

Commutative Algebra 1

Labix

October 2, 2024

Abstract

Contents

1	Basic Notions of Rings	3
1.1	Radical Ideals	3
1.2	Nilradical and Jacobson Ideals	3
2	Basic Notions of Modules	5
2.1	Nakayama's Lemma	5
2.2	Exact Sequences	5
2.3	Change of Rings	5
3	Localization	6
3.1	Localization of a Ring	6
3.2	Localization at a Prime Ideal	7
3.3	Properties of Localization	7
4	Local Rings	8
4.1	Local Rings	8
4.2	Localization of a Module	8
5	Noetherian Rings	10
5.1	Ordering on the Monomials	10
5.2	Monomial Ideals	11
5.3	Groebner Bases	11
5.4	Hilbert's Basis Theorem	11
6	Primary Decomposition	12
6.1	Support of a Module	12
6.2	Associated Prime	12
6.3	Primary Ideals	12
6.4	Primary Decomposition	12
7	Integral Dependence	14
7.1	Integral Extensions	14
7.2	The Trace and Norm	15
7.3	The Going-Up and Going-Down Theorems	15
7.4	Dedekind Domains	15
8	Discrete Valuation Rings	16
8.1	Discrete Valuation Rings	16
9	Dimension Theory for Rings	18
9.1	Dimension and Height	18
9.2	Length of a Module	18
9.3	The Hilbert Polynomial	19
9.4	Global Dimension of a Ring	20

1 Basic Notions of Rings

1.1 Radical Ideals

Definition 1.1.1: Radical of an Ideal

Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Define the radical of I to be

$$\sqrt{I} = \{r \in R \mid r^n \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

We say that an ideal is radical if $\sqrt{I} = I$.

1.2 Nilradical and Jacobson Ideals

Definition 1.2.1: Nilradicals

Let R be a ring. Define the nilradical of R to be

$$N(R) = \{r \in R \mid r \text{ is nilpotent}\}$$

Note that this is different from nilpotent ideals. However the Nilradical ideal is a nil ideal and every subideal of the nilradical is a nil ideal.

Proposition 1.2.2

Let R be a ring and $N(R)$ its nilradical. Then the following are true.

- $N(R)$ is an ideal of R
- $N(R/N(R)) = 0$

Proof.

- Suppose that r, s are nilpotent, meaning that $r^n = 0$ and $s^m = 0$. Then $(r + s)^{n+m} = 0$. Moreover, if $t \in R$ then $t \cdot r$ is also nilpotent
- Let $r \notin N(R)$. Every element $r + N(R) \in R/N(R)$ has the property that $r^n \neq 0$. Consider $(r + N(R))^n = r^n + N(R)$. If $r^n \in N(R)$ then $r^n = u$ for some nilpotent u , which means that r^n is nilpotent and thus r is nilpotent, a contradiction. This means that $r + N(R) \notin N(R/N(R))$ for all $r \notin N(R)$ and thus $N(R/N(R)) = 0$

□

Proposition 1.2.3

Let R be a commutative ring. The nilradical of R is the intersection of all prime ideals of R .

Proof. We want to show that

$$N(R) = \bigcap_{\substack{P \text{ a prime} \\ \text{ideal of } R}} P$$

Trivially $N(R)$ is a prime ideal. Now suppose that $r \in R$ is in the intersection of all prime ideals. Then r^n also lies in every prime ideal.

□

Recall the notion of the Jacobson radical from Rings and Modules.

Definition 1.2.4: Jacobson Radical of a Ring

Let R be a ring. Define the Jacobson radical of R to be

$$J(R) = \bigcap_{\substack{M \text{ is a} \\ \text{maximal ideal} \\ \text{of } R}} M$$

2 Basic Notions of Modules

2.1 Nakayama's Lemma

Lemma 2.1.1: Nakayama's Lemma

Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module. If $IM = M$ then there exists $r \in R$ with $r \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$ such that $rM = 0$.

Lemma 2.1.2

Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal m . Let M be a finitely generated R -module. If $M = mM$, then $M = 0$.

Lemma 2.1.3

Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal m . Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$ such that $a_1 + mM, \dots, a_n + mM$ spans M/mM as a vector space over R/m . Then a_1, \dots, a_n generate M .

2.2 Exact Sequences

2.3 Change of Rings

Definition 2.3.1: Extension of Scalars

Let R, S be commutative rings. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Let M be an R -module. Define the extension of M to the ring S to be the S -module

$$S \otimes_R M$$

Definition 2.3.2: Restriction of Scalars

Let R, S be commutative rings. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Let M be an S -module. Define the restriction of M to the ring R to be the R -module M equipped with the action

$$r \cdot_R m = \varphi(r) \cdot_S m$$

for all $r \in R$.

Theorem 2.3.3

Let R, S be commutative rings. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

for any R -module M and S -module N given as follows.

- For $f \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, N)$, define the map $f^+ \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ by

$$f^+(m) = f(1 \otimes m)$$

- For $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, define the map $g^- \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, N)$ by

$$g^-(s \otimes m) = s \cdot g(m)$$

3 Localization

3.1 Localization of a Ring

Definition 3.1.1: Multiplicative Set

Let R be a commutative ring. $S \subseteq R$ is a multiplicative set if $1 \in S$ and S is closed under multiplication: $x, y \in S$ implies $xy \in S$

Definition 3.1.2: Localization of a Ring

Let R be a commutative ring and $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set. Define the ring of fractions of R with respect to S by

$$S^{-1}R = \left\{ \frac{r}{s} \mid r \in R, s \in S \right\} / \sim$$

where \sim is defined by

$$\frac{r}{s} \sim \frac{r'}{s'} \text{ if and only if } \exists v \in S \text{ such that } v(ru' - r'u) = 0$$

If $S = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$ then we write $S^{-1}R = R_f = R[1/f]$.

Proposition 3.1.3

Let $S^{-1}R$ be a ring of fractions.

- \sim as defined in the ring of fractions is an equivalence relation
- $(S^{-1}R, +, \times)$ is a ring
- The map $\phi : R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ defined by $\phi(r) \rightarrow \frac{r}{1}$ is a ring homomorphism

Proof.

- Trivial
- Define addition by $\frac{r}{s} + \frac{r'}{s'} = \frac{rs' + r's}{ss'}$ and multiplication by $\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{r'}{s'} = \frac{rr'}{ss'}$. Clearly addition is abelian, and has identity $\frac{0}{1}$ and inverse $\frac{-r}{s}$ for any $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$. Multiplication also has identity $\frac{1}{1}$.
- We have that $\phi(r + s) = \frac{r+s}{1} = \frac{r}{1} + \frac{s}{1} = \phi(r) + \phi(s)$ and $\phi(rs) = \frac{rs}{1} = \frac{r}{1} \cdot \frac{s}{1} = \phi(r) \cdot \phi(s)$ for any $r, s \in R$.

□

Theorem 3.1.4: Universal Property

Let $g : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism such that $g(s)$ is a unit in B for all $s \in S$. Then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $h : S^{-1}A \rightarrow B$ such that $g = h \circ \phi$. In other words, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S^{-1}A \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow \exists! h \\ & & B \end{array}$$

3.2 Localization at a Prime Ideal

Lemma 3.2.1

Let R be a ring and P a prime ideal of R . Then $R \setminus P$ is a multiplicative set.

Proof. By definition, $xy \in P$ implies $x \in P$ or $y \in P$, since $R \setminus P$ removes all these elements, we have that $x \notin P$ and $y \notin P$ implies that $xy \notin P$. \square

Definition 3.2.2: Localization on Prime Ideals

Let R be a commutative ring. Let P be a prime ideal. Denote

$$R_p = (R \setminus P)^{-1}R$$

the localization of R at P .

Lemma 3.2.3

Let R be an integral domain. Then the localization

$$(R \setminus (0))^{-1}R$$

is exactly the field of fractions of R .

3.3 Properties of Localization

Proposition 3.3.1

Localization commutes with direct sum of modules and quotient modules.

4 Local Rings

4.1 Local Rings

Definition 4.1.1: Local Rings

A ring R is said to be a local ring if it has a unique maximal ideal m . In this case, we say that R/m is the residue field of R .

Proposition 4.1.2

Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R . Then I is the unique maximal ideal of R if and only if I is the set containing all non-units of R .

Proof. Let I be the unique maximal ideal of R . Clearly I does not contain any unit else $I = R$. Now suppose that r is a non-unit. Suppose that $r \notin I$. Define $J = \{sr | s \in R\}$. Clearly J is an ideal. It must be contained in some maximal ideal. Since I is the unique maximal ideal, $J \subseteq I$. But this means that $r \in I$, a contradiction. Thus every non-unit is in I .

Suppose that I contains all non-units of R . Let $r \notin I$. Then there exists $s \notin I$ such that $rs = 1$. Then $(r + I)(s + I) = 1 + I$ in R/I . This means that every element of R/I has a multiplicative inverse which means that R/I is a field and thus I is a maximal ideal. Now let $J \neq I$ be another maximal ideal. Then J contains some unit r . This implies that $J = R$ and thus I is the unique maximal ideal. \square

Proposition 4.1.3

Let R be a ring and let p be a prime ideal of R . Then R_p is a local ring.

Proof. Let I be the set of all non-units of R_p . It is sufficient to show that I is an ideal by the above lemma. Clearly if $i \in I$ then $r \cdot i$ is also not invertible. Explicitly, we have

$$I = \left\{ \frac{r}{s} \in R_p \mid r \in p \right\}$$

Let $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2} \in I$, then $\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2}$ is in I since $r_1, r_2 \in P$ and P being an ideal implies $r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1 \in P$. \square

Be wary that in general localizations does not result in a local ring. This happens only when we are localizing with respect to a prime ideal. The importance of prime ideals is not explicit in the above because only using prime ideals P can $R \setminus P$ be a multiplicative set which ultimately allows localization to make sense.

4.2 Localization of a Module

Definition 4.2.1: Localization of a Module

Let R be a commutative ring and $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set. Let M be a R -module. Define the ring of fractions of M with respect to S by

$$S^{-1}M = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} \mid m \in M, s \in S \right\} / \sim$$

where \sim is defined by

$$\frac{m}{s} \sim \frac{m'}{s'} \text{ if and only if } \exists v \in S \text{ such that } v(mu' - m'u) = 0$$

If $S = \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$ then we write $S^{-1}M = M_f = M[1/f]$.

Proposition 4.2.2

Let S be a multiplicative set of a ring R . Then localization at S preserves exact sequences.

Proposition 4.2.3

Let M be an A -module. Then the $S^{-1}A$ modules $S^{-1}M$ is isomorphic to $S^{-1}A \otimes_A M$. More precisely, there exists a unique isomorphism $f : S^{-1}A \otimes_A M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$ such that

$$f((a/s) \otimes m) = am/s$$

5 Noetherian Rings

5.1 Ordering on the Monomials

Recall that a monomial in $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an element in the polynomial ring of the form $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$. For simplicity we write this as $x^{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$.

Definition 5.1.1: Monomial Ordering

A monomial ordering on a polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a relation $>$ on \mathbb{N}^n . This means that the following are true.

- $>$ is a total ordering on \mathbb{N}^n
- If $a > b$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}^n$ then $a + c > b + c$
- $>$ is a well ordering on \mathbb{N}^n (any nonempty subset of \mathbb{N}^n has a smallest element)

Definition 5.1.2: Lexicographical Order

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ in \mathbb{N}^n . We say that $a >_{\text{lex}} b$ if in the first nonzero entry of $a - b$ is positive.

In practise this means that the we value more powers of x_1

Definition 5.1.3: Graded Lex Order

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ in \mathbb{N}^n . We say that $a >_{\text{grlex}} b$ if either of the following holds.

- $|a| = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k > \sum_{k=1}^n b_k = |b|$
- $|a| = |b|$ and $a >_{\text{lex}} b$

Definition 5.1.4: Graded Lex Order

Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ in \mathbb{N}^n . We say that $a >_{\text{grlex}} b$ if either of the following holds.

- $|a| = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k > \sum_{k=1}^n b_k = |b|$
- $|a| = |b|$ and the last nonzero entry of $a - b$ is negative.

In practise we value lower powers of the last variable x_n .

Proposition 5.1.5

The above three orders are all monomial orderings of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Definition 5.1.6: Multidegree

Let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial in the form $f = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_v x^v$. Define the multidegree of f to be

$$\text{multideg}(f) = \max_{>} \{v \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid a_v \neq 0\}$$

where $>$ is a monomial ordering on $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Definition 5.1.7: Leading Objects

Let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial in the form $f = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_v x^v$.

- Define the leading coefficient of f to be $\text{LC}(f) = c_{\text{multideg}(f)} \in k$
- Define the leading monomial of f to be $\text{LM}(f) = x_{\text{multideg}(f)} \in k$
- Define the leading term of f to be $\text{LT}(f) = \text{LC}(f) \cdot \text{LM}(f)$

Proposition 5.1.8: Division Algorithm in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ **5.2 Monomial Ideals****Definition 5.2.1: Monomial Ideals**

An ideal $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is said to be a monomial ideal if I is generated by a set of monomials $\{x^v | v \in A\}$ for some $A \subset \mathbb{N}^n$. In this case we write

$$I = \langle x^v | v \in A \rangle$$

Lemma 5.2.2

Let $I = \langle x^v | v \in A \rangle$ be an ideal of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then a monomial x^w lies in I if and only if $x^v | x^w$ for some $v \in A$. Moreover, if $f = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_w x^w \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ lies in I , then each x^w is divisible by x^v for some $v \in A$.

Theorem 5.2.3: Dickson's Lemma

Every monomial ideal is finitely generated. In particular, every monomial ideal $I = \langle x^v | v \in A \rangle$ is of the form

$$I = \langle x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_n} \rangle$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n \in A$.

5.3 Groebner Bases**5.4 Hilbert's Basis Theorem****Proposition 5.4.1**

If A is a Noetherian and ϕ is a homomorphism of A onto a ring B , then B is Noetherian.

Theorem 5.4.2: Hilbert's Basis Theorem

If R is a Noetherian ring, then $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a Noetherian ring.

Proposition 5.4.3

Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal in R . Then R/I is Noetherian.

Theorem 5.4.4

Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R_i$ be a graded ring. Then R is Noetherian if and only if R_0 is Noetherian and R is finitely generated as an R_0 -module.

6 Primary Decomposition

6.1 Support of a Module

Definition 6.1.1: Support of a Module

Let M be an A -module. The support of M is the subset

$$\text{Supp}(M) = \{P \text{ a prime ideal of } A \mid M_P \neq 0\}$$

6.2 Associated Prime

Definition 6.2.1: Associated Prime

Let M be an A -module. An associated prime P of M is a prime ideal of A such that there exists some $m \in M$ such that $P = \text{Ann}(m)$.

6.3 Primary Ideals

Definition 6.3.1: Primary Ideals

Let R be a ring. An ideal Q of R is called primary if

- $Q \neq R$
- $fg \in Q$ implies $f \in Q$ or $g^m \in Q$ for some $m > 0$

Lemma 6.3.2

If Q is primary, then \sqrt{Q} is prime.

Lemma 6.3.3

Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be a proper ideal that is not primary. Then

$$I = J_1 \cap J_2$$

for some ideals $J_1, J_2 \neq I$.

Definition 6.3.4: P-Primary Ideals

Let A be a ring and P a prime ideal. An ideal Q is P -primary if Q is primary and $Q = \text{rad}(P)$

Theorem 6.3.5

Let A be a Noetherian ring and Q an ideal of A . Then Q is P -primary if and only if $\text{Ann}(A/Q) = \{P\}$.

6.4 Primary Decomposition

We want to express ideal I in R as $I = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_n^{e_n}$ similar to a factorization of natural numbers, for some prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n . However this notion fails and thus we have the following new type of ideal.

Definition 6.4.1: Primary Decompositions

A primary decomposition of an ideal I is an expression $I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$ with each Q_i primary.

The decomposition is said to be irredundant if $I \neq \bigcap_{i \neq j} Q_i$ for any j . The decomposition is said to be minimal if r is the smallest possible such decomposition for I .

Irredundant in this sense means that removing any one primary ideal in the intersection fails to become a decomposition of I .

Theorem 6.4.2

Every proper ideal in a Noetherian ring has a primary decomposition.

Lemma 6.4.3

Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and Q be a primary ideal in S . Then $\phi^{-1}(Q)$ is primary in R .

7 Integral Dependence

7.1 Integral Extensions

Definition 7.1.1: Integral Elements

Let B be a ring and let $A \subseteq B$ be a subring. Let $b \in B$. We say that b is integral over A if there exists a monic polynomial $p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in A[x]$ such that $p(b) = 0$.

Proposition 7.1.2

Let B be a ring and let $A \subseteq B$. Let $b \in B$. Then the following are equivalent.

- b is integral over A
- The subring $A[b] \subseteq B$ is finite over A
- There exists an A sub-algebra $A' \subseteq B$ such that $A[b] \subseteq A'$ and A' is finite over A .

Proposition 7.1.3

Let B be a ring and let $A \subseteq B$ be a subring. Let $b_1, b_2 \in B$ be integral over A . Then $b_1 + b_2$ and $b_1 b_2$ are both integral over A .

Definition 7.1.4: Integral Extensions

Let B be a ring and let $A \subseteq B$ be a subring. We say that B is integral over A if all elements of B are integral over A .

Lemma 7.1.5

Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ be rings. If C is integral over B and B is integral over A , then C is integral over A .

Definition 7.1.6: Integral Closure

Let B be an A -algebra. Define the subring

$$\overline{A} = \{b \in B \mid b \text{ is integral over } A\}$$

to be the integral closure of A in B . If $\overline{A} = A$, then we say that A is integrally closed in B .

Lemma 7.1.7

Let B be a ring and let $A \subseteq B$ be a subring. Then \overline{A} is an integral extension of A .

Definition 7.1.8: Normal Domains

Let R be a domain. We say that R is normal (integrally closed) if A is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

The integral closure of R in $\text{Frac}(R)$ is called the normalization of R .

7.2 The Trace and Norm

7.3 The Going-Up and Going-Down Theorems

7.4 Dedekind Domains

Definition 7.4.1: Dedekind Domains

Let R be a ring. We say that R is a dedekind domain if the following are true.

- R is an integral domain
- R is an integrally closed
- R is Noetherian
- Every non-zero prime ideal of R is maximal

8 Discrete Valuation Rings

8.1 Discrete Valuation Rings

Definition 8.1.1: Totally Ordered Group

A totally ordered group is a group G with a total order " \leq " such that it is

- a left ordered group: $a \leq b$ implies $ca \leq cb$ for all $a, b, c \in G$
- a right ordered group: $a \leq b$ implies $ac \leq bc$ for all $a, b, c \in G$

Definition 8.1.2: Valuation on a Field

Let K be a field. Let G be a totally ordered abelian group. A valuation on K with values in G is a map $v : K \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow G$ such that for all $x, y \in K^*$, we have

- $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$
- $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$

We use the convention that $v(0) = \infty$.

v is said to be a discrete valuation if $G = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 8.1.3

Let K be a field and $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ a discrete valuation. Then

$$\{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$$

is a subring of K .

Definition 8.1.4: Discrete Valuation Rings

The discrete valuation ring of a discrete valuation $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the subset

$$A = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$$

Alternatively, any ring isomorphic to a discrete valuation ring of some discrete valuation is also called a discrete valuation.

Proposition 8.1.5

Let R be a discrete valuation ring with respect to the valuation v . Let $t \in R$ be such that $v(t) = 1$. Then the following are true.

- A nonzero element $u \in R$ is a unit if and only if $v(u) = 0$
- Every non-zero ideal of R is a principal ideal of the form (t^n) for some $n \geq 0$
- Every $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ can be written in the form $r = ut^n$ for some unit u and $n \geq 0$.

Proof.

- Let R be a discrete valuation ring. Suppose that $x \in R$ is a unit. Then $v(x^{-1}) = -v(x)$. Then $-v(x), v(x) \geq 0$ implies $v(x) = 0$. Now if $v(y) > 0$, suppose for contradiction that $u \in R$ is an inverse of y , then

$$0 = v(1) = v(uy) = v(u) + v(y)$$

But $v(y) > 0$ implies that $v(u) < 0$ which implies that $u \notin R$, a contradiction.

- Let $t \in R$ such that $v(t) = 1$. Let $x \in m$ where $v(x) = n > 0$. Then $v(x) = nv(t) = v(t^n)$ means that every $x \in m$ is of the form t^n . Thus $m = (t)$. Since every ideal I is a subset of this maximal ideal, any ideal is of the form $I = (t^n)$ for some $n > 0$.
- Follows from the fact that (t^n) is the unique maximal ideal.



Proposition 8.1.6

Let R be an integral domain. Then the following are equivalent.

- R is a discrete valuation ring
- R is a UFD with a unique irreducible element up to multiplication of a unit
- R is a Noetherian local ring with a principal maximal ideal

Proof.

- (1) \implies (3): We have seen that the set of non-units is precisely the set $m = \{x \in R \mid v(x) > 0\}$. We show that this is an ideal. Clearly $x, y \in m$ implies $v(x + y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\} > 0$. Let $u \in R$. Then $v(ux) = v(u) + v(x) > 0$ since $v(x) > 0$ and $v(u) \geq 0$.

We have seen that every ideal is of the form (t^n) for some $n > 0$. Thus every ascending chains of ideal must be of the form

$$(t^{n_1}) \subset (t^{n_2}) \subset \dots$$

for $n_1 > n_2 > \dots$. Since n_1, n_2, \dots is strictly decreasing, the chain must eventually stabilizes. This proves that R is Noetherian and has principal maximal ideal.

- (1) \implies (3):



9 Dimension Theory for Rings

9.1 Dimension and Height

Definition 9.1.1: Krull Dimension

Let R be a commutative ring. Define the Krull dimension of R to be

$$\dim(R) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid p_0 \subset \cdots \subset p_t \text{ for } p_0, \dots, p_t \text{ prime ideals}\}$$

Definition 9.1.2: Height of a Prime Ideal

Let p be a prime ideal in a ring R . Define the height of p to be

$$\text{ht}(p) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid p_0 \subset \cdots \subset p_t = p \text{ for } p_0, \dots, p_t \text{ prime ideals}\}$$

Lemma 9.1.3

Let p be a prime ideal in a ring R . Then

$$\text{ht}(p) = \dim(R_p)$$

Theorem 9.1.4: Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem

Let R be a Noetherian ring. Let I be a proper and principal ideal of R . Let p be the smallest prime ideal containing I . Then

$$\text{ht}_R(p) \leq 1$$

9.2 Length of a Module

Definition 9.2.1: Length of a Module

Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module. Define the length of M to be

$$l_R(M) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M\}$$

Lemma 9.2.2

Let R be a ring. Let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of R -modules. Then

$$l_R(M) = l_R(M') + l_R(M'')$$

Lemma 9.2.3

Let (A, m) be a local ring and let M be an A -module. If $mM = 0$, then

$$l_A(M) = \dim_{A/m}(M)$$

Proposition 9.2.4

Let R be a ring and let M be an R -module. Then the following are equivalent.

- M is simple
- $l_R(M) = 1$
- $M \cong A/m$ for some maximal ideal m of A

9.3 The Hilbert Polynomial

Definition 9.3.1: The Hilbert Polynomial

Let $R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k$ be a Noetherian graded ring. Let $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ be a graded R -module. Define the Hilbert function $H_M : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of R to be the function defined by

$$H_M(n) = l_{R_0}(M_n)$$

Definition 9.3.2: The Hilbert Series

Let $R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k$ be a Noetherian graded ring. Let $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ be a graded R -module. Define the Hilbert series $HS_M \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ of M to be the formal series

$$HS_M(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_M(k)t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} l_{R_0}(M_k)t^k$$

Theorem 9.3.3

Let $R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k$ be a Noetherian graded ring such that R_0 is Artinian. Let $M = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$ be a graded R -module. Let $\lambda : \{M_i \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an additive function. Then the function

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda(M_k)t^k$$

is a rational function and can be written in the form

$$g(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - t^{d_i})}$$

for some $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 9.3.4: The Fundamental Theorem of Dimension Theory

Let (R, m) be a local Noetherian ring. Let I be an m -primary ideal. Then the following numbers are equal.

- Let $J = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{I^k}{I^{k+1}}$. The order of the pole at 1 of the rational function HS_J .
- The minimum number of elements of R that can generate an m -primary ideal of R
- The dimension $\dim_{R/m}(R)$

The following is a generalization of Krull's principal ideal theorem. Both of the theorems can actually be deduced directly from the fundamental theorem.

Theorem 9.3.5: Krull's Height Theorem

Let R be a Noetherian ring. Let I be a proper ideal generated by n elements. Let p be the smallest prime ideal containing I . Then

$$\text{ht}_R(p) \leq n$$

Theorem 9.3.6

Let (R, m) be a Noetherian local ring and let $k = R/m$ be the residue field. Then

$$\dim(R) \leq \dim_k(m/m^2)$$

9.4 Global Dimension of a Ring