## 1 Lecture 20-21

# 1.1 Topics

- Infinite Series
- Telescoping Series, Geometric Series
- $\bullet\,$  Algebraic Limit Theorem for Series
- Divergence Test
- Cauchy Criterion for Series
- Absolute Convergence Test

#### 1.2 Infinite Series

Consider the following expression:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \cdots$$

How can we make sense of the infinite sum above? More generally, let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Then what does the following expression mean?

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots ?$$

**Definition** (Infinite Series). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in X.

(\*) An expression of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$$

is called an infinite series.

- (\*)  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  are called the **terms** of this infinite series.
- (\*) The corresponding sequence of **partial sums** is defined by

 $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \ s_m = \text{(finite) sum of the first } m \text{ terms of the series;}$ 

that is,

$$s_{1} = x_{1}$$

$$s_{2} = x_{1} + x_{2}$$

$$s_{3} = x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$s_{m} = x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{m}$$

$$\vdots$$

- 1. We say that the infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges to  $L \in X$  (and we write  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = L$ ) if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_m = L$ .
- 2. We say that the infinite series **diverges**, if  $(s_m)$  diverges.
- 3. If  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $s_m \to \infty$ , we write  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \infty$ .
- (\*) If  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $s_m \to -\infty$ , we write  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = -\infty$ .

**Remark** (1). Given an infinite series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ , it is important to keep a clear distinction between

- (a) the sequence of terms:  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, ...)$
- (b) the sequence of partial sums:  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, ...)$ .

**Remark** (2). We may sometimes consider infinite series where the summation begins with n = 0 or  $n = n_0$  for some integer  $n_0$  different from 1.

As we shall see, some of our theorems apply specifically to series in  $\mathbb{R}$  or to series with terms in  $[0, \infty)$ . Also, in our examples, we will primarily focus on series in  $\mathbb{R}$ ; however, we will also consider encounter highly useful theorems that hold in more general normed spaces.

In most cases it is difficult (or even impossible) to find a simple formula for the partial sum  $s_m$ . However, there are two types of series for which we can easily find a simple formula for the partial sums. These two types are:

- (1) Telescoping Series
- (2) Geometric Series

# 1.3 Telescoping Series, Geometric Series

**Example.** Consider the following series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right).$$

Notice that  $x_n = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}$ . The corresponding sequence of partial sums is

$$s_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$s_2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$s_3 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}$$

:

$$s_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n}\right) - \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$
$$= 1 - \frac{1}{m+1}.$$

Clearly, we see that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} s_m = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right] = 1.$$

Hence,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty \frac{1}{n(n+1)}}$  converges to 1.

In general, a telescoping series is an infinite series where partial sums eventually have a finite number of terms after cancellation. For example, if  $(y_n)$  is a sequence in the normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_n - y_{n+1})$  is a telescoping series; that is,

$$s_m = \sum_{n=1}^m (y_n - y_{n+1}) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^m y_n\right) - \left(\sum_{n=1}^m y_{n+1}\right) = [y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_m] - [y_2 + y_3 + \dots + y_{m+1}]$$
$$= y_1 - y_m.$$

1.4 Geometric Series 1 LECTURE 20-21

#### 1.4 Geometric Series

Let k be a fixed integer and let  $r \neq 0$  be a fixed real number. The infinite series  $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} r^n = r^k + r^{k+1} + r^{k+2} + \cdots$  is called a **geometric series** with common ration "r". For example,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \cdots \text{ is a geometric series with common ratio } \frac{1}{2}.$$

Another example is that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{7^n}{29^n}$$
 is a geometric series with common ratio  $\frac{7}{29}$ .

A non-example is the following:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

We can easily find a formula for the  $m{\rm th}$  partial sum of  $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} r^k$  where

$$s_{1} = r^{k}$$

$$s_{2} = r^{k} + r^{k+1}$$

$$s_{3} = r^{k} + r^{k+1} + r^{k+2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$s_{m} = r^{k} + r^{k+1} + \dots + r^{k+m-1}$$
(\*)

Now, if r = 1, we have

$$s_m = \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{m \text{summands}} = m.$$

If  $r \neq 1$ , then multiply both sides of (\*) by r:

$$rs_m = r^{k+1} + r^{k+2} + \dots + r^{k+m}.$$
 (\*\*)

Subtracting (\*\*) from (\*), we get

$$s_m - rs_m = r^k - r^{k+m}.$$

Since  $r \neq 1$ , we have

$$s_m = \frac{r^k - r^{k+m}}{1 - r} = \frac{r^k (1 - r^m)}{1 - r}.$$

Note that

- (i) If |r| < 1, then  $\lim r^m = 0$ .
- (ii) If |r| > 1 or r = -1, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} r^m$  does not exists.

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} s_m = \begin{cases} \frac{r^k}{1-r} & \text{if} |r| < 1\\ \text{DNE} & \text{if} |r| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} r^n = \begin{cases} \frac{r^k}{1-r} & \text{if} |r| < 1\\ \text{diverges} & \text{if} |r| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Example. • 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.$$

•  $\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$ 

Observe that

$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

# 1.5 Algebraic Limit Theorem for Series

**Theorem.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  be two sequence in X. Suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = A \ (A \in X), \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = B \ (B \in X).$$

Then

- (i) For any scalar  $\lambda$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda a_n) = \lambda A$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) = A + B$ .

**Proof.** Can easily be proven via the Algebraic Limit Theorem for Sequences.

#### 1.6 Divergence Test

**Theorem** (Divergence Test). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in X. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $s_n = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ . Let  $L = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ . Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = L \Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = L.$$

Also, note that

$$\forall n \ge 2 \ x_n = s_n - s_{n-1}.$$

Note that  $\lim s_n = L$  and  $\lim s_{n-1} = L$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} (s_n - s_{n-1}) = L - L = 0$$

by the Algebraic Limit Theorem for normed spaces.

**Remark.** Note that the divergence test is just the contrapositive of the above.

**Example.** •  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n$  diverges because  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (-1)^n$  does not exist.

•  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3n+1}{7n-4}$  diverges because  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{3n+1}{7n-4} = \frac{3}{7} \neq 0$ .

From the above statements, we can now see make two key observations:

- If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  may or may not converge.
- If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n \neq 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  diverges.

As for the first observation above, we see that  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, but  $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  converges.

### 1.7 Cauchy Criterion for Series

**Theorem** (Cauchy Criterion). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complete normed space. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in X. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \text{ converges } \Longleftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > m > N \quad \|\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\| < \varepsilon.$$

**Proof.** Let  $s_n = x_1 + \cdots + x_k$ . Assuming that

$$||s_n - s_m|| = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n x_k \right\|$$

where n > m and from the fact that

$$s_n - s_m = (x_1 + \dots + x_m + \dots + x_n) - (x_1 + \dots + x_m)$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=m+1}^n s_k$ .

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \text{ converges} \iff (s_k) \text{ converges}$$
 
$$\iff (s_k) \text{ is Cauchy}$$
 
$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > m > N \quad \|s_n - s_m\| < \varepsilon$$
 
$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > m > N \quad \|\sum_{k=m+1}^n x_k\| < \varepsilon$$

as desired.

From here, we will refer to complete normed spaces as Banach spaces.

## 1.8 Absolute Convergence Test

**Theorem** (Absolute Convergence Test). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach Space. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in X. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|$  converges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges.

**Proof.** By the Cauchy Criterion for series, it suffices to show that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > m > N \Big\| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} x_k \Big\| < \varepsilon.$$
 (\*)

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||x_k||$  converges, and since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, it follows from the Cauchy Criterion for series that there exists  $\hat{N}$  such that

$$\forall n > m > \hat{N} \mid \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} ||x_k||| < \varepsilon.$$

We claim that  $\hat{N}$  is the same N we were looking for. Hence, if  $n > m > \hat{N}$ , then we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} x_{k} \right\| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \|x_{k}\| = \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \|x_{k}\| \right| < \varepsilon$$

as desired.

Please take note of the following observations:

- (1) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_n||$  converges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges (in Banach spaces).
- (2) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_n||$  diverges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  may converge or diverge.

From (2), we shall see (in the next lecture) that

- (1)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \right|$  diverges but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$  converges.
- (2)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(-1)^n|$  diverges, also  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n$  diverges (by the divergence test).

**Definition** (Absolute Convergence and Conditional Convergence). We say that a series  $\sum x_n$  absolutely converges if  $\sum ||x_n||$  converges and  $\sum x_n$  converges. We say that  $\sum x_n$  conditionally converges if  $\sum ||x_n||$  diverges but  $\sum x_n$  converges.

**Example** (Conditionally Convergent). Consider  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$ . We see that this series is conditionally convergent since

$$\left|\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}\right| = \frac{1}{n} \to 0$$

which tell us that the above series diverges by the divergence test. But the above series converges via the Leibniz Test (As we shall see in the next lecture).

## 2 Lecture 21-22

# 2.1 Topics

- Cauchy Condensation Test
- Comparison Test
- More on  $\limsup$  and  $\liminf$
- Root Test
- Ratio Test
- Dirichlet's Test

**Theorem** (Cauchy Condensation Test). Assume  $a_n \ge 0$  for all n, and  $(a_n)$  is a decreasing sequence. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ converges } \iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n} = a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + 8a_8 + 16a_{16} + \cdots \text{ converges.}$$

**Proof.** Let  $s_m = a_1 + \dots + a_m$  and  $t_m = a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + \dots + 2^{m-1}a_{2^{m-1}}$ . Using the fact that  $(a_n)$ 

is a decreasing sequence, we can see that

$$\begin{split} s_{2^k} &= a_1 = a_2 + (a_3 + a_4) + (a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8) + \dots + (a_{2^{k-1}+1} + \dots + a_{2^k}) \\ &\geq a_1 + a_2 + (a_4 + a_4) + (a_8 + a_8 + a_8 + a_8) + \dots + (a_{2^k} + \dots + a_{2^k}) \\ &= a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4 + 4a_8 + \dots + 2^{k-1}a_{2^k} \\ &= a_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ t_{k+1} - a_1 \right] \\ &= a_1 + \frac{1}{2} t_{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} a_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + t_{k+1}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} t_{k+1}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$s_{2^k} \ge \frac{1}{2} t_{k+1}. \tag{*}$$

Similarly, we have

$$s_{2^{k}-1} = a_{1} + (a_{2} + a_{3}) + (a_{4} + a_{5} + a_{6} + a_{7}) + \dots + (a_{2^{k-1}} + \dots + a_{2^{k}-1})$$

$$\leq a_{1} + (a_{2} + a_{2}) + (a_{4} + a_{4} + a_{4} + a_{4}) + \dots + (a_{2^{k-1}} + \dots + a_{2^{k-1}})$$

$$= a_{1} + 2a_{2} + 4a_{4} + \dots + 2^{k-1}a_{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= t_{k}.$$

Thus, we have that

$$s_{2^k-1} \le t_k. \tag{**}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n}$  converges  $((t_m) \text{ converges})$ . Our goal is to show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges; that is,  $(s_m)$  converges. Note that since  $a_n \geq 0$ , both  $(s_m)$  and  $(t_m)$  are increasing sequences. It follows from the Monotone Convergence Theorem that in order to prove  $(s_n)$  converges, it suffices to show that  $(s_m)$  is bounded.

Since  $(t_m)$  converges, we have that  $(t_m)$  is bounded. Hence, there exists R > 0 such that  $t_m \leq R$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In what follows, we will show that R is an upper bound for  $(s_m)$  as well. Indeed, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Choose k large enough so that  $m < 2^k - 1$ , then

$$s_m \le s^{2^k - 1} \le t_k \le R.$$

Thus, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \le s_m \le R$ . Hence,  $(s_m)$  is bounded and so  $(s_m)$  converges by MCT.

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$   $((s_m)$  converges). Our goal is to show that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n}$  converges; that is,  $(t_m)$  converges.

We will prove the contrapositive: we will show that if  $(t_m)$  diverges then  $(s_m)$  diverges. Suppose  $(t_m)$  is divergent. Let R >) be given. We will show that there is a term in the nonnegative sequence  $(s_m)$  that is larger than R. Since  $(t_m)$  diverges and  $(t_m)$  is an increasing sequence (where  $t_m \geq 0$ ), we see that  $(t_m)$  cannot be bounded above by the Monotone Convergence Theorem. Hence, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_{k+1} > 2R$ . Now, we have

$$s_{2^k} \ge \frac{1}{2} t_{k+1} ? \frac{1}{2} (2R) = R.$$

Thus,  $(s_m)$  is not bounded.

# 2.2 Applications of the Cauchy-Condensation Test

**Example** (P-series Test). Let p>0. One can show that the sequence  $\left(a_n=\frac{1}{n^p}\right)$  is a decreasing

nonnegative sequence. Prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} \text{ converges} \iff p > 1.$$

**Proof.** Using the Cauchy Condensation test, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} \text{ converges} \iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{(2^n)^p} \text{ converges}$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{np-n}} \text{ converges}$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right)^n \text{ converges}$$

$$\iff \left|\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right| < 1$$

$$\iff 1 < 2^{p-1}$$

$$\iff 0 < p-1$$

$$\iff 1 < p.$$

In the fourth equivalence statement, we used the fact  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right)^n$  is a geometric series with common ratio  $\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$ .

**Example.** Let p > 0. One can show that the sequence  $\left(a_n = \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p}\right)_{n \ge 2}$  is a decreasing nonnegative sequence. Prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p} \text{ converges} \Longleftrightarrow p > 1.$$

**Proof.** By the Cauchy Condensation Test, we see that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p} \text{ converges} \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{2^n(\ln(2^n))^p} \text{ converges}$$

$$\iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n\ln 2)^p} \text{ converges}$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{(\ln 2)^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} \text{ converges}$$

$$\iff p > 1.$$

**Theorem** (Comparison Test). Assume there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that  $0 \le a_n \le b_n$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

- (i) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges.
- (ii) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  diverges.

**Proof.** Notice that (ii) is just the contrapositive of (i). So, it suffices to show (i).

By the Cauchy Criterion for convergence of series, it is enough to show that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > m > N \ \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \right| < \varepsilon.$$
 (\*)

To this end, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Our goal is to find an N such that (\*) holds. Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges, it follows from the Cauchy Criterion for series that

$$\exists \hat{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > m > \hat{N} \mid \sum_{k=m+1} b_k \mid < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $N = \max\{n_0, \hat{N}\}$ . If  $k \ge n_0$  where  $a_k, b_k \ge 0$ , we see that

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \right| = \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \text{ and } \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \right| = \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} b_k.$$
 (1)

Furthermore, if  $k \geq n_0$ , we have  $a_k \leq b_k$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \le \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} b_k. \tag{2}$$

If n > m > N, we see that (1) and (2) imply that

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \right| = \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} a_k \le \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} b_k = \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} b_k \right| < \varepsilon.$$

**Example.** (\*) Does  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+5^n}$  converge?

Indeed, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$0 \le \frac{1}{n+5^n} \le \frac{1}{5^n}$$
.

Note that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{5^n}$  converges (because it is a geometric series). Thus, the comparison test implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+5^n}$  converges.

(\*) Suppose  $a_n \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges. Prove that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$  converges.

Indeed, we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ converges} \Longrightarrow \lim a_n = 0.$$

Hence, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $0 \leq a_n < 1$ . Thus,

$$\forall n \geq n_0 \ 0 \leq a_n^2 \leq a_n$$
.

By the comparison test, we can conclude that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$  converges.

**Remark** (Some useful properties). Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Suppose  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = A \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i) If  $A < \beta$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n > N,  $a_n < \beta$ .
- (ii) If  $\alpha < A$ , then there exists N such that for all n > N,  $\alpha < a_n$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers.

(i) Suppose  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $\limsup a_n < \beta$ . Then

 $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > N \ a_n < \beta.$ 

(ii) Suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $\liminf a_n > \alpha$ . Then

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > N \ a_n > \alpha.$$

**Proof.** Here we will prove (i). Since  $\limsup a_n < \beta$ , we have  $\limsup a_n \neq \infty$ . We may consider two cases:

- (1) Suppose  $\limsup a_n = -\infty$ . Since  $\liminf a_n \leq \limsup a_n$ , we can conclude that  $\liminf a_n = -\infty$ . Therefore,  $\lim a_n = -\infty$ . The claim immediately follows from the definition of  $a_n \to -\infty$ .
- (2) Suppose  $A = \limsup a_n$ . Let  $A = \limsup a_n$  and  $r = \frac{\beta A}{2}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\} = A$ , there exists N such that

$$\forall n > N \quad \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\} < A + r.$$

In particular, we have

$$\forall n > N \quad \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\} < \beta.$$

Therefore, we have (noticing that  $a_n \leq \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\}$ ),

$$\forall n > N \ a_n < \beta.$$

Note that the proof of (ii) is completely analogous to the proof of (i).

**Theorem.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers.

(i) Suppose  $\limsup a_n > \beta$ . Then, for infinitely many k, we have  $a_k > \beta$ . That is,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists k \geq n \text{ such that } a_k > \beta.$$

(ii) Suppose  $\liminf a_n < \alpha$ . Then, for infinitely many  $k, a_k < \alpha$ . That is,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists k \geq n \text{ such that } a_k < \alpha.$$

**Proof.** Here we will prove (i) (the proof for (ii) is completely analogous). Assume for contradiction that only for finitely many k,  $a_k > \beta$ . Then there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all k > N, we have  $a_k \leq \beta$ . Therefore,

$$\limsup a_k \leq \limsup \beta = \lim \beta = \beta$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $\limsup a_k > \beta$ .

**Theorem** (Root Test (Version 1)). Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Let  $\alpha = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$ .

- (i) If  $\alpha < 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely convergent.
- (ii) If  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges.

**Theorem** (Root Test (Version 2)). Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Assume that following limit exists:

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$$

- (i) If  $\alpha < 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely convergent.
- (ii) If  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges.

- **Proof.** (i) Choose a number  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha < \beta < 1$ . We have  $\limsup \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < \beta$ . Then there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n > N, we have  $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < \beta$ . Hence, we have for all n > N,  $0 \le |a_n| < \beta^n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n$  converges (it is a geometric series with common ratio  $0 < \beta < 1$ ). As a consequence, we see that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges by the Comparison Test.
  - (ii) Choose a number  $\beta$  such that  $1 < \beta < \alpha$ . We have  $\beta < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$ . By Useful Theorem 2, we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\exists k \geq n \text{ such that } \sqrt[k]{|a_k|} > \beta \Longrightarrow |a_k| > \beta^k$$
  
 $\Longrightarrow \sup\{|a_m| : m \geq n\} > \beta^k.$ 

Since  $k \geq n$ , we have  $\beta^k \geq \beta^n$ , and so

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \sup\{|a_m| : m \ge n\} > \beta^n.$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta^n=\infty$   $(\beta>1)$ , it follows from the order limit theorem (for  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ) that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\{|a_m|:m\geq n\}=\infty$ . So,  $\limsup|a_n|=\infty$ . This tells us that  $\lim a_n\neq 0$  (Explanation of Fact). So,  $\sum a_n$  diverges by the Divergence Test.

Remark. This is just the contrapositive of the following fact:

If  $\lim a_n = 0$ , then  $\lim |a_n| = 0$ , so  $\lim \sup |a_n| = 0$ .

**Theorem** (Ratio Test (Version 1)). Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers.

- (i) If  $\limsup \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| < 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges absolutely.
- (ii) If  $\left|\frac{a_{m+1}}{a_m}\right| \ge 1$  for all  $n \ge n_0$  (some integer  $n_0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges).
- (iii) If  $\liminf \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \ge 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges.

**Theorem** (Ratio Test (Version 2)). Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Assume that the following limit exists:

$$\rho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|.$$

- (i) If  $\rho < 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely convergent.
- (ii) If  $\rho > 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges.

**Proof.** (i) Choose a number  $\beta$  such that  $\rho < \beta < 1$ . We have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}=\rho\Longrightarrow \exists N\in\mathbb{N}\text{ such that }\forall n\geq N\ \left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|<\beta.$$

Thus, we have

$$|a_{N+1}| < \beta |a_N|$$
  
 $|a_{N+2}| < \beta |a_{N+1}| < \beta^2 |a_N|$   
 $|a_{N+3}| < \beta |a_{N+2}| < \beta^3 |a_N|$   
:

So, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|a_{N+n}| < \beta^n |a_N|$ . Now, notice that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n |a_N| = |a_N| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n \text{ converges.}$$

Now, notice that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n |a_N| = |a_N| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n$  converges (since it is a geometric series with common ratio  $0 < \beta < 1$ ). It follows from the Comparison Test that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{N+n}|$  converges. Considering that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{N+n}| = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ , we can conclude that  $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges. This immediately implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges.

(ii) Choose a number  $\beta$  such that  $1 < \beta < \rho$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|=\rho\Longrightarrow \exists N\in\mathbb{N}\text{ such that }\forall n\geq N\ \left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|>\beta.$$

So, we have

$$|a_{N+1}| > \beta |a_N|$$
  
 $|a_{N+2}| > \beta |a_{N+1}| > \beta^2 |a_N|$   
 $|a_{N+3}| > \beta |a_{N+2}| > \beta^3 |a_N|$   
 $\vdots$ 

Thus, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|a_{N+n}| > \beta^n |a_N|$ . Since  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta^n |a_N| = \infty$ . So,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_{N+n}| = \infty$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{N+n} \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \neq 0$  (because  $(a_{N+n})_{n \geq 1}$ ) is a subsequence of  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . So,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges by the Divergence Test.

**Example.** Let  $R \neq 0$  be a fixed number. Prove that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R^n}{n!}$  converges. Indeed, we have

$$\rho = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{R^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{R^n}{n!}} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{R^{n+1}n!}{R^n(n+1)!} \right|$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{R}{n+1} \right|$$
$$= |R| \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Thus, if  $\rho = 0 < 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R^n}{n!}$  is absolutely convergent. As a consequence, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{R^n}{n!} = 0.$$

**Remark.** If  $(a_n)$  is a sequence and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|<1$ , then  $\lim a_n=0$ .

**Theorem** (Dirichlet's Test). Let  $(b_n)$  be the sequence of partial sums of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be bounded, is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers  $(b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq \cdots \geq 0)$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0$ . Then we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$  converges.

**Corollary** (Leibniz Test). Let  $(b_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq \cdots \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = 0$ . Then we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1}b_n$  converges.

2.3 Rearrangements 2 LECTURE 21-22

Consider the infinite sum

$$1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} + \cdots$$
 (\*)

and the following questions:

- (1) What is  $(s_n)$ ?
- (2) What is  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n$ ?

Define the sequence of partial sums for the series in (\*)  $(s_n)$ .

Consider the following partial sums

$$s_1 = 1$$

$$s_2 = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$s_3 = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$s_4 = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

$$s_5 = 1 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$$
:

which establishes (1). Looking at the even subsequence of  $(s_n)$ , we can see that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   $s_{2k} = 0$  and the odd subsequence  $s_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{k}$ , respectively. Clearly, we can see from these subsequences that

$$s_{2k} \to 0$$
 and  $s_{2k-1} \to 0$ 

as  $k \to \infty$ . Hence, we can see that  $s_n \to 0$  which establishes (2).

#### 2.3 Rearrangements

Consider the following rearrangement of (\*)

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3} + \dots = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \ln(2).$$

Consider the sequence of the partial sums of the above:

$$s_{1} = 1$$

$$s_{2} = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$s_{3} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$s_{2 \times 10^{2} + 2} \approx 0.6939$$

$$s_{3 \times 10^{4} + 2} \approx 0.6931$$

$$\vdots$$

**Theorem.** If a series converges absolutely, then for any  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists some rearrangement of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges to L.