

### Solution of A8

1.

Let  $X = \#$  of heads out of 1000. If the coin is fair, then  $X \sim \text{binomial}(1000, 1/2)$ . So

$$P(X \geq 560) = \sum_{x=560}^{1000} \binom{1000}{x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^x \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-x} \approx .0000825,$$

where a computer was used to do the calculation. For this binomial,  $EX = 1000p = 500$  and  $\text{Var } X = 1000p(1-p) = 250$ . A normal approximation is also very good for this calculation.

$$P\{X \geq 560\} = P\left\{\frac{X - 500}{\sqrt{250}} \geq \frac{559.5 - 500}{\sqrt{250}}\right\} \approx P\{Z \geq 3.763\} \approx .0000839.$$

Thus, if the coin is fair, the probability of observing 560 or more heads out of 1000 is very small. We might tend to believe that the coin is not fair, and  $p > 1/2$ .

2.

Let  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , and we observed  $X = 10$ . To assess if the accident rate has dropped, we could calculate

$$P(X \leq 10 | \lambda = 15) = \sum_{i=0}^{10} \frac{e^{-15} 15^i}{i!} = e^{-15} \left[ 1 + 15 + \frac{15^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{15^{10}}{10!} \right] \approx .11846.$$

This is a fairly large value, not overwhelming evidence that the accident rate has dropped. (A normal approximation with continuity correction gives a value of .12264.)

3.

The CLT tells us that  $Z = (\sum_i X_i - np) / \sqrt{np(1-p)}$  is approximately  $N(0, 1)$ . For a test that rejects  $H_0$  when  $\sum_i X_i > c$ , we need to find  $c$  and  $n$  to satisfy

$$P\left(Z > \frac{c - n(.49)}{\sqrt{n(.49)(.51)}}\right) = .01 \quad \text{and} \quad P\left(Z > \frac{c - n(.51)}{\sqrt{n(.51)(.49)}}\right) = .99.$$

We thus want

$$\frac{c - n(.49)}{\sqrt{n(.49)(.51)}} = 2.33 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c - n(.51)}{\sqrt{n(.51)(.49)}} = -2.33.$$

Solving these equations gives  $n = 13,567$  and  $c = 6,783.5$ .

4.

From the Neyman-Pearson lemma the UMP test rejects  $H_0$  if

$$\frac{f(x | \sigma_1)}{f(x | \sigma_0)} = \frac{(2\pi\sigma_1^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_i x_i^2/(2\sigma_1^2)}}{(2\pi\sigma_0^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_i x_i^2/(2\sigma_0^2)}} = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)^n \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_i x_i^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \right\} > k$$

for some  $k \geq 0$ . After some algebra, this is equivalent to rejecting if

$$\sum_i x_i^2 > \frac{2 \log(k (\sigma_1/\sigma_0)^n)}{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)} = c \quad \left( \text{because } \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} > 0 \right).$$

This is the UMP test of size  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = P_{\sigma_0}(\sum_i X_i^2 > c)$ . To determine  $c$  to obtain a specified  $\alpha$ , use the fact that  $\sum_i X_i^2/\sigma_0^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ . Thus

$$\alpha = P_{\sigma_0} \left( \sum_i X_i^2/\sigma_0^2 > c/\sigma_0^2 \right) = P(\chi_n^2 > c/\sigma_0^2),$$

so we must have  $c/\sigma_0^2 = \chi_{n,\alpha}^2$ , which means  $c = \sigma_0^2 \chi_{n,\alpha}^2$ .

Now,  $c = 4 \chi_{15}^2(0.10) = 4 \times 22.307$  (see the table)  $= 89.228$ .

5.

The pdf of  $Y$  is

$$f(y|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} y^{(1/\theta)-1} e^{-y^{1/\theta}}, \quad y > 0.$$

By the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, the UMP test will reject if

$$\frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2} e^{y-y^{1/2}} = \frac{f(y|2)}{f(y|1)} > k.$$

To see the form of this rejection region, we compute

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2} e^{y-y^{1/2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} y^{-3/2} e^{y-y^{1/2}} \left( y - \frac{y^{1/2}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

which is negative for  $y < 1$  and positive for  $y > 1$ . Thus  $f(y|2)/f(y|1)$  is decreasing for  $y \leq 1$  and increasing for  $y \geq 1$ . Hence, rejecting for  $f(y|2)/f(y|1) > k$  is equivalent to rejecting for  $y \leq c_0$  or  $y \geq c_1$ . To obtain a size  $\alpha$  test, the constants  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  must satisfy

$$\alpha = P(Y \leq c_0 | \theta = 1) + P(Y \geq c_1 | \theta = 1) = 1 - e^{-c_0} + e^{-c_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(c_0|2)}{f(c_0|1)} = \frac{f(c_1|2)}{f(c_1|1)}.$$

Solving these two equations numerically, for  $\alpha = .10$ , yields  $c_0 = .076546$  and  $c_1 = 3.637798$ . The Type II error probability is

$$P(c_0 < Y < c_1 | \theta = 2) = \int_{c_0}^{c_1} \frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2} e^{-y^{1/2}} dy = -e^{-y^{1/2}} \Big|_{c_0}^{c_1} = .609824.$$

6.

By the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, the UMP test rejects for large values of  $f(x|H_1)/f(x|H_0)$ . Computing this ratio we obtain

$x$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\frac{f(x H_1)}{f(x H_0)}$	6	5	4	3	2	1	.84

The ratio is decreasing in  $x$ . So rejecting for large values of  $f(x|H_1)/f(x|H_0)$  corresponds to rejecting for small values of  $x$ . To get a size  $\alpha$  test, we need to choose  $c$  so that  $P(X \leq c|H_0) = \alpha$ . The value  $c = 4$  gives the UMP size  $\alpha = .04$  test. The Type II error probability is  $P(X = 5, 6, 7|H_1) = .82$ .

7.

From Corollary 8.3.13 we can base the test on  $\sum_i X_i$ , the sufficient statistic. Let  $Y = \sum_i X_i \sim \text{binomial}(10, p)$  and let  $f(y|p)$  denote the pmf of  $Y$ . By Corollary 8.3.13, a test that rejects if  $f(y|1/4)/f(y|1/2) > k$  is UMP of its size. By Exercise 8.25c, the ratio  $f(y|1/2)/f(y|1/4)$  is increasing in  $y$ . So the ratio  $f(y|1/4)/f(y|1/2)$  is decreasing in  $y$ , and rejecting for large value of the ratio is equivalent to rejecting for small values of  $y$ . To get  $\alpha = .0547$ , we must find  $c$  such that  $P(Y \leq c|p = 1/2) = .0547$ . Trying values  $c = 0, 1, \dots$ , we find that for  $c = 2$ ,  $P(Y \leq 2|p = 1/2) = .0547$ . So the test that rejects if  $Y \leq 2$  is the UMP size  $\alpha = .0547$  test. The power of the test is  $P(Y \leq 2|p = 1/4) \approx .526$ .

8.

By the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, the most powerful test of  $H_0: \theta = 1$  vs.  $H_1: \theta = 2$  is given by Reject  $H_0$  if  $f(x|2)/f(x|1) > k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Substituting the beta pdf gives

$$\frac{f(x|2)}{f(x|1)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\beta(2,1)}x^{2-1}(1-x)^{1-1}}{\frac{1}{\beta(1,1)}x^{1-1}(1-x)^{1-1}} = \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(1)}x = 2x.$$

Thus, the MP test is Reject  $H_0$  if  $X > k/2$ . We now use the  $\alpha$  level to determine  $k$ . We have

$$\alpha = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta) = \beta(1) = \int_{k/2}^1 f_X(x|1) dx = \int_{k/2}^1 \frac{1}{\beta(1,1)}x^{1-1}(1-x)^{1-1} dx = 1 - \frac{k}{2}.$$

Thus  $1 - k/2 = \alpha$ , so the most powerful  $\alpha$  level test is reject  $H_0$  if  $X > 1 - \alpha$ .