AARHUS
UNIVERSITY
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

LESSON 10: Hardware (and Frameworks)

CARSTEN EIE FRIGAARD

AUTUMN 2022



"A computer program is said to learn from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P , if its performance at tasks in T , as measured by P , improves with experience E." — Mitchell (1997).

L10: Hardware (and Frameworks)

Agenda

- Frameworks for Machine Learning,
- Hardware for Machine Learning,
  - CPUs,
  - GPUs,
  - TPUs,
  - Exotic Hardware,
  - Coin-mining, low-hash-rate.

FRAMEWORKS FOR MACHINELEARNING

Frameworks for Machine Learning

name	note
Sci-Kit Learn	(INRIA)
Keras	interface
Tensorflow	Google
Pytorch	Facebook
CNTK	Microsoft
Apache MXNet	Amazon
Core ML	Apple
Caffe	(Berkeley)
H2O	??
Shogun	??

Frameworks for Machine Learning

Intel: `scikit-learn-intelex`



HARDWARE FOR MACHINELEARNING



Hardware for Machine Learning

Methods and Terminology (SKIP most except μ, η)

Objective:

Why optimize using 'application specific' hardware?

- Effectiveness:
  - cost of purchasing/operating systems,
    μ=FLOPS/$
    η=FLOPS/Watt
  - cut-down developer waiting time,
  - make modelling iterations fast (say minutes).
- Big-data:
  - enable training on x-large data.
- Real-time constraints:
  - inference (on visual data)
    in real-time,
  - low-power constraints.

Hardware for Machine Learning

Methods and Terminology

Heterogen computing: systems that use more than one kind of processor or cores, say CPU + GPU.

Cluster computing: (loosely or) tightly connected computers that work together; can be viewed as a single system.

HPC: High-performance computing; a 'super-computer' with high level of performance (vs. general-purpose computer).

Flynn's taxonomy:

SISD: single instruction, single data,

SIMD/SIMT: single instruction, multiple data/threads (data parallel); this one is our objective,

(MISD: multiple instructions, single data (fault tolerance)),

(MIMD: multiple instructions, multiple data (distributed)).

Methods and Terminology

Amdahl's law

What speedup can we expect when optimizing/ parallelizing a program?

$$S = \frac{1}{(1-p) + p/s}$$

where

- S: total program speedup,
- p: fraction of the program that can be run in parallel,
- s: parallel fraction speedup factor.

If we take $s = \infty$ the theoretical max. total speedup will be

$$S_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{(1-p) + p/\infty} = \frac{1}{1-p}$$

Methods and Terminology

Amdahl's law examples



And there is seldom need to optimize unless $S_{max} \gg 2$.

A 1:5 speedup is a good, ad-hoc compromise btw. a fast program and a costly optimization dev. phase.

What does Donald E. Knuth say about premature optimization?

"Root of all evil"!



RESUMÉ: Keras and Tensorflow



GP-GPU: General-Purpose Graphics Processing Unit...or just **GPU**.

CUDA: Compute Unified Device Architecture, API for SIMD/SIMT on GPU,

LAPACK: Linear Algebra Package, numerical linear algebra lib, with roots in FORTRAN 77,

BLAS: Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms; vector/matrix lib,

MKL: Math Kernel Library; fast Intel-arch optimized math lib.

High-Performce-Computing (HPC)

The ECE GPU-cluster



CPUS

Central Processing Unit



CPUs

Build Tensorflow from source (SKIP)



- for specific architecture, say ARM,
- or for HPC optimization for all CPU feature

```
> lscpu
Architecture:  x86_64
Model name:    Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-6600U CPU @ 2.60GHz
Flags:         fpu vme de pse tsc msr pae mce cx8 apic sep mtrr
               pge dts acpi mmx fxsr fma..sse sse2..sse4_1sse4_2..
```



Using Docker and pulling TF from GIT + a lot of scripting!

```
> git clone https://github.com/tensorflow/tensorflow
> git checkout 1.12
```

(lots of scripting and pain..)

```
> bazel build -copt=-mfma -copt=-msse4.2 tensorflow
```

Howtos:

https://www.tensorflow.org/install/source
https://www.pugetsystems.com/labs/hpc/Build-TensorFlow-CPU-with-MKL-and-Anaconda-Python-3-6-using-a-Docker-Container-1133
https://www.pugetsystems.com/labs/hpc/Build-TensorFlow-GPU-with-CUDA-9-1-MKL-and-Anaconda-Python-3-6-using-a-Docker-Container-1134

CPUs

SIMD/Data parallel compute using CPU

CPU architectures:

- i386/AMD64,ARM (Raspberry)
- all CPU types: lots of cores; end of Moore's Law, 'Singularity University', problem w. exp. growth?



Exponential Technologies

- Artificial Intelligence
- Robotics
- Biotech
- Manufacturing
- Computation / Networks
- Synthetic Biology
- Digital Medicine



Scikit-learn problem with SIMD:

- no ML-algo GPU hardware enabled,
- many ML-algo not even multicore aware!

Keras/TensorFlow:

- CPU optimization of TF possible,
- (GPU enabled TF possible, using CUDA + cudNN).

GP-GPUS

General-Purpose Graphical Processing Unit

CPUs vs GPUs



Nehalem CPU
die size: $\sim$700 mm^2
transistors: $\sim 2.3 \cdot 10^9$



Fermi GPU
die size: 520 mm^2
transistors: $\sim 3 \cdot 10^9$

Nvidia arch. transistor counts	
Pascal	$\sim 15 \cdot 10^9$
Turing	$\sim 19 \cdot 10^9$
Volta	$\sim 21 \cdot 10^9$
Ampere	$\sim 28 \cdot 10^9$ (GPU 3090, 8nm)

CPUs vs GPUs

So many transistors, but how many for the ALUs/FPUs?

What makes GPUs such excellent HW for Machine Learning?

ALU: Arithmetic logic unit
FPU: Floating-point unit
Memory Controller: six controllers on GPU,
CPU: lots of speculative execution; waste of transistors.



CPU (type?)
with one ALU/FPU marked



Fermi GPU
ALUs/FPUs all over

GPUs

Fundamental Problems with the GPUs Hardware (SKIP most, except WARP)

GPUs had several *Achilles heels* related to its hardware, many of them addressed in the latest Volta V100 architecture:

- coding problems graphically, now CUDA,
- no STACK, now added,
- no CACHE (or Texture only), now both L_1 and L_2 cache,
- distinct GPU memory, now UNIFIED memory,
- SIMT WARP-bunch of 32-threads, now true SIMD,



GPUs

GPU architecture: Core Design, Streaming Multiprocessors



GPUs

Core Design for a SM (Streaming Multiprocessor)

Volta SM design (new gen. GPU):

FP64/32:FPUs
INT: ALUs
TENSOR CORES: ?

Ampere, RTX, 3090:
Raytracingkerner: ?

GEFORCE RTX 3090

NVIDIA CUDA® kerner	10496	Raytracingkerner	2. generation	Standard hukommelseskonfiguration	24 GB GDDR6X
Høj CPU-hastighed (GHz)	1.70	Tensor Cores	3. generation	Hukommelsesgrænsefladens bredde	384-bit
Normal CPU-hastighed (GHz)	1.40				

GPUs

Demo: RTX and raytracing



[https://www.gamingscan.com/nvidia-rtx-vs-gtx/]

GPUs

Tensor cores: Raytracing vs Rasterization on GPUs



[https://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/2018/03/19/whats-difference-between-ray-tracing-rasterization]

GPUs

Tensor Cores (SKIP most)



$$D = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} & A_{0,3} \\ A_{1,0} & A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,0} & A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} \\ A_{3,0} & A_{3,1} & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{0,0} & B_{0,1} & B_{0,2} & B_{0,3} \\ B_{1,0} & B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} & B_{1,3} \\ B_{2,0} & B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} & B_{2,3} \\ B_{3,0} & B_{3,1} & B_{3,2} & B_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} C_{0,0} & C_{0,1} & C_{0,2} & C_{0,3} \\ C_{1,0} & C_{1,1} & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} \\ C_{2,0} & C_{2,1} & C_{2,2} & C_{2,3} \\ C_{3,0} & C_{3,1} & C_{3,2} & C_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

FP16 or FP32 — FP16 — FP16 — FP16 or FP32

Tesla V100's Tensor Cores deliver up to 125 Tensor TFLOPS for training and inference applications.

[volta-architecture-whitepaper.pdf]

HPC Top500

Best High-Performance Computer

[https://www.top500.org/list/2007/06/]
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Nvidia_graphics_processing_units]

Model	Launch	Transistors (billion)	Die size (mm^2)	Processing power (TFLOPS)			
				Single precision	Double precision	Half precision	Tensor compute (FP16) (2:1 sparse)
GeForce RTX 3070[120]	October 29, 2020[121]	17.4	392.5	17.66 20.37	0.276 0.318	17.66 20.37	141.31 162.98
GeForce RTX 3080[123]	September 17, 2020	28.3	628.4	25.06 29.76	0.392 0.465	25.06 29.76	200.54 238.14
GeForce RTX 3090[124]	September 24, 2020	28.3		29.38 35.68	0.459 0.558	29.38 35.68	235.08 285.48

TOP500 The List.

HOME | LISTS | STATISTICS | RESOURC

Home »Lists »TOP500 »June 2007

JUNE 2007

Rank	System	Cores	Rmax (TFlop/s)	Rpeak (TFlop/s)	Power (kW)
1	**BlueGene/L** - eServer Blue Gene Solution, IBM DOE/NNSA/LLNL United States	131,072	280.6	367.0	1,433
2	**Jaguar** - Cray XT4/XT3, Cray/HPE DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	23,016	101.7	119.3	
3	**Red Storm** - Sandia/ Cray Red Storm, Opteron 2.4 GHz dual core, Cray/HPE NNSA/Sandia National Laboratories United States	26,544	101.4	127.4	

GPUs

Turing Tensor Core GPU (this is not a commercial!)

GPU A[illegible]

NVIDIA T4 enterprise GPUs supercharge the world's most trusted mainstream servers, bla. bla...

[illegible]s. Its low-profile, 70-watt (W) design

[illegible] Cores delivering

[illegible] deep learning [illegible] in [illegible] for [illegible].



SPECIFICATIONS

GPU Architecture	**NVIDIA Turing**
NVIDIA Turing Tensor Cores	**320**
NVIDIA CUDA® Cores	**2,560**
Single-Precision	**8.1 TFLOPS**
Mixed-Precision (FP16/FP32)	**65 TFLOPS**
INT8	**130 TOPS**
INT4	**260 TOPS**
GPU Memory	**16 GB GDDR6 300 GB/sec**
ECC	**Yes**
Interconnect Bandwidth	**32 GB/sec**
System Interface	**x16 PCIe Gen3**
Form Factor	**Low-Profile PCIe**
Thermal Solution	**Passive**
Compute APIs	**CUDA, NVIDIA TensorRT™, ONNX**

Inference Performance



Comparisons made of one NVIDIA Tesla T4 GPU and servers with a dual-socket Xeon Gold 6140 CPU.

Training Performance



Comparison made between dual NVIDIA Tesla T4 GPUs and servers with a dual-socket Xeon Gold 6140 CPU.

[t4-tensor-core-datasheet-951643.pdf]

GPUs

When is the GPU faster than the CPU for NN?

GPU slower for CPU for a three-layer NN + MNIST, why?

- GPU needs a reasonable about of trainable paramters + data to beat the CPU!

`model.summary()`:

```
#  i=12, n=4096
#  _________________________________________________________________
#  Layer (type)                 Output Shape              Param #
#  =================================================================
#  dense_46 (Dense)             (None, 4096)              3215360
#  _________________________________________________________________
#  dropout_31 (Dropout)         (None, 4096)              0
#  _________________________________________________________________
#  dense_47 (Dense)             (None, 4096)              16781312
#  _________________________________________________________________
#  dropout_32 (Dropout)         (None, 4096)              0
#  _________________________________________________________________
#  dense_48 (Dense)             (None, 10)                40970
#  =================================================================
#  Total params: 20,037,642
#  Trainable params: 20,037,642
#  Non-trainable params: 0
```

GPUs

Actual test on the GPU-server

CPU vs GPU on MNIST for a three layer NN with dropout...



GPUs

Actual test on the GPU-server

CPU vs GPU on MNIST for a three layer NN with dropout...



TPUS

Tensor Processing Units



TPUs

Tensor Processing Units

Custom ASICs by Google



TPU v1

Launched in 2015
Inference only



TPU v2

Launched in 2017
Inference and training

Cloud TPU v3

Launched in 2018
Inference and training





Dev board with Google Edge TPU ML accelerator coprocessor

[https://coral.withgoogle.com/products/dev-board/]

TPUs

Access to TPUs (SKIP)

Free Jupyter Notebook environment with access to TPUs:

`https://colab.research.google.com`



TPUs

TPU Cloud

[https://storage.googleapis.com/nexttpu/index.html]

TPUs vs GPUs

Performance, TPUs vs GPUs, who wins? (SKIP most)

- Huge advantage for TPU performance-per-watt,
- Colab performance:
  inconclusive (TPU part does not work yet),
- TPU only for inference?

	K80 2012	TPU 2015	P40 2016
Inferences/Sec <10ms latency	1/13 TH	1X	2X
Training TOPS	6 FP32	NA	12 FP32
Inference TOPS	6 FP32	90 INT8	48 INT8
On-chip Memory	16MB	24 MB	11 MB
Power	300W	75W	250W
Bandwidth	320 GB/S	34 GB/S	350 GB/S

[https://www.extremetech.com/computing/247403-nvidia-claims-pascal-gpus-challenge-googles-tensorflow-tpu-updated-benchmarks]

EXOTIC HARDWARE

Exotic Hardware

- Intel Phi multicore CPU, 64 i386 cores:



- Raspberry PIs + Intel Movideus stick



- FPGAs

ASICs vs GPUs

Bitcoin-mining and Low-hash-rate GPUs

AntMiner S7: based on ASICs.

Some specs:

- hash rate: 4.8 THash/s
- chips per unit: 162 x BM1385
- power consumption: **1210 W**
- power efficiency: 0.25 W/GHash
- price: $479.95 ∼ 2880,- DKK
- production: **0.16 bitcoin/month**





ASICs vs GPUs

78 x GeForce RTX 30180 Mining Rig..

[https://www.techarp.com/computer/78-rtx-3080-mining-rig/]

ASICs vs GPUs

Coin-mining and Low-hash-rate GPUs





[https://www.tomshardware.com/how-to/optimize-your-gpu-for-ethereum-mining]