```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)
nsims <- 100000 #set number of simulations
library(mvtnorm)
library(afex)
library(emmeans)
library(ggplot2)
library(gridExtra)
library(reshape2)</pre>
```

## Validation of Power in Mixed ANOVA

We install the functions:

```
# Install the two functions from GitHub by running the code below:
source("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/Lakens/ANOVA_power_simulation/master/ANOVA_design.R")
source("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/Lakens/ANOVA_power_simulation/master/ANOVA_power.R")
```

## Two by two ANOVA, within-between design

We can simulate a 2x2 ANOVA, both factors manipulated within participants, with a specific sample size and effect size, to achieve a desired statistical power.

As Potvin & Schutz (2000) explain, analytic procedures for a two-factor repeated measures ANOVA do not seem to exist. The main problem is quantifying the error variance (the denominator when calculating lambda or Cohen's f). Simulation based approaches provide a solution.

We can reproduce the simulation coded by Ben Amsel

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo=TRUE, warning=FALSE, message=FALSE)

# define the parameters
mu = c(700, 670, 670, 700) # true effects (in this case, a double dissociation)
sigma = 150 # population standard deviation
rho = 0.75 # correlation between repeated measures
nsubs = 25 # how many subjects?
nsims = 5000 # how many simulation replicates?

# create 2 factors representing the 2 independent variables
cond = data.frame(
    X1 = rep(factor(letters[1:2]), nsubs * 2),
    X2 = rep(factor(letters[1:2]), nsubs, each=2))

# create a subjects factor
subject = factor(sort(rep(1:nsubs, 4)))

# combine above into the design matrix
dm = data.frame(subject, cond)
```

Build Sigma: the population variance-covariance matrix

```
# create k x k matrix populated with sigma
sigma.mat <- rep(sigma, 4)
S <- matrix(sigma.mat, ncol=length(sigma.mat), nrow=length(sigma.mat))</pre>
```

```
# compute covariance between measures
Sigma \leftarrow t(S) * S * rho
# put the variances on the diagonal
diag(Sigma) <- sigma^2</pre>
Run the simulation
# stack 'nsims' individual data frames into one large data frame
df = dm[rep(seq_len(nrow(dm)), nsims), ]
# add an index column to track the simulation run
df$simID = sort(rep(seq len(nsims), nrow(dm)))
# sample the observed data from a multivariate normal distribution
# using MASS::murnorm with the parameters mu and Sigma created earlier
# and bind to the existing df
require(MASS)
make.y = expression(as.vector(t(mvrnorm(nsubs, mu, Sigma))))
df$y = as.vector(replicate(nsims, eval(make.y)))
# use do(), the general purpose complement to the specialized data
# manipulation functions available in dplyr, to run the ANOVA on
# each section of the grouped data frame created by group_by
require(dplyr)
require(car)
require(broom)
mods <- df %>%
  group_by(simID) %>%
    do(model = aov(y ~ X1 * X2 + Error(subject / (X1*X2)), qr=FALSE, data = .))
# extract p-values for each effect and store in a data frame
p = data.frame(
  mods %>% do(as.data.frame(tidy(.$model[[3]])$p.value[1])),
  mods %>% do(as.data.frame(tidy(.$model[[4]])$p.value[1])),
  mods %>% do(as.data.frame(tidy(.$model[[5]])$p.value[1])))
colnames(p) = c('X1','X2','Interaction')
The empirical power is easy to compute, it's just the proportion of simulation runs where p < .05.
power.res = apply(as.matrix(p), 2,
  function(x) round(mean(ifelse(x < .05, 1, 0) * 100),2))
power.res
##
            Х1
                         X2 Interaction
          5.12
                                  49.88
                       4.94
Visualize the distributions of p-values
# plot the known effects
require(ggplot2)
require(gridExtra)
```

```
means = data.frame(cond[1:4, ], mu, SE = sigma / sqrt(nsubs))
plt1 = ggplot(means, aes(y = mu, x = X1, fill=X2)) +
  geom_bar(position = position_dodge(), stat="identity") +
  geom_errorbar(aes(ymin = mu-SE, ymax = mu+SE),
    position = position_dodge(width=0.9), size=.6, width=.3) +
  coord_cartesian(ylim=c((.7*min(mu)), 1.2*max(mu))) +
 theme_bw()
# melt the data into a ggplot friendly 'long' format
require(reshape2)
plotData <- melt(p, value.name = 'p')</pre>
# plot each of the p-value distributions on a log scale
options(scipen = 999) # 'turn off' scientific notation
plt2 = ggplot(plotData, aes(x = p)) +
    scale_x_log10(breaks=c(1, 0.05, 0.001),
                  labels=c(1, 0.05, 0.001)) +
    geom_histogram(colour = "darkblue", fill = "white") +
    geom_vline(xintercept = 0.05, colour='red') +
   facet_grid(variable ~ .) +
   labs(x = expression(Log[10]~P)) +
    theme(axis.text.x = element_text(color='black', size=7))
# arrange plots side by side and print
grid.arrange(plt1, plt2, nrow=1)
We can reproduce this simulation:
mu = c(700, 670, 670, 700) # true effects (in this case, a double dissociation)
sigma = 150 # population standard deviation
rho = 0.75 # correlation between repeated measures
n <- 25
sd <- 150
r < -0.75
string = "2w*2w"
alpha_level <- 0.05
p_adjust = "none"
labelnames = c("age", "old", "young", "color", "blue", "red")
design_result <- ANOVA_design(string = string,</pre>
                              n = n,
                              mu = mu,
                              sd = sd,
                              r = r,
                              p_adjust = p_adjust,
                                                             labelnames = labelnames)
simulation_result <- ANOVA_power(design_result, alpha = 0.05, nsims = nsims)</pre>
## Power and Effect sizes for ANOVA tests
##
                   power effect size
                    5.08
                          0.0194
## anova_age
## anova color
                    5.12
                              0.0190
## anova_age:color 49.46
                              0.1483
##
```




Figure 1:

## Means for each condition in the design




Figure 2:

```
## Power and Effect sizes for contrasts
##
                                              power effect size
## p_age_old_color_blue_age_old_color_red
                                              28.72
                                                        -0.3006
## p_age_old_color_blue_age_young_color_blue 28.16
                                                        -0.2966
## p_age_old_color_blue_age_young_color_red
                                               5.14
                                                        -0.0032
## p_age_old_color_red_age_young_color_blue
                                               4.92
                                                         0.0033
## p_age_old_color_red_age_young_color_red
                                                         0.2966
                                              28.40
## p_age_young_color_blue_age_young_color_red 27.18
                                                         0.2930
```

The simulations yield closely mathing results.