

Geometric Group Theory

Labix

February 14, 2025

Abstract

Potentially good books: Humphreys, Erdmann and Wildson

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | The Geometry of Presentations | 3 |
| 1.1 | The Cayley Graph of a Group | 3 |
| 1.2 | Giving the Cayley Graph a Metric | 3 |
| 1.3 | Realizing the Cayley Graph as a Connected Space | 4 |
| 1.4 | Geodesics on Cayley Graphs | 5 |
| 1.5 | Growth Function | 6 |
| 1.6 | Distortion | 9 |
| 2 | The Geometry of Boundaries | 10 |
| 2.1 | Ends of a Group via Geodesic Rays | 10 |
| 2.2 | Infinite Connected Components at Infinity | 10 |

1 The Geometry of Presentations

1.1 The Cayley Graph of a Group

Definition 1.1.1: The Cayley Graph of a Group

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Define the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S to consist of the following data.

- The vertices are given by $V(\text{Cay}(G, S)) = G$
- The edges are given by $E(\text{Cay}(G, S)) = \{(g, gs) \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$

Let (V, E) be a graph. Recall that a graph automorphism consists of a bijective map of vertices and a bijective map of edges such that

$$\{\phi(v), \phi(w)\} \in E$$

for all $\{v, w\} \in E$. They form a group by composition.

Lemma 1.1.2: The Action Lemma

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then G acts on the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S via the map

$$\cdot : G \times \text{Cay}(G, S) \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, S)$$

defined by $h \cdot g = hg$ and $h \cdot (g, gs) = (hg, hgs)$. Moreover, the action is faithful.

Proposition 1.1.3

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then the following are true regarding $\text{Cay}(G, S)$.

- $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ has no embedded cycles.
- $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected.

Proposition 1.1.4

Let S be a set. Then $\text{Cay}(F_S, S)$ is a tree.

Proposition 1.1.5

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then $\text{Cay}(F_S, S)$ is a universal cover of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$.

1.2 Giving the Cayley Graph a Metric

Given a graph Γ , there are two ways to specify a path in Γ .

- We can define a path by a sequence $\gamma_V : [n] \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ of adjacent vertices.
- We can also define a path by a sequence $\gamma_E : [n - 1] \rightarrow E(\Gamma)$ of edges.

The above notation also indicates that any path is determined by either n vertices or $n - 1$ edges.

Definition 1.2.1: The Word Metric

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Define the word metric on $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ to be the map

$$d_S : V(\text{Cay}(G, S)) \times V(\text{Cay}(G, S)) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

given by

$$d_S(g, h) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_V : [n] \rightarrow V(\text{Cay}(G, S)) \text{ is a path from } g \text{ to } h\}$$

Lemma 1.2.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then d_S is a metric on $\text{Cay}(G, S)$.

Proposition 1.2.3

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Let $g \in G$ be fixed. Then the map

$$(h, k) \mapsto (gh, gk)$$

given by the action lemma is an isometry. In other words,

$$d_S(h, k) = d_S(gh, gk)$$

Let X be a metric space with two metrics d_1 and d_2 . Recall that d_1 and d_2 are bilipschitz equivalent if there exists two constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$ such that

$$c_1 d_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq c_2 d_1(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.2.4

Let G be a group. Let S, T be generating sets of G . Then d_S and d_T are bilipschitz equivalent.

Definition 1.2.5: The Word Norm

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Let $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ be the Cayley complex of G and S . Define the word norm of $g \in G$ to be

$$\|g\|_S = d_S(1_G, g)$$

Lemma 1.2.6

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then the following are true.

- $d_S(g, h) = \|g^{-1}h\|_S$ for all $g, h \in G$.
- $\|g^{-1}\|_S = \|g\|_S$ for all $g \in G$.
- $\|gh\|_S \leq \|g\|_S + \|h\|_S$ for all $g, h \in G$.

1.3 Realizing the Cayley Graph as a Connected Space

We have proved that Cayley graphs are connected as graphs, in the sense that any two vertices are connected by a path. But a priori the graph is not connected as a topological space, whose topology is generated by the metric.

Definition 1.3.1: Geometric Realization of Cayley Graphs

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Define the geometric realization $|\text{Cay}(G, S)|$ of the Cayley graph to be the space

$$|\text{Cay}(G, S)| = \frac{E(\text{Cay}(G, S)) \times I}{\sim}$$

where $((g_1, g_1 s_1), t_1) \sim ((g_2, g_2 s_2), t_2)$ if one of the following are true.

- They describe the same vertex (with different representations of elements in G): $((g_1, g_1 s_1), t_1) = ((g_2, g_2 s_2), t_2)$.
- They describe the same vertex but they lie on different edges: Either one of the following
 - $g_1 = g_2$ and $t_1 = t_2 = 0$
 - $g_1 = g_2 s_2$ and $t_1 = 0, t_2 = 1$
 - $g_1 s_1 = g_2 s_2$ and $t_1 = t_2 = 1$
 - $g_1 s_1 = g_2$ and $t_1 = 1, t_2 = 0$
- They describe the same point on an edge but different orientations: $(g_1, g_1 s_1) = (g_2, g_2 s_2^{-1})$ and $t_1 = 1 - t_2$.

In particular, this gives a 1-dimensional CW complex.

We can also give a metric on the realization so that its restriction to the actual Cayley graph recovers the word metric.

Definition 1.3.2: Metric on realization

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Define a metric $d : |\text{Cay}(G, S)| \times |\text{Cay}(G, S)| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows.

$$d([(g_1, g_1 s_1), t_1], [(g_2, g_2 s_2), t_2]) = \begin{cases} |t_1 - t_2| & \text{if } (g_1, g_1 s_1) = (g_2, g_2 s_2) \\ |t_1 - (1 - t_2)| & \text{if } (g_1, g_1 s_1) = (g_2 s_2, g_2) \\ \min \begin{cases} t_1 + d_S(g_1, g_2) + t_2 \\ t_1 + d_S(g_1, g_2 s_2) + 1 - t_2 \\ 1 - t_1 + d_S(g_1 s_1, g_2) + t_2 \\ 1 - t_1 + d_S(g_1 s_1, g_2 s_2) + 1 - t_2 \end{cases} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We abuse notation sometimes and freely interchange the use of the Cayley graph and its geometric realization when the context is clear.

1.4 Geodesics on Cayley Graphs

Definition 1.4.1: Geodesic Words

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set. Let $\gamma_V : [n] \rightarrow V(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ be a path in $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. We say that γ_V is a geodesic word if

$$d_S(\gamma_V(0), \gamma_V(n)) = n$$

This is not the same definition as geodesics in metric spaces. (It doesn't make sense to talk about paths in $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ because it is a discrete topological space when we consider the topology generated by the metric).

Proposition 1.4.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then $\gamma_V : [n] \rightarrow V(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ is a geodesic word if and only if $|\gamma_V| : [0, n] \rightarrow |\text{Cay}(G, S)|$ is a geodesic in the sense of metric spaces.

Lemma 1.4.3

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set. If $\gamma_V : [n] \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a geodesic, then $\gamma_V(0) * \dots * \gamma_V(n)$ is a reduced word.

Note: The converse is not true. Consider $G = \langle a, b \rangle a^3 = b^2$. Both a^3 and b^2 are reduced words but they have different lengths.

Note: geodesics are not the unique distance minimizing curve between two elements. Therefore we want to find a representative.

Definition 1.4.4: Short Lex Ordering

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . Let $u, v \in F(S)$. We say that

$$u <_{sl} v$$

if one of the following are true.

- $|u| < |v|$
- $|u| = |v|$ and there exists w such that $u = w * u'$, $v = w * v'$ and $u' <_{sl} v'$.

We call $<_{sl}$ the short lex ordering on $F(S)$.

Lemma 1.4.5

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set. Then $<_{sl}$ is a total order on $F(S)$.

Definition 1.4.6: Short Lex Representative

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Let $g \in G$. Define the short lex representative of g with respect to S to be

$$\min_{<_{sl}} \{s \in F(S) \mid s = g \text{ in } G\}$$

Lemma 1.4.7

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Any subword of a short lex representative with respect to S is a short lex representative.

Corollary 1.4.8

Let G be a group. Let S be a generating set of G . Then the set of paths in $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ consisting of short lex representatives form a spanning tree for $\text{Cay}(G, S)$.

1.5 Growth Function

Definition 1.5.1: Ball Around an Element

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . Let $R > 0$. Define the ball around $g \in G$ with radius n to be

$$B_n^{G,S}(g) = \{h \in G \mid d_S(g, h) \leq n\}$$

Proposition 1.5.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set. Let $g, h \in G$. Then

$$|B_n^G(g)| = |B_n^G(h)|$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.5.3: Growth Function

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . Let $R > 0$. Define the growth func-

tion $\Gamma_{G,S} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of G with respect to S to be

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = |B_n^{G,S}(1_G)|$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 1.5.4

Let G be a group Let S be a finite generating set of G . Then the following are true.

- $\Gamma_{G,S}(m+n) \leq \Gamma_{G,S}(m)\Gamma_{G,S}(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq (2|S| + 1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For any pair (h, k) of elements of G such that $d_S(1, h) = m$ and $d_S(1, k) = n$, we have that

$$d_S(1_G, hk) \leq d_S(1_G, h) + d_S(h, hk) = d_S(1_G, h) + d_S(1_G, k) = m + n$$

This means that for any unique pair of elements (h, k) with $h \in B_m^{G,S}(1_G)$ and $k \in B_n^{G,S}(1_G)$, there exists a possibly non-unique element $hk \in B_{m+n}^{G,S}(1_G)$. Hence

$$|B_{m+n}^{G,S}(1_G)| \leq |B_m^{G,S}(1_G)| \cdot |B_n^{G,S}(1_G)|$$

and so $\Gamma_{G,S}(m+n) \leq \Gamma_{G,S}(m)\Gamma_{G,S}(n)$.

Notice that $\Gamma_{G,S}(1) = (2|S| + 1)$ since the paths of the Cayley graph is given by S and their inverses. Together with the identity element which has zero norm gives the formula. We can then recursively apply the above inequality to get

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq (\Gamma_{G,S}(1))^n = (2|S| + 1)^n$$

□

Lemma 1.5.5

Let G be a group Let S be a finite generating set of G . Then the following are true.

- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $G \cong F(S)$.

Proof. The induced homomorphism $\phi : F(S) \rightarrow G$ sends $B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})$ surjectively to $B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})$. Indeed if $\gamma_V : [n] \rightarrow F(S)$ is a geodesic, then $\phi \circ \gamma_V$ may not be a geodesic so that $d_S(1_G, \phi \circ \gamma_V(n)) \leq n$. This means that $\phi \circ \gamma_V(n) \in B_n^{G,S}(1_G)$. Conversely, if $g \in B_n^{G,S}(1_G)$ then $g = w_1 \cdots w_n$ is a reduced word in G for $w_1, \dots, w_n \in S$. Then $w_1 \cdots w_n$ is also a reduced word in $F(S)$ and hence lie in $B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})$. Moreover, $\phi(w_1 \cdots w_n) = g$. Hence ϕ is surjective on the two balls. Then we have

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = |B_n^{G,S}(1_G)| = |\phi(B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)}))| \leq |B_n^{F(S),S}(1_{F(S)})| = \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n)$$

□

Lemma 1.5.6

Let S be a finite set. Then

$$\Gamma_{F(S),S}(n) = \frac{1 - |S|(2|S| - 1)^n}{1 - |S|}$$

Proof. I claim that the number of reduced words of length n is $2|S|(2|S| - 1)^{n-1}$ when $n \geq 1$. We induct on n . When $n = 1$, then any reduced word is just the choice of a letter. Hence there are $2|S|$ number of reduced words of length 1. Now suppose that the number of reduced words of length k is given by $2|S|(2|S| - 1)^{k-1}$. Any reduced word of length $k + 1$ is given by the concatenation of a reduced word of length k and a choice of letter that is not the inverse of the last element of the given word. Thus there are $2|S|(2|S| - 1)^{k-1} \cdot (2|S| - 1 = 2|S|(2|S| - 1)^k)$ number of reduced words of length $k + 1$. This completely the induction step.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_{F(S),S}(n) &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n 2|S|(2|S| - 1)^{i-1} \\
 &= 1 + 2|S| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (2|S| - 1)^i \\
 &= 1 + 2|S| \frac{1 - (2|S| - 1)^n}{1 - (2|S| - 1)} \\
 &= 1 + |S| \frac{1 - (2|S| - 1)^n}{1 - |S|} \\
 &= \frac{1 - |S| + |S|(1 - (2|S| - 1)^n)}{1 - |S|} \\
 &= \frac{1 - |S|(2|S| - 1)^n}{1 - |S|}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.5.7

Let G be a group Let S be a finite generating set of G . Then the following are equivalent.

- G is a finite group.
- $\Gamma_{G,S}$ is bounded.
- $\Gamma_{G,S}(n) = \Gamma_{G,S}(n + 1)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1.5.8

Let G be a group. Let S, T be finite generating sets of G . Then there exists $C, D > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq C\Gamma_{G,T}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{G,T}(n) \leq D\Gamma_{G,S}(n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.5.9

There exists a finitely generated group G with finite generators S such that $\Gamma_{G,S}$ has super-polynomial growth but subexponential growth.

Theorem 1.5.10: [Hirsch 1958]

Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group. Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G . Then $[G : H]$ is finite and H is torsion-free.

Theorem 1.5.11: [Jennings 1955]

Let H be a finitely generated torsion-free and nilpotent group. Then H is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H_d(\mathbb{Z})$ for some $d \geq 1$.

Note: $H_d(\mathbb{Z})$ is the upper triangular matrices of $SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 1.5.12: [Gromov 1981]

Let G be a finitely generated group such that $\Gamma_{G,S}$ has at most polynomial growth. Then there exists some subgroup $H \leq G$ such that $[G : H]$ is finite and H is nilpotent.

Theorem 1.5.13: [Bass 1972, Guivarch 1973]

Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group. Then there exists $C, D, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$Cn^d \leq \Gamma_{G,S}(n) \leq Dp^d$$

($\Gamma_{G,S}$ has polynomial growth rate).

1.6 Distortion

Definition 1.6.1: Undistorted Subgroups

Let G be a group. Let S, T be generating sets of G . Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup. We say that H is undistorted in G if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$d_T(g, h) \leq C d_S(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in H$.

Intuitively, this means that when we restrict the metric to the subgroup, the shortest path when we had in H for two elements is still the shortest when we consider the two elements in G .

2 The Geometry of Boundaries

2.1 Ends of a Group via Geodesic Rays

Definition 2.1.1: Geodesic Ray

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . A geodesic ray in $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a continuous map

$$\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, S)$$

such that if $B \subseteq \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is bounded then $\phi^{-1}(B)$ is bounded.

This is called proper rays in Loh.

Definition 2.1.2: Ends of a Group

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . Define

$$\text{Ends}(G, S) = \{\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, S) \mid \phi \text{ is a geodesic ray}\} / \sim$$

where $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{im}(\phi_1) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)$ and $\text{im}(\phi_2) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)$ lie in the same path component of $\text{Cay}(G, S) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)$.

2.2 Infinite Connected Components at Infinity

Definition 2.2.1: Infinite Connected Components

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . Define the set of infinite connected components of G with respect to S by

$$E_S(n) = \{[X] \in \pi_0(\text{Cay}(G, S) \setminus B_n^{G,S}(1)) \mid |X| = \infty\}$$

Definition 2.2.2

Let G be a group. Let S be a finite generating set of G . Define

$$C_S(n) = \text{Cay}(G, S) \setminus \bigcup_{A \in E_S(n)} A$$