

# Automated Software Engineering Final Paper (Working Title)

Matthew Neal  
Department of Computer  
Science  
North Carolina State  
University  
Raleigh, North Carolina, USA  
meneal@ncsu.edu

Joseph Sankar  
Department of Computer  
Science  
North Carolina State  
University  
Raleigh, North Carolina, USA  
jesankar@ncsu.edu

Alexandar Sobran  
Department of Computer  
Science  
North Carolina State  
University  
Raleigh, North Carolina, USA  
aisobran@ncsu.edu

## ABSTRACT

This paper provides a sample of a  $\LaTeX$  document which conforms, somewhat loosely, to the formatting guidelines for ACM SIG Proceedings. It is an *alternate* style which produces a *tighter-looking* paper and was designed in response to concerns expressed, by authors, over page-budgets. It complements the document *Author's (Alternate) Guide to Preparing ACM SIG Proceedings Using  $\LaTeX$ 2 $\epsilon$  and Bib $\TeX$* . This source file has been written with the intention of being compiled under  $\LaTeX$ 2 $\epsilon$  and Bib $\TeX$ .

The developers have tried to include every imaginable sort of “bells and whistles”, such as a subtitle, footnotes on title, subtitle and authors, as well as in the text, and every optional component (e.g. Acknowledgments, Additional Authors, Appendices), not to mention examples of equations, theorems, tables and figures.

To make best use of this sample document, run it through  $\LaTeX$  and Bib $\TeX$ , and compare this source code with the printed output produced by the dvi file. A compiled PDF version is available on the web page to help you with the ‘look and feel’.

## Keywords

Fault prediction model; Software mining; Ant Colony Optimization; Classification

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known fact that software bugs are much cheaper and easier to fix before being released. But finding these bugs is often difficult and may not be cost effective to fix. Researchers have been working on fault detection models to predict which software modules are most likely to contain bugs post-release. Management can use these predictions to focus testing and bug-fixing efforts on those modules, resulting in fewer bugs in release which are less costly to fix.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

WOODSTOCK '97 El Paso, Texas USA

© 2015 ACM. ISBN 123-4567-24-567/08/06...\$15.00

DOI: 10.475/123.4

In this paper, we focus on the advances made in software fault prediction models in the literature. Section 2 contains an assortment of existing work in the area.

## 2. RELATED WORK

Cagatay Catal and Banu Diri [3] gave an overview of software fault prediction studies and advancements up to 2008. They found that an increasing number of studies used datasets available to the public. They also found that since 2005, machine learning algorithms have become increasingly popular choices to implement the models. Finally, they observed that the most dominant metrics in fault prediction were at the method level. They recommended that machine learning algorithms and public datasets continue to be used, but caution against using method-level metrics and instead suggested class-level metrics as they can predict faults earlier in the software development cycle.

Vandecruys et al [5] mined software repositories to create predictive models. The authors used AntMiner+, an Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)-based classification technique. On public datasets, AntMiner+ was found to be competitive to alternative classification techniques, such as C4.5, logistic regression, and support vector machines. The authors suggested that software managers would find the output of rules produced by AntMiner+ easy to understand and accept.

While there have been plenty of studies about predicting software faults based on the code itself, few studies have looked at organizational structure as a factor. Nagappan et al [4] used organizational metrics, such as number of engineers, edit frequency, and organizational intersection factor to predict fault-proneness. The authors compared the effectiveness of the resulting model with alternative models which use traditional software metrics, like code churn and code complexity. They found that the model derived from organizational metrics had better precision and recall than others derived from software metrics, meaning they can also be effective indicators of failure-proneness.

Bird et al [2] examined whether development that is largely distributed produces more failures than development that is mainly collocated. The belief at the time was that global development was prone to more failures than collocated development. They found a negligible difference in both code metrics and failures between the two methods of development. The authors examined how the developers working on Windows Vista managed to work well together among teams located in different countries based on the relation-

ships between the development sites, cultural barriers, communication, consistent use of tools, end to end ownership, common schedules, and organizational integration. They recommended that companies wishing to distribute development across sites located far apart to employ similar strategies to overcome some of the difficulties associated with such an endeavor.

Arisholm et al [1] examined different ways to build and evaluate fault prediction models. First, they tested a variety of modeling techniques, such as neural networks, C4.5 with some variants, support vector machines, and logistic regression. They then looked at different metrics: Process measures, OO code measures, and delta measures. Finally, they looked at ROC area and cost-effectiveness as evaluation criteria. They found that the choice of modeling technique did not have much of an impact when evaluated with both criteria tested. Among the metric sets, they found that process measures provide a significant improvement over the others, even though they are typically more costly to collect. They also suggested cost-effectiveness as a good evaluation technique instead of traditional techniques like precision and recall as smarter decisions can be made to prioritize which parts of the project are tested and bug-fixed.

Typically, the body of a paper is organized into a hierarchical structure, with numbered or unnumbered headings for sections, subsections, sub-subsections, and even smaller sections. The command `\section` that precedes this paragraph is part of such a hierarchy.<sup>1</sup> L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X handles the numbering and placement of these headings for you, when you use the appropriate heading commands around the titles of the headings. If you want a sub-subsection or smaller part to be unnumbered in your output, simply append an asterisk to the command name. Examples of both numbered and unnumbered headings will appear throughout the balance of this sample document.

Because the entire article is contained in the `document` environment, you can indicate the start of a new paragraph with a blank line in your input file; that is why this sentence forms a separate paragraph.

## 2.1 Type Changes and *Special Characters*

We have already seen several typeface changes in this sample. You can indicate italicized words or phrases in your text with the command `\textit`; emboldening with the command `\textbf` and typewriter-style (for instance, for computer code) with `\texttt`. But remember, you do not have to indicate typestyle changes when such changes are part of the *structural* elements of your article; for instance, the heading of this subsection will be in a sans serif<sup>2</sup> typeface, but that is handled by the document class file. Take care with the use of<sup>3</sup> the curly braces in typeface changes; they mark the beginning and end of the text that is to be in the different typeface.

You can use whatever symbols, accented characters, or non-English characters you need anywhere in your document; you can find a complete list of what is available in

<sup>1</sup>This is the second footnote. It starts a series of three footnotes that add nothing informational, but just give an idea of how footnotes work and look. It is a wordy one, just so you see how a longish one plays out.

<sup>2</sup>A third footnote, here. Let's make this a rather short one to see how it looks.

<sup>3</sup>A fourth, and last, footnote.

the *L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X User's Guide*[?].

## 2.2 Math Equations

You may want to display math equations in three distinct styles: inline, numbered or non-numbered display. Each of the three are discussed in the next sections.

### 2.2.1 Inline (In-text) Equations

A formula that appears in the running text is called an inline or in-text formula. It is produced by the `math` environment, which can be invoked with the usual `\begin. . . \end` construction or with the short form `$. . . $`. You can use any of the symbols and structures, from  $\alpha$  to  $\omega$ , available in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X[?]; this section will simply show a few examples of in-text equations in context. Notice how this equation:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x = 0$ , set here in in-line math style, looks slightly different when set in display style. (See next section).

### 2.2.2 Display Equations

A numbered display equation – one set off by vertical space from the text and centered horizontally – is produced by the `equation` environment. An unnumbered display equation is produced by the `displaymath` environment.

Again, in either environment, you can use any of the symbols and structures available in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X; this section will just give a couple of examples of display equations in context. First, consider the equation, shown as an inline equation above:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x = 0 \tag{1}$$

Notice how it is formatted somewhat differently in the `displaymath` environment. Now, we'll enter an unnumbered equation:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x + 1$$

and follow it with another numbered equation:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i = \int_0^{\pi+2} f \tag{2}$$

just to demonstrate L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X's able handling of numbering.

## 2.3 Citations

Citations to articles [?, ?, ?, ?], conference proceedings [?] or books [?, ?] listed in the Bibliography section of your article will occur throughout the text of your article. You should use BibTeX to automatically produce this bibliography; you simply need to insert one of several citation commands with a key of the item cited in the proper location in the `.tex` file [?]. The key is a short reference you invent to uniquely identify each work; in this sample document, the key is the first author's surname and a word from the title. This identifying key is included with each item in the `.bib` file for your article.

The details of the construction of the `.bib` file are beyond the scope of this sample document, but more information can be found in the *Author's Guide*, and exhaustive details in the *L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X User's Guide*[?].

This article shows only the plainest form of the citation command, using `\cite`. This is what is stipulated in the SIGS style specifications. No other citation format is endorsed or supported.

Table 1: Frequency of Special Characters

Non-English or Math	Frequency	Comments
Ø	1 in 1,000	For Swedish names
$\pi$	1 in 5	Common in math
\$	4 in 5	Used in business
$\Psi_1^2$	1 in 40,000	Unexplained usage

Figure 1: A sample black and white graphic.

## 2.4 Tables

Because tables cannot be split across pages, the best placement for them is typically the top of the page nearest their initial cite. To ensure this proper “floating” placement of tables, use the environment **table** to enclose the table’s contents and the table caption. The contents of the table itself must go in the **tabular** environment, to be aligned properly in rows and columns, with the desired horizontal and vertical rules. Again, detailed instructions on **tabular** material is found in the *LT<sub>ε</sub>X User’s Guide*.

Immediately following this sentence is the point at which Table 1 is included in the input file; compare the placement of the table here with the table in the printed dvi output of this document.

To set a wider table, which takes up the whole width of the page’s live area, use the environment **table\*** to enclose the table’s contents and the table caption. As with a single-column table, this wide table will “float” to a location deemed more desirable. Immediately following this sentence is the point at which Table 2 is included in the input file; again, it is instructive to compare the placement of the table here with the table in the printed dvi output of this document.

## 2.5 Figures

Like tables, figures cannot be split across pages; the best placement for them is typically the top or the bottom of the page nearest their initial cite. To ensure this proper “floating” placement of figures, use the environment **figure** to enclose the figure and its caption.

This sample document contains examples of **.eps** files to be displayable with L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>ε</sub>X. If you work with pdfL<sup>A</sup>T<sub>ε</sub>X, use files in the **.pdf** format. Note that most modern T<sub>ε</sub>X system will convert **.eps** to **.pdf** for you on the fly. More details on each of these is found in the *Author’s Guide*.

As was the case with tables, you may want a figure that spans two columns. To do this, and still to ensure proper “floating” placement of tables, use the environment **figure\*** to enclose the figure and its caption. and don’t forget to end the environment with **figure\***, not **figure**!

## 2.6 Theorem-like Constructs

Other common constructs that may occur in your article are the forms for logical constructs like theorems, axioms, corollaries and proofs. There are two forms, one produced by the command **\newtheorem** and the other by the command **\newdef**; perhaps the clearest and easiest way to distinguish

Figure 2: A sample black and white graphic that has been resized with the **includegraphics** command.

Figure 4: A sample black and white graphic that has been resized with the **includegraphics** command.

them is to compare the two in the output of this sample document:

This uses the **theorem** environment, created by the **\newtheorem** command:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$ . If  $G$  is an antiderivative for  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , then*

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt = G(b) - G(a).$$

The other uses the **definition** environment, created by the **\newdef** command:

**Definition 1.** *If  $z$  is irrational, then by  $e^z$  we mean the unique number which has logarithm  $z$ :*

$$\log e^z = z$$

Two lists of constructs that use one of these forms is given in the *Author’s Guidelines*.

There is one other similar construct environment, which is already set up for you; i.e. you must *not* use a **\newdef** command to create it: the **proof** environment. Here is a example of its use:

**PROOF.** Suppose on the contrary there exists a real number  $L$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L.$$

Then

$$l = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \left[ gx \cdot \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right] = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0 \cdot L = 0,$$

which contradicts our assumption that  $l \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Complete rules about using these environments and using the two different creation commands are in the *Author’s Guide*; please consult it for more detailed instructions. If you need to use another construct, not listed therein, which you want to have the same formatting as the Theorem or the Definition shown above, use the **\newtheorem** or the **\newdef** command, respectively, to create it.

## A Caveat for the T<sub>ε</sub>X Expert

Because you have just been given permission to use the **\newdef** command to create a new form, you might think you can use T<sub>ε</sub>X’s **\def** to create a new command: *Please refrain from doing this!* Remember that your L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>ε</sub>X source code is primarily intended to create camera-ready copy, but may be converted to other forms – e.g. HTML. If you inadvertently omit some or all of the **\defs** recompilation will be, to say the least, problematic.

## 3. CONCLUSIONS

This paragraph will end the body of this sample document. Remember that you might still have Acknowledgments or Appendices; brief samples of these follow. There is still the Bibliography to deal with; and we will make a disclaimer about that here: with the exception of the reference to the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>ε</sub>X book, the citations in this paper are to articles which have nothing to do with the present subject and are used as examples only.

Table 2: Some Typical Commands

Command	A Number	Comments
<code>\alignauthor</code>	100	Author alignment
<code>\numberofauthors</code>	200	Author enumeration
<code>\table</code>	300	For tables
<code>\table*</code>	400	For wider tables

Figure 3: A sample black and white graphic that needs to span two columns of text.

## 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This section is optional; it is a location for you to acknowledge grants, funding, editing assistance and what have you. In the present case, for example, the authors would like to thank Gerald Murray of ACM for his help in codifying this *Author's Guide* and the `.cls` and `.tex` files that it describes.

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arisholm, L. C. Briand, and E. B. Johannessen. A systematic and comprehensive investigation of methods to build and evaluate fault prediction models. *J. Syst. Softw.*, 83(1):2–17, Jan. 2010.
- [2] C. Bird, N. Nagappan, P. Devanbu, H. Gall, and B. Murphy. Does distributed development affect software quality?: An empirical case study of windows vista. *Commun. ACM*, 52(8):85–93, Aug. 2009.
- [3] C. Catal and B. Diri. A systematic review of software fault prediction studies. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(4):7346 – 7354, 2009.
- [4] N. Nagappan, B. Murphy, and V. Basili. The influence of organizational structure on software quality: An empirical case study. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Software Engineering, ICSE '08*, pages 521–530, New York, NY, USA, 2008. ACM.
- [5] O. Vandecruys, D. Martens, B. Baesens, C. Mues, M. De Backer, and R. Haesen. Mining software repositories for comprehensible software fault prediction models. *J. Syst. Softw.*, 81(5):823–839, May 2008.

## APPENDIX

### A. HEADINGS IN APPENDICES

The rules about hierarchical headings discussed above for the body of the article are different in the appendices. In the `appendix` environment, the command `section` is used to indicate the start of each Appendix, with alphabetic order designation (i.e. the first is A, the second B, etc.) and a title (if you include one). So, if you need hierarchical structure *within* an Appendix, start with `subsection` as the highest level. Here is an outline of the body of this document in Appendix-appropriate form:

#### A.1 Introduction

#### A.2 The Body of the Paper

##### A.2.1 Type Changes and Special Characters

##### A.2.2 Math Equations

*Inline (In-text) Equations.*

*Display Equations.*

##### A.2.3 Citations

##### A.2.4 Tables

##### A.2.5 Figures

##### A.2.6 Theorem-like Constructs

*A Caveat for the T<sub>E</sub>X Expert*

### A.3 Conclusions

### A.4 Acknowledgments

### A.5 Additional Authors

This section is inserted by L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X; you do not insert it. You just add the names and information in the `\additionalauthors` command at the start of the document.

### A.6 References

Generated by bibtex from your `.bib` file. Run latex, then bibtex, then latex twice (to resolve references) to create the `.bbl` file. Insert that `.bbl` file into the `.tex` source file and comment out the command `\thebibliography`.

## B. MORE HELP FOR THE HARDY

The `sig-alternate.cls` file itself is chock-full of succinct and helpful comments. If you consider yourself a moderately experienced to expert user of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, you may find reading it useful but please remember not to change it.