

Lie Groups and Lie Algebra

Labix

April 10, 2025

Abstract

Potentially good books: Humphreys, Erdmann and Wildson

Contents

1	Introduction to Lie Algebras	3
1.1	Lie Brackets and Lie Algebras	3
1.2	Lie Subalgebras and Ideals	4
1.3	Products and Quotients of Lie Algebras	5
1.4	The Centers and Centralizers of Lie Algebras	6
1.5	The Lie Algebra of Endomorphisms	6
2	Lie Algebra Homomorphisms	9
2.1	Lie Algebra Homomorphisms	9
2.2	The Adjoint Homomorphism	10
3	Types of Lie Algebras	13
3.1	Abelian Lie Algebras	13
3.2	Nilpotent Lie Algebras	13
3.3	Soluble Lie Algebras	18
3.4	Semisimple Lie Algebras	21
3.5	Simple Lie Algebras	22
3.6	Low Dimensional Lie Algebras	22
4	The Killing Form of a Lie Algebra	24
4.1	The Killing Form	24
4.2	Cartan's Two Criteria	25
5	Special Subalgebras of a Lie Algebra	28
5.1	Derivations of Lie Algebras	28
5.2	Cartan Subalgebras	29
6	Representation Theory of Lie Algebras	31
6.1	Modules over Lie Algebras	31
6.2	Reducibility of Modules over Lie Algebras	31
6.3	Representations of Lie Algebras	32
6.4	The Case of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$	32
7	Introduction to Lie Groups	34
7.1	Lie Groups	34
7.2	Relation between Lie Groups and Lie Algebras	34
7.3	The Exponential Map	35
8	Root Systems of Vector Spaces	36
8.1	Properties of Root Systems	36
8.2	Decomposing Root Systems into Irreducibles	37
8.3	Bases for Root Systems	37
8.4	The Weyl Group of a Root System	37
8.5	Dynkin Diagrams and Cartan Matrices	38
8.6	Classification of Irreducible Root Systems	38
9	Classification of Semisimple Lie Algebras over \mathbb{C}	39
9.1	Root Space Decomposition	39
9.2	Copies of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as Sub-algebras	41
9.3	The Set of Roots as a Root System	42
9.4	Simple Lie Algebras and Irreducible Dynkin Diagrams	43

1 Introduction to Lie Algebras

1.1 Lie Brackets and Lie Algebras

Definition 1.1.1: Lie Brackets

Let V be a vector space over a field k . Let $[-, -] : V \times V \rightarrow V$ be a bilinear map. We say that $[-, -]$ is a Lie bracket if the following are true.

- The Alternating Property: $[X, X] = 0$
- Jacobi identity: $[[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0$

Consider the cross product $\times : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . It is easy to see that it is a Lie bracket.

Definition 1.1.2: Lie Algebras

A Lie algebra is a vector space V over a field K together with a Lie bracket

$$[-, -] : V \times V \rightarrow V$$

For k a field, $M_n(k)$ for any $n \geq 1$ is a Lie algebra with Lie bracket defined as $[A, B] = AB - BA$ for $A, B \in M_n(k)$.

Lemma 1.1.3

Let L be a Lie Algebra. Then for all $x, y \in L$, we have that

$$[x, y] = -[y, x]$$

In other words, the Lie bracket is anti-commutative.

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] + [y, x] &= [x, x] + [x, y - x] + [y, y] + [y, x - y] && \text{(Bilinearity)} \\ &= [x, x] + [y, y] - [x - y, x - y] && \text{(Bilinearity)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(Alternating)} \end{aligned}$$

and so we conclude. \square

Lie Algebras are not algebras (in the sense of Rings and Modules) because the Lie bracket fails associativity. Therefore we have to redefine all the standard notions one has in algebra.

While Lie Algebras are not in general algebras, every associative algebra can be equipped with a Lie algebra. For A an associative algebra over a field, we can define a bilinear map on A by

$$[a, b] = ab - ba$$

for all $a, b \in A$. There may also be more than one way to equip an algebra with a Lie algebra structure. One should not think that Lie Algebras encompasses associative algebras because of the different Lie algebras one can equip. Instead, we think of the Lie bracket as an extra structure on associative algebras such that they become Lie algebras.

Definition 1.1.4: Structure Constants

Let L be a Lie algebra such that its underlying vector space has basis e_1, \dots, e_n . Define the structure constants of L to be the elements $c_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k e_k$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

The structure constants are useful in the following sense. Let L be a Lie algebra and let $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$ and $b = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k e_k$ be elements of L . Then the Lie bracket can be written as

$$[a, b] = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i) [e_i, e_j]$$

by bilinearity. Plugging in the structure constants, we obtain

$$[a, b] = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i) \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k e_k$$

Thus we can write $[a, b]$ in terms of the basis e_1, \dots, e_n using structure constants.

1.2 Lie Subalgebras and Ideals

Definition 1.2.1: Lie Subalgebra

Let V be a Lie algebra over K . A Lie subalgebra of V is a subset $W \subseteq V$ such that

- W is a vector subspace of V
- $[w_1, w_2] \in W$ for all $w_1, w_2 \in W$

It is clear that a Lie subalgebra is also a Lie algebra in its own right.

Definition 1.2.2: Ideal

Let V be a Lie algebra over K . Let I be a subset of V . Then I is an ideal of V if the following are true.

- I is a vector subspace of V
- $[v, i] \in I$ for all $v \in V$ and $i \in I$.

It is clear from definitions that every ideal of a Lie algebra is a Lie subalgebra. However, the converse is not always true.

Proposition 1.2.3

Let V be a Lie algebra and I, J ideals of V . Then the following are also ideals of V .

- The intersection $I \cap J$
- The sum $I + J = \{i + j \mid i \in I \text{ and } j \in J\}$

Proof.

- It is clear that $I \cap J$ is a vector subspace of V . Since I is an ideal, $[V, I \cap J] \subseteq [V, I] \subseteq I$. Similarly, $[V, I \cap J] \subseteq [V, J] \subseteq J$. Hence $[V, I \cap J] \subseteq I \cap J$.
- It is clear that $I + J$ is a vector subspace of V . Let $i + j \in I + J$. Let $v \in V$. Then we have

$$[v, i + j] = [v, i] + [v, j] \in I + J$$

Hence $I + J$ is an ideal of V . □

Definition 1.2.4: The Lie Bracket of Ideals

Let V be a Lie algebra. Let I, J be ideals of V . Define the Lie bracket of I and J to be

$$[I, J] = \langle [i, j] \mid i \in I \text{ and } j \in J \rangle$$

Lemma 1.2.5

Let V be a Lie algebra. Let I, J be ideals of V . Then the Lie bracket $[I, J]$ is an ideal of V .

Proof. By definition, $[I, J]$ is a vector subspace of V . Let $v \in V$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k [i_k, j_k] \in [I, J]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left[v, \sum_{k=1}^n a_k [i_k, j_k] \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k [v, [i_k, j_k]] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k [v, [i_k, j_k]] \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k [[i_k, j_k], v] \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k (-[[j_k, v], i_k] - [[v, i_k], j_k]) \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k ([v, j_k], i_k) - [[v, i_k], j_k]) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k ([v, i_k], j_k) - [[v, j_k], i_k])
 \end{aligned}$$

Since I and J are ideals, $s_k = [v, i_k] \in I$ and $t_k = [v, j_k] \in J$. We now have

$$\left[v, \sum_{k=1}^n a_k [i_k, j_k] \right] = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k ([s_k, j_k] - [t_k, i_k])$$

But $[s_k, j_k], [t_k, i_k]$ are generators of $[I, J]$. Hence the sum also lies in $[I, J]$. \square

1.3 Products and Quotients of Lie Algebras**Definition 1.3.1: Direct Sum of Lie Algebras**

Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras. Define the direct sum of L_1 and L_2 by

$$L_1 \oplus L_2 = \{(a_1, a_2) \mid a_1 \in L_1, a_2 \in L_2\}$$

together with component wise addition and scalar multiplication and Lie bracket operation

$$[(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)] = ([a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2])$$

which is component wise application of the Lie bracket for $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in L_1 \oplus L_2$.

Proposition 1.3.2

Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras. Then the following are true.

- $[L_1 \oplus L_2, L_1 \oplus L_2] = [L_1, L_1] \oplus [L_2, L_2]$
- $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in L_1\} \cong L_1$ is an ideal of $L_1 \oplus L_2$
- $\{(0, y) \mid y \in L_2\} \cong L_2$ is an ideal of $L_1 \oplus L_2$

Definition 1.3.3: Quotient Lie Algebra

Let V be a Lie algebra. Let U be an ideal of V . Define the quotient Lie algebra to be the set

$$V/U = \{v + U \mid v \in V\}$$

together with the Lie bracket defined by $[v + U, w + U] = [v, w] + U$.

1.4 The Centers and Centralizers of Lie Algebras**Definition 1.4.1: Center of a Lie Algebra**

Let L be a Lie algebra. Define the center of L by

$$Z(L) = \{z \in L \mid [z, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$$

Lemma 1.4.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then $Z(L)$ is an ideal of L .

Proposition 1.4.3

Let L_1, L_2 be Lie algebras over the same field K . Then

$$Z(L_1 \oplus L_2) = Z(L_1) \oplus Z(L_2)$$

Definition 1.4.4: The Centralizer of a Subset

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let $A \subseteq L$ be a subset. Define the centralizer of A in L to be the set

$$C_L(A) = \{x \in L \mid [x, a] = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

Lemma 1.4.5

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let $A \subseteq L$ be a subset. Then $C_L(A)$ is a Lie subalgebra of L .

1.5 The Lie Algebra of Endomorphisms**Definition 1.5.1: The Lie Algebra of Endomorphisms**

Let V be a vector space over a field k . Define the Lie algebra of endomorphisms of V to be the vector space

$$\mathfrak{gl}_k(V) = \text{End}_k(V) = \{T : V \rightarrow V \mid T \text{ is linear}\}$$

over k together with Lie bracket $[-, -] : \mathfrak{gl}_k(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_k(V)$ given by

$$[T, S] = T \circ S - S \circ T$$

A priori one needs to check that the above map is indeed a Lie bracket. Let $A \in \text{End}_k(V)$. Then $[A, A] = A^2 - A^2 = 0$ so that the alternating property is satisfied. For the Jacobi identity, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [[A, B], C] + [[B, C], A] + [[C, A], B] &= [A, B]C - C[A, B] + [B, C]A - A[B, C] + [C, A]B - B[C, A] \\ &= ABC - BAC - CAB + CBA + BCA - CBA \\ &\quad - ABC + ACB + CAB - ACB - BCA + BAC \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B, C \in \text{End}_k(V)$.

Definition 1.5.2: The Lie Algebra of the Matrix Ring

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. The Lie algebra of the matrix ring $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k) = M_n(k)$ is given by the Lie bracket $[-, -] : \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ defined by

$$[A, B] = AB - BA$$

Proposition 1.5.3

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of k^n . Then the map $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \rightarrow M_n(k)$ defined by

$$T \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} T(e_1) & \cdots & T(e_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Lemma 1.5.4

Let V be a vector space over a field k . Let $T, S, R \in \mathfrak{gl}_k(V)$. Then

$$\text{tr}([T, S] \circ R) = \text{tr}(T \circ [S, R])$$

Definition 1.5.5: Lie Sub-algebra of 0 trace

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Define

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n(k) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \mid \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$$

Definition 1.5.6: Lie Sub-algebra of Upper Triangular Matrices

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Define the Lie sub-algebra of upper triangular matrices to be

$$\mathfrak{b}_n(k) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \mid \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$$

Definition 1.5.7: Lie Sub-algebra of Strictly Upper Triangular Matrices

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Define the Lie sub-algebra of strictly upper triangular matrices to be

$$\mathfrak{u}_n(k) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \mid \text{tr}(A) = 0\}$$

Definition 1.5.8: Symplectic Lie Algebra

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the symplectic Lie algebra to be

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{2n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^T \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(k) \mid A, B, C \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k), B = B^T, C = C^T \right\}$$

Definition 1.5.9: Special Orthogonal Lie Algebra

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the even dimensional special orthogonal Lie algebra to be

$$\mathfrak{so}_{2n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^T \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(k) \mid A, B, C \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k), B = -B^T, C = -C^T \right\}$$

Define the odd dimensional special orthogonal Lie algebra to be

$$\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E^T & -D^T \\ D^T & A & B \\ -E & C & -A^T \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(k) \mid A, B, C \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k), D, E \in M_{n \times 1}(k), B = -B^T, C = -C^T \right\}$$

Proposition 1.5.10

Let k be a field. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following are true.

- $\mathfrak{sl}_n(k)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ of dimension $n^2 - 1$.
- $\mathfrak{b}_n(k)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ of dimension $\frac{n^2+n}{2}$.
- $\mathfrak{u}_n(k)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ of dimension $\frac{n^2-n}{2}$.
- $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(k)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of dimension $2n^2 + n$.
- $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(k)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of dimension $2n^2 + n$.
- $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(k)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of dimension $2n^2 + 3n$.

2 Lie Algebra Homomorphisms

2.1 Lie Algebra Homomorphisms

Definition 2.1.1: Homomorphism of Lie algebra

Let V and W be Lie algebras over a field K . A homomorphism from V to W is an K -linear map $F : V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$[F(a), F(b)] = F([a, b])$$

for all $a, b \in V$.

Definition 2.1.2: Kernel of a Lie Algebra Homomorphism

Let V and W be Lie algebras over a field K . Let $F : V \rightarrow W$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Define the kernel of F to be

$$\ker(F) = \{v \in V \mid F(v) = 0_W\}$$

Lemma 2.1.3

Let V and W be Lie algebras over a field K . Let $F : V \rightarrow W$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then $\ker(F)$ is a Lie subalgebra of V .

Proof. It is clear that $\ker(F)$ is a vector subspace of V . Let $k_1, k_2 \in \ker(F)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F([k_1, k_2]) &= [F(k_1), F(k_2)] && (F \text{ is a Lie algebra homomorphism}) \\ &= [0, 0] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[k_1, k_2] \in \ker(F)$ and $\ker(F)$ is a Lie subalgebra of V . \square

Definition 2.1.4: Isomorphisms of Lie Algebras

Let V and W be Lie algebras over a field k . Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. We say that F is a Lie algebra isomorphism if there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\psi : W \rightarrow V$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_V$ and $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_W$.

Proposition 2.1.5

Let V and W be Lie algebras over a field k . Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a Lie algebra isomorphism. Then ϕ is a vector space isomorphism.

Theorem 2.1.6: First Isomorphism Theorem

Let $\phi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Then the following are true.

- $\ker(\phi)$ is an ideal of L_1
- $\text{im}(\phi)$ is a Lie subalgebra of L_2

Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$\frac{L_1}{\ker(\phi)} \cong \text{im}(\phi)$$

Theorem 2.1.7: Second Isomorphism Theorem

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let I and J be ideals of L . Then the following are true.

- I and J are ideals of $I + J$
- $I \cap J$ is an ideal of I and J

Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$\frac{I + J}{J} \cong \frac{I}{I \cap J}$$

Theorem 2.1.8: Third Isomorphism Theorem

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let I and J be ideals of L such that $I \subseteq J$. Then J/I is an ideal of L/I . Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$\frac{L/I}{J/I} \cong \frac{L}{J}$$

Theorem 2.1.9: Correspondence Theorem

Let L be a Lie algebra with ideal I . Then there exists a bijective correspondence

$$\{J \mid J \text{ is an ideal of } L \text{ and } I \subseteq J\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{K \mid K \text{ is an ideal of } L/I\}$$

2.2 The Adjoint Homomorphism**Definition 2.2.1: The Adjoint Homomorphism**

Let V be a Lie algebra. Define the adjoint homomorphism $\text{ad} : V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ to be the map given by

$$\text{ad}(x)(y) = [x, y]$$

Lemma 2.2.2

Let V be a Lie algebra. Then the adjoint homomorphism $\text{ad} : V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Proof.

- **Linearity:** Let $x, y \in V$ and let $a, b \in F$. For any $z \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(ax + by)(z) &= [ax + by, z] \\ &= a[x, z] + b[y, z] \\ &= a\text{ad}(x)(z) + b\text{ad}(y)(z) \\ &= (a\text{ad}(x) + b\text{ad}(y))(z) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{ad} : V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a linear map.

- Preserving the Lie bracket: Let $x, y \in V$. For any $z \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\operatorname{ad}(x), \operatorname{ad}(y)](z) &= (\operatorname{ad}(x)\operatorname{ad}(y) - \operatorname{ad}(y)\operatorname{ad}(x))(z) \\
 &= \operatorname{ad}(x)(\operatorname{ad}(y)(z)) - \operatorname{ad}(y)(\operatorname{ad}(x)(z)) \\
 &= \operatorname{ad}(x)([y, z]) - \operatorname{ad}(y)([x, z]) \\
 &= [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] \\
 &= -[[y, z], x] + [[x, z], y] \\
 &= -[[y, z], x] - [[z, y], x] - [[y, x], z] \\
 &= -[[y, z], x] + [[y, z], x] - [[y, x], z] \\
 &= [[x, y], z] \\
 &= \operatorname{ad}([x, y])(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have showed that $[\operatorname{ad}(x), \operatorname{ad}(y)] = \operatorname{ad}([x, y])$. □

Lemma 2.2.3

Let V be a Lie algebra. Then the kernel of the adjoint homomorphism is equal to

$$\ker(\operatorname{ad}) = Z(V)$$

the center of V .

Proof. Let $k \in \ker(\operatorname{ad})$. Let $v \in V$. Then $[k, v] = \operatorname{ad}(k)(v) = 0$ since $\operatorname{ad}(k) = 0 \in \operatorname{End}(V)$. Hence $k \in Z(V)$. Conversely, if $z \in Z(V)$ then we have

$$\operatorname{ad}(z)(v) = [z, v] = 0$$

for all $v \in V$. Hence $\operatorname{ad}(z) = 0 \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ and $z \in \ker(\operatorname{ad})$. □

Definition 2.2.4: Ad-Nilpotency

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let $x \in L$. We say that x is ad-nilpotent if $\operatorname{ad}(x)$ is a nilpotent in $\operatorname{End}(V)$ (as an element of a ring).

Lemma 2.2.5

Let V be a vector space. Let $L \subseteq \operatorname{End}(V)$ be a Lie subalgebra. If $T \in L$ is nilpotent, then T is ad-nilpotent.

Let V be a vector space over a field k . Let $T \in \operatorname{End}(V)$. Recall from Linear Algebra that a Jordan-Chevalley decomposition is two linear maps $D, S \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ such that the following are true:

- $T = D + S$
- D is diagonal and S is nilpotent.
- $DS = SD$

We showed that such a decomposition always exists and is unique.

Proposition 2.2.6

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field k . Let $T \in \operatorname{End}_k(V)$. Let $T = D + S$ be the unique Jordan-Chevalley decomposition. Then

$$\operatorname{ad}(T) = \operatorname{ad}(D) + \operatorname{ad}(S)$$

is the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of $\text{ad}(T) \in \text{End}(\text{End}(V))$.

Proof. Let $T \in \text{End}_k(V)$ be an endomorphism. Let $T = D + S$ be the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of T . Since ad is linear, we have that

$$\text{ad}(T) = \text{ad}(D) + \text{ad}(S)$$

For any $C \in \text{End}_k(V)$, $\text{ad}(D)$ is defined by $\text{ad}(D)(C) = DC - CD$. Since D is diagonalizable, we can choose a basis $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ of V such that the matrix representing D given by $D_B = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is diagonal on the basis. For the standard basis $\{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ on $\text{End}_k(V)$, we have that

$$\text{ad}(D)(e_{i,j}) = [D_B, e_{i,j}] = (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)e_{i,j}$$

which shows that every standard basis vector is an eigenvector of $\text{ad}(D)$. Hence $\text{ad}(D)$ is diagonal. On the other hand, since S is nilpotent, by the above $\text{ad}(S)$ is nilpotent.

Finally, we have that

$$(\text{ad}(D) \circ \text{ad}(S) - \text{ad}(S) \circ \text{ad}(D))(C) = [\text{ad}(D), \text{ad}(S)](C) = \text{ad}([D, S])(C) = \text{ad}(0)(C) = 0$$

for all $C \in \text{End}_k(V)$. Hence $\text{ad}(D)$ and $\text{ad}(S)$ commutes. Thus $\text{ad}(T) = \text{ad}(D) + \text{ad}(S)$ is a Jordan-Chevalley decomposition. \square

3 Types of Lie Algebras

3.1 Abelian Lie Algebras

Lie algebras that are Abelian are the simplest Lie algebra there is to study.

Definition 3.1.1: Abelian Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. We say that L is abelian if

$$[x, y] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in L$.

Lemma 3.1.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then $Z(L)$ is abelian.

Proof. True by definition of $Z(L)$. □

Lemma 3.1.3

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let I be an ideal of L . Then L/I is abelian if and only if

$$[L, L] \subseteq I$$

Proof. Let L/I be abelian. Let $v, w \in L$. Since L/I is abelian, we have that $[v + I, w + I] = I$. But $[v + I, w + I] = [v, w] + I$ implies that $[v, w] \in I$. Conversely, suppose that $[L, L] \subseteq I$. Then for any $v + I, w + I \in L/I$, $[v + I, w + I] = [v, w] + I = I$. Hence L/I is abelian. □

We can think of this as saying $I = [L, L]$ is the smallest ideal of L for which L/I is abelian.

Proposition 3.1.4

Let L be a Lie algebra of dimension 1. Then L is abelian.

Proof. If L is 1-dimensional over a field k , let x be a spanning element of L . Then for $s, t \in L$, there exists $a, b \in k$ such that $s = ax$ and $t = bx$. Now we have that

$$[ax, bx] = ab[x, x] = 0$$

Hence L is abelian. □

3.2 Nilpotent Lie Algebras

Definition 3.2.1: Lower Central Series

Let L be a Lie algebra. Define the lower central series $L^0, L^1, \dots, L^n, \dots$ as follows.

- For $n = 0$, define $L^0 = L$
- For $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$L^n = [L, L^{n-1}]$$

Lemma 3.2.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then the following are true.

- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L^{n+1} \subseteq L^n$.
- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, L^n is an ideal of L .

Proof. When $n = 0$, both statements are clearly true. Suppose that they are true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by lemma 1.2.5, $L^{k+1} = [L, L^k]$ is an ideal of L , and therefore $L^{k+1} \subseteq L^k$. By induction, both statements are true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma 3.2.3

Let L_1, L_2 be Lie algebras. Let $\phi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then

$$\phi(L^k) = (\phi(L))^k$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We prove by induction. The base case $k = 0$ is clear. Suppose that $\phi(L^k) = (\phi(L))^k$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(L^{k+1}) &= \phi([L, L^k]) \\ &= [\phi(L), \phi(L^k)] \\ &= [\phi(L), (\phi(L))^k] \\ &= (\phi(L))^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we conclude. \square

Definition 3.2.4: Nilpotent Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. We say that L is nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$L^n = 0$$

Lemma 3.2.5

Let L be a Lie algebra. If L is abelian, then L is nilpotent.

Proof. Let L be abelian. Then $L^1 = [L, L] = 0$. \square

Example 3.2.6

Consider the following Lie algebras.

- $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent.
- $b_n(\mathbb{C})$ is not nilpotent for all $n \geq 2$.
- $U_3(\mathbb{C})$ the Heisenberg Lie algebra is nilpotent (3×3 strictly upper triangular matrices)
- $U_n(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent for all $n \geq 3$.

Example 3.2.7

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 2$. Let k be a field. Then $u_n(k)$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Write $e_{i,j}$ the matrix with 1 at the (i, j) th position and 0 everywhere else. Notice that

a basis for $u_2(\mathbb{C})$ is given by $\{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Moreover, one can compute that $[e_{i,j}, e_{k,l}] = \delta_{j,k}e_{i,l} - \delta_{i,l}e_{k,j}$.

I claim that

$$u_n(k)^p = \langle e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, i+p < j \leq n \rangle$$

for all $0 \leq p \leq n-1$. We proceed by induction. The base case is trivial.

Assume the result is true for some $p \leq n-2$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $a+p+1 < b$. Then notice that $e_{a,b} = [e_{a,a+1}, e_{a+p+1,b}] \in [u_n(k), u_n(k)^p] = u_n(k)^{p+1}$. However, notice that $e_{a,a+p+1}$ does not lie in $u_n(k)^{p+1}$. By anti-symmetry notice that we just need to consider the case $j = k$ in $[e_{i,j}, e_{k,l}]$. But $i+p < j+p < l$ implies that $l-i > p+1$. But $(a+p+1) - a = p+1$ means that no $i, l \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $e_{a,a+p+1} = [e_{i,j}, e_{j,l}]$. Hence we are done. The it is clear that $u_n(k)^{n-1} = 0$. So $u_n(k)$ is nilpotent. \square

Lemma 3.2.8

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then the following are true.

- Let M be a Lie subalgebra of L . If L is nilpotent, then M is nilpotent.
- If $L \neq 0$ is nilpotent, then $Z(L) \neq 0$
- If $L/Z(L)$ is nilpotent, then L is nilpotent.

Proof.

- Let M be a Lie subalgebra of L . I claim that $M^k \subseteq L^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The base case $k = 0$ is clearly true. Suppose that $M^k \subseteq L^k$. Let $x \in [M, M^k] = M^{k+1}$. Then $x = [m, t]$ for some $m \in M$ and $t \in M^k$. Then $t \in L^k$. Also $m \in L$ implies that $x = [m, t] \in [L, L^k] = L^{k+1}$. Thus $M^{k+1} \subseteq L^{k+1}$. Now since L is nilpotent, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L^n = 0$. Then $M^n \subseteq L^n = 0$ so that M is also nilpotent.
- Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest natural number such that $L^n = 0$. Then $[L, L^{n-1}] = 0$. Let $x \in L$. Then for all $y \in L^{n-1}$, we have that $[x, y] = 0$. Thus $x \in Z(L)$.
- Since $L/Z(L)$ is nilpotent, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(L/Z(L))^n = 0$. Let $\pi : L \rightarrow L/Z(L)$ be the quotient homomorphism. Since π is surjective, we use the above lemma to find that

$$\pi(L^n) = \pi(L)^n = \left(\frac{L}{Z(L)} \right)^n = \frac{L^n + Z(L)}{Z(L)} = 0$$

This means that $L^n \subseteq Z(L)$. It follows that $L^{n+1} = [L, L^n] \subseteq [L, Z(L)] = 0$ and we conclude. \square

Proposition 3.2.9

Let k be a field. Let V be a vector space over k . Let $L \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a Lie sub-algebra such that for all $T \in L$, T is nilpotent. Then we have

$$\bigcap_{T \in L} \ker(T) \neq \{0\}$$

Proof. We induct on the dimension of V . When $\dim(L) = 1$, we have $L = \langle T \rangle$ for some non-zero $T \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Suppose that $T^n = 0$ but $T^{n-1} \neq 0$. Suppose that $x \in V$ is such that $T^{n-1}(x) = y \neq 0$. Then for any $S \in L = \langle T \rangle$, write $S = \lambda T$ for some $\lambda \in k$. Then we have

$$S(y) = \lambda T(T^{n-1}(x)) = 0$$

since $T^n = 0$. Thus $0 \neq x \in \bigcap_{T \in L} \ker(T) \neq \{0\}$.

Suppose the result is true for all Lie sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of dimension $< k$. Let L be a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of dimension k . Let M be a maximal proper Lie sub-algebra of L . Such a Lie sub-algebra exists since L is finite dimensional and $\{0\}$ is a proper Lie sub-algebra.

Define $f : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L/M)$ by

$$f(m)(x + M) \mapsto [m, x] + M$$

I claim that f is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Such a map is well defined since $x \in M$ implies that $[m, x] \in M$. Moreover, since $[-, -]$ is bilinear, the map $f(m)$ lies in $\mathfrak{gl}(L/M)$ and that f is linear. Finally, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} [f(m_1), f(m_2)](x + M) &= (f(m_1)f(m_2) - f(m_2)f(m_1))(x + M) \\ &= f(m_1)([m_2, x] + M) - f(m_2)([m_1, x] + M) \\ &= [m_1, [m_2, x]] - [m_2, [m_1, x]] + M \\ &= -[[m_2, x], m_1] + [[m_1, x], m_2] + M \\ &= -[[m_2, x], m_1] - [[x, m_1], m_2] + M \\ &= [[m_1, m_2], x] + M \quad (\text{Jacobi Identity}) \\ &= f([m_1, m_2])(x + M) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_1, m_2 \in M$ and $x \in L$. Thus f is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Let $m \in M \subseteq L$. Then m is nilpotent, and so m is ad-nilpotent by lemma 2.2.5. This means that $\text{ad}(m)^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have

$$f(m)^n(x + M) = \text{ad}(m)^n(x) + M = M$$

and that $f(m)$ is nilpotent. Now we have that $\dim(f(M)) \leq \dim(M) < \dim(L) = k$ and all elements of $f(M)$ are nilpotent. We can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude that there exists $x \in L \setminus M$ such that

$$x + M \in \bigcap_{T \in f(M)} \ker(T) = \bigcap_{m \in M} \ker(f(m))$$

The consider $N = M \oplus \langle x \rangle$. I claim that N is a Lie sub-algebra of L . To see this, let $n_1, n_2 \in N$, which we can write as $n_1 = m_1 + \lambda_1 x$ and $n_2 = m_2 + \lambda_2 x$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in M$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in k$. Then we check that

$$[n_1, n_2] = [m_1 + \lambda_1 x, m_2 + \lambda_2 x] = [m_1, m_2] + \lambda_1 [x, m_2] + \lambda_2 [m_1, x] \in M$$

so that N is indeed a Lie sub-algebra of L . Since M is maximal and $\dim(N) > \dim(M)$, we conclude that $N = L$. Moreover, M is an ideal of L since we can check that for any $z \in L$ and $m \in M$, we can write $z = m + \lambda x$ for $m \in M$ and $\lambda \in k$ and compute that

$$[z, m'] = [m, m'] + \lambda [x, m'] \in M$$

for any $m' \in M$.

Now apply the inductive hypothesis on M to get that $W = \bigcap_{T \in M} \ker(T) \subseteq M$ is a non-trivial subspace of L . I claim that it is invariant under L . To see this, let $y \in L$ and $m \in W$. We want to show that $y(m) \in W$. So let $T \in M$ be arbitrary. We compute that

$$T(y(m)) = T(y(m)) - y(T(0)) = [T, y](m)$$

since the bracket of M is the bracket of L , which is the bracket of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Also, $[T, y](m) = 0$ since M is an ideal implies that $[T, y] \in M$ so that $m \in \ker([T, y])$ since $m \in W$. Thus W is an invariant subspace of L .

This means that $x(w) \in W$ for all $w \in W$. Since $x \in L$, x is nilpotent. Suppose that $x^t = 0$ but $x^{t-1} \neq 0$. This means that there exists $w \in W$ such that $q = x^{t-1}(w) \neq 0$, and $x(q) = 0$. Let $T \in L$ be arbitrary. Since $L = M \oplus \langle x \rangle$, we can write $T = m + \lambda x$ for some $m \in M$ and $\lambda \in k$. Then we have

$$T(q) = m(q) + \lambda x(q) = 0$$

because $q \in W$ implies that $m(q) = 0$ for all $m \in M$. Thus $0 \neq q \in \bigcap_{T \in L} \ker(T)$ so that we conclude. \square

Proposition 3.2.10: Engel's Theorem for Lie Sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$

Let k be a field. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over k . Let L be a Lie subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$. If all $x \in L$ are nilpotent, then the following are true.

- There exists a basis for V such that all elements of L are strictly upper triangular.
- L is nilpotent.

Proof. We induct on the dimension of V . When $\dim(V) = 1$, then $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \cong k$ as vector spaces. The only nilpotent element of k is 0. Thus $L = \{0\}$ and we are done.

Assume the results are true for all vector spaces V with $\dim(V) < k$. Let V be a k dimensional vector space. By prp3.2.8, there exists $v \in V$ such that $0 \neq v \in \bigcap_{T \in L} \ker(T)$. Let $W = V/\langle v \rangle$. Define a map $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$ by

$$\phi(x)(u + \langle v \rangle) = x(u) + \langle v \rangle$$

Notice that this is well defined since $u \in \langle v \rangle$ implies that $x(u) = 0$ by definition of v . Moreover, $\phi(x)$ is a well defined linear map since x is a linear map. Finally, ϕ is a linear map since addition in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is defined pointwise. It is also a Lie algebra homomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([x, y])(u + \langle v \rangle) &= [x, y](u) + \langle v \rangle \\ &= x(y(u)) - y(x(u)) + \langle v \rangle \\ &= \phi(x)(\phi(y)(u)) - \phi(y)(\phi(x)(u)) + \langle v \rangle \\ &= [\phi(x), \phi(y)](u + \langle v \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi(L)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$.

Recall that all $x \in L$ are nilpotent, say $x^n = 0$. Then we have

$$\phi(x)^n(u + \langle v \rangle) = x^n(u) + \langle v \rangle = \langle v \rangle$$

so that all elements of $\phi(L)$ are nilpotent. Since $\dim(W) = \dim(V) - 1$, we can apply the induction hypothesis to get a basis $u_1 + \langle v \rangle, \dots, u_{k-1} + \langle v \rangle$ such that all elements of $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$ are strictly upper triangular. I claim that v, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} is a basis for V so that all elements of L are strictly upper triangular. Let $x \in L$. The matrix of x is given by the matrix of $x|_{\langle v \rangle}$ and the block sum of the matrix of $x|_W = \phi(x)$. By assumption $\phi(x)$ is upper triangular with respect to $u_1 + \langle v \rangle, \dots, u_{k-1} + \langle v \rangle$. Since $x(v) = 0$ by construction, we conclude that x is upper triangular with respect to the basis v, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} and so the first part is complete.

For the second part, we know that V has a basis for which all elements of L are strictly upper triangular. This means that L is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_n(k)$ for $n = \dim(V)$. By 3.2.7 and 3.2.8 we conclude that L is nilpotent. \square

Theorem 3.2.11: Engel's Theorem

Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra. Then L is nilpotent if and only if all $x \in L$ are ad-nilpotent.

Proof. Let L be nilpotent. Then $L^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\text{ad}(x)^n(y) \in L^n$ for all x and y , we conclude that x is ad-nilpotent.

Conversely, suppose that all $x \in L$ are ad-nilpotent. Consider the adjoint homomorphism $\text{ad} : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$. Since all $x \in L$ are ad-nilpotent, this means that $\text{ad}(x) \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ is nilpotent for all $\text{ad}(x) \in \text{ad}(L)$. Then by Engel's theorem for Lie sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, we conclude that $\text{ad}(L)$ is nilpotent. Since $\text{ad}(L) \cong \frac{L}{Z(L)}$, by 3.2.8 we conclude that L is nilpotent. \square

3.3 Soluble Lie Algebras

Definition 3.3.1: Derived Series

Let L be a Lie algebra. Define the derived series $L^{(n)}$ of L to be the sequence recursively defined as follows.

- For $n = 0$, define $L^{(0)} = L$
- When $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$L^{(n)} = [L^{(n-1)}, L^{(n-1)}]$$

Lemma 3.3.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then the following are true.

- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L^{(n+1)} \subseteq L^{(n)}$.
- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L^{(n)}$ is an ideal of L .

Proof. Both are clear when $n = 0$. Suppose that they are true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by lemma 1.2.5, $L^{(k+1)} = [L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}]$ is an ideal of L , and hence $L^{(k+1)} \subseteq L^{(k)}$. By induction, both cases are true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma 3.3.3

Let L_1, L_2 be Lie algebras. Let $\phi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Then

$$\phi(L_1^{(k)}) = \phi(L_1)^{(k)}$$

Proof. When $k = 0$, the lemma is trivial. Assume that it is true for some k . Then we have

$$\phi(L^{(k+1)}) = \phi([L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}]) = [\phi(L^{(k)}), \phi(L^{(k)})] = [\phi(L)^{(k)}, \phi(L)^{(k)}] = \phi(L)^{(k+1)}$$

By induction we conclude. \square

Definition 3.3.4: Soluble Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. We say that L is soluble if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$L^{(n)} = 0$$

Lemma 3.3.5

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then the following are true.

- If L is abelian, then L is soluble.
- If L is nilpotent, then L is soluble.

Proof. Let L be abelian. Then $L^{(1)} = [L, L] = 0$.

I claim that $L^{(n)} \subseteq L^n$. When $n = 0$, the case is trivial. Suppose that $L^{(k)} \subseteq L^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$L^{(k+1)} = [L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}] \subseteq [L^k, L^k] \subseteq [L, L^k] = L^{k+1}$$

By induction, we conclude that $L^{(n)} = L^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If L is nilpotent, then $L^n = 0$ for some n so that $L^{(n)} = 0$. Then L is soluble. \square

Proposition 3.3.6

Let L be a Lie algebra of dimension 2. Then L is soluble.

Proof. If L is abelian, then Imm 2.2.4 implies that L is soluble. So suppose that L is non-abelian. Then $L^{(1)} = [L, L] \neq 0$. Suppose for a contradiction that $[L, L] = L$. Let $v, w \in L$ be non-zero. Define $x = [v, w]$ and extend it to a basis $\{x, y\}$ of L . Suppose that $v = ax + by$ and $w = cx + dy$. Then we have that

$$x = [v, w] = [ax + by, cx + dy] = (ad - bc)[x, y]$$

Since $[L, L] = L$, there exists $f, g \in L$ such that $[f, g] = y$. Let $f = px + qy$ and $g = rx + sy$. Then we have that

$$y = [f, g] = [px + qy, rx + sy] = (ps - qr)[x, y]$$

Then we have that

$$\frac{1}{ad - bc}x = [x, y] = \frac{1}{(ps - qr)}y$$

which means that x and y are linearly dependent. This is a contradiction. Hence $[L, L] \neq L$.

Since $[L, L]$ is a Lie subalgebra of L , $[L, L]$ must be 1-dimensional. By the classification of 1-dimensional Lie algebras, $L^{(1)} = [L, L]$ is abelian. Hence $L^{(2)} = [L^{(1)}, L^{(1)}] = 0$. Thus L is soluble. \square

Example 3.3.7

The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are not soluble.

Proof. Using a similar method as Example 3.2.7, recall that a basis for $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is given by $\{e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,1}, e_{2,2}\}$. Then we compute that $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{(1)}$ is spanned by the elements

- $[e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}] = e_{1,2}$
- $[e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}] = -e_{2,1}$
- $[e_{1,1}, e_{2,2}] = 0$
- $[e_{1,2}, e_{2,1}] = e_{1,1} - e_{2,2}$
- $[e_{1,2}, e_{2,2}] = e_{1,2}$
- $[e_{2,1}, e_{2,2}] = -e_{2,1}$

So that $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{(1)} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. We can then compute $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{(2)} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{(1)}$ which is spanned by

- $[e_{1,2}, e_{2,1}] = e_{1,1} - e_{2,2}$
- $[e_{1,2}, e_{1,1} - e_{2,2}] = -2e_{1,2}$
- $[e_{2,1}, e_{1,1} - e_{2,2}] = e_{2,1} + e_{2,2}$

So that $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{(2)} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})^{(1)} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since both of the derived series stabilizes, we conclude that they are not soluble. \square

Example 3.3.8

Let $n \geq 2$. Then $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is soluble.

Proof. Using a similar method as Example 3.2.7, we conclude that

$\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})] = \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k)} = \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k-1)}$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k+1)} = [\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k)}, \mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k)}] = [\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k-1)}, \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k-1)}] = \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(k)}$$

So by induction we have $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(n+1)} = \mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})^{(n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathfrak{u}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent, it is also soluble. Hence $\mathfrak{b}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is also soluble. \square

Proposition 3.3.9

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let I and J be ideals of L . Then the following are true.

- Let $\phi : L \rightarrow K$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. If L is soluble then $\phi(L)$ is soluble.
- Let M be a Lie subalgebra of L . If L is soluble, then M is soluble.
- If L is soluble, then L/I is soluble.
- If I and L/I are soluble, then L is soluble.
- If I and J are soluble, then $I + J$ is soluble.

Proof.

- Suppose that $L^{(n)} = 0$ for some n . Then $0 = \phi(L^{(n)}) = \phi(L)^{(n)}$ so we are done.
- When $k = 0$, we have $M^{(0)} = M \subseteq L = L^{(0)}$. Assume that $M^{(k)} \subseteq L^{(k)}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$M^{(k+1)} = [M^{(k)}, M^{(k)}] \subseteq [L^{(k)}, L^{(k)}] = L^{(k+1)}$$

So by induction we have $M^{(n)} \subseteq L^{(n)}$ for all n . If L is soluble, then $L^{(n)} = 0$ for some n so that $M^{(n)} \subseteq L^{(n)} = 0$.

- Suppose that $L^{(n)} = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\pi : L \rightarrow L/I$ be the projection map. Then we have

$$0 = \pi(L^{(n)}) = (L/I)^{(n)}$$

so we are done.

- Suppose that $(L/I)^{(n)} = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\pi : L \rightarrow L/I$ be the projection map. Since π is surjective, we have

$$\pi(L^{(n)}) = (L/I)^{(n)} = 0$$

This means that $L^{(n)} \subseteq I$. We know that I being soluble means that $I^{(k)} = 0$ for some k . Therefore we have

$$L^{(n+k)} \subseteq I^{(k)} = 0$$

so that L is soluble.

- By the third isomorphism theorem, we have a Lie algebra isomorphism $\frac{I+J}{J} \cong \frac{I}{I \cap J}$. Since I is soluble, $\frac{I}{I \cap J}$ is soluble by the above. Hence $\frac{I+J}{J}$ is soluble. Since J is also soluble, from the above we conclude that $I + J$ is soluble.

□

Theorem 3.3.10: Lie's Theorem

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Let L be a soluble Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Then there exists a basis B of V such that for all $T \in L$, T is upper triangular.

Proposition 3.3.11

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then L is soluble if and only if $[L, L]$ is nilpotent.

Proposition 3.3.12

Let V be a vector space over a field k . Let $L \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a Lie sub-algebra. If $\text{tr}(xy) = 0$ for all $x, y \in L$, then L is soluble.

Proof.

□

3.4 Semisimple Lie Algebras

Proposition 3.4.1

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then there exists a unique soluble ideal I of L such that for any soluble ideal $J \subseteq L$, we have $J \subseteq I$.

Definition 3.4.2: Radical Ideals

Let L be a Lie algebra. Define the radical ideal $\text{rad}(L) \subseteq L$ of L to be the unique soluble ideal of L that contains all other soluble ideals.

Definition 3.4.3: Semisimple Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. We say that L is semisimple if

$$\text{rad}(L) = \{0\}$$

Lemma 3.4.4

Let L be a Lie algebra. If L is semisimple, then $\dim(L) \geq 3$.

Proof. Any Lie algebra of dimension 1 or 2 must be soluble, and cannot be semisimple. \square

Lemma 3.4.5

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then $L/\text{rad}(L)$ is semisimple.

Proof. Let K be a soluble ideal of $L/\text{rad}(L)$. By the correspondence theorem, there exists an ideal I of L such that $\text{rad}(L) \subseteq I$ and $K = I/\text{rad}(L)$. Since $\text{rad}(L)$ and K are soluble, we conclude that I is soluble. Hence $I \subseteq \text{rad}(L)$. We conclude that $I = \text{rad}(L)$. Hence $K = \{0\}$. Thus $L/\text{rad}(L)$ is semisimple. \square

Lemma 3.4.6

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then L is not semisimple if and only if L contains a non-trivial abelian ideal.

Proof. If L is not semisimple, then $\text{rad}(L) \neq \{0\}$ is a non-trivial soluble ideal. This means that there exists a smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{rad}(L)^{(n)} = 0$. But this is the same as saying that

$$[\text{rad}(L)^{(n-1)}, \text{rad}(L)^{(n-1)}] = \text{rad}(L)^{(n)} = 0$$

This means that $\text{rad}(L)^{(n-1)}$ is an abelian ideal. In particular, it is non-trivial since n is the smallest number for which $\text{rad}(L)^{(n)}$ is zero.

If L contains a non-trivial abelian ideal I , then by lmm 2.2.4 we have that I is soluble. Hence $I \subseteq \text{rad}(L)$ and $\text{rad}(L)$ is non-zero. Hence L is not semisimple. \square

Example 3.4.7

The following are true.

- $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not semisimple.
- $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple.

Proof. Since $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is abelian, it is soluble. Hence $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})) \subseteq \text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$. Thus $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not semisimple.

I claim that

$$\text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \{aI_2 \mid a \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

The second equality is clear. Notice that if the dimension of $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is 2 or 3, then $\frac{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})}{\text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))}$ has dimension 1 or 2. In both cases, we have seen that it must be soluble. This is a contradiction since $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ being soluble implies that $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is soluble. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))) = 1$. Since $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ also has dimension 1, we conclude that $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

Consider the composite Lie algebra homomorphism given by the inclusion $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ composed with the projection $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})}{Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))}$. They both have dimension 3. Moreover, it is injective because if $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \in Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$, then we conclude that $a = b = c = 0$. Therefore the composite map is a Lie algebra isomorphism. By the above theorem, we have that

$$\text{rad}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \text{rad}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})}{Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))}\right) = \text{rad}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})}{\text{rad}(\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}))}\right) = 0$$

Thus $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple. □

3.5 Simple Lie Algebras

Definition 3.5.1: Simple Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. We say that L is simple if L is non-abelian and has no proper non-zero ideals.

Lemma 3.5.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. If L is simple, then L is semisimple.

Example 3.5.3

$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a simple Lie algebra.

Proof. We know that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = 3$. Let I be a proper non-zero ideal of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then I has dimension 1 or 2. As a Lie algebra I must be soluble. Then $I \subseteq \text{rad}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) \neq \{0\}$ so that $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not semisimple, a contradiction. □

3.6 Low Dimensional Lie Algebras

Proposition 3.6.1

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field k such that $\dim(L) = 1$. Then L is isomorphic to k equipped with the trivial Lie bracket.

Proposition 3.6.2

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field k such that $\dim(L) = 2$. Then L is isomorphic to one of the following.

- The vector space k^2 together with the trivial Lie bracket.
- The vector space $k^2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ together with the Lie bracket defined by $[x, y] = x$.

Proof. Let L be non-abelian. Then $[L, L]$ must have dimension at least 1. It must also have dimension at most 1, otherwise $[L, L] = L$ implies that L is not soluble. Thus $\dim([L, L]) = 1$. Suppose that x spans $[L, L]$. Let $y \in L$ be such that $\{x, y\}$ is a basis for L . Then $[x, y] = \lambda x$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. Then let $y' = \frac{1}{\lambda}y$ so that $[x, y'] = x$. Hence L has a basis $\{x, y\}$ such that $[x, y] = x$ and we are done. \square

4 The Killing Form of a Lie Algebra

4.1 The Killing Form

Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Recall that the trace of A is defined as

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,k}$$

Now let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Then we can also define the trace of T abstractly so that any choice of representation of T with a matrix gives coinciding trace. This is also given in Linear Algebra. The formula is

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T(e_i), e_i \rangle$$

Definition 4.1.1: The Killing Form

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Define the killing form of L to be the function

$$k : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by $k(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(y))$.

Lemma 4.1.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then the following are true.

- The killing form on L is a symmetric bilinear form.
- $k([x, y], z) = k(x, [y, z])$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Proof. Let L be a Lie algebra over a field \mathbb{F} . Let $x, y \in L$. Then we have that

$$k(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(y)) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(y))$$

since the trace function preserve commutation. Thus k is symmetric.

Because it is symmetric, it is sufficient to show that k is linear in the first variable for bilinearity. But the adjoint homomorphism is linear and composition preserves linearity. Hence k is bilinear.

Finally, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k([x, y], z) &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}([x, y]) \circ \text{ad}(z)) \\ &= \text{tr}([\text{ad}(x), \text{ad}(y)] \circ \text{ad}(z)) && (\text{ad is a Lie algebra Hom}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ [\text{ad}(y), \text{ad}(z)]) && (\text{Imm1.6.2}) \\ &= k(x, [y, z]) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.1.3

Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra. Let I be an ideal of L . If k is the killing form of L and $k|_I$ is the killing form of I , then

$$k|_I = k|_{I \times I}$$

Proof. Let I be an ideal of L so that I is also a Lie subalgebra of L . Let B_I be a basis for I . Extend it to a basis B_L of L . Let $x \in I$. Then we have a Lie algebra homomorphism

$\text{ad}(x) : L \rightarrow I$ since $[x, z] \in I$ for all $z \in L$. We can then represent $\text{ad}(x)$ in the basis B using the matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A_x & B_x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_x is the matrix representing the linear map $\text{ad}(x)|_I : I \rightarrow I$. For any $x, y \in I$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k(x, y) &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(y)) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} A_x & B_x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_y & B_y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} A_x A_y & A_x B_y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr}(A_x A_y) \\ &= k_I(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2 Cartan's Two Criteria

Theorem 4.2.1: Cartan's First Criterion

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then L is soluble if and only if $k(x, y) = 0$ for all $x \in L$ and $y \in [L, L]$.

Proof. Let L be soluble. Then $\text{ad}(L) = [L, L]$ is a Lie subalgebra of L and is soluble by 2.2.5. By Lie's theorem, there exists a basis B of L such that every element of $\text{ad}(L) \leq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$ is upper triangular. Hence every element of $\text{ad}([L, L]) = [\text{ad}(L), \text{ad}(L)]$ is represented by a strictly upper triangular matrix since $[\mathfrak{b}_n(k), \mathfrak{b}_n(k)] = \mathfrak{u}_n(k)$. Hence $\text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(y)) = 0$ for all $x \in L$ and $y \in [L, L]$.

Now suppose that $\text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(y)) = 0$ for all $x \in L$ and $y \in [L, L]$. This means that $\text{ad}([L, L]) = [\text{ad}(L), \text{ad}(L)]$ is soluble by prp3.4.3. By 2.1.2 we know that $\text{ad}(L)/([\text{ad}(L), \text{ad}(L)])$ is abelian. By prp2.2.5, we conclude that $\text{ad}(L)$ is soluble. Since $Z(L)$ is abelian, $Z(L)$ is soluble. Hence by the same proposition we conclude that L is soluble since $\text{ad}(L) = L/Z(L)$. □

Let L be a Lie algebra. The killing form allows L to be an inner product space. Recall from Linear Algebra that the orthogonal complement of a subspace W of L is given by

$$W^\perp = \{x \in L \mid k(x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}$$

Lemma 4.2.2

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let I be an ideal of L . Then I^\perp is an ideal of L .

Proof. We already know that I^\perp is a vector space. We want to show that $[x, i] \in I^\perp$ for all $x \in L$ and $i \in I^\perp$. Let $j \in I$ be arbitrary. Then we have

$$k([x, i], j) = k(x, [i, j]) = 0$$

since $[i, j] \in I$. Hence $[x, i] \in I^\perp$ and we are done. □

Recall from Linear Algebra that a bilinear form is non-degenerate if if

$$V^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \tau(v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in V\} = 0$$

Because the killing form is symmetric bilinear, this is the same as saying the orthogonal complement $V^\perp = 0$.

Theorem 4.2.3: Cartan's Second Criterion

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then L is semisimple if and only if k is non-degenerate.

Proof. Assume that k is degenerate. Then $L^\perp \neq \{0\}$ and hence a non-trivial ideal of L . Let $x \in L^\perp$ and $y \in [L^\perp, L^\perp] \subseteq L$. Then $k(x, y) = 0$ by definition of L^\perp . Then $k_{L^\perp} = 0$. By Cartan's first criterion, L^\perp is soluble. Hence $L^\perp \subseteq \text{rad}(L)$ and L is not semisimple.

Now suppose that L is not semisimple. Then L contains a non-trivial abelian ideal I . Let $x \in L$ and $i \in I$. Then we have that

$$(\text{ad}(i) \circ \text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(i))(y) = [i, [x, [i, y]]] = 0$$

for any $y \in L$ because $[x, [i, y]] \in I$ and I is abelian. Applying $\text{ad}(x)$ on both sides show that

$$(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(i))^2 = 0$$

Thus $\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(i)$ is nilpotent in $\text{End}(L)$. By Engel's theorem, we can find a basis of L such that $\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(i)$ is strictly upper triangular. This means that $k(x, i) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x) \circ \text{ad}(i)) = 0$. But this means that $I \subseteq L^\perp$ since $i \in I \subseteq L$ is such that $k(i, x) = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Since I is non-trivial, L^\perp is non-trivial. Thus k is degenerate. \square

Lemma 4.2.4

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let I be an ideal of L . If L is semisimple, then the following are true.

- $I \cap I^\perp = \{0\}$
- $L = I \oplus I^\perp$ as Lie algebras
- I and I^\perp are semisimple

Theorem 4.2.5

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then L is semisimple if and only if there exists simple ideals I_1, \dots, I_k of L such that

$$L = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_k$$

Proof. Suppose that L is semisimple. We induct on the dimension of L . We have seen that 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional Lie algebras cannot be semisimple. So we begin with the base case $\dim(L) = 3$. If L has a proper non-trivial ideal I , then I is either 1-dimensional or 2-dimensional. Then I is soluble by the classification theorems. This means that $I \subseteq \text{rad}(L)$ is non-zero. This is a contradiction since we assumed that L is semisimple. Hence L has no proper non-trivial ideals. Hence L is simple.

Now suppose the result holds for all Lie algebras of dimension $< n$. Let $\dim(L) = n$. Let I be a non-zero minimal ideal of L . By lmm 4.2.6, $L = I \oplus I^\perp$ where I and I^\perp are both semisimple. If $L = I$ then we are done. If $L \neq I$ then I has dimension strictly less than n . By inductive hypothesis, there exists simple ideals I_1, \dots, I_k of I and simple ideals J_1, \dots, J_s such that

$$I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_i \quad \text{and} \quad I^\perp = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s J_j$$

Now we want to show that I_i and J_j are ideals of L . Let $x \in L$. Then $x = a + b$ for $a \in I$ and

$b \in I^\perp$. If $z \in I_i$, then

$$[x, z] = [a, z] + [b, z] = [a, z] \in I_i$$

since $[b, z] \in I \cap I^\perp = \{0\}$. Similarly, one can show that $[x, r] \in J_j$ whenever $r \in J_j$. Thus I_i and J_j are ideals of L . Hence we obtain a direct sum decomposition

$$L = I \oplus I^\perp = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k I_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^s J_j$$

Thus the induction is complete.

Now let $L = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k I_j$. Assume that $\text{rad}(L) \neq \{0\}$. This means that $R_j = \text{rad}(L) \cap I_j$ is a soluble ideal of L and I_j . Since I_j is simple, I_j is semisimple. Hence $R_j = \{0\}$ so that

$$[R, I_j] \subseteq R \cap I_j = R_j = \{0\}$$

Let $x \in R$ and $y \in L$. Then we can write y as $y = y_1 + \cdots + y_k$ for $y_j \in I_j$. Since $[R, I_j] = \{0\}$, we have that $[x, y_j] = 0$ so that $[x, y] = 0$. Thus $x \in Z(L)$. This means that $R \subseteq Z(L)$. But $Z(L)$ is abelian so $Z(L) \subseteq R$. Hence $R = Z(L)$. By prp 1.5.3, we have that

$$Z(L) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k Z(I_j) = \{0\} \oplus \cdots \oplus \{0\} = \{0\}$$

Hence $R = \{0\}$ and L is semisimple. □

Given a Lie algebra L over \mathbb{C} , the following are now equivalent.

- L is semisimple ($\text{rad}(L) = \{0\}$)
- L contains no non-zero abelian ideals.
- The killing form $k : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate.
- L decomposes into a finite direct sum of simple Lie algebras.

5 Special Subalgebras of a Lie Algebra

5.1 Derivations of Lie Algebras

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Let V be an algebra over \mathbb{F} . Recall that a derivation of V is a linear map $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that the Leibniz rule holds true:

$$\phi(ab) = a\phi(b) + \phi(a)b$$

Clearly it is a \mathbb{F} -subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$. Now that we know that $\text{End}(V)$ can be equipped with a Lie algebra structure, we can also give the \mathbb{F} -subalgebra a Lie bracket.

Definition 5.1.1: The Lie Subalgebra of All Derivations

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Let V be an algebra over \mathbb{F} . Define the Lie subalgebra of derivations to be the set

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V) = \{\phi \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \mid \phi \text{ is a derivation}\}$$

together with Lie algebra structure inherited from $\mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$.

Lemma 5.1.2

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Let V be an algebra over \mathbb{F} . Then $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ is a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Proof. We know that $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ is a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$. For any $a, b \in V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1, f_2](ab) &= f_1(f_2(ab)) - f_2(f_1(ab)) \\ &= f_1(f_2(a)b + af_2(b)) - f_2(f_1(a)b + af_1(b)) \\ &= f_1(f_2(a))b + f_2(a)f_1(b) + f_1(a)f_2(b) + af_1(f_2(b)) - f_2(f_1(a))b - f_1(a)f_2(b) - f_2(a)f_1(b) - af_2(f_1(b)) \\ &= f_1(f_2(a))b + af_1(f_2(b)) - f_2(f_1(a))b - af_2(f_1(b)) \\ &= a[f_1, f_2](b) + [f_1, f_2](a)b \end{aligned}$$

so that the bracket operator is closed. \square

Lemma 5.1.3

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} . Then $\text{ad}(L)$ is an ideal of $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)$.

Proof. Let $x \in L$. I claim that $\text{ad}(x)$ is a derivation. Indeed, for $y, z \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(x)([y, z]) &= [x, [y, z]] \\ &= -[[y, z], x] \\ &= [[z, x], y] + [[x, y], z] \\ &= -[\text{ad}(x)z, y] + [\text{ad}(x)(y), z] \\ &= [\text{ad}(x)(y), z] + [y, \text{ad}(x)(z)] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{ad}(L) \subseteq \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)$.

Let $x \in L$ and $\phi \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)$. It remains to show that $[\phi, \text{ad}(x)]$ is the adjoint homomorphism of some element. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi, \text{ad}(x)](y) &= \phi(\text{ad}(x)(y)) - \text{ad}(x)(\phi(y)) \\ &= \phi([x, y]) - [x, \phi(y)] \\ &= [x, \phi(y)] + [\phi(x), y] - [x, \phi(y)] \\ &= [\phi(x), y] \\ &= \text{ad}(\phi(x))(y) \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in L$. Hence we are done. \square

Proposition 5.1.4

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(L) = \{\text{ad}(x) : L \rightarrow L \mid x \in L\} = \text{ad}(L)$$

In other words, the only derivations of L is given exactly by the adjoints.

Proof. We know that $\text{ad}(L)$ is an ideal of $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)$. By lemma 4.1.3 we know that the killing form of $\text{ad}(L)^{\perp}$ is just the restriction of $k_{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)}$ to the ideal. So we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(L) \cap \text{ad}(L)^{\perp} &= \text{ad}(L) \cap \{\phi \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L) \mid k_{\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)}(\phi, \psi) = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in M\} \\ &= \{\phi \in \text{ad}(L) \mid k|_{\text{ad}(L)}(\phi, \psi) = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \text{ad}(L)\} \end{aligned}$$

But this is precisely the orthogonal complement of $\text{ad}(L)$ in $\text{ad}(L)$. Since $\text{ad}(L) \cong L$ is semisimple, by Cartan's second criterion $k|_{\text{ad}(L)}$ is non-degenerate. Hence the orthogonal complement of $\text{ad}(L)$ in $\text{ad}(L)$ is 0, and hence $\text{ad}(L) \cap \text{ad}(L)^{\perp} = \{0\}$ in $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$. Let $\phi \in \text{ad}(L)^{\perp}$. For any $x \in L$, we have that $\text{ad}(\phi(x)) = [\phi, \text{ad}(x)]$ by the above lemma. Since $\text{ad}(L)^{\perp}$ and $\text{ad}(L)$ are both ideals, this element lies in $\text{ad}(L) \cap \text{ad}(L)^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Hence $\phi(x) \in \ker(\text{ad}) = \{0\}$ for all $x \in L$. This implies that ϕ is the zero map, and so $\text{ad}(L)^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

There are now two cases to consider. If $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ is degenerate, then $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$. Then $\{0\} = \text{ad}(L)^{\perp} \supseteq \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$ is a contradiction. Then $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ must be non-degenerate. From Linear Algebra we have that $\text{Der}(L) = \text{ad}(L) \oplus \text{ad}(L)^{\perp}$ since $\text{ad}(L) \cap \text{ad}(L)^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Since $\text{ad}(L)^{\perp} = \{0\}$, we conclude that $\text{ad}(L) \oplus \{0\} = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$. \square

Recall the we have seen from Linear Algebra the following fact: If $T \in GL(V)$ is a linear map on V with minimal polynomial factorizing as

$$\mu_T = (x - \lambda_1)^{a_1} \cdots (x - \lambda_k)^{a_k}$$

where the eigenvalues λ_i are distinct and $a_i \geq 1$, then V decomposes as a direct sum of T -invariant subspaces

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$$

where $V_i = \ker(T - \lambda_i I)^{a_i}$ is the generalized eigenspace.

Lemma 5.1.5

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $\phi \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$ be a derivation with Jordan-Chevalley decomposition given by $\phi = d_{\phi} + n_{\phi}$ as an element of $\mathfrak{gl}(L)$. Then $d_{\phi}, n_{\phi} \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$.

5.2 Cartan Subalgebras

Definition 5.2.1: Abstract Jordan Decomposition

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $x \in L$. Define an abstract Jordan decomposition of x to be a pair of elements $d, n \in L$ such that the following are true.

- $x = d + n$
- $\text{ad}(d) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$ is diagonalizable and $\text{ad}(n) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$ is nilpotent.
- $[d, n] = 0$

Corollary 5.2.2

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $x \in L$ be an element. Then there exists a unique abstract Jordan decomposition of x .

Proposition 5.2.3

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Let $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Let $x = d + n$ be the abstract Jordan decomposition of $x \in L$. Then

$$\phi(x) = \phi(d) + \phi(n)$$

is the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of $\phi(x)$.

Definition 5.2.4: Cartan Subalgebra

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let $H \leq L$ be a Lie subalgebra of L . We say that H is a Cartan subalgebra of L if the following are true.

- H is abelian.
- For each $h \in H$, if $h = d + n$ is the abstract Jordan decomposition where $\text{ad}(n)$ is nilpotent, then $n = 0$.
- H is maximal with respect to these two properties.

Lemma 5.2.5

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then there exists a non-trivial Cartan subalgebra.

6 Representation Theory of Lie Algebras

6.1 Modules over Lie Algebras

Definition 6.1.1: Modules over Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra over k . An L -module consists of a vector space V over k together with an action

$$\cdot : L \times V \rightarrow V$$

such that the following are true.

- Linearity in L : For all $a, b \in k$ and $x, y \in L$, we have that

$$(ax + by) \cdot v = a(x \cdot v) + b(y \cdot v)$$

for all $v \in V$.

- Linearity in V : For all $x \in L$, we have that

$$x \cdot (av + bw) = a(x \cdot v) + b(x \cdot w)$$

for all $a, b \in k$ and $v, w \in V$.

- Commutes with the Lie bracket: $[x, y] \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v)$.

Definition 6.1.2: Submodule of Modules over Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V be an L -module. A submodule of V is a vector subspace W that is a module over L in its own right.

Definition 6.1.3: L-Module Homomorphisms

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V, W be L -modules. An L -module homomorphism is a linear transformation $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\phi(x \cdot v) = x \cdot \phi(v)$$

for all $x \in L$ and $v \in V$.

Definition 6.1.4: L-Module Isomorphisms

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V, W be L -modules. Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be an L -module homomorphism. We say that ϕ is an L -module isomorphism if ϕ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

6.2 Reducibility of Modules over Lie Algebras

Definition 6.2.1: Direct Sum of Modules over Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V be an L -module. Let U and W be vector subspaces of V . We say that V is the direct sum of U and W if

$$V = U \oplus W$$

as vector spaces and U and W are L -submodules of V .

Definition 6.2.2: Irreducible Modules over Lie Algebras

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V be an L -module. We say that V is irreducible (simple) if V has no proper non-trivial L -submodules.

Definition 6.2.3: Completely Reducible

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V be an L -module. We say that V is completely reducible if for all L -submodules W , there exists an L -submodule U of V such that

$$V = W \oplus U$$

Simple modules are thus vacuously completely reducible.

Theorem 6.2.4: Weyl's Theorem

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let V be an L -module. Then V is completely reducible.

6.3 Representations of Lie Algebras**Definition 6.3.1: Representations of a Lie Algebra**

Let L be a Lie algebra. Let V be a vector space. A representation of L is a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\rho : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$$

Proposition 6.3.2

Let L be a Lie algebra over k . Then representations of L and L -modules are in bijection

$$\{V \text{ an } L\text{-module}\} \xrightarrow{1:1} \{L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ a Lie algebra representation}\}$$

This bijection is given by sending an L -module $\cdot : L \times V \rightarrow V$ to the Lie algebra homomorphism $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ defined by $\phi(l)(v) = l \cdot v$.

These two ways to think about the same thing is natural. Recall that a representation of a group can be thought of as either group homomorphism $G \rightarrow GL(V)$ or a $k[G]$ -module.

Idea???? This bijection is a ???-homomorphism.

Proposition 6.3.3

Let L be a Lie algebra over k . Let V be a vector space over k . Then above bijection restricts to a bijection:

$$\{W \text{ an } L\text{-submodule of } V\} \xrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{Ideals of } \mathfrak{gl}(V)\}$$

6.4 The Case of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$

We wish to classify all $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -modules. Since $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple, Weyl's theorem implies that it suffices to classify all irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -modules.

Recall that the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is graded by total degree. We write $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_d$ for the d th homogeneous component of the graded ring.

A basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \left\langle e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

Proposition 6.4.1

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The map $\phi_d : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}[x, y]_d)$ defined on basis vectors by

$$\phi_d(e) = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_d(f) = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_d(h) = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ (Equivalently $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_d$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module).

Lemma 6.4.2

Let V be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module. Let $v \in V$ be an eigenvector of $\text{ad}(h)$ with eigenvalue λ . Then the following are true.

- Either $\text{ad}(e)(v) = 0$ or $\text{ad}(e)(v)$ is an eigenvector of $\text{ad}(h)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda + 2$.
- Either $\text{ad}(f)(v) = 0$ or $\text{ad}(f)(v)$ is an eigenvector of $\text{ad}(h)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda - 2$.

Lemma 6.4.3

Let V be an $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module. Then there exists an eigenvector $v \in V$ for $\text{ad}(h)$ such that the following are true.

- $\text{ad}(e)(v) = 0$
- $\text{ad}(f)^d(v) \neq 0$ and $\text{ad}(f)^{d+1}(v) = 0$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 6.4.4: Classification of Irreducible Representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Let V be an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -module. Then V is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_d$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -modules for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

7 Introduction to Lie Groups

7.1 Lie Groups

Definition 7.1.1: Lie Groups

A Lie group G is a group G that is also a smooth manifold such that the following are true.

- The multiplication map $\cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$(g, h) \mapsto gh$$

is a smooth map of manifolds.

- The inverse map $(-)^{-1} : G \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$g \mapsto g^{-1}$$

is a smooth map of manifolds.

Some immediate examples of Lie groups include the following:

- Any finite group is discrete and hence are zero-dimensional manifolds. So they are Lie groups.
- $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \times)$.
- The torus $(\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n, +)$.
- $GL(V)$ for any finite dimensional real vector space V .
- $U(n), SU(n), O(n), SO(n), SL(n), PSL(n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 7.1.2

Let G be a Lie group. Let H be a subgroup of G . If H is closed in G , then H inherits the structure of a Lie group from G .

Definition 7.1.3: Lie Group Homomorphism

Let G, H be Lie groups. A Lie group homomorphism is a map of sets

$$\phi : G \rightarrow H$$

that is a group homomorphism and a smooth map.

7.2 Relation between Lie Groups and Lie Algebras

For a group G , denote the left multiplication map of $h \in G$ by l_h . If G is a Lie group, we have seen that l_h is a smooth map, and so it induces a differential $(l_h)_*$.

Definition 7.2.1: Left Invariant Vector Field

Let G be a Lie group and X a vector field on G . We say that X is left invariant if

$$(l_h)_*(X_g) = X_{hg}$$

for all $X_g \in T_g(G)$.

Proposition 7.2.2

Let G be a Lie group. The vector space of left invariant vector fields of G is a Lie algebra of dimension $\dim(G)$.

Proposition 7.2.3

Let G be a Lie group. Let $v \in T_{1_G}(G)$ be a tangent vector at the identity. Then there exist a unique left invariant vector field $X : G \rightarrow TG$ on G such that $X(1_G) = v$.

Definition 7.2.4: Lie Algebra of a Lie Group

Let G be a Lie group. Define the Lie algebra of G to be the Lie algebra $T_{1_G}(G)$.

Recall that given a homomorphism of Lie groups $\phi : G \rightarrow H$, it induces a differential $\phi_* : T_g(G) \rightarrow T_{\phi(g)}(H)$.

Proposition 7.2.5

Let $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of Lie groups with Lie algebras V and W respectively. Then the induced map from the differential

$$(\phi_*)_{1_G} : T_{1_G}G \rightarrow T_{1_H}H$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

In other words, we constructed a functor from Lie groups to Lie algebras sending a Lie group to the tangent space at the identity.

7.3 The Exponential Map

8 Root Systems of Vector Spaces

8.1 Properties of Root Systems

Definition 8.1.1: Root Systems of an Inner Product Space over \mathbb{R}

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let $R \subseteq E$. We say that R is a root system in E if the following are true.

- R is finite and $0 \notin R$
- $\mathbb{R}\langle R \rangle = E$ (R spans E)
- If $a \in R$, the $ca \in R$ if and only if $c = \pm 1$
- If $a, b \in R$, then

$$\sigma_a(b) = b - 2 \frac{\langle a, b \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a$$

is an element of R .

- If $a, b \in R$, then $\langle a, b \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$

We can see some first examples in low dimensions.

Let $E = \mathbb{R}^1$ with the standard inner product. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ is clearly a root system.

Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ again with the standard inner product. Notice that any root system must span \mathbb{R}^2 and hence must contain at least two linearly independent vectors and their additive inverses. So choose α and β in \mathbb{R}^2 that are linearly independent, and WLOG choose $\|\alpha\| \leq \|\beta\|$. Let θ be the angle between α and β . WLOG we can assume that $\theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ because otherwise we can take the negative of α or β in the root system to get an obtuse angle. Let us consider some cases of θ .

- If $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $R = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta\}$ is a root system. We draw the following diagram to indicate the root system:
- If $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, then if $R = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm(\alpha + \beta)\}$ is a root system provided that $\|\alpha\| = \|\beta\|$.

It is not true that any choice of θ gives a root system, which we explain through the following.

Lemma 8.1.2

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$. Then the following are true.

- The value of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ must lie in the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$
- The angle between α and β must lie in the set

$$\left\{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6} \right\}$$

We can draw a table of the possible values of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and the corresponding angle between α and β :

$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$	$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$	$\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$	θ
0	0	0	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
1	1	1	$\frac{\pi}{3}$
	-1	-1	$\frac{2\pi}{3}$
2	1	2	$\frac{\pi}{4}$
	-1	-2	$\frac{3\pi}{4}$
3	1	3	$\frac{\pi}{6}$
	-1	-3	$\frac{5\pi}{6}$

Here we assume $\|\alpha\| \leq \|\beta\|$ so we exclude for instance the case $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 2$ and $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = 1$.

8.2 Decomposing Root Systems into Irreducibles

Definition 8.2.1: Irreducible Root Systems

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . We say that R is reducible if there exists two disjoint root systems R_1 and R_2 of E such that $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle = 0$ for $r_1 \in R_1$ and $r_2 \in R_2$. Otherwise, we say that R is irreducible.

Proposition 8.2.2

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Then the following are true.

- There is a decomposition

$$R = R_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg R_k$$

where each R_i is an irreducible root system of $E_i = \mathbb{R}\langle R_i \rangle$

- There is a decomposition

$$E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_k$$

where E_i is orthogonal to E_j for $i \neq j$.

Definition 8.2.3: Isomorphic Root Systems

Let E, F be a finite dimensional inner product spaces over \mathbb{R} . Let R and S be root systems of E and F respectively. We say that E and F are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi : E \rightarrow F$$

of vector spaces such that the following are true.

- $\phi(R) = S$
- For all $a, b \in R$, $\langle \phi(a), \phi(b) \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$.

8.3 Bases for Root Systems

Definition 8.3.1: Bases for Root Systems

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Let $B \subseteq R$. We say that B is a base of R if the following are true.

- B is a basis for E
- For any $r \in R$, there is a decomposition

$$r = \sum_{b \in B} k_b \cdot b$$

where $k_b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and either all k_b are positive or negative.

Proposition 8.3.2

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Then R admits a base.

8.4 The Weyl Group of a Root System

Recall that $\sigma_a(b) = b - 2 \frac{\langle a, b \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a$ for any a, b in a root system R of a finite dimensional inner product space E . In particular, they are elements of the general linear group $GL(E)$.

Definition 8.4.1: The Weyl Group of a Root System

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Define the Weyl group of R to be the subgroup

$$W(R) = \langle \sigma_a \mid a \in R \rangle \leq GL(E)$$

8.5 Dynkin Diagrams and Cartan Matrices**Definition 8.5.1: The Dynkin Diagram of a Root System**

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Let B be a base of R . Define a graph $\Delta(R)$ as follows.

- There is one vertex v_b for each $b \in B$
- For any two vertices v_a and v_b , there are $d_{a,b} = \langle a, b \rangle \langle b, a \rangle$ number of undirected edges between v_a and v_b .

Proposition 8.5.2

Let E, F be finite dimensional inner product spaces over \mathbb{R} . Let R and S be root systems of E and F respectively. Then $R \cong S$ if and only if $\Delta(R) \cong \Delta(S)$.

Proposition 8.5.3

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space. Let R be a root system of E . Then R is irreducible if and only if $\Delta(R)$ is a connected graph.

Definition 8.5.4: The Cartan Matrix

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Let $B = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a base of R . Define the Cartan matrix of R to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_r \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \alpha_r, \alpha_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \alpha_r, \alpha_r \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 8.5.5

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be a root system of E . Then the Cartan matrix of R is unique up to reordering.

8.6 Classification of Irreducible Root Systems**Theorem 8.6.1: Classification of Irreducible Root Systems**

Let E be a finite dimensional inner product space over \mathbb{R} . Let R be an irreducible root system of E . Then $\Delta(R)$ is isomorphic to one of the following:

- A_n for $n \geq 1$.
- B_n for $n \geq 2$.
- C_n for $n \geq 3$.
- D_n for $n \geq 4$.
- G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 .

Conversely, any of the above types can be realized as the Dynkin diagram of some irreducible root system.

9 Classification of Semisimple Lie Algebras over \mathbb{C}

9.1 Root Space Decomposition

Let V be a vector space. We denote the dual space of V by V^* .

Let L be semisimple over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan subalgebra. By lmm 5.1.2, we can choose a basis v_1, \dots, v_m of $GL(V)$ such that $\text{ad}(h)$ is diagonal for all $h \in H$. In particular, such a basis consists of common eigenvectors of $\text{ad}(h)$. Fix such a common eigenvector v and write its eigenvalue by $\alpha(\text{ad}(h))$ (dependent on h). Then we have

$$\text{ad}(h)(v) = \alpha(\text{ad}(h))(v)$$

and in particular $\alpha : \text{ad}(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a weight of $\text{ad}(H)$. Since L is semisimple, we have an isomorphism $\text{ad}(H) \cong H$. So we can think of the weight as an element

$$\alpha : H \cong \text{ad}(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

of the dual space H^* . This motivates the following definition.

Definition 9.1.1: Roots of Lie Algebra relative to a Cartan Subalgebra

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $H \leq L$ be a Cartan subalgebra of L . A root of L relative to H is an element $\alpha \in H^*$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$ and the weight space

$$L_\alpha = \{x \in L \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)(x) \text{ for all } h \in H\}$$

is non-zero. In this case, we call L_α the root space of α .

For $x \in L_\alpha$, notice that x is a common eigenvector for $\text{ad}(h)$ for all $h \in H$.

Definition 9.1.2: The Set of Roots relative to a Cartan Subalgebra

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $H \leq L$ be a Cartan subalgebra of L . Define the set of roots of L relative to H to be

$$\Psi = \{\alpha \in H^* \mid \alpha \text{ is a root of } L \text{ with respect to } H\}$$

Note that Ψ is finite since we assume that L is finite dimensional.

Lemma 9.1.3

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $H \leq L$ be a Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let Ψ be the set of roots of L relative to H . Then the following are true.

- For all $0 \neq h \in H$, there exists $\alpha \in \Psi$ such that $\alpha(h) \neq 0$.
- $H^* = \mathbb{C}\langle \Psi \rangle$.
- If $\alpha \in \Psi$, then $-\alpha \in \Psi$.

Lemma 9.1.4

Let V be a vector space over k . Suppose that $T_1, \dots, T_n \in GL(V)$ is diagonalizable. Then there exists a basis of $GL(V)$ such that T_1, \dots, T_n are diagonal if and only if T_1, \dots, T_n pairwise commute. In particular, the basis are precisely common eigenvectors of T_1, \dots, T_n .

Lemma 9.1.5

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let Ψ be the set of roots of L relative to H . Then there is a decomposition of direct sums

$$L = L_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} L_\alpha$$

given by the primary decomposition (eigenspace decomposition) of any $\text{ad}(h) \in \text{ad}(H)$.

Proof. Let $h \in H$. Recall that $\text{ad}(h)$ is diagonalizable by definition. By the primary decomposition theorem (or eigenspace decomposition), we can decompose L as one-dimensional invariant subspaces:

$$L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \ker(\text{ad}(h) - \lambda_i(h)I)$$

where $\lambda_1(h), \dots, \lambda_r(h)$ is the complete list of distinct eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(h)$.

Since H is abelian, elements of H pairwise commute. By the above lemma, all elements of $\text{ad}(H)$ share a common set of eigenvectors. In particular, for any $h' \in H$, $\text{ad}(h)$ we have $\ker(\text{ad}(h) - \lambda_i(h)I) = \ker(\text{ad}(h') - \lambda_i(h')I)$. Then the linear functions λ_i are precisely roots of L relative to H , and so we can rewrite the decomposition as

$$L = L_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} L_\alpha$$

□

Proposition 9.1.6

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $H \leq L$ be a Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let α, β be roots of L relative to H . Then the following are true.

- $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] \subseteq L_{\alpha+\beta}$
- If $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, then $k(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = 0$ where k is the killing form of L .
- $L_0 \cap L_0^\perp = \{0\}$. In particular, $k|_{L_0}$ is non-degenerate.

Proof.

- Let $x \in L_\alpha$ and $y \in L_\beta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [h, [x, y]] &= -[[x, y], h] \\ &= [[y, h], x] + [[h, x], y] \\ &= -[\beta(h)y, x] + [\alpha(h)x, y] \\ &= \alpha(h)[x, y] + \beta(h)[x, y] \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)(h)[x, y] \end{aligned}$$

so that $[x, y] \in L_{\alpha+\beta}$.

- Let $x \in L_\alpha$ and $y \in L_\beta$. Since $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, there exists $h \in H$ such that $\alpha(h) + \beta(h) \neq 0$. Then

$$(\alpha + \beta)(h)k(x, y) = k(\alpha(h)x, \beta(h)y) = k([h, x], [h, y]) = -k([x, h], [h, y]) = -k(x, [h, [h, y]]) = 0$$

implies that $k(x, y) = 0$.

- Assume that $a \in L_0 \cap L_0^\perp$. Then $k(a, b) = 0$ for all $b \in L_0$. For any $v \in L$, we can use the above decomposition to get $v = v_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} v_\alpha$ for $v_0 \in L_0$ and $v_\alpha \in L_\alpha$. Then we have

$$k(a, v) = k(a, v_0) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} k(a, v_\alpha) = 0$$

since $a \in L_0$ and $v_\alpha \in L_\alpha$ implies $k(a, v_\alpha) = 0$ by the above. This proves that $a \in L_0^\perp$. Since L is semisimple, k is non-degenerate so that $L_0^\perp = \{0\}$. Hence $a = 0$ and $L_0 \cap L_0^\perp = \{0\}$.

□

Proposition 9.1.7

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then we have

$$H = C_L(H) = C_L(h_0)$$

for any $h_0 \in H$ such that $\dim(C_L(h_0)) \leq \dim(C_L(H))$ for all $h \in H$.

Proof. It is clear that $H \subseteq C_L(H) \subseteq C_L(h_0)$ since H is abelian.

Suppose for a contradiction that $a \in H$ but $a \notin Z(C_L(h_0))$. Since $C_L(a) \cap C_L(h_0)$ is abelian, choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ of common eigenvectors for $C_L(a) \cap C_L(h_0)$. \square

Lemma 9.1.8

Let V be a vector space over k . Suppose that $T_1, \dots, T_n \in GL(V)$ is diagonalizable. Then there exists a basis of $GL(V)$ such that T_1, \dots, T_n are diagonal if and only if T_1, \dots, T_n pairwise commute. In particular, the basis are precisely common eigenvectors of T_1, \dots, T_n .

Theorem 9.1.9: Root Space Decomposition

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let Ψ be the set of roots of L relative to H . Then there is a decomposition of direct sums

$$L = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} L_\alpha$$

given by the primary decomposition (eigenspace decomposition) of any $\text{ad}(h) \in \text{ad}(H)$.

Proof. Since $L_0 = C_L(H) = H$, we conclude by 9.1.5. \square

9.2 Copies of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as Sub-algebras**Lemma 9.2.1**

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let Ψ be a set of roots of L relative to H . Let $\alpha \in \Psi$. Then the following are true.

- There exists $t_\alpha \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$[x, y] = k(x, y)t_\alpha$$

for all $x \in L_\alpha$ and $y \in L_{-\alpha}$.

- $k(t_\alpha, h) = \alpha(h)$ for all $h \in H$.
- $t_\alpha = -t_{-\alpha}$
- $[L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{C}\langle t_\alpha \rangle$.

Definition 9.2.2: Lie Sub-algebra associated to a Root

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let α be a root of L . Let $x \in L_\alpha$ and $y \in L_{-\alpha}$ be non-zero. Define the Lie sub-algebra associated to α by

$$M_\alpha = \mathbb{C}\langle x, y, [x, y] = k(x, y)t_\alpha \rangle$$

Lemma 9.2.3

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let α be a root of L . Then $\alpha(t_\alpha) \neq 0$.

Proposition 9.2.4

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let α be a root of L . Then the following are true.

- M_α is a Lie sub-algebra of L .
- $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\alpha) = 3$.

Proposition 9.2.5

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let α be a root of L . Then the following are true. Denote

$$e_\alpha = x \quad \text{and} \quad f_\alpha = \frac{2}{k(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)k(x, y)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_\alpha = \frac{2}{k(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)}t_\alpha$$

Then the following are true.

- $h_\alpha = -h_{-\alpha}$.
- $\alpha(h_\alpha) = 2$.
- There is a Lie algebra isomorphism

$$M_\alpha \cong \mathbb{C}\langle e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha \rangle$$

Proposition 9.2.6

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let α be a root of L . Then

$$M_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

Proposition 9.2.7

Let L be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let α be a root of L . Let V be an M_α -submodule of L , then the eigenvalues of the map $\text{ad}(h_\alpha)$ are integers.

9.3 The Set of Roots as a Root System**Proposition 9.3.1**

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let Ψ be the set of roots relative to some Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let $\alpha \in \Psi$. If $c\alpha \in \Psi$, then $c = \pm 1$.

Proposition 9.3.2

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan subalgebra of L . Let Ψ be the set of roots relative to H . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \Psi$ be a basis for H^* . Then $\mathbb{R}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r] \subset H^*$ is an inner product space whose symmetric bilinear form is given by

$$B(\phi, \psi) = k(t_\phi, t_\psi)$$

(as in the notation of 9.2.1).

Proposition 9.3.3


Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan subalgebra of L . Let Ψ be the set of roots relative to H . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \Psi$ be a basis for H^* . Then Ψ is a root system for

the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r], B)$.

Proposition 9.3.4

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H and K be two Cartan subalgebras of L . Let Ψ_H and Ψ_K be the set of roots relative to H and K respectively. Then $\Psi_H \cong \Psi_K$.


This proves that although the set of roots come from a chosen Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra L , it is an invariant of L and not the Cartan subalgebra. Up until this point, we have constructed an invariant for Lie algebras following the below schematic:



We will now show that Simple Lie algebras give rise to irreducible Dynkin diagrams and vice versa.

9.4 Simple Lie Algebras and Irreducible Dynkin Diagrams

We now want to enrich the above diagram:



Proposition 9.4.1

Let L be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let H be a Cartan sub-algebra of L . Let Ψ be the root system associated to H . Then Ψ is irreducible.

Theorem 9.4.2: Serre's Theorem

Let R be a root system with base $B = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$. Let L be the Lie algebra defined by

$$L = \mathbb{C}\langle e_i, f_i, h_i, 1 \leq i \leq l \mid S \rangle$$

where S are the following relations:

- $[h_s, h_t] = 0$ for $1 \leq s, t \leq l$.
- $[h_s, e_t] = \langle \alpha_t, \alpha_s \rangle e_t$ for $1 \leq s, t \leq l$.
- $[h_s, f_t] = -\langle \alpha_t, \alpha_s \rangle f_t$ for $1 \leq s, t \leq l$.
- $[e_s, f_t] = \begin{cases} h_s & \text{if } s = t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Root System	Rank	Simple Lie Algebra	Dimension	Number of Roots
A_n ($n \geq 1$)	n	$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$n^2 + 2n$	$n^2 + n$
B_n ($n \geq 2$)	n	$\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$2n^2 + n$	$2n^2$
C_n ($n \geq 3$)	n	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$2n^2 + n$	$2n^2$
D_n ($n \geq 4$)	n	$\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$2n^2 - n$	$2n^2 - 2n$
G_2	2	$\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$	14	12
F_4	4	$\mathfrak{f}_4(\mathbb{C})$	52	48
E_6	6	$\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathbb{C})$	78	72
E_7	7	$\mathfrak{e}_7(\mathbb{C})$	133	126
E_8	8	$\mathfrak{e}_8(\mathbb{C})$	248	240

- $(\text{ad}(e_s))^{1-\langle \alpha_t, \alpha_s \rangle} (e_t) = 0 = (\text{ad}(f_s))^{1-\langle \alpha_t, \alpha_s \rangle} (f_t)$ for $1 \leq s, t \leq l$.

Then the following are true.

- L is finite dimensional and semi-simnple.
- $H = \mathbb{C}\langle h_1, \dots, h_l \rangle$ is a Cartan sub-algebra of L .
- The root system Ψ_H of H is equal to R .

Corollary 9.4.3

Let Ψ be an irreducible root system. Then there exists a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} such that its associated root system is Ψ .

Corollary 9.4.4

There are no semisimple Lie algebras of dimension 1, 2, 4, 5, 7.