

Let X be a Noetherian space. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a direct system of sheaves on X . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim_{i \in I} H^k(X, \mathcal{F}_i) \cong H^k(X, \varinjlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i)$$

that are natural in X for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ induced by the unique map of the universal property of direct limits.

Proof.

\square

Theorem 4.3.2

Let X be a Noetherian topological space of dimension n . Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X . Then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for all $i > n$.

4.4 Čech Cohomology

Definition 4.4.1: Čech Complex

Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i | i \in I\}$ an open cover of X where I is an indexing set. For any $(i_0, \dots, i_k) \in I^{k+1}$, denote

$$U_{i_0, \dots, i_k} = U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k}$$

Define for each k ,

$$C^k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \bigcap_{(i_0, \dots, i_k) \in I^{k+1}} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_k})$$

Furthermore, define a boundary map $d : C^k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$c_{i_0, \dots, i_k} \mapsto \sum_{s=0}^{k+1} (-1)^s \text{res}(c_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_s, \dots, i_{k+1}})$$

Define the Čech complex to be $(C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), d)$.

Lemma 4.4.2

For any space X and any open cover \mathcal{U} of X , $(C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), d)$ is indeed a chain complex.

Definition 4.4.3: Čech Cohomology

Let $(C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), d)$ be a Čech complex. Define the k th cohomology group of it to be

$$\check{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\ker(C^k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))}{\text{im}(C^{k-1}(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))} = H(C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), d)$$

Lemma 4.4.4

For any Čech complex, we have that $\check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$.

Theorem 4.4.5

Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{U} an open cover of X . If the open sets U_{i_0, \dots, i_k} satisfy that $H^k(U_{i_0, \dots, i_k}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$, then

$$H^k(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

5 Sites, Sieves and Grothendieck Topologies

5.1 Sites on a Category

We now want to generalize the notion of sheaves to general categories, not just spaces. However, there is no notion of open sets in an arbitrary category. Grothendieck realized that the essence of sheaves comes from the data of the open covers $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ for each open set U in a space X .

Definition 5.1.1: Covers

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Let $U \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. A cover of U is a collection of morphisms

$$\{U_i \rightarrow U \mid i \in I\}$$

Definition 5.1.2: Coverages (nLab)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A coverage $\text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} consists a collection of covers for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$, such that the following are true.

- If $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U \mid i \in I\}$ is a cover of U and $g : V \rightarrow U$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} , then there exists a cover $\{h_j : V_j \rightarrow V\}$ of V such that every $g \circ h_j : V_j \rightarrow U$ factors through the cover of U . Or in other words, for each $h_j : V_j \rightarrow V$, there exists U_i and $f_i : U_i \rightarrow U$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_j & \xrightarrow{k} & U_i \\ h_j \downarrow & & \downarrow f_i \\ V & \xrightarrow{g} & U \end{array}$$

Definition 5.1.3: Coverages (Stacks)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A coverage $\text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} consists a collection of covers for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$, such that the following are true.

- If $V \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism then $\{V \rightarrow U\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$
- If $\{U_i \rightarrow U \mid i \in I\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\{V_{i,j} \rightarrow U_i \mid j \in J_i\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$ for each $i \in I$, then $\{V_{i,j} \rightarrow U \mid i, j \in I\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$
- If $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U \mid i \in I\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$ and $g : V \rightarrow U$ is a morphism, then the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i \times_U V & \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} & U_i \\ \text{proj.} \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ V & \xrightarrow{f_i} & U \end{array}$$

exists for all $i \in I$ and $\{U_i \times_U V \rightarrow U\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 5.1.4: Sites (nLab)

A site is a category \mathcal{C} together with a coverage $\text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 5.1.5: Continuous Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two sites. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. We say that F is continuous if the following are true.

- F preserves fiber products
- F sends covers to covers

6 Sheaf Cohomology of Sites

6.1 Sheaves on Sites

Definition 6.1.1: Sheaves on Sites

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C}))$ be a site. Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf of sets on \mathcal{C} . We say that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on \mathcal{C} if for all $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U \mid i \in I\} \in \text{Cov}(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{p} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \xrightleftharpoons[r]{q} \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \times_U U_j)$$

is an equalizer diagram, where p is the product of the maps $\mathcal{F}(f_i)$, q is the product of the maps $\mathcal{F}(\text{proj}_i : U_i \times_U U_j \rightarrow U_i)$ and r is the product of the maps $\mathcal{F}(\text{proj}_j : U_i \times_U U_j \rightarrow U_j)$.

Definition 6.1.2: Abelian Sheaves

Let \mathcal{C} be a site. Define the category of abelian sheaves

$$\mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{C})$$

over \mathcal{C} to consist of the following data.

- The objects are the abelian sheaves on \mathcal{C}
- The morphisms are the natural transformations of functors
- Composition is given by the composition of natural transformations

Theorem 6.1.3

Let \mathcal{C} be a site. Then $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{C})$ has enough injectives.

TBA: category of abelian sheaves on \mathcal{C} has enough injectives

TBA: A bunch of adjunctions analogous to that of sheaves.

6.2 Sheaf Cohomology of Sites

Definition 6.2.1: Global Sections Functor

Let \mathcal{C} be a site. Define the global section functor

$$\Gamma(-, \mathcal{C}) : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

by the following data.

- A sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{C} is sent to $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$.
- For a morphism of sheaves $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, the global section functor sends it to the group homomorphism $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$

Proposition 6.2.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a site. Then the global section functor $\Gamma(-, \mathcal{C}) : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is left exact.

Definition 6.2.3: Sheaf Cohomology of Sites

Let \mathcal{C} be a site. Define the sheaf cohomology functor of \mathcal{C} to be

$$H^k(\mathcal{C}, -) = R^k \Gamma : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

the right derived functors of $\Gamma(-, \mathcal{C})$.

7 Etale Cohomology

To be removed:

Definition 7.0.1: (Small) Etale Sites

Let X be a scheme. The etale site X_{et} over X consists of the following data.

- The underlying category is the full subcategory \mathbf{Sch}/X of schemes over X consisting of etale morphisms $U \rightarrow X$
- The coverage consists of the surjective families of etale morphisms $\{U_i \rightarrow U \mid i \in I\}$.

Finite etale site, the flat site, the big etale site

Definition 7.0.2: Etale Cohomology

Let X be a scheme. Define the etale cohomology of X to be the sheaf cohomology of the category X_{et} . Explicitly, this means that

$$H_{\text{et}}^k(X, -) = H^k(X_{\text{et}}, -) : \mathbf{Ab}(X_{\text{et}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$