# Math 230A Lecture Notes

Lance Remigio

September 13, 2024

# Contents

1	Week 1			
	1.1	Lectur	re 1	2
		1.1.1	Goals of Course	2
		1.1.2	The Structure of the Real Numbers	2
		1.1.3	The First Defining Property	2
		1.1.4	The Second Defining Property	3
	1.2	Lectur	re 2	3
		1.2.1	Review of Least Upper Bound Property	3
		1.2.2	Consequences of Least Upper Bound Property	5
2	Week 2			
	2.1	Lectur	re $3$	7
		2.1.1	Topics	
		2.1.2	Review, Existence of Roots	
		2.1.3	Functions, Injective, and Surjective	
		2.1.4	Equivalent Sets	
		2.1.5	Finite, Infinite, Countable, At most countable	9
3	Wee	ek 3		11
	3.1	Lectur	re 4	11
	3.2		re $5$	
		3.2.1	Topics	
		3.2.2	Summary of Last Lecture	
		3.2.3	Preliminary Remarks	
		3.2.4	Metric Spaces	
		3.2.5	•	

# Chapter 1

# Week 1

#### 1.1 Lecture 1

#### 1.1.1 Goals of Course

- The goal of this course is to explore and generalize many of concepts that we learned in our calculus classes.
- Examples of such concepts are
  - Limits
  - Continuity
  - Sequence convergence
  - Differentiability
  - Integration

and their results will all be rigorously proven and generalized.

### 1.1.2 The Structure of the Real Numbers

The set  $\mathbb{R}$  is NOT just a boring collection of elements.  $\mathbb{R}$  is a set equipped with four defining properties.

- $\mathbb{R}$  is a field.
- $\mathbb{R}$  is an **ordered field**.
- $\mathbb{R}$  is a unique ordered field that **least upper bound property**.
- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{R}$  contains a metric which is a notion that describes length and distance.
- $\mathbb{R}$  is a normed space and a metric space (these two are not equivalent).

#### 1.1.3 The First Defining Property

The set of real numbers is a field.

**Definition** (Fields). A field is a set F with two operations called addition and multiplication, which satisfy the following field axioms, respectively:

- (A1) For all  $x, y \in F$ , we have  $x + y \in F$ .
- (A2) For all  $x, y \in F$ , we have x + y = y + x.
- (A3) For all  $x, y, z \in F$ , we have (x + y) + z = x + (y + z).
- (A4) There exists an element  $0 \in F$  such that for any  $x \in F$ , x + 0 = x.

- (A5) If  $x \in F$ , then there exists an element  $-x \in F$  such that x + (-x) = 0.
- (M1) For all  $x, y \in F$ , we have  $xy \in F$ .
- (M2) For all  $x, y \in F$ , we have xy = yx.
- (M3) For all  $x, y, z \in F$ , we have (xy)z = x(yz).
- (M4) For all  $x \in F$ , there exists an element  $1 \neq 0$  such that  $x \cdot 1 = x$ .
- (M5) If  $x \in F$  and  $x \neq 0$ , then there exists an element  $\frac{1}{x} \in F$  such that  $x \cdot \frac{1}{x} = 1$ .
- (D1) If  $x, y, z \in F$ , then x(y + z) = xy + xz.

#### 1.1.4 The Second Defining Property

**Definition** (Ordered Fields). An **ordered field** is a field F equipped with a relation, <, with the following properties

(i) If  $x \in F$  and  $y \in F$ , then one and only one of the statements is true:

$$x < y$$
,  $x = y$ ,  $y < x$ .

- (ii) (Transitive Property) If  $x, y, z \in F$  and x < y and y < z, then x < z.
- (iii) If  $x, y, z \in F$  and y < z, then x + y < x + z.
- (iv) If  $x, y \in F$ , and x > 0 and y > 0, then xy > 0.

**Remark.** We say that x is positive if x > 0, and negative if x < 0. Furthermore,  $x \le y$  is equivalent to x = y or x < y.

The first two defining properties alone of  $\mathbb{R}$  do not uniquely specify it. For example,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is another field that satisfies the first two properties of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition** (Upper Bounds). Suppose F is an ordered field, and  $A \subseteq F$ . If there exists  $\beta \in F$  such that for all  $x \in A$ ,  $x \leq \beta$  for all  $x \in A$ . We call  $\beta$  an **upper bound of** A.

**Remark.** We call the collection of upper bounds of A by UP(A). If  $UP(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then we say that A is bounded above.

Similarly, we define the lower bounds of a set.

**Definition** (Lower Bounds). Suppose F is an ordered field, and  $A \subseteq F$ . If there exists  $\alpha \in A$  such that for all  $x \in A$ ,  $x \ge \alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  is called the **lower bound of** A.

**Remark.** Similarly, we denote the set of lower bounds of A by LO(A). We say that A is bounded below if  $LO(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Example 1.1.1.** Suppose we have A = [0, 1). We have

$$UP(A) = [1, \infty)$$
  

$$LO(A) = (-\infty, 0].$$

#### 1.2 Lecture 2

#### 1.2.1 Review of Least Upper Bound Property

**Definition** (Supremum). Suppose F is an ordered field, and  $A \subseteq F$ . Suppose there exists  $\beta \in F$  such that

- (i)  $\beta \in \mathrm{UP}(A)$
- (ii) If  $\gamma \in F$  and  $\gamma < \beta$ , then  $\gamma \notin \mathrm{UP}(A)$ .

We call  $\beta$  the **least upper bound** of A or the **supremum** of A. We denote the supremum of A as  $\beta = \sup A$ .

**Remark.** When we say THE supremum, we are implicitly stating that the supremum of A is unique.

**Definition** (Infimum). Suppose F is an ordered field, and  $A \subseteq F$ . Suppose there exists  $\alpha \in F$  such that

- (i)  $\alpha \in LO(A)$
- (ii) If  $\gamma \in F$  and  $\gamma > \alpha$ , then  $\gamma \notin LO(A)$ .

We call  $\alpha$  the **greatest upper bound** of A or the **infimum** of A, and write  $\alpha = \inf A$ .

**Definition** (Least Upper Bound Property). An ordered field F is said to have the **least-upper-bound property** if the following is true:

Every nonempty set A in F that is bounded above has a least upper bound in F.

That is, if  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $UP(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sup(A)$  exists.

**Theorem.** There is exactly one ordered field that has the least-upper-bound bound property. The set  $\mathbb{R}$  is the unique ordered field that contains  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subfield.

This is equivalent to saying that:

- $\mathbb{R}$  is dedekind complete
- $\bullet \ \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the Axiom of Completeness.

**Remark.** Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$  being an ordered field does not immediately imply that  $\mathbb{Q}$  has the LUBP.

**Definition** (Maximums and Infimums). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

- If  $\sup A \in A$ , then we call,  $\sup A$ , the **maximum of** A and we denote this by  $\max A$ .
- If  $\inf A \in A$ , we call,  $\inf A$ , the **minimum of** A and we denote this by  $\min A$ .

**Lemma** (Useful Fact for Supremum). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\beta = \sup A$  if and only if

- (i)  $\beta \in \mathrm{UP}(A)$  and
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $a > \beta \varepsilon$ .

**Remark.** We can restate property (ii) above as "for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\beta - \varepsilon \notin \mathrm{UP}(A)$ ".

**Lemma** (Useful Fact for Infimums). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\alpha = \inf A$  if and only if

- (i)  $\alpha \in LO(A)$  and
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $a < \alpha + \varepsilon$ .

**Remark.** Similarly, we can restate property (ii) as "for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\alpha + \varepsilon \notin LO(A)$ ".

**Theorem** (Greatest Lower Bound Property of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Every nonempty subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below has a **greatest upper bound in**  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Another way to say this is the following:

If  $A \neq \emptyset$  and LO(A)  $\neq \emptyset$ , then inf A exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### 1.2.2 Consequences of Least Upper Bound Property

**Theorem** (Archimedean Property). If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and x > 0, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that nx > y.

**Proof.** Let  $A = \{nx : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Note that  $A \neq \emptyset$  since  $1 \cdot x \in A$ . Suppose for sake of contradiction that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $nx \leq y$ . This means that y is an upper bound of A. Let  $\beta = \sup A$ . By the first useful fact, we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\beta - \varepsilon < nx$ . Let  $\varepsilon = x$ . Then we find that

$$\beta < nx + \varepsilon = nx + x = x(n+1) \Rightarrow \beta < x(n+1).$$

But this tells us that  $x(n+1) \in A$  ( $x \in A$  and  $n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and that  $\beta$  is NOT an upper bound which is a contradiction. Thus, it must be the case that nx > y for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Remark.** The well ordering property of  $\mathbb{N}$  can be proven as a consequence of nonempty sets of natural numbers containing a minimum.

**Corollary.** Let A be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that consists of only integers.

- (i) If A is bounded above, then  $\sup(A) \in A$ .
- (ii) If A is bounded below, then  $\inf(A) \in A$ .

**Theorem** (Density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with x < y, there exists a  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that x .

**Proof.** Our goal is to find a  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$x$$

with  $p = \frac{m}{n}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; that is, find  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$nx < m < ny$$
.

First, notice that x < y. This implies that y - x > 0. By the Archimedean Property, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < y - x \Longleftrightarrow x < y - \frac{1}{n}.\tag{1}$$

Choose  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m to be the minimum element greater than nx; that is, choose  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$m - 1 \le nx < m. \tag{2}$$

Let  $A = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k > nx\}$  which is nonempty by the Archimedean Property. Furthermore, nx is a lower bound for A. By the Well-ordering property, A contains a minimum. Thus,  $m = \min A$ . Hence,we have

$$nx < m \Rightarrow x < \frac{m}{n}. (3)$$

Using the left-hand side of (2) and the inequality found in (1), we can write

$$m-1 \le nx \Longrightarrow m \le nx+1 < n\left(y-\frac{1}{n}\right)+1$$
  
=  $ny-1+1$   
=  $ny$ .

Thus, we see that

$$m < ny$$
. (4)

With (3) and (4), we can conclude that

$$x < \frac{m}{n} < y \Longleftrightarrow x < p < y.$$

# Chapter 2

### Week 2

#### 2.1 Lecture 3

### **2.1.1** Topics

- Review, Existence of Roots.
- Function, injective, and surjective.
- Equivalent Sets
- Finite, Infinite, Countable, At most countable.

#### 2.1.2 Review, Existence of Roots

**Proposition.** There is no rational number whose square is 2.

**Theorem.** There is a unique positive real number  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\alpha^2 = 2$ .

**Proof.** (i) **Uniqueness:** Suppose there are two of them  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . Prove that both  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  lead to a contradiction. Thus,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ .

(ii) **Existence:** Show that A is nonempty and bounded above. Let  $\alpha = \sup A$ . Prove that both  $\alpha^2 > 2$  and  $\alpha^2 < 2$  leads to a contradiction. Thus,  $\alpha^2 = 2$ .

**Remark.** A similar argument can be used to prove that if x > 0 and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then t there exists a unique positive real number  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha^m = x$ . We write

$$\alpha = \sqrt[m]{x}$$
 and  $\alpha = x^{1/m}$ .

#### 2.1.3 Functions, Injective, and Surjective

There are two definitions for functions. The former is the most common way it is defined and the latter is the more rigorous and more "correct" definition.

**Definition** (Usual Way of Defining Functions). Let A and B be two sets. A function from A to B denoted by  $f: A \to B$ , is a rule that assigns each element  $x \in A$  a unique element  $f(x) \in B$ .

In the definition above, what do we mean by "rule" and "assigning"? Notice how these words are not very mathematically precise.

8 2.1. Lecture 3

**Definition** (The Correct Way of Defining Functions). Let A and B be two sets. A function from A to B is a triple (f, A, B) where f is a **relation** form A to B satisfying

- (i) Dom(f) = A
- (ii) If  $(x,y) \in f$  and  $(x,z) \in f$ , then y=z. (In this case, A is called the **domain** of f and B is called the **codomain** of f)

**Example 2.1.1.** Let  $A = \emptyset$  and B be any set. Clearly,  $\emptyset \times B = \emptyset$ . So, the only function from  $A = \emptyset$  to B is the empty function  $(f, \emptyset, B)$ .

- The empty function is one-to-one.
- The empty function is onto only when  $B = \emptyset$ .

**Definition** (Image, Range, Onto (Surjective)). Consider a function  $f: A \to B$ . Let  $E \subseteq A$ . Define the **image** of f as the set

$$f(E) = \{f(x) : x \in E\} = \{y \in B : y = f(x) \text{ for some } x \in E\}.$$

Define the **range** of f as

 $f(A) = \{ \text{the collection of all the outputs of } f \}.$ 

If f(A) = B, then we say f is **Onto (Surjective)**.

**Definition** (Preimage). Consider a function  $f: A \to B$ . Let  $D \subseteq B$ . Then the **preimage** of D under f is denoted by

$$f^{-1}(D) = \{x \in A : f(x)\}\$$

**Definition** (One-to-One (Injective)). Consider a function  $f: A \to B$ . We call f one-to-one if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (i) For all  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ , if  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ .
- (ii) For all  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ , if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , then  $x_1 = x_2$ .
- (iii) For all  $y \in B$ , the set  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  consists at most one element of A.

### 2.1.4 Equivalent Sets

**Definition.** Let A and B be two sets. We say that A and B have the same cardinal number, and we write  $A \sim B$ , if there is a function  $f: A \to B$  that is both injective and surjective.

**Remark.** • An injective and surjective mapping is a bijective mapping.

- ullet A and B have the same cardinal number
  - = A and B have the same cardinality
  - = A and B can be put in the **one-to-one correspondence**
  - $= \operatorname{card} A = \operatorname{card} B$
  - = A and B are equivalent
  - = A and B are equipotent

9 2.1. Lecture 3

**Example 2.1.2.** Consider  $\{1,2,3\} \sim \{a,b,c\}$ . Indeed, the function  $f:\{1,2,3\} \rightarrow \{a,b,c\}$  defined by

$$f(1) = a, f(2) = b, f(3) = c$$

is a bijection.

**Example 2.1.3.**  $\mathbb{N} \sim \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ . Indeed, the function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$  defined by

$$f(n) = 2n$$

is a bijection.

**Example 2.1.4.**  $\mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed,  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

is a bijection.

**Example 2.1.5.**  $(-\infty, \infty) \sim (0, \infty)$ . Indeed,  $f(x) = e^x$  is a bijection between  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Example 2.1.6.**  $(0,\infty) \sim (0,1)$ . Indeed, the function  $f:(0,\infty) \to (0,1)$  defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$$

is a bijection.

**Example 2.1.7.**  $[0,1) \sim (0,1)$ . Indeed, the function  $f:[0,1) \to (0,1)$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = 0\\ \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } n \ge 2\\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a bijection.

**Definition** ( $\sim$  is an equivalence relation). Let A and B be two sets. Note that

- (i)  $A \sim A$  ( $\sim$  is reflexive)
- (ii) If  $A \sim B$ , then  $B \sim A$  ( $\sim$  is symmetric)
- (iii) If  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$  ( $\sim$  is transitive).

Observe the following notation

$$\mathbb{N}_n = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$$
  
 $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ 

#### 2.1.5 Finite, Infinite, Countable, At most countable

**Definition** (Finite, Infinite, Countable, At most countable). Let A be any set.

- (a) We say that A is **finite** if  $A \neq \emptyset$  or  $A \sim \mathbb{N}_n$  for some natural number n.
  - (\*) When  $A \sim \mathbb{N}_n$ , we say A has n elements and we write  $\operatorname{card}(A) = n$ .

10 2.1. Lecture 3

- (\*) Also, we set  $card(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (b) The set A is said to be **infinite** if it is not finite.
- (c) The set A is said to be **countable** if  $A \sim \mathbb{N}$ ; that is, there exists  $g : \mathbb{N} \to A$  is a bijection where  $A = \{g(1), g(2), g(3), \dots\}$ .
- (d) The set A is said to be **uncountable** if it is neither countable or finite.
- (e) The set A is said to be at most countable if it is either finite or countable.

**Remark.** Previously, we shared  $\mathbb{Z} \sim \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable. (Also, note that  $\mathbb{N}$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , nevertheless,  $\mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{Z}$ )

Below are some Basic Theorems

- (i) Every countable set is infinite (There is no bijection  $\mathbb{N}_n \to \mathbb{N}$ ).
- (ii) Suppose  $A \sim B$ . Then

 $A \text{ is finite} \Leftrightarrow B \text{ is finite}$   $A \text{ is countable} \Leftrightarrow B \text{ is countable}$   $A \text{ is uncountable} \Leftrightarrow B \text{ is uncountable}$ 

- (iii) The union of two finite sets is finite. If A is infinite and B is infinite, then  $A \setminus B$  is infinite.
- (iv) If A is at most countable, then there exists a 1-1 function  $f:A\to\mathbb{N}$ .

# Chapter 3

# Week 3

#### 3.1 Lecture 4

**Definition** (Sequence). We call a **sequence**, we mean a function f on the set  $\mathbb{N}$ .

- We can let  $x_n = f(n)$ . Then it is customary to denote the sequence f by  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$  or  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$
- Note that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  need not be distinct.
- If for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n \in A$ , then we say  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence in A.
- Sometimes it is convenient to replace  $\mathbb{N}$  in the definition above with  $\{0,1,2,\ldots\}$  or  $\{-1,0,1,2,\ldots\}$ .

**Theorem.** Every infinite subset of a countable set is countable.

**Proof.** Let A be a countable set. Let  $E \subseteq A$  and E is infinite. Our goal is to show that E is countable. Since A is countable, there exists a bijective function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to A$ , so

$$A = \{g(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

with  $x_n = g(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, let us construct the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  as follows:

- (1) Let  $n_1$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $x_{n_1} \in E$ .
- (2) Let  $n_2$

Remark.

Corollary.

**Example 3.1.1** ( $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable).

**Example 3.1.2** ( $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable).

**Theorem.** Countable union of at most countable sets is at most countable.

Corollary.

Corollary.

**Theorem.** Finite product of countable sets is countable.

#### **Example 3.1.3** ( $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable).

#### 3.2 Lecture 5

#### **3.2.1** Topics

- Summary of last lecture
- Collection of all binary sequences is uncountable.
- Preliminary Remarks
- Inequalities

#### 3.2.2 Summary of Last Lecture

- 1. How to prove A is at most countable:
  - Show that  $A \subseteq S$  where S is countable.
  - Find an injective function  $f: A \to S$  where S is countable.
- 2. How to prove A is infinite:
  - Prove that A has a countable subset.
  - Find an injective function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
  - Find an injective function  $f: A \to A$  that is not onto.
  - Find a proper subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $A \sim B$ .
- 3. Find a proper subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $A \sim B$ .
  - find a bijective function  $f: A \to B$ .
  - (Shroder-Bernstein)
    - Find an injective function  $g: A \to B$
    - Find an injective function  $h: B \to A$ .
- 4. A countable union of countable sets is countable AND
- 5. A finite product of countable sets is countable.

**Theorem** (D). Let A be the set of all sequences whose terms are the digits 0 and 1 (that is A is the collection of all binary sequences). This set A is uncountable.

**Proof.** First, notice that A is infinite. Let  $h: \mathbb{N} \to A$  be the function defined by

for all h(n) = The binary sequence whose nth term is the digit 1 and all other terms are zero.

Clearly, h is an injective map. Hence,  $h: \mathbb{N} \to h(\mathbb{N})$  is bijective. We have  $\mathbb{N} \sim h(\mathbb{N})$ , and so  $h(\mathbb{N})$  is infinite. Note that  $h(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq A$ . Thus, A is infinite

Suppose for sake of contradiction that A is NOT uncountable. Since A is infinite and not uncountable, this assumption tells us that A must be countable. Thus, there exists a bijective map  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ . So, we can write

$$A = \{ f(n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

This means that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , f(n) is a binary sequence. Let

$$f(1) = (a_1^1, a_2^1, \dots)$$

$$f(2) = (a_1^2, a_2^2, \dots)$$

$$f(3) = (a_1^3, a_2^3, \dots)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f(n) = (a_1^n, a_2^n, \dots)$$

$$\vdots$$

The goal is to construct a binary sequence  $(b_1, b_2, ...)$  that is not in this list! This will contradict the fact that A contains all the binary sequences.

Define

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_1^1 = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } a_1^1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for the second term, we see that

$$b_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_2^2 = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } a_2^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and so on. More generally,

$$\text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \ b_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_i^i = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } a_i^i = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Clearly, this sequence  $(b_n)$  is not the same as any of the sequences of the list above; that is, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_i \neq f(i)$ .

#### 3.2.3 Preliminary Remarks

- $\bullet$  R is NOT just an ordered field, it has more extra structures.
- $\bullet$  In  $\mathbb{R}$ , there is a standard notion of length (size, norm) and a corresponding notion of distance.
- Given  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the **size** of a is defined to be |a|. But note that the **absolute value of** a is defined as follows:

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0\\ -a & \text{if } a \le 0. \end{cases}$$

• Given two numbers a and b in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the standard distance between a and b is

$$dist(a, b) = |a - b|.$$

- The notion of distance plays an essential role in the development of calculus.
- When there is a notion of distance, then it will be possible to make sense of statements such as as h gets close to zero....
- Note that size, length, or norm are all equivalent in describing the size of the difference between two numbers/elements.

**Theorem** (Basic Properties of Standard Size in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- (i)  $|a| \ge 0$
- (ii) |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0.

- (iii)  $|\alpha a| = |\alpha||a|$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iv)  $|a+b| \leq |a| + |b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Remark. Note that (iv) is equivalent to saying that

$$-(|a| + |b|) \le a + b \le |a| + |b|.$$

This is an immediate consequence of the following inequalities:

(i) Every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $-|a| \le a \le |a|$  and  $-|b| \le b \le |b|$ .

Is it possible to generalize the notion of "size"? YES!

**Definition.** Let V be a (real) vector space. A function from  $\|\cdot\|:V\to\mathbb{R}$  is called a **norm** on V if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For all  $x \in V$ ,  $||x|| \ge 0$
- (ii) For all  $x \in V$ , ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0.
- (iii) For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in V$ ,  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$ .
- (iv) For all  $x, y \in V$ , we have

$$\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \qquad \qquad \text{(triangle inequality)}$$

A vector space V equipped with notion of norm is called a normed space. (Sometimes, we write  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space). So, call  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ .

**Theorem** (Basic Properties of Standard Distance in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i)  $dist(a, b) \ge 0$
- (ii) dist(a, b) = 0 if and only if a = b.
- (iii) dist(a, b) = dist(b, a).
- (iv) For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\operatorname{dist}(a, c) \leq \operatorname{dist}(a, b) + \operatorname{dist}(b, c)$ .

### 3.2.4 Metric Spaces

**Definition** (Metric Spaces). Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A function  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a **distance function** or a **metric** if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(x, y) \ge 0$ .
- (ii) For all  $x, y \in X$ , d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y.
- (iii) For all  $x, y \in X$ , d(x, y) = d(y, x).
- (iv) For all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ .

A set X equipped with a metric d is called a **metric space**. (Sometimes we write (X, d) is a metric space)

**Remark.** X does **NOT** need to be a vector space. Furthermore, d is a more general notion of size than  $\|\cdot\|$ .

**Example 3.2.1.**  $(\mathbb{R},d)$  where  $d:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\to[0,\infty)$  is defined by d(x,y)=|x-y|. (or  $d(x,y)=\alpha|x-y|$  where  $\alpha>0$  is a fixed real number)

### 3.2.5 Inequalities