

Homework 4

Lance Remigio

June 26, 2025

Problem 1. If E is nonempty subset of a metric space (X, d) , define the distance from $x \in X$ to E by

$$\text{dist}(x, E) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z).$$

- (a) Prove that $\text{dist}(x, E) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \overline{E}$.
- (b) Prove that if E is compact, then the infimum in the definition above is attained, that is, if $x \in X$ and E is compact, then there exists $a \in E$ such that $\text{dist}(x, E) = d(x, a)$.
- (c) Prove that if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and if E is closed, then the in the definition above is attained, that is, if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and E is closed, then there exists $a \in E$ such that $\text{dist}(x, E) = d(x, a)$.
- (d) Prove that $\text{dist}(x, E) = \text{dist}(x, \overline{E})$.
- (e) Prove that $d_E : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $d_E(x) = \text{dist}(x, E)$ is uniformly continuous function on X , by showing that

$$|d_E(x) - d_E(y)| \leq d(x, y) \quad \forall x \in X, y \in X.$$

Proof. (1-a) (\implies) Suppose $\text{dist}(x, E) = 0$. Our goal is to show that $x \in \overline{E}$; that is, we want to show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$N_\varepsilon(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\text{dist}(x, E) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) = 0$, there exists $z_1 \in E$ such that

$$d(x, z_1) < \text{dist}(x, E) + \varepsilon = 0 + \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $z_1 \in N_\varepsilon(x)$. Since we also have $z_1 \in E$, it follows that $N_\varepsilon(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ as desired.

(\impliedby) Suppose $x \in \overline{E}$. Our goal is to show that $\text{dist}(x, E) = 0$; that is, we need to show that $\inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) = 0$. To this end, it suffices to prove that

$$\forall z \in E \quad d(x, z) \geq 0 \tag{i}$$

and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists z \in E \quad \text{such that} \quad d(x, z) < 0 + \varepsilon \tag{ii}$$

We see that (i) follows immediately because d defines a metric on X . To show (ii), let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $x \in \overline{E}$, $N_\varepsilon(x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$. So, there exists z_1 such that $z_1 \in E$ and $z_1 \in N_\varepsilon(x)$. Hence, $z_1 \in E$ such that $d(x, z_1) < \varepsilon$. Note that z_1 is the same z we were looking for. This conclude the proof for the backwards direction.

(1-b) We know that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a nonempty set that is bounded below, then $\inf A \in \overline{A}$ and so there exists a sequence (a_n) in A such that $a_n \rightarrow \inf A$. We have $\text{dist}(x, E) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z)$. So, there exists a sequence (z_n) in E such that $d(x, z_n) \rightarrow \text{dist}(x, E)$. Now, since E is compact, (z_n) contains a subsequence (z_{n_k}) that converges to a point $a \in E$. Thus, we have

$$z_{n_k} \rightarrow a \implies d(x, z_{n_k}) \rightarrow d(x, a)$$

and

$$d(x, z_n) \rightarrow \text{dist}(x, E) \implies d(x, z_{n_k}) \rightarrow \text{dist}(x, E)$$

imply that

$$\text{dist}(x, E) = d(x, a)$$

by the uniqueness of limits.

(1-c) Recall that in \mathbb{R}^n every closed and bounded set is compact. Pick any point $p \in E$. Let $r = d(x, p)$. Let $S = \overline{N_r(x)} \cap E$ (clearly, $p \in S$ and since $S \subseteq \overline{N_r(x)}$ and $\text{dist}(x, S) \leq r$).

In what follows, we will show that $\text{dist}(x, S) = \text{dist}(x, E)$.

Remark. Note that since S is the intersection of closed sets, it is closed. Also,

$$S \subseteq \overline{N_r(p)} = \{z \in X : d(x, z) \leq r\} \subseteq N_{2r}(p).$$

So, S is bounded. Since S is closed and bounded, it is compact. Thus, by (1-b), there exists $z \in S$ such that $d(x, z) = \text{dist}(x, S)$. Since $\text{dist}(x, S) = \text{dist}(x, E)$, the claim is proved.

First, note that

$$\text{dist}(x, S) = \inf_{z \in S} d(x, z) \geq \underbrace{\inf_{z \in E} d(x, z)}_{S \subseteq E} = \text{dist}(x, E).$$

Hence, $\text{dist}(x, S) \geq \text{dist}(x, E)$. From here, we just need to prove that $\text{dist}(x, E) \geq \text{dist}(x, S)$. Our goal is to show that

$$\forall z \in E \quad d(x, z) \geq \text{dist}(x, S).$$

Let $z \in E$ be given. If $z \in S$, then $d(x, z) \geq \inf_{w \in S} d(x, w) = \text{dist}(x, S)$. If $z \notin S = \overline{N_r(x)} \cap E$, then since $z \in E$, we can conclude that $z \notin \overline{N_r(x)}$ and so $d(x, z) \geq r \geq \text{dist}(x, S)$ as desired.

(1-d) First note that $E \subseteq \overline{E}$ (in general, if $A \subseteq B$, then $\inf A \geq \inf B$). So, we have

$$\text{dist}(x, E) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) \geq \inf_{z \in \overline{E}} d(x, z) = \text{dist}(x, \overline{E}).$$

It suffices to show that $\text{dist}(x, \overline{E}) \geq \text{dist}(x, E)$, that is,

$$\inf_{z \in \overline{E}} d(x, z) \geq \text{dist}(x, E).$$

That is, our goal is to show that

$$\forall z \in \overline{E} \quad d(x, z) \geq \text{dist}(x, E).$$

Let $z \in \overline{E}$ be given. By definition, we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad N_\varepsilon(z) \cap E \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, there exists $p_\varepsilon \in N_\varepsilon(z) \cap E$ and so

$$\text{dist}(x, E) \leq d(x, p_\varepsilon) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, p_\varepsilon) < d(x, z) + \varepsilon.$$

That is,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad d(x, z) + \varepsilon > \text{dist}(x, E).$$

Thus,

$$d(x, z) \geq \text{dist}(x, E).$$

(1-e) Recall that $d_E : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then

$$|d_E(x) - d_E(y)| < \varepsilon. \quad (*)$$

If we prove that

$$\forall x, y \in X \quad |d_E(x) - d_E(y)| \leq d(x, y), \quad (**)$$

then $(*)$ will hold by setting $\delta = \varepsilon$ (or any positive number less than ε). So, it suffices to show that $(**)$ holds. Let $x, y \in X$ be given. We have

$$d_E(x) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) \implies \forall z \in E \quad d_E(x) \leq d(x, z).$$

Then we have

$$\forall z \in E \quad d_E(x) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

which can be further rewritten into

$$\forall z \in E \quad d_E(x) - d(x, y) \leq d(y, z).$$

This tells us that $d_E(x) - d(x, y)$ is a lower bound for the set

$$\{d(y, z) : z \in E\}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$d_E(x) - d(x, y) \leq \inf_{z \in E} d(y, z) = d_E(y)$$

and so

$$d_E(x) - d_E(y) \leq d(x, y). \quad (1)$$

Switching the roles of x and y in the argument above, we can derive a similar result; that is,

$$-(d_E(x) - d_E(y)) = d_E(y) - d_E(x) \leq d(y, x) = d(x, y). \quad (2)$$

Thus, (1) and (2) imply that

$$|d_E(x) - d_E(y)| \leq d(x, y)$$

which proves that d_E is a uniformly continuous function on X as desired. ■

Problem 2. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . The distance between A and B is defined as follows:

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

(Note that in case $A = \{x\}$, $\text{dist}(\{x\}, B) = \text{dist}(x, B)$ which was introduced in the previous exercise.)
Prove that

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A} \text{dist}(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} \text{dist}(y, A).$$

Proof. Here we will prove a more general claim: Let A and B be any two nonempty sets (not necessarily in a metric space) and let $F : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that is bounded below; that is, the set $\{F(x, y) : (x, y) \in A \times B\}$ is bounded below. Let

$$G : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G(x) = \inf_{y \in B} F(x, y)$$

$$H : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H(y) = \inf_{x \in A} F(x, y).$$

Then

$$(1) \quad \inf_{(x, y) \in A \times B} F(x, y) = \inf_{x \in A} G(x);$$

$$(2) \quad \inf_{(x, y) \in A \times B} F(x, y) = \inf_{y \in B} H(y).$$

Here we will prove (1). The proof of (2) is analogous. Let $L = \inf_{(x, y) \in A \times B} F(x, y)$. Our goal is to show that $L = \inf_{x \in A} G(x)$. To this end, it suffices to show that

$$(i) \quad L \leq G(x) \text{ for all } x \in A$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists x \in A \text{ such that } G(x) < L + \varepsilon.$$

Indeed, let $x \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in B \quad (x, y) \in A \times B &\implies \forall y \in B \quad L \leq F(x, y) \\ &\implies L \text{ is a lower bound of } \{F(x, y) : y \in B\} \\ &\implies L \leq \inf_{y \in B} F(x, y) = G(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). Now, we will show (ii). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then

$$L = \inf_{(x,y) \in A \times B} F(x,y) \implies \exists (x_0, y_0) \in A \times B \text{ such that } F(x_0, y_0) < L + \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have

$$G(x_0) = \inf_{y \in B} F(x_0, y) \leq F(x_0, y_0) < L + \varepsilon.$$

From this, we can see that x_0 is the same x we were looking for. ■

Problem 3. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Prove that if A and B are two nonempty disjoint sets in X such that A is **compact** and B is **closed**, then $\text{dist}(A, B) > 0$.

Proof. Assume for contradiction that $\text{dist}(A, B) = 0$. We have

$$0 = \text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A} d_B(x). \quad (\text{See Exercise 2})$$

In exercise 1, we proved that $d_B : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous. As a consequence, $d_B : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Since A is compact, it follows from the Extreme Value Theorem that

$$\exists a \in A \text{ such that } \inf_{x \in A} d_B(x) = d_B(a).$$

Since $\inf_{x \in A} d_B(x) = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0$, we can conclude that

$$d_B(a) = 0.$$

It follows from part (a) of exercise 1 that $a \in \overline{B}$. Since B is closed, we have $\overline{B} = B$ and so $a \in B$. Thus, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ since $a \in A$ and $a \in B$ which is a contradiction! ■

Problem 4. Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $t > 0$ be a fixed positive number. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, E) \geq t\}$. Prove that

$$\circ A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, E) > t\}.$$