# Linear Algebra Notes

Lance Remigio

December 5, 2023

# Contents

1	1 Vector Spaces	Ę
	1.1 Bases And Dimension	 Ę

### Chapter 1

## Vector Spaces

#### 1.1 Bases And Dimension

- Recall that S is a generating set for a subspace W and no proper subset of S is a generating set for W, then S must be linearly independent.
- Linearly independent sets possess the unique property that every vector that its spanning set generates is unique.
- This is property is what allows generating sets to be the building blocks of vector spaces.

**Definition 1** (Basis). A basis  $\beta$  for a vector space V is linearly independent subset of V that generates V. If  $\beta$  is a basis for V, we also say that the vectors of  $\beta$  form a basis for V.

**Example.** • Recall that the empty set  $\emptyset$  is linearly independent and that span( $\emptyset$ ) =  $\{0\}$ . The empty set  $\emptyset$  in this case is the basis for the zero vector space.

- Note that in  $F^n$ , the vectors  $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0) ..., e_n = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1)$  form a basis for  $F^n$ .
- The basis for  $M_{m \times n}(F)$  is the set of matrices  $E^{ij}$  such that the only nonzero entry is a 1 in the *i*th and *j*th column.
- As we have seen in the last section, the set  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$  is a basis for  $P_n(F)$ .
- In P(F), the set  $\{1, x, xx^2, \dots\}$  is a basis. Bases are not limited to finite sets. They can be infinite.

**Theorem 1.** Let V be a vector space and  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  be distinct vectors in V. Then  $\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$  is a basis for V if and only if each  $v \in V$  can be unique expressed as a linear combination of vectors in  $\beta$ , that is, expressed in the form

$$v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

for unique scalars  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  is a basis for V. Then  $\operatorname{span}(\beta) = V$ . If  $v \in V$ , then  $v \in \operatorname{span}(\beta)$ . Hence, we can write v as a linear combination of vectors in  $\beta$  such that choosing scalars  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$  leads to

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i.$$

Suppose there exists another representation of  $v \in V$  such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i$$

Hence, observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i y_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i) x_i = 0.$$

Since  $\beta$  is linearly independent, we know that  $a_i - b_i = 0$  which implies  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Hence, v can be expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in  $\beta$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $v \in V$ . Then v can be uniquely expressed as a linear combination of vectors in  $\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  such that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n \tag{1}$$

for unique  $a_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Suppose for sake of contradiction that  $\beta$  is **NOT** a basis for V. Then either  $\beta$  is linearly dependent or  $\operatorname{span}(\beta) \ne V$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{span}(\beta) \ne V$ , then  $v \in V$  cannot be written a linear combination of vectors in  $\beta$  which is a contradiction. Suppose  $\beta$  is linearly dependent. Then either  $u_1 = 0$  or  $u_{k+1} \in \operatorname{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\})$  for some  $1 \le k < n$ . If  $u_1 = 0$ , then (1) can be re-written as

$$v = a_1 0 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n$$
.

This implies that  $a_1 \in F$  can be made arbitrary such that v can be written in another representation. But this is a contradiction since we assumed that v contains a unique linear combination. Now, suppose  $u_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\})$ . Then we have

$$u_{k+1} = \delta_1 u_1 + \delta_2 u_2 + \dots + \delta_k u_k.$$

Substituting this equation for  $u_{k+1}$  in (1) produces the following equation:

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k + a_{k+1} u_{k+1} + \dots + a_n u_n$$

$$\Rightarrow v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k + a_{k+1} (\delta_1 u_1 + \delta_2 u_2 + \dots + \delta_k u_k)$$

$$+ \dots + a_n u_n$$

$$\Rightarrow v = (a_1 + a_{k+1} \delta_1) u_1 + (a_2 + a_{k+1} \delta_2) u_2 + \dots + (a_k + a_{k+1} \delta_k) u_k + \dots + a_n u_n.$$
(2)

Since v has a unique representation, we must have

$$a_i = a_i + a_{k+1}\delta_i \Rightarrow a_{k+1}\delta_i = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all  $1 \le i \le k$ . Assuming that  $a_{k+1} \ne 0$ , the only way for  $a_{k+1}\delta_i = 0$  is if  $\delta_i = 0$  for all  $1 \le i \le k$ . But note that not all  $\delta_i$  are zero since  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\} \subseteq \beta$  is linearly dependent by Exercise 16. Hence, there exists at least one i such that  $a_{k+1}\delta_i \ne 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\beta$  must be a basis for V.

- Any vector  $v \in V$  can be written as a linear combination of vectors from the basis containing  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in V$ .
- This determines a unique n-tuple of scalars  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  and conversely, each n-tuple of scalars determines a unique vector  $v \in V$  such that each coefficient from the linear combination of  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  is an entry from said tuple.
- For example, in our vector space  $F^n$ , n is the number of vectors that should be in the basis for  $F^n$  which is indeed the case.

 $\bullet\,$  In this book, we are only concerned with finite bases.

**Theorem 2.** If a vector space V is generated by a finite set S, then some subset of S is a basis for V. Hence, V has a finite basis.

Proof.