GZ: DSB-D202.238/0001-DSB/2019 from 1.10.2019
[Editor's note: This notice is published unpseudonymized because 1. the □
Applicant as city magistrate organ or business apparatus of a regional authority□
(legal person), and 2. the application tw. was based on Styrian provincial law, and $\hfill\Box$
there is only one statutory city in Styria, the provincial capital Graz, in which a $\!$
Magistrate performs municipal and district administration tasks. The identity of □
Applicant is therefore recognizable to any knowledgeable reader. obvious□
Spelling, grammar and punctuation errors have been corrected.]□
NOTICE
SPRUCH□
The data protection authority decides on the application of the municipal authorities of the city of Graz
(Applicant) dated September 26, 2019 for approval of the provision of □
Addresses for notification and questioning of data subjects (selection of □
Legal guardians of school-age children with their main residence in Graz□
were born between September 1, 2013 and August 31, 2014) in accordance with Section 8 (3) and □
Para. 4 DSG as follows: □
- The application is rejected. □
Legal bases: § 8 Para. 2 Z 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999□
idgF.□
REASON□
A. Submissions of the applicant □
The applicant applied with a submission dated September 6, 2019 (ha. received on □
September 26, 2019) the approval of the provision of addresses for □
Notification and questioning of data subjects in accordance with Article 8 Paragraph 3 and Paragraph 4
DSG. In summary, on October 5, 2019, the applicant plans to send the □
Annual "Parent Letter" to all legal guardians with main residence in Graz. the□

The legal basis for data processing has so far been Section 16 of the Compulsory Education Act of 1985□
been regulated, which municipalities are authorized to manage the compulsory school registration□
had. However, the provision mentioned is effective as of September 1, 2019 $\!\!\!\!\!\square$
been changed in such a way that now the heads of the educational institutions,□
as well as the education departments and the BRZ as their processors□
Keep compulsory school registration. □
The parent letter mentioned will be sent because the information of the legal guardians□
about compulsory education in the public interest and the applicant after the□
Fact of § 21 StPEG 2004 is still responsible for issuing notices. □
The applicant has a public interest in the children going to school□
would be evenly distributed within the school district. From the local □
According to §§ 14 and 20 (3) of the Registration Act, the following data would be used in the registration register □
are: first name, last name, date of birth and main residence address, this related□
to the group of persons "legal guardians of school-age children with□
Main residence in Graz, born between September 1, 2013 and August 31, 2014□
are". Due to the aforementioned legal change, there is some ambiguity as to whether the □
legal basis in the StPEG 2004 as competence for the □
Provision of the address data within the meaning of Section 8 (1) DSG is sufficient. Therefore become a□
Application for approval according to § 8 paragraph 3 DSG submitted. □
B. Findings of Facts□
The municipal administration of the city of Graz is the administrative body of the applicant's organs□
(including the mayor as the registration authority of first instance)□
Data protection officer of the local population register. □
Otherwise, the arguments of the applicant are the findings of fact□
laid the foundation. □
Evidence assessment: The findings are based on the undisputed record. □

C. In legal terms it follows that: □
1. Applicable legislation: □
§ 8 DSG reads as follows, including the title: (emphasis added by the □
Data Protection Authority):□
Provision of addresses for the notification and questioning of □
affected persons □
\S 8. (1) Unless otherwise expressly stipulated by law, the transmission is required \square
of address data of a certain group of data subjects for the purpose of their□
Notifying or asking for consent from data subjects. □
(2) However, if there is an impairment of the confidentiality interests of the persons concerned
People in view of the selection criteria for the group of those affected and the object□
notification or questioning is unlikely, no consent is required, $\!$
if□
1. Data are processed by the same controller or□
2. if the address data is intended to be transmitted to third parties□
a) there is also a public interest in the notification or survey, or□
b) none of the persons concerned after appropriate information about the reason and content $\!$
objection to the transmission within a reasonable period of time□
raised. □
(3) If the requirements of paragraph 2 are not met and if the collection of the □
Consent of the persons concerned pursuant to paragraph 1 requires a disproportionate effort
require the transmission of address data with the approval of the data protection authority□
Permissible in accordance with paragraph 4 if the transmission to third parties □
1. for the purpose of notification or questioning due to an important interest of the □
those affected themselves,□
2. for an important public notification or survey interest or □

Confidentiality interests of the data subjects given the selection criteria for □
the group of those affected and the subject of the notification or survey□
unlikely and the data are processed by the same controller□
(cf. § 8 Para. 2 Z 1 and Para. 3 DSG).□
Since the persons concerned in the course of the planned data processing via the □
Compulsory schooling - as well as the related pre-registration for schools -
are to be informed and the data at issue is not otherwise□
are used is an impairment of the secrecy interests of the□
affected persons unlikely. □
Although § 16 Compulsory Education Act 1985 as amended was amended and the □
distribution of roles under data protection law for the management of compulsory school registration □
has changed, the applicant continues to have the statutory□
Assigned task, with decision orders on the distribution of school-age□
To be able to take children to the individual schools if there is a risk of a□
Overcrowding of the classes or a reduction in the organizational form is given or□
such an order is necessary for personal reasons that cannot be remedied. □
The processing of the registration data that is the subject of the proceedings is related to □
the legally assigned task according to § 21 StPEG 2004 and serves (in addition to the □
Information about compulsory schooling), especially parents about the possibility of making a reservation for \Box
to enlighten a school. By such a reservation, the applicant can turn□
determine in advance to what extent he has the authority to issue orders according to § 21 StPEG□
2004 has to make use of and can also do so in advance□
take organizational measures. In this respect, the present one differs□
Facts of the person who follows the decision of the Data Protection Commission of □
December 14, 2012, GZ K121.879/0014-DSK/2012.□
The facts according to § 8 para. 2 Z 1 DSG are thus fulfilled, the planned□

Data use therefore not subject to approval according to § 8 Para. 3 and Para. 4 DSG
and subsequently also not approvable. □
It was therefore to be decided accordingly. □
A request for the payment of fees does not apply with regard to § 2 Z 2 of the \Box
Fees Act 1957. □