

MDA Assignment - 1

HA) (a) let the statement "it rains" be denoted by p and "Raju carries an umbrella" be denoted by q

Then given $p \Rightarrow q$

Given
we have to validate $q \Rightarrow p$

q	p	$q \Rightarrow p$
T	F	F
F	F	T
T	T	T

The case of $q=F, p=T$ won't be possible because $p \Rightarrow q$

It is clear that $q \Rightarrow p$ is not true always given $p \Rightarrow q$

Hence ~~we should~~ The statement is not always true.

(b)

P \equiv "weather is warm"

Q \equiv "the sky is clear"

R \equiv "we go swimming"

S \equiv "we go boating"

We have to check the validity of the
statement

$$\left[(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (r \vee s) \right] \wedge \left[r \wedge (q \rightarrow r \vee q) \right]$$

$$\longrightarrow p \vee s$$



p	q	r	s	$(p \vee q \rightarrow r \vee s)$	$(r \vee s \rightarrow p \vee q)$	$p \vee s$	\oplus
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	F	F	T	T

Clearly

For $p = F, q = T, r = F, s = F$

the statement T is false

Hence the given statement is false

2A)

Given

$$A = \text{True} = T$$

$$X = Y = \text{False}$$

$$(a) \quad \sim(A \vee X) = \sim(T \vee F) = \sim(T) = F$$

$$(b) \quad A \vee (X \wedge Y) = T \vee (F \wedge F) = T \vee F = T$$

$$\boxed{A \vee (X \wedge Y) = T}$$

$$(c) \quad A \wedge (X \vee (B \wedge Y)) = T \wedge (F \vee (T \wedge F))$$

$$= T \wedge (F \vee F)$$

$$= T \wedge F$$

$$= F$$

$$\boxed{A \wedge (X \vee (B \wedge Y)) = F}$$

$$(d) \quad [(A \wedge X) \vee \sim B] \wedge \sim[(A \wedge X) \vee \sim B]$$

$$= [(T \wedge F) \vee F] \wedge \sim[(T \wedge F) \vee F]$$

$$= [F \vee F] \wedge [\sim[F]]$$

$$= F \wedge T = F$$

$$c) \quad P \wedge Q \wedge (\neg A \vee X)$$

$$= P \wedge Q \wedge (F \vee F)$$

$$= (P \wedge Q) \wedge (F) = F \quad \text{(since anything } \wedge F = F)$$

$$d) \quad [C \wedge X \rightarrow A] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow A)]$$

$$= [(F \wedge F) \rightarrow T] \rightarrow [F \rightarrow (F \rightarrow T)]$$

$$= [F \rightarrow T] \rightarrow [F \rightarrow T]$$

$$= [T] \rightarrow [T] = T$$

3A) (a) Formal Proof:

(a) RTP: $P \rightarrow \neg Q, \neg Q \rightarrow R \Rightarrow P \rightarrow R$

Step 1: Setting an axiom or premise as P

1. P Hypothesis

2. $P \rightarrow \neg Q$ Simplification

3. $\neg Q$ Modus Ponens rule for 1 and 2

4. $\neg Q \rightarrow R$ Simplification

5. R Modus Ponens rule for 3 and 4

∴ We can conclude $P \rightarrow R$ is true.

Resolution

RTP: $P \rightarrow \neg Q, \neg Q \rightarrow R \Rightarrow P \rightarrow R$

Proof:

Converting into CNF

$$P \rightarrow \neg Q \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q \quad (\text{Premise})$$

$$\neg Q \rightarrow R \equiv Q \vee R \quad (\text{Premise})$$

From Resolution

$$(\neg P \vee \neg Q), (Q \vee R) \Rightarrow (\neg P \vee R)$$

$$\text{But } (\neg P \vee R) \equiv P \rightarrow R$$

$$(P \rightarrow \neg Q, \neg Q \rightarrow R) \Rightarrow P \rightarrow R$$

//_

Formal Proof :

$$(b) \Rightarrow ((P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P)) \rightarrow Q.$$

$P \vee Q$ can be assumed as $\neg P \rightarrow Q$

As the actual premise is a Null set, Then RHS is to be proved as a Tautology

Set an initial premise as $\neg P \rightarrow Q$

1. $\neg P \rightarrow Q$ Hypothesis
2. $\neg P$ simplification
3. Q Modus Ponens rule for 1 and 2

$$\therefore ((P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg P)) \rightarrow Q$$

Resolution:

Resolution method requires eq in LHS to draw conclusions from.

Since there are no boolean equations. We have to prove that RHS is a tautology.

i.e. $(CP \vee Q) \wedge \neg P \rightarrow Q$ is a tautology

$$(CP \vee Q) \wedge \neg P \rightarrow Q \equiv$$

$$(P \wedge \neg P) \vee (Q \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$$

$$\equiv (Q \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$$

$$\equiv (\neg Q \vee P) \vee Q$$

$$\equiv T$$

$$\therefore \Rightarrow (CP \vee Q) \wedge \neg P \rightarrow Q$$