

The flash-simulation of the LHCb experiment using the Lamarr framework

in **European AI for Fundamental Physics Conference 2024** (EuCAIFCon24)

L. Anderlini¹, **M. Barbetti²**, S. Capelli^{3,4}, G. Corti⁵, A. Davis⁶, D. Derkach⁷, M. Martinelli^{3,4}

¹INFN-Firenze, ²INFN-CNAF, ³INFN-MiB, ⁴University of Milano-Bicocca, ⁵CERN, ⁶University of Manchester, ⁷HSE University




1. Motivation

Detailed simulation of the interactions between particles and the LHCb detector requires significant CPU resources.


- LHCb has used **over 90% of CPU resources** for simulation during Run 2;
- Current approaches do not scale to future needs;
- Must develop faster simulation options!

2. Fast simulation VS. flash simulation



The **detailed simulation** of physics processes relies on Geant4 and is computed within Gauss*, the LHCb simulation software.


Fast simulation techniques aim to speed up Geant4 by parameterizing the energy deposits instead of relying on physics models.



Flash (or *Ultra-Fast*) **simulation** strategies aim to directly transform generator-level particles into analysis-level reconstructed objects.

3. What is Lamarr?

Lamarr is the novel flash-simulation framework of LHCb, able to offer the fastest option to produce simulated samples. Lamarr consists of a **pipeline of** (ML-based) **modular parameterizations** designed to replace both the simulation and reconstruction steps.



The Lamarr pipeline can be split in two branches:

- 1. charged particles require tracking and particle identification models;
- 2. **neutral objects** need to face the *particle-to-particle correlation* problem.

4. Models under the k-to-k hypothesis


Assuming the existence of an **unambiguous** (k-to-k) **relation** between generated particles and reconstructed objects, the high-level detector response can be modeled in terms of **efficiency** and **"resolution"** (i.e., analysis-level quantities):

- <u>Efficiency:</u> Deep Neural Networks (DNN) trained to perform classification tasks so that they can be used to parameterize the fraction of "good" candidates (e.g., accepted, reconstructed, or selected).
- **Resolution:** Conditional *Generative Adversarial Networks* (GAN) trained on detailed simulated samples to parameterize the high-level response of LHCb detector (e.g., reconstruction errors, differential log-likelihoods, or multivariate classifier output).

5. Charged particles branch: the tracking system

Lamarr parameterizes the high-level response of the **LHCb tracking system** relying on the following models:

- <u>propagation</u>: approximates the trajectory of charged particles through the dipole magnetic field → parametric model;
- **geometrical acceptance:** predicts which of the generated tracks lay within a sensitive area of the detector → *DNN model*;
- <u>tracking efficiency:</u> predicts which of the generated tracks in the acceptance are properly reconstructed by the detector → *DNN model*;
- <u>tracking resolution:</u> parameterizes the errors introduced by the reconstruction algorithms to the track parameters → GAN model;
- covariance matrix: parameterizes the uncertainties assessed by the Kalman filter procedure \rightarrow GAN model.




Validation plots for the DNN-based model of the tracking efficiency (left) and the GAN-based model of the spatial tracking resolution (right).

6. Charged particles branch: the PID system

Lamarr parameterizes the high-level response of the **LHCb PID system** relying on the following models:

- **RICH PID:** parameterizes DLLs resulting from the RICH detectors → *GAN model*;
- MUON PID: parameterizes likelihoods resulting from the MUON system → GAN model;
- <u>isMuon flag:</u> parameterizes the response of a FPGA-based criterion for muon loose boolean selection → *DNN model*;
- **Global PID:** parameterizes the global high-level response of the PID system, consisting of CombDLLs and ProbNNs → *GAN model*.

Lamarr provides separated models for **muons**, **pions**, **kaons**, and **protons** for each PID set of variables.



Validation plots for the proton-kaon separation parameterized with the GAN-based models of the RICH response in terms of distributions (left) and proton selection misidentification (right).


7. Neutral particles branch: the ECAL detector

The flash simulation of the LHCb ECAL detector is a non trivial task:

- bremsstrahlung radiation, converted photons, or merged π^0 may lead to have n generated particles responsible for m reconstructed objects (in general, with $n \neq m$);
- the $\it particle-to-particle\ correlation\ problem\ limits\ the\ validity\ of\ strategies\ used\ for\ modeling\ the\ unambiguous\ k-to-k\ detector\ response.$

To parameterize a generic n-to-m response of the ECAL detector, solutions inspired by the natural language **translation problem** are currently under investigation:

- the aim is to define an **event-level description** of the ECAL response;
- assuming ordered sequences of photons/clusters, the problem can be modeled with a *Transformer* model;
- complying with the problem topology, the ECAL response can be modeled with a Graph Neural Network (GNN) model



Validation plots for the (x,y)-position of the ECAL clusters as reconstructed by detailed simulation (left) and a Transformer-based model (right). Each bin entry is properly weighted to include also the energy signature.


8. Validation campaign

Lamarr provides the high-level response of the LHCb detector by relying on a **pipeline of** (subsequent) **ML-based modules**. To validate the charged particles chain, the distributions of a set of **analysis-level** reconstructed quantities resulting from Lamarr have been compared with those obtained from detailed simulation for $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- X$ decays with $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+$.

The deployment of the ML-based models follows a **transcompilation approach** based on **scikinC**. The models are translated to C files, compiled as *shared objects*, and then dynamically linked in the LHCb simulation software (Gauss).

The integration of Lamarr with Gauss enables:

- interface with all the **LHCb-tuned physics generators** (e.g., Pythia8, EvtGen);
- compatibility with the distributed computing middleware and production environment;
- providing **ready-to-use datasets** for centralized analysis.



erators by Lamarr VS. detailed simulation. Reproduced from LHCB-FIGURE-2022-014.

9. Preliminary timing studies

Overall time needed for producing simulated samples has been analyzed for detailed simulation (Geant4-based) and Lamarr. When Lamarr is employed, the generation of particles from collisions (e.g., with Pythia8) becomes the new **major CPU consumer**.

Lamarr could allow to reduce the CPU cost for the simulation of (at least) **two-order-of-magnitude**. Further reductions will require speeding up the generators.

Detailed simulation: Pythia8 + Geant4 + reco 1M events @ 2.5 kHS06.s/event ≈ 80 HS06.y

Flash simulation: Pythia8 + Lamarr
1M events @ 0.5 kHS06.s/event ≈ 15 HS06.y

Flash simulation: ParticleGun + Lamarr 100M events @ 1 HS06.s/event ≃ 4 HS06.y

10. The role of ICSC for Flash Simulation

The **lifecycle of a generic flash-simulation model** includes designing, training, optimization, deployment, and validation, before to be put into production. While the development steps often involve **multiple GPU nodes** (*HPC paradigm*), the validation phase typically relies on the same distributed computing resources employed in the **production environment** (*HTC paradigm*).

The aim of ICSC (Italian Center for SuperComputing) is to create the national digital infrastructure for research and innovation, leveraging existing HPC, HTC and Big Data infrastructures and evolving towards a cloud data-lake model. The Lamarr framework is pioneering such hybrid workloads on distributed and federated resources, employing nodes from both WLCG data centers and pre-exascale supercomputers (e.g., Leonardo).

11. Conclusions and outlook

Great effort is ongoing to put a **fully parametric simulation** of the LHCb experiment into production, aiming to reduce the pressure on computing resources.

DNN-based and GAN-based models succeed in describing the high-level response of the LHCb tracking and PID detectors for **charged particles**. Work is still required to parameterize the response of the ECAL detector due to the **particle-to-particle correlation problem**.

Future development Lamarr aims to support both integration within the LHCb software stack and its use as a **stand-alone** package.

Acknowledgements

The work presented in this contribution is performed in the framework of Spoke 0 and Spoke 2 of the ICSC project - *Centro Nazionale di Ricerca in High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing,* funded by the NextGenerationEU European initiative through the Italian Ministry of University and Research, PNRR Mission 4, Component 2: Investment 1.4, Project code CN0000013 - CUP I53C21000340006.

References

- 1. V. Chekalina et al., Generative Models for Fast Calorimeter Simulation: the LHCb case, <u>EPJ Web Conf.</u> **214** (2019) 02034, arXiv:1812.01319
- 2. A. Maevskiy et al., Fast Data-Driven Simulation of Cherenkov Detectors Using Generative Adversarial Networks, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1525 (2020) 012097, arXiv:1905.11825
- 3. L. Anderlini and M. Barbetti, *scikinC*: a tool for deploying machine learning as binaries, <u>PoS</u> <u>CompTools2021 (2022) 034</u>

4. A. Rogachev and F. Ratnikov, GAN with an Auxiliary Regressor for the Fast Simulation of the

- Electromagnetic Calorimeter Response, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **2438** (2023) 012086, arXiv:2207.06329

 5. L. Anderlini et al., Lamarr: the ultra-fast simulation option for the LHCb experiment, PoS
- ICHEP2022 (2023) 233

 6. M. Barbetti, Lamarr: LHCb ultra-fast simulation based on machine learning models deployed
- within Gauss, <u>arXiv:2303.11428</u>
 7. L. Anderlini et al., The LHCb ultra-fast simulation option, Lamarr: design and validation, <u>arX-</u>
- iv:2309.13213
 8. F. Vaselli et al., End-to-end simulation of particle physics events with Flow Matching and generator Oversampling, arXiv:2402.13684
- 9. M. Barbetti, The flash-simulation paradigm and its implementation based on Deep Generative Models for the LHCb experiment at CERN, PhD thesis, University of Firenze, 2024

