

Lachlan Sinclair

8/4/2019

Homework 6

Problem 1)

a)

To solve this problem we simply need to follow the provided objective function and constraints. By maximizing the distance to get to vertex 7 we are guaranteed to get the lowest value if we set up our constraints properly as discussed in the lectures. If we were to try selecting min we would get a result of 0.

Objective Function: max d_7

Subject to:

$$d_0 = 0$$

$$d_v - d_u \leq w(u,v) \text{ for all } (u,v) \in E$$

Code:

max d_7

ST

$$d_0 = 0$$

$$d_1 - d_0 \leq 10$$

$$d_3 - d_0 \leq 5$$

$$d_0 - d_4 \leq 1$$

$$d_1 - d_4 \leq 1$$

$$d_4 - d_3 \leq 2$$

$$d_5 - d_3 \leq 10$$

$$d_4 - d_5 \leq 2$$

$$d_4 - d_6 \leq 4$$

$$d_6 - d_5 \leq 7$$

$$d_2 - d_1 \leq 2$$

$$d_2 - d_4 \leq 4$$

$$d_6 - d_2 \leq 2$$

$$d_2 - d_6 \leq 2$$

$$d_7 - d_2 \leq 8$$

$$d_7 - d_6 \leq 3$$

END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 7

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 15.000000

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
D7	15.000000	0.000000
D0	0.000000	0.000000
D1	8.000000	0.000000
D3	5.000000	0.000000
D4	7.000000	0.000000
D5	5.000000	0.000000
D6	12.000000	0.000000
D2	10.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	1.000000
3)	2.000000	0.000000
4)	0.000000	1.000000
5)	8.000000	0.000000
6)	0.000000	1.000000
7)	0.000000	1.000000
8)	10.000000	0.000000
9)	0.000000	0.000000
10)	9.000000	0.000000
11)	0.000000	0.000000
12)	0.000000	1.000000
13)	1.000000	0.000000
14)	0.000000	1.000000
15)	4.000000	0.000000
16)	3.000000	0.000000
17)	0.000000	1.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 7

The shortest distance to vertex 7 is 15.

b)

To solve this problem we simply need to follow the provided objective function and constraints. By maximizing the distance to get to the sum of all vertex we are guaranteed to get the lowest value needed to travel to each vertex if we set up our constraints properly as discussed in the lectures. If we were to try selecting min we would get results of 0's.

Objective function: maximize $\sum_{v \in V} d_v$

Subject to:

$$d_s = 0$$

$$d_v - d_u \leq w(u, v) \text{ for all } (u, v) \in E$$

Code:

$$\max d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5 + d_6 + d_7$$

ST

$$d_0=0$$

$$d_1-d_0\leq 10$$

$$d_3-d_0\leq 5$$

$$d_0-d_4\leq 1$$

$$d_1-d_4\leq 1$$

$$d_4-d_3\leq 2$$

$$d_5-d_3\leq 10$$

$$d_4-d_5\leq 2$$

$$d_4-d_6\leq 4$$

$$d_6-d_5\leq 7$$

$$d_2-d_1\leq 2$$

$$d_2-d_4\leq 4$$

$$d_6-d_2\leq 2$$

$$d_2-d_6\leq 2$$

$$d_7-d_2\leq 8$$

$$d_7-d_6\leq 3$$

END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 1

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 72.000000

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
D0	0.000000	0.000000
D1	8.000000	0.000000
D2	10.000000	0.000000
D3	5.000000	0.000000
D4	7.000000	0.000000
D5	15.000000	0.000000
D6	12.000000	0.000000
D7	15.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	8.000000
3)	2.000000	0.000000
4)	0.000000	7.000000
5)	8.000000	0.000000
6)	0.000000	4.000000
7)	0.000000	5.000000
8)	0.000000	1.000000
9)	10.000000	0.000000
10)	9.000000	0.000000
11)	10.000000	0.000000
12)	0.000000	3.000000
13)	1.000000	0.000000
14)	0.000000	2.000000
15)	4.000000	0.000000
16)	3.000000	0.000000
17)	0.000000	1.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 1

The shortest distance to all vertices are:

Vertex 1: 8

Vertex 2: 10

Vertex 3: 5

Vertex 4: 7

Vertex 5: 15

Vertex 6: 12

Vertex 7: 15

Problem 2)

We want to maximize profit, to do this we want to make the most possible ties while losing the least amount of money to costs. For silk its straight forward, its only used in one type of tie, and each tie nets a profit therefor we can expect to produce the maximum amount of those. For the other three kinds of ties it will be a balancing act, each tie uses some of the pooled resources. Which ties will be chosen to get made in excess will be based off of their profit per yard of fabric used. This will be done with the following objective function.

Objective Function:

Let S be amount of silk ties, P be the amount of Polyester ties, B the number of blend 1 ties and C be the number of blend 2 ties.

$$\text{Max}((6.7S - 2.5S + .75S) + (3.55P + .48P + .75P) + (4.31B - .75B - .75B) + (4.81C + .81C + .75C))$$

Subject to:

Min and Max production amounts:

$$S \geq 6000$$

$$S \leq 7000$$

$$P \geq 10000$$

$$P \leq 14000$$

$$B \geq 13000$$

$$B \leq 16000$$

$$C \geq 6000$$

$$C \leq 8500$$

Amount of material:

$$.125S \leq 1000$$

$$.08P + .05B + .03C \leq 2000$$

$$.05B + .07C \leq 1250$$

Code:

max P

ST

$$ts \geq 6000$$

$$ts \leq 7000$$

$$tp \geq 10000$$

$$tp \leq 14000$$

$$tb \geq 13000$$

$$tb \leq 16000$$

$$tc \geq 6000$$

$$tc \leq 8500$$

$$.125ts \leq 1000$$

$$.08tp + .05tb + .03tc \leq 2000$$

$$.05tb + .07tc \leq 1250$$

$$Ps - 6.7ts + 2.5ts + .75ts = 0$$

$$Pp - 3.55tp + .48tp + .75tp = 0$$

$$Pb - 4.31tb + .75tb + .75tb = 0$$

$$Pc - 4.81tc + .81tc + .75tc = 0$$

$$P - Ps - Pp - Pb - Pc = 0$$

END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 7

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 120196.0

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
P	120196.000000	0.000000
TS	7000.000000	0.000000
TP	13625.000000	0.000000
TB	13100.000000	0.000000
TC	8500.000000	0.000000
PS	24150.000000	0.000000
PP	31610.000000	0.000000
PB	36811.000000	0.000000
PC	27625.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	1000.000000	0.000000
3)	0.000000	3.450000
4)	3625.000000	0.000000
5)	375.000000	0.000000
6)	100.000000	0.000000
7)	2900.000000	0.000000
8)	2500.000000	0.000000
9)	0.000000	0.476000
10)	125.000000	0.000000
11)	0.000000	29.000000
12)	0.000000	27.200001
13)	0.000000	1.000000
14)	0.000000	1.000000
15)	0.000000	1.000000
16)	0.000000	1.000000
17)	0.000000	1.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 7

To produce a maximum profit of \$120,196 the following amounts of ties will need to be made:

Silk Ties: 7000

Polyester Ties: 13625

Blend 1 Ties: 13100

Blend 2 Ties: 8500

Problem 3)

Part A will be achieved by selecting the combination of ingredients who have the lowest combined calories while still meeting nutritional requirements. This will be done by setting up all of the constraints such that the nutritional requirements are met, then selecting the minimum caloric option from those

combinations. Part B is the same, but instead the option with the lowest price will be selected. This will be accomplished with the following objective function.

Part A:

i) Objective function:

Min $\sum_{i \in I} Ca_i$ where i is the ingredients and Ca is the calories per 100 grams.

Subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\leq \sum_{i \in I} G_i * F_i \leq 8 && \text{such that F is fat and G is gram(100g units)} \\ \sum_{i \in I} G_i * P_i &\geq 15 && \text{Such that P is protein} \\ \sum_{i \in I} G_i * C_i &\geq 4 && \text{Such that C is carbohydrates} \\ \sum_{i \in I} Mg_i * S_i &\leq 200 && \text{such that Mg is milligrams, and S is sodium} \\ \sum_{i \in I} G_i * L_i &\geq .4 \sum_{i \in I} G_i && \text{such that L is 1 when i is leafy green, 0 when not.} \\ \sum_{i \in I} G_i * C_i &= C && \text{such that C is the cost.} \end{aligned}$$

ii)

min Ca

ST

$$P \geq 15$$

$$F \leq 8$$

$$C \geq 4$$

$$S \leq 200$$

$$L \geq 0.4$$

$$Al + As - .4At - .4Al - .4As - .4Ac - .4Asu - .4Ast - .4Acp - .4Ao \geq 0$$

$$P - .85At - 1.62Al - 2.86As - .93Ac - 23.4Asu - 16Ast - 9Acp = 0$$

$$F - .33At - .2Al - .39As - .24Ac - 48.7Asu - 5Ast - 2.6Acp - 100Ao = 0$$

$$C - 4.64At - 2.37Al - 3.63As - 9.58Ac - 15Asu - 3Ast - 27Acp = 0$$

$$S - 9At - 28Al - 65As - 69Ac - 3.8Asu - 120Ast - 78Acp = 0$$

$$Ca - 21At - 16Al - 40As - 41Ac - 585Asu - 120Ast - 164Acp - 884Ao = 0$$

Co-1At-.75Al-.5As-.5Ac-.45Asu-2.15Ast-.95Acp-2Ao=0

END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 15

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 114.7541

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
CA	114.754097	0.000000
P	15.000000	0.000000
F	4.508197	0.000000
C	4.022248	0.000000
S	121.779861	0.000000
AL	0.585480	0.000000
AS	0.000000	14.513662
AT	0.000000	16.901640
AC	0.000000	36.289616
ASU	0.000000	408.387970
AST	0.878220	0.000000
ACP	0.000000	97.551910
AO	0.000000	886.404358
CO	2.327283	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	-7.650273
3)	3.491803	0.000000
4)	2.508197	0.000000
5)	0.022248	0.000000
6)	78.220139	0.000000
7)	0.000000	-6.010929
8)	0.000000	7.650273
9)	0.000000	0.000000
10)	0.000000	0.000000
11)	0.000000	0.000000
12)	0.000000	-1.000000
13)	0.000000	0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 15

- iii) Cost of the lowest calorie salad is \$2.33.

Part B:

- i) Objective function:

Min $\sum_{i \in I} G_i * C_i$ where i is the ingredients

Subject to:

$2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} G_i * F_i \leq 8$ such that F is fat and G is gram(100g units)

$\sum_{i \in I} G_i * P_i \geq 15$ Such that P is protein

$\sum_{i \in I} G_i * C_i \geq 4$ Such that C is carbohydrates

$\sum_{i \in I} Mg_i * S_i \leq 200$ such that Mg is milligrams, and S is sodium

$\sum_{i \in I} G_i * L_i \geq .4 \sum_{i \in I} G_i$ such that L is 1 when i is leafy green, 0 when not.

$\sum_{i \in I} G_i * C_i = C$ such that C is the cost.

- ii)

min Co

ST

$$P \geq 15$$

$$F \leq 8$$

$$C \geq 4$$

$$S \leq 200$$

$$Al + As - .4At - .4Al - .4As - .4Ac - .4Asu - .4Ast - .4Acp - .4Ao \geq 0$$

$$P - .85At - 1.62Al - 2.86As - .93Ac - 23.4Asu - 16Ast - 9Acp = 0$$

$$F - .33At - .2Al - .39As - .24Ac - 48.7Asu - 5Ast - 2.6Acp - 100Ao = 0$$

$$C - 4.64At - 2.37Al - 3.63As - 9.58Ac - 15Asu - 3Ast - 27Acp = 0$$

$$S - 9At - 28Al - 65As - 69Ac - 3.8Asu - 120Ast - 78Acp = 0$$

$$Ca - 21At - 16Al - 40As - 41Ac - 585Asu - 120Ast - 164Acp - 884Ao = 0$$

$$Co - 1At - .75Al - .5As - .5Ac - .45Asu - 2.15Ast - .95Acp - 2Ao = 0$$

END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 3

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 1.554133

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
CO	1.554133	0.000000
P	15.000000	0.000000
F	8.000000	0.000000
C	35.576324	0.000000
S	144.348907	0.000000
AL	0.000000	0.402912
AS	0.832298	0.000000
AT	0.000000	1.002081
AC	0.000000	0.486914
ASU	0.096083	0.000000
AST	0.000000	0.405609
ACP	1.152364	0.000000
AO	0.000000	7.281258
CA	278.488403	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	-0.131261
3)	0.000000	0.051847
4)	6.000000	0.000000
5)	31.576324	0.000000
6)	55.651089	0.000000
7)	0.000000	-0.241358
8)	0.000000	0.131261
9)	0.000000	-0.051847
10)	0.000000	0.000000
11)	0.000000	0.000000
12)	0.000000	0.000000
13)	0.000000	-1.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 3

iii) The lowest cost salad contains 278 calories.

Problem 4)

This is an extension of the transport problem and was discussed in the lectures. I will be assuming warehouse don't store anything, the number of fridges in will equal the amount out. Also plants don't need to ship their entire inventory, just enough so the demand is met. The goal then is to minimize the cost of shipping from plants to warehouse and from warehouse to retailers while still meeting the demand. This will be done with the following objective function.

Objective function:

$\text{Min } \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} S_{ij} * cp_{ij} + \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{j \in J} S_{jk} * cp_{jk}$ Where S_{ij} is the quantity shipped from plant P_i to warehouse P_j and S_{jk} is the quantity shipped from warehouse W_j to retailer R_k .

Subject to:

$$P_{ij}, S_{jk} \geq 0$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} P_{1j} \leq 150 \quad \text{Supply from plant 1}$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} P_{2j} \leq 450$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} P_{3j} \leq 250$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} P_{4j} \leq 150$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j1} \geq 100 \quad \text{Demand at Retailer 1}$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j2} \geq 150$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j3} \geq 100$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j4} \geq 200$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j5} \geq 200$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j6} \geq 150$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} S_{j7} \geq 100$$

$$\sum_{k \in K} S_{1k} - \sum_{i \in I} P_{i1} = 0 \quad \text{In/out at warehouse 1}$$

$$\sum_{k \in K} S_{2k} - \sum_{i \in I} P_{i2} = 0$$

$$\sum_{k \in K} S_{3k} - \sum_{i \in I} P_{i3} = 0$$

min c

ST

$$cp11 \geq 0$$

$$cp12 \geq 0$$

$$cp21 \geq 0$$

$$cp22 \geq 0$$

$$cp31 \geq 0$$

$cp32 \geq 0$

$cp33 \geq 0$

$cp42 \geq 0$

$cp43 \geq 0$

$cw11 \geq 0$

$cw12 \geq 0$

$cw13 \geq 0$

$cw14 \geq 0$

$cw23 \geq 0$

$cw24 \geq 0$

$cw25 \geq 0$

$cw26 \geq 0$

$cw34 \geq 0$

$cw35 \geq 0$

$cw36 \geq 0$

$cw37 \geq 0$

$cp11 + cp12 \leq 150$

$cp21 + cp22 \leq 450$

$cp31 + cp32 + cp33 \leq 250$

$cp42 + cp43 \leq 150$

$cw11 \geq 100$

$cw12 \geq 150$

$cw13 + cw23 \geq 100$

$$cw14+cw24+cw34 \geq 200$$

$$cw25+cw35 \geq 200$$

$$cw26+cw36 \geq 150$$

$$cw37 \geq 100$$

$$cp11+cp21+cp31-cw11-cw12-cw13-cw14=0$$

$$cp12+cp22+cp32+cp42-cw23-cw24-cw25-cw26=0$$

$$cp33+cp43-cw34-cw35-cw36-cw37=0$$

$$c-10cp11-15cp12-11cp21-8cp22-13cp31-8cp32-9cp33-14cp42-8cp43-5cw11-6cw12-7cw13-10cw14-12cw23-8cw24-10cw25-14cw26-14cw34-12cw35-12cw36-6cw37=0$$

END

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 13

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 17100.00

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
C	17100.000000	0.000000
CP11	150.000000	0.000000
CP12	0.000000	8.000000
CP21	200.000000	0.000000
CP22	250.000000	0.000000
CP31	0.000000	2.000000
CP32	150.000000	0.000000
CP33	100.000000	0.000000
CP42	0.000000	7.000000
CP43	150.000000	0.000000
CW11	100.000000	0.000000
CW12	150.000000	0.000000
CW13	100.000000	0.000000
CW14	0.000000	5.000000
CW23	0.000000	2.000000
CW24	200.000000	0.000000
CW25	200.000000	0.000000
CW26	0.000000	1.000000
CW34	0.000000	7.000000
CW35	0.000000	3.000000
CW36	150.000000	0.000000
CW37	100.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	150.000000	0.000000
3)	0.000000	0.000000
4)	200.000000	0.000000
5)	250.000000	0.000000
6)	0.000000	0.000000
7)	150.000000	0.000000
8)	100.000000	0.000000
9)	0.000000	0.000000
10)	150.000000	0.000000
11)	100.000000	0.000000
12)	150.000000	0.000000
13)	100.000000	0.000000
14)	0.000000	0.000000
15)	0.000000	0.000000
16)	200.000000	0.000000
17)	200.000000	0.000000
18)	0.000000	0.000000
19)	0.000000	0.000000
20)	0.000000	0.000000
21)	150.000000	0.000000
22)	100.000000	0.000000
23)	0.000000	1.000000
24)	0.000000	0.000000
25)	0.000000	0.000000
26)	0.000000	1.000000
27)	0.000000	-16.000000
28)	0.000000	-17.000000
29)	0.000000	-18.000000
30)	0.000000	-16.000000
31)	0.000000	-18.000000
32)	0.000000	-21.000000
33)	0.000000	-15.000000
34)	0.000000	-11.000000
35)	0.000000	-8.000000
36)	0.000000	-9.000000
37)	0.000000	-1.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 13

The minimum cost is \$17,100.

The optimal shipping routes are:

Plant 1 to Warehouse 1: 150

Plant 2 to Warehouse 1: 200

Plant 2 to Warehouse 2: 250

Plant 3 to Warehouse 2: 150

Plant 3 to Warehouse 3: 100

Plant 4 to Warehouse 3: 150

Warehouse 1 to Retailer 1: 100

Warehouse 1 to Retailer 2: 150

Warehouse 1 to Retailer 3: 100

Warehouse 2 to Retailer 4: 200

Warehouse 2to Retailer 5: 200

Warehouse 3 to Retailer 6: 150

Warehouse 3 to Retailer 7: 100