

MASTER THESIS

Bc. Ladislav Maleček

Fairness in group recommender systems

Department of Software Engineering

Supervisor of the master thesis: Mgr. Ladislav Peška, Ph.D.

Study programme: Computer Science

Study branch: Artificial Intelligence

I declare that I comind out this procton thesis is described and a 1 1 1 1 1 1
I declare that I carried out this master thesis independently, and only with the cited sources, literature and other professional sources. It has not been used to obtain another or the same degree.
I understand that my work relates to the rights and obligations under the Act No. 121/2000 Sb., the Copyright Act, as amended, in particular the fact that the Charles University has the right to conclude a license agreement on the use of this work as a school work pursuant to Section 60 subsection 1 of the Copyright Act.
In date
Author's signature

Dedication.

Title: Fairness in group recommender systems

Author: Bc. Ladislav Maleček

Department: Department of Software Engineering

Supervisor: Mgr. Ladislav Peška, Ph.D., Department of Software Engineering

Abstract: Abstract.

Keywords: key words

Contents

1	Introduction			
	1.1	Problem statement		
	1.2	Research objective		
	1.3	Thesis structure		
2	Recommender systems			
	2.1	Group recommender systems		
	2.2	Title of the second subchapter of the first chapter		
3	Fair			
	3.1	General		
	3.2	Long-term fairness		
	3.3	Evaluation		
4	Rela	ated work		
	4.1	Aggregating member preferences		
	4.2	Aggregation methods		
	4.3	Direct model methods		
5	Dat	asets		
	5.1	Main datasets		
	5.2	Group datasets		
	5.3	Creating of artificial groups		
6		work		
	6.1	EP-FuzzyD'Hondt		
7	Offli	ne experiments 10		
	7.1	Our work		
	7.2	Proceedings		
8		dication		
	8.1	Design requirements		
	8.2	Architecture and design choices		
	8.3	Cold start problem		
	8.4	User manual		
9		r study 1:		
	9.1	Methodology		
	9.2	Results		
	9.3	Discussion		
10	Con	clusion 13		
Co	nclu	sion 14		
Bi	bliog	raphy 1		

List of Figures	16
List of Tables	17

1. Introduction

Most of us interact with many recommender systems daily. Even if seemingly indirectly. The proliferation of this technology is astounding. Almost every interaction with today's web is in some way personalised. From the search results, shopping, listening to music, to reading news, browsing social media and many more. It has become quite unavoidable.

We can view recommender systems from a very simple perspective - they are algorithms that recommend items to users. Where items and users can be many different things, items for example being movies, news articles, more complex object or even entire systems. And users being, real people or other entities that exhibits some sort of preference on which the algorithm can decide.

One of the variants of recommender systems are those where the recommendation result is shared among more users based on their shared (aggregated) preferences. This is a subset called group recommender systems. They are not used as widely as the non-group variants due to the nature of the usage of most of the aforementioned technologies. We mostly use the web, listen to music and read the news as individuals. At least from the perspective of those systems. But for some of the domains there are valid use cases. We often listen to music and watch movies in groups. Select a restaurant and other public services not just for us. And that's where the group recommenders come handy.

But how to approach the evaluation? It starts to become harder than just simply rating the results based on a single feedback, now we have multiple users with possibly very different personal experiences. We want to be fair towards all individuals in the group. But the fairness property can be tricky to describe and evaluate due to the subjective nature of preference perception and distribution among the group members.

Classical recommendation systems has been studied for quite a long time, but the group variant and more soft-level (meaning evaluation on other than classical parameters) thinking about them is quite recent. With the rise of social dilemmas around recommender systems appears the fairness-ensuring topic more and more in many different shapes and sizes. And with that, there is a growing popularity towards recommender systems that are evaluated (and therefore trained) with these novel requirements in mind.

1.1 Problem statement

The current research on the topic of group recommender systems is lacking. There are no standardized data sets that would offer evaluation of the research without using various methods of data augmentation and artificial data creation. And the definition of fairness is not unified. It can mean many different things and be evaluated with many different methods.

With these two aforementioned problems goes hand in hand the very subjective nature of user preference.

1.2 Research objective

We would like to study how fairness can be defined in the context of the recommender systems, how it can be measured and eventually used to improve recommendations in the group setting. And explore different variants of fairness such as long-term fairness and different distribution of fairness among group members.

The primary goal of this thesis is to research and design novel group recommender system algorithm that would keep fairness as its primary optimization objective. If we could adapt fairness preserving methods such as voting systems from other fields to group recommendation problem. And evaluating the new algorithm with already existing approaches in the domain of group recommender systems.

Additionally we would like to research and contribute to data sets that could be used for the group setting. Either expanding single user data sets with data augmentation that would generate synthetic groups' information or and with creating a web application in a movie domain that would serve as a platform for online evaluation of group recommender algorithms and provided us with real-user group recommendation data.

1.3 Thesis structure

We start with an introduction to recommender systems and specifically to group recommender systems in chapter: 2. Then we will continue with the definitions and evaluation methods for fairness in chapter Fairness. Next we will introduce few algorithms that are used in the group recommender field in chapter Related work. TODO: check out other works and decide what should be here. This can be nice from the reading perspective, but is it really necessary?

2. Recommender systems

- 2.1 Group recommender systems
- 2.2 Title of the second subchapter of the first chapter

3. Fairness

- 3.1 General
- 3.2 Long-term fairness
- 3.3 Evaluation

4. Related work

- 4.1 Aggregating member preferences
- 4.2 Aggregation methods
- 4.3 Direct model methods

5. Datasets

- 5.1 Main datasets
- 5.2 Group datasets
- 5.3 Creating of artificial groups

6. Our work

6.1 EP-FuzzyD'Hondt

7. Offline experiments

- 7.1 Our work
- 7.2 Proceedings

8. Application

- 8.1 Design requirements
- 8.2 Architecture and design choices
- 8.3 Cold start problem
- 8.4 User manual

- 9. User study
- 9.1 Methodology
- 9.2 Results
- 9.3 Discussion

10. Conclusion

Future work

Bibliography

List of Figures

List of Tables