

GZ: DSB-D037.500/0194-DSB/2018 from 19.12.2018□

[Note editor: Names and companies, legal forms and product names,□

Addresses (incl. URLs, IP and e-mail addresses), file numbers (and the like), etc.,□

as well as their initials and abbreviations can be used for pseudonymization reasons□

be abbreviated and/or modified. Obvious spelling, grammar and□

Punctuation errors have been corrected.]□

NOTICE□

S P R U C H□

The data protection authority decides on the application of December 6, 2018□

official determination of the legal conformity of the provision of information□

Applicant A\*\*\* Collection and credit agency Gesellschaft m.b.H. to Paul H.E\*\*\* as follows:□

- The application is rejected.□

Legal basis: Section 24 (1) of the Data Protection Act – DSG Federal Law Gazette I No. 165/1999, as amended,□

Art. 51 (1) and Art. 77 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), OJ No. L 119 of□

4.5.2016 S.1, § 1 General Administrative Procedures Act 1991 - AVG, BGBl.□

No. 51/1991 as amended; § 1 Information Obligation Act – AuskPflG, Federal Law Gazette No. 287/1987 as amended.□

REASON□

A. Submissions of the parties and course of the proceedings□

With the submission of December 6, 2018, the applicant requested that□

Data protection authority may recognize with a decision whether their information to the□

data protection information requester Paul H. E\*\*\* is legally compliant.□

B. In legal terms it follows that:□

The data protection authority is the national supervisory authority in accordance with Art. 51 (1) GDPR.□

Your jurisdiction is exhausted in your pursuant to Art. 57 and 58 GDPR and §§ 20,□

21, 32 and 33 DSG assigned tasks and powers.□

The applicant requests an official agreement as to whether the scope of the□

Information given to Paul H. E\*\*, the person requesting information under data protection law  
was "from the point of view of the authority sufficient and correct" because the applicant this  
want to know for the future.

The question of whether certain information meets the legal requirements would be  
to be examined exclusively within the framework of a complaints procedure in accordance with § 24 DSG. To the  
The initiation of such a data protection complaint procedure is the

Applicant in the absence of a right to appeal but not entitled.

Irrespective of this, according to the established case law of

Administrative Court not permitted in principle to make statements on applications,

which are so abstract that they come close to a legal opinion with which

Due to the associated risk of self-commitment by the authority (cf. the ed.

Finding of March 18, 1997, ZI. 95/08/0014, VwSlg. 14636A/1997).

The application was accordingly dismissed.