GZ: DSB-D123.089/0002-DSB/2018 from 19.7.2018
[Note editor: names and companies, legal forms and product names, addresses (incl.□
URLs, IP and email addresses), file numbers (and the like), etc., as well as their initials and \Box
Abbreviations may be abbreviated and/or changed for reasons of pseudonymization. □
Corrected obvious spelling, grammar, and punctuation errors.]□
[Notice from DPA: Cross-border case; therefore assessment solely on □
basis of the GDPR]□
NOTICE
SPRUCH□
The data protection authority decides on the data protection complaint of A*** Steuerberatungs GmbH□
(appellant) of June 22, 2018 v. N*** Search LLC (first respondent) and v□
the unspecified operator of the website "***info.at" (second respondent). □
Violation of the right to erasure as follows:□
- The complaint is rejected. □
- The complaint is rejected. ☐ Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999 ☐
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999□
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999 ☐ idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation ☐
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999□ idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation □ − GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1.□
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999□ idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation □ − GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1.□ REASON□
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999□ idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation □ − GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1.□ REASON□ A. Submissions of the parties and course of the proceedings□
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999 idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation – GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1. REASON — A. Submissions of the parties and course of the proceedings — In a submission dated June 22, 2018, the complainant alleged a violation of the right to —
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999 idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation — GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1. REASON — A. Submissions of the parties and course of the proceedings — In a submission dated June 22, 2018, the complainant alleged a violation of the right to — Deletion. With regard to the complaint against the first respondent, it was stated that
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999 idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation — GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1. REASON — A. Submissions of the parties and course of the proceedings — In a submission dated June 22, 2018, the complainant alleged a violation of the right to — Deletion. With regard to the complaint against the first respondent, it was stated that — on May 16, 2018, a request for deletion regarding a negative rating on N*** Search
Legal basis: § 1 paragraph 3 and § 24 paragraph 1 of the Data Protection Act (DSG), Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999 idgF; Art. 1, Art. 4 Z 1 and Art. 77 Para. 1 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation — GDPR), OJ No. L 119 p. 1. REASON — A. Submissions of the parties and course of the proceedings — In a submission dated June 22, 2018, the complainant alleged a violation of the right to — Deletion. With regard to the complaint against the first respondent, it was stated that — on May 16, 2018, a request for deletion regarding a negative rating on N*** Search — Locations or N*** Search Business would have been provided. The deletion of the "1 star rating" would be

this website is not possible (comment by clerk: probably meant to process an application for□
to provide deletion). □
B. Subject of Complaint□
In the present case, it must first be checked whether the complainant as a legal person □
the right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority within the meaning of Article 77 (1) GDPR or Section 24□
DSG is accessible.□
C. Findings of Facts□
The complainant is a legal entity (limited liability company – GmbH). she□
brought a complaint on June 22, 2018 regarding violation of the right to erasure □
Entries in N*** Search Maps or N*** Search Business and concerning entries on the website□
http://www.***info.at/, which explicitly refer to the complainant as a legal entity. □
Assessment of evidence: The findings made are based on the submissions of the complainant□
dated June 22, 2018.□
D. In legal terms it follows that:□
D. 1 Applicable legislation□
§ 1 para. 3 DSG reads including the title (emphasis added by the data protection authority):□
(constitutional provision)□
fundamental right to data protection□
(3) Everyone has, insofar as they have personal data relating to the automated □
Processing or for processing in manually, ie. files managed without automation support□
are determined in accordance with statutory provisions□
1. the right to information about who processes which data about him, where the data comes from and what it is used for,
in particular, to whom they are transmitted;□
2. the right to correct inaccurate data and the right to erase inadmissibly□
processed data. □
Section 24 (1) DSG reads including the title (emphasis added by the data protection authority):□

Complaint to the data protection authority
Section 24. (1) Every data subject has the right to lodge a complaint with the data protection authority if they
is of the opinion that the processing of personal data concerning them violates the GDPR $\scriptstyle\square$
or violates § 1 or Article 2, Part 1.□
Art. 1 GDPR reads including the title (emphasis added by the data protection authority):□
article 1□
subject and goals□
(1) This Ordinance contains provisions for the protection of natural persons during processing
personal data and the free movement of such data.□
(2) This Regulation protects the fundamental rights and freedoms of natural persons and □
in particular their right to protection of personal data.□
(3) The free movement of personal data in the Union may for reasons of protection of natural□
individuals are neither restricted nor prohibited from processing personal data.□
Art. 4 Z 1 GDPR reads including the heading (emphasis added by the data protection authority):□
Article 4□
definitions□
For the purposes of this Regulation, the term means:□
1. "Personal Data" any information relating to an identified or identifiable□
natural person (hereinafter "data subject"); as identifiable becomes a natural□
person who, directly or indirectly, in particular by means of assignment to an identifier such as a□
name, to an identification number, to location data, to an online identifier or to a or□
several special characteristics expressing the physical, physiological, genetic, \Box
psychological, economic, cultural or social identity of this natural person,□
can be identified;□
Art. 77 Para. 1 GDPR reads including the title (emphasis added by the data protection authority):□
Article 77□

Right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority□
(1) Without prejudice to any other administrative or□
judicial remedy, the right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority, in particular in the□
Member State of their place of residence, place of work or place of the alleged infringement if□
the data subject believes that the processing of personal data concerning them□
data violates this regulation.□
D. 2 In substance □
According to § 1 paragraph 3 DSG idgF everyone has the in Z 2 leg. cit. stated right to erasure□
unlawfully processed data. According to the legal definition according to § 4 Z 3 DSG 2000 as amended □
Federal Law Gazette I No. 83/2013, a legal entity (including the complainant as a GmbH) fell under the □
term "affected". The scope of the GDPR, which has been in force since May 25, 2018, is exhausted □
but expressly on the protection of natural persons:□
The definition of "data subject" in Art. 4 Z 1 GDPR refers to an "identified or□
identifiable natural persons". <mark>Against this background, the right to lodge a complaint with a</mark> □
Supervisory authority according to Art. 77 Para. 1 of a legal person not accessible, since here too□
Right of appeal of an affected and thus natural person is turned off.□
Furthermore, it should be pointed out that according to § 1 Para. 3 DSG the right to deletion is inadmissible □
processed data according to Z 2 leg. cit. in accordance with statutory provisions. It is about□
in this case, therefore, a design mandate that is contained in the corresponding implementation provision in § 27□
DSG 2000 as amended by Federal Law Gazette I No. 83/2013 for the right to erasure has been fulfilled.□
However, with the new Data Protection Act (DSG) as amended by Federal Law Gazette I No. 24/2018, there is no corresponding
Implementation provisions no longer available. Rather, the provisions of the immediately effective□
GDPR (for the right to erasure: Art. 12 in conjunction with Art. 17), which, however, like□
executed do not provide for any possibility of appeal for legal persons. distant would one□
Interpretation of Section 1 (3) DSG to the effect that legal entities also have the right to erasure□
is accessible, § 24 para. 1 DSG assumes content that violates Union law.□

D. 3 result□

Since the complainant lodged a complaint regarding violation of the right to erasure and □
the data to be deleted refer explicitly to the complainant as a legal entity (GmbH),□
and because an entity is not a data subject raising a privacy complaint□
can, was to be decided in the result according to the verdict □