

2024 SGLGB National Orientation

April 26, 2024 via Zoom

Issues/Concerns	Sender (Name/ Region)	Initial Response	Action Taken
On 2024 SGLGB NatOr Presentation			
Is the discussion today based on the draft MC?	R6-Negros Occidental-PFP-Janelle Maraya Paderog	The discussion now is based on the final MC with the inputs/recommendations of the ROs, NGAs, CO Operating Units, and instructions from the top management already consolidated.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
May I also ask of the presentations and the forms/documents	DILG NCR Marylane Ramiscal	As agreed, all recording and orientation materials will be shared to the respective Regional Focal Persons on Wednesday.	
Can we have copy of the presentation? Thank you	RIVA-Laguna-Rovi B. Ocdamia	However, the target date will be moved to Thursday as it falls on a holiday.	
We're requesting the presentation. Thank you.	DILG ILOCOS NORTE (LGOO II John Carlo G. Sacubo)		
Is it possible to consolidate the questions and your answers later into a Google Sheet so that we and our colleagues who couldn't attend today can refer back to them? Thank you.	R6-Negros Occidental-PFP-Janelle Maraya Paderog		
Good morning. We would like to request the presentations to be uploaded to a Google Drive for us to download. Thank you!	DILG-ARFP-CAR Rommel Keith Minong		
When will all the reference materials (presentations, forms, etc.) be provided so we can start with the roll-out, or do we need to wait for the signed MC? When can all the reference materials (presentations, forms, etc.) be provided so we can start with the roll-out, or do we need to wait for the signed MC?	DILG R12 RFP_Depaur, Ellaine Josephine B.DILG R12 RFP_Depaur, Ellaine Josephine B.		

Can you please share the presentation and forms on April 29, Monday, since we are scheduled for a one-day orientation for BLGUs next week?	DILG12_GSC Lagon-Frugalidad, Jessilou	As agreed, all recording and orientation materials will be shared to the respective Regional Focal Persons on Wednesday. However, the target date will be moved to Thursday as it falls on a holiday.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Can the answers to the questions in this GC be consolidated so we can review them? I'm currently attending another important activity. Thank you.	DILG NegOr Gem Espina-Focal Person		
Good morning. May we respectfully request a recording of this online SGLGB National Orientation? We're also attending our BNFO, so we couldn't fully focus. Please send it to etdeocampo@dilg.gov.ph. Thank you so much.	RXI_DILG Davao City_CH_Evelyn T. Deocampo		
On SGLGB Timelines			
Isn't it that the only requirement for PCM SGLG is the Test of Results acceptability, not necessarily knowing if it passed or failed? So maybe we can move the data gathering and uploading of SGLGB without it being simultaneous with the data gathering and assessment for PCM. Perhaps we can align the SGLG data gathering with the timeline of PCM Regional or National Calibration to lessen the burden on MLGOOs in assisting LGUs.	DILGR9_PFP_Harby Hope Tagong	Yes, for the Test of Results Acceptability in SGLG, their requirement is that at least 50% of barangays in a city or municipality were assessed for SGLGB. That is why it is necessary that the SGLGB field assessment be conducted prior to the SGLG assessment. Hence, the encoding of data per barangay needs to be completed by the end of June because the deadline for submission to SGLG is the first week of July. So at our end, we may only give considerations regarding the timeline for the uploading of MOVs of the potential passers.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
April to June is also the peak period for SGLG, so perhaps we can extend the data gathering to Q3. There is also road clearing validation in June.	DILG R2_Emma Linacero-Babalo		

Considering that April is ending and there is still no approved MC, maybe we can adjust the timeline of data gathering from April - June to May - July.	DILG IX-Zamboanga del Sur-Focal Person-Jerome Loyd Ogawan		No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
On SGLGB MC			
Suggestion: Can we amend the MC on SGLGB, in which the City/Municipality shall organize the C/M Assessment Team composed of LGU personnel from C/MPDO, C/M Accountant, C/M Budget Officer, C/M DRRMO, C/M ADAC FP, C/M ENRO, C/M Treasurer, C/M BPLO, PNP.	DILGR4A_TANAY, RIZAL OLIVER MONTEFALCON	Please take note that NBOO has proposed the same since last year for the SGLGB's initial implementation but the top management directed that for the field assessment, the City Director/C/MLGOO be the sole SGLGB Assessor. Hence, we just included in the policy that he/she may mobilize other DILG organic personnel in the conduct of the assessment, especially in cities and municipalities with numerous barangays. Further, the City Director/C/MLGOO may utilize LGU personnel assigned to their office in the conduct of the assessment to provide administrative and other logistical support services.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Considering the amount of documents to review and assessed, City/Municipal Assessment Team should be created	DILG R10 RFP-Jacklyn Occena		
Also, there are no "other DILG Organic Personnel" in municipalities, so will the MLGOO alone assess?			
Can the creation of C/M Performance Assessment Teams be included in the MC of SGLGB? This will simplify the work of C/MLGOOs in assessing barangays.	DILGR4A_TANAY, RIZAL OLIVER MONTEFALCON		

Good morning. For HUCs, why is there no composition for CPAT?	SGLG FP-CDO-Ryan Cloyd Villanueva	Same as last year, for Highly Urbanized Cities (HUCs), there is no assessment team as the City Director is the SGLGB Assessor. He/she may mobilize other DILG organic personnel in the conduct of the assessment, especially in cities and municipalities with numerous barangays. Further, the City Director may utilize LGU personnel assigned to their office in the conduct of the assessment to provide administrative and other logistical support services.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Good morning, is there no composition for the HUC Assessment Team? Or is it the same as in Cities and Municipalities?	DILG 9_ RFP_RONIE BIOSADA		
How about the composition and roles of the HUC PAT? Would this mean the Region will assess the barangays of HUCs?	DILG-Baguio FO	The City Director shall serve as the SGLGB assessor of the barangays in Highly Urbanized Cities (HUCs) as indicated in the final version of the MC, same as last year. However, assessment results for the HUC shall be submitted directly to the Regional Performance Assessment Team (RPAT).	
How are we aligning this timeline with the timelines set by our other DILG-led assessments/programs like the SGLG? I suggest clearing this with other CO Bureaus first. This has been a recurring problem that's noted but not addressed.	R6_BacolodCity	The SGLGB Calendar has been reviewed by the CO OUs. It was also finalized in consultation with the SGLG Team. We understand your concerns. As you may have noticed, we are making an effort to somehow help alleviate the workload of our beloved Field Officers, which is why we have incorporated all six separate BBI functionality audits from previous years into the SGLGB, so that these audits will no longer be implemented separately anymore.	
Please consider our C/MLGOOs as one-man teams for our field offices... and we still have other assessments (SGLG) and other PPAs to attend to for the LGUs and BLGUs please synchronize our timelines (schedules) with those of other Bureaus to avoid overlap. Thank you.	CAR_Tabuk City_Helen E. Lacuesta		

With the number of required documents that our barangays need to work out, what incentives can be provided to them once they pass?	DILG 4A - RFP - James Torres	As of now, the DILG Central Office still do not have an approved budget for incentives, despite our proposal to the Department of Budget and Management (DBM) last year. However, provinces, cities, and municipalities are not constrained to provide incentives for their SGLGB passers.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Are there incentives for Barangays if they pass? Considering the number of indicators they need to prepare.	R3_Bulacan Lydia D. Mauricio-baltazar		
We have issues and concerns during the assessments considering that last year was an Election year, some barangays did not turnover documents and properties properly. What can we do about it? Will there be consideration for the new set of officials? They only have documents for November and December... thank you.	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	Based on the DILG Memorandum Circular regarding turnover, there is a one-month grace period provided for the proper turnover. Most of the MOVs are documents, so the previous barangay officials should have properly handed these over to the new set, if applicable.	Following discussions with NBOO-CAPDD, the Division overseeing this PPA, the national compliance rate for this directive is already above 80%. Hence, DILG Memorandum Circular No. 2023-047 will still be implemented.
I hope the indicators won't change yearly, maybe they can change every three years instead.	DILGR9_PFP_Harby Hope Tagong 10:27 AM	As indicated in the 2024 SGLGB MC, starting from CY 2024, a three-year indicator system shall be introduced to help barangays prepare for the succeeding assessments. This timeframe will allow the NBOO to: monitor barangay performance on the indicators; produce a comprehensive analysis on the SGLGB results; and give opportunity to those barangays who were not able to pass the initial implementation to improve their performance in the SGLGB for the succeeding years. The complete list of indicators for CYs 2024 and 2025 SGLGB assessment shall also be disseminated in CY 2024 through a supplemental issuance.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Is it required to pass all indicators under the Functionality of BADAC to be considered functional?	DILG IX-Zamboanga del Sur-Focal Person-Jerome Loyd Ogawan	For 2024 we have 10 minimum requirements for the functionality of BADAC. This is in coordination with the ADAC-PMO. But as indicated in the MC, the functionality of the BBIs will be scored based on the cumulative total of the sub-indicators in Annex 3 of the 2024 SGLGB MC. The ratings of the assessed barangays will be automatically generated through the SGLGB Google Sheet upon data entry at the city/municipal levels. Only Moderately Functional and Highly Functional BBIs shall be considered eligible and qualified for further assessment.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Good afternoon. Recommendation only. Maybe for the next audit, there could also be consideration given to BCPC results as input for CFLGA. CFLGA and SGLGB focal persons should discuss earlier to address these gaps. If you think about it, the requirements now are heavier because the presence of ALL MOVs is needed to be considered BCPC Compliant and credited in their LGU's CFLGA score. The previous BCPC separate audit had an adjectival rating, as long as it met the 80% threshold, it was considered BCPC ideal. Resulting to more barangays passing the assessment.	DILG IV-A Ethyl Mae Paredes-Vasquez	For the 2024 SGLGB, each BBI can only pass the SGLGB if its functionality level is at least Moderate. The number of indicators that need to be passed per BBI varies. For the Functionality of BCPC, under SGLGB: ONE Audit, there are only 6 indicators that a barangay needs to comply to pass the BCPC, as compared to the previous separately-conducted BCPC audit which has 20 indicators.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

<p>Are LGUs allowed to conduct the assessment with their created CCMPATs, and PPATs last year? The Provincial Government officially institutionalized the SGLGB through an Ordinance last year, adopting the creation of CCMPATs and the PPAT; the SGLGB Indicators, and DCFs, as well as the timelines. This is in response to the national government's campaign encouraging LGUs to incentivize performing Barangays. Are our CMLGUs allowed to conduct the assessment through CCMPATs and not the CMLGOOs alone?</p>	<p>DILG12_SoCot Thea Zorilla</p>	<p>Yes, the LGU may form an assessment team for SGLGB if they deem it necessary to assist our FOs. Many LGUs are actually doing so. And in our policy, we highly encourage higher LGUs to provide incentives to their barangay passers.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>
<p>Can't we explain to SILG that C/MLGOOs won't be able to assess barangays alone regarding SGLGB. It will be even more difficult for C/MLGOOs if they also have to assist other MLGOOs in assessing for SGLGB.</p>	<p>DILGR4A_TANAY, RIZAL_OLIVER MONTEFALCON</p>	<p>If you recall, our draft Memorandum Circular previously mentioned the creation of assessment teams in the field offices; however, it was returned to us by OSEC to align with the instruction of the Secretary of the Interior and Local Government (SILG) to designate the City Director/C/MLGOO as the SGLGB Assessor. LGUs may choose to organize an assessment team, but it will not be imposed on them by the DILG. Last year, many LGUs actually formed assessment teams even though it was not specified in the Memorandum Circular.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>

We understand that given the ONE audit, the majority of audits are now subsumed under SGLGB. However, maybe we could consider conducting the audits at different timelines and just enter the results of these audits into SGLGB. Currently, these tasks are compressed into just 2 months, and it's not possible for FOs to focus only on SGLGB during this period. The MOVs' drive is then populated each time they conduct the audit, plus there are different experts/focal persons for these different programs. Just a suggestion.	DILGR2_RFPCarmelle Gayagoy	The integration of all BBI Functionality Audits into the SGLGB is actually the request of the Field Officers to think of a way to integrate the different BBI Functionality Audits into one, so that they do not have to conduct the said audits separately, which is why we endeavored to make it happen. We also prioritized indicators in BBI audits, like in BADACs, where there were more than 32 indicators, but under SGLGB, there are only 10. Please do not worry because we are committed to further ease the workload of our Field Officers. We will continue to explore ways to further improve the SGLGB.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Agree. Some CMs have more than 100 barangays, like Manila with 896 barangays. In most Field Offices, there's only one MLGOO, no DILG complement; some HUC FOs are very narrow.	DILG-NCR_Marda Dumaoang	Manila became our standard because they completed their assessment on time last year. That's why we asked DILG Manila about the strategy that CD Visca used and shared it with other Field Officers.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
On SGLGB Indicators			
We appreciate this presentation of indicators, but we would like to hear how you are addressing the draft MC indicators with the comments provided by Field Offices.	R6_Bacolod City	We are collating all the comments we have received and have considered those that are valid, especially if they have legal bases supporting the recommendations.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Approval of Barangay Budget by Dec 31, 2023? for the 2024 Budget Year?	DILG R3_CTL Renalyn D. Iglesias	As of December 31, 2022	Clarifications were included in the Technotes
Clarification -- Approval of Ordinance as of Dec. 31, 2023? The year under review is CY 2023.	DILG Baguio _PM Carol Gano		

Good morning. Clarification on 1.3. Does "barangay appropriation ordinance approved" mean the approval of the barangay council? Or the confirmation of the review by the higher sanggunian?	DILG Makati-Justy Rios	Approved Barangay Appropriation Ordinance signed by the Sangguniang Barangay Members (SBMs), SK Chairperson, Barangay Secretary, and Punong Barangay.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For 2.1 BDRRMP Approval - approved by BDC? by BDRRMC? or Adopted by SB?	DILGR9_PFP_Harby Hope Tagong	BDRRMP adopted by the Sangguniang Barangay	Clarifications were included in the Technotes
For indicator 1.4 allocation for combating AIDS, is it possible to exclude this from the indicators? There is no provision in RA 8504 mandating the allocation of funds for combating AIDS. Section 9 of the said law only states that "barangay captains shall coordinate such campaign among concerned government agencies, NGOs, and church-based groups". We also included this comment when NBOO asked for comments on the draft MC. To NBOO, may we respectfully inquire why this particular comment was not incorporated even though there is a legal basis? Thank you!	DILG Marinduque	Will revisit this indicator and its legal bases	With the DILG's concern and in accordance with the provision of RA 8504, which specifies the barangay's responsibility to conduct educational and informational campaigns, the indicator for combating AIDS as outlined in RA No. 8504 under the allocation for the statutory program has been removed

<p>For indicator 1.4 allocation for combating AIDS, is it possible to exclude this from the indicators? There is no provision in RA 8504 mandating the allocation of funds for combating AIDS. Section 9 of the said law only states that "barangay captains shall coordinate such campaign among concerned government agencies, NGOs, and church-based groups".</p> <p>We also included this comment when NBOO asked for comments on the draft MC.</p> <p>To NBOO, may we respectfully inquire why this particular comment was not incorporated even though there is a legal basis? Thank you!</p>	DILG 4A_ARFP_Mica Ilang-Ilang	Will revisit this indicator and its legal bases	With the DILG's concern and in accordance with the provision of RA 8504, which specifies the barangay's responsibility to conduct educational and informational campaigns, the indicator for combating AIDS as outlined in RA No. 8504 under the allocation for the statutory program has been removed
Good morning. Is allocation for Combating AIDS a statutory obligation? Is there a prescribed percentage?	DILG - Navotas		
2.2. Can not all barangays have all the equipment? Not all barangays have enough funds to purchase all equipment, especially the GENERATOR SET.	DILGR9_PFP_Harby Hope Tagong	The generation set is among the minimum standards of equipment required at the brgy level based on the National Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Council's Disaster Preparedness Minimum Standards.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
On the presence of DRR equipment, let's consider barangays that have no capacity to purchase a generator set.	DILG QC_Leah B. Peralta		

For LTIA, may we suggest using only the results of the last assessment since the Awards Committee has already done this.	DILG R4A-Batangas Cluster 2-Celio Francia	For the SGLGB, we are requiring minimum requirements on the functionality of the LTIA with corresponding MOVs. But said requirements were also culled out from the assessment indicators of the LTIA. Since per coordination with the BLGS, they will not be able to provide us the data per barangay level, only the national data. Hence, for validation purposes we are requiring such.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For Barangay Rescue Vehicle, is it required that a Standard Rescue Vehicle be sought, like an Ambulance with Oxygen and other health Equipment? Because Barangays cannot afford to purchase such vehicles worth more than a Million. Especially if the Barangay has only more than 1 million NTA.	MLGOO Mlang/ Vince Kim T. Rojas	We will include a description of the Rescue Vehicle in the technical notes, taking into consideration the capacity of barangays.	Revisions were incorporated in the Technotes
We agree, especially for those barangays without emergency vehicles, due to limited funds.	DILG ALBAY_AMMABELLE CRISTINE SOLANO		
For technical notes on the Rescue Vehicle, can we include a definition or specifications of what is called a Rescue Vehicle? Thanks.	CAR_Tabuk City_Helen E. Lacuesta		

Executive Order or similar issuance indicating correct membership in accordance with EO 366 s. of 1996, signed by the PB, Barangay Secretary, and SBMs, covering CY 2023. Should only the PB sign the EO?	DILG CAR Pinukpuk	Yes, for the EO, it should be signed by the PB. But for resolution and/or ordinance it should be signed by the PB, Brgy Sec and SBMs. This is indicated in the final technical notes.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Ma'am another request, can you also attach the needed templates to lessen the work of the barangay secretary? They're getting overwhelmed.	DILG CAR pinukpuk	Yes, in the technical notes this year, links for the templates are already included, such as plans, accomplishment reports, etc.	Links incorporated in the Technical Notes
Good morning. Was there no monitoring last time regarding the retention of BHW/BNS?	DILG IV-A Ethyl Mae Paredes-Vasquez	Yes, but the MOVs were updated for profiling purpose of BHW and/or BHO BHAsst	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
On the Approved BDP - what is the period covered that is needed? Only 2023 or does it need to cover until the assessment year 2024?	DILGR9_PFP_Harby Hope Tagong	The approved Barangay Development Plan (BDP) should cover 2023 because that is the performance year under review.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
With or without disabilities perhaps? Typo.	DILG IV-A Ethyl Mae Paredes-Vasquez	Noted, will check.	The typographical error has been corrected in the Technical Notes.
For the RBI Form A, there are quite a lot of documents that need to be scanned. Can this be changed to just a certification from the C/MLGOO that the Barangay RBI is updated?	DILGR8_Biliran PO_Daryl Taboada_Alternate Focal Officer	RBI Monitoring Form C and List of barangays with RBI covering the 1st Semester of CY 2023 (generated from the BIS-BPS) certified by the C/MLGOO ang MOVs for this.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

For the tax ordinance, is there a required date (on or before) for the ordinance?	DILG 9_RFP_RONIE BIOSADA	The latest issued tax ordinance, but not after 2023.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Can you elaborate on the locational clearance? Thank you.	DILGR2_RFP Carmelle Gayagoy	The locational clearance is a requirement for the issuance of building permit, we will expound on this in the technical notes	Revisions were incorporated in the Technotes
ON ALL APPROVED PLANS - please identify if it is the same as PCM SGLG, which usually should cover the assessment year, or should only the period to be assessed be covered by the approved plan which is 2023?	DILGR9_PFP_Harby Hope Tagong	On all approved plans, these should cover the performance year under review, which is 2023, in this year's implementation.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Good morning. Observation only, the number of required MOVs for the essential areas is not balanced. There are too many in the Social Protection and Sensitivity Area. If I were a barangay and only one essential area needed to be passed for SGLGB, I wouldn't prioritize packaging docs for SPP over the other essential areas that require fewer MOVs. That's why we're having a hard time with BCPC for CFLGA now. I hope the relationship between audits can be laid out earlier and you can help us make our policies more enticing for LGUs, maybe we can give something to the passers this time.	DILG IV-A Ethyl Mae Paredes-Vasquez	For 2024, the MOVs will be retained, but it will be revisited to align with the SGLG governance areas for next year.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

<p>Ma'am sorry, we have a semestral reporting for the HAPAG. Why is this being added to the indicators? There are already too many. Let's make use of the reports submitted.</p> <p>If it's just for profiling purposes, let's use the report you are gathering every semester.</p>	DILG IV-A Ethyl Mae Paredes-Vasquez	<p>For 2024, this is for profiling purposes only. But this will be included for next year's implementation as official indicator. Hence, to prepare the barangays, we will be requiring the uploading of MOVs already for this year. Also, per coordination with the OPR of HAPAG here at the NBOO, they are not requiring the uploading of MOVs relative to the data being gathered, therefore, they have no means in validating the submissions.</p>	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Why do we need an ordinance for the establishment of a community garden?	DILG-Makati_Justiniano R. Rios 10:30 AM	<p>Per item 6.2.2.13 of DILG MC No. 2023-001, a barangay should enact an ordinance regarding the setting-up and operations of barangay community gardens and allocate funds to sustain its operations.</p>	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Ma'am, can a Resolution be used instead of an Ordinance for the HAPAG Indicator?	DILGR5_SGLGB-APFP_SDEVERA 10:30 AM	<p>But for the SGLGB, the MOVs are: EO (signed by the PB) or similar issuance (resolution/ordinance signed by the PB, Barangay Secretary and SBMs) on the establishment of a BCG</p>	
Maybe we can also use a resolution for the establishment of HAPAG.	R1-Ilocos Sur-Tagudin-LGOO II Rodante G. Macanas		
For HAPAG, instead of a Specific ordinance, we suggest the passage of any similar policy.	DILG NCR Navotas FO _fort austria	<p>For this year, we will be downloading support to operations fund per region. We are now processing the request for transfer of funds.</p>	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Considering the 2023 SGLGB results, with only 2.59% national passers, what interventions has the NBOO proposed to support the Regional Offices to further improve the performance of our barangays? Also, will there be funds allocated for the 2024 SGLGB implementation? Thank you!	DILG 4A_ARFP_Mica Ilang-Ilang		

Is the SK Budget included in the approved Barangay Budget?	R3_Bulacan Lydia D. Mauricio-baltazar	Yes, the SK budget is included in the approved Barangay Budget	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
We request that NBOO also collaborate with the different Leagues of different functionaries to orient them on the indicators in the sectors they are involved in so that C/MLGOOs can monitor the compliance of barangays and provide Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Activities of what is required from the barangays. Thanks.	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	This is in the pipeline; we are just waiting for the approval of the SILG to release the 2023 SGLGB results and the Memorandum Circular for the 2024 SGLGB.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Hello, here in Bataan, we had concerns regarding the budget forms used by barangays during the last assessment. The forms required by their Local Finance Committee in their LGU are different from what is being asked for in SGLGB. We request if this can be coordinated and clarified with other concerned agencies. Because what happened was, they had to redo the forms just to comply with what SGLGB was asking for to pass. Thank you.	R3 Bataan Den Peña	For SGLGB, regarding budget documents, we only required those forms that are required by the DBM as indicated in the issued LBMs.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Is it really the CDRRMO that should certify the BDRRM plan?	DILGR6_BacolodCity_John Christopher Reguindin	We will be requiring the Certification on the list of barangays with approved BDRRM Plan for CY 2023 signed by the C/MDRRMO, in view of his role as the team lead for the C/M Team on the review of BDRRMPs	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Is BDRRM Plan accomplishment really required? Or do you mean PPAs for FY 2023 under the BDRRM plan?	R3-Reyner Buenconsejo	Yes, an accomplishment report on the PPAs targeted for 2023 in the BDRRM Plan is required to determine whether or not the brgy has accomplished at least 50% of its physical targets or at least 50% fund utilization of the 70% component of CY 2023 BDRRMF - Preparedness component as of December 31, 2023	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Can we consider term-based for trainings?	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	Yes, that is why we are requiring attendance at trainings or seminars not earlier than CY 2020, as long as it covers 2023. So it's allowed as long as it's from 2020 to 2023	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For 2.2.1, should it not be earlier than 2020? Will an activity conducted in 2024 be considered?	DILG Quinapondan_Niña Servano		
What is the legal basis for the barangay disaster equipment?	DILGR6_BacolodCity_John Christopher Reguindin	The legal basis is NDRRMC's Minimum Standards for Disaster Preparedness. We will include a link to it in the technotes.	Revisions were incorporated in the Technotes
Is the top 2 Hazard based on the Municipal Contingency Plan?	DILG Mabuhay - Harby Hope Tagong	Based on the hazard/risk assessment of the barangay	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Can we consider barangays with MOAs with private individuals/institutions for Rescue Vehicles and Generator Sets?	DILG IX-Zamboanga del Sur-Focal Person-Jerome Loyd Ogawan	The minimum standards of the NDRRMC were set as far back as 2015, and we believe that the years since then have been sufficient for LGUs to comply with them. So, the answer to the question is no, besides the minimum standard for the rescue vehicles and generator set are very basic.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Would the EO on BADAC suffice if it includes house clustering?	DILGR6_BacolodCity_John Christopher Re	Yes, it's possible as long as there's also a mention in the EO organizing the BADAC about organizing house clusters with designated HCL	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For the Rescue vehicle, is it okay if it's just a Tricycle since this is the only vehicle some Barangays can afford?	DILGR8_Biliran PO_Daryl Taboada_Alternate Focal Officer	Yes, we can consider that.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Can the certification alone from CADAC be accepted? Because newly assumed officials are having a hard time getting copies of certificates of trainings attended by previous VAW Desk, Tanod, or Lupon Members since they have not attended many trainings in 2023. In this case, can we accept certification from training providers such as CSWDO, PNP, or DILG stating the names, date of conduct of trainings, and title of trainings attended?	DILGR6_BacolodCity_John Christopher Re	We will bring this up with the concerned division handling BADAC, to see if we can consider a certification from the CADAC.	Per coordination with the CAPDD, it has been determined that certification, by itself, is not an adequate verification measure. Consequently, the currently established MOVs will continue to be enforced.
Is there a format for the certification on the submitted CIR to CMADAC and Local PNP?	DILG 9_RFP_RONIE BIOSADA	Yes, as indicated in the DILG DDB Joint Memorandum Circular No. 2018-01. The link to the template will also be provided in the technical notes. Additionally, it's worth noting that only a transmittal memo of the CIR is included in our MOV because the CIR contains sensitive information.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Perhaps we need to make our Barangay Secretaries permanent considering the number of MOVs... there are challenges with turnover of leadership.	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	The Department has been very supportive of the house bills regarding this.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For 2023, we have 2 sets of Lupon. Are we going to require both from the previous term and new appointees?	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	No, we will only require it for the previous term as they served for the majority of CY 2023 - from January to October 2023. As to the new appointees starting November 2023, we will require it for the SGLGB next year.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For LTIA, can we utilize the results of the 2023 assessment? The same MOVs were prepared by the barangays.	DILG 9_RFP_RONIE BIOSADA	Yes, but for the SGLGB, we are setting minimum requirements for the functionality of the LTIA with corresponding MOVs. These requirements were derived from the assessment indicators of the LTIA. Since, as per coordination with the BLGS, they will not be able to provide us with data at the barangay level, only national data, we are requiring this for validation purposes.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For Lupon, how are we going to treat those barangays with no recorded cases? Also, those in hinterland barangays that have limited computer supply, how are we going to treat their current status?	SGLG FP-CDO-Ryan Cloyd Villanueva	Relative to the indicator on Systematic maintenance of records of cases, Please note that the requirement for barangays in cities is a computer database with searchable information, while for barangays in municipalities, only Manual Records (Case Record Book) OR Digital Record Filing (scanned copy of KP files) are required. If a particular barangay has no recorded cases,	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Can we require only the results of the BDC, LTIA, BADAC, and BPOC Functionality for SGLGB? To avoid repetition?	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	The BDC, BADAC, and BPOC Functionality Audit are already included in the SGLGB, so they will not be repeated. There will no longer be separate assessments for those BBIs. As for the LTIA, we will discuss again with the BLGS regarding arrangements to provide us with LTIA data for each barangay for the SGLGB next year.	Due to limitations in LTIA Form 3 identified by the BLGS, it cannot capture the data required for this indicator. Therefore, the required Means of Verification (MOV) will remain.
What are the requirements for EO's. Old and new or both?	CAR_Kalinga_Helen E. Lacuesta	The EO should cover the previous term (2018-2023 term of office).	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For the Executive orders being requested or similar Docs for the composition of Different committees / council in the Barangay, can we consider the documents from 2022 or 2021 if there were no changes?	DILGR8_Biliran PO_Daryl Taboada_Alternate Focal Officer	Yes, we are considering that because such issuances are only changed when updates are necessary.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For newly appointed barangay officials such as VAW Desk, Barangay Tanod, or Lupon, they might have difficulty getting a copy of certificates of trainings attended by previous VAW Desk, Tanod, or Lupon Members since they have not attended many trainings in 2023. In this case, can we accept certification from training providers such as CSWDO, PNP, or DILG stating the names, date of conduct of trainings, and title of trainings attended?	DILG4A_Binan, Laguna LGOO VI Fatima Alon	Yes, we will consider certification issued by training providers stating the names of the attendee and their barangay, the date the trainings were conducted, and of course, the title of the trainings.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Why do we limit the BaRCo reports from July to September? Can we not just require at least 3 monthly BaRCo reports?	DILGR4A_TANAY, RIZAL OLIVER MONTEFALCON	The requirement per Memorandum Circular is that it should be monthly, but since we will not require all 12 months, we chose the 3rd quarter to have a uniform period for all barangays.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
What if the City augments the Nutrition scholar and assigns them to the barangays?	John Christopher Reguindin	It's okay for the city/municipality to augment.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Why does the C/MLGOO issue a Certification on the list of Appointed Lupon Tagapamayapa members and approve this? What is the legal basis?	John Christopher Reguindin	We are not requiring a certification from the C/MLGOO on the appointed Lupon. The requirement is only at least ten (10) KP Form # 5 - Oath of Office of the Lupong Tagapamayapa Members, signed by the Punong Barangay.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Last year 2023, DILG discouraged the conduct of 2nd semester General Assembly	DILG12_GSC Lagon-Frugalidad, Jessilou	Yes, but we issued a policy for the conduct of Barangay Assembly during the 1st semester, so that's what we are looking for in the SGLGB.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
For the 2 meetings, can we consider just 1 meeting? Because there are many restrictions during the election period.	John Christopher Reguindin	Where is this indicator? Are these 2 meetings of the BDC? If yes, it's really required to have two because the period is from January to December 2023, with the restriction only during the election period.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Maybe a minimum of 1 is enough because there was no issuance on the conduct for the 2nd semester as it conflicts with BSKE.	R6-Negros Occidental-PFP-Janelle Maraya Paderog	We are only looking for one Barangay Assembly, specifically during the 1st semester.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
4.3.2 For BDC Meetings, why is not the conduct of BDC meetings the indicator and minutes of BDC meetings the MOV? Why are minutes of public hearings/barangay assemblies being asked for as MOV?	DILG Marinduque	Minutes of BDC meetings will be required here as an MOV to establish the conduct of BDC meetings. We will adjust the technical notes accordingly.	Revisions were incorporated in the Technotes
Is the Imtf chair in the LCPC the one who will receive? What if the new barangay officials submit a GAD focal point system? Because there was no turnover to them?	John Christopher Reguindin	Based on DILG MC No. 2021-039, the report shall be submitted to the City/Municipality Inter-Agency Monitoring Task Force (IMTF). Considering the possibility that it was not turned over to the new barangay officials, we will consider it as long as it was submitted in 2023.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Maybe KP Form 4 should be the basis.	DILG_SAN AGUSTIN, ROMBLON	Is this instead of KP Form 5? We required copies of KP Form 5 as proof that they are the appointed LT, not only the list, that is signed by the Punong Barangay and Barangay Secretary.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Comment on the collection of hazardous and infectious waste MOA, etc., as MOV or requirement. This is not the role of the barangay per RA 9003. We suggest to replace the parameter with just the collection role of the barangay regarding biodegradable and recyclables at the MRF for treatment and temporary storage.	DILG.R2_BatanesCluster.Emi Rosales	Will revisit the indicator and MOV	Considered the suggestion

<p>Good morning. There are several certifications as MOV that require the C/MLGOO to issue, wherein not all accomplishment reports are being required to be submitted to the C/MLGOO such as the BNAP accomplishment report. Also, per MC, the C/MLGOO is the assessor, and yet some certifications also need to be provided by the C/MLGOO as MOV. Thank you.</p>	<p>DILG Rizal C3_Sherlyn Oñate-Resurreccion</p>	<p>Per Item 1.10 of DILG MC 2018-42. The Barangay PPAN (BNAP) report shall be submitted to the City/Municipal Mayor's thruu MLGOO/CLGOO</p> <p>As indicated in the tech notes, the certifications that will be signed by the C/MLGOOs are only for those that are being submitted to them, or those that are copy furnished to them.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>
<p>On the SGLGB Database, there is a query regarding the 5% allocation for DRR. When manually computed based on the submitted MOVs of the barangay, it exactly meets 5%, but when encoded in the system, it fails because it does not reflect 5%.</p>	<p>DILG QC_Leah B. Peralta</p>	<p>Where is this particular barangay, ma'am? Because there is an automatic formula that computes in the database.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>
<p>What if some LT members have taken the oath but others have not?</p>	<p>DILG12_GSC Lagon-Frugalidad, Jessilou</p>	<p>What do you mean? They do not have their oath of office? If this is the case, they may not form part of the LT.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>
<p>Good afternoon! I just want to ask, should the financial reports follow the given formats? Because the format used by barangays for the Barangay Budget, annual procurement, etc., is different.</p> <p>Should the DCFs also be uploaded?</p>	<p>RVII-Bayawan City-Maryrose Gallarde</p>	<p>What we require for the SGLGB is based on the required templates of the DBM as per their Local Budget Memorandum.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>

Are only the passers at the City/Municipality level required to submit the MOVs to the gdrive?	DILG IX-Zamboanga del Sur-Focal Person-Jerome Loyd Ogawan	Yes, only the MOVs of the potential passers endorsed by the City Director/C/MLGOOs need to be uploaded to GDrive.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
<p>Can I clarify regarding the SK Quorum: Is the quorum based on the Elected and Qualified Members? In the SGLGB Indicator/Technotes, there is a min of 5 members to constitute a quorum.</p> <p>Please further enlighten for a case where the elected officials are only 4 members (including SKC). The quorum will be computed based on the 4 members. How will this be treated in SGLGB documentation?</p> <p>Also, can we request a copy of the mentioned document/response of COMELEC regarding quorum? Thank you.</p>	DILG CULION, PALAWAN_APRL GRACE HALILI	Yes, we will provide you with a copy of the document from LLLS.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Regarding the EO on BADAC, was it issued by the PB of the previous term 2018-2023? Or do we have to include the EO issued by the new term? For example, if an EO was issued in Dec. 2023.	RXIII_SURIGAO DEL NORTE_OM Tomate	The Executive Order of the previous term.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

This is a previous concern about the LBP forms used by our Barangay Treasurers, which were not the advised forms as per technotes. So what happened was the treasurers had to redo them in conformity with the forms in the technotes.	DILG Enrique Villanueva Maria Theresa S. Boca	For the SGLGB, regarding budget documents, we only require those forms that are required by the DBM as indicated in the issued LBMs.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Is it necessary for the whole 10% of the SK Fund to be deposited in the SK Account?	DILG - Navotas	Section 20 (a) of the SK Reform Act of 2015 mandates that 10% of the general fund of the barangay shall be set aside for the SK. The Sangguniang Barangay shall appropriate the SK funds in lump-sum, which shall be disbursed solely for youth development and empowerment purposes.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
The period for Data Gathering, Assessment, Certification, and Online Data Entry (Item 4.5.2) should be at least 3 months reckoned from the date of release of the approved guidelines; consider a longer period for LGUs with 50 and above barangays; consider scheduling a deadline for uploading to gdrive (instead of all LGUs nationwide all at the same time).	roma santa miranda	Considering the SGLG requirements, what we can commit to is extending the period for the uploading of MOVs.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

How will all be deposited if the installment is credited to the barangay account where they have the mandate to transfer to the SK account per RA 10742 and 11768?	DILG_SAN AGUSTIN, ROMBLON	<p>Item 3.2.3 of DBM-DILG-NYC JMC No. 1, s. 2019 mandates that the SK funds shall be deposited by the barangay into the current account of the SK not later than 5 working days after the crediting of the monthly NTA share of the barangay.</p> <p>The barangay may opt to transfer/release the SK funds earlier than herein prescribed on an annual, semestral, or quarterly basis, subject to the written agreement between the barangay and the SK.</p> <p>These are the considerations for the transfer of SK funds.</p>	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Can we add considerations to other indicators? Similar to SGLG, some of the indicators are required to be presented during the Validation process. Maybe we can also adopt this in SGLGB.	DILG Bac_John Christopher Reguindin	There are some indicators wherein there are considerations.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
It seems like the interventions part by NBOO for less than 2% passers wasn't answered.	DILG Bac_John Christopher Reguindin	The results of the SGLGB will be communicated to all concerned NGAs, leagues, LGA, and other stakeholders for the designing and provision of CapDev interventions to those barangays that are lagging behind.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Old forms are still required for the budget by SGLGB.	CTL Cristy M. Blanco	For 2024, the basis is DBM LBM No. 85 dated June 15, 2022, Indicative FY 2023 National Tax Allotment (NTA) Shares of Local Government Units (LGUs), and Guidelines on the Preparation of the FY 2023 Annual Budgets of LGUs. The forms attached to this LBM are required.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

<p>It's difficult if the previous term lost and the opponent won and they're not in good terms, they won't turnover documents! Maybe we should consider new EO's and PPAs conducted by the new set of officials.</p>	<p>DILG Bac_John Christopher Reguindin</p>	<p>We will raise this to the management if this can be considered.</p>	<p>Following discussions with NBOO-CAPDD, the Division overseeing this PPA, the national compliance rate for this directive is already above 80%. Hence, DILG Memorandum Circular No. 2023-047 will still be implemented.</p>
<p>Sir, I have a follow-up request or question regarding the rescue vehicle. Would it be possible for the Barangay, as part of their Response Mechanism, to use rescue vehicles from TODA or Public Transport Tricycle Organization if they do not have their own rescue vehicle due to limited budget?</p>	<p>DILGR8_Biliran PO_Daryl Taboada_Alternate Focal Officer</p>	<p>Yes, this can be considered.</p>	<p>Per Disaster Manual: "Equipment: may borrow equipment from the province and neighbor LGUs and NGAs, or revisit MoA with the private sector"</p>
<p>How about LGUs with no barangay roads? In Cavite City and the City of Imus, since they only have city, provincial, and national roads, are they no longer required to participate in BaRCO?</p>	<p>R4A Cavite_Cluster Head Nency Costelo 2:22 PM</p>	<p>If there are no barangay roads, Barangay still needs to do 4.1.3.2 of DILG MC 2022-085, maintain city/municipal roads cleared and turned over by the city/municipal government</p> <p>What we are requiring for the SGLGB are reports only: Three (3) Monthly Barangay Council Reports covering July-September 2023.</p>	<p>No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.</p>

Can we have considerations for other Indicators? Similar to SGLG, where some indicators are required to be presented during the Validation process. Maybe we can also adopt this approach in SGLGB.	DILG Bac_John Christopher Reguindin 2:23 PM	We will discuss this with the NYC.	This consideration will be implemented for the CY 2025 SGLGB Assessment due to the recently concluded Barangay and SK Election in October 2023.
Regarding BNAPs, the barangays do not submit them to MLGOOs.	DILG R12_FIELD OFFICER_LGOO VI_LUCIA A. ALIPONGA	Submit to the Mayor but through the C/MLGOO per DILG MC 2018-42	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
What if a different form for financial reports was used in 2023? If it went through the approval process, will barangays need to change it for the sake of SGLG? Secondly, if they do change it, barangays may have difficulty since they are not the set of officials during the approval.	DILG_SAN AGUSTIN, ROMBLON	It doesn't need to be changed for the sake of SGLGB, but it needs to be noted that it is not compliant with the policy issued by the DBM because it also inputs into the capdev needs of the barangay.	
Can we consider substance over form? Especially if the necessary data is already present in the documents provided by the barangays in lieu of the required forms.	R3-Reyner Buenconsejo	Yes, in fact, we submitted many last year that were not compliant with the form as long as the required information is present.	
Regarding LTIA MOVs, can we use LTIA Form 3 instead of minutes, etc.	DILG-R1-La Union-Focal Person	We will look into this.	Due to limitations in LTIA Form 3 identified by the BLGS, it cannot capture the data required for this indicator. Therefore, the required MOV will remain.

On Timelines			
Good day, when will we know the final result of SGLGB last year, thanks.	DILG QC_Leah B. Peralta	This has already been endorsed by the National Quality Committee for SILG's approval. We will follow up again with OSEC.	The List of SGLGB Passers has been approved by the SILG.
Also, when will the official list of passers for the 2023 SGLGB be released since the number of barangay passers per region has already been presented?	DILGr6 Iloilo - PFP Ma. Ellen Jane Sibag		
Good day, when will we know the final result of SGLGB last year, thanks.	DILG QC_Leah B. Peralta		
When will the signed SGLGB MC be released?	DILG R12 RFP_Depaur, Ellaine Josephine B.	This has already been submitted to OSEC for approval.	
When will there be a signed MC for SGLGB?	DILG 4A - RFP - James Torres		
On Database			
May we also request to reopen access to the processing sheet from last year? The vetted one, to our official emails, because we need it for CADEV interventions. Thank you.	CAR-ARFP-Sigfred Bumangil	Yes, we will be sharing the database of the 2023 SGLGB to all Regional Offices, along with the Barangay Governance Assessment Reports (BGARs).	To be shared upon the approval of SILG.
Good morning, will NBOO be providing the Assessment Processing Google sheet as they did last year?	CAR-ARFP-Sigfred Bumangil	Yes, we will be sharing the official SGLGB Google Sheet with the Regional Offices.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.

Others			
Requesting for funding support.	DILG R2 AFP_Emma Linacero-Babalo	For this year, we will download support to operations funds per region. We are currently processing the request for fund transfer.	No further action required. This was covered in National Orientation.
Good afternoon, how will the assessment be conducted for an LGU that merged barangays? From 73 to 47 effective December 2023, how will the assessment proceed?	R4A Cavite_Cluster Head Nency Costelo	The old/original barangays will still be assessed for SGLGB this year, not the merged ones, as they were only merged in December 2023, although they already have new sets of barangay officials as the elections were conducted in October 2023.	This was further clarified in Annex 1 of the SGLGB MC