

# Trader Behaviour vs Market Sentiment

## Data Science Analysis Report

### 1. Introduction

Financial markets are strongly influenced by investor psychology, particularly during periods of heightened fear or greed. In crypto markets, where volatility is high and participation is largely retail-driven, understanding how traders behave across sentiment regimes can offer meaningful strategic insights.

This project analyzes the relationship between **market sentiment (Fear–Greed Index)** and **trader behavior** using historical trading data from Hyperliquid. The focus is on understanding how **trading activity, risk exposure, and risk-adjusted performance** vary across different sentiment conditions and whether certain regimes consistently lead to better or worse outcomes.

### 2. Datasets Used

#### 2.1 Bitcoin Market Sentiment Dataset

- Daily sentiment classification:
  - Extreme Fear
  - Fear
  - Neutral
  - Greed
  - Extreme Greed
- Used as an external macro-level indicator of overall market psychology.

#### 2.2 Historical Trader Data (Hyperliquid)

- Trade-level data including:
  - Account, Coin/Symbol
  - Execution price, trade size (USD)
  - Side (Buy/Sell)
  - Closed PnL
  - Fees, timestamps, leverage (where available)

Trades were aligned to sentiment data using **daily granularity**.

### 3. Methodology

1. Trades were grouped by **market sentiment class**.
2. Key behavioural metrics were computed:
  - **Trading Activity** → Average number of trades
  - **Risk Exposure** → Average traded USD volume.
  - **Risk-Adjusted Performance** → Sharpe Ratio (PnL volatility-adjusted)
3. Aggregated metrics were visualized to compare trader behaviour across sentiment regimes.

### 4. Analysis & Findings

## 4.1 Trading Activity vs Market Sentiment

### Observation:

- Trading activity peaks sharply during **Extreme Fear**.
- Trade counts drop significantly during **Extreme Greed** and **Greed**.
- Neutral sentiment shows moderate participation.

### Interpretation:

- Extreme Fear triggers **panic-driven overtrading**, likely due to forced exits, stop-loss hits, or aggressive dip-buying.
- During Greed phases, traders appear more selective and patient, leading to fewer trades.
- This suggests that **emotional stress increases activity but not necessarily decision quality**.

### Insight:

High trading frequency during Extreme Fear may reflect reactive behaviour rather than systematic strategy execution.

## 4.2 Risk-Adjusted Performance (Sharpe Ratio) vs Sentiment

### Observation:

- **Extreme Greed** exhibits the highest Sharpe ratio.
- **Extreme Fear** also shows relatively strong risk-adjusted returns.
- Neutral sentiment has the lowest Sharpe ratio.

### Interpretation:

- Extreme Greed phases likely coincide with strong trends, allowing traders to achieve higher returns relative to risk.
- Surprisingly, Extreme Fear also delivers solid risk-adjusted performance, indicating opportunities for **contrarian or mean-reversion strategies**.
- Neutral markets may lack clear direction, reducing performance efficiency.

### Insight:

Clear emotional extremes (fear or greed) appear more exploitable than calm, directionless markets.

## 4.3 Exposure vs Market Sentiment

### Observation:

- The highest exposure occurs during **Extreme Fear**.
- Exposure declines significantly during **Extreme Greed**.
- Fear and Neutral regimes show intermediate exposure levels.

### Interpretation:

- Traders deploy the most capital during market crashes or sharp downturns, increasing risk substantially.

- Lower exposure during Extreme Greed suggests profit-taking or cautious positioning despite optimistic sentiment.
- This asymmetry highlights that **risk-taking is more aggressive in fear than in greed**.

#### Insight:

Traders are willing to risk more capital during fear-driven markets, potentially increasing drawdown risk.

## 5. Combined Behavioural Insights

By combining all three dimensions:

- **Extreme Fear:**
  - Highest activity
  - Highest exposure
  - Reasonable risk-adjusted returns  
→ Indicates emotionally driven but opportunity-rich conditions.
- **Extreme Greed:**
  - Lower activity
  - Controlled exposure
  - Best Sharpe ratio  
→ Suggests disciplined trend-following behavior.
- **Neutral:**
  - Moderate activity
  - Moderate exposure
  - Weak performance  
→ Likely unsuitable for aggressive strategies.

## 6. Strategic Implications

- **Avoid overtrading during Extreme Fear;** focus on structured entries and position sizing.
- **Trend-following strategies perform best during Extreme Greed,** with controlled leverage.
- **Reduce activity during Neutral sentiment,** as risk-adjusted returns are weakest.
- Sentiment regimes can act as a **macro filter** for strategy activation rather than a direct trading signal.

## 7. Limitations

- Sentiment data is aggregated at a daily level and may not capture intraday psychology.
- Analysis is based on historical trades and does not account for unobserved trader intent.
- Coin-level dynamics were not isolated in this study.

## 8. Conclusion

Market sentiment significantly influences trader behaviour in crypto markets. Emotional extremes lead to higher engagement and clearer performance patterns, while neutral conditions dilute edge. Incorporating sentiment-aware risk management can improve decision-making and strategy robustness in volatile trading environments.