

Lucas Kanade based Optical Flow for Vehicle Motion Tracking and Velocity Estimation

1st Gokul L P

College of Engineering Trivandrum
Thiruvananthapuram, India
tve19ae028@cet.ac.in

2nd Adarsh P

College of Engineering Trivandrum
Thiruvananthapuram, India
tve19ae005@cet.ac.in

3rd Gayathri Vinayan

College of Engineering Trivandrum
Thiruvananthapuram, India
tve19ae026@cet.ac.in

4th Gokuldath G

College of Engineering Trivandrum
Thiruvananthapuram, India
tve19ae027@cet.ac.in

5th Ponmalar M

ISRO Inertial Systems Unit
Thiruvananthapuram, India
ponmalar_m@vssc.gov.in

6th Aswini S H

College of Engineering Trivandrum
Thiruvananthapuram, India
sh.aswinish@gmail.com

Abstract—Optical flow is a powerful application of image processing that is used in a variety of applications, primarily in object tracking and motion estimation. In this paper, we implement a system for vehicle motion tracking and velocity estimation using Lucas-Kanade (L-K) algorithm based optical flow method. The work includes two applications of optical flow: tracking the movement of the vehicle in the case of a fixed camera and velocity estimation of a vehicle with a camera mounted on it. Pre-processing steps include gaussian smoothing, and computing spatial and temporal gradients. This is followed by the further formulation of Lucas kanade equation in the form of matrices. The system of equations is then solved using the least square error criteria, and the flow vectors are obtained. Processes such as segmentation, blob analysis, camera calibration, and thresholding are further done which are used for velocity estimation as well as motion tracking. The functionality was tested and verified on video sequences obtained from the lab testing scenarios and real-world camera visuals taken from various sources.

Index Terms—Optical flow, Lucas-Kanade algorithm, Motion tracking, Velocity estimation, Camera calibration

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical flow can be defined as the distribution of apparent velocities of movements of brightness patterns between two consecutive frames of a video. They generally work under the assumption that the intensity of an image point does not change between two consecutive frames when captured at a reasonable frame rate. Optical flow algorithms, in general, estimate the relative motion between two successive frames of a video.

Velocity estimation and motion tracking have been an area under research for the past few decades. It finds immense application in the areas of unmanned aerial vehicles as well as self-driving cars. An accurate vehicle motion and velocity estimate are of utmost importance in these applications, as even small errors could have negative impacts. Although there are many sensor-based technologies available, they are prone to damage and error. The rise of high frame rate cameras and immense developments in the field of computer vision has provided us with an alternate approach

to address this need. Image-based sensors along with optical flow algorithms allow us to avoid any mechanical factors to factor into the velocity estimation procedure. Hence the accuracy and durability are increased compared to existing methods.

For estimating optic flow from image sequences, several methods have been developed. They are mainly divided as gradient based methods [1]–[3], matching with region [4], phase-based methods [5]. The gradient-based technique is built on the idea that while a pixel location within an image may change owing to motion, the pixel brightness will remain consistent for a brief period. A local constraint on optical flow at a single point can hence be assumed [6]. The gradient-based approach, among the different optical flow estimation techniques, is most suited to fulfill our needs. However, it faces a major constraint in having only one equation with the x and y-components of the flow vector as the two unknowns. Therefore, to solve for two unknowns, more constraints are required. A wide range of approaches has been taken up far to address this issue. A comparative study of different optical flow algorithms, taking into account the accuracy and speed, can be found in [7].

The Lucas and Kanade (L-K) method described, in [8], is chosen for our implementation, owing to its low hardware requirement and computational efficiency [9]. This algorithm solves the under constraint issue by using the assumption that optical flow remains constant in a window of pixels. With this presumption that brightness would remain constant across time, the technique performs a gradient search on spatiotemporal derivatives on the image that have been initially smoothed to reduce noise effects. With the aid of the Lucas Kanade optical flow algorithm, the motion of the vehicle is recognized and tracked across the frames. Pre-processing processes like Gaussian smoothing, computing spatial and temporal gradients, and creation of Lucas-kanade matrix are initially done. Then the system of equations

obtained is solved using the least square error criterion to obtain the flow vectors. The flow vectors obtained are used for further processes such as segmentation, blob analysis, and velocity estimation.

Our implementation aims at two different applications of the optic flow which are vehicle motion tracking and velocity estimation. In the motion tracking part, the camera is fixed and video input from the camera is used to track the motion of the vehicle. This is done by segmenting the moving parts of the video from the static background, using the magnitude of flow vectors as a threshold. In the velocity estimation part, the camera is mounted on the vehicle and the velocity of the moving vehicle is estimated. For this, the best features to track are initially found which is followed by a localized optic flow computation on the identified feature areas only. After obtaining the optic flow, camera calibration is used to map the flow vectors to the real-world motion. Experiments were conducted using videos from test vehicles in the lab as well real-world prerecorded videos from various sources.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows: Lucas Kanade's optical flow algorithm has been explained in the next section. The implementation procedure is explained in section III, which includes an explanation of the algorithm involved also. The results and experiment details are explained in section IV. Future works and conclusions are discussed in the final section.

II. LUCAS KANADE OPTIC FLOW ALGORITHM

The gradient-based methodology has been applied in optic flow algorithms over the years. It is predicated on the idea that while a point's location within an image may change owing to motion, that point's brightness will remain constant over a brief period. However, it faces a major disadvantage because the constraint only involves one equation with the x- and y-components of the flow vector as the two unknowns. Therefore, to solve for two unknowns, more constraints are required. The "Lucas Kanade" algorithm suggests a geographic local optimization that implements a constant velocity over each spatial neighborhood in order to tackle this issue. The basic assumption of optical flow algorithms is the brightness constraint and the equation that connects the relative change in image intensity at a pixel to the movement of the brightness pattern is given by Horn and Schunck (1981), given by:

$$I_x v_x + I_y v_y = -I_t \quad (1)$$

where $v_x = dx/dt$ and $v_y = dy/dt$, which are the optical flow velocities in the horizontal and vertical directions while $I_x = dI/dx$ is the x gradient of image intensity and $I_y = dI/dy$ is the y gradient of image intensity at that point.

However (1) has 2 unknowns v_x and v_y , so the system is under constrained. The Lucas-Kanade Algorithm solves this




Fig. 1: Lucas Kanade algorithm

by assuming a constant velocity in a spatial neighbourhood. We only require two equations to arrive at a unique solution to the problem, however more than two are taken in order to accommodate for the possible errors and non linearities that might enter during observation. Consider a small spatial neighborhood to be a window of size $\omega \times \omega$ that encloses ω^2 pixels. An equation can be obtained at each pixel in small spatial neighborhood. We can get ω^2 observation equations as an overdetermined linear equation system:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1x} & I_{1y} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_{ix} & I_{iy} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_{\omega^2 x} & I_{\omega^2 y} \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ I_{it} \\ \vdots \\ I_{\omega^2 t} \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} V_x \\ V_y \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus we get

$$A \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -b \quad (3)$$

We can use the least square error method to find a solution to the linear equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -(A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \quad (4)$$

The solution gives us the x component v_x and y component v_y of the flow vector at that spatial window. We can repeat this window by moving the window all across the image to compute a dense optical flow output.

III. IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURE

An overview of the sequential phases involved in the Lucas Kanade algorithm are presented in Fig.(1) The fundamental steps are: 1) input image smoothing with gaussian filter; 2) calculation of spatio-temporal gradients along the x, y, and time axes; 3) estimation of least square matrix by convolving the spatio-temporal gradients in a small neighbourhood; and

4) solving the system of linear equations in the neighbourhood to calculate the flow vector

This paper presents two distinct approaches for implementing the Lucas-Kanade algorithm for optical flow estimation, each tailored to a different scenario. The first approach is specifically designed for estimating the motion of moving objects when the camera is stationed in a fixed location within the space, while the second approach focuses on computing the optic flow of an object when the camera is moving relative to it.

A. VEHICLE MOTION ESTIMATION

1) *PRE-PROCESSING*: Pre-processing improves image quality and makes it suitable for processing. It includes techniques such as enhancement, noise reduction, and resizing. It increases the accuracy and reliability of image processing results, making it a crucial step in the development and application of image processing algorithms.

a) Gaussian smoothing: Images can easily become contaminated with noise during various stages of image processing, such as acquisition, compression, or transmission. To enhance performance and eliminate these noise signals, noise reduction spatio-temporal filters are found in image processing. These filters are also used in neighborhood edge detection algorithms improve its accuracy. 2 dimensional Gaussian filters are particularly popular in multi-scale devices for edge detection. The main reasons are, they don't create false edges when used together with the Laplacian operator, even on an increase of scale. Secondly, they offer the optimal balance between localization in both frequency and spatial domains.

A 2 dimensional Gaussian function with a zero mean and a standard deviation σ can be described by:

$$G(x, y) = e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (5)$$

The function is considered approximately 0 all for x and y values outside of a range of 3 standard deviations from the mean.

b) Gradient Computation: The image after smoothing is then subjected to a gradient computation stage, to calculate the gradients in x, y, and t axes. The direction of the most rapid change in intensity is indicated by a gradient (partial derivatives), which can be written as:

$$\Delta I = \left[\frac{\delta I}{\delta x}, \frac{\delta I}{\delta y} \right] \quad (6)$$

where $\frac{\delta I}{\delta x}$ gives the gradient along the x direction and $\frac{\delta I}{\delta y}$ gives the gradient along the y direction and gives information about the edges in the image. These derivatives are implemented using the finite difference approximations given by:

$$\frac{\delta I}{\delta x} \approx \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} ((I_{i+1,j+1} - I_{i,j+1}) + (I_{i+1,j+1} - I_{i,j})) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\delta I}{\delta y} \approx \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} ((I_{i+1,j+1} - I_{i,j+1}) + (I_{i+1,j+1} - I_{i,j})) \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\delta I}{\delta t} \approx ((I_{i+1,j+1} - I_{i+1,j}) + (I_{i,j+1} - I_{i,j})) \quad (9)$$

where ε is the distance between two pixels. This is implemented using the convolution filters.

2) *COMPUTATION OF OPTICAL FLOW*: After undergoing the preprocessing stages of Gaussian smoothing and the calculation of spatial and temporal gradients, we are prepared to implement the Lucas-Kanade algorithm and calculate optical flow. The method operates under the assumption that optical flow is constant within a spatial window, with a smaller window size resulting in a more accurate flow calculation. In our implementation, we have chosen a window of 3 x 3 pixels, providing a dense and accurate flow estimation.

Starting from the top right corner of the images, we start formation of A and B matrix by accessing the corresponding I_x I_y I_t values from the gradient matrices computed earlier. As we are utilising a 3X3 neighbourhood, we will require 9 iterations for the x, y, and t gradients for each window. Each pixel in the window will be represented by one of the nine equations. Finally spatial gradients are reshaped to [9x2] matrix and temporal gradients are reshaped to [9x1] matrix to form the A and B matrix correspondingly of [1].

The next step is to test the invertability of matrix ($A^T A$) and solve the system of linear equations using the least squares method. The procedure is repeated by sliding the window around the image to calculate dense optical flow throughout the image. The result will be $(W - 3) * (H - 3)$ number of optical flow vectors, where W is the image width and H is the image height. The output flow vectors can be visualized as a quiver plot displayed over the second frame.

3) *SEGMENTATION AND POST-PROCESSING*: To estimate features like structure and velocity of motion, the computed dense optic flow can be utilized. Segmentation of moving parts from static areas in the visual scene is the first step towards this. In our case, we separate the moving vehicle from the static background using the optic flow magnitude as a reliable indicator of motion and the magnitude of each optic flow vectors calculated.

$$M = \sqrt{U_x^2 + V_x^2} \quad (10)$$

where U_x^2 is the x-component of flow vector and V_x^2 is the y-component of flow vector. The magnitude values are also normalised to a value between [0,255].

Thresholding is a simple method for image segmentation. By comparing the magnitude of each flow vector to a threshold and classifying pixels with magnitude greater than

the threshold as 1 and all others as 0, a binary image is generated as in Fig. 3. This image shows the moving vehicle in white and the static background in black, but it may also contain noise caused by lack of texture or lighting changes. To enhance the segmented image, other morphological operations are carried out, such as opening and shutting employing structural elements, followed by hole filling to produce a single, usable blob of the moving vehicle for further analysis.



Fig. 2: Image with velocity flow vectors




Fig. 3: Threshold image

4) **BLOB ANALYSIS AND CENTROID TRACKING:** Blob refers to a region of an image that shares the same visual characteristics, in this case optic flow magnitudes greater than the threshold. The Matlab Blob Analysis block is used to determine features for labelled regions in the binary images. The block returns data such as the centroid, bounding box coordinates, and count of blobs. The centroid of the blob can be located using this feature.

The steps [1-4] in the entire procedure are repeated for the following two frames, and eventually for the complete video. For the whole video, the centroid coordinates are maintained. The centroid coordinates can be plotted as a three-dimensional plot with time as the third axis once the full computation is complete. The vehicle motion estimated is as shown in Fig. 6.

This method of optical flow computation to estimate the movement of a vehicle or object is limited to situations where the camera remains stationary relative to the moving vehicle, making it primarily suitable for monitoring the motion of vehicles on highways. Additionally, the threshold used to compare the magnitude of the optic flow is fixed and won't cater to all scenarios, therefore, an adaptive thresholding approach would be necessary for a more comprehensive implementation.

B. VELOCITY ESTIMATION

For estimating the velocity in 1D, multiple feature windows are selected in the same image to reduce fluctuations in velocity due to discretization errors. The average optical flow is calculated from these windows and is used to estimate velocity for successive pairs of frames. The obtained velocity is passed through a low-pass IIR filter to minimize the effects of noise. The procedure for velocity estimation [10] is explained in steps below :

- 1) The camera is calibrated to determine intrinsic parameters such as focal length (F_c), distortion (K_c), principle point (C_c), and skew coefficient (α_c). Feature window count and camera height are defined as initial variables.
- 2) Acquire two successive images I_j and I_{j+1} .
- 3) Good features to track are identified. This reduces the computations required for calculating the optical flow for all the pixels in the image.
- 4) Calculate the average optical flow (D) from N feature windows by the application of optical flow algorithm on I_j and I_{j+1} .
- 5) The velocity is computed using equation (17). A low-pass IIR filter is then applied to refine the velocity estimated.
- 6) The above procedure is repeated for the entire image sequence.

1) **NEWTON RAPHSON METHOD:** It is an iterative algorithm that is used to find the optic flow by minimising a residual function given by equation, where the image point's pixel coordinate is denoted by (x, y) , with the coordinate of the top left corner pixel being assigned $(0, 0)$ and the lower right corner pixel being $(m_x - 1, m_y - 1)$, where m_x and m_y denote the image height and image width correspondingly. The greyscale intensities are noted of the first and second images as I_j and I_{j+1} , respectively. The feature window, represented as W , has a size of $(2\omega_x + 1, 2\omega_y + 1)$. The displacement between features in consecutive image frames is referred to as optical flow and is represented by $D = (\Delta x, \Delta y)$.

$$\tau(k) = \iint_{\omega} (I_j(q) - I_{j+1}(q + k))^2 dx dy \quad (11)$$

The algorithm for minimising is as follows:

- 1) choose a feature window in image $I_j(q)$ to track.
- 2) Let $L = (L_x, L_y)$ represent the center of the feature window in image $I_j(p)$.
- 3) The width and length of the window, denoted as ω_x and ω_y , are set by the user, with typical values ranging from 2 to 7 pixels.
- 4) Let $k = (\Delta x, \Delta y)$, where $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ is an initial guess.
- 5) Perform the following calculations:

$$Q = \sum_{X=L_x-\omega_x}^{L_x+\omega_x} \sum_{Y=L_y-\omega_y}^{L_y+\omega_y} G(q)G^T(q) \quad (12)$$

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

$$B = \sum_{X=L_x-\omega_x}^{L_x+\omega_x} \sum_{Y=L_y-\omega_y}^{L_y+\omega_y} (I_j(q) - I_{j-1}(q+k))G(q) \quad (13)$$

Where,

$$G(q) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta}{\delta x}(I_j(q) + I_{j+1}(q+k)) \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y}(I_j(q) + I_{j+1}(q+k)) \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

- 6) Solve linear equation $Qk_i = B$.
- 7) Update $k = k + k_j$.
- 8) Compute
- 9) If the value of $\tau(k)$ is less than the predefined threshold τ , proceed to step 5.
- 10) The optical flow is k .

2) **CAMERA CALIBRATION:** There are two types of parameters: extrinsic parameters and intrinsic parameters. Extrinsic parameters depend on the orientation of the camera relative to the environment and are not constant. Intrinsic parameters, on the other hand, are specific to the camera and are most important for finding out the real world coordinates. The intrinsic parameters are estimated using the method in [11].

- Focal length: $F_c = [F_1 \quad F_2]$,
- Principal point: $C_c = [C_1 \quad C_2]$,
- Skew coefficient: α_c
- Distortion: $K_c = [K_1 \quad K_2 \quad K_3] \quad K_4 \quad K_5$

The camera's optical axis is perpendicular to the ground plane and is assumed to have minimal distortion and skew.

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_q \\ Y_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \frac{X_0}{Z_0} + C_1 \\ F_2 \frac{X_0}{Z_0} + C_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

By differentiating equation (15) with respect to time, the velocity of the camera is determined in the X-Y plane.

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{F_1}{Z_0^2}(Z_0 U_x - X_0 U_z) \\ \frac{F_2}{Z_0^2}(Z_0 U_x - X_0 U_z) \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_x \\ U_y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Z_0 u_x}{F_1} + \frac{X_0 U_z}{Z_0} \\ \frac{Z_0 u_y}{F_2} + \frac{X_0 U_z}{Z_0} \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

where,

- U_x , U_y and U_z are the velocities of the camera in X, Y and Z directions respectively.
- Z_0 is the distance between the centre of projection of the camera and the feature point on the ground.
- u_x and u_y are the image velocity.
- (X_p, Y_p) is the centre point of the feature window in the first image.
- Focal length: $F_c = [F_1 \quad F_2]$
- Principal point: $C_c = [C_1 \quad C_2]$,

The developed system was subjected to various test video sequences. The procedure was repeated for 3 different video sequences that were captured manually and 1 test video available on the internet. The time taken for computation of




Fig. 4: Original Image




Fig. 5: Threshold Image



Fig. 6: Vehicle Trajectory

optic flow vectors between two consecutive frames are shown in Table 1. We can observe that for the 640x352 resolution videos, the average time for computation was 7.14 sec and 15.88 sec for 1280X720 resolution video.

Video	Resolution	Computation time per iteration
1	640 x352	7.03 sec
2	640 x352	6.95 sec
3	640 x352	7.45 sec
4	1280 x720	15.88 sec

The output obtained at different stages are shown for which the flow vectors are shown in blue arrowheads, the direction of the arrow head indicates the direction of motion of the object, here the direction of motion of vehicle motion. The optic flow

output image shown in Fig. 4 is obtained using the quiver plot function with optic flow vectors u and v as arguments. Fig. 5 shows the segmented output that is formed after comparing the magnitude of optic flow vectors to a threshold and thereby forming a binary image. After segmentation follows morphological operations, blob detection and analysis. The centroid of the blob, represented by a circle, is estimated in a single frame and is carried over to subsequent frames of the video to obtain the trajectory of the moving object. This trajectory is represented by a series of circles that demonstrate the motion of the object over time. The vehicle trajectory path observed for one of the test case videos with the centroids represented using purple circles, shown in Fig. 6.

In case of the velocity estimation experiment, a test video was used which was taken using a moving friendly vehicle on top of which the camera was mounted. A T-shaped pattern was fixed on a wall as in Fig. 7, which was used as a feature to track. The identification of these T patterns is done using shape segmentation and is shown in Fig. 8. The



Fig. 7: Original Image




Fig. 8: Threshold Image

video sequence captured by motion of the vehicle was used to estimate the velocity of the vehicle. The various specifications regarding the camera as well as other parameters are as follows:

Frame rate	:	30 fps
Range	:	20 cm
Focal length (in mm)	:	[460.2016 : 450.8860]
Skew coefficient	:	-7.0399
Radial distortion coefficients	:	[0.3611 0.0400 -0.8501]
Tangential distortion coefficients	:	[0.0214 -0.067]

The estimated mean velocity of the vehicle along with its accuracy are:

Original velocity	:	40 mm/s
Estimated velocity	:	39.2761 mm/s
% Error	:	1.809

V. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORKS

The developed system is tested on multiple videos and a reasonable accuracy is obtained. The major issue facing this application is the requirement for range value to be constant i.e, the distance from camera to the object to be constant. This will however cause a issue for a practical application as this constraint cannot be always met. However there are some other approaches through which we can solve this issue, which shall be looked into in the next stages of research.

As we can clearly see from the experimental results, a software implementation will not cut it to meet the real time requirements. To address this issue, the next step will be to implement this functionality in a hardware system such as field programmable gate array (FPGA). It will significantly increase the computational speed and allows us to estimate velocity on the go. Such an implementation can be interfaced with a camera and will find tremendous application in a lot of areas as it can meet the real time requirements.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. D. Lucas, T. Kanade *et al.*, *An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision*. Vancouver, 1981, vol. 81.
- [2] B. K. Horn and E. Weldon, "Direct methods for recovering motion," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 51–76, 1988.
- [3] B. K. Horn and B. G. Schunck, "Determining optical flow," *Artificial intelligence*, vol. 17, no. 1-3, pp. 185–203, 1981.
- [4] P. Anandan, "A computational framework and an algorithm for the measurement of visual motion," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 283–310, 1989.
- [5] A. M. Waxman and K. Wahn, "Contour evolution, neighborhood deformation, and global image flow: Planar surfaces in motion," *The International journal of robotics research*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 95–108, 1985.
- [6] T. Fuse, E. Shimizu, and M. Tsutsumi, "A comparative study on gradient-based approaches for optical flow estimation," *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 33, no. B5/1; PART 5, pp. 269–276, 2000.
- [7] J. L. Barron, D. J. Fleet, and S. S. Beauchemin, "Performance of optical flow techniques," *International journal of computer vision*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 43–77, 1994.
- [8] V. Mahalingam, K. Bhattacharya, N. Ranganathan, H. Chakravarthula, R. R. Murphy, and K. S. Pratt, "A vlsi architecture and algorithm for lucas-kanade-based optical flow computation," *IEEE transactions on very large scale integration (VLSI) systems*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 29–38, 2009.
- [9] H. Liu, T.-H. Hong, M. Herman, T. Camus, and R. Chellappa, "Accuracy vs efficiency trade-offs in optical flow algorithms," *Computer vision and image understanding*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 271–286, 1998.
- [10] S. Chhaniyara, P. Bunnun, L. D. Seneviratne, and K. Althoefer, "Optical flow algorithm for velocity estimation of ground vehicles: A feasibility study," *International Journal on smart sensing and intelligent systems*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 245–267, 2008.
- [11] MathWorks, "Image processing toolbox," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mathworks.com/products/image.html>