print 1127

PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION STATUS REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD 1 JANUARY 2017 TO 24 MAY 2018

Office for Personal Data Protection of the Slovak Republic

September, 2018

Office for Personal Data Protection of the Slovak Republic

Hraničná 12

820 07 Bratislava 27

http://www.dataprotection.gov.sk

Electronic version of the report available at

https://dataprotection.gov.sk/uoou/sk/content/vyrocne-spravy

IČO: 36064220

Steuernummer: 2021685985

All rights reserved.

Reproduction for educational purposes

and non-commercial purposes is permitted with reference to the source.

REPORT ON THE STATUS OF PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION PERIOD

1 JANUARY 2017 TO MAY 24, 2018

The Office for Personal Data Protection of the Slovak Republic in accordance with the provisions of § 81 par. 2 letter k) of Act no. 18/2018 Coll. on the protection of personal data and on the amendment of certain of the Act submits a Report on the Status of Personal Protection to the National Council of the Slovak Republic data for the period from 1 January 2017 to 24 May 2018.

"On the basis of the above provision, I am submitting the Report on the Status of Personal Protection data for the period from 1 January 2017 to 24 May 2018, which will be discussed in the National Council Of the Slovak Republic in accordance with Act no. 18/2018 Coll. on the protection of personal data and on change amendments to certain laws published on the Office's website. ".

President of the Office
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE TEXT OF THE STATUS REPORT
PROTECTION OF PERSONAL DATA FOR THE PERIOD 1 JANUARY 2017 TO
24. MAY 2018
office
Office for Personal Data Protection of the Slovak Republic
NR SR
National Council of the Slovak Republic
a message
Report on the state of personal data protection for the period from 1 January 2017 to 24 May 2018
the law
Act no. 122/2013 Coll. on the protection of personal data and on the amendment of certain
of laws as amended by Act no. 84/2014 Coll.
IS
Information System
ISOU
personal data information system
CIS
Customs Information System
Directive 95/46
Directive 95/46 / EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on protection
natural persons in the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data
Regulation
Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on protection
individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data

Soňa Pőtheová

Directive 95/46 / EC (General Data Protection Regulation) is repealed (Text with EEA relevance)

EEA)

Directive 2016/680

Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on protection natural persons in the processing of personal data by the competent authorities for the purposes of prevention criminal offenses, their investigation, detection or prosecution or for the purpose of sanctions and on the free movement of such data and repealing the Council Framework Decision 2008/977 / JHA

bill or law no. 18/2018 Coll.

Act no. 18/2018 Coll. on the protection of personal data and on the amendment of certain laws

MPK

Interdepartmental comment procedure

Portal

Legislation Portal Slov - Lex

5

e-privacy directive

Directive 2002/58 / EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2002 concerning processing of personal data and protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications)

proposal for an e-privacy regulation

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on compliance privacy and the protection of personal data in electronic communications and on cancellation

Directive 2002/58 / EC (Directive on privacy and electronic communications)

Convention 108

Council of Europe Convention No. 108 on the protection of individuals with automated processing personal data

Regulation 45/2001

Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council 45/2001 of 18 December 2000 on protection

individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies

and on the free movement of such data

decision no. 1247/2002 / EC

Decision of the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission 1247/2002 / EC of 1 July 2002

on the rules and general conditions governing the performance of the European

the Data Protection Supervisor

Act no. 211/2000 Coll.

Act no. 211/2000 Coll. on free access to information and on amendments

certain laws (Freedom of Information Act)

supervisory officer

European Data Protection Supervisor

EU

European Union

ΕK

European Commission

EEA

European Economic Area

WP29

The working group set up under Art. 29 of Directive 95/46

Committee 31

The Committee established under Art. 31 of Directive 95/46

Europol

European Police Office

6

CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE TEXT C	OF THE PROTECTION STATUS	S
--	--------------------------	---

PERSONAL DATA FOR THE PERIOD 1 JANUARY 2017 TO 24 MAY 2018 5	
1. INTRODUCTION	10
1.1 Objective of the report on the state of personal data protection	10
1.2 Follow-up to the report on the state of personal data protection for 2015 and 2016	10
2 STATUS, PERSONNEL SECURITY AND BUDGET OF THE OFFICE 11	
2.1 Position of the Office	11
2.2 Staffing of the Office	
2.2.1 Public functions of the Office	11
2.2.2 Personnel of the Office's staff	
2.3 The Office's budget	13
3 LEGISLATIVE REGULATION OF PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION14	
3.1 Interdepartmental comment procedures of generally binding legal regulations 14	
3.2 European legislative package on personal data protection	14
3.3 Legislative process of the bill	14
3.4 Legislative process of the Office's draft decree on the procedure for impact assessment	on protection
personal data	17
3.5 Methodological guidelines of the Office	18
4 COMMUNICATION OF THE OFFICE WITH THE PUBLIC	19
4.1 Opinions of the Office on the issues of natural and legal persons	19
4.2 Communication of the Office with the media	20
4.3 Personal Data Protection Day	20
4.4 Office website and its attendance	. 21
5 PERSON RESPONSIBI E	23

6 APPROVAL ACTIVITY OF THE OFFICE IN THE COMMUNICATION AND SPECIAL

REGISTRATIONS	24	
6.1 Notification obligation	24	
6.2 Special IS registration	24	
6.3 Cross-border transfer of personal data	25	
6.3.1. Transfer to a country guaranteeing an adequate level of personal data protection		. 25
6.3.2. Transfer to a country which does not guarantee an adequate level of personal data pro	otection	26
7 PERSONAL DATA PROCESSING CONTROL		
7.1 Regular inspections (inspection plan)		28
7.1.1 Schengen "acquis"	28	
7.1.1.1 Consular departments of selected embassies of the Slovak Republic 29		
7.1.1.2 SIRENE Bureau		
7.1.1.3 Automated European Fingerprint Identification System AFIS-EURODAC 30		
7.1.2 Branch of a foreign bank	30	
7.1.3 Insurance company		31
7.1.4 Leasing company	. 31	
7.1.5 Telecommunication service provider	. 31	
7.1.6 Towns and villages		32
7.2 Extraordinary inspections		33
7.2.1 Resocialization center	33	
7.2.2 Foreign bank branch	34	
7.2.3 Camera systems	35	
7.3 Conclusions resulting from the Office's control activities		36
8 PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION PROCEDURE		
8.1 Knowledge on personal data protection based on personal data protection proceedings .	38	
9 PENALTIES FOR BREACH OF THE LAW 40		

9.1 Fine 4	0
9.2 Ordinary fine	
9.3 Selected cases from the Office's supervisory activities	
9.3.1 Postponements	41
9.3.1.1 Disposal of personal data of the data subject	
9.3.1.2 Disclosure of personal data in the notice of the place of storage of the document 41	
9.3.1.3 Information obligation in the exercise of the right of petition	42
9.3.1.4 Sending marketing offers	
9.3.2 Procedures	43
9.3.2.1 Breach of personal data protection when donating a discarded computer to another person .	43
9.3.2.2 Processing of personal data after withdrawal of consent	. 44
9.3.2.3 Service of documents containing a special category of personal data 45	
9.3.2.4 Making e-shop purchasing conditional on marketing authorization	46
9.3.2.5 Disclosure of personal data in draft employment contracts distributed in bulk	
by e-mail	
9.3.2.6 Publication of a universally applicable identifier	
9.3.2.7 Disclosure of personal data in copies of documents by sending to the wrong address. 48	
10 REMEDIES AND DECISION-MAKING 50	
11 RIGHTS OF THE PERSONS CONCERNED AND THEIR LIMITATIONS	51
EUROPEAN AND INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATIVE PROTECTION PACKAGE	
PERSONAL DATA 53	
12.1 European level 5	53
12.1.1 Article 29 Directive 95/46 Working Party	
12.1.2 Committee set up under Article 31 of Directive 95/46	55
12.1.3 European Data Protection Supervisor	

12.2 International level	
12.2.1 Consultative Committee to the Convention 108	56
12.2.2 Council of Europe Ad Hoc Committee on Personal Data Protection (CAHDATA)	56
12.3 Law enforcement authorities	
12.3.1 Europol Joint Supervisory Body (JSB Europol)	
12.3.2 Joint Supervisory Body of the Customs Information System	57
12.3.3 SCG SIS II Supervision Coordination Working Group	
12.3.4 Visa Coordination Coordination Working Group for the Visa Information System	58
12.3.5 Eurodac Supervisory Coordination Working Group	59
12.3.6 Supervisory Coordination Working Group for the Internal Market Information System	59
12.3.7 European Commission Expert Working Group on Regulation and Directive 2016/680	60
13 INTERNATIONAL MEETINGS WITH PARTNERSHIP SUPERVISORY AUTHORITIES 61	
13.1 Meeting of representatives of the supervisory authorities for the protection of personal data of t	he Visegrad Group 6 ²
13.2 II. International Conference of Municipal Police of the Visegrad Four	61
13.3 E-Volution of Data Protection Conference	1
13.4 Bilateral meeting with representatives of the Japanese supervisory authority	61
13.5 IT between paragraphs	62
13.6 QuBit Conference	62
13.7 III. International Municipal Police Conference	
ASSESSMENT OF THE STATUS OF PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION IN THE PERIOD FROM 1	
JANUARY 2017 TO MAY 24, 2018	
9	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the report on the state of personal data protection

At a time of massive electronic and data sharing, including personal, privacy is being protected and thus the protection of personal data reaches the imaginary top of the ranking of basic human

rights and freedoms, which, guaranteed by the Constitution of the Slovak Republic, are one of the pillars democratic society. Pursuant to the provisions of § 81 par. 2 letter k) of Act no. 18/2018 Coll. the Office of the National Council of the Slovak Republic submits this report.

The report is a summary of the Office's activities for the period under review, and is the most appropriate means of informing the public, both lay and professional, about the Office's activities, its findings, and on developments in personal data protection during the period under review.

1.2 Follow-up to the report on the state of personal data protection for 2015 and 2016

The presented report is the eleventh in the history of the independent Slovak Republic and the ninth in the history of the existence of a separate office.

The report follows on from the latest report, in particular in the area of the Office 's legislative activity, as the process of adopting the harmonization bill continued in 2017 and was completed in January 2018 with the publication of Act no. 18/2018 Coll. in the Collection of Laws of the Slovak Republic.

The previous report was delivered to the National Council of the Slovak Republic on 15 August 2017. Committee of the National Council of the Slovak Republic for Human Rights

and national minorities discussed the previous report on 5 September 2017; the message was by a vote of the Plenum of the National Council of the Slovak Republic on 11 September 2017.

10

STATUS, PERSONNEL SECURITY AND BUDGET

OFFICE

2.1 The position of the Office

The protection of personal data in the Slovak Republic is entrusted by law office. The Office is a state administration body with nationwide competence supervising personal data protection and involved in the protection of fundamental rights and freedoms of individuals persons in the processing of their personal data. In exercising its powers, the Office shall proceed independently and in the performance of its tasks, it is governed by the constitution, constitutional laws, laws, others generally binding legal regulations and international treaties, which is Slovenská

Republic bound.

The Office is a budgetary organization according to the provisions of § 21 par. 5 letter a) of the Act no. 523/2004 Coll. on the budgetary rules of public administration and amending certain laws as amended.

2.2 Staffing of the Office

2.2.1 Public functions of the Office

The office is headed by the Chairman, who is elected and removed by the National Council of the Slovak Republic on the proposal of the Government of the Slovak Republic.

The term of office of the President of the Office shall be five years. Mgr. Soňa

Pőtheová, who was elected to this position by the National Council of the Slovak Republic on 14 May 2015 by a resolution of the National

Council of the Slovak Republic no. 1736/2015.

In the absence of the President, the Office shall be represented by the Vice-President, who shall be appointed and removed the Government of the Slovak Republic on the proposal of the President of the Office. The term of office of the President of the Office shall be five

years. JUDr. Anna Vittek, who was with efficiency

from 2 January 2016 to this position appointed by the Government of the Slovak Republic by resolution no. 658/2018 of 2 December 2015.

Until May 24, 2018, the inspectors were headed by the Office's Chief Inspector, whom she appointed and recalled by the Government of the Slovak Republic on the proposal of the President of the Office for a term of five years. Until May 24, 2018, Mgr. Tatiana Valentova, who

was appointed to this position by the Resolution of the Government of the Slovak Republic no. 539/2012 on October 3, 2012. As of May 24, 2018, the total number of inspectors was three.

2.2.2 Personnel area of the Office 's staff

The employees of the Office perform professional tasks in accordance with the law and other operational activities and obligations under generally binding legislation. It requires their provision

a sufficient number of qualified staff qualified to carry out professional activities at required level. In the conditions of the Office, in terms of staff structure, with the exception of one employee who performs work in the public interest, the others are employees in civil service. Selection of employees and filling of vacancies places is realized according to the conditions stipulated by law for individual state functions employees.

11

As of 1 January 2017, the Office had 39 posts, of which 38 were employees in a civil service relationship and one employee performing work in public interests.

As of 1 January 2018, the Office had 42 seats, of which 41 were employees in a civil service relationship and one employee performing work in public interests.

As of May 24, 2018, the office had 43 seats, of which 42 were employees in a civil service relationship and one employee performing work in public interests.

The average age of employees in 2017 was 40 years, while the average age for men was 36 years and 40 years for women. The average age of employees in 2018, until May 24, 2018, was 41 years, with an average age of 43 years for men and 40 years for women.

The Office places great emphasis on the training of employees and enables them to constantly deepen themselves professional qualifications. In 2017, 94.8% of employees had a university degree, of which higher education II. degree 94.8% and higher education III. degree 2%. In year 2018, until May 24, 2018, 95.1% of employees had a university degree, of which

Overview of the number of employees of the Office

higher education II. degree 95.1% and higher education III. degree 2%.

Actual staffing of the Office

Year
2017
2018
to 24.5.2018
Civil service
ratio
38
Performance of work in public
interests
1
Together
41
1
42
40
Overview of other personnel data
Year
2017
to 24.5.2018
Employees are
changed
working
ability
3
Employees working at
out-of-work agreement

ratio
Number of women
Number of men
2
1
1
3
3
2
1
An overview of the number of employees of the Office according to their education
College
II. degree
37
College
III. degree
1
Together
2
University I.
degree
0
2
0
39

Year

High school

2017

to 24.5.2018

12

40

2.3 The Office 's budget

The Office is a budgetary organization that is tied to the state with its revenues and expenditures budget of the Slovak Republic through the chapter General Treasury Management, which administered by the Ministry of Finance of the Slovak Republic.

For the year 2017, the Office approved a budget of 1,018,835.00 euros, which during the year 2017 edited several times; the budget for 2017 has been increased to a final amount of 1,196,279.00. The Office had EUR 40,000.00 available for current and capital expenditures. Because of non-compliance with the mandatory revenue indicator in 2017 was a budgetary measure for the Office no. 281/2017 tied 23,000 Euros, which was realized within the General chapter treasury administration - organization Všeobecná pokladničná správa.

A budget of EUR 1,163,853.00 was approved for the Office for 2018.

Overview of the Office's budget for 2017 in Euros

Approved budget

for 2017

to 01/01/2017

Adjusted budget

to 31/12/2017

Pumping

to 31/12/2017



1,018,835.00
1,196,279.00
119 3303.20
Capital expenditures (700)
0
40,000.00
38,644.39
Pointer
EKW02 capital expenditures
Overview of the Office's budget for 2018 in euros
Approved budget for the year
2018 to 1.1.2018
Pumping
to 24.5.2018
673 121.00
221 440.59
237,498.00
61,308.17
Goods and services (630)
237 234.00
217,905.93
Current transfers (640)
5,000.00
5,945.31
11,000.00
418.95

1,163,853.00 400 184.50

Pointer

Wages, salaries, earnings and OOV

(610)

Wage premiums (620)

EKW02 (630)

Total current expenditure (600)

13

3 LEGISLATIVE PROTECTION OF PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION

3.1 Interdepartmental comment procedures of generally binding legal regulations

The Office is a body with nationwide competence supervising the protection of personal data data protection and involved in the protection of fundamental human rights and freedoms with regard to the processing of personal data

data of natural persons. The Office partially fulfills its role in the field of processing supervision personal data also by supervising and commenting on the texts of draft laws and texts other generally binding legislation governing processing

through the Portal within the MPK. The purpose of the Office's comments on the legislative

The proposal is to ensure the quality of legislation regarding the processing of personal data

personal data of natural persons. It formulates its comments on draft legislation

was high so that the legislation was precise, unambiguous, and so in the relationship

to the controller as well as in relation to the data subject whose personal data will be the subject of

processing.

During the reporting period, the Office submitted a total of 80 comments on 29 legislative proposal; of the comments submitted, 62 were substantial. Among the frequently mentioned

the Office 's comments included those by which the Office requested an amendment to the list; or

the extent of the personal data processed in relation to the purpose of the processing, the Office also frequently demanded that the responsibilities for processing be clarified in the legislation to the information system or register, for reasons of legal certainty as to the position the controller and thus his responsibility for the processing and protection of personal data.

In relation to the comment proceedings from previous periods, it can be stated that that the work of the Office makes sense in this area, as the number of the number of key comments is reduced and the number of key comments also decreases, which indicates a positive development in terms of the processing of personal data national legislation. Law enforcement pay more attention to the provisions processing of personal data, this positive trend the Office observed especially with the upcoming the date of application of the Regulation in practice, which was 25 May 2018.

3.2 European legislative package on personal data protection

In the last report, the Office briefly stated that it had been adopted at European level the so-called "European data protection package", which includes three legal acts:

Regulation, Directive 2016/680 and Directive (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council 2016/681 of 27 April 2017 on the use of Passenger Name Record (PNR) data and records for prevention purposes, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offenses and serious crime.

From this package, the activities of the Office and its scope were directly affected by the Regulation and the Directive 2016/680. As the Office is not a central body of state administration, it is the body responsible for harmonization and transposition law no. 18/2018 Coll. became the Slovak Ministry of Justice of the Republic and co-manager of the Ministry of the Interior of the Slovak Republic; the office was in the legislative process of the prepared bill by its submitter.

3.3 Legislative process of the bill

The first works within the legislative process on the bill were started in July 2016 with the establishment internal working group to ensure the fulfillment of the Office's tasks in aligning the Regulation

with the legal order of the Slovak Republic. The purpose of the internal working group was detailed study of the Regulation in order to determine the final content of the draft law and also to determine whether the draft law will follow the amendment of the law or not prepare a new law on personal data protection. Based on the internal negotiations of individual departments of the Office and also on the basis of guidelines on the scope of the Regulation from the Office of the Government Of the Slovak Republic and also on the basis of the fact that the law was supposed to be in addition to the harmonization law of the Act with the Regulation, as well as the Transposition Act for Directive 2016/680 was adopted decision that a new bill will be prepared in the legislative process, that is, that the law will not amend.

Subsequently, an external inter-ministerial working group was set up to prepare a bill,

the establishment of which the Office initiated with the intention of harmonizing the legal order with the Regulation at the same time across all laws that may be affected by the regulation. With this

The invitation was sent in October 2016 to all central state bodies

subsequently incorporated into the bill.

reports to comment by January 2017 on whether the legislation they have in

have identified the provisions that need to be amended following the Regulation

and thus to be listed as amending articles in the draft law within its legislative process.

The results of the meeting of the members of the external working group led to the fact that of all most of the institutions contacted stated that legislation was not needed under their responsibility with the Regulation to amend, or that the amendment will be carried out separately within the already approved plan of legislative tasks for 2017. Entities that were interested in amending legislation within the time limit set by the Office, the text of their amending articles

According to § 9 of Act no. 400/2015 Coll. on the creation of legal regulations and on the Collection of Laws

Of the Slovak Republic and on the amendment of certain laws was on the Portal on 10 January

Preliminary information published, PI / 2017/3, on the draft law, when

the opportunity to comment ended on January 30, 2017.

After the completion of the preparatory work on the bill, the bill was together with all required sent to the preliminary comment procedure on 31 May 2017, the so-called PPK,

Permanent Working Committee of the Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic for assessment selected impacts in accordance with the Unified Methodology for the Assessment of Selected Impacts. On On 14 June 2017, the Office withdrew the assent of the Standing Working Committee of the Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic to assess selected impacts.

On June 15, 2017, the bill was included in the MPK, when it was commented on set normal deadline, 15 working days, MPK was terminated on July 6, 2017. Within According to the Portal, a total of 1,012 comments were submitted to the draft law, of which 201 essential. The Office dealt with the comments on the draft law by the end of August 2017.

Subsequently, the material was discussed on September 12, 2017 as the 4th item in the 37th session

Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic, on the basis of its comments was a meeting interrupted on this point with a recommendation to incorporate its comments into the proposed material and resubmit it to the Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic.

Based on this recommendation, the Office finalized the bill and it was re-submitted negotiations at the 38th session of the Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic on 19 September 2017.

15

The Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic drafted the bill at its 38th session discussed and recommended that the proposal be amended in the light of its observations and that its amended version be amended accordingly

its recommendation to submit to the Government of the Slovak Republic.

The bill was also the subject of negotiations of the Slovak Economic and Social Council Republic, was specifically discussed at its plenary session on 18 September 2017 as second point in order. During the plenary session, the Republican Union applied employers a fundamental comment on § 15 par. 1 of the bill (consent to processing

personal data of a child with information society services, Republican Union
employers proposed lowering the age of children to consent to services
information society with the proposed 16 to the age of 13, subsequently a compromise proposed
reduction to the age limit of 14 years), as the Republican Union
employers, nor did it identify with its compromise text by the other members of the board
and the Republican Employers' Union did not back down from its comment, the council did not succeed
to the agreement due to the disagreement of the Republican Employers' Union; however, he did not have this disagreement

Subsequently, on 19 September 2017, the material of the draft law was amended on the basis of a recommendation

Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic delivered to the meeting of the Government of the Slovak

Republic

of the Republic. The Government of the Slovak Republic discussed the bill on September 20, 2017 at its 70th meeting; Resolution of the Government of the Slovak Republic no. 441/2017, the government approved government bill and instructed the Prime Minister to submit the bill to the President of the National Council of the Slovak Republic

for further constitutional discussion. The government entrusted the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister with a resolution

Justice Lucie Žitňanská to introduce the government bill in the National Council of the Slovak Republic and the President of the Office

Soňa Pőtheová to justify the bill in the committees of the National Council of the Slovak Republic.

The National Council of the Slovak Republic was delivered the bill on September 22, 2017 and was assigned a number 704. The Chairman of the National Council of the Slovak Republic nominated the National Council of the Slovak Republic for Human Rights as the responsible committee

and national minorities with a deadline of 27 November 2017 and as committees that

resulting in the suspension of the legislative process of the bill.

have a bill to discuss proposed by the Committee of the National Council of the Slovak Republic for Human Rights and National Minorities

and the Constitutional Law Committee of the National Council of the Slovak Republic with a deadline for discussion by 24

November 2017. In the first

reading, the bill was discussed at the 21st meeting of the National Council of the Slovak Republic; by the resolution of the National Council of the Slovak Republic of 12 October

2017 no. 872 assigned the government bill to the Constitutional Law Committee of the National Council of the Slovak Republic and the National Committee

Council of the Slovak Republic for Human Rights and National Minorities. It also appointed the NR Committee

SR for Human Rights and National Minorities as the responsible committee and deadlines for discussion

the bill in question. Deputies of the National Council of the Slovak Republic who are not members of the committees to which
the bill was granted, they did not notify the committee responsible within the set deadline
to the bill in question.

The draft committees designated by the committees discussed as follows: The Constitutional Law Committee of the National Council of the Slovak Republic drafted

discussed on 14 November 2017 and did not adopt a resolution as the draft resolution was not approved of the majority of the present deputies according to § 52 par. 4 of the Rules of Procedure.

The Committee of the National Council of the Slovak Republic for Human Rights and National Minorities discussed the proposal on 23 November 2017

and resolution no. 68, the National Council of the Slovak Republic recommended approving the bill with comments. In the second reading

the bill was discussed at the 23rd meeting of the National Council of the Slovak Republic on 29 November 2017 and proceeded to III. reading.

The bill was discussed at the 23rd meeting of the National Council of the Slovak Republic, Resolution No. 930 of 29 November 2017

with the result that the bill is forwarded to the editorial board of the Collection of Laws of the Slovak Republic.

The approved bill was sent to the Collection of Laws of Slovakia on 19 December 2017

of the Republic. The approved bill was published in the Collection of Laws of the Slovak Republic

on January 30, 2018, under number 18/2018. Act no. 18/2018 Coll. entered into force on 25. May 2018.

3.4 Legislative process of the Office 's draft decree on the impact assessment procedure for personal data protection

Act no. 18/2018 Coll. is a legal norm that harmonizes the legal order

with the Regulation and transposes Directive 2016/680. In order to achieve full harmonization,

necessary for the Office to issue an implementing regulation pursuant to Section 42 of Act no. 18/2018 Coll. in context of the empowering provision of § 108 par. 2 of Act no. 18/2018 Coll.

With the submitted draft decree, the Office proceeded in accordance with § 108 par. 2 of Act no. 18/2018 Z.

from. and laid down in a decree the details of the processing impact assessment procedure personal data. The purpose of the impact assessment is to assess the processing operation the nature, extent, context and purpose of the processing

personal data, where such processing may lead to a high risk for natural rights

persons. The obligation for the operator to carry out an impact assessment follows directly from the law

no. 18/2018 Coll. and for the operator is an obligation especially if he plans to carry out

processing operations according to § 42 par. 3 letter a) to c) of Act no. 18/2018 Coll. Contents

impact assessment is partially defined in Act no. 18/2018 Coll., Specifically in the provision

§ 42 par. 4. The details of the impact assessment, in particular as regards the description of the intended processing,

assessment of necessity and proportionality in conjunction with measures to demonstrate compliance,

risk assessment for the rights of individuals in connection with risk management measures,

documentation and monitoring and review should be established and adjusted in more detail

Decree of the Office on the procedure for personal data protection impact assessment.

The legislative process of the decree was started by the internal activities of the office, the creation of an internal working one the group that drafted the text of the decree, which was then made available to the selected

experts from the professional community who were to comment on the draft decree, and so on

contributed to its clarification and final wording. At the end of this process, which preceded

On the creation of the final text of the draft decree, the Office held a meeting on 9 January 2018
the ground of the Office, where the text of the decree for final comment was provided to the selected
representative of the professional community. The text of the proposal was based not only on their observations and suggestions

of the Decree was finalized and Preliminary Information PI / 2018/46 was published on the Portal, namely within the deadline for its comments from 27 February 2018 to 9 March 2018. Subsequently, on 4 April In 2018, the Office submitted to the Standing Working Committee for the assessment of selected preliminary effects comment procedure material of the draft decree. On April 10, 2018, the Office received approval the opinion of the commission, in which the commission did not comment on the material either recommendations. On 13 April 2018, the Office published information on the launch of the MPK on the Portal, LP / 2018/222, lasting from 13 April 2018 to 23 April 2018.

As part of the inter-ministerial comment procedure, the comment subjects applied

A total of 137 comments on the draft decree, 19 of which were fundamental. After the settlement

with comments on the draft decree, the text of the draft was sent to the Standing Working Group for consideration

Commission of the Legislative Council of the Government of the Slovak Republic for technical legal regulations.

The meeting of the Standing Working Committee on the draft decree took place on 28 May 2018, when

at its 59th meeting, the commission discussed the draft decree and adopted conclusions on the text of the draft

and recommendations when, after their incorporation, the commission recommended publishing the decree in the Collection

laws of the Slovak Republic. After incorporating the comments of the Standing Working Committee, the text

Decree sent for publication in the Collection of Laws of the Slovak Republic. Decree of the Office

on the protection of personal data of the Slovak Republic on the procedure for impact assessment on protection of personal data was published in the Collection of Laws of the Slovak Republic under No. 158/2018 Coll.

3.5 Methodological guidelines of the Office

17

According to § 64 par. 1 letter m) of the Act is the guidance of operators and intermediaries

one of the tasks performed by the Office in supervising the protection of personal data. Proven in the form of guidance of operators and intermediaries, based on the practice of the Office.

The Office's methodological guidelines, which are published on the Office's website, are available to all operators and intermediaries and the general public. Office with their publication and by publishing he had and still has very good experience, as he can cover through them current problems of personal data processing.

The year 2017 was held in the spirit of legislative changes and preparations for arrival and implementation Regulations and Act no. 18/2018 Coll., Therefore the Office did not issue any new methodologies this year, as operators have been intensely interested in the Regulation since the beginning of 2017 and the changes that will have to be made in the processing and transition to the Regulation. Office everything focused its activities within the methodology on the preparation of new methodologies and guidelines, reflecting the arrival of the Regulation. Methodologically, of course, the office further guided operators, but in the form of answers to their individual questions.

The beginning of 2018 was already in the methodology of the transition to the Regulation and the methodologies of the Office, the basis of which was to inform, were also focused in this direction operators

about boarding

changes.

In order to

methodically

guide

The Office created a separate web bookmark for operators in the course of 2017

"Regulation", where operators and intermediaries could find both the text of the Regulation and basic methodologies for the transition from the law to the Regulation. Among the documents they informed operators on the transition from the law to the Regulation belonged to the Office's methodology "Major changes in personal data protection legislation". A similar document comparing the law

and the Regulation was the document "Comparison Law vs. Regulation', which tabulated compared the various provisions of the legal norms.

18

COMMUNICATION OF THE OFFICE WITH THE PUBLIC

4.1 Opinions of the Office on the issues of natural and legal persons

The issue of personal data protection is not limited to operators or intermediaries who are obliged to apply personal data protection legislation in practice, of course, this issue also affects people affected by those who have questions resulting from everyday life situations related to their personal data. Operators and intermediaries asked the Office of a professional nature related to their duties resulting from the Regulation, how its specific provisions are to be applied in practice, or whether the described and performed processing will fall within the scope of the Regulation or the law at all no. 18/2018 Coll.

The Office also continued to contact the public through telephone consultations.

The public very much welcomed the possibility of such a consultation, and the Office was in favor evaluated by callers as one of the few who communicates directly and in writing with the public and helps address the agenda it has.

In the period under review, the most common issue was the incoming Regulation and how to apply it in practice, what changes with its onset, and how operators in particular and intermediaries should set up personal data processing and protection processes so that they were in tune with him. Also resonating with the bill was the interest in its current state within the legislative process and also when it will be published in the Collection of Laws of Slovakia of the Republic.

Especially at the turn of 2017 and 2018, it had a number of questions, both written and emails significantly rising in nature. Public interest in personality has also increased consultation on the premises of the Office, to the extent that the Office had to undertake some

requests for personal consultations were rejected on time and staffing grounds as they were not to be able to physically secure their equipment at the required time.

The Office, Department of Legal Services and International Relations, in 2017 equipped 1818 telephone consultations and in 2018 by 24 May 2018 the staff of the department provided 611 telephone consultations.

As for written and e-mail questions to the public, in 2017 it was equipped staff of the Legal Services and International Relations Department 788 documents and e-mail questions and by 24 May 2018 they were processed 348. In general, it is possible state that also the level and number of questions in one document or e-mail request increased significantly, on average the inquiring office was addressed via a single e-mail or a sheet of 3 to 5 questions, but with the approaching date of application of the Regulation sometimes there were ten or more in one document or e-mail request.

The Office also conducted personal consultations, in 2017 there were a total of 103 of them, until 24 May 2018 40 were made.

19

4.2 Communication of the Office with the media

Since April 2016, when the text of the Regulation was published in the Official Journal of the European Union it has also increased media interest in the protection and processing of personal data. Increased interest

Of course, it persisted in 2017 and escalated until the end of the period under review, ie

until 24 May 2018. In view of the advancing time when Regulation and Act no. 18/2018 Coll.

should have begun to exert interest in both print and electronic media initially

to the questions which called for a description of the fundamental changes and differences between the "old" and "New" legislation. Gradually, the interest became more and more focused and concretized on the concrete areas in which personal data are processed and how the new legislation will affect which specific sector.

In 2017, the office answered and responded to 40 questions, a set of questions from the journalistic community.

By 24 May 2018, 31 questions had been addressed and answered by the Office.

However, media interest was no longer focused only on specific issues, but the media, newsrooms, in some respects directly by the actors and organizers of some of the events involved personal data processing, organized conferences in which they actively participated as well office staff. Mention may be made of the conference organized by the weekly Trend on 25 April 2017 devoted to the issue of the Regulation, at which the Office was also represented in the panel discussion also among presenters.

Over the period under review, media interest has also changed in part with the output from the office demanded by the media, it was no longer just short questions on current topics, but interest also increased media on the Office's replies in the form of complete information, which was subsequently published in one article, sometimes confronted with a private sector expert. In particular

The print media paid wider attention to the area of personal data protection and legislation they often devoted an extensive article to the change, or even the entire issue, or a substantial part of the issue one or more issues of the periodical.

The interest of the media also remained focused on specific ad hoc issues that currently resonated in society, such as how the Regulation and the new legislation will affect them in general the field of education, especially children and their parents. Fines and theirs were a similarly resonant topic granting to the authorities, their amount and the resulting fear of Regulation and Act no. 18/2018 Z. from.

4.3 Privacy Day

The Day of Personal Data Protection, January 28, is the date of the signing of Convention 108, which was signed in Strasbourg in 1981. This day was announced by the committee with the support of the EC Council of Europe Ministers for Personal Data Protection Day.

In this context, the Office strives every year to contribute to the popularization of personal data on this day data within the general public. The years 2017 and 2018 were no exception to this plan.

In both years, the Office followed up on the successful format of organizing an open day

doors when it is possible for the public, both lay and professional, to come to the premises of the office and consult experts on the protection and processing of personal data, where appropriate, so that the general public can find out how to proceed in cases which concern the protection of personal data.

20

Both open days, both in 2017 and in 2018, were in the spirit

the incoming Regulation. The public interest, which was enormous and was, also corresponded to this almost entirely focused on how to harmonize operators

with the forthcoming Regulation, or what all and how will change from May 2018.

In both years, the office was visited by an average of about 50 people. Due to the great interest and number

The initial consultation time had to be extended to each applicant

he was consulted and his questions were answered. Despite that both days

open doors were mentally and physically demanding, the public interest pleased us and also thanks direct contact with the public, the Office could subsequently respond more flexibly to requests the general public and to include in the FAQ section those that have been in the center of attention during open days.

4.4 Office website and its traffic

The Office's website, as an electronic means of presentation, has undergone a strong graphic adjustment on the occasion of the implementation of the Regulation into national legislation. Next expansion of functionalities and subsequent redesign focused on easy availability of required information for state administration and public administration bodies, but also for the general public. On the web The registered office does not lack mandatory disclosure, but has expanded with regard to the Regulation not only the legislative range of published materials, but also the range of questions and answers for public.

The Office's website meets the conditions and technical criteria in accordance with the Ministry's Decree

Finance of the Slovak Republic on standards for public administration information systems, reflects on the new

legislation in the field of personal data protection, where the controllers are and intermediaries are obliged in some cases to designate a responsible person. Operator and the intermediary is obliged, according to the new legal norm, to notify the Office of the contact details designated responsible person. As the responsible person acts as a contact point for the operator and the intermediary are obliged to notify the Office of the identification data responsible person. For easier reporting of responsible persons, already before May 24 2018 office for this purpose created, as a public service, a new contact form for administrative burdens and burdens on operators and intermediaries. The implementation of the Regulation into national legislation was also reflected in the number of visitors to the Office's website, when the website was searched a total of 507 476 times, most often through various web browsers. 21 An overview of the websites through which the website is accessed office An overview of the websites through which the Office's website is accessed TOP 10 The order **URL** Impressions - Count

https://www.google.com/

https://www.google.com/

327276

75836

2

https://www.bing.com/
12453
4
https://www.google.com/
8412
5
http://m.facebook.com
7082
6
http://www.vlada.gov.sk/
2204
7
https://www.google.de/
2131
8
https://www.google.co.uk/
1876
9
https://l.facebook.com/
1333
10
https://www.google.at/
1183
Compared to the period from 2013 to 2017, there was a significant increase in traffic to the Office's website
which was caused by the upcoming implementation of the Regulation and Act no. 18/2018 Coll.

practice and preparation for it.
22
5 PERSON RESPONSIBLE
He is responsible for supervising the protection of personal data processed in accordance with the law
the controller who processes the personal data. The operator may supervise
personal data protection to authorize the responsible person in charge of compliance
legal provisions for the processing of personal data.
Only a natural person who has the legal capacity can be a responsible person
in full, is of good repute and has a valid certification of the Office on passing the performance test
responsible person.
In the monitored period, the Office registered and issued certificates of passing the examination of a natural person
to perform the function of the responsible person in accordance with the needs of the operators.
Overview of the number of responsible persons reported to the Office
Resposible people
Total number of reported persons with a valid exam
Total number of credentials of responsible persons
23
Period
1.1.2017 to 24.5.2018
1.1.2017 to 24.5.2018
Count
637
1634
6 APPROVAL ACTIVITY OF THE OFFICE IN THE NOTIFICATION SECTION
AND SPECIAL REGISTRATION
6.1 Notification obligation

The law in its sixth chapter of the second part imposes an obligation on operators to ISOU in which process the personal data of the data subjects, taking into account in particular the nature and sensitivity of the data the legal basis for their processing and the establishment of the institute of the responsible person, to notify the Office, request the Office for special registration or keep IS records.

All IS in which personal data are completely processed are subject to the notification obligation or by partially automated means of processing, the law being exhaustive lists which IS are not covered by the notification obligation. For notification of IS to the Office the administrative fee is not collected and it was possible to do so in addition to the written form by means of an electronic form published on the Office's website.

In 2017, a total of 2,467 ISOUs were notified to the Office, of which it was subject obligations of 1 955 information systems. In 2018, the operators of the office announced together 769 IS, of which 648 were subject to the notification obligation. The most common type operators who reported to the IS Office in the period under review were e-shops, pharmacies, real estate and travel agencies. The most frequently reported IS were those in which they were processed personal data for marketing purposes and personal data of clients for the purpose of providing bonuses and discounts in the form of a loyalty program.

An overview of the number of notified IS according to the law

Number of notifications

performed

via

electronic

form

The number of IS that

actually subject

notification

obligations

The number of IS that
were not subject
notification
obligations
Year
Number in writing
delivered
IS notification
2017
1303
1164
1955
512
to 24.5. 2018
352
417
648
121
6.2 Special IS registration
The special registration of IS is regulated by the provisions of Sections 37 to 41 of the Act, which are also exhaustive
define the conditions under which the IS is subject to special registration. These are the cases when
the processing of the personal data of the persons concerned is more sensitive in terms of invasions of their privacy
and it is necessary to examine individually the degree of risk of infringement of the rights and freedoms of those concern

define the conditions under which the IS is subject to special registration. These are the cases when the processing of the personal data of the persons concerned is more sensitive in terms of invasions of their privacy and it is necessary to examine individually the degree of risk of infringement of the rights and freedoms of those concerned persons. In a special registration procedure to which the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Code apply the Office evaluates the documents necessary to assess whether the processing of personal data creates the risk of the rights and freedoms of the persons concerned being infringed; such as scope

personal data processed, the nature of their sensitivity, the purpose of their processing, the method of their processing the means by which personal data are to be processed,

the diversity of processing operations to be carried out on personal data,

the nature of the premises in which the personal data will be processed, the extent of the data received security measures, location and description of technical means, by means of

24

whose personal data will be processed, the internal regulations of the controller and the documents received (eg standard contractual clauses for cross-border transfers that have been accepted without reservations), on the basis of which personal data will be processed. Special registration is subject to a fee obligation under Act no. 145/1995 Coll. on administrative fees as amended. Special registration is one of the tools for compliance laws in the processing of personal data from the point of view of preserving human rights guaranteed Constitution of the Slovak Republic.

The Operator is entitled to start processing personal data in the IS registered for the special registration only after receipt of the certificate of special registration from the Office.

In 2017, a total of 98 applications for special IS registration were received by the Office, with after assessing the legal preconditions and the degree of risk of personal data processing in these IS, a certificate of special registration was issued by the Office in 85 cases. In 2018

A total of 24 applications for special registration were received by the Office, and the Office also received this period carefully assessed the lawfulness and impact of the processing of personal data on those concerned persons in these information systems; a certificate of special registration has been issued in 23 cases. The most frequently registered IS for special registration in the evaluated period were ISs in which operators processed biometric data (for example, biometric data) fingerprint or palmprint) in order to record attendance or entry into the defined premises of the operator.

An overview of the number of special IS registrations according to the law

Year
Number of delivered
requests for special
IS registration
Number issued
certificate of special
IS registration
Number of stopped
special proceedings
IS registration
2017
98
85
13
to 24.5. 2018
24
23
1
6.3 Cross - border transfer of personal data
Cross-border transfer of personal data is an important part of personal data processing
in the conditions of increasingly frequent cross-border cooperation associated with the necessary exchange
information, including personal data.
Within the cross-border transfer of personal data, a distinction is made between cross-border transfers
within the EU Member States or the Contracting Parties to the Agreement on the European Economic
(hereinafter referred to as "Member States") and transfers to third countries. Transfer of personal data

within the Member States is not subject to any additional criteria and is governed by

provisions of Section 32 of the Act.

6.3.1. Transfer to a country guaranteeing an adequate level of personal data protection

When transferring personal data to third countries, a distinction is made between the transfer of personal data to a third country guaranteeing or not guaranteeing an adequate level of personal data protection.

The status of the country that guarantees an adequate level of personal data protection is determined by the EC

25

decision. In assessing such adequacy of personal data protection, the level is examined local legislation, the existence of relevant legal acts, the enforceability of the law concerned obligations of the controller as well as the principles of personal data processing. In area institutional, the independence of the national supervisory authority, its powers and competences are examined. As regards the status of the country, which guarantees an adequate level of personal data protection, the adequacy of the level of protection was not approved by the EC during the evaluated period personal data for any third country.

6.3.2. Transfer to a country that does not guarantee an adequate level of personal data protection. The cross-border transfer of personal data is a sensitive processing operation with personal data data in which personal data are transferred outside the territory of the Slovak Republic. The law defines a flexible cross-border transmission system, especially for transfers to third countries allows operators to make greater use of standardized safeguards privacy and personal data in the form of standard contractual and binding clauses internal rules.

Standard contractual clauses can be found in the relevant EC decisions. In case of
the controller uses in the contract on the transfer of personal data to a third country without reasonable
levels of personal data protection clauses that are different from the standard
contractual clauses intended for transmission by the operator or intermediary, or
they show a clear discrepancy with them, or if he does not use any contractual clauses, he is obliged
ask the Office for consent to the transfer before starting the transfer. There was no office in the period under review

requested to give such consent to the cross-border transfer of personal data.

A very important legal institute in the transfer of personal data are the so-called binding internal rules; their importance is growing, as for large multinational companies represent an attractive possibility for cross-border transfers of personal data, which removes a lot of administrative burden.

Binding internal company rules can be applied only within one company, resp. her organizational units. A company that decides to introduce a binding in-house the rules must commit themselves to the adoption of the rules on personal data protection throughout the company (by all organizational units) and at the same time that it will be ensured compliance through established supervisory mechanisms. Introduction of binding in-house rules should create comprehensive privacy protection within multinational company, even in connection with cross-border exchange or personal transfer data within the company. Procedure for approving binding internal rules supervisory authorities is set out in the opinion and recommendation WP29. In the evaluated period In no case was the Office the main supervisory body in assessing the binding internal rules.

26

7 PERSONAL DATA PROCESSING CONTROL

During the period under review, the Office checked the processing of personal data by the supervisory authority empowered to act if at least two members were present at the same time inspection body (including the inspector).

The Office carried out regular inspections on the basis of the inspection plan for 2017 and 2018, exceptionally inspections were carried out by the Office in the framework of personal data protection proceedings or on the basis of suspected breaches of personal data processing obligations laid down by law.

Regular and extraordinary inspections carried out by a written inspection body have always been carried out focused on a specific operator or intermediary and their results were

formulated in the inspection report (if no breach of obligations has been identified in the processing of personal data) or in the inspection report (if discrepancies have been identified with the requirements of generally binding legislation). Results of inspections formulated in the control protocol they initiated the initiation of personal data protection proceedings or were used as a basis for issuing a decision in ongoing proceedings.

The focus of the inspections was on the real state of personal data processing with an emphasis on the adequacy and practical application of the security measures taken, which has become apparent on the time and professional complexity of the implementation of the inspections themselves.

In the area of proper controls, the Office placed particular emphasis on the basic principles of personal processing data and information security risk management, including the possible effects of processing personal data on the rights and legally protected interests of the persons concerned, as the setting appropriate security measures (technical and organizational) and ensuring them

Continuous updating under the conditions of the operator or intermediary are essential preconditions for successful minimization of security risks, resp. prevention

unwanted processing operations with personal data (for example, unauthorized disclosure or disclosure of personal data). The security of personal data processing has resonated also in carrying out extraordinary checks, which were generally initiated by the persons concerned indicating insufficient security measures or processing operations

raising suspicion of a breach of the law.

The number of regular (planned) inspections performed in the evaluated period was affected the number of exceptional checks that the Office is required to carry out ex lege as well as the number pending checks carried over from the previous reporting period (2015-2016).

In the period under review, the Office completed 18 inspections initiated in 2016, of which 14 inspections inspection report and 4 inspections by inspection record, and at the same time performed 20 regular inspections, of which 12 inspection protocols and 5 records were completed by 24.05.2018 on control. In the evaluated period, the Office performed 33 extraordinary inspections, of which there were

27
Overview of inspections in the evaluated period
Results of inspections
completed during the period under review
Deficiencies found
(inspection report)
No detected
shortcomings
(inspection record)
14
4
18
22
Checks started from 01.01.2017 to
12/31/2017
Checks started from 01.01.2018 to
05/24/2018
7.1 Regular inspections (inspection plan)
During the period under review, the Office performed regular inspections on the basis of the inspection plan for 2017
and 2018. The control activities included in the control plan for a specific year were focused
to verify the compliance of personal data processing with generally binding requirements
legislation represented in particular by law. Taking into account technological developments
used in the processing of personal data, public activities were included in the control plan
and the private sector, with the Office focusing on the processing of personal data
presupposed an increased risk of unauthorized interference with the rights and rights protected

by 24.05.2018 terminated by 6 inspection protocol and 17 inspection record.

interests of the data subjects as well as the processing of personal data in the areas in which they have been repeatedly identified errors by operators or intermediaries when setting the conditions for the processing of personal data and their subsequent observance.

When creating inspection plans, as well as when selecting target areas for extraordinary inspections initiated by the Office, the Office drew on its previous experience and knowledge from supervisory activity (empirical-intuitive method).

7.1.1 The Schengen acquis

The Office regularly includes ISOU inspections in the inspection plan, by means of which it is ensured practical implementation of the Schengen "acquis" by the competent authorities in Slovakia

Republic, as well as embassies of the Slovak Republic abroad. National inspections

part of the second generation Schengen Information System (N.SIS.II) operated by

Ministry of the Interior of the Slovak Republic and the national part of the Visa Information System

(N.VIS) operated by the Slovak Ministry of Foreign Affairs and European Affairs

Republics are included in the control plan on the basis of the recommendation resulting from the resolution of the Government of the Slovak Republic no. 755 of 30 November 2011, which the government approved Schengen Action Plan of the Slovak Republic as a priority of the Government of the Slovak Republic.

In connection with the change of the national access point of the EURODAC information system for the EURODAC II version (2015) was acquired by the operator, which is the Ministry of the Interior Of the Slovak Republic, new competencies and responsibilities; following that fact at the same time, the office was obliged to carry out an annual inspection of the processing of personal data at the EURODAC National Access Point.

In the national parts (subsystems) of the information systems in question, they are in addition to the usual ones specific categories of personal data are also processed (eg identification) data, in particular biometric data, data on racial or ethnic origin, data on infringements criminal liability or administrative liability and in general usable identifier, ie personal data capable of significantly infringing rights and rights

protected interests of the persons concerned. 7 inspections were performed in the evaluated period processing of personal data of the persons concerned, namely two controls of the National

SIRENE (N.SIS II - national part of the second generation Schengen Information System),

28

four inspections of consular departments of embassies of the Slovak Republic (N.VIS national part of the Visa Information System) and one inspection of IS AFIS - EURODAC

(international comparison of fingerprints). Responsibility with which concerned departments approach the implementation of the Schengen "acquis", also confirms the that none of the above inspections revealed a conflict with the requirements of the generally binding ones legislation.

7.1.1.1 Consular departments of selected embassies of the Slovak Republic In the evaluated period, the Office performed 4 inspections of personal data processing operated by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and European Affairs of Slovakia Republic, in the premises of the Consular Section of the Embassy of the Slovak Republic in Beijing, Consulate General of the Slovak Republic in St. Petersburg, Embassy Of the Slovak Republic in Ankara and the Embassy of the Slovak Republic in Tehran. Subject inspections were focused on the security of personal data processing, compliance with obligations associated with the duly exercised rights of the data subject, the functionality of the technical and organizational mechanisms affecting the truthfulness, accuracy and timeliness of personal data as well as their disposal. The purpose of the inspections was to identify the state of compliance selected provisions of the law in the processing of personal data in the Visa Information (VIS) in connection with the issuance of Schengen visas to the persons concerned and others information systems in which the personal data of the persons concerned are processed in the conditions of embassies. Based on the results of inspections of the national part of Visa information system implemented in the premises of the said embassies Of the Slovak Republic, the Office stated the compliance of the processing of personal data with the requirements generally binding legislation.

7.1.1.2 SIRENE Bureau

Based on the fulfillment of tasks arising from the Schengen Action Plan of the Slovak Republic the Office carried out two inspections of the National SIRENE Bureau, which is an organizational part of the Office international cooperation of the Presidium of the Police Force of the Slovak Republic. Subject controls focused on the security of personal data processing (including criteria established by the Schengen Catalog of Recommendations and Best Practices), compliance with associated with the duly exercised rights of the data subject and the functionality of the technical and organizational mechanisms operating to ensure truth, correctness and the timeliness of personal data. The purpose of the inspections was to verify the lawful use and processing of personal data in the national part of the Schengen Information System II generation (N.SIS II) in the performance of the tasks of the Police Force of the Slovak Republic for the purposes of Art. Regulation (EC) No 2457/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council 1987/2006, on the basis of which data on third-country nationals in connection with refusal of entry are processed or residence, as well as under Art. 36 Council Decision 2007/533 / JHA, according to which processed data on persons and objects in N.SIS II for the purpose of discreet surveillance; or targeted controls. Based on the results of both inspections focused on the procedures of the National SIRENE Bureau, the Office noted the compliance of personal data processing with the general binding legislation.

29

7.1.1.3 Automated European Fingerprint Identification System AFIS-EURODAC

In accordance with Art. Regulation (EU) No 32 of the European Parliament and of the Council. 603/2013 on the establishment Eurodac for the comparison of fingerprints for the effective application of Regulation (EU) no. 604/2013 laying down the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining the application for international protection lodged by the national third country or stateless person in one of the Member States, and

Member States' law enforcement authorities and Europol for comparison with the data in the system Eurodac for law enforcement purposes and amending Regulation (EU) No 1077/2011 laying down is set up by the European Agency for the Operational Management of Large-Scale Information Systems in the area of freedom, security and justice, an obligation arose on 20 July 2015 carry out an annual review of the processing of personal data for law enforcement purposes and comparing the fingerprint data with the data stored in the central system.

Control of the processing of personal data within the national access point

AFIS-EURODAC system focused on the consistency of the use of the communication infrastructure with the basic principles of personal data processing, security of personal data processing data and their destruction, the fulfillment of obligations related to the duly exercised rights of the data subject persons and the functioning of technical and organizational mechanisms affecting the truth, accuracy and timeliness of personal data.

The operator of the AFIS-EURODAC information system, which is the Ministry of the Interior

Of the Slovak Republic, ensured the fulfillment of related obligations through national

access points established within the Criminalistics-Expertise Institute of the Presidium

Police Force, Border and Alien Police Office Presidium of the Police Force

and the Migration Office of the Ministry of the Interior of the Slovak Republic. Control of personal processing

data in the AFIS-EURODAC system was carried out in 2017 under the conditions

Criminalistics-Expertise Institute of the Presidium of the Police Force. Based on the result of the inspection

procedures of the Ministry of the Interior of the Slovak Republic in the processing of personal data

the Office found compliance in the automated European fingerprint identification system

processing of personal data in accordance with generally binding legal regulations.

7.1.2 Foreign bank branch

Control of a branch of a foreign bank operating in the territory of the Slovak Republic (operator) was based on the inspection plan focused on the principles of personal processing the existence and application of an appropriate legal basis for processing, the manner in which

obtaining the consent of the persons concerned, fulfilling the information obligation towards the persons concerned, destruction of personal data, relations between the controller and the intermediary, adequacy of security measures, instruction of authorized persons, determination of responsible persons, obligations related to the exercise of the rights of data subjects, cross-border transmission personal data and the obligation to specifically register, notify or record information systems. The purpose of the inspection was to identify the state of compliance with selected provisions of the law when processing personal data about the bank's clients.

The inspection in question found an error consisting in non-compliance with the notification obligations incurred by the operator in connection with the information system aimed at to obtain information about business partners and potential clients. Mistake of the operator was also found in connection with the transitional provision of § 76 par. 2 of the Act, according to which (each) operator was obliged to give a contractual relationship with the intermediary

in accordance with this Act within two years from the date of entry into force of this Act. In relationship no deficiencies were found in the other parts of the inspection, which the Office acknowledged in particular in connection with the adoption of appropriate security measures and their regular updating focused on innovative technical possibilities of personal data processing.

7.1.3 Insurance company

30

The control of the insurance company operating in the territory of the Slovak Republic (operator) was based on the plan of inspections focused in the same way as the inspection of a branch of a foreign bank. The purpose control was the identification of the state of compliance with selected provisions of the law during processing personal data on the insurance company's clients.

The inspection in question found an error in the method of obtaining consent data subject for the processing of personal data (consent did not contain all the requirements laid down by the Personal Data Protection Act). Mistake operator was also found in relation to the lack of information

notified to the data subject before obtaining his or her personal data for marketing purposes.

No deficiencies were found in relation to the other parts of the inspection.

7.1.4 Leasing company

Control of a leasing company operating in the territory of the Slovak Republic (operator)

based on the inspection plan, it was focused in the same way as the inspection of a foreign bank branch

and control of the insurance company. The purpose of the inspection was to identify the compliance status of the selected

provisions of the law in the processing of personal data on clients of non-bank creditors and others

non - bank creditors, consumer credit providers (without restriction or

to a limited extent) and other non-bank creditors.

The inspection in question found an error consisting in non-compliance with the notification obligations incurred by the operator in connection with the information system aimed at on its marketing activities, an error in the manner of obtaining the consent of the persons concerned with the processing of their personal data for marketing purposes (the data subject did not have the freely express their consent or disagreement with the processing of her personal data), as well as error relating to the content of the contracts concluded by the operator with its intermediaries (contracts did not contain all the requirements laid down by the Protection Act personal data). No findings were found in relation to the other parts of the inspection shortcomings.

7.1.5 Telecommunications Service Provider

Control of the television broadcaster, access to the Internet and public telephone service operating in the territory of the Slovak Republic (operator) was on the basis of the plan controls focused on the principles of personal data processing, existence and application appropriate legal basis for the processing, the means of obtaining the consent of the persons concerned, fulfillment of information obligation towards the persons concerned, liquidation of personal data, relations between the operator and the intermediary, the adequacy of security measures, instruction of authorized persons, appointment of a responsible person, related obligations

with the exercise of the rights of data subjects, the cross-border transfer of personal data and the obligation specifically register, notify or record information systems. The purpose of the inspection was

31

identification of the state of compliance with selected provisions of the Act on Client Clients providing telecommunications services.

The inspection in question found an error consisting in the incompleteness and incorrectness of the data listed in the records of the information system focused on the records of clients, error consisting in the absence of registration of the camera system, the mistake consisting in omission the obligation to instruct authorized persons, errors in the field of security measures, their documentation, updates and applications, as well as errors in obtaining consent data subjects with the processing of their personal data for marketing purposes (data subject did not have the opportunity to freely express her consent or disagreement with the processing of her personal data). The operator's mistake was also found in relation to the storage of personal data after the purpose of their processing and in relation to the scope and designation of the space accessible to the public monitored by a camera system.

7.1.6 Cities and municipalities

On the basis of a plan of inspections and random selection taking into account the nationwide scope of the Office eight checks on the processing of personal data by the authorities were carried out during the period under review local government (operators), of which five inspections focused on municipalities and three inspections focused on cities.

The inspections focused on the principles of personal data processing, existence and application appropriate legal basis for the processing of personal data, the controller's procedure in the processing of personal data without the consent of the data subject and in obtaining the consent of the data subject persons, fulfillment of information obligation, liquidation of personal data, relations between operators and intermediaries, the adoption of appropriate technical and organizational measures, instructions of the authorized person, appointment of the responsible person, related obligations

exercising the rights of the data subject, as well as the obligation to register, notify or register personal data information systems. The purpose of these inspections was to identify the state of compliance with the law during processing personal data by operators. They resonated among the operators' errors identified by these inspections especially mistakes \Box in the area of adopted security measures (a total of 6 cases of violation of § 19 par. 1 Act), □ associated with the conditions of delegation of the responsible person and related obligations operator (a total of 5 cases of violation of the provisions of Sections 23 to 25 of the Act), □ related to the conditions for monitoring premises accessible to the public (a total of 4 cases of violation of § 15 par. 7 of the Act), □ associated with the obligation to register IS that are not subject to special registration or notification obligation (a total of 4 cases of violation of § 43 paragraph 1 of the Act), □ associated with the obligation to instruct authorized persons before carrying out the first processing operations with personal data (a total of 4 cases of violation of § 21 paragraph 2 of the Act). Of the results of those inspections, none of which were concluded by a finding of compliance with the requirements of the law, there is a justified obligation of the supervisory body to focus its attention to local authorities in the position of IS operators, by all available methods (ie not just control activities). From the performed inspections at the same time concludes that cities comply with legal requirements to a much greater extent, which is undoubtedly related to the human and financial resources which (unlike municipalities) 32 have. The Office finds the situation to be alarming, but not because of the cities and towns

process the personal data of residents (data subjects) for different purposes, but because cities and municipalities in the position of local self-government bodies (except for specific exceptions) process

personal data on the entire population of the Slovak Republic.

7.2 Extraordinary inspections

In the period under review, extraordinary inspections were carried out to a decisive extent on the basis of at the request of the persons concerned, possibly on the basis of suggestions other than those the persons concerned. A significant part of extraordinary inspections was (traditionally) focused for camera systems.

7.2.1 Resocialization center

Control of the processing of personal data by a non-profit organization that as accredited the entity provides services in the field of social protection of children and social guardianship (resocialization center - operator), was motivated by an initiative other than the one concerned persons, the content of which suspected a violation of several provisions of the law when processing the personal data of the Centre's clients.

The control focused on the principles of personal data processing, existence and application adequate legal basis for the processing of personal data, the controller's procedure in the processing of personal data without the consent of the data subject and in obtaining the consent of the data subject persons, fulfillment of information obligations and liquidation of personal data, fulfillment of obligations resulting from the relationship between the operator and the intermediary, the adoption of technical and organizational measures corresponding to the way personal data are processed, instruction of the authorized person, fulfillment of the operator's obligations related to supervision on the protection of personal data (responsible person), as well as to verify compliance with the notification obligations, special registration and the obligation to keep records of the information system.

In addition to the personal data of employees, the operator also processes the personal data of the data subjects persons as clients of the Center. The clients of the resocialization center are understood as drugs a dependent or otherwise dependent natural person or child to whom assistance is provided usually associated with their long-term stay (eight months or more) in this center.

The client's stay in the resocialization center is based on a court decision

(interim measure or substantive decision) or in agreement with the client or his client authorized representative (child), the conclusion of which is subject to the recommendation of an addictologist or psychiatrist. Costs associated with the client 's stay in the resocialization center on the basis of court decisions are borne by the state (through the Center for Labor, Social Affairs and the Family Of the Slovak Republic), the client 's stay in the resocialization center on the basis of the agreement is financed from contributions from the Higher Territorial Unit, as well as the client himself.

In relation to the lawfulness of the processing of personal data of the clients of the resocialization center was found that the operator processes personal data on the basis of Act no. 305/2005 Coll., Which sets out the purpose of the processing of personal data, the circle of data subjects and the scope of personal data data, as a result of which it acquires the nature of a special law in the sense of § 10 par. 2 of the Act.

The inspection did not confirm any of the suspicions formulated in the complaint and was not found or any other error of the operator. The Office (among other things) found personal data clients processed in a magazine issued by the operator are published in an anonymised form, as a result of which no personal data is processed

33

by publishing them. Photos of clients on the notice board in the main building of the resocialization resorts, videos posted through YouTube, and accounts set up in

Facebook social networks are not part of any information system of the inspected person.

At the same time, the Office stated that the inspected person's procedures for acquisition and further processing personal data correspond to the requirements of § 6 par. 2 of the Act (principles of processing personal data) as well as that in relation to the security of personal data processing in the information systems of the inspected person did not find a conflict with the requirements of § 19 par. 1 of the law. The inspection in question was completed by signing the inspection record.

7.2.2 Foreign bank branch

Control of a branch of a foreign bank operating in the territory of the Slovak Republic (operator), which took place at the initiative of the data subject, was aimed at

the controller 's procedure for processing the personal data of the data subject through contracts under which several financial services have been provided to the data subject. Touched the person exercised his rights against the operator in writing, but was not satisfied with content, resp. the extent of the operator's response. The person concerned in the complaint addressed to the Office in particular that it had not provided its information to the operator the address to which the operator has sent several documents or the telephone number to work.

The operator processes the personal data of clients to the extent of the data specified in the contract documentation for the purpose of establishing pre-contractual relations, the provision of consumer credit and credit relationship management, reporting and archiving of unusual operations reports, identification and client verifications in accordance with the Act on Protection against Money Laundering and protection against terrorist financing, reception, handling and archiving of complaints, protection and enforcement of the operator's rights against clients. Provided personal data of clients are not published.

The performance of the inspection was focused on compliance with selected provisions of the law during processing personal data of clients of a foreign bank branch with emphasis on communication between the controller and the data subject, as well as the processing procedure of the controller personal data of the data subject in relation to the contracts concluded with the controller since 2010, on the basis of which the person concerned was granted loans, a credit card, installment sales, and the like. The Office requested complete documentation from the operator concerning all the contractual relations of the person concerned with the operator and subsequently entered the personal data information systems in which the controller processed personal data of clients. The Office focused on credibly proving the source of obtaining personal data (correspondence address other than permanent residence address, employment telephone number and the name of the employer) and the lawfulness of their processing.

The inspection did not confirm any of the suspicions formulated in the complaint and was not

found or any other error of the operator. By looking at the client folder

the person concerned was found to have the person concerned in the questionnaire which was part of the contract on consumer credit, itself provided details concerning the employer (including the telephone number) employment numbers). By verifying the stored communication records of the call center employee with the data subject, it was found at the same time that the data subject could prove himself / herself by telephone asked the operator to change the correspondence address, while the address of permanent residence remained unchanged. The inspection in question was completed by signing the inspection record.

34

7.2.3 Camera systems

processing

IS.

Monitoring of areas accessible to the public by camera systems is generally considered a highly effective way of protecting health, property and crime prevention.

Related proposals and incentives for monitoring system operators

they also have a significant effect on the focus of the Office's control activities. In the evaluated

During this period, the Office checked the compliance of the processing of personal data with the principles of personal

data with an emphasis on compliance with the basic obligations of the controller and the existence appropriate legal basis, the adoption of adequate security (technical and organizational) measures corresponding to the way personal data are processed, compliance the obligation to instruct the entitled person, the fulfillment of the obligation to entrust the responsible person, as well as fulfillment of the obligation to notify, the obligation of special registration and the obligation to keep records

In the evaluated period, the Office performed and completed 16 extraordinary inspections focused on for processing personal data through a camera system. Of the total number 6 inspections were carried out on operators who are legal entities or self-employed persons and 10 checks on operators who are natural persons.

In carrying out these inspections, the Office placed emphasis on the adequacy of the scope monitored space, resp. the risk of undue interference with the rights and freedoms of the persons concerned, as well as on the legal basis and security of personal data processing. Of the total number performed In the evaluated period, there were 11 inspections completed by the inspection record (of which 8 control records were based on the fact that monitoring is not subject to the regime of the Act) and 5 inspections were completed by the inspection protocol.

Operators whose inspection was completed by the inspection record duly complied with notification or registration obligation and have duly informed authorized persons.

The monitoring itself was approached by all operators in accordance with the principle proportionality, as they monitored the area only to the extent necessary to achieving the purpose of processing. The monitored area was in all cases marked as to inform the persons concerned of the monitoring before entering the monitored area.

The Office found a breach of the operator's obligations under the law in five cases.

The breach of the operator 's legal obligations consisted in particular in the fact that

operators monitored an area inaccessible to the public without a legal basis,
monitor the area accessible to the public to the extent that it was not necessary to achieve
for the purpose of processing, records made by monitoring the area accessible to the public
kept for more than 15 days, did not clearly mark the monitored area, did not have properly instructed
authorized persons and did not fulfill the obligation to register the IS. In relation to security processing office
in two cases, found that the operator had not adopted adequate technical and organizational
measures, and in two other cases found that the operators in their

conditions, they have taken security measures in accordance with the requirements of the law, but the documentation proceeded in violation of the provisions of Decree no. 164/2013 Coll., In particular in the information system security analysis section.

Based on the identified violations of the law, it is necessary to continue to pay increased attention operators who process personal data by monitoring the accessible area

public, including with regard to the fulfillment of the information obligation.

35

7.3 Conclusions arising from the Office's control activities

In general, from the results of regular and extraordinary inspections performed in the evaluated period, the obvious efforts of operators to ensure compliance with the provisions of the law, at the same time are however, some categories of operators also need to be addressed increased attention in the coming period. The control activity of the Office, the basic the mission is to act preventively on the protection of personal data processed IS operators, it is therefore desirable to support the Office's other activities at this time, mainly due to a fundamental change in the legal regulation of the conditions of processing represented Regulation and Act no. 18/2018 Coll., Which apply in the territory of the Slovak Republic from 25.05.2018. The basic precondition for compliance with the requirements of the Regulation and Act no. 18/2018 Coll. is a general identification with the intent of this legislation formalize.

36

8 PERSONAL DATA PROTECTION PROCEDURE

The purpose of personal data protection proceedings is to determine whether the conduct of the controller or the rights of natural persons in the processing of their personal data have been violated by the intermediary and, if deficiencies are identified, impose remedial action. For personal protection proceedings data, the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Code shall apply. Substantive decision in protection proceedings personal data is usually the basis for fine proceedings, which is a separate administrative proceedings.

If there is no competence of the Office to act and decide the case, most often because the case in question does not fall within the scope of the law, the Office is obliged to forward the application competent administrative authority. In 2017, the Office forwarded 8 submissions to the relevant court administrative authority for action and decision. In 2018, a total of 3 submissions were submitted.

The Office may, in the exhaustively determined cases referred to in the third chapter of Part Three of the Act postpone the proposal or initiative. The most common case of postponement is its unfoundedness when it is already clear from the evidence provided, in particular by the person concerned, that there has been no breach of the law. In 2017, the Office postponed 213 submissions and in 2018 141 submissions were postponed.

The Office, in the framework of supervisory activities, conducts proceedings on the protection of personal data with the aim of protection

the rights of natural persons against unauthorized interference with their private life during processing their personal data, while also examining compliance with legal obligations.

If it finds a violation of the rights of the data subject or a breach of personal processing obligations by a decision, if justified and expedient, impose on the controller or

the intermediary to take remedial action within a specified period

of the law, as proceedings instituted without a petition.

and the causes of their occurrence. Otherwise, it will stop the personal data protection proceedings. Proceedings on the protection of personal data begins on the proposal of the petitioner or on the Office's own initiative.

Proceedings on the Office's own initiative are initiated on the basis of an initiative pursuant to § 63 para. 5 of the Act, on the basis of the results of the inspection according to § 60 par. 2 in connection with § 63 par. 7 of the law, which they were identified deficiencies or on the basis of the Office's own finding of a suspected infringement

In the monitored period of 2017 and 2018, the Office initiated 230 administrative proceedings, of which 96 were initiated at the request of the person concerned, 50 initiated on the basis of an initiative, 26 initiated on the basis of the results of the inspection, which identified deficiencies and 58 proceedings were conducted by the Office on its own initiative on suspicion of a breach of the law.

In 2017, the Office initiated administrative proceedings on the basis of a proposal in 59 cases, on the basis of a complaint in 42 cases, based on the results of inspections in 10 cases and on its own initiative in 20 cases.

In 2018, the Office initiated administrative proceedings on the basis of a motion in 37 cases, on the basis of an initiative in 8 cases, based on the results of inspections in 16 cases and on its own initiative in 38

cases.
37
Overview of the methods of initiating proceedings under the law
Year
Based on
proposal
Based on
initiative
Based on
inspection results
From my own
initiatives of the Office
2017
59
42
10
20
to 24.5.2018
37
8
16
38
Decision of the Office as the administrative body at first instance in personal protection proceedings
data is based on a reliable state of affairs. For this purpose, the Office is in proceedings for protection
personal data is entitled to request the cooperation of anyone, while in the evaluated

Between 2017 and 2018, the Office requested cooperation a total of 1,055 times. In protection proceedings

personal data, there were five cases where the entity from which the co - operation was requested did not react to it and the Office even after the call to fulfill its obligations under § 74 par. 1 of the Act co - operation was not provided (in the given cases proceedings were initiated against the subjects on fines).

The Institute of Legal Representation was used in a significant number of cases during the period under review, except that all parties to the proceedings were represented by legal persons representatives. The most common subject of personal data protection proceedings was the review or by processing the personal data of the data subjects in the IS, which included the cameras, there was a violation of the provisions of the law. One of the most common violations of the law was processing of personal data without a legal basis, resp. contrary to law unauthorized disclosure of a universally applicable identifier (birth number) provided for by a special law, insufficient security of personal data processing.

8.1 Knowledge of personal data protection based on personal data protection proceedings

In the proceedings on personal data protection, several pieces of evidence were used in the evaluated period
the means by which the true state of affairs can be ascertained and, for that purpose,
more complicated matters, in addition to the consideration, used the possibility of performing extraordinary
controls directly at the operator or intermediary. The inspection proved to be
an effective means of ascertaining the true situation, in particular when checking camera IS on
where it has made it possible to determine reliably the method and individual aspects of monitoring and on the basis of
assess the intrusion of the monitored persons. In order to find out the real state of affairs
there is also a relatively high number of requests for cooperation through which they were made
secured in the file materials, especially documentary evidence. The persons concerned served
mostly precise proposals containing all the requisites required by law, while only
to a minimum, they had to be called upon to remedy the shortcomings of the administration. Len
sporadically, the petitioner did not complete his motion to initiate proceedings after the summons.

Personal data protection proceedings, as a type of administrative procedure, are characterized by sensitivity

the issue under consideration, which concerns the observance and protection of fundamental rights and freedoms in the field of personal data processing. The personal data protection proceedings are not public proceedings, which includes several peculiarities that complement, resp. extend the legislation

Act no. 71/1967 Coll., Or where necessary exclude the application of Act no. 71/1967

Zb. These specificities are important for the correct application of personal data protection, the Office in the first instance proceedings where appropriate and necessary, taken into account. Such a special institute in personal data protection proceedings is e.g.

38

secrecy of the petitioner's identity in cases where his rights could be violated and legally protected interests (as the person concerned), or negative action by operator. The secrecy of the petitioner's identity found its justification and the persons concerned in the interest of their protection, they used it in the evaluated period, for example, in proposals by which sought the protection of their rights and the interests protected by law in the exercise of their rights jobs.

During the period under review, operators often in the course of personal protection proceedings data before attempting a substantive decision, they tried to dispose of the findings voluntarily shortcomings in the processing of personal data, as well as to adopt effective safeguards lawful processing of the personal data of the persons concerned. About received and executed measures to remedy the deficiencies found by the parties to the Office within the prescribed time limits as a general rule, there was no reason to initiate proceedings for a disciplinary fine on the grounds of negligence.

39

9 PENALTIES FOR BREACH OF THE LAW

Sanctions for violations of the law are fines and disciplinary fines. Sanctions are adjusted in the fourth the title of Part Three of the Act.

9.1 Penalties

The procedure for imposing a fine, as a separate administrative procedure, is conducted by the Office on the basis of a finding breaches of the law ex officio. When imposing a fine and determining its amount the Office shall take into account, in particular, the gravity, duration and consequences of the infringement, the repetition such a course of action and the extent of the risk to private and family life and the number of persons concerned persons. In addition to the operator and the intermediary, the Office may impose a fine on another entity (eg for failure to cooperate, breach of confidentiality, provision of false personal data). Especially in terms of the gravity of the infringement law defines the cases when the Office obliges to impose the obligation and when it has the possibility not to impose a fine, taking into account other facts.

EUR 36,860.47 (fines imposed in 2016 and paid in 2017). Average fine per year 2017 amounted to approx. EUR 1,390. The Office imposed the lowest fine in the amount of EUR 300 for breach of the obligation to act in accordance with technical, organizational and personnel measures taken by the operator. The highest fine in the amount of EUR 5,000 was imposed by the Office operator for unauthorized disclosure of a generally applicable identifier of a larger number of persons concerned.

In 2017, the Office legally imposed 20 fines in the total amount of EUR 27,800 and collected a total of

In 2018, the Office legally imposed 37 fines in the total amount of EUR 89,800 for violating the law. In 2018, the Office collected a total of EUR 93,000.07 on fines as of the closing date of the report

(September 17, 2018), until May 24, 2018, the Office collected EUR 22,450.00 in fines. Average fine per year 2018 amounted to EUR 2,427. The Office imposed the lowest fine in the amount of EUR 150 operator for failing to cooperate. The Office legally imposed the highest fine in the amount of EUR 40,000 to the operator for violating the security of personal processing data. In one case, the operator requested the possibility to pay the fine imposed in installments. Taking into account the justification, the Office granted the operator's request.

Overview of fines imposed and collected in the monitored period

Year

Count
fines
Total height
legally imposed
fines in Euros
Average height
fines rounded
for the whole Euro up
Total selected on
fines in Euros
2017
20
27,800
1390
36,860.47
2 427
to 24.5.2018 was
collected on fines
22,450.00
as of the closing date
reports (17.9.2018) to
was 93,000.07
2018
37
89 800 CZK
40

The fine, as a type of sanction, was mainly repressive and preventive in the period under review function. In imposing it, the Office took into account, inter alia, the status of the entity and its activities, such as also the impact of the amount of the fine on its continued existence. In connection with the imposition of fines during during the evaluated period for violation of the law, it can be stated that they did not impose fines liquidation effects.

9.2 Ordinary fine

The Office may impose a disciplinary fine exclusively on the operator or intermediary.

A disciplinary fine, which serves to ensure a dignified and undisturbed course of supervision activities of the office, resp. as a sanction for non-compliance with certain obligations imposed in the proceedings on personal data protection did not need to be imposed in 2017, while in 2018 they were imposed two disciplinary fines in the amount of EUR 200 and EUR 1,000 for failure to cooperate required by the inspection body.

- 9.3 Selected cases from the supervisory activities of the Office
- 9.3.1 Postponements
- 9.3.1.1 Disposal of personal data of the data subject

In 2017, the Office received a proposal from the person concerned against the operator, who was to violate the provisions of the law by processing the personal data of the data subject in connection with the conclusion of the injunction, did not liquidate without undue delay, but imposed to the archive.

According to the provisions of § 17 par. 1 of the Act, the operator is obliged to fulfill the purpose of processing ensure the destruction of personal data without undue delay. Paragraph 1 according to § 17 par. 2 law does not apply if personal data are part of the registration record. In that case the operator ensures the liquidation of the registration record according to a special regulation.

After examining the documents and evidence gathered in the examination of the case in question, the Office found that that the operator has entered into an injunction with the person concerned pursuant to Section 724 et seq. of the law no. 40/1964 Coll. The Civil Code, as amended, the subject of which was

taking the necessary steps to enforce the data subject's claims for compensation

damage resulting from the loss event. The operator thus disposes of personal data

the person concerned processed for that purpose in accordance with a special regulation. Office at
postponed the motion to initiate proceedings on the basis of the findings.

9.3.1.2 Disclosure of personal data in the notice of the place where the document is filed

In the first half of 2018, the Office received the submission of the person concerned, who found out through

Google's Internet search engine that gives her personal information in the range of first name, last name, year

birth, permanent residence are publicly available on the Internet in the published city name

about the place where the document is stored, where he can pick up the addressed document in person.

By monitoring the internet links found, the office found that the document in question

The notice of the place of storage of the document was published by the city on its official website

page in the official notice board section and it was a notice to be posted for the 15th

days. The data published in the notification were assessed as personal physical data

persons in accordance with the provisions of § 4 par. 1 of the Act. The Office evaluated the submission of a natural person as

41

In relation to the subject matter of the proposal, the city was obliged to have a legal basis disclosure of personal data, respect the principles of personal data processing, especially after the end of the purpose of disclosure of personal data to destroy them.

In order to assess the matter in question, the Office called on the city to cooperate. From the city's answer it was established and it was also proved that the city was requested by the bailiff to provide it cooperation in accordance with a special law, which is Act no. 233/1995 Coll. on bailiffs and Execution Activities (Execution Rules) and on Amendments to Certain Acts (hereinafter referred to as the Execution Rules)

"Enforcement Act"), which regulates the obligation of third parties to cooperate with the courts to the executor to the extent necessary. The bailiff asked the city to serve the document

proposal to initiate proceedings on personal data protection against the city as an operator.

to the liable person - natural person, as he did not stay in his place of permanent residence.

The document addressed to the natural person was placed in an envelope delivered to his own hands. The city on the basis of the above and the obligation to cooperate with the bailiff notified the natural person of the deposit of the bailiff's document by posting the notice on his online official whiteboard. For the purposes of notification of the deposit and delivery of the official consignment, city authorized to process, ie. disclose personal data of a natural person within the scope of identification the consignee of the official consignment to be delivered. After the end of the purpose of personal processing data, the city was obliged to stop publishing personal data in the posted notice.

The Office checked whether the notice was posted from the online official board and thus terminated disclosure of personal data of a natural person for that purpose.

Based on the evaluation of the collected documents and the assessment of the matter in relation to to the law, the Office assessed the person concerned's proposal as manifestly unfounded, as the city was entitled to disclose the personal data of the data subject to the extent necessary, surname, year of birth, address of permanent residence for the purposes of notification of place of storage official postal item due to its delivery to the obligor in the enforcement proceedings. Office therefore suspended the application.

9.3.1.3 Information obligation in the exercise of the right of petition

In 2018, the Office assessed the complaint according to which the organizer of the petition obtained personal information data of the persons supporting the petition did not fulfill the obligation to communicate the information to the persons concerned

according to § 15 par. 1 of the Act. The Office found that the personal data of the petitioners were processed according to § 10 par. 2 of the Act, ie on the basis of a special law, which was in the given in the case of Act no. 85/1990 Coll. on the right of petition, as amended, to the extent and in the manner provided for by the Law on the Law of Petitions. Since according to § 15 par. 3 of the Act the obligation to notify the data subject of information pursuant to § 15 par. 1 of the Act does not apply to processing of personal data according to § 10 par. 2 of the Act, the Office assessed the complaint as obvious

unfounded and put it aside.

9.3.1.4 Sending marketing offers

for marketing purposes, but to no avail.

In 2018, the data subject made an oral motion to initiate proceedings on the protection of personal data, which contained a suspicion that the operator who is the provider of cash loans, processes the petitioner's personal data for marketing purposes without a legal basis.

The petitioner stated that he had been a client of the operator in the past and that he was already one several years, the operator sends him a repeat offer to his postal address to grant a loan. The petitioner further claimed to have applied to the operator several times by telephone and e-mail with a request to terminate the processing of his personal data

42

The Office, by examining the documents and evidence gathered in the examination of the present case, found that that the operator processed the applicant 's personal data for marketing purposes on the basis of consent of the petitioner, who provided in the Statement on instructions on personal data protection of 2012, which was part of the Consumer Credit Agreement concluded by the petitioner with the operator in 2012. The submitted copy of the statement in question showed that that the operator has obtained a separate, separate, from the agreement to the consumer credit agreement separate consent of the petitioner with the processing of his personal data for a predetermined purpose.

The proposer has given the controller consent to the processing of his personal data for the purposes of information about the products and services provided by the addresses for a period of 10 years from fulfillment of obligations towards the operator. Due to the date of conclusion of the Contract on consumer credit, it was clear that the consent granted could expire at the earliest in 2022. The operator therefore processed the personal data of the petitioner for the purpose of sending offers for the provision of a loan in accordance with § 9 par. 1 of the Act, with the legal basis of this processing of personal data was the consent of the petitioner according to § 11 par. 1 of the Act.

Pursuant to § 28 par. 6 and § 29 par. 3 of the Act, the petitioner was obliged to request his blocking,

resp. liquidation of personal data after revocation of consent, resp. termination of the purpose of processing addressed to the operator in a certain form - in writing or orally in the minutes, whereby he was obliged to complete the request made by electronic means within three days. Draftsman addressed his request to terminate the processing of personal data to the controller by phone and e-mail. The operator was not obliged by law to comply with the request in such a form. Therefore, the operator when processing the applicant's request for termination sending marketing offers proceeded in accordance with the law. The operator stated that that it registers the petitioner's request to delete and revoke the consent to the processing of his / her personal data, on the basis of which he has already canceled the sending of advertising offers to the applicant, namely despite the fact that the application was not submitted in the form prescribed by law. The office did not find out violation of the law, the submitted proposal as manifestly unfounded therefore postponed.

9.3.2 Procedures

9.3.2.1 Breach of personal data protection when donating a discarded computer to another person

In the first half of 2017, the Office received a petition from the petitioner, who learned that she was a schoolgirl discovered documents concerning pedagogical staff in a donated computer from the municipality, in particular, the appellant's salary assessment. The petitioner suspected that she had obtained information about her salary and her personal data were processed unjustifiably, and so it was damaged her reputation. Relevant evidence that he had been discarded was also attached to the application and the donated computer to the schoolchild contains her personal data in the salary assessment. The submission was evaluated as content as a proposal to initiate proceedings on personal data protection. Office for reasonable violation of the law initiated proceedings on a motion against the municipality and the school. Office in the beginning

proceedings issued a preliminary measure pursuant to § 43 par. 1 letter (b) the administrative procedure by which he has imposed

the municipality's obligation to keep the data contained in the computer donated by the municipality to the schoolchild, who was already in the school's computer room at the time. Evidence needed for

examination of the subject matter of the proceedings were, inter alia, the data contained in the record computer devices. The Office considered that the purpose of the conduct should not be undermined for the necessary recording equipment of the computer in question, in particular to avoid to liquidation, loss or other impairment of its contents. To find out the real situation

The Office requested the cooperation of the municipality and the school.

43

The controller is responsible for the security of personal data. The operator is obliged protect processed personal data from damage, destruction, loss, alteration, unauthorized access and disclosure, provision or disclosure, as well as prior any other impermissible processing methods. To this end, it shall take appropriate technical, organizational and personnel measures corresponding to the way personal data are processed data, taking into account in particular the technical means available, confidentiality and relevance personal data processed, as well as the range of possible risks that they are capable of security or IS functionality.

From the collected documents, the office had found and proved that the municipality provided the school to the pupil computer for the purpose of practicing computer skills. It was supposed to be an old unnecessary one the computer in the archive has already been scrapped, and the municipality should have checked it discarded computers and in good faith that all data is deleted from the computer, this computer was handed over to the student. The Office had proved from documentary evidence that the recording equipment discarded computer contained working documents of the school with personal data.

On the basis of the documents collected in the proceedings, the Office stated that the municipality had violated § 19 par. 1 by failing to comply with the obligation to protect the petitioner's personal data processed in the school documents located in the discarded computer of the municipality handed over to the pupil schools, prior to their unauthorized access and disclosure to third parties by non-acceptance appropriate security measures appropriate to the way these personal data are processed

data. In view of the mistake found, the Office imposed protection measures on the municipality

personal data of natural persons found in the discarded computer until their disposal.

The proceedings against the school were stopped by the office, as it was proved that the computer with documents, the content of which was also personal data, owned, used, excluded from the register of the municipality, which at the same time, it has not taken adequate measures to ensure the protection of the personal data of the data subjects persons.

9.3.2.2 Processing of personal data after withdrawal of consent

In 2017, the Office conducted proceedings on the protection of personal data at the proposal of the petitioner, who argued that the controller did not stop processing his personal data despite that the applicant has withdrawn his consent to the processing. The Office found that in the present case processing of personal data necessary for the performance of the contract in which the claimant acts as one of the contracting parties, therefore the operator could not meet the request of the proposer due to the fact that he processed the objected personal data on the basis of § 10 par. 3 letter b) of the Act without consent of the person concerned and, in this sense, the petitioner's rights have not been infringed. Office at the same time found that the controller determined the conditions for the processing of personal data by default obtained consent to the processing of personal data also in relation to such processing, which carried out on a different legal basis than the consent of the person concerned, as was the case also in the case of a proposer who has given the operator consent to personal work data for which the law did not require consent and for which the controller could not provide the exercise of the rights which the law confers on the data subject in relation to the processing of personal data data on the basis of consent.

The Office found that the operator had misled the persons concerned in this way and restricted their right to transparent processing of personal data, thus violating § 6 para. 2 letter b) of the Act. By decision, the Authority imposed remedial measures on the operator identified shortcomings.

44

9.3.2.3 Delivery of documents containing a special category of personal data

In 2017, the Office conducted proceedings on the protection of personal data on the basis of a proposal from the data subject persons suspected of a personal data breach

by sending a letter containing a specific category of personal data to the data subject persons by ordinary letter. In order to find out the complete and real objective state of affairs, the operator underwent an extraordinary inspection of personal processing data.

The operator assumed that each letter item was from its moment handover at the collection point is protected by postal secrecy in accordance with § 32 par. 2 letter g) Act no. 324/2011 Coll. on Postal Services and on Amendments to Certain Acts as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Postal Services Act") and at the same time postal secret in terms of its definition in § 10 of the Postal Services Act, Art. 22 of the Act no. 460/1992 Coll. The Constitution of the Slovak Republic, as amended, and Section 196 of the Act no. 300/2005 Coll. Criminal Code as amended.

Postal conditions of the entity providing postal services according to the Postal Postal Act provide that the entity is not responsible for the leakage of information and data on consignments and the contents of the consignments, if they can be read, reproduced or otherwise directly unprotected acquisition before they are submitted by the sender or after they have been delivered to the addressee. It follows that it is up to the sender to assess the confidentiality of the content transported consignment and chose the appropriate type of postal item accordingly, i.e. the corresponding service provided by the postal service provider under the law on postal services.

When processing personal data, the controller is obliged to proceed in such a way as to protect personal data processed and, inter alia, prevent unauthorized access, disclosure or provision of personal data. For this purpose, he is obliged to adopt adequate security measures covering all areas which have or may have an impact on the processed personal data and their security. Security measures must take specific account

the conditions under which the controller processes personal data, including in this case secure delivery of consignments containing a specific category of personal data, and which delivery is related to the activity of the operator.

In the proceedings, it was found that the operator had not taken adequate safety measures in connection with the processing of a special category of personal data of the persons concerned (clients) when delivered by letter, and thus when taking appropriate security measures proceeded in violation of the provisions of § 19 par. 1 of the Act because it was mandatory for protection purposes personal data processed before their damage, destruction, loss, alteration,

unauthorized access and disclosure, provision or disclosure

technical, organizational and personnel measures corresponding to the way personal data are processed data, taking into account the technical means available, the confidentiality and the importance of the data processed personal data as well as the extent of possible risks.

The Office imposed measures on the operator to remedy the identified deficiencies and the causes of their occurrence and ordered the operator to take appropriate technical, organizational and personnel measures corresponding to the way personal data are processed in connection with the processing of the special categories of personal data of the persons concerned upon delivery, document the measures taken and inform the entitled persons about the measures taken.

45

9.3.2.4 Making e-shop purchasing conditional on marketing approval

In 2017, the Office conducted proceedings on the protection of personal data on the basis of a complaint in the case suspicions of violating the provisions of the law in the operation of the e-shop. The content of the complaint was a statement that the operator makes the purchase conditional on registration on its website, whereas in order to successfully complete this registration, the buyer must give consent to processing your personal data for marketing purposes.

On the basis of information, documents and evidence gathered during the protection proceedings

The personal data office found that if the buyer registered on the website

the operator fills in all the required information, but does not tick the box
gives the controller consent to the processing of personal data within the scope of registration
for marketing purposes, clicking on the "Register" button will clear the verification that the buyer
"Is not a robot" and the buyer remains on the registration form page. Registration that is
condition of purchase on the operator's website is so successful only if
the buyer indicates consent to the processing of his personal data for marketing purposes.

At the request of the Authority, the operator stated that registration should have been possible without consent with the processing of personal data for the purposes of further marketing processing, whereby the wrong registration system should have been set due to an incorrect setting services (technical error).

According to § 11 par. 3 of the Act, the operator may not enforce the consent of the person concerned, nor conditional on the threat of denial of the contractual relationship, service, goods or obligation established by the operator by a legally binding act of the European Union, international the treaty by which the Slovak Republic is bound or by law. The operator violated provision of § 11 par. 3 of the Act, when in order to successfully complete the registration required from buyers indicate consent to the processing of personal data for marketing purposes, thereby there was the enforcement or conditional of the consent of the persons concerned by the threat rejection of the contractual relationship, as the inability to register on the website the operator ultimately made the purchase impossible at the operator. It does not matter whether it was an intentional act or a technical error.

The operator during the personal data protection procedure registration settings system therefore, the Office imposed on him a measure to correct the identified deficiencies and the causes of their occurrence and ordered him to ensure that registration on his website was possible even without indicating consent to the processing of personal data for marketing purposes.

9.3.2.5 Disclosure of personal data in draft employment contracts in bulk

sent by e-mail

The Office accepted the submission of the data subject in the matter of unauthorized disclosure of personal data in the draft employment contracts to be circulated by the operator's staff in bulk to their work email addresses. The proposal stated that they had been sent out en masse draft contracts by e-mail contained personal data of the operator's employees including salary data. The submission was assessed as a motion to initiate protection proceedings personal data. The petitioner requested the Office pursuant to § 63 para. 4 of the Act on the Secrecy of Its identity.

To find out the real state of affairs, the office called on the operator to cooperate. Operator processed personal data of employees for the purposes of personnel and payroll and personal data the persons concerned were processed through natural persons who had, in accordance with the law 46

status of beneficiaries. In this particular case, they had access to personal data processed for the given purposes by two authorized persons of the operator, which were demonstrably instructed in the rights, obligations and responsibilities under the law in execution processing operations with the personal data of the data subjects processed for the purposes of personnel and payroll.

The Office had proved that one of the authorized persons had sent it electronically from his e-mail through the WORD annex to the employment contract document of the 53 persons concerned, including their personal data name, surname, residence, birth number, basic salary valid at the time of signing unauthorized disclosure of the personal data of the persons concerned, including a special category of personal data (birth number) for a larger group of employees operator.

The Office found that the rights of the persons concerned whose employment contracts were sent in e-mail communication have not been violated by the systematic procedure of the operator at processing of their personal data or as a result of breach or negligence of obligations of the operator in the field of personnel security. The procedure that occurred

to unauthorized disclosure of personal data of the persons (employees) concerned, was limited to the individual processing operation carried out by the authorized person in breach with instructions on its rights and obligations in the processing of personal data and in conflict with it with the operator's internal regulations. The Office also found that the operator evaluated the case, registered it as a security incident and investigated. The fact that the employee of the operator in the given case acted contrary to the instructions of the authorized person does not relieve the operator of the strict responsibility to guarantee the safety of those processed personal data according to § 19 par. 1 of the Act. Responsible for the security of personal data operator.

On the basis of the collected documents, the Office found a violation of the provisions of Section 19 para. 1 of the Act by sending its employee - the authorized person, electronically to the group employees of the operator as part of the e-mail attachment on employment contracts and employment contracts of the 53 data subjects with their personal data, thereby breaching the obligation protect the processed personal data from unauthorized disclosure. Based on the findings imposed an action on the operator to remedy the identified deficiencies and causes as well as the obligation to inform the Office in writing of their fulfillment. Following on from the stated violation of the provisions of § 19 par. 1 of the Act, the Office initiated proceedings in the second half of 2017 on the imposition of a fine in which the operator was fined for the detected error.

9.3.2.6 Publication of a universally applicable identifier

In 2017, based on the received complaint, the Office conducted proceedings on the protection of personal data in the matter of suspicion of violation of the provisions of the law in the publication of compulsorily published orders on the website of the operator - the municipality. The complaint contained an allegation that that the operator, in the context of the data on the four mandatory published orders, as well as within the text of the orders themselves in the .pdf format, he published the birth number of the person concerned as the ID number

natural person who acted as a supplier.

On the basis of information, documents and evidence gathered during the protection proceedings personal data, the office found that the birth number of the person concerned was published by the operator as an ID number on the grounds that in the information system used by the operator for publication orders, it was necessary to enter the ID number or birth certificate when entering a new supplier into the database

number. Without entering this information, the system did not allow the registration to complete. The operator therefore asked persons who did not have an assigned ID number to provide consent to processing and publication their personal data (including birth number) on the website. Operator after notifying the authorities checked the capabilities of the information system and found that the system administrator did not instruct the operator's staff to use a slash when entering their personal identification number, birth number would not be published.

The operator, the municipality, was based on § 5b of Act no. 211/2000 Coll. obliged to publish exhaustively defined data on completed orders, including name, surname, the permanent residence address of the supplier - natural person and the identification number of the supplier, if any assigned. Act no. 211/2000 Coll. however, no other regulation provided for an obligation publish the operator within the data on completed orders and suppliers also the birth numbers of the supplier. The legal basis for the publication of the person 's birth number in accordance with the provisions of § 9 par. 1 of the Act, the consent of the person concerned could not be

birth number is prohibited. This ban is absolute and cannot be broken even by granting consent of the person concerned to the publication of his or her birth number. The law does not provide for such an exception. The operator violated the provision of § 13 par. 2 of the Act when on its website published the birth number of the person concerned. Operator during personal protection proceedings data published birth number deleted. The Authority therefore imposed a measure on the operator

numbers. According to § 13 par. 2 second sentence of the law to publish a generally applicable identifier, t. j.

that the operator even had the consent of the person concerned to the disclosure of his birth

to remedy the identified shortcomings and their causes only in the part concerning others

shortcomings identified during the personal data protection proceedings which constituted the breach obligations to instruct the entitled persons who published data on mandatory disclosures orders on the operator's website, the obligation to anonymize the birth number before the publication of the relevant documents.

In the first half of 2018, the Office accepted the filing of the affected person against the bank, resp. her

9.3.2.7 Disclosure of personal data in copies of documents by sending an incorrect one address

branch, which he evaluated according to the content as a proposal to initiate proceedings on the protection of personal data data. The petitioner requested a written warrant for her son an application at a bank branch for changes in the disposition of her son's account, and shall be attached to the application were copies of documents containing her personal data and the personal data of her son. Draftswoman subsequently found that her application, including the documents with personal data attached to the application were sent unjustifiably to another company, which therefore contacted it by telephone for the purpose of ascertaining the reason for their delivery. A company employee had it during a phone call

The Office initiated proceedings against the bank regarding the verification of its branch's processing process personal data of the petitioner and her son. In the present proceedings, the Office called on the bank as well as the company to which the petitioner's documents were to have been wrongly sent by the bank's branch, for synergy. The bank processed the personal data of the petitioner and her son as clients in its own ISOU for the purpose of providing banking services in accordance with a special law. Personal information the persons concerned were processed at the bank's branch through the bank's employees, and thus the entitled persons who the bank, as the operator, has demonstrably instructed about the rights and obligations in the processing of personal data. The authorized persons also confirmed with their signature

announce that the company's documents do not belong and subsequently to the petitioner at her address

returned.

that they have been informed of the obligation to protect personal data against misuse or disclosure

unauthorized persons. However, the Office found that when sending a copy of the appellant 's application, including annexes, there was an error by the authorized person of the bank's branch, which in the internal list contacts bank incorrectly traced the delivery address and copies of documents with personal data the appellant and her son were subsequently mistakenly sent to another subsidiary company of the bank, but did not process the personal data of the bank's clients. In connection with the mistake the beneficiary was found in the proceedings that the operator had breached the obligation to protect the personal data of the data subjects processed prior to their unauthorized disclosure in § 19 par. 1 of the Act. Following the findings and proven facts, the Office imposed measures to eliminate the identified deficiencies and the causes of their occurrence.

49

10 REMEDIES AND DECISION-MAKING

Against the decision of the Office in the matter of personal data protection proceedings, against the decision on the imposition of a fine as well as against a decision not to disclose information resp. decision an appeal may be lodged on the non-disclosure of the information - an appeal, whereby the provisions on remedies set out in the Administrative Procedure Code shall apply in the alternative of order. The President of the Office shall decide on the appeals lodged on the basis of recommendations of the Appeals Committee.

In 2017, the President of the Office, as the appellate body, decided on 29 appeals filed against decisions issued in personal data protection proceedings and 8 appeals against decisions imposing a fine. In proceedings on personal data protection, the President of the Office upheld 12 decisions, annulled 15 decisions and referred them back for further proceedings and 2 decisions has changed. In the fine proceedings, it upheld all 8 decisions. In 2017, the President of the Office also decided on one interim measure in the personal data protection procedure, which she confirmed. In 2017, the President of the Office reviewed the out-of-appeal body proceedings 6 final decisions, the reason for initiating an out-of-appeal review proceedings in only two cases where it decided to amend the first-instance decision.

In the period from 01.01.2018 to 24.05.2018 (inclusive), the chairwoman was the first-instance body the Office, as an appellate body, referred for review and decision of 15 appeals, whereas by 24.05.2018 it legally decided on five. In personal data protection proceedings

1 appeal was validly decided during this period, which was rejected

and the decision of the first instance body was upheld. The fine was the subject of the present proceedings period validly decided on 4 appeals, while 3 decisions were confirmed

and 1 changed. During this period, the President of the Office also preliminarily examined one valid one decision. The grounds for an extraordinary appeal were not identified.

During this period, 1 appeal was lodged against the decision imposing a fine, which was withdrawn.

Decision-making in the second instance also affects the decision-making activity of the Office as a liable person according to law no. 211/2000 Coll., In which the Office either makes the required information available or issue a decision not to disclose information resp. decision not to disclose the information partly. In 2017, the President of the Office received 4 such appeals. In two cases confirmed the decision of the first instance body and in two cases annulled and returned the decision for further proceedings. In 2018, in the period defined above, the Office was not in the matter of applications about the information delivered no decomposition.

50

11 RIGHTS OF THE PERSONS CONCERNED AND THEIR LIMITATIONS

The person concerned is defined by law as a natural person to whom personal data relate to that it also grants it certain rights in the processing of personal data. Rights of data subjects belong without distinction to any natural person and are addressed to each operator, as appropriate to his intermediary.

Upon written request, the person concerned shall have the right, in particular, to access from the operator to the personal data processed and the right to object to the processing of personal data. The purpose

The provisions of Section 28 of the Act governing the rights of the persons concerned are to be provided to the persons concerned

in particular, the necessary protection and guarantee them the rights they enjoy as data subjects in the processing their personal data belong. For example, the person concerned has the right to request confirmation whether or not personal data about her are processed, information about the processing of her personal data data in IS in the range of so-called information obligation, information on the source from which the controller has obtained her personal data for processing, a list of her personal data which are destruction of personal data whose purpose of processing has ended and i. The operator is obliged to process the request of the person concerned in writing in law specified 30-day period.

The operator may restrict the right of the data subject (not to comply with his request) only if if it has exercised its right to rectification or liquidation of its incorrect, incomplete or outdated personal data that are being processed and their right to liquidation personal data whose purpose of processing has ended. Limitation of these rights concerned persons is possible only if it follows from a special law or its application would be violated protection of the person concerned or the rights and freedoms of others would be infringed. Restriction the operator is obliged to notify the rights of the person concerned in writing without undue delay the person concerned and the Office.

The Office registers received written notifications of operators about restrictions of rights (non - compliance requests) of the persons concerned. Any notification of a restriction of the rights of the person concerned by the Office examine and assess in relation to whether the operator has restricted the right of the person concerned according to law.

In 2017, the Office registered 262 notifications on the restriction of the rights of data subjects and in 2018 registered 86 notifications of restrictions on the rights of data subjects.

The rights of the persons concerned were most often restricted due to the application of the provisions special laws, such as Act no. 483/2001 Coll. about banks, according to which the bank must keep the data and copies of the client's identity documents for at least five years since the end of the trade. If the persons concerned exercise the right to liquidate their personal

data because, for example, they have ceased to be a bank client and the purpose of the processing has ended, the bank cannot comply with such a request of the data subject and must limit his rights (personal data cannot be destroyed due to the five-year archiving obligation). Affected persons banking institutions most often requested the deletion of personal data on the grounds that clients have duly paid receivables from the bank as an IS operator as well as cancellations all contracts. The persons concerned also request non-contacting the bank, which banks consider as a revocation of the granted consent to the processing of personal data for the purposes of marketing and, in accordance with this applicable requirement, inform the data subject that the appeal consent and take into account the personal data of the data subject for this purpose they will not.

51

The persons concerned also exercised their rights more frequently under the law, who are providers of consumer finance (credit products), installment sales, but also with insurance companies.

52

12 EUROPEAN AND INTERNATIONAL LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE

PROTECTION OF PERSONAL DATA

12.1 European level

Following the adoption of Regulation and Directive 2016/680, the European Commission submitted on 10 January 2017 draft of two legislative acts - draft regulation 45/2001 and decision no. 1247/2002 / EC (hereinafter "the Regulation for the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies") and the draft Regulation e - privacy in order to ensure compliance with a uniform approach to personal data protection throughout the European Union. These regulations will be lex in relation to the Regulation special.

The Regulation directly contains a provision requiring Regulation 45/2001 to be amended adapted to the principles and rules laid down in the Regulation. On May 23, 2018, representatives

The Council and Parliament have agreed on the text of a regulation for the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and will apply from autumn 2018.

As in the Regulation, the Regulation provides for Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies several principles to be followed in data processing and several rights guaranteed individuals whose data are collected. In accordance with the Regulation, institutions and others must authorities to ensure that they provide transparent and easily accessible information on how use personal data, and to envisage clear mechanisms for individuals to apply their rights. The new legal instrument also reaffirms, clarifies and strengthens the role responsible persons within each EU institution and the Supervisor. The goal is also an effort to simplify procedures in this area. For the processing of personal data by EU agencies in the field of law enforcement and judicial cooperation (eg Eurojust), the Regulation applies through a separate chapter. The rules in this chapter are in line with Directive 2016/680 on law enforcement. More specific provisions may be laid down in the founding acts of these agencies rules that take into account their specific circumstances. Europol and the European Public Prosecutor's Office are still excluded from this Regulation. By 30 April 2022 at the latest and every five years thereafter.

The EC shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this Regulation appropriate legislative proposals are attached if necessary.

The forthcoming e-privacy regulation will cover electronic data processing communications and information related to end-user end-devices.

The aim of the draft e-privacy regulation is to ensure strict privacy rules for

Electronic communications data means their content, such as the content of private messages, but also metadata, which include e.g. numbers called, websites visited, geographical location, time of the call or message.

users of electronic communications services and a level playing field

market participants. At the same time, an effective e-privacy directive does not reflect changes in the digital environment
and is therefore no longer a sufficient tool for regulation in this area. This is, for example, the Internet

over-the-top communication services not covered by the e-privacy directive. Current
the e-privacy directive also does not apply to electronic communications services offered
providers operating over the Internet, even though they offer functionally equivalent
service. However, these providers will fall within the scope of the proposed
e-privacy regulations. Providers of these services will be able to adopt the e-privacy regulation
process data from electronic communications only for exhaustively defined reasons, and only if
this will be necessary for the purpose of fulfilling the services they provide, maintaining the quality of services,
fulfillment of legal obligations or the protection of vital interests. Otherwise they will be able to
53
service providers to process electronic communications data only with the consent of the terminal
user. The legislative process for the e-privacy regulation is still ongoing.
12.1.1 Article 29 Working Party of Directive 95/46
WP29 established under Article 29 of Directive 95/46. The members of the working group are leading
representatives of the supervisory authorities for personal data protection of the EU and EEA Member States,
EC representative and supervisor.
WP29 (until May 24, 2018)
□ is the official advisory body of the EC in the field of personal data protection and the main one
an EU-wide forum;
□ issues opinions on EU legal acts and international treaties that concern them
processing of personal data;
□ adopts expert opinions and recommendations if situations arise during data processing,
which the national legislation of the EU Member States does not provide for, if any
to infringe the rights of data subjects in the processing of their personal data;
□ issues recommendations to national supervisory authorities for the protection of personal data
uniform implementation of the articles of Directive 95/46 and already of the Regulation and the Directive
2016/680.

During the evaluated period, nine specialized subgroups were active within WP29
for the interpretation of key institutes and definitions (Key Provisions), specifically a subgroup for:
□ coordination of activities of individual subgroups (Future of Privacy),
□ cooperation between the supervisory authorities of the European Union and international cooperation
(Cooperation),
□ issues related to new technologies and technical aspects of processing
(Technology),
□ transfer of personal data to third countries (International Transfers),
□ processing of personal data for the purposes of border and public order protection (Borders,
Travel and Law Enforcement),
□ processing of personal data by financial institutions (Financial Matters),
□ processing of personal data in the public sector (E-government),
□ Enforcement cooperation.
Prior to the entry into force of the Regulation, a new group dealing with social media was set up
(Social Media Working Group), the creation of which was conditioned by a significant increase in infringements
protection of personal data by social media.
The role of these subgroups is to prepare opinions and working documents for each
areas of personal data processing. The Office actively participated in the meetings of the first five
the above subgroups; he participated in the activities of the remaining subgroups by sending written papers
documents or by written procedure.
During the period under review, WP29 adopted documents, guidelines, which were to help not only
supervisory authorities, but also operators and intermediaries in preparation for the Regulation
and Directive 2016/680; for example:
□ Guidelines on reporting personal data breaches under the Regulation;
□ Guidance on consent under the Regulation;
☐ Guidelines on the right to data portability;

54
Transparency Guidelines under the Regulation;
Guidelines for responsible persons;
Guidance on data protection impact assessment and determining whether
for the purposes of the Regulation, processing "is likely to lead to high risk";
Guidelines on the use and setting of administrative penalties for
Regulations and more.
7 WP29 plenary sessions were held during the period under review. The Office is in the evaluated
participated in 2 plenary sessions.
12.1.2 Committee set up under Article 31 of Directive 95/46
The Committee 31 for the Protection of Individuals with regard to the Processing of Personal Data is set up under
Art. 31 of Directive 95/46. The Committee is an advisory body to the EC and is composed of representatives of the Member
States
EU countries. Its function is to assist the EC in implementing its measures. Committee 31 shall approve or
gives reservations on draft EC documents, in particular those related to them
with cross-border transfer of personal data. Committee 31 shall meet on an ad hoc basis,
usually once a year. The committee did not meet during the period under review.
12.1.3 European Data Protection Supervisor
The Supervisor is an independent EU data protection authority established by Regulation 45/2001.
The role of the Supervisor is
□ monitoring and ensuring the protection of personal data and privacy when institutions
and EU institutions process personal data of individuals

□ advisory work for the EU institutions and bodies on all matters concerning
processing of personal information,
□ monitoring new technologies that may affect the protection of personal data;
the possibility of referring the matter to the Court of Justice under the conditions laid down in the Treaty
European Communities,
□ the possibility of intervening in actions brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities;
□ cooperation with national supervisory authorities and other supervisory authorities with a view to
improve consistency in privacy and more.
The EU has created a number of European large-scale IS, the supervision of which is shared between national ones
data protection authorities and supervisors. In order to ensure high
and a consistent level of protection, national data protection authorities and supervisors shall cooperate
official in the coordination of supervision.
The following IT systems are currently subject to this oversight model:
• Eurodac,
Visa Information System (VIS),
Schengen Information System (SIS),
Customs Information System (CIS),
Internal Market Information System (IMI).
Although there are small differences between the legal bases for these systems, in general
provide that national supervisory authorities and the supervisory officer shall cooperate to ensure that
coordinated supervision. To this end, representatives of national data protection authorities
55
and the Supervisor meet regularly - usually twice a year - to discuss
and the Supervisor meet regularly - usually twice a year - to discuss

12.2.1 Convention Consultative Committee 108

The Consultative Committee established by the Council of Europe on Convention 108 is composed of representatives

Contracting Parties to the Convention, supplemented by observers from other States (Members or

non-members) and international organizations; is responsible for interpreting the provisions

and for improving the implementation of Convention 108 and for drawing up reports, guidelines

and guidelines in areas such as contractual provisions governing protection

data in the transfer of personal data to third parties which did not guarantee an adequate level

data protection or data protection with regard to biometrics.

12.2.2 Council of Europe Ad Hoc Committee on Personal Data Protection (CAHDATA)

Ad hoc Committee established by the Council of Europe in 2013, as an ad hoc committee on the protection of individuals the purpose of which was to end the discussion on the modernization of Convention 108 and to final proposal of the Committee of Ministers.

Three plenary sessions of the committee took place during the period under review. The Office attended two plenary sessions. The last, third plenary session approved the modernization proposal Convention 108, which has now been submitted to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe for approval. The modernization of Convention 108 took place simultaneously on EU soil as well as on soil Council of Europe. As for the Slovak Republic, the Ministry was also involved in the modernization of the Interior of the Slovak Republic, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and European Affairs

Of the Slovak Republic and the Office.

The Office participated in the modernization through continuous comment fulfillment
also play a role vis-à-vis the EU in the framework of the Permanent Representation of its delegates in Brussels, as well as vis-à-vis the Council

Europe in the framework of the Permanent Representation of its delegates in Strasbourg. The Office assessed the consistency of the text

Convention 108 with the forthcoming new Slovak legislation under the law

no. 18/2018, as well as compliance with the Regulation.

The three-year effort to modernize Convention 108 resulted in the adoption of the Protocol

Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe at its 128th session. The adoption of this Protocol was a process

modernization of Convention 108 was completed and the Protocol was submitted on 15-16 June 2018

Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe. This Protocol shall be open for signature at

October 10, 2018 in Strasbourg.

12.3 Law enforcement agencies

Due to the deepening of mutual cooperation and exchange of information between the active authorities several specific institutions have been set up at EU level in criminal proceedings. The basis for their establishment was mainly international legal instruments such as the Europol Convention, the Convention on the Schengen Information System, Convention on the use of information technology at customs purposes and others.

56

The exercise of supervision over the protection of personal data processed in these IS is carried out in close cooperation, either joint supervisory bodies set up by the EC and its bodies or groups for supervisory coordination set up by the supervisor.

Representatives of the Office represented the Slovak Republic in joint supervisory bodies and the Schengen Coordination Coordination Groups for the Schengen Information System II (SCG SIS II), Visa Information System (SCG VIS), Customs Information System (JSA Custom, SCG CIS), Europol (JSB Europol), Eurodac (SCG Eurodac).

12.3.1 Europol Joint Supervisory Body (Europol JSB)

Europol was established by Council Decision No 2009/371 / JHA in order to provide assistance and support the competent authorities of the Member States and facilitate their cooperation in prevention of organized crime, terrorism and other forms of serious crime activities involving two or more Member States and in combating such forms crime. Council Decision No 2009/371 / JHA replaced the Convention establishing the European

police authority established on the basis of Article 3 of the Treaty on European Union. From May 1 2017, the new Europol Regulation no. 2016/794.

In order to ensure the supervision of the processing of personal data by Europol,

Article 34 of Council Decision no. 2009/371 / JHA on the establishment of a European Police Office the Joint Supervisory Body (JSB Europol). In order to establish a joint supervisory body was to provide a platform for cooperation between Member States' supervisory authorities, communication and coordination of their activities. The Joint Supervisory Body shall be responsible for matters concerning the performance and interpretation of Europol's processing activities and the use of personal data, in matters relating to controls carried out independently national supervisory authorities of the Member States or the exercise of the right of access and on issues related to the development of harmonized proposals for common solutions existing problems.

During the period under review, the Office participated in a total of three meetings at which they were discussed Europol issues. Since the entry into force of the new Europol Regulation, the so-called Cooperation Board and in April 2017 the last meeting of the supervisory body over Europol. During this Europol JSB meeting, the following topics were discussed: inspection report, a report on the transfer of knowledge to the new supervisory authority and an exchange of experience concerning trafficking in human beings.

The processing of applications was discussed within the Europol Joint Supervisory Body citizens in exercising their rights, deciding that the persons concerned may be contacted directly by the Supervisor and he is able to process applications in all languages.

Europol's representatives submitted their comments and suggestions on the revision of Regulation 45/2001, noting in particular that some Europol operations could become illegal.

12.3.2 Joint Supervisory Body of the Customs Information System

The Office also oversees the CIS. The legal basis for the CIS, laid down in particular in Council Regulation (EC) no. 515/1997 was amended in 2015 by Regulation (EU) of the European Parliament and of the Council

instead of an annual review of up to 10 years) and the introduction of the option

limit the visibility of new cases to the competent authorities of selected Member States.

The Office is part of the Joint Supervisory Body CIS ("JSA Customs"), which was established

on the basis of Art. 18 of the Convention on the use of information technology for customs purposes as an institution

authorized to supervise the processing of personal data in the CIS. The role of JSA Customs is

in particular the monitoring and application of the provisions of personal data protection legislation,

examining problems which may arise in the operation of the CIS, drawing up proposals for

joint problem-solving as well as opinions on the adequacy of personal data protection measures

data.

The Supervisory Coordination Group is also active in the area of CIS protection

over the Customs Information System (SCG CIS). It is made up of representatives of JSA Customs

and the Supervisor. In order to promote good cooperation with JSA Customs for Customs

its chairman is usually elected chairman of the CIS oversight coordination group, as

it is still the case today. Working meetings of both subgroups are usually coordinated and follow-up

one on top of the other.

One SCG CIS meeting took place in 2017; the content was a presentation on

to-do list and a common framework for controls. He participated in the preparation of the to-do list

and the office.

12.3.3 SCG SIS II Supervision Coordination Working Group

Schengen Information System II, established by Council Decision 2007/533 / JHA

allows members of the designated security forces of the Member States to access

to data on searches for persons and objects that have entered the Schengen Information System

imposed by any Member State and, in specific cases, adequately

records respond.

Schengen Information System II Surveillance Coordination Working Group II (SCG SIS

II) belongs to a group of working groups set up by the Supervisor. Intention
is subject to the supervision of the protection of personal data processed by the European institutions

responsible authority, ie the supervisory officer.

58

The SCG SIS II was established in accordance with Article 46 of the Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council 1987/2006 of 20 December 2006 on the establishment, operation and use of the Schengen acquis second generation information system (SIS II) and Article 62 of Council Decision 2007/533 / JHA of 12 June 2007 on the establishment, operation and use of the second Schengen Information System generation (SIS II). SSG SIS II met twice during the period under review. The subject of the meeting were, in particular, new proposals for the Schengen Information System II, recommendations, issues of logging and interoperability of systems, as well as the election of a new President and Vice-President, Schengen Information System II report and national alert criteria.

12.3.4 Visa Coordination Coordination Working Group for the Visa Information System SCG VIS is another working group that belongs to the group of working groups set up supervisory officer. The Visa Information System (VIS) primarily serves visa authorities for the purpose of examining visa applications, for the purpose of consulting other Member States States, as well as the authorities responsible for carrying out border checks or controls carried out

in the territory of the Member States for the purpose of verifying the visa holder or the authenticity of the visas themselves.

Supervision

the national part of the information system is carried out by the national supervisory authorities, i. j. in the conditions of the Slovak Republic, this supervision is performed by the Office, with the Supervisory Officer checks that the managing authority carries out personal data processing activities in accordance with with Regulation 1987/2006, and whether it also carries out regular audits of personal processing activities data.

The role of this group is to coordinate the supervision of the processing of personal data

at national level. The SCG VIS was set up in accordance with Article 43 of Regulation (EC) No Parliament and of the Council 767/2008 of 9 July 2008 concerning the Visa Information System (VIS) and the exchange of data between Member States on short stay-visas (VIS Regulation).

An implementation report was presented at the first SCG VIS meeting

During the period under review, the Office participated in two SCG VIS meetings.

Art. 41 of the VIS Regulation, which governs the supervision of the VIS. The message was sent to everyone members of the Schengen area, reflecting the experience of the supervisory authorities

Member States with controls at national level. The second meeting of the SCG VIS was focused on in particular to discuss with the Commission and eu-LISA on current developments, security training and data protection for the staff of those authorities which have access to the VIS, an activity report VIS group for the years 2015-2016.

12.3.5 Eurodac Supervisory Coordination Working Group

Eurodac was established by Council Regulation (EC) No 2725/2000, which concerns the establishment the "Eurodac" fingerprint comparison system for the effective application of the Dublin Convention, but in 2013 a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council (EU) was no. 603/2013 on the establishment of Eurodac for the comparison of fingerprints with effect repealed the original 2000 Regulation as of 20 July 2015. Pursuant to this Regulation 603/2013

The purpose of the system, which has been in operation since January 2003, is to assist EU Member States in determining which Member State should be responsible for examining a particular application for asylum.

Meetings of the Eurodac SKD are in charge of the Supervisor, who also according to the Regulation 45/2001 supervises the central part of the system and coordinates the activities of national ones supervisory authorities.

During the period under review, the Authority participated in two SCG Eurodac meetings. At the first meeting the Commission's presentation on Eurodac 2016 was presented and the Vice-President was elected groups. The second session focused on current developments (presentation and discussion with eu-LISA), draft questionnaire on the rights of data subjects, deletion of alerts in the system

Eurodac, a special search in Eurodac, access options for group members

information as well as issues related to the development of the situation at national levels.

12.3.6 Supervisory Coordination Working Group for the Internal Market Information System

The purpose of the Supervisory Coordination Working Group for the Internal Market Information System (hereinafter

only "SKD IMI") is the coordination and supervision of the processing of personal data in the IS for

internal market. This processing is carried out on the basis of Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012

on administrative cooperation through the Internal Market Information System

and repealing Commission Decision 2008/49 / EC. Regulation 2012/1024 allows for an exchange

59

information in administrative areas, such as the recognition of professional qualifications, or in exercising patients 'rights in cross-border healthcare.

12.3.7 European Commission Expert Working Group on Regulation and Directive 2016/680

The expert group set up by the EC on the Regulation and Directive 2016/680 was established in the second

mid-2016 in order to assist the EC in the implementation of newly adopted legal acts

EU. In the context of the Regulation, the agenda of the subgroup focuses on clarifying the conditions

as possible at national level in the framework of personal data protection legislation

to achieve an effective and uniform application of the Regulation. Regarding the implementation of the Directive

2016/680, the work of the group is aimed at ensuring a high level of implementation

protection of the rights of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data for the purpose of criminal proceedings,

uninterrupted data exchange and effective police and judicial cooperation.

The members of the expert working group are experts from EU Member States as well as country representatives

EEA (Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway) and Switzerland. This platform enables efficient

exchange of information between Member States on ongoing legislative changes

with the application of the Regulation and provides a space for sharing experience with the solution

individual legal issues.

The expert working group met 10 times in the evaluated period. The Office participated in 2 meetings.

The Office participated in the work of the expert group by participating in meetings devoted to the topic Regulation applications. The agenda of the meetings focused mainly on the issue preparation of the application of the Regulation, conditions applicable to the consent of the child in connection with information society services, processing of personal data relating to the admission of guilt for criminal offenses and offenses, notification of personal data breaches, processing personal data in the framework of activities outside the scope of EU law, restrictions on rights the person concerned and others.

60

13 INTERNATIONAL MEETINGS WITH PARTNER BODIES

SUPERVISION

13.1 Meeting of representatives of supervisory authorities for personal data protection

Vyšehrad group

In March 2017, a Meeting of Representatives of the Supervisory Authorities for Protection was held in Cracow personal data of the Visegrad Group. It was the second meeting in a row.

The subject of the meeting was mutual information on the current state of the legislative process implementation of the Regulation, reorganization of supervisory authorities in connection with the application Regulations and there was also a detailed discussion on specific issues related to the selected the institutes of the Regulation. A conference organized by the Polish side was also discussed due to a public debate on the independence of the supervisor

Polish professional and political public. The issues were also discussed together supervisory action, issues of practical solutions in reporting breaches of protection as well as the choice of data protection impact assessment methodology (eg use of ISO standards). The meeting also included a seminar on the practical implementation of cooperation between supervisory authorities.

13.2 II. International Conference of Municipal Police of the Visegrad Four
In Brno in May 2017 II. International Conference of Vyšehrad Municipal Police

fours. A representative of the Office spoke on the new Data Protection Regulation in context cyber security. The conference focused on data protection issues and the duties of the Municipal Police in relation to the protection of the state's cyberspace.

13.3 E-Volution of Data Protection Conference

In September 2017, the E-Volution of Data Protection Conference took place in Estonia. The entire the conference was held in the spirit of the Regulation. On the protection and balancing of fundamental human rights therefore, the reform of personal data protection in Europe, as well as the ruling of the Court of Justice, are also worthwhile European Union. The court even assessed the application for the first time from a personal data protection perspective EU-Canada PNR international agreement (Directive of the European Parliament and of the (EU) 2016/681 of 27 April 2016 on the use of Passenger Name Record (PNR) data for purposes prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offenses and serious crime).

13.4 Bilateral meeting with representatives of the Japanese supervisory authority

At the beginning of December 2017, the Office welcomed representatives from Personal Information Protection

Commission of Japan (hereinafter referred to as "the Commission"). The main purpose of the meeting was to inform representatives of the Office's activities and activities and to provide them with information on the proceedings and inspections carried out by the Office, as the commission set up in January 2016 is authorized exercise control over private sector businesses

in Japan. Personal data protection supervision has so far been divided and managed in Japan 16 relevant ministries, but is currently centralized to the commission along with the full enforcing the amended Personal Data Protection Act of May this year.

61

13.5 IT between paragraphs

An inter-paragraph IT conference was held in Prague in February and October 2017 and in April 2018.

The conference in February 2017 focused on the protection of personal data in the light

Regulations in the IT environment, on the subject of a single digital Europe and the directive on payments

services. The conference provided a comprehensive view of the issue of personal data protection and data management in the IT environment, with an emphasis on design solutions for implementation and procedures whether in the field of law, technology, including practical demonstrations.

The conference in October 2017 focused on the area of personal data protection in context

Regulations in the IT environment, on the topic

practical implications of the new legislation

to the business environment.

The conference in April 2018 focused on the national regulation of the Czech Republic in the field of protection personal data, in particular the rights of data subjects and the effects of the Regulation on internal processes controller and the related personal data protection policy.

13.6 QuBit Conference

In April 2017 and April 2018, the QuBit conference was held in Prague. The conference in 2017 was focused primarily on cyber security and was attended by 175 security cybersecurity experts from 21 countries around the world. Conference in April 2018 it focused on cyber security, on new cyber attacks,

options for defense and private sector practices, applying the latest knowledge in this field areas in the development of new technologies. A representative of the Office led a workshop on GDPR in practice.

The workshop focused on the practical application of the impact assessment that was presented at documents of the decree on the procedure for impact assessment, which is the responsibility of the Office.

13.7 III. International Conference of Municipal Police

In April 2018, the III. International Conference of Municipal Police.

A representative of the Office also spoke at the conference on "New legislation in the field of protection personal data / selected aspects". The conference was focused on building cooperation between institutions and commercial entities, promoting meetings and exchanges of experience between representatives of municipal and city police, representatives of state administration and local governments, partner organizations, the integrated rescue system and academia.

ASSESSMENT OF THE PROTECTION STATUS OF PERSONAL PROTECTION

IN THE PERIOD FROM 1 JANUARY 2017 TO MAY 24, 2018

DATA

In the period under review, the interest in the protection of personal data can be assessed as more than positive, mainly due to the approaching deadline for the application of the Regulation and the law no. 18/2018 Coll. For this reason, both operators, intermediaries and the general public and the professional public were much more interested in this issue.

Regarding information and awareness of the general public about personal data and their protection to see a drastic shift towards informing the general public; people are interested in their personal data, protect them and operators ask how and why their personal data process. This trend is also confirmed by the operators, who also in various common forums and platforms inform participants on behalf of the Office that they are increasingly confronted with people's questions and that they must be able to justify on the basis of which they process the person's personal data.

People are much more aware of their rights and are not afraid to demand their fulfillment in practice.

Personal data is becoming an important business item and is the focus of many companies, the more care must be taken to ensure their rigorous protection and lawful processing.

From these findings, the following can be formulated for some sectors and areas of life recommendations:

Parents and children as affected persons

Children and their personal data are increasingly coming to the forefront of companies' interests, and with that hand in hand in the digital age and the processing of their personal data, it is therefore extremely important already in the family, before starting school, the children were guided by their parents to take care of protection their personal data so as not to provide information about themselves and their parents or siblings to someone they don't know. Until a child enters school, the parent is primarily the one who the child is can and should learn the right habits, not only to be able to ask and thank, but also to

knew that his data were his and that a stranger has no right to require them to know that he can turn to his parents in this area of life as well, so that he knows how to deal with such a situation preserve.

School

Children spend a lot of time at school, school is their second home, it is essential that they also go to school children also received information on the protection of personal data in various subjects, about what kind may have consequences if they recklessly provide personal data. It is necessary to make this more attention was paid to the issue within appropriately selected subjects.

Development and research

The protection of personal data is gradually reaching all areas of life, it is no longer just about that the person provides his personal data to the bank, school, office. Privacy has be in the spotlight the moment we download a mobile application to our phone when we decide to use smart technologies available to individuals at home elements of the household, but for the household as a whole, when we decide to have a smart watch, in which we enter data about our health. The protection of personal data is gradually overlapping many activities of everyday life, so it is also appropriate for companies to take action followed the principles of privacy by design and privacy by default when developing applications and programs. On the other hand, it is also up to smart technology users as they let them steal

privacy, or whether they make an effort and read the terms of personal data processing before by entering them into the application.

64

63