Project Title:	
Team Name:	
Team Members:	
Team Combined Years of Programming Experience:	

Criteria	Points Range	Description	Points Assigned
1. Originality and Creativity	16-20	Highly original and novel approach, solving a unique problem in a creative way.	
	11-15	Shows some originality, but could be further developed. The solution is creative.	
	6 -10	The solution is not very original (it resembles existing approaches) and is somewhat creative.	
	0-5	Little to no originality or innovation.	
2. Importance and Relevance	16-20	Addresses a critical issue of social good with high potential impact.	
	11-15	Addresses an important issue but may lack depth in impact or relevance.	
	6-10	The issue is somewhat relevant but may not significantly address social good.	
	0-5	Does not align well with social good or lacks importance.	
3. Technical Implementation/Completion	26-30	The technical implementation is robust and complete, with all core features fully developed and functioning as intended. The code is clean.	
	21-25	The implementation is mostly complete, with most features functioning well. There may be minor bugs or optimization issues, but they do not significantly impact overall performance or usability. The code is clean.	
	16-20	The solution is partially implemented, with key features missing or only partially functioning. Significant improvements and debugging are required for the solution to work effectively.	
	0-15	The implementation is incomplete or barely operational. Core features are missing or not	

		working correctly, reflecting a lack of technical progress or severe implementation challenges	
4. Team Collaboration through GitHub	13-15	The team demonstrated strong collaboration through GitHub, with frequent and meaningful commits, effective use of pull requests, and clear task distribution.	
	9-12	The team showed good collaboration using GitHub, with regular commits and some use pull requests. Task distribution was mostly clear.	
	5-8	The team worked together but faced notable challenges in using GitHub effectively. Commits were irregular or lacked meaningful contributions. Pull requests were inconsistent and task distribution was unclear.	
	0-4	Minimal use of GitHub for collaboration. Few commits or contributions from team members, little pull requests, and unclear task distribution.	
5. Documentation	13-15	Comprehensive and detailed documentation of the project, including project statement and solution proposed, documentation of AI tools used, and when and how they were applied.	
	9-12	Documentation is generally clear and provides a good overview of the project statement and solution proposed. It also includes the AI tools used and how they were applied. There may be minor omissions or areas that are less detailed.	
	5-8	Documentation is present but lacks detail, with critical information missing or incomplete. Descriptions of AI tools used or their integration are vague.	
	0-4	Little to no documentation is provided, or what exists is disorganized and unclear. Descriptions of Al tools used or their integration are missing.	
Total Score	Max: 100		