

## Lecture 1

### Plan

1. Histograms and kernel density estimation
2. Nonparametric regression: local polynomial and spline estimators

### Data example

Kenya demographic and health survey 2003:

$n = 4555$  observations on Kenyan children aged from 0 to 60 months (no same children)

$$\text{Z-score}_i = \frac{\text{height}_i - \text{med}(\text{height}_{RP})}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\text{height}_{RP})}},$$

with  $\text{height}_i$  as the height of the  $i$ -th child at a given age and  $\text{med}(\text{height}_{RP})$  ( $\text{var}(\text{height}_{RP})$ ) as the median (variance) of the height of healthy children of the same age in a reference population. Value Z-score  $< -2$  indicates that the child is stunted.

## 0 Some notations

For two deterministic series  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$

1.  $a_n = \mathcal{O}(b_n)$ , if  $\exists C > 0$ , such that  $\sup_n |a_n/b_n| \leq C$ .
2.  $a_n = o(b_n)$ , if  $a_n/b_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that from  $a_n = o(b_n)$  follows  $a_n = O(b_n)$ , but from  $a_n = O(b_n)$  does not follow  $b_n = O(a_n)$ .

The indicator function will be denoted by  $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ :

$$\mathbb{I}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

# 1 Histograms and kernel density estimation

## 1.1 Empirical cumulative distribution function

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} P$ , where  $P$  has c.d.f.  $F$ . The most well-known and studied nonparametric estimator of  $F$  is given by

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i \leq x).$$

Let us fix some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{I}(X_1 \leq x)\} = P(X_1 \leq x) = F(x)$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{I}(X_1 \leq x), \dots, \mathbb{I}(X_n \leq x) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} B(1, F(x))$ . In particular, it follows immediately that

$$\text{MSE}\{F_n(x)\} = \text{var}\{F_n(x)\} = \frac{1}{n} F(x) \{1 - F(x)\}.$$

Moreover, one can apply the strong law of large numbers to conclude that

$$F_n(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} F(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

## 1.2 Histogram

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} F$ , where  $F$  is an unknown c.d.f. with a p.d.f.  $F' = f$ .

First, choose a starting point  $x_0$ , a binwidth  $h > 0$  and define bins (or classes)  $I_j = [x_0 + jh - h, x_0 + jh)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . W.l.o.g. we set  $x_0 = 0$ . Since  $f(x) = F'(x)$  a.e., a simple estimator for  $f(x)$  at  $x \in I_j$  would be

$$f_n(x; h) = \frac{F_n(jh) - F_n(jh - h)}{h} = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(jh - h < X_i \leq jh) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i \in I_j).$$

This estimator is called the **regular histogram**. That is, the histogram estimates the density  $f(x)$  for all  $x \in I_j$  by the same value: the number of observations  $X_i$  in the bin  $I_j$ , scaled by the total number of observations  $n$  and binwidth  $h$ . In particular, the area under the histogram is 1.

As in the case of empirical c.d.f., we first study how good  $f_n(x; h)$  estimates  $f(x)$  at a fixed  $x \in I_j$ . We assume that  $f$  is Lipschitz continuous, that is, there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  it holds that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y|$ . Moreover, assume that  $f(x) \leq f_{\max} < \infty$ , for all  $x$ . The bin width  $h \rightarrow 0$ , such that  $nh \rightarrow \infty$ .

First, consider the expectation of the histogram:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{f_n(x; h)\} &= \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{I}(X_i \in I_j)\} = \frac{1}{h} P(X_1 \in I_j) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{jh-h}^{jh} f(u) du \\ &= \frac{F(jh) - F(jh - h)}{h} = f(x^*), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x^* \in I_j$ . The last equality is due to the mean value theorem.

Due to Lipschitz continuity  $|f(x^*) - f(x)| \leq L|x^* - x|$  and hence

$$|\text{bias}\{f_n(x; h)\}| = |\mathbb{E}\{f_n(x; h)\} - f(x)| = |f(x^*) - f(x)| \leq L|x^* - x| \leq Lh,$$

since  $x^*, x \in I_j$  and the width of  $I_j$  is  $h$ .

Next, bound the variance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}\{f_n(x; h)\} &= \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{var}\{\mathbb{I}(X_1 \in I_j)\} = \frac{1}{nh^2} P(X_1 \in I_j) \{1 - P(X_1 \in I_j)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{nh} f(x^*) \{1 - hf(x^*)\} \leq \frac{f_{\max}}{nh} - \frac{f_{\max}^2}{n} \leq \frac{f_{\max}}{nh}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting bias and variance bounds together, one obtains the bound on the mean squared error of the histogram  $f_n(x; h)$  for all  $x$

$$\text{MSE}\{f_n(x; h)\} = \text{bias}\{f_n(x; h)\}^2 + \text{var}\{f_n(x; h)\} \leq L^2 h^2 + \frac{f_{\max}}{nh}.$$

The binwidth  $h$  that minimizes the right-hand side of the last inequality is given by

$$h_{MSE} = \left( \frac{f_{\max}}{2L^2 n} \right)^{1/3}.$$

Plugging-in this value to  $\text{MSE}\{f_n(x; h)\}$  leads to  $\text{MSE}\{f_n(x; h_{MSE})\} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2/3})$ .

From these results we can conclude:

1. A histogram  $f_n(x; h)$  is a  $(L_2)$  consistent point estimator for  $f(x)$ ,  $x \in I_j$ , if  $h$  is of order  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/3})$ , since in this case  $\text{MSE}\{f_n(x; h)\} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2/3})$  and  $f_n(x; h) \xrightarrow{L_2} f(x)$ .
2. The order of  $\text{MSE}\{f_n(x; h_{MSE})\} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2/3})$  is larger (=one needs more data) than the parametric rate  $n^{-1}$ .
3. Large value of  $h$  corresponds to a small variance and large bias and vice versa: smaller values of  $h$  imply a small bias, but large variance. Such an effect is called *bias-variance trade-off* and  $h_{MSE}$  balances bias and variance of  $f_n(x; h)$ .

### 1.3 Kernel density estimators

Can we find another estimator of  $f$ , that would have a smaller MSE at each  $x$  and if yes, which assumptions are needed?

In a histogram one fixes classes  $I_j$  and finds the number of observations that fall into each class, that is,  $f_n(x; h) = h^{-1} \{F_n(jh) - F_n(jh - h)\}$ . Recall again that

$$f(x) = F'(x) \approx \frac{F(x+h) - F(x-h)}{2h}$$

for some sufficiently small  $h > 0$  and consider another approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(x; h) &= \frac{F_n(x+h) - F_n(x-h)}{2h} = \frac{1}{2hn} \sum_{i=1}^n \{\mathbb{I}(X_i \leq x+h) - \mathbb{I}(X_i \leq x-h)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2hn} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x-h < X_i \leq x+h) = \frac{1}{2hn} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{|X_i - x|}{h} \leq 1\right) \\ &=: \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K_u\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h > 0$  and  $h \rightarrow 0$  and

$$K_u(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

is the density of continuous uniform distribution on  $[-1, 1]$ .

Compared to a histogram, in  $\hat{f}(x; h)$  not the classes are fixed, but an interval of length  $2h$  around  $x$ . Estimator  $\hat{f}(x; h)$  with  $K_u$  is known as the average shifted histogram or just the Rosenblatt estimator.

The Rosenblatt estimator, as well as a regular histogram, is a piecewise constant (=not smooth), which is a clear drawback. A simple way out is to replace  $K_u$  with an appropriate smooth function  $K$ . Such a more general estimator is known as the Parzen-Rosenblatt kernel density estimator or just kernel density estimator.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} F$  with a given density  $F' = f$ . A **kernel density estimator** for  $f$  is defined via

$$\hat{f}(x; h) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad h > 0.$$

Thereby  $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x)dx = 1$  is known as **kernel** and  $h > 0$  is called **bandwidth**. A  $j$ th **moment** of a kernel  $K$  is defined as  $\mu_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j K(x)dx$ .