

# Rapamycin and Trametinib for Longevity: Current Scientific and Clinical Insights

*Rapamycin (left) and trametinib (right) are FDA-approved drugs (an immunosuppressant and a cancer therapy, respectively) that target different nodes of the nutrient-sensing pathways. Researchers have explored combining these drugs in animal models to assess potential longevity benefits.*

## Preclinical Evidence of Lifespan Extension in Mice

A recent study in mice demonstrated that **rapamycin and trametinib in combination can significantly extend lifespan**, with greater effect than either drug alone <sup>1</sup>. In this experiment, mice were fed rapamycin (an mTOR inhibitor) or trametinib (a MEK inhibitor) starting in mid-life (6 months of age), either individually or together. Trametinib alone extended mouse lifespan by roughly 5–10%, and rapamycin alone by about 15–20%. **When used together, the drugs had an additive (synergistic) effect, increasing mouse lifespan by ~30% on average** <sup>1</sup>. Not only did the treated mice live longer, but they also stayed healthier at advanced ages. The combination **reduced age-related chronic inflammation** in tissues and brain, delayed the onset of cancerous tumors, and preserved better physical function in older mice <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup>. For example, treated mice had lower activation of inflammatory cells in the brain and a prevention of the usual age-related rise in brain glucose uptake (a marker linked to neuroinflammatory change) <sup>3</sup>. These findings indicate that rapamycin+trametinib not only lengthened lifespan but also improved **healthspan** (the period of life spent in good health).

*Kaplan–Meier survival curves from a mouse study <sup>4</sup>, illustrating that rapamycin (purple line) and trametinib (green line) each modestly extend lifespan in mice, while the combination (orange line) produces a larger lifespan extension (shifting the survival curve to the right) compared to control (black line).*

Importantly, the life-extension effect of the two drugs together appeared to be **additive rather than purely multiplicative** <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup>. In other words, each drug contributed to increased survival, and their benefits summed up – yielding a ~30% increase in median lifespan in female mice (and ~27% in males) in one report <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> – but there was no evidence of a radical **beyond-additive “super-synergy”** in lifespan. Still, a ~30% lifespan increase is one of the largest ever reported from a drug intervention in mice <sup>9</sup>. Notably, these results build on earlier research in simpler organisms: in fruit flies (*Drosophila*), combining rapamycin with trametinib (and even a third drug, lithium) produced greater lifespan gains than single treatments <sup>10</sup>. This conservation across species suggested the combination targets fundamental aging pathways.

## Mechanistic Rationale: Targeting Two Aging Pathways

Rapamycin and trametinib act on **distinct but related signaling pathways** that are implicated in aging. Rapamycin inhibits mTOR (mechanistic target of rapamycin), a kinase in the insulin/IGF-1 nutrient-sensing pathway, while trametinib inhibits MEK1/2 in the Ras-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway <sup>11</sup>. These pathways

(sometimes termed the insulin-IGF-mTOR and Ras-ERK pathways) are part of an intertwined network that regulates cell growth, metabolism, and survival, and their over-activation has been linked to aging and age-related diseases <sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup> . In simpler terms, **rapamycin blocks a key nutrient/growth signal (mTOR)**, and **trametinib blocks a key cell proliferation signal (MEK/ERK)** – both of which contribute to aging processes. By inhibiting two separate “nodes” in this pro-aging network, the combination can potentially **prevent compensatory feedback** that might occur if only one pathway is inhibited <sup>13</sup> . Indeed, researchers noted extensive crosstalk between these pathways, and hypothesized that dual inhibition would yield broader anti-aging effects than either alone <sup>13</sup> .

At the molecular level, the **combination treatment triggered unique gene expression changes in mice that were not seen with either drug alone** <sup>14</sup> . This suggests a synergistic action: certain protective genetic programs were activated only when both mTOR and MEK pathways were suppressed together. For example, the combo more strongly downregulated pro-inflammatory cytokine signals (circulating factors that drive “inflammaging”) than single treatments <sup>15</sup> . Consistent with this, combined rapamycin+trametinib markedly **reduced markers of inflammation in multiple organs** (brain, kidney, spleen, muscle) of mice and lowered levels of inflammatory cells (like activated microglia in the brain) in old age <sup>3</sup> . The dual treatment also had an impact on age-related disease precursors – it significantly delayed the development of spontaneous tumors in mice (e.g. reducing liver and spleen tumor incidence) more than untreated controls <sup>3</sup> . These mechanistic insights align with the idea that the two drugs together provide a **broader geroprotective effect**: rapamycin primarily alleviates mTOR-driven aging processes (such as protein synthesis dysregulation and immune senescence), while trametinib blocks Ras/ERK-driven processes (such as cell proliferative senescence and oncogenic signaling) <sup>16</sup> <sup>13</sup> . The end result in animals is an extension of lifespan and improvement in age-related health metrics beyond what either agent achieves alone.

## Ongoing or Completed Clinical Trials in Humans

Despite the compelling mouse data, **as of 2025 there are no completed or ongoing clinical trials specifically testing the combination of rapamycin and trametinib in humans for aging or longevity**. A search of clinical trial registries reveals *no trials designed to evaluate rapamycin+trametinib for geroprotection* (i.e. prevention of age-related decline or extension of lifespan). The researchers who led the mouse study have highlighted that both drugs are already FDA-approved for other indications, making them viable candidates for repurposing in human trials <sup>17</sup> . In a press statement, they noted that **“trametinib, especially in combination with rapamycin, is a good candidate to be tested in clinical trials as a geroprotector”**, and they expressed hope that their mouse results will be translated into human testing <sup>18</sup> . However, to date **no such trial has been launched**, and the idea remains at the proposal stage. The authors themselves are continuing to focus on animal studies to optimize dosing and minimize side effects before any human experimentation <sup>17</sup> .

It is worth noting that rapamycin (or its analogs like everolimus) *alone* has been tested in humans in several small-scale studies related to aging. For example, brief courses of low-dose mTOR inhibitors in older adults have been shown to enhance immune function (improving response to influenza vaccination) with minimal side effects <sup>19</sup> . This provides proof-of-concept that targeting mTOR can confer some geroprotective effects in humans. **Trametinib, on the other hand, has not been clinically trialed in healthy humans for anti-aging purposes** so far. Trametinib is typically used in oncology (e.g. melanoma treatment), and any human use has been within that context. There are currently **no registered trials combining a MEK inhibitor (like trametinib) with an mTOR inhibitor for an aging outcome**. The only related human studies have been in

cancer patients – for instance, a Phase I trial combined trametinib with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus in advanced solid tumor patients to test safety (not aging outcomes) <sup>20</sup>. That oncology trial found the combination was feasible but **came with frequent adverse effects** (e.g. mucosal inflammation in ~40% of patients, mouth ulcers in 25%, fatigue in >50%, and diarrhea ~42% <sup>20</sup>), underscoring the challenges of tolerability. No clinical study has yet evaluated whether rapamycin+trametinib can improve healthspan or lifespan in humans.

For clarity, the table below summarizes the state of research on this drug combination:

Study / Trial	Subjects	Intervention	Outcome
<b>Gkioni et al. 2024 (Nature Aging)</b> – Rapamycin + Trametinib in mice <sup>1 2</sup>	Middle-aged mice (male & female)	Rapa in food (42 mg/kg, intermittent) + Tram in food (1.44 mg/kg daily) vs each alone vs control	<b>Median lifespan extension ~30%</b> with combination (vs ~15–20% with rapamycin alone) <sup>1</sup> . <b>Improved healthspan:</b> reduced chronic inflammation and delayed tumorigenesis in old mice <sup>2</sup> . Some side effects noted in mice (e.g. testicular degeneration, fatty liver) <sup>21</sup> .
<b>Tolcher et al. 2015 (Phase I cancer trial)</b> – Trametinib + Everolimus (rapamycin analog) in humans <sup>20</sup>	67 adult patients with advanced solid tumors	Trametinib (MEK inhibitor) + Everolimus (mTOR inhibitor) at various doses (oncology setting)	<b>High toxicity observed:</b> dose-limiting side effects were common (e.g. mucositis 40%, mouth ulcers 25%, fatigue 54%, diarrhea 42%) <sup>20</sup> . Showed biological activity in cancers, but not aimed at aging; demonstrates the combination can be harsh in humans at therapeutic doses.
<b>Geroprotection trials in humans (aging)</b> – None to date	N/A (no trial conducted)	N/A	<b>No clinical trials so far</b> have tested rapamycin+trametinib for aging or lifespan. Researchers advocate for future trials given preclinical promise <sup>18 22</sup> , but any such trial would need to address safety and ethical considerations first.

## Experimental or Off-Label Human Use of the Combo

Given the absence of formal trials, there is also **no published case report or clinical study of off-label rapamycin+trametinib use in humans for longevity** at this time. Rapamycin itself has gained popularity in the longevity community, and some physicians prescribe it off-label in low doses to middle-aged or older adults as an experimental geroprotective strategy. However, **adding a drug like trametinib is far more experimental and uncommon**, due to trametinib’s toxicity and specialty use as a cancer drug. To our knowledge, there are no documented instances in the medical literature of people taking the two in combination solely for anti-aging purposes. Some longevity researchers have speculated that, *“given a favorable safety profile, this approach will no doubt find its way into the expanding off-label use of rapamycin for anti-aging”* <sup>23</sup>. In other words, if further evidence suggests the combo can be used safely, biohackers or

clinicians might eventually try it in adventurous patients. But **at present there is no verified human data** on efficacy or safety of the rapamycin+trametinib combination in an aging context. All information about its effects comes from animal studies. Specialists urge caution and stress that human studies will be necessary before any broad use – the full potential (or risks) of this drug combo for human aging cannot be known without controlled trials <sup>22</sup>.

## Differences in Effects and Safety: Mice vs. Humans

Translating these findings from mice to humans comes with several **key caveats and challenges**. First, the **magnitude of lifespan extension seen in mice (30%) is unlikely to be achievable in humans**. Mice have much shorter lifespans and often respond more dramatically to anti-aging interventions than longer-lived species. As one of the lead researchers noted, *“we do not expect a similar extension to human lifespans as we found in mice”*, though the hope is that the drugs could help people stay healthier for longer <sup>24</sup>. A 30% gain in median lifespan in humans would be on the order of decades – an extraordinary effect that is unprecedented. It’s more realistic that any benefits in humans would manifest as **improved healthspan or modest reductions in age-related disease**, rather than drastic lifespan elongation. Demonstrating even those benefits is difficult, because human aging trials require long follow-up or reliable biomarkers.

Another major difference is in the **safety profile and tolerated dose**. **Rapamycin** has been used in humans (for organ transplantation and in smaller doses for experimental geroprotection), so we have some understanding of its side effects. In people, rapamycin’s common side effects include **mouth ulcers, metabolic effects (e.g. elevated blood sugar or lipids), and immunosuppression leading to higher infection risk** <sup>19</sup>. Notably, short-term low-dose use in older adults was fairly well-tolerated with minimal side effects <sup>19</sup>, but chronic long-term use for aging prevention might carry cumulative risks (e.g. poor wound healing or vulnerability to infections due to the drug’s immune-suppressing action). **Trametinib**, however, is a potent targeted chemotherapy agent, and in patients it causes a distinct set of toxicities. The most frequent side effects of trametinib in human use are **skin rash (often acneiform), diarrhea, fatigue, and edema** <sup>25</sup>. It can also induce **hypertension, reduced heart function (cardiotoxicity), ocular disturbances (vision changes due to retinal or corneal effects), and interstitial lung disease** in a minority of patients <sup>25</sup>. These adverse effects are acceptable trade-offs in treating life-threatening cancers, but would be problematic in a preventive aging context. **Combining rapamycin and trametinib could compound certain risks**: for example, both can cause **immune suppression** (rapamycin directly, and trametinib by impairing cell proliferation), raising concern that together they might significantly reduce a person’s ability to fight infections. In the controlled environment of a mouse facility, infections are minimal, but an elderly human on dual therapy could face serious infections or impaired vaccine responses. Additionally, rapamycin can cause insulin resistance and hyperlipidemia, while trametinib can cause skin and gastrointestinal toxicity – managing both simultaneously would require careful monitoring.

It’s also worth noting that the **mice in the study did experience side effects** from the drug combination, which serve as warnings for what might occur in humans. For instance, male mice on rapamycin+trametinib showed testicular degeneration (shrinkage of testes) and resultant infertility issues, and some mice developed fatty liver changes <sup>21</sup>. These effects in mice occurred even as they lived longer. In a human scenario, **loss of fertility or liver toxicity** would be significant concerns (especially if treatment were started in mid-life). While loss of fertility might be a lesser concern for an older population, liver health and other organ functions would need close oversight. The optimal dosing regimen in humans is also unclear – in mice, rapamycin was given intermittently (to mitigate side effects) and trametinib daily at a carefully determined dose <sup>26</sup>. Finding a regimen that strikes a balance between efficacy and safety in humans

would be a challenge. The researchers are currently looking at **dose optimization in animals** (e.g. the lowest dose of trametinib that still yields benefit) before any human trial <sup>17</sup> .

Furthermore, **individual differences between species and within human populations** may influence outcomes. The mouse study observed some **sex-specific effects** – for example, certain anti-inflammatory benefits or gene expression changes were more pronounced in one sex of mice than the other <sup>15</sup> . This hints that male and female humans might also respond differently to the intervention, or tolerate it differently. Humans are genetically and physiologically more diverse than inbred lab mice, so responses to the drug combo could vary widely. All these factors mean that a therapy that works in a 2-year-lived mouse in a lab may not straightforwardly translate to an 80-year-lived human in the real world.

## Key Limitations and Risks for Translation to Humans

In summary, while the rapamycin-trametinib combination is a breakthrough in aging research in animals, there are **significant limitations and risks to consider before translating this strategy to humans**:

- **Lifespan vs. Healthspan Gains:** It is uncertain if humans would experience any lifespan extension; more likely outcomes to target are improved **healthspan** or delay of age-related diseases. Proving even these benefits will require long-term studies or reliable surrogate markers, since a decades-long lifespan trial is impractical <sup>24</sup> .
- **Toxicity and Side Effects:** The combination therapy has non-trivial toxicity. Potential risks include immune system suppression (leading to infections), **organ toxicities** (e.g. liver damage, intestinal inflammation), **skin and ocular adverse effects**, metabolic disturbances, and others as seen in human oncology use <sup>25</sup> <sup>20</sup> . These drugs would be given to older adults who may have comorbidities, so even moderate side effects could cause harm. Ensuring an acceptable safety profile is the biggest hurdle.
- **Dose and Schedule Optimization:** The ideal dosing regimen for humans is unknown. Too high a dose could be dangerous, but too low might not confer benefits. Rapamycin may need to be given intermittently (as in some human trials) to reduce side effects, and trametinib might require very low dosing to be tolerable for prevention. **Identifying a safe, effective dose combination** will likely require phase 1 trials in humans, carefully monitoring biomarkers for efficacy vs. toxicity <sup>17</sup> .
- **Ethical and Regulatory Challenges:** Aging is not officially classified as a disease by regulators (though this is evolving), making it tricky to design trials with “extended lifespan” as an endpoint. Any human trial would likely focus on specific age-related conditions or biomarkers. Giving a cancer drug preventively to healthy people also raises ethical issues – the risk-benefit ratio must be strongly justified. Regulators will need convincing evidence from animal models and perhaps human cell studies to green-light such trials.
- **Unanticipated Interactions:** When combining interventions, there's always a risk of unforeseen interactions. In some cases, multiple anti-aging treatments can even counteract each other's benefits or stress the organism <sup>27</sup> . While rapamycin+trametinib showed additive benefits in mice, it's possible that in humans the combination could interact with other medications or conditions in complex ways. Rigorous study is needed to ensure no “geriatric syndromes” are worsened – for example, could the drugs exacerbate sarcopenia (muscle loss) or cognitive decline if not dosed properly? These are open questions.

Researchers emphasize that **much more investigation is required before considering widespread use of this drug combo in humans**. The encouraging mouse results provide a strong rationale to proceed with

further research, but safety concerns temper the enthusiasm. As one commentary noted, *further studies (especially in humans) will be necessary to realize the full potential of such drug combinations* and to be sure they do not “produce unwanted side effects” that outweigh their benefits <sup>22</sup>. In essence, rapamycin+trametinib represents a promising geroscience strategy – hitting two fundamental aging pathways at once – but its translation to the clinic must overcome the substantial biological and practical gaps between short-lived mice in a lab and the complexity of human aging. Only through carefully controlled clinical trials (once deemed ethical and safe to conduct) will we learn if this approach can truly help **extend healthy human lifespan** in a way that is safe and beneficial.

**Sources:** Primary findings from mouse studies are reported in Gkioni *et al.*, 2024 (Nature Aging) <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>. Press releases and commentary from University College London and others summarize these results and implications <sup>11</sup> <sup>18</sup>. No human trials of the combination exist yet <sup>18</sup>, though prior human studies of rapamycin alone have shown some geroprotective effects <sup>19</sup>. Safety and translational considerations are discussed based on known drug profiles <sup>25</sup> and expert commentary <sup>22</sup>. The table above and additional citations provide detailed references to these findings.

---

<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>11</sup> <sup>14</sup> <sup>17</sup> <sup>18</sup> <sup>24</sup> Combination drug therapy prolongs the life of mice | UCL News - UCL – University College London

<https://www.ucl.ac.uk/news/2025/may/combination-drug-therapy-prolongs-life-mice>

<sup>3</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup> <sup>15</sup> <sup>16</sup> <sup>19</sup> The geroprotectors trametinib and rapamycin combine additively to extend mouse healthspan and lifespan | Nature Aging

[https://www.nature.com/articles/s43587-025-00876-4?error=cookies\\_not\\_supported&code=3b340baa-3b41-4d8f-bf36-b856a7c529f2](https://www.nature.com/articles/s43587-025-00876-4?error=cookies_not_supported&code=3b340baa-3b41-4d8f-bf36-b856a7c529f2)

<sup>4</sup> <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>21</sup> <sup>22</sup> <sup>26</sup> Combining Two FDA-Approved Drugs Prolongs Life by Over 33%

<https://www.nmn.com/news/combining-two-fda-approved-drugs-prolongs-life-by-over-33>

<sup>9</sup> <sup>23</sup> <sup>27</sup> Trametinib and Rapamycin Combine to Increase Life Span in Mice to a Greater Degree than Rapamycin Alone – Fight Aging!

<https://www.fightingaging.org/archives/2024/08/trametinib-and-rapamycin-combine-to-increase-life-span-in-mice-to-a-greater-degree-than-rapamycin-alone/>

<sup>20</sup> A phase IB trial of the oral MEK inhibitor trametinib (GSK1120212) in combination with everolimus in patients with advanced solid tumors - PubMed

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25344362/>

<sup>25</sup> Management of BRAF and MEK inhibitor toxicities in patients with metastatic melanoma - PMC

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4346212/>