

Poisson Processes Definition 3

A ctsp $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

Poisson Processes Definition 3

A ctsp $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- ▶ $N(0) = 0$

Poisson Processes Definition 3

A ctsp $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- ▶ $N(0) = 0$
- ▶ $N(t)$ is a counting process with stationary and independent increments

Poisson Processes Definition 3

A ctsp $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$ if

- ▶ $N(0) = 0$
- ▶ $N(t)$ is a counting process with stationary and independent increments
- ▶ X_i , the time interval between $i - 1$ th and i th event is exponentially distributed with parameter λ .

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

- ▶ What is $P(X_1 > t) = ?$

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

► What is $P(X_1 > t) = ?$

$$P(X_1 > t) = P(N(0, t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

- ▶ What is $P(X_1 > t) = ?$

$$P(X_1 > t) = P(N(0, t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- ▶ This implies $F_{X_1}(t) = P(X_1 \leq t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$ and hence X_1 has exponential distribution.

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

- What is $P(X_1 > t) = ?$

$$P(X_1 > t) = P(N(0, t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- This implies $F_{X_1}(t) = P(X_1 \leq t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$ and hence X_1 has exponential distribution.
- What is $P(X_2 > t | X_1 = s)$?

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_2 > t | X_1 = s) &= P(N(s, t + s] = 0 | X_1 = s) \\ &= P(N(s, t + s] = 0) \text{ (indep. increments)} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \text{ (stat. increments)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

- What is $P(X_1 > t) = ?$

$$P(X_1 > t) = P(N(0, t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- This implies $F_{X_1}(t) = P(X_1 \leq t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$ and hence X_1 has exponential distribution.
- What is $P(X_2 > t | X_1 = s)$?

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_2 > t | X_1 = s) &= P(N(s, t + s] = 0 | X_1 = s) \\ &= P(N(s, t + s] = 0) \text{ (indep. increments)} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \text{ (stat. increments)} \end{aligned}$$

- This implies X_2 is exponential.

Lemma

Definition 1/2 \implies Definition 3

Proof:

- ▶ What is $P(X_1 > t) = ?$

$$P(X_1 > t) = P(N(0, t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- ▶ This implies $F_{X_1}(t) = P(X_1 \leq t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$ and hence X_1 has exponential distribution.
- ▶ What is $P(X_2 > t | X_1 = s)$?

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_2 > t | X_1 = s) &= P(N(s, t + s] = 0 | X_1 = s) \\ &= P(N(s, t + s] = 0) \text{ (indep. increments)} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \text{ (stat. increments)} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This implies X_2 is exponential. Repeating the arguments yields the lemma.

Definition 3 \implies Definition 1

Lemma

i.i.d exponential interarrival time implies $N(0, t)$ has Poisson distribution with rate λt .

Definition 3 \implies Definition 1

Lemma

i.i.d exponential interarrival time implies $N(0, t)$ has Poisson distribution with rate λt .

► Let $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

Definition 3 \implies Definition 1

Lemma

i.i.d exponential interarrival time implies $N(0, t)$ has Poisson distribution with rate λt .

- ▶ Let $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- ▶ If $S_n = t$, we say that the n th renewal happened at time t .

Definition 3 \implies Definition 1

Lemma

i.i.d exponential interarrival time implies $N(0, t)$ has Poisson distribution with rate λt .

- ▶ Let $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- ▶ If $S_n = t$, we say that the n th renewal happened at time t .
- ▶ $f_{S_n}(t) = \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{(n-1)!} \right]$ and $F_{S_n}(t) = \int_{x=0}^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{(n-1)!} \right] dx$

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

$$\blacktriangleright F_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{n-1!} \right] dx$$

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{n-1!} \right] dx$

► Integration by parts ($u(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $v'(x) = \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{n-1!} \right]$)

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{n-1!} \right] dx$

► Integration by parts ($u(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $v'(x) = \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{n-1!} \right]$)

$$\int_a^b u(x)v'(x)dx = [u(x)v(x)]_a^b - \int_a^b u'(x)v(x)dx$$

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{n-1!} \right] dx$

► Integration by parts ($u(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $v'(x) = \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{n-1!} \right]$)

$$\int_a^b u(x) v'(x) dx = [u(x) v(x)]_a^b - \int_a^b u'(x) v(x) dx$$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^n e^{-\lambda x}}{n!} \right]_0^t - \int_0^t \left[\frac{-\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^n}{n!} \right] dx$

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{n-1!} \right] dx$

► Integration by parts ($u(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $v'(x) = \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{n-1!} \right]$)

$$\int_a^b u(x) v'(x) dx = [u(x) v(x)]_a^b - \int_a^b u'(x) v(x) dx$$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^n e^{-\lambda x}}{n!} \right]_0^t - \int_0^t \left[\frac{-\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^n}{n!} \right] dx$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^n e^{-\lambda t}}{n!} + F_{S_{n+1}}(t)$

More on $F_{S_n}(t)$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{n-1!} \right] dx$

► Integration by parts ($u(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $v'(x) = \lambda \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^{n-1}}{n-1!} \right]$)

$$\int_a^b u(x) v'(x) dx = [u(x) v(x)]_a^b - \int_a^b u'(x) v(x) dx$$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \left[\frac{(\lambda x)^n e^{-\lambda x}}{n!} \right]_0^t - \int_0^t \left[\frac{-\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^n}{n!} \right] dx$

► $F_{S_n}(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^n e^{-\lambda t}}{n!} + F_{S_{n+1}}(t)$

$$F_{S_n}(t) - F_{S_{n+1}}(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^n e^{-\lambda t}}{n!}$$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

$$N(t) \geq n \Leftrightarrow S_n \leq t$$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

$$N(t) \geq n \Leftrightarrow S_n \leq t$$

► $P\{N(t) \geq n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\}$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

$$N(t) \geq n \Leftrightarrow S_n \leq t$$

- ▶ $P\{N(t) \geq n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\}$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{N(t) \geq n\} - P\{N(t) \geq n+1\}.$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

$$N(t) \geq n \Leftrightarrow S_n \leq t$$

- ▶ $P\{N(t) \geq n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\}$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{N(t) \geq n\} - P\{N(t) \geq n+1\}.$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\} - P\{S_{n+1} \leq t\}.$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

$$N(t) \geq n \Leftrightarrow S_n \leq t$$

- ▶ $P\{N(t) \geq n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\}$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{N(t) \geq n\} - P\{N(t) \geq n + 1\}.$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\} - P\{S_{n+1} \leq t\}.$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = \text{Poisson}(\lambda t).$

Relation between S_n and $N(t)$

$$N(t) = \sup\{n : S_n \leq t\}$$

$$N(t) \geq n \Leftrightarrow S_n \leq t$$

- ▶ $P\{N(t) \geq n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\}$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{N(t) \geq n\} - P\{N(t) \geq n + 1\}.$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = P\{S_n \leq t\} - P\{S_{n+1} \leq t\}.$
- ▶ $P\{N(t) = n\} = \text{Poisson}(\lambda t).$

Lemma

Exponential interarrival times imply $N(t)$ has Poisson distribution with rate λt

Properties of Poisson Process (Self Study)

Properties of Poisson Process (Self Study)

Merging: Merging two independent Poisson processes with rate λ_1 and λ_2 leads to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

Properties of Poisson Process (Self Study)

Merging: Merging two independent Poisson processes with rate λ_1 and λ_2 leads to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

Splitting: If you label each event point of a Poisson(λ) process as type A or type B with probability p or $1 - p$ respectively, then Events of type A form a Poisson ($p\lambda$) process. Similarly Events of type B form a Poisson $((1 - p)\lambda)$ process.

Conditional distribution of Arrival times

Conditional distribution of Arrival times

Lemma

Given that 1 event of $P.P.(\lambda)$ has happened by time t , it is equally likely to have happened anywhere in $[0, t]$ i.e.,

$$P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{s}{t}.$$

Conditional distribution of Arrival times

Lemma

Given that 1 event of $P.P.(\lambda)$ has happened by time t , it is equally likely to have happened anywhere in $[0, t]$ i.e.,

$$P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{s}{t}.$$

Proof.

$$P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{P\{X_1 < s, N(t) = 1\}}{P(N(t) = 1)}$$

Conditional distribution of Arrival times

Lemma

Given that 1 event of $P.P.(\lambda)$ has happened by time t , it is equally likely to have happened anywhere in $[0, t]$ i.e.,

$$P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{s}{t}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} &= \frac{P\{X_1 < s, N(t) = 1\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P\{N[0, s) = 1, N[s, t] = 0\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Conditional distribution of Arrival times

Lemma

Given that 1 event of $P.P.(\lambda)$ has happened by time t , it is equally likely to have happened anywhere in $[0, t]$ i.e.,

$$P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{s}{t}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} &= \frac{P\{X_1 < s, N(t) = 1\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P\{N[0, s) = 1, N[s, t] = 0\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P\{N[0, s) = 1\} P\{N[s, t] = 0\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Conditional distribution of Arrival times

Lemma

Given that 1 event of $P.P.(\lambda)$ has happened by time t , it is equally likely to have happened anywhere in $[0, t]$ i.e.,

$$P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} = \frac{s}{t}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 < s | N(t) = 1\} &= \frac{P\{X_1 < s, N(t) = 1\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P\{N[0, s) = 1, N[s, t] = 0\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{P\{N[0, s) = 1\} P\{N[s, t] = 0\}}{P(N(t) = 1)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}} = \frac{s}{t} \end{aligned}$$



First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ Imagine a system with infinite servers and jobs arrive to this system according to $PP(\lambda)$.

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ Imagine a system with infinite servers and jobs arrive to this system according to $PP(\lambda)$.
- ▶ Every arriving job has a independent service requirement with distribution G and is immediately assigned a server for service.

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ Imagine a system with infinite servers and jobs arrive to this system according to $PP(\lambda)$.
- ▶ Every arriving job has a independent service requirement with distribution G and is immediately assigned a server for service.
- ▶ When the job receives service, he leaves the system.

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ Imagine a system with infinite servers and jobs arrive to this system according to $PP(\lambda)$.
- ▶ Every arriving job has a independent service requirement with distribution G and is immediately assigned a server for service.
- ▶ When the job receives service, he leaves the system.
- ▶ Let $N(t)$ denote the number of arrivals till time t .

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ Imagine a system with infinite servers and jobs arrive to this system according to $PP(\lambda)$.
- ▶ Every arriving job has a independent service requirement with distribution G and is immediately assigned a server for service.
- ▶ When the job receives service, he leaves the system.
- ▶ Let $N(t)$ denote the number of arrivals till time t .
- ▶ Let $X(t)$ denote the number of customers present in this system at time t .

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ Imagine a system with infinite servers and jobs arrive to this system according to $PP(\lambda)$.
- ▶ Every arriving job has a independent service requirement with distribution G and is immediately assigned a server for service.
- ▶ When the job receives service, he leaves the system.
- ▶ Let $N(t)$ denote the number of arrivals till time t .
- ▶ Let $X(t)$ denote the number of customers present in this system at time t .
- ▶ Example of such systems: Malls, Tourist spots, Gardens, number of active phone calls, etc

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?
- ▶ First condition on $N(t)$.

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?
- ▶ First condition on $N(t)$. What is $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n)$?

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?
- ▶ First condition on $N(t)$. What is $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n)$?
- ▶ Of the n jobs that arrived (uniformly placed in the interval $[0, t]$), k are yet to complete service.

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?
- ▶ First condition on $N(t)$. What is $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n)$?
- ▶ Of the n jobs that arrived (uniformly placed in the interval $[0, t]$), k are yet to complete service.
- ▶ Let p denote the probability that an arbitrary of these customers is still receiving service at time t .

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?
- ▶ First condition on $N(t)$. What is $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n)$?
- ▶ Of the n jobs that arrived (uniformly placed in the interval $[0, t]$), k are yet to complete service.
- ▶ Let p denote the probability that an arbitrary of these customers is still receiving service at time t .
- ▶ Then $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$.

First Queueing Example: Infinite server Queues

- ▶ What is the pmf of $X(t)$, i.e., $P(X(t) = k)$?
- ▶ First condition on $N(t)$. What is $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n)$?
- ▶ Of the n jobs that arrived (uniformly placed in the interval $[0, t]$), k are yet to complete service.
- ▶ Let p denote the probability that an arbitrary of these customers is still receiving service at time t .
- ▶ Then $P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$.
- ▶ Now unconditioning on $N(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(X(t) = k) &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} P(X(t) = k | N(t) = n) P(N(t) = n) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t p} \frac{(\lambda t p)^j}{j!} \end{aligned}$$

where $p = \int_0^t (1 - G(t - x)) \frac{dx}{t}$.