

# Math 230B Lecture Notes

Lance Remigio

May 21, 2025



# Chapter 1

## Week 1

### 1.1 Lecture 1

#### 1.1.1 Topics

- The derivative
- Continuity and Differentiability
- Differentiability Rules

**Definition (Differentiability).** (\*) Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an interval,  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in I$ . We say  $f$  is **differentiable** at  $c$  if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$$

exists (that is, it equals a real number).

(\*) In this case, the quantity  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c}$  is called the derivative of  $f$  at  $c$  and is denoted by

$$f'(c), \frac{df}{dx}(c), \left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{x=c}$$

(\*) If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at every point  $c \in I$ , we say  $f$  is differentiable (on  $I$ ).

**Remark.** The following are equivalent characterizations of the differentiability:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(c) = L &\iff \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} = L \\ &\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that if } 0 < |x - c| < \delta \text{ then } \left| \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} - L \right| < \varepsilon \\ &\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that if } 0 < |h| < \delta \text{ then } \left| \frac{f(c + h) - f(c)}{h} - L \right| < \varepsilon \\ &\iff \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(c + h) - f(c)}{h} = L \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem (Differentiability Implies Continuous).** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in I$ , and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $c$ . Then  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f(x) - f(c)) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \left[ \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \right] (x - c) \\ &= \left[ \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \right] \left[ \lim_{x \rightarrow c} (x - c) \right] \\ &= (f'(c))(0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x) - f(c) + f(c)] \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow c} (f(x) - f(c)) + \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(c) \\ &= 0 + \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(c) \\ &= 0 + f(c) \\ &= f(c). \end{aligned}$$

■

**Corollary.** If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is NOT continuous at  $c \in I$ , then  $f$  is NOT differentiable at  $c$ .

**Example.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}.$$

(i) Prove that  $f$  is continuous at 0.

**Proof.** Our goal is to show that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that if } |x| < \delta \text{ then } |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Note that if  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$|f(x)| = |0| < \varepsilon.$$

Otherwise, we have  $|f(x)| = |x^2| = |x|^2$ . IN this case, we claim that  $\delta = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  will work. Indeed, if  $|x| < \delta$ , then we have

$$|f(x)| = |x|^2 < (\sqrt{\varepsilon})^2 = \varepsilon.$$

■

(ii) Prove  $f$  is discontinuous at all  $x \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $c \neq 0$ . Our goal is to show that  $f$  is discontinuous at  $c$ . By the sequential criterion for continuity, it suffices to find a sequence  $(a_n)$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow c$  but  $f(a_n) \not\rightarrow f(c)$ . We will consider two cases; that is, we could either have  $c \notin \mathbb{Q}$  or  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Suppose  $c \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists a sequence of rational numbers  $(r_n)$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow c$ . Note that  $f(r_n) = r_n^2 \rightarrow c^2 \neq 0$ , but  $f(c) = 0$ . Clearly,  $f(r_n) \not\rightarrow f(c)$  and so  $f$  must be discontinuous at  $c$ .

Suppose  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since the set of irrational numbers is also dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can find a sequence  $(s_n)$  such that  $s_n \rightarrow c$ . Note that  $f(s_n) = 0$ , but  $f(c) = c^2 \neq 0$ . Thus,  $f(s_n) \not\rightarrow f(c)$ . Therefore,  $f$  must be discontinuous at  $c$ . ■

(iii) Prove that  $f$  is nondifferentiable at all  $x \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $c \neq 0$ . Since  $f$  is discontinuous at  $c$ , we can conclude that  $f$  is not differentiable at  $c$ . ■

(iv) Prove that  $f'(0) = 0$ .

**Proof.** We need to show

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{f(x)}{x} = 0.$$

■

**Theorem** (Algebraic Differentiability Theorem). Assume that  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are differentiable at  $c \in I$  where ( $I$  is an interval on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Then

(i) For all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $kf$  is differentiable at  $c$ , and

$$(kf)'(c) = kf'(c)$$

(ii)  $f + g$  is differentiable at  $c$ , and

$$(f + g)'(c) = f'(c) + g'(c)$$

(iii)  $fg$  is differentiable at  $c$ , and

$$(fg)'(c) = f'(c)g(c) + f(c)g'(c)$$

(iv)  $\frac{f}{g}$  is differentiable at  $c$  provided that  $g(c) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(c) = \frac{f'(c)g(c) - f(c)g'(c)}{[g(c)]^2}.$$



## Chapter 2

### Week 2





## Chapter 3

### Week 3



# Chapter 4

## Week 4

### 4.1 Lecture 6

#### 4.1.1 Topics

- (1) The definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral
- (2) Refinement of partitions

**Definition** (Almost Disjoint Intervals). We say that two intervals  $I$  and  $J$  are **almost disjoint** if either  $I \cap J$  is empty or  $I \cap J$  has exactly one point.

**Definition** (Partition). A partition  $P$  of an interval  $[a, b]$  is a finite set of points in  $[a, b]$  that includes both  $a$  and  $b$ . We always list the points of a partition  $P = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  in an increasing order; so,

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b.$$

**Remark.** A partition of  $P$  of an interval  $[a, b]$  is a finite collection of almost disjoint (nonempty) compact intervals whose union is  $[a, b]$ :

$$P = I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$$

where

$$I_1 = [x_0, x_1], \quad I_2 = [x_1, x_2], \quad \dots \quad I_n = [x_{n-1}, x_n].$$

Again, we denote  $x_0 = a$  and  $x_n = b$ .

**Definition** (Lower Sum, Upper Sum). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded,  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be increasing, and  $P = \{x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\Delta\alpha_k = \alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})$ .

- (i) The **Lower Riemann-Stieltjes Sum** of  $f$  with respect to the integrator  $\alpha$  for the partition  $P$  is defined by

$$L(f, \alpha, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k(\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \Delta\alpha_k.$$

- (ii) The upper **Riemann-Stieltjes sum** of  $f$  with respect to the integrator  $\alpha$  for the partition  $P$  is defined by

$$U(f, \alpha, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k(\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \Delta\alpha_k.$$

**Definition** (Upper R.S Integral, Lower R.s Integral). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded,  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be increasing. Then

- (i) The **Upper R.S integral** of  $f$  with respect to  $\alpha$  (on  $[a, b]$ ) is defined by

$$U(f, \alpha) = \inf_{P \in \Pi} U(f, \alpha, P).$$

Note that the set  $\{U(f, \alpha, P) : P \in \Pi\}$  is bounded below by  $m(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))$ . So the infimum above is a real number.

- (ii) The **Lower R.S Integral** of  $f$  with respect to  $\alpha$  (on  $[a, b]$ ) is defined by

$$L(f, \alpha) = \sup_{P \in \Pi} L(f, \alpha, P).$$

Note that the set  $\{L(f, \alpha, P) : P \in \Pi\}$  the lower sums is bounded above by  $M(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))$ . So, the supremum above is a real number.

**Definition** (Riemann-Stieltjes integrable functions). Let  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing function. A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **Riemann-Stieltjes integrable** (on  $[a, b]$ ) if

- (i)  $f$  is bounded  
(ii)  $L(f, \alpha) = U(f, \alpha)$ .

In this case, the R.S integral of  $f$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , denoted by

$$\int_a^b f \, d\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \int_a^b f(x) \, d\alpha(x) \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{[a,b]} f \, d\alpha$$

is the common value of  $L(f, \alpha)$  and  $U(f, \alpha)$ . That is,

$$\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = L(f, \alpha) = U(f, \alpha).$$

**Definition** (Refinement of a Partition).

## Chapter 5

### Week 5



## Chapter 6

## Week 6





## Chapter 7

## Week 7



## Chapter 8

## Week 8



# Chapter 9

## Week 9

### 9.1 Lectures 13-14

**Theorem** (Integration by Parts). Let  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $v : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are differentiable and let  $u' \in R[a, b]$  and  $v' \in R[a, b]$ . Then we have

$$(1) \quad uv' \in R[a, b]$$

$$(2) \quad u'v \in R[a, b]$$

$$(3) \quad \int_a^b uv' \, dx = u(b)v(b) - u(a)v(a) - \int_a^b u'v \, dx.$$

**Proof.** (1) Since  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, we have  $u \in C[a, b]$ . So, we have  $u \in R[a, b]$ . By assumption,  $v' \in R[a, b]$  and so we can conclude that  $uv' \in R[a, b]$ .

(2) Using the same argument above, we have  $u'v \in R[a, b]$ .

(3) By the product rule, we have

$$(uv)' = u'v + uv'.$$

In particular, since  $(uv)'$  is a sum of integrable functions, it belongs to  $R[a, b]$ . Now, we integrate both sides

$$\int_a^b (uv)' \, dx = \int_a^b u'v \, dx + \int_a^b uv' \, dx. \quad (\text{I})$$

According to FTC I, we have

$$\int_a^b (uv)' \, dx = [uv]_{x=a}^{x=b} = u(b)v(b) - u(a)v(a). \quad (\text{II})$$

Hence, we have (I) and (II) imply that

$$u(b)v(b) - u(a)v(a) = \int_a^b u'v \, dx + \int_a^b uv' \, dx$$

which further implies that

$$\int_a^b uv' \, dx = u(b)v(b) - u(a)v(a) - \int_a^b u'v \, dx.$$

■

### 9.2 Lectures 15-16

#### 9.2.1 Topics



Chapter 10

Week 10





Chapter 11

Week 11



## Chapter 12

## Week 12



## Chapter 13

## Week 13



Chapter 14

Week 14