

Model Category Theory

Labix

August 8, 2024

Abstract

References:

- Model Category by Mark Hovey

Contents

1	Model Categories	3
1.1	Basic Definitions	3
1.2	Fibrations and Cofibrations Determine Each Other	6
2	Homotopy Theory in Model Categories	7
2.1	Cylinder and Path Objects	7
2.2	Left and Right Homotopies	8
2.3	Fibrant and Cofibrant Replacement	11
2.4	The Homotopy Category of a Model Category	13
2.5	Derived Functors	14
2.6	Homotopy Pushouts and Pullbacks	14
3	Quillen Adjunctions and Quillen Equivalence	17
3.1	Quillen Adjunctions	17
3.2	Total Derived Functors	17
3.3	Quillen Equivalence	18
4	Model Structures on Standard Categories	19
4.1	Cofibrantly Generated Model Structures	19
4.2	Model Structure on Top	19
4.3	Model Structure on the Category of Chain Complexes	20
4.4	Model Structure on sSet	20
5	Pointed Model Categories	22
5.1	The Suspension and Loopspace Functor	22
5.2	Fibre and Cofibre Sequences	23
5.3	Stable Model Categories	24
6	Localization Techniques	25
6.1	Bousfield Localization	25

1 Model Categories

The definition of a model category is an attempt to answer the following question. In what categories does the notion of homotopies make sense? Obviously we have the prototypical examples:

Top, **Top**_{*}, **Top**² and any of its full subcategory. Model categories extract the important properties of these categories and put it in a general context. It turns out that there are quite a number of categories in which the notion of homotopies make sense. Such algebra which was initiated by homotopy is called homotopical algebra, which is in parallel to homological algebra.

1.1 Basic Definitions

In our royal road of algebraic topology, we have again and again weakened our notion of “isomorphism” for our convenience. We began with the notion of homeomorphisms, which are too strict for any algebraic invariant to classify. Therefore we turned to a weaker notion, namely that of homotopy equivalences. This has the added benefit of the direct definitions of the homotopy groups which are the main invariants in algebraic topology. While the celebrated Whitehead’s theorem shows that the homotopy groups are a complete invariant for CW complexes up to homotopy equivalence, the same cannot be said for arbitrary spaces.

We therefore weaken the notion once again, where we defined the notion of weak homotopy equivalences, which is directly based on isomorphisms of the homotopy group as an invariant. This is evident when we defined the homology and cohomology functors as we have weakened the definition so that such a functor only needs to preserve isomorphisms of (co)homology groups in weak homotopy equivalence. Up until this point, we thus have such a tower of “isomorphisms”:

$$\text{Homeomorphisms} \subset \text{Homotopy Equivalences} \subset \text{Weak Homotopy Equivalences}$$

The homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\mathbf{Top})$ is defined so that weak equivalences are isomorphisms in the category by definition. But in this process we have lost too much information. We really wanted weak homotopy equivalences to be our appropriate notion of homotopy equivalence (not the stronger notion of “isomorphisms”). A model structure precisely reflects the type of maps that make up the class of homotopy equivalences in the category **Top**. This gives us the classical model structure on **Top**. Our goal is to extract the essence of homotopy theory so that we can forcibly make weak homotopy equivalences into formal “homotopy equivalences” of our categories.

The first thing we need is a general notion of retracts and lifts. They form the foundation of homotopy because we want homotopies to investigate lifting and retract properties.

Definition 1.1.1: Retract of Morphisms

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : A \rightarrow B$ be morphisms in \mathcal{C} . We say that f is a retract of g if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{id}_X & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{id}_Y & & \end{array}$$

where $X \rightarrow A$, $A \rightarrow X$, $Y \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow Y$ are any morphisms such that $X \rightarrow A \rightarrow X$ and $Y \rightarrow B \rightarrow Y$ are the respective identities.

Definition 1.1.2: Lifting Properties

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Let the following be a commutative square in \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

We say that i has the left lifting property with respect to p , and p has the right lifting property with respect to i if there exists $h : B \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow \exists h & \downarrow p \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

We are now in position to define the notion of a model category. Such a category not only has a distinguished class of morphisms which are the weak equivalences we would like, but also two more classes of morphisms called fibrations and cofibrations. Indeed in homotopy theory fibrations and cofibrations play a crucial role.

Definition 1.1.3: Model Category

A model category is a category \mathcal{C} together with a distinguished class of morphisms

- \mathcal{W} called weak equivalences
- \mathcal{Cof} called cofibrations
- \mathcal{Fib} called fibrations

such that the following axioms are true.

- (M1) The category is complete and cocomplete.
- (M2) Two out of Three (2/3): If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are morphisms of \mathcal{C} and two out of three of $f, g, g \circ f$ are in \mathcal{W} , then the last one is also in \mathcal{W} .
- (M3) Let g be a morphism and let f be a retract of g . If g is a weak equivalence / cofibration / fibration, then f is also an equivalence / cofibration / fibration respectively.
- (M4) The lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow p \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

has a solution provided that one of the following two conditions are true:

- $i \in \mathcal{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W}$ and $p \in \mathcal{Fib}$
- $i \in \mathcal{Cof}$ and $p \in \mathcal{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$

In other words, if the two morphisms i and p satisfy the one of the two conjoint conditions above, then i has the left lifting property with respect to p , p has the right lifting property with respect to i .

- (M5) Any map $X \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{C} admits factorizations of the following two forms:
 - $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ where $f \in \mathcal{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W}$ and $g \in \mathcal{Fib}$
 - $X \xrightarrow{h} Y \xrightarrow{k} Z$ where $h \in \mathcal{Cof}$ and $k \in \mathcal{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$

In this case, we say that $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Cof}$ and \mathcal{Fib} define a model structure on \mathcal{C} .

The following naming conventions will prove to be useful.

Definition 1.1.4: Trivial / Acyclic Fibrations

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} .

- We say that f is a trivial / acyclic fibration if f is a fibration and a weak equivalence.
- We say that f is a trivial / acyclic cofibration if f is a cofibration and a weak equivalence.

One should always return to the canonical example of model categories for intuition. This is similar to how **Set** is the canonical example of categories. In particular, in Algebraic Topology 3 we have proved the following that **Top** is a model category with the following data.

- The weak equivalences are the homotopy equivalences
- The fibrations are the Hurewicz fibrations
- The cofibrations are the Hurewicz cofibrations

Lemma 1.1.5

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Then \mathcal{C} is a model category with the following data.

- \mathcal{W} is all the isomorphisms in \mathcal{C}
- \mathcal{Cof} and \mathcal{Fib} are all the morphisms in \mathcal{C}

Proposition 1.1.6

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category with model $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Cof}, \mathcal{Fib})$. Then \mathcal{C}^{op} is also a model category with model $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Fib}, \mathcal{Cof})$ so that the cofibrations of \mathcal{C} are the fibrations of \mathcal{C}^{op} , and the fibrations of \mathcal{C} are the cofibrations of \mathcal{C}^{op} .

Proposition 1.1.7

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. Then the under category A/\mathcal{C} can be given the structure of a model category where

- The weak equivalences are precisely the weak equivalences in \mathcal{C}
- The cofibrations are precisely the cofibrations in \mathcal{C}
- The fibrations are precisely the fibrations in \mathcal{C} .

The following categories and classes of morphisms define model categories.

Category	WeakEquivalences	Fibrations	Cofibrations
Top	Classical Weak Equivalences	Serre Fibrations	Retracts of Relative Cell Complexes
Top	Homotopy Equivalences	Hurewicz Fibrations	Hurewicz Cofibrations
sSet	Weak Homotopy Equivalences	Kan Fibrations	Levelwise Injections
Ch _R	Quasi-Isomorphisms	Degree-wise Surjections	Degree-wise DG _R with projective kernels

Ch_R = chain complexes over a commutative ring R . DG_R = differential graded algebra over a commutative ring R .

Definition 1.1.8: Fibrant and Cofibrant Objects

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object.

- We say that X is cofibrant if the unique map $\emptyset \rightarrow X$ from the initial object is a cofibration.
- We say that X is fibrant if the unique map $X \rightarrow *$ to the terminal object is a fibration.
- We say that X is bifibrant if X is both fibrant and cofibrant.

All object of \mathbf{sSet} are cofibrant and all object of \mathbf{Ch}_R is fibrant.

1.2 Fibrations and Cofibrations Determine Each Other**Definition 1.2.1: Morphisms of Lifting Properties**

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Let \mathcal{W} be a class of morphisms in \mathcal{C} .

- Define \mathcal{W}_\perp to be all morphisms in \mathcal{C} that have the right lifting property with respect to all morphisms in \mathcal{W} .
- Define ${}_\perp\mathcal{W}$ to be all morphisms in \mathcal{C} that have the left lifting property with respect to all morphisms in \mathcal{W} .

Theorem 1.2.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with model structure $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Cof}$ and \mathcal{Fib} . Then the following are true.

- $\mathcal{Cof}_\perp = \mathcal{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W}$
- $\mathcal{Cof} = {}_\perp(\mathcal{Fib} \cap \mathcal{W})$
- $(\mathcal{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W})_\perp = \mathcal{Fib}$
- $\mathcal{Cof} \cap \mathcal{W} = {}_\perp\mathcal{Fib}$

In particular, this means that the fibrations of a model category determines and is determined by the cofibrations.

2 Homotopy Theory in Model Categories

2.1 Cylinder and Path Objects

In this section, we will attempt to define the notion of homotopies for model categories. Recall that homotopy in classical algebraic topology gives us an equivalence relation on the set of all maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, Y)$$

between two spaces.

Definition 2.1.1: Cylinder Objects

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. A cylinder object CX of X is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \amalg X & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & X \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow \simeq \\ & CX & \end{array}$$

of the codiagonal morphism $\nabla : X \amalg X \rightarrow X$ such that p is a weak equivalence. Such a cylinder object CX is said to be

- Good if i is a cofibration
- Very good if i is a cofibration and p is a fibration

Denote the maps $X \rightarrow X \amalg X \xrightarrow{i} CX$ by i_1 and i_2 .

Lemma 2.1.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be cofibrant. If CX is a good cylinder object for X , then the structure maps $i_1, i_2 : X \rightarrow CX$ are acyclic cofibrations

Dually, there is also the notion of path objects.

Definition 2.1.3: Path Objects

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. A path object PX of X is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X \times X \\ & \searrow \simeq & \nearrow p \\ & PX & \end{array}$$

of the diagonal morphism $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ such that i is a weak equivalence. Such a path object PX is said to be

- Good if p is a fibration
- Very good if i is a cofibration and p is a fibration

Denote the maps $PX \xrightarrow{p} X \times X \rightarrow X$ by p_1 and p_2 .

Lemma 2.1.4

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Then every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has a very good cylinder object and a very good path object.

Proof. This is true by the axiom MC5 of a model category, which states that every map has a

factorization into either an a cofibration followed by an acylic fibration or an acylic cofibration followed by a fibration. \square

2.2 Left and Right Homotopies

Definition 2.2.1: Left Homotopies

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two morphisms in \mathcal{C} . We say that f and g are left homotopic if there is a lift $H : CX \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & CX & \\ \uparrow & \searrow \exists H & \\ X \amalg X & \xrightarrow{(f,g)} & Y \end{array}$$

In this case we write $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$. We say that H is a

- Good left homotopy if CX is a good cylinder object
- Very good left homotopy if CX is a very good cylinder object

Lemma 2.2.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$. Then f is a homotopy equivalence if and only if g is a homotopy equivalence.

Proposition 2.2.3

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms in \mathcal{C} . If $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$, then there exists a good left homotopy from f to g . Moreover, if Y is fibrant, then there exists a very good left homotopy from f to g .

Proposition 2.2.4

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be an cofibrant object. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, left equivalent homotopies define an equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, X)$.

Definition 2.2.5: Right Homotopies

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two morphisms in \mathcal{C} . We say that f and g are right homotopic if there is a lift $H : X \rightarrow Y^I$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y^I & \\ \nearrow \exists H & \downarrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f \times g} & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

In this case we write $f \stackrel{r}{\simeq} g$. We say that H is a

- Good right homotopy if Y^I is a good path object
- Very right left homotopy if Y^I is a very good path object

Proposition 2.2.6

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms in \mathcal{C} . If $f \stackrel{r}{\simeq} g$, then there exists a good right homotopy from f to g . Moreover, if Y is fibrant, then there exists a very good right homotopy from f to g .

Proposition 2.2.7

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be an fibrant object. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, right equivalent homotopies define an equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A)$.

Theorem 2.2.8

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms. Then the following are true.

- If X is a cofibrant object and $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$, then $f \stackrel{r}{\simeq} g$
- If Y is a fibrant object and $f \stackrel{r}{\simeq} g$, then $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$
- If X is cofibrant and Y is fibrant, then $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$ if and only if $f \stackrel{r}{\simeq} g$.

Proof. We first proof the first statement. Suppose that $f \stackrel{l}{\simeq} g$ and X is cofibrant. By 1.3.6, there exists a good left homotopy from f to g . This means that there is a good cylinder object $j : CX \xrightarrow{\simeq} X$ of X and a homotopy $H : CX \rightarrow Y$ from f to g . By 1.3.2, the structure maps $i_1, i_2 : X \rightarrow CX$ are acyclic cofibrations. Choose a good path object $q : Y \xrightarrow{\simeq} PY$ of Y . By MC4 of the model category axioms, the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{q \circ f} & PY \\ i_1 \downarrow & \nearrow \exists K & \downarrow p \\ CX & \xrightarrow{(f \circ j, H)} & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

Then $K \circ i_1 : X \rightarrow PY$ is the desired right homotopy and so we are done. The second statement is the dual of the first statement. The last statement is a combination of the first two. \square

If X and Y are both bifibrant, then there is no longer a need to distinguish between left and right homotopies.

Definition 2.2.9: Equivalence Classes of Homotopic Maps

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object.

- If X is a cofibrant object, define

$$\pi^l(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) / \simeq$$

to be the equivalence classes of maps from X to Y under the equivalence relation of left homotopies.

- If Y is a fibrant object, define

$$\pi^r(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) / \simeq$$

to be the equivalence classes of maps from X to Y under the equivalence relation of right homotopies.

Lemma 2.2.10

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then the following are true.

- If A is cofibrant and f is an acyclic fibration, then the map

$$f_* : \pi^l(A, X) \rightarrow \pi^l(A, Y)$$

is a bijection

- If B is fibrant and f is an acyclic cofibration, then the map

$$f^* : \pi^r(Y, B) \rightarrow \pi^r(X, B)$$

is a bijection

Definition 2.2.11: Homotopy Equivalence

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let X, Y be bifibrant. We say that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence if there exists $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f \simeq \text{id}_X$ and $f \circ g \simeq \text{id}_Y$. In this case we say that X and Y are homotopy equivalent and denote it by $X \simeq Y$.

Theorem 2.2.12: Whitehead's Theorem in Model Categories

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let X and Y be bifibrant objects. Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if f is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Suppose that f is a weak equivalence. By M5 axiom we can factorize it into $X \xrightarrow{a} Z \xrightarrow{b} Y$ where a is an acyclic cofibration and b is a fibration. Since a and $f = b \circ a$ are weak equivalences, by M2 b is a weak equivalence. Since X is fibrant and a is an acyclic cofibration, the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X \\ a \downarrow & \nearrow \exists r & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

has a solution $r : Z \rightarrow X$. By 2.29, $a^* : \pi^r(Z, Z) \rightarrow \pi^r(X, Z)$ is a bijection. In particular

$$a^*([a \circ r]) = [a \circ r \circ a] = [a]$$

At the same time, $a^*([\text{id}_Z]) = [a]$. The bijection implies that $a \circ r \simeq \text{id}_Z$. Thus r is a two sided homotopy inverse of a . A dual argument shows that $b : Z \rightarrow Y$ has a two sided homotopy inverse s . Then $r \circ s$ is a two sided homotopy inverse of $f = b \circ a$.

Now suppose that f is a weak equivalence. By M5 axiom we can factorize it into

$X \xrightarrow{a} Z \xrightarrow{b} Y$ where a is an acyclic cofibration and b is a fibration. Let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy inverse of f . Let $H : CY \rightarrow Y$ provide the homotopy between $f \circ g$ and id_Y . By M4 axiom, the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{a \circ g} & Z \\ i_0 \downarrow & \nearrow \exists H' & \downarrow b \\ CY & \xrightarrow{H} & Y \end{array}$$

has a solution $H' : CY \rightarrow Z$. Let $s = H' \circ i_1 : Y \rightarrow Z$. Then $b \circ s = \text{id}_Y$. Since a is a weak equivalence, we just proved that a has a homotopy inverse $r : Z \rightarrow X$. Since $f = b \circ a$, we have that $f \circ r \simeq b$. Since Y and CY are weakly equivalent, we also have that $s \simeq a \circ g$ and it

follows that

$$s \circ b \simeq a \circ g \circ b \simeq a \circ g \circ f \circ r \simeq a \circ r \simeq \text{id}_Z$$

By ???, we conclude that $s \circ b$ is a weak equivalence. We thus have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Z} & Z & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Z} & Z \\ b \downarrow & & \downarrow s \circ b & & \downarrow b \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s} & Z & \xrightarrow{b} & Y \end{array}$$

which is commutative. This show that b is a retract of $s \circ b$. By M3 axiom, b is a weak equivalence. By M2, b and a are weak equivalences hence $f = b \circ a$ is a weak equivalence. □

2.3 Fibrant and Cofibrant Replacement

Definition 2.3.1: Fibrant and Cofibrant Replacements

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object.

- By M5, we can choose a factorization of the unique map $\emptyset \rightarrow X$ into

$$\emptyset \longrightarrow QX \xrightarrow{p_X} X$$

where QX is cofibrant and p is an acyclic fibration. Define QX to be the cofibrant replacement of X .

- By M5, we can choose a factorization of the unique map $X \rightarrow *$ into

$$X \xrightarrow{i_X} FX \longrightarrow *$$

where FX is fibrant and i is an acyclic cofibration. Define FX to be the fibrant replacement of X .

The point is this is that because we realistically only care about classes of objects up to weak equivalence, we can replace each object in a model category with fibrant / cofibrant objects so that the homotopy category is defined using the fibrant / cofibrant replacement. The following propositions show that not only can we replace regular objects with fibrant / cofibrant objects up to weak equivalence, we can also replace maps.

Proposition 2.3.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then there exists a map $\bar{f} : QX \rightarrow QY$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QX & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & QY \\ p_X \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

The map \bar{f} satisfies the following:

- The map \bar{f} is a weak equivalence if and only if f is a weak equivalence
- If Y is fibrant then \bar{f} depends up to left / right homotopy on the left homotopy class of f

Proposition 2.3.3

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then there exists a map $\bar{f} : QX \rightarrow QY$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ i_X \downarrow & & \downarrow i_Y \\ FX & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & FY \end{array}$$

The map \bar{f} satisfies the following:

- The map \bar{f} is a weak equivalence if and only if f is a weak equivalence
- If X is cofibrant then \bar{f} depends up to left / right homotopy on the right homotopy class of f

Definition 2.3.4: Subcategories of a Model Category

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category and let \mathcal{W} be its weak equivalences. Define the following associated categories.

- \mathcal{C}_f is the full subcategory consisting of all fibrant objects of \mathcal{C} .
- \mathcal{C}_c is the full subcategory consisting of all cofibrant objects of \mathcal{C} .
- \mathcal{C}_{fc} is the full subcategory consisting of all fibrant and cofibrant objects of \mathcal{C} .
- $\pi\mathcal{C}_f$ is the category consisting of all fibrant objects and left homotopy classes of maps
- $\pi\mathcal{C}_c$ is the category consisting of all cofibrant objects and right homotopy classes of maps
- $\pi\mathcal{C}_{fc}$ is the category consisting of all bifibrant objects and right homotopy classes of maps

Definition 2.3.5: The Fibrant Replacement Functor

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Define the fibrant replacement functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{C}_f$ with the following data.

- Every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is sent to its fibrant replacement FX
- For $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism, $F(f) = [\bar{f}]$ where $\bar{f} : FX \rightarrow FY$ is the fibrant replacement of the map f

Definition 2.3.6: The Cofibrant Replacement Functor

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Define the cofibrant replacement functor $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{C}_c$ with the following data.

- Every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is sent to its cofibrant replacement QX
- For $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism, $Q(f) = [\bar{f}]$ where $\bar{f} : QX \rightarrow QY$ is the cofibrant replacement of the map f

Lemma 2.3.7

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. The following are true regarding the fibrant and cofibrant replacement functor.

- The restriction of the fibrant replacement functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{C}_f$ to \mathcal{C}_c induces a functor $F' : \pi\mathcal{C}_c \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{C}_{fc}$
- The restriction of the cofibrant replacement functor $Q : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{C}_c$ to \mathcal{C}_f induces a functor $Q' : \pi\mathcal{C}_f \rightarrow \pi\mathcal{C}_{fc}$

2.4 The Homotopy Category of a Model Category

Definition 2.4.1: Homotopy Category

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Define the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} as follows.

- The objects of $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ are precisely the objects of \mathcal{C}
- For X, Y two objects in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$, define

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(QFX, QFY) / \simeq$$

where \simeq is the equivalence relation of homotopies of bifibrant objects.

It is now clear that we are losing information by passing a model category into its homotopy category because we only care about objects up to weak equivalence, and we have replaced maps between objects by maps between their bifibrant replacements.

Definition 2.4.2: The Homotopy Functor

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Define the homotopy functor $\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ by the following data.

- γ is the identity on objects
- For each morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $\gamma(f) = QFf$

Lemma 2.4.3

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} . Then $\gamma(f)$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if f is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 2.4.4

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category with weak equivalences \mathcal{W} . Then the homotopy functor $\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ defines a localization of \mathcal{C} with respect to \mathcal{W} . In other words, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{W}^{-1}\mathcal{C}$$

Some authors instead require the objects of the homotopy category to only consist of bifibrant objects. But since we are only considering morphisms up to homotopy equivalence, and the definition of the morphisms in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ already involves transforming the object into the bifibrant object QFX that is weakly equivalent to X , there is no difference in considering whether the objects are all of the objects of \mathcal{C} or only bifibrant objects of \mathcal{C} , at least up to natural isomorphism. This is made concrete below.

Theorem 2.4.5

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. The inclusion functors from the respective full subcategories of \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C} induces equivalence of categories:

$$\mathcal{W}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_c, \mathcal{W}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_f, \mathcal{W}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{fc} \cong \mathcal{W}^{-1}\mathcal{C}$$

Moreover, there is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}_f) \cong \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}_c) \cong \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}_{fc})$$

This crucial theorem shows us that if we only really care about maps up to homotopies, we can forget about objects that are not bifibrant. The above theorem says that leaving only the bifibrant objects will still give us the same homotopy category. In particular, every object is weakly equivalent to a bifibrant object. And since we only really care about spaces up to weakly equivalence classes, we can forget about non-bifibrant objects.

2.5 Derived Functors

Definition 2.5.1: Left Derived Functors

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let \mathcal{D} be a category. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. A left derived functor of F is a functor $LF : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with a natural transformation $t : LF \circ \gamma \Rightarrow F$ that is universal in the following sense:

If $G : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a another functor and $s : G \circ \gamma \Rightarrow F$ is a another a natural transformation, then there exists a unique natural transformation $p : G \Rightarrow LF$ such that $s = p \circ t$.

Definition 2.5.2: Right Derived Functors

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let \mathcal{D} be a category. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. A right derived functor of F is a functor $RF : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with a natural transformation $t : F \Rightarrow RF \circ \gamma$ that is universal in the following sense:

If $G : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a another functor and $s : F \Rightarrow G \circ \gamma$ is a another a natural transformation, then there exists a unique natural transformation $p : RF \circ \gamma \Rightarrow G$ such that $s = p \circ t$.

Definition 2.5.3: Total Derived Functors

Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be model categories. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- The total left derived functor $\mathbb{L}F : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$ of F is the left derived functor of the composite $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$.
- The total right derived functor $\mathbb{R}F : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$ of F is the right derived functor of the composite $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \circ F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$.

2.6 Homotopy Pushouts and Pullbacks

Pushouts and pullbacks are not generally well-behaved with respect to homotopy. We remedy this by defining the notion of homotopy pushouts and pullbacks.

Theorem 2.6.1

Let \mathcal{J} be the category $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}$ has the structure of a model category with the following data:

- The weak equivalences are the morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(A) & \longleftarrow & X(B) & \longrightarrow & X(C) \\ \downarrow f_A & & \downarrow f_B & & \downarrow f_C \\ Y(A) & \longleftarrow & Y(B) & \longrightarrow & Y(C) \end{array}$$

such that f_A , f_B and f_C are weak equivalences in \mathcal{C}

- For a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(A) & \longleftarrow & X(B) & \longrightarrow & X(C) \\ \downarrow f_A & & \downarrow f_B & & \downarrow f_C \\ Y(A) & \longleftarrow & Y(B) & \longrightarrow & Y(C) \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}$, write $\partial_A(f)$ to be the pushout of the diagram $Y(B) \leftarrow X(B) \rightarrow X(A)$ and $\partial_C(f)$ the pushout of the diagram $Y(B) \leftarrow X(B) \rightarrow X(C)$. They fit into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(A) & \longleftarrow & X(B) = \partial_B(f) & \longrightarrow & X(C) \\ & \searrow f_A & \downarrow f_B = i_B(f) & & \downarrow f_C \\ & & \partial_A(f) & \longleftarrow & Y(B) & \longrightarrow & \partial_C(f) \\ & \swarrow \exists! i_A(f) & & & & & \swarrow \exists! i_C(f) \\ Y(A) & & & & & & Y(C) \end{array}$$

by the universal property of pushouts. The cofibrations are such morphisms such that $i_A(f)$, $i_B(f)$ and $i_C(f)$ are cofibrations in \mathcal{C}

- The fibrations are the morphisms such that f_A , f_B and f_C are fibrations in \mathcal{C}

Recall that because a model category \mathcal{C} is complete and cocomplete, the diagonal functor $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}$ admits a left adjoint $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}}(-)$. This means that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}}(\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}}(-), -) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, \Delta(-))$$

Theorem 2.6.2

Let \mathcal{J} be the category $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. The the adjunction

$$\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : \Delta$$

is a Quillen adjunction, and hence admits total derived functors

$$\mathbb{L}\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}) \rightleftarrows \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) : \mathbb{R}\Delta$$

Definition 2.6.3: The Homotopy Pushout Functor

Let \mathcal{J} be the category $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Define the homotopy pushout functor to be the total derived functor

$$\mathbb{L}\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$$

of the functor $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 2.6.4

Let \mathcal{J} be the category $A \rightarrow B \leftarrow C$. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}$ has the structure of a model category with the following data:

- The weak equivalences are the morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(A) & \longrightarrow & X(B) & \longleftarrow & X(C) \\ \downarrow f_A & & \downarrow f_B & & \downarrow f_C \\ Y(A) & \longrightarrow & Y(B) & \longleftarrow & Y(C) \end{array}$$

such that f_A , f_B and f_C are weak equivalences in \mathcal{C}

- The cofibrations are the morphisms such that f_A , f_B and f_C are cofibrations in \mathcal{C}
- For a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(A) & \longleftarrow & X(B) & \longrightarrow & X(C) \\ \downarrow f_A & & \downarrow f_B & & \downarrow f_C \\ Y(A) & \longleftarrow & Y(B) & \longrightarrow & Y(C) \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}$, write $\partial_A(f)$ to be the pullback of the diagram $Y(B) \rightarrow X(B) \leftarrow X(A)$ and $\partial_C(f)$ the pullback of the diagram $Y(B) \rightarrow X(B) \leftarrow X(C)$. They fit into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(A) & & & & X(C) \\ & \swarrow \exists! p_A(f) & & \nwarrow \exists! p_C(f) & \\ & \partial_A(f) & \longrightarrow & X(B) = \partial_B(f) & \longleftarrow \partial_C(f) \\ & \downarrow f_A & & \downarrow f_B = p_B(f) & \downarrow f_C \\ Y(A) & \longleftarrow & Y(B) & \longrightarrow & Y(C) \end{array}$$

by the universal property of pullbacks. The fibrations are such morphisms such that $p_A(f)$, $p_B(f)$ and $p_C(f)$ are fibrations in \mathcal{C}

Theorem 2.6.5

Let \mathcal{J} be the category $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. The the adjunction

$$\Delta : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : \lim_{\mathcal{J}}$$

is a Quillen adjunction, and hence admits total derived functors

$$\mathbb{L}\Delta : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) : \mathbb{R}\lim_{\mathcal{J}}$$

Definition 2.6.6: The Homotopy Pullback Functor

Let \mathcal{J} be the category $A \leftarrow B \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Define the homotopy pullback functor to be the total derived functor

$$\mathbb{R}\lim_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$$

of the functor $\lim_{\mathcal{J}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

3 Quillen Adjunctions and Quillen Equivalence

3.1 Quillen Adjunctions

Definition 3.1.1: Quillen Adjunction

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories. Let

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$$

be an adjunction. We say that F and G define a Quillen adjunction if F preserves cofibrations and G preserves fibrations.

Theorem 3.1.2

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories. Let

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$$

be an adjunction. Then the following are equivalent.

- F and G are a pair of Quillen adjunction
- F preserves cofibrations and trivial cofibrations
- G preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations

Proposition 3.1.3

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories. Let

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$$

be a Quillen adjunction. Then the following are true.

- F preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects
- F preserves weak equivalences between fibrant objects

3.2 Total Derived Functors

Theorem 3.2.1

Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be model categories and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be a Quillen adjunction. Then the total derived functors of F and G exists and

$$\mathbb{L}F : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{D}) : \mathbb{R}G$$

form an adjoint pair.

Proposition 3.2.2

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories. Let

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$$

be a Quillen adjunction. Then the following are true.

- The left derived functor $\mathbb{L}F$ preserves coproducts, pushouts and cylinder objects
- The right derived functor $\mathbb{R}G$ preserves products, pullbacks and path objects

3.3 Quillen Equivalence

Definition 3.3.1: Quillen Equivalence

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be a Quillen adjunction. We say that F and G define a Quillen equivalence if LF or RG define an equivalence of localized categories between $\mathcal{W}^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{-1}\mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 3.3.2

Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be model categories and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be a Quillen adjunction. Suppose for each cofibrant object A of \mathcal{C} and fibrant object B of \mathcal{D} , $f : A \rightarrow G(B)$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} if and only if its adjoint $\bar{f} : F(A) \rightarrow B$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{D} . Then LF and RG are a Quillen equivalence.

4 Model Structures on Standard Categories

4.1 Cofibrantly Generated Model Structures

Definition 4.1.1

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. We say that \mathcal{C} is cofibrantly generated if there are sets I and J such that the following are true.

- ?

Theorem 4.1.2: Recognition Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with small limits and colimits. Let \mathcal{W} be a class of morphisms which is closed under composition and contains all the identity morphisms. Let I and J be sets of morphisms in \mathcal{C} such that \mathcal{W} , I and J satisfy the following.

- \mathcal{W} satisfies the 2 out of 3 property
- ??
- ??
- ??
- ??
- ??
- ??

4.2 Model Structure on \mathbf{Top}

We have seen from Algebraic Topology 3 that \mathbf{Top} can be given the structure of a model category. We will give a complete proof of the axioms here.

Theorem 4.2.1

The category \mathbf{Top} of topological spaces is a model category with the following model.

- The weak equivalences are the homotopy equivalences
- The fibrations are the Hurewicz fibrations
- The cofibrations are the Hurewicz cofibrations

The homotopy category of \mathbf{Top} under this model structure gives the usual homotopy category $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{Top})$. However, such a model structure on \mathbf{Top} is far from unique. There are in particular two more canonical model structures on \mathbf{Top} . One such model structure is given by the Serre fibrations.

Theorem 4.2.2

The category \mathbf{Top} of topological spaces is a model category with the following model.

- The weak equivalences are the classical weak equivalences
- The fibrations are the Serre fibrations
- The cofibrations are the retracts of relative cell complexes

We denote the model structure together with the category by $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathrm{Quillen}}$

In this model structure the homotopy category is in fact the homotopy category of CW complexes. Indeed every space is weakly equivalent to a CW complex (CW approximation) and Serre fibrations

satisfy the homotopy lifting property with respect only to all CW complexes.

Using the fact that under categories of a model category inherits the model structure, we can show the following:

Lemma 4.2.3

The category \mathbf{Top}_* can be equipped with a model structure inherited from either one of the model structures on \mathbf{Top} .

Theorem 4.2.4

The category \mathbf{CG} of topological spaces is a model category with the restriction of the model structure on $\mathbf{Top}_{\text{Quillen}}$.

4.3 Model Structure on the Category of Chain Complexes

Theorem 4.3.1

Let R be a ring. Then $\mathbf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{Mod}_R)$ is a model category with the following data.

- The weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms
- The cofibrations are the morphism of chain complexes $f_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ such that each f_k is a monomorphism with a projective R -module as kernel
- The fibrations are the morphism of chain complexes $f_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ such that each f_k is an epimorphism.

4.4 Model Structure on sSet

In this subsection, we consider the model category \mathbf{Top} where the model structure is given by the classical weak equivalences.

Theorem 4.4.1

The category \mathbf{sSet} of simplicial sets admits a model structure with the following data.

- The weak equivalences are morphisms $f : C \rightarrow D$ of simplicial sets such that $|f| : |C| \rightarrow |D|$ is a weak equivalence in \mathbf{Top} under the geometric realization functor
- The cofibrations are the morphism $f : C \rightarrow D$ of simplicial sets such that each map $f_n : C_n \rightarrow D_n$ is a monomorphism
- The fibrations are the morphisms $f : C \rightarrow D$ such that f has the right lifting property with respect to acyclic cofibrations

Lemma 4.4.2

Acyclic cofibrations in \mathbf{sSet} are precisely the Kan fibrations.

Theorem 4.4.3

The singular functor and geometric realization functor

$$|\cdot| : \mathbf{Top} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{sSet} : S$$

form a Quillen adjunction. Moreover, the Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence and hence descends to an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{sSet}) \cong \mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{Top})$$

5 Pointed Model Categories

Model categories are categories in which one can construct homotopies. Stable model categories are then categories in which one can observe stable phenomena on homotopies. In this section, we will provide the foundations of stable model categories which will be used in Stable Homotopy Theory.

5.1 The Suspension and Loopspace Functor

Definition 5.1.1: Pointed Category

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. We say that \mathcal{C} is a pointed category if \mathcal{C} has a zero object.

Definition 5.1.2: Suspension Functor

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Define the suspension functor $\Sigma : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows.

- For each fibrant object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\Sigma(X)$ is pushout of the diagram:

$$* \longleftarrow X \amalg X \xrightarrow{(i_0, i_1)} CX$$

- For $f : X \rightarrow Y$, choose cylinder objects CX and CY for X and Y respectively. There is then a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} * & \longleftarrow & X \amalg X & \longrightarrow & CX \\ \downarrow \exists! & & \downarrow f \amalg f & & \downarrow \exists! Cf \\ * & \longleftarrow & Y \amalg Y & \longrightarrow & CY \end{array}$$

The universal property of pushouts thus induce a unique morphism $\Sigma f : \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma Y$.

Definition 5.1.3: Loopspace Functor

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Define the loopspace functor $\Omega : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows.

- For each cofibrant object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\Omega(X)$ is pullback of the diagram:

$$PX \longrightarrow X \times X \xleftarrow{(p_0, p_1)} *$$

- For $f : X \rightarrow Y$, choose path objects PX and PY for X and Y respectively. There is then a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} PX & \longrightarrow & X \times X & \longleftarrow & * \\ \downarrow Pf & & \downarrow f \times f & & \downarrow \exists! \\ PY & \longrightarrow & Y \times Y & \longleftarrow & * \end{array}$$

The universal property of pullbacks thus induce a unique morphism $\Omega f : \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$.

Proposition 5.1.4

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be pointed model categories. Let

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$$

be a Quillen adjunction. Then for $X \in \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ and $Y \in \text{Ho}(\mathcal{D})$ there are natural isomorphisms

$$LF(\Sigma X) \cong \Sigma(LF(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad RG(\Omega Y) \cong \Omega(RG(Y))$$

Theorem 5.1.5

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Then the suspension and loop space functor are adjoints

$$\Sigma : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) : \Omega$$

In other words, there are isomorphisms

$$[\Sigma X, Y] \cong [X, \Omega Y]$$

that are natural in both $X, Y \in \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem 5.1.6

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Let $X, Y \in \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$. Then the following are true.

- $[\Sigma X, Y]$ is a group with binary operation the concatenation of left homotopies.
- $[X, \Omega Y]$ is a group with binary operation the concatenation of right homotopies.

In particular, the natural isomorphism

$$[\Sigma X, Y] \cong [X, \Omega Y]$$

given by the adjunction is a group isomorphism.

Corollary 5.1.7

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then ΣX is a cogroup object and ΩX is a group object respectively in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$.

Corollary 5.1.8

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $[\Sigma^2 X, Y]$ and $[X, \Omega^2 Y]$ are abelian groups.

5.2 Fibre and Cofibre Sequences

Definition 5.2.1: Fibre and Cofibre

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map in \mathcal{C} .

- The cofibre of f is the pushout of the diagram

$$* \longleftarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

- The fibre of f is the pullback of the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \longleftarrow *$$

Theorem 5.2.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a cofibration of cofibrant objects with cofibre C . Then the map

$$\cdot : [C, X] \times [\Sigma A, X] \rightarrow [C, X]$$

defines a group action for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. It is moreover natural in X .

Dually, let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibration of fibrant objects with fibre Z . Then the map

$$\cdot : [A, Z] \times [A, \Omega Y] \rightarrow [A, Z]$$

defines a group action for any $A \in \mathcal{C}$. It is moreover natural in A .

??? define the action in Foundations of Stable Homotopy Theory P.111

5.3 Stable Model Categories

Definition 5.3.1: Stable Model Categories

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed model category. We say that \mathcal{C} is a stable model category if the suspension functor $\Sigma : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ and the loop space functor $\Omega : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ defines equivalence of categories.

While \mathbf{Top}_* is a model category, it is not a stable homotopy category. \mathbf{Top}_* has the classical suspension and loop space functors which inputs a space X and outputs the usual suspension ΣX and loop space ΩX respectively. However, notice that ΣX and ΩX does not give categorical equivalence. Consider $X = S^1$. It is clear that X and ΣX and ΩX are not isomorphic objects. Indeed they are not even weakly equivalent because they have different homotopy groups.

Theorem 5.3.2

Let \mathcal{C} be a stable model category. Then $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ is an additive category.

Theorem 5.3.3

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be stable model categories. If $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a left or right Quillen functor, then F is an additive functor.

6 Localization Techniques

Definition 6.0.1: \mathcal{W} -Local Objects and Morphisms

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category and let \mathcal{W} be a class of morphisms.

- We say that an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is \mathcal{W} -local if

$$f^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})}(A, C)$$

is a weak equivalence for all $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} .

- We say that a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an \mathcal{W} -equivalence if

$$f^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})}(A, C)$$

is a weak equivalence for all \mathcal{W} -local objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

Denote by $L_{\mathcal{W}}\mathcal{C}$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{W} -local objects of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 6.0.2: \mathcal{W} -Localizations

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category and let \mathcal{W} be a class of morphisms. We say that a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an \mathcal{W} -localization if f is an \mathcal{W} -equivalence and B is \mathcal{W} -local. In this case we say that A has an \mathcal{W} -localization.

If all objects in \mathcal{C} has \mathcal{W} -localizations, then we say that \mathcal{C} has \mathcal{W} -localizations.

Proposition 6.0.3

Let \mathcal{C} be a model category and let \mathcal{W} be a class of morphisms. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. If $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y_1$ and $f_2 : X \rightarrow Y_2$ are two \mathcal{W} -localizations of X , then they are isomorphic in $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$.

6.1 Bousfield Localization