Date

2018-10-31

Summary of results from

the review of data protection agents in public

and private sector

Summary of the result

The audit shows that the majority of the audited authorities and

the private actors have notified and appointed a data protection officer in time.

The Data Inspectorate has found deficiencies in about 16 percent of those just over

350 activities included in the review. There is a marginal difference in

compliance with the rules between authorities and private actors.

Of a total of 66 supervisory cases, the Data Inspectorate has decided to grant

reprimand in 57 cases. In two cases, the supervised entities have received an injunction and

seven cases have been closed without action.

Introduction

At the end of May 2018, the Data Inspectorate began an investigation of whether

data protection representatives have been appointed in a number of activities. This is a

compilation of the results of the audit.

According to Article 37 of the Data Protection Regulation1 (GDPR) is an authority or a

public body obliged to appoint a data protection officer. Also private actors

has such an obligation under the article if the core business involves one

regular, systematic monitoring of individuals on a large scale

or that the core business involves a treatment of the sensitive

personal data or information on crime on a large scale. According to the same

article, there is also an obligation to notify the data protection officer

contact information for the Data Inspectorate.

Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and whether the free movement of such information on the repeal of Directive 95/46 / EC (General data protection regulation)

Postal address: Box 8114, 104 20 Stockholm

Website: www.datainspektionen.se

E-mail: datainspektionen@datainspektionen.se

Phone: 08-657 61 00

1 (6)

2 (6)

The cases handled within the framework of the supervisory project can be divided into two categories: state administrative authorities and private actors. The private the players represent six industries. These are banks, telecom operators, insurance companies, trade unions, public transport companies and care providers. A total of 362 businesses were inspected, 66 businesses were inspected more specifically, of which 35 authorities and 31 private actors.2

Reporting of the results of the supervision

The compilation of supervisory cases shows that there are four different types of errands. The first category is the items that are done right from the beginning and there appointed and notified data protection representative no later than 25 May 2018. It the second category is objects that have been designated by a data protection officer and / or notified contact information to the Data Inspectorate only after 25 May 2018 but during the review ie. before the inspection made a supervisory decision. The third category are the objects that still at the time of supervisory decisions do not appointed a data protection officer and / or communicated the contact details to

The Data Inspectorate. In addition, there is a fourth category that includes a case where the inspectorate has determined that there is no obligation for the object to appoint a data protection officer.

Supervision cases in categories one and four have been closed without action. They have either done right from the beginning or the Data Inspectorate has found that there is no obligation to appoint a data protection officer for it personal data controller. Seven of the supervisory cases fall into this category.

In addition, all the activities that are included in the data and there

The Data Inspectorate has chosen not to initiate a special supervisory case for this one category. A total of 303 activities out of the 352 included in the data

2

belonging to this category.

The selection process started with the project team identifying over 400 activities for which the starting point was that there was an obligation to appoint one data protection officer. Of these over 400 operations, the Swedish Data Inspectorate has selected 362 pieces checked against the notifications by data protection officers that the inspection received. The 66 activities that were finally examined in special supervisory matters are those where the report has not been made to the inspectorate at the time of the review initiated. As shown below under the results of the supervision, there are a couple of the supervised entities which, despite the review of the notifications, have shown that they have designated and notified a representative in time.

3 (6)

Cases in category two have ended with the supervised objects being assigned separately reprimand for the infringement. Not having appointed and announced data protection representatives to the Data Inspectorate may cause an administrative penalty fee.3 The main reason why the decisions stopped at one

reprimand instead of an administrative penalty fee is the relatively short time
which has expired since May 25, 2018.
In total, there are 57 cases in category two and which are distributed according to
following;
31 authorities
3 banks
4 insurance companies
1 public transport company
4 telecom operators
12 unions
2 private care providers.
Cases in category three, ie. the two objects still at the time of
supervisory decisions have not appointed a data protection officer and / or notified
contact information to the Data Inspectorate, has ended with that
the supervised entities were each assigned an injunction for the infringement.
Conclusions on compliance with the rules
It is interesting to compare how well the authorities and the six different ones
industries follow the requirement to appoint a data protection officer in the light of the soon
over 350 authorities and private actors that the Data Inspectorate has been involved in

the selection process. It gives an indication of both what it looks like in total and how well the authorities as a group and the respective industry follow the requirement to appoint a data protection officer.

Of the objects included in the sample, the Data Inspectorate has found deficiencies in 16.3% (59 of 362) of the just over 350 activities included in the review. Distributed by each category and industry, it looks like this:

3

Authorities: Of the 210 authorities that were included in the sample have

The Data Inspectorate found deficiencies in 33 cases. In percent corresponds to the number of cases with deficiencies about 16 percent.

П

Private actors: of the 152 private actors that were included in the sample have

The Data Inspectorate found deficiencies in 26 cases. In percent corresponds to the number of cases with deficiencies just over 17 percent.

Article 83 p. 4 (a) the Data Protection Ordinance and ch. 6 § 2 of the law (2018: 218) on additional provisions to the EU Data Protection Regulation

4 (6)

The private actors are distributed as follows:

Banks: Of the 40 banks that were included in the sample have

The Data Inspectorate found deficiencies in 3 cases.

Insurance companies: Of the 42 insurance companies that were included in the sample

The Data Inspectorate has found deficiencies in 4 cases.

Public transport: Of the 8 companies in public transport that were included in In the sample, the Data Inspectorate has found deficiencies in 1 case. Telecom operators: Of the 8 telecom operators that were included in the sample The Data Inspectorate found deficiencies in 4 cases. Trade unions: Of the 45 that were included in the sample, the Data Inspectorate has found deficiencies in 12 cases. Private care providers: of the 9 private care providers that were included in the sample the Data Inspectorate has found deficiencies in 2 cases. Of the activities that have been examined specifically in supervisory matters can The Data Inspectorate states that very many have chosen to comply requirements during the ongoing review. Only in two cases does it remain shortcomings, which led to injunctions. It can also be stated that the difference in compliance between rules authorities and private actors are marginal. Some industries stand out however, negative4. This applies especially to telecom operators where four out of eight companies did not live up to the requirements. Trade unions also stand out negatively in a comparison with the cut. / The project group Appendices:

1. Overview of supervised objects and corrective authority, etc.

4

Given that the number of objects in certain categories is relatively low, there are reason to be cautious in interpreting the percentage distribution.

DI-2018-8754

DI-2018-8755

DI-2018-8756

DI-2018-8757

DI-2018-8759
DI-2018-8760
DI-2018-8761
Measure
Reprimand
No action
No action
Reprimand
Reprimand
Order
Order
Reprimand
Reprimand
DI-2018-8762
DI-2018-8763
Reprimand
Reprimand
The Board against Discrimination
The Patent Ombudsman Board
The Regional Ethics Review Board in Gothenburg
The Regional Ethics Review Board in Linköping
The Regional Ethics Review Board in Stockholm
The Regional Ethics Review Board in Umeå
The Legal Aid Authority
The Sami School Board
State Liability Committee

State Center for Architecture and Design
State Defense History Museums
State Accident Investigation Board
DI-2018-8764
DI-2018-8765
DI-2018-8766
DI-2018-8767
DI-2018-8775
DI-2018-8776
Reprimand
Statens servicecenter
The Swedish Damages Regulatory Board
DI-2018-8777
DI-2018-8778
Reprimand

Reprimand
State Office
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences
DI-2018-8779
DI-2018-8780
Reprimand
Reprimand
Örebro University
DI-2018-8781
Reprimand
Art subject
The Royal Library
The Royal Academy of Fine Arts
The Royal Academy of Music in Stockholm
Mid Sweden University
The Authority for Participation
Agency for Family Law and
parental support
The Agency for Cultural Analysis
The Authority for Accessible Media
DI-2018-8769
DI-2018-8770
DI-2018-8771
DI-2018-8772
DI-2018-8773
DI-2018-8774

Reprimand
Reprimand
6 (6)
Private actors
Case number
Measure
DI-2018-8783
DI-2018-8785
DI-2018-8786
Reprimand
No action
DARAG Försäkring AB
Solid Försäkringsaktiebolag
DI-2018-8789
DI-2018-8790
DI-2018-8791
DI-2018-8792
DI-2018-8793
Public transport
Norrbottens busstrafik AB
DI-2018-8794

No action
DI-2018-8795
DI-2018-8796
No action
Reprimand
DI-2018-8797
DI-2018-8798
DI-2018-8799
DI-2018-8800
DI-2018-8801
Reprimand
Reprimand
No action
Reprimand
Reprimand
DI-2018-8803
DI-2018-8804
DI-2018-8806
DI-2018-8807
DI-2018-8808
DI-2018-8812
DI-2018-8813
Reprimand
Reprimand
Reprimand
Reprimand

Reprimand
Reprimand
Reprimand
Reprimand
Reprimand
DI-2018-8814
DI-2018-8815
DI-2018-8816
DI-2018-8818
Reprimand
Reprimand
Reprimand
No action
DI-2018-8819
DI-2018-8820
Reprimand
Reprimand
Banks
Forex bank limited liability company
Resource bank limited liability company
Tjusbygdens Sparbank bankaktiebolag
Insurance company
Danica Pension Försäkringsaktiebolag
ldun Liv Försäkring AB
Accept Försäkringsaktiebolag
Västtrafik AB

Svealandstrafiken AB
Telecom operators
Tele2
Telia Sonera Sweden
A3 Privat AB
Fello AB
My Beat
Trade union
FTF - the union for insurance and finance
SLFF Swedish train drivers' association
Sweden's university teachers and researchers
Swedish Veterinary Association
SACO - the association for traffic and railways
Sweden's architects
The National Association of Sellers
Swedish Dental Association
The trade union for academics in
societal professions
National Association of Firefighters
The Church's Academic Association
Hotel & restaurant union
Finansförbundet
Private care providers
Barnsjukhuset Martina AB
Barnbördshuset i Stockholm AB
DI-2018-8809

Reprimand	
Reprimand	
Reprimand	
Reprimand	
Reprimand	

DI-2018-8811

Reprimand