

Decision

Diary no

2020-12-17

DI-2019-13114

Your diary no

8-3407

Tax Agency

Unit 6800

171 94 Solna

Supervision according to the Criminal Data Act (2018:1177) –

The Tax Agency's procedures for handling

personal data incidents

Table of Contents

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's decision..... 2

Statement of the supervisory case..... 3

Applicable regulations..... 4

Justification of the decision..... 6

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's review..... 6

Procedures for detecting personal data incidents..... 7

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment..... 8

Procedures for handling personal data incidents..... 9

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment..... 9

Procedures for documentation of personal data incidents..... 10

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment..... 11

Information and training regarding personal data incidents..... 11

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment..... 12

Postal address: Box 8114, 104 20 Stockholm

Website: www.datainspektionen.se

E-mail: datainspektionen@datainspektionen.se

Telephone: 08-657 61 00

1 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's decision

The Swedish Data Protection Authority announces the following recommendations with the support of ch. 5.

Section 6 of the Criminal Data Act (2018:1177):

1.

The Swedish Tax Agency should regularly evaluate the effectiveness of the measures taken
the security measures to detect personal data incidents and
if necessary revise these to maintain adequate protection of
personal data.

2. The Tax Agency should regularly check that the routines for handling
of personal data incidents are followed.

3. The Tax Agency should regularly check that the internal routines for
documentation of personal data incidents is followed.

4. The Tax Agency should provide its employees with ongoing information and
recurrent training in the handling of personal data incidents
and about the reporting obligation.

The Swedish Data Protection Authority closes the case.

2 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

Account of the supervisory matter

The obligation of the personal data controller – i.e. private and public actors - to report certain personal data incidents to the Swedish Data Protection Authority was introduced on 25 May 2018 through the Data Protection Regulation¹ (GDPR).

The corresponding notification obligation was introduced on 1 August 2018 in the crime data act (BDL) for so-called competent authorities.² The obligation to reporting personal data incidents (hereinafter referred to as incident) aims to strengthen privacy protection by the Data Inspectorate receiving information about the incident and may choose to take action when the inspection judges that it is needed for the personal data controller to handle the incident in one go satisfactory way and take measures to prevent something like that occurs again.

A personal data incident is according to ch. 1 § 6 BDL a security incident which leads to accidental or unlawful destruction, loss or alteration, or unauthorized disclosure of or unauthorized access to personal data. IN the preparatory work for the law states that it is usually an unplanned one event that affects the security of personal data in a negative way and which entail serious consequences for the protection of the data.³ One personal data incident can be, for example, that personal data has been sent to the wrong recipient, that access to the personal data has been lost, that computer equipment that stores personal data has been lost or stolen, that someone inside or outside the organization accesses information like that lacks authorization to.

A personal data incident that is not quickly and appropriately addressed can entail risks for the data subject's rights or freedoms. An incident can

lead to physical, material or immaterial damage through, for example

REGULATION (EU) 2016/679 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on that free flow of such data and on the repeal of Directive 95/46/EC (general data protection regulation).

2 A competent authority is according to ch. 1 § 6 BDL an authority that processes personal data for the purpose of preventing, preventing or detecting criminal activity, investigate or prosecuting offences, enforcing criminal penalties or maintaining public order and security.

3 Prop.2017/18:232 p. 438

1

3 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

discrimination, identity theft, identity fraud, damaged reputation, financial loss and breach of confidentiality or confidentiality.

There can be many reasons why a personal data incident occurs. Of Datainspektionen's report series Reported personal data incidents under period May 2018 - December 2019 it appears that the most common causes behind the reported incidents was i.a. the human factor, technical errors, antagonistic attacks as well as deficiencies in organizational routines or processes.⁴

The Data Inspectorate has initiated this supervisory case against the Swedish Tax Agency with the aim of check whether the authority has procedures in place to detect personal data incidents and whether the authority has and has had routines for to handle incidents according to the Criminal Data Act. The review also includes that check whether the Swedish Tax Agency has routines for documenting incidents

which meets the requirements of the Criminal Data Ordinance (BDF) and if the authority has carried out information and training initiatives around personal data incidents.

The inspection began with a letter to the Tax Agency on 4 December 2019 and was followed up with the request for completion on March 4, 2020.

The authority's response to the supervisory letter was received on 27 January 2020 and the supplement was received on March 25, 2020.

Applicable regulations

The person in charge of personal data must according to ch. 3. § 2 BDL, by appropriate means technical and organizational measures, ensure and be able to demonstrate that the processing of personal data is constitutional and that it data subject's rights are protected. This means that competent authorities, by means of these measures, shall not only ensure that the data protection regulations are followed but must also be able to demonstrate that this is the case. Which technical and organizational measures required to protect personal data is regulated in ch. 3. § 8 BDL.

See the Swedish Data Protection Authority's report series on Reported personal data incidents 2018 (Datainspektionen's report 2019:1) p 7 f; Reported personal data incidents January September 2019 (Data inspection report 2019:3) p.10 f. and Reported personal data incidents 2019 (Datainspektionen's report 2020:2) p. 12 f.

4

4 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

In the preparatory work for the law, it is stated that organizational measures referred to in § 2 are i.a. to have internal strategies for data protection, to inform and educate

the staff and to ensure a clear division of responsibilities. Measures such as taken to show that the processing is constitutional can e.g. be documentation of IT systems, treatments and measures taken and technical traceability through logging and log follow-up. What actions that must be taken may be decided after an assessment in each individual case.⁵ The measures must reviewed and updated as necessary. The actions that it personal data controller must take according to this provision must according to ch. 3 § 1 BDF be reasonable taking into account the nature, scope, context and purpose and the particular risks of the processing.

Of ch. 3 § 8 BDL states that the person in charge of personal data must take appropriate technical and organizational measures to protect them personal data that is processed, especially against unauthorized or unauthorized persons processing and against loss, destruction or other accidental damage. IN the preparatory work for the Crime Data Act states that the security must include equipment access protection, data media control, storage control, user control, access control, communication control, input control, transport control, recovery, operational security and data integrity. This one However, the enumeration is not exhaustive. As an example of organizational security measures may include the establishment of a security policy, checks and follow-up of security, training in data security and information about the importance of following current safety procedures. Routines for notification and follow-up of personal data incidents also constitute such actions.⁶

What circumstances should be considered to achieve an appropriate level of protection is regulated in ch. 3. § 11 BDF. The measures must achieve a level of security which is appropriate taking into account the technical possibilities, the costs of

the measures, the nature, extent, context and purpose of the processing, as well as the particular risks of the treatment. Special consideration should be given in which extent to which sensitive personal data is processed and how privacy-sensitive other personal data processed are.⁷ Violation of regulations i

5

6

7

Prop. 2017/18:232 p. 453

Prop. 2017/18:232 p. 457

Prop. 2017/18:232 p. 189 f.

5 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

3 ch. §§ 2 and 8 BDL can lead to penalty fees according to ch. 6. 1 § 2 BDL.

The person in charge of personal data must according to ch. 3. § 14 BDF document all

personal data incidents. The documentation must report the circumstances

about the incident, its effects and the measures taken as a result

of that. The personal data controller must document all incidents

incidents regardless of whether it must be reported to the Data Protection Authority or not.⁸

The documentation must enable the supervisory authority to

check compliance with the current provision. Failure to

documenting personal data incidents may result in penalty fees

according to ch. 6 § 1 BDL.

A personal data incident must also, according to ch. 3 § 9 BDL, reported to

Datainspektionen no later than 72 hours after the personal data controller

became aware of the incident. A report does not need to be made if it is

unlikely that the incident has caused or will cause any risk

for improper intrusion into the data subject's personal privacy. Of ch. 3 Section 10

BDL states that the person in charge of personal data must inform it in certain cases

data subjects affected by the incident. Failure to report a

personal data incident to the Swedish Data Protection Authority can lead to administrative

penalty fees according to ch. 6 § 1 BDL.9

Justification of the decision

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's review

In this supervisory matter, the Swedish Data Protection Authority has to take a position on

The Swedish Tax Agency has documented procedures for detection

personal data incidents according to the Criminal Data Act and if the authority has

and has had routines for handling incidents since the BDL came into force.

The review also covers the issue of compliance with the requirement for

documentation of incidents in ch. 3 § 14 BDF. In addition, shall

The Swedish Data Protection Authority will take a decision on whether the Swedish Tax Agency has carried out

Prop. 2017/18:232 p. 198

Liability for violations is strict. Thus, neither intent nor negligence is required to

sanction fee must be leviable, see prop. 2017/18:232 p. 481.

8

9

6 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

information and training efforts for its employees with a focus on

handling of personal data incidents according to BDL.

The review does not cover the content of the routines or training efforts

but is focused on checking that the reviewing authority has routines in place and that it has carried out training efforts for the employees regarding personal data incidents. The review includes however, if the authority's procedures contain instructions to document them information required under the Criminal Data Ordinance.

Procedures for detecting personal data incidents

The personal data that competent authorities handle within the framework of their law enforcement and criminal investigation activities are largely off sensitive and privacy-sensitive nature. The nature of the business sets high standards demands on the law enforcement authorities' ability to protect them information was recorded through the necessary protective measures in order to, among other things, prevent an incident from occurring.

The obligation to report personal data incidents according to ch. 3 § 9 BDL shall be interpreted in the light of the general requirements to take appropriate technical and organizational measures, to ensure appropriate security for personal data, which is prescribed in ch. 3 Sections 2 and 8. An ability to quickly detecting and reporting an incident is a key factor. Because they the law enforcement authorities must be able to live up to the reporting requirement, they must have internal procedures and technical capabilities for to detect an incident.

Based on the needs of the business and with the support of risk and vulnerability analyses competent authorities can identify the areas where there is a greater risk that an incident may occur. Based on the analyses, the authorities can then use various instruments to detect a security threat. These can be both technical and organizational measures. The starting point is that they the security measures taken must provide sufficient protection and that incidents do not

shall occur.

Examples of technical measures include intrusion detectors that automatically analyzes and detects data breaches and use of log analysis tools to be able to detect unauthorized access (log deviations). An increased insight into the business's "normal" network

7 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

traffic patterns help identify things that deviate from the normal

the traffic picture against, for example, servers, applications or data files.

Organizational measures can, for example, be the adoption of internal strategies for data protection relating to internal rules, guidelines, routines and various types of steering documents and policy documents.¹⁰ Guidelines and rules for handling of personal data, routines for incident management and log follow-up¹¹ constitute examples of such strategies. Periodic follow-up of assigned permissions are another example of organizational action. In a competent authority, there must be procedures for allocation, change, removal and regular control of authorizations.¹² Information to and training of staff about the incident management rules and procedures to be followed are also examples of such measures.

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment

The Swedish Tax Agency has essentially stated the following. The personal data incidents which is detected and reported is based on the individual manager and the employee is observant and has the ability and knowledge to be able to identify a suspected personal data incident. All managers and employees have a responsibility to report suspicions

personal data incidents. Information about what might be a suspect
personal data incident has been communicated to all managers and
employee at the tax crime unit (SBE). The Tax Agency further states that
the authority has implemented organizational and technical procedures such as
log follow-up and authorization assignment. Regarding technical solutions
stated that the central computer systems for the officers of the tax crime unit
is the Swedish Tax Agency's criminal investigation support (RIF BU) for the criminal investigator
activities (pre-investigation activities) and the Swedish Tax Agency
intelligence register (SKUR) for intelligence operations. RIF BU is
built and designed in such a way that it has several technical routines
to counter personal data incidents. Regarding organizational
measures, it appears that access to information and permissions are controlled
through the use of various authorization control systems and authorization cards.

The authorization control system has records of all users and their
authorizations and transactions against which the system is continuously checked against
Crime Data Act - Partial report of the Inquiry into the 2016 data protection directive Stockholm
2017, SOU 2017:29 p. 302

11 Competent authorities must ensure that there are routines for log follow-up, see prop.
2017/18:232 p. 455 f.

12 3 ch. § 6 BDL and supplementary provisions in ch. 3. § 6 BDF

10

8 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

the registry. In addition, the Swedish Tax Agency during 2018-2019 trained and
informed employees and managers within the tax crime unit i

data protection issues. All employees have received information in particular about e.g.

how a personal data incident should be reported and what support is available for

to report and assess personal data incidents.

The Data Inspectorate can state that the Swedish Tax Agency has routines to

detect personal data incidents on the spot.

The duty to take security measures to detect

personal data incidents are not tied to a specific time but the actions

must be continuously reviewed and, if necessary, changed. In order for the Swedish Tax Agency to

be able to maintain a sufficient level of protection of personal data over time

recommends the Data Inspectorate, with the support of ch. 5. § 6 BDL, that

the authority regularly evaluates the effectiveness of those taken

the security measures to detect personal data incidents and that

the authority updates these if necessary.

Procedures for handling personal data incidents

In order to live up to the requirements for organizational measures in ch. 3. Section 8

BDL, the personal data controller must have documented internal routines that

describes the process to be followed when an incident has been detected or

occurred, including how the incident will be contained, managed and recovered,

as well as how the risk assessment should be carried out and how the incident should be reported internally

and to the Swedish Data Protection Authority. The routines must include, among other things, what a

personal data incident is/can be, when an incident needs to be reported, and

to whom, what must be documented, the distribution of responsibilities and which

information that should be provided within the framework of notification to

The Swedish Data Protection Authority.

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's control of procedures for handling

personal data incidents refer to the time from the entry into force of the Criminal Data Act

i.e. on August 1, 2018.

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment

The Swedish Tax Agency has, among other things, stated the following. The authority has routines/guidelines

to report and handle detected personal data incidents. The boss

or employees who discover a suspicious personal data incident within

9 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

SBE must report this in the Swedish Tax Agency's User Support or the IT portal via

one

e-service. SBE has its own entry in User Support and the IT portal called

"Personal data incident according to the Criminal Data Act (SBE)". The Swedish Tax Agency has, among other things,

submitted Routine personal data incidents dated 2019-05-09 as well as

Complementary internal routine at SBE for reporting of

personal data incidents dated 2019-08-13 which supplements it

the aforementioned routine. The Tax Agency states that the authority's Routine

personal data incidents are primarily produced for the data protection regulation,

but also takes BDL and BDF into account. The Tax Agency further states that

the authority in May 2018 established a support to identify, report,

assess and manage personal data incidents (Report 2018-05-18

Data Protection Regulation Handling Personal Data Incidents). The report

intended to support the Swedish Tax Agency's operations in the event of personal data incidents

according to the data protection regulation and to support the operations at

the tax crime unit in the event of personal data incidents according to the Criminal Data Act.

The Tax Agency adopted the document Routine on 26 November 2018

personal data incidents (updated on 9 May 2019 and 30

December 2019). The Swedish Tax Agency states that there were special procedures/guidelines for handling personal data incidents and a digital management system for reported personal data incidents in place when the BDL became applicable August 1, 2018.

Taking into account the submitted documents and what appeared in case, the Data Inspection Authority states that the Swedish Tax Agency from that time the crime data act entered into force has had and has routines to deal with personal data incidents on site.

To be able to handle detected personal data incidents correctly and counteract its effects and risks for the data subjects' personal lives integrity is important. The Swedish Data Protection Authority therefore recommends, with the support of 5 ch. § 6 BDL, that the Swedish Tax Agency regularly checks that the routines for handling of personal data incidents is followed.

Procedures for documentation of personal data incidents

A prerequisite for the Data Inspection Authority to be able to check compliance with the documentation requirement of incidents in ch. 3. § 14 BDF is that the documentation includes certain information that should always be included.

The documentation must include all details of the incident, including its

10 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

reasons, what happened and the personal data affected. It should also contain the consequences of the incident and the corrective actions that it takes taken by the data controller.

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment

The Tax Agency has mainly stated the following. It is

the responsibility of the personal data coordinators (PU-IK) that the reports are kept in a diary, handled and documented. Furthermore, it is stated that in support of processing and documentation of reported personal data incidents there is a digital management system where all measures taken be documented. There are also supporting documents for diary keeping personal data incidents. Of the authority's Routine personal data incidents as well as of their Supplementary internal routine at SBE for reporting of personal data incidents, it appears that all personal data incidents must be documented. The documentation must state the circumstances surrounding the personal data incident, its effects and the measures taken with it reason for it.

The Data Inspectorate states that the Swedish Tax Agency has an internal IT system for to report personal data incidents. In addition, it is clear from the submissions the routines that all personal data incidents must be documented and that it has been specified which data the documentation must cover.

The Data Inspectorate states that the Swedish Tax Agency's procedures for documentation meets the requirements of the current regulation.

Being able to document personal data incidents that have occurred in an accurate manner way and thus counteract the risk of the documentation being deficient or incomplete is important. Insufficient documentation can lead to the incidents are not handled and remedied correctly, which can get impact on privacy protection. The Swedish Data Protection Authority therefore recommends, with the support of ch. 5 § 6 BDL, that the Tax Agency carries out regular checks of the internal documentation of personal data incidents.

Information and training regarding personal data incidents

The staff is an important resource in security work. It's just not enough

internal procedures, rules or governing documents if users do not follow them.

All users must understand that handling of personal data must take place in one legally secure way and that it is more serious not to report an incident yet

11 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

to report e.g. a mistake or an error. It is therefore required that all users receive adequate training and clear information about data protection.

The person in charge of personal data must inform and train his staff in matters on data protection including handling of personal data incidents. Of

Datainspektionen's report series Reported personal data incidents under period 2018-2019, it appears that the human factor is the most common

the cause of reported personal data incidents. 13 These mainly consist of individuals who, knowingly or unknowingly, do not follow internal procedures at processing of personal data or committed a mistake in the handling of personal data. About half of the incidents are due to it

the human factor is about misdirected letters and e-mails.

According to the Swedish Data Protection Authority, this underlines the importance of internal procedures and technical security measures need to be supplemented with ongoing training, information and other measures to increase knowledge and awareness among employees.

The Swedish Data Protection Authority's assessment

When asked how information and training about incidents is provided

employees, the Swedish Tax Agency has stated i.a. following. The Tax Agency has internal training in data protection for employees and managers. The Tax Agency has trained and informed all employees and managers within SBE through

that during 2018-2019 they have undergone the Tax Agency's training i
data protection issues. During the spring/summer of 2018, the employees took part
of educational films produced by the Ecocrime Agency on
the data protection reform including the Criminal Data Act. In addition, they have received
information about the data protection regulation and the crime data act, especially around
reporting of personal data incidents. Section managers have also left
information to all employees that personal data incidents must
being reported, how to go about reporting and what might be one
personal data incident. All managers at SBE have received information from
The Swedish Tax Agency's data protection officer. Every manager and employee has completed
a digital basic course on the data protection regulation, which includes approximately two
hours of self-study.

Report 2019:1, report 2019:3 and report 2020:2. Similar conclusions have been drawn by MSB
its annual report for serious IT incidents, i.e. that most of the incidents are due to
human mistakes, see <https://www.msb.se/sv/aktuellt/nyheter/2020/april/arsrapporten-forallvarliga-it-incidenter-2019-ar-slappt/>

13

1 2 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

Against the background of what appears from the investigation, the Data Protection Authority believes
that the Swedish Tax Agency has shown that the authority has provided information and
training on handling personal data incidents to its employees

To maintain competence and ensure that new staff get
training, it is important to have recurring information and training
the employees and hired personnel. The Swedish Data Protection Authority recommends, with
support of ch. 5 § 6 BDL, that the Swedish Tax Agency provides employees with ongoing information

and recurring training in the handling of personal data incidents

and the obligation to report these.

This decision has been made by unit manager Charlotte Waller Dahlberg after

presentation by lawyer Maria Angelica Westerberg. At the final

IT security specialist Ulrika is also handling the case

Sundling and the lawyer Jonas Agnvall participated.

Charlotte Waller Dahlberg, 2020-12-17 (This is an electronic signature)

Copy for the attention of:

The Swedish Tax Agency's data protection officer

1 3 (14)

The Swedish Data Protection Authority

DI-2019-13114

How to appeal

If you want to appeal the decision, you must write to the Swedish Data Protection Authority. Enter in the letter which decision you are appealing and the change you are requesting.

The appeal must have been received by the Swedish Data Protection Authority no later than three weeks from the day the decision was announced. If the appeal has been received in time the Swedish Data Protection Authority forwards it to the Administrative Court in Stockholm for examination.

You can e-mail the appeal to the Swedish Data Protection Authority if it does not contain any privacy-sensitive personal data or information that may be covered by secrecy. The authority's contact details appear on the first page of the decision.

1 4 (14)