

Stat 215A Lecture Notes

Lance Remigio

August 14, 2025

Contents

1	Lecture 1	1
2	Lecture 2	3
3	Lecture 3	4

1 Lecture 1

Definition (Indicator Function). Given a set $A \subseteq \Omega$, we define the indicator function $I_A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$I_A(W) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Example. For any set $A \subseteq C$, $I_A = 1 - I_{A^c}$.

Proof. Let A be any set. Let $x \in A$. Then $x \notin A^c$ and so $I_A(x) = 1$ and $I_{A^c}(x) = 0$. Thus,

$$I_A = 1 = 1 - 0 = 1 - I_{A^c}(x).$$

Let $y \in A^c$. Then $y \notin A$. Then $I_{A^c} = 1$, and $I_A(y) = 0$. Hence,

$$I_A = 0 = 1 - 1 = 1 - I_{A^c}(y).$$

■

An alternative proof to the above result goes something like:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - I_{A^c}) &= \begin{cases} 1 - 1 & \text{if } w \in A^c \\ 1 - 0 & \text{if } w \notin A^c \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w \notin A \\ 1 & \text{if } w \in A \end{cases} \\ &= I_A. \end{aligned}$$

Example. If $A \subseteq B$, then $I_A \leq I_B$.

Proof. If $w \in A$, then $w \in B$ and so $I_A(w) = 1$ and $I_B(w) = 1$. Thus, $I_A(w) \leq I_B(w)$. If there exists $w \in B \cap A^c$, then $I_A(w) = 0$ and $I_B(w) = 1$. Thus, we have $I_A(w) \leq I_B(w)$. If there exists $w \in \Omega \cap B^c$, then $I_A(w) = 0$ and $I_B(w) = 0$. Then $I_A(w) \leq I_B(w)$. ■

Definition. For a sequence of sets A_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{k \geq n} A_k &= \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \\ \sup_{k \geq n} A_k &= \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_k &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{k \geq n} A_k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_k &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{k \geq n} A_k = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k.\end{aligned}$$

For \liminf , we have a set of nondecreasing sets. On the other hand, for \limsup , we have a set of nonincreasing sets.

Proposition (De Morgan's Laws for \limsup and \liminf).

$$\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_k \right)^c = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^c$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right)^c &= \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \right)^c \\ &= \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \right)^c \\ &= \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c.\end{aligned}$$

■

Definition. If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, then we define the limit of A_n as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n. \quad (*)$$

Example. Let $A_k = \left[0, \frac{k}{k+1}\right]$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_k = [0, 1)$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_k = [0, 1)$, so $A_k \rightarrow [0, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition. Let A_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of subsets in Ω . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n &= \{w \in \Omega : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{A_n}(w) = \infty\} \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n &= \{w \in \Omega : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{A_n}(w) < \infty\}.\end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, the \limsup is the set of $w \in \Omega$ that appear infinitely often, and \liminf is the set $w \in \Omega$ that appear except for finitely many times.

Proof. We will prove the first equation. The second uses a similar argument. Let $w \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$. Then

$$w \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, for $w \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_n$. Then there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w \in A_{k_1}$. Similarly,

$$w \in \bigcup_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} A_k \implies \exists k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } w \in A_{k_2}.$$

Also,

$$w \in \bigcup_{k=k_2+1}^{\infty} A_k \implies \exists k_3 > k_2 \text{ such that } w \in A_{k_3}.$$

We can continue this process inductively to get

$$I_{A_n} = 1$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{A_{k_i}}(w) = \infty.$$

Thus, we see that $w \in \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_n$. ■

End of Lecture 1

2 Lecture 2

Proposition. If $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \dots$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{k \geq 1} A_k &= A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots = A_1 \\ \bigcap_{k \geq 2} A_k &= A_2 \cap A_3 \cap \dots = A_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k &= A_n \cap A_{n+1} \cap \dots = A_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \geq 1} A_k &= A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \\ \bigcup_{k \geq 2} A_k &= A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \\ &\vdots \\ \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k &= A_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \dots = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \end{aligned}$$

and so, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n.$$

This tells us that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n.$$

■

Corollary. Let $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence (of sets). Then $B_n = \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_k$ and $C_n = \bigcap_{k \geq n} A_k$ are monotone, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{k \geq n} A_k$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} A_k$

Proof.

■

Proposition. Let G_1 and G_2 be open sets. Then $G_1 \cap G_2$ is an open set.

Proof. Let $x \in G_1 \cap G_2$. Our goal is to show that $B_{r_x} \subseteq G_1 \cap G_2$; that is,

$$G_1 \cap G_2 = \bigcup_{x \in G_1 \cap G_2} B_{r_x}(x).$$

Since $x \in G_1$ and $G_1 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} B_{r_\alpha}(x_\alpha)$, we have

$$B_{r_1}(x_1) \subseteq G_1.$$

Here r_1 can be found because G_1 is an open set. Similarly, $x \in G_2$ and $G_2 = \bigcup_{\beta \in \Lambda} B_{r_\beta}(x_\beta)$ implies that $x \in B_{r_2}(x_2) \subseteq G_2$. Like G_1 , r_2 can be found because G_2 is an open set. Now, take $r_x = \min\{r_1 - d(x_1, x), r_2 - d(x_2, x)\}$. Let $y \in B_{r_x}(x)$. Then

$$d(x, y) < r_x < r_1 - d(x_1, x) < r_1 \quad (1)$$

and

$$d(x, y) < r_x < r_2 - d(x_2, x) < r_2 \quad (2)$$

Now, (1) and (2) imply that $y \in G_1 \cap G_2$ (because $B_{r_2}(x_2) \subseteq G_2$ and $B_{r_1}(x_1) \subseteq G_1$). Hence, $G_1 \cap G_2$ is an open set. ■

End of Lecture 2

3 Lecture 3

Proposition. Let $\{G_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a collection of open sets. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$ is an open set.

Proof. Our goal is to show that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$B_\delta(x) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$$

for all $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$. Let $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x \in G_i$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, (because G_i is an open set), there exists a $\delta_i > 0$ such that $B_{\delta_i}(x) \subseteq G_i$. Take

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

It can be seen immediately that this δ is the same δ we were looking for. Indeed, for all $y \in B_\delta(x)$, we have

$$d(x, y) < \delta < \delta_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence, $y \in B_{\delta_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. ■

End of Lecture 3