Summary

This analysis is performed for X Education and to find ways to get more industry professionals to join their courses. The dataset provided gave us a lot of information about how the potentials leads visit the site, the time they spend over there, then how they reached the site and the conversion rate. The following technical steps are used:

The following technical steps are used: -

- Data Cleaning
- First step to clean the dataset we choose to remove the redundant variables/features.
- > The data set was partially clean except for a few null values and the option 'Select' has to replace with a null value since it did not give us much information.
- Dropped the high percentage of Null values more than 45%.
- Checked for number of unique Categories for all Categorical columns.
- From that Identified the Highly skewed columns and dropped them.
- > Treated the missing values by imputing the favorable aggregate function like (Mean, Median, and Mode).
- Detected the Outliers.

Exploratory Data Analysis:

- A quick EDA was done to check the condition of our data. It was found that a lot of elements in the categorical variables were irrelevant. The numeric values seem good but found the outliers
- > Performed Univariate Analysis for both Continuous and Categorical variables.
- > Performed Bivariate Analysis with respect to Target variable.

3. Dummy Variables:

The dummy variables are created for all the categorical columns.

4. Scaling:

Used MinMaxscalar to scale the data for Continuous variables.

5. Train-Test Split:

The Spit was done at 70% and 30% for train and test the data respectively.

6. Model Building:

➤ By using RFE with provided 12 variables. It gives top 12 relevant variables. Later the irrelevant features were removed manually depending on the VIF values and p-value (The variables with VIF < 5 and p-value 0.05 were kept).

7. Model Evaluation:

- A confusion matrix was made. Later on, the optimum cut-off value by using ROC curve was used to find the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity for train set
 - ♣ Accuracy- Overall accuracy of the model: 90.27%
 - Sensitivity Proportion of converted predicted correctly: 91.77%
 - Specificity Proportion of not converted predicted correctly: 89.34%

8. Prediction:

Prediction was done on the test data frame an optimum cut-off as 0.3 with accuracy, sensitivity and Specificity of 90%.

♣ Accuracy: 90.41%♣ Sensitivity: 92.32%♣ Specificity: 89.22%

9. Precision-Recall:

- Final Precision_score (Positive predicted value) came out to be 84.1%
- Final Recall_score (percentage of positives well predicted by our model) came out to be 92.32%

Conclusion:

We have noted that the variables that important the most in the potential buyers are:

- 1. Tags: where the sales team is tagging the potential leads at these current status Closed by Horizzon, Lost to EINS and Will revert after reading the email)
- 2. Total Time Spent on Website
- 3. Lead Source: especially where the potentials leads came from Welingak Website