Brian Laccone

CS 475

4/5/2019

lacconeb@oregonstate.edu

Project 0

- 1. I ran my program for this project on the OSU server (Flip1).
- 2. The peak performance time for 1 thread was 315.39 Mega-Multiplies per Second and the peak performance time for 4 threads was 1203.43 Mega-Multiplies per Second.

```
flip1.engr.oregonstate.edu - PuTTY — — — X

flip1 ~/CS475/project_0 183% g++ -o proj_0 project_0_lacconeb.c -lm -fopenmp
flip1 ~/CS475/project_0 184% ./proj_0

Using 1 threads

Peak Performance = 315.39 MegaMults/Sec

Average Performance = 311.38 MegaMults/Sec
flip1 ~/CS475/project_0 185% g++ -o proj_0 project_0_lacconeb.c -lm -fopenmp
flip1 ~/CS475/project_0 186% ./proj_0

Using 4 threads

Peak Performance = 1203.43 MegaMults/Sec

Average Performance = 1175.52 MegaMults/Sec

flip1 ~/CS475/project_0 187%
```

The 4-thread-to-one-thread speedup was calculated by using this formula: S = (Performance of 4 threads)/(Performance of 1 thread).
 S= 1203.43 / 315.39 = 3.8

- 4. The 4-thread-to-one-thread speedup for my program was 3.8 which is a little less than 4. I would guess that the speedup is a little less than 4 because of overhead when using multiple threads. Another guess could be that the server is a little more crowded and some memory is being used while certain threads are running.
- 5. The Parallel Fraction was calculated manually by using this formula: Fp = (4/3) * (1-(1/S)). The Parallel Fraction of the program I ran was Fp = (4/3) * (1-(1/3.8)) = 0.98