# Lecture 14: Solutions to Linear Diophantine Equations, Modular Congruence

#### Summary:

We examine solutions to linear Diophantine equations, establishing conditions for their existence and methods for finding them. We introduce modular congruence and prove that the integers modulo m form a group under addition and a monoid under multiplication.

**Topics Covered:** Be'zout's theorem, Euclidean algorithm, greatest common divisor, group, integers modulo m, linear equation, linear equation solution lemma, modular congruence, monoid

Let

$$ax + by = e$$

with  $a,b,e\in\mathbb{Z}$  be a linear equation.

We are looking for integral solutions  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Example:

$$2x + 4y = 3$$

Note that this doesn't have any integral solutions because the LHS is always even and the RHS is always odd.

#### Lemma:

Let ax+by=e be a linear equation with  $a,b,e\in\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$  are variables.

Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ .

- 1. If  $d \not | e$  then ax + by = e has no integer solutions
- 2. If  $d \mid e$  then ax + by = e has infinitely many solutions

#### Proof of 1:

Suppose that  $d \not | e$ . We want to show that ax + by = e has no integer solutions.

Suppose, for contradiction, that ax + by = e has an integer solution (x, y).

We proved that any common divisor of a and b [in particular,  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ ] divides any integral linear combination of a and b. In particular, ax + by is a linear combination of a and b.

Therefore, we have that

$$d \mid ax + by$$

Recall that ax + by = e, so, we have that

$$d \mid e$$

which contradicts our initial assumption that  $d \not\mid e$ .

Therefore, we conclude that ax + by = e has no integer solutions.

QED

# Proof of 2:

Suppose that  $d\mid e$ . Then, Be'zout's theorem tells us that

$$d = \alpha a + \beta b$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $d \mid e$ , we know that e = qd for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Multiply by q. Then, we have

$$e=qd=(q\alpha)a+(q\beta)b$$

$$\implies x_0 = q\alpha, \ \ y_0 = q\beta$$

are integral solutions of ax + by = e

Recall that the associated homogeneous linear equation ax + by = 0 has infinitely many solutions

$$S_{ ext{homogenous}} = \{(x',y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \ : \ ax' + by' = 0\}$$
 $|S_{ ext{homogenous}}| = \infty$ 

Then, we have infinitely many solutions to the non-homogeneous equation

$$S_{ ext{non-homogenous}} = \{(x_0+x',y_0+y') \ : \ (x',y') \in S_{ ext{homogenous}}\}$$
 $|S_{ ext{non-homogenous}}| = \infty$ QED

#### Summary of results:

- If  $d \not | e$  then there are no integral solutions
- If  $d \mid e$ , then there are infinitely many integral solutions. We find **all of them** as follows:
  - Find all solutions (x', y') to the homogeneous equation ax + by = 0
  - Find one solution  $(x_0, y_0)$  to the non-homogeneous equation ax + by = e
  - Then, the solutions are parameterized by  $x=x'+x_0,\ \ y=y'+y_0$

We also proved that there are no solutions other than the ones described above.

#### Example:

Find all integer solutions of

$$56x + 20y = 8$$

Note that gcd(56, 20) = 4 which divides 8. So, by the lemma we proved above, we know that this equation has infinitely many solutions.

First, we find a particular solution

$$-2 \cdot 56 + 6 \cdot 20 = 8$$

$$(x_0,y_0)=(-2,6)$$

Then, we find all solutions to the homogeneous equation as follows:

$$56x + 20y = 0$$

$$a' = \frac{56}{\gcd(56, 20)} = 14$$

$$b' = \frac{20}{\gcd(56, 20)} = 5$$

Then, the solutions to the homogeneous equation are.

$$x'=5k, \ y'=-14k, \ k\in\mathbb{Z}$$

Translating the solutions to the homogeneous equation by the particular solution, we get

$$(5k+2, -14k+6) \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

#### Definition - modulus:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m} \iff m \mid a-b \iff \exists q \in \mathbb{Z}. \ a-b=qm$$

 $\iff$  residue of a - b upon division by m is 0

 $\iff$  the residues of a and b upon fivision by m are equal

#### Recall:

 $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which means it is

- 1. Reflexive
- 2. Symmetric
- 3. Transitive

## Proposition:

Let  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$  and suppose that

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

$$a' \equiv b' \pmod{m}$$

Then, it follows that

1. 
$$a + a' \equiv b + b' \pmod{m}$$

2. 
$$aa' \equiv bb' \pmod{m}$$

#### Proof of 1:

We know that

$$m \mid (a-b)$$

$$m \mid (a'-b')$$

Therefore, there exist  $k,k'\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a-b=km$$

$$a'-b'=k'm$$

This implies that

$$(a-b)+(a'-b')=m(k+k')$$

$$\implies (a+a')-(b+b')=m(k+k')$$

$$\implies a + a' \equiv b + b' \pmod{m}$$

QED

## Proof of 2:

We know that

$$m \mid (a-b)$$

$$m \mid (a'-b')$$

So, there exist  $k,k'\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = b + km$$

$$a' = b' + k'm$$

Now, consider the product aa':

$$aa' = (b + km)(b' + k'm)$$

Expanding this expression, we get

$$aa' = bb' + b(k'm) + b'(km) + (km)(k'm)$$
  
 $aa' = bb' + m(k'b) + m(kb') + m^2(kk')$ 

Factor out m:

$$aa' = bb' + m\left(k'b + kb' + mkk'\right)$$

This shows that

$$aa'-bb'=m\left(k'b+kb'+mkk'
ight)$$

which means

$$m \mid (aa' - bb')$$

Thus,

$$aa' \equiv bb' \pmod{m}$$
QED

#### Congruence classes in $\mathbb{Z}$ :

Define the congruence class notation:

$$[a]_m=\{b\in\mathbb{Z}\ :\ a\equiv b\ (\mathrm{mod}\ m)\}$$

This is the set of integers that yield the remainder a when divided by m.

Then, define the + and  $\cdot$  operations on congruence classes:

$$[a]_m+[b]_m=[a+b]_m$$
  $[a]_m\cdot[b]_m=[ab]_m$ 

Note that + and  $\cdot$  are well-defined operations.

#### Proof that + is well-defined:

Assume that

$$[a]_m = [a']_m$$
$$[b]_m = [b']_m$$

This means

$$a \equiv a' \pmod{m}$$
  
 $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ 

This implies that

$$m \mid (a-a')$$
  $m \mid (b-b')$ 

Consider

$$(a+b)-(a'-b')=(a-a')+(b-b')$$

Since m divides both (a-a') and (b-b'), m divides their sum too. We write:

$$m \mid (a+b-a'-b')$$

Therefore,

$$a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{m}$$
  
 $\implies [a + b]_m = [a' + b']_m$ 

Therefore, + is well-defined.

QED

Proof that · is well-defined:

Proof omitted

#### Congruence classes:

Denote  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  to be the set of congruence classes modulo m

$$\mathbb{Z}_m = \{[0]_m, [1]_m, \dots, [m-1]_m\}$$

Then, we have the following structures:

- $(\mathbb{Z}_m,+)$  is a group
- $(\mathbb{Z},\cdot)$  is a monoid
  - It isn't necessarily a group because elements won't always be invertible!

#### Proof that $(\mathbb{Z}_m,+)$ is a group:

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is closed under +, by definition of +.

The associativity of +, as defined above, follows from the associativity of addition on integers.

Note that  $[0]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is the identity element in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  with respect to +.

Finally, we have that for any  $[a]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , it holds that

$$[a]_m + [-a]_m = [a-a]_m = [0]_m = e_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$$
  
 $[-a]_m + [a]_m = [-a+a]_m = [0]_m = e_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ 

Therefore, every element in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is invertible under +.

So,  $(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group.

QED

\*\*Proof that  $(\mathbb{Z}_m,\cdot)$  is a monoid:

By definition,  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is closed under  $\cdot$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  has an identity element  $[1]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Since multiplication of integers is associative, it follows that  $\cdot$  is associative. So,  $(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is a monoid.

QED