Contents

1	Vector Spaces				
	1.1	Subspaces	9		
	1.2	Linear Combinations	12		
	1.3	Linear Dependence and Linear Independence	15		

Linear Algebra Exercises

Lance Remigio

December 3, 2023

Chapter 1

Vector Spaces

1.1 Subspaces

Exercise 1.3.3

Prove that $(aA + bB)^t = aA^t + bB^t$ for any $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(F)$ and any $a, b \in F$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(F)$ and let $a, b \in F$ be arbitrary. Using scalar multiplication defined on $M_{m \times n}(F)$, we have

$$(aA + bB)^t = (aA)^t + (bB)^t$$
$$= aA^t + bB^t.$$

Hence, we are done.

Exercise 1.3.4

Prove that $(A^t)^t = A$ for each $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

Proof. Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(F)$. By definition of transpose, we have

$$\left((A^t)^t \right)_{ii} = (A^t)_{ji} = A_{ij}$$

for all $1 \le i, j \le n$. Hence, $(A^t)^t = A$.

Exercise 1.3.5

Prove that $A + A^t$ is symmetric for any square matrix A.

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary square matrix. Since square matrices are symmetric, we have that $A^t = A$. We need to show that $(A + A^t)^t$. Observe that

$$(A + At)t = At + (At)t$$
$$= A + At.$$

Hence, we have $A + A^t$ is symmetric.

Exercise 1.3.

Prove that tr(aA + bB) = atr(A) + btr(B) for any $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and let $a, b \in F$ be arbitrary. Now, let i = j and observe that

$$\operatorname{tr}(aA + bB) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} (aA + aB)_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} (aA)_{ij} + (bB)_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} (aA)_{ij} + \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} (bB)_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} aA_{ij} + \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} bB_{ij}$$

$$= a \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} A_{ij} + b \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} B_{ij}$$

$$= a \operatorname{tr}(A) + b \operatorname{tr}(B).$$

Hence, we conclude

$$tr(aA + bB) = atr(A) + btr(B)$$

for any $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

Exercise 1.3.7

Prove that diagonal matrices are symmetric matrices.

Proof. Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be diagonal. Let $i \neq j$ where $A_{ij} = 0$. We need to show that $A^t = A$. If we apply a transpose on A, we get that $A_{ji} = 0$ since A^t is also diagonal and square. Since $A_{ij} = A_{ji} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$. Hence, $A^t = A$

Exercise 1.3.8

Determine whether the following sets are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^3 under the operations of addition and scalar multiplication defined on \mathbb{R}^3 . Justify your answers.

(a) $W_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a_1 = 3a_2 \text{ and } a_3 = -a_2\}$

Proof. We claim that W_1 is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

- (a) Note that O_{ℝ³} ∈ W₁ where O_{ℝ³} = (0,0,0) because 0 = 3 · 0 and 0 = −1 · 0.
 (b) Let x, y ∈ W₁ where x = (a₁, a₂, a₃) and y = (b₁, b₂, b₃). We need to show that x + y ∈ W₁. Since a₁ = 3a₂ and a₃ = −a₂ as well as b₁ = 3b₂ and b₃ = −b₂, we can write a₁ + b₁ = 3(a₂ + b₂) and a₃ + b₃ = −(a₂ + b₂). Hence, x + y ∈ W₁.
- (c) Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in W_1$ with x defined as before. Then observe that $ca_1 = c(3a_2) = 3(ca_2)$ and $ca_3 = c(-a_2) = -(ca_2)$. Hence, $cx \in W_1$.

Since all the properties of a Theorem 3 have been satisfied, we can conclude that W_1 is a

- (b) $W_2 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a_1 = a_3 + 2\}$
- (c) $W_3 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2a_1 7a_2 + a_3 = 0\}$
- (d) $W_4 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a_1 4a_2 3a_3 = 1\}$
- (e) $W_5 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a_1 + 2a_2 3a_3 = 1\}$
- (f) $W_6 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 5a_1^2 3a_2^2 + 6a_3^2 = 0\}$

Exercise 1.3.9

Let W_1, W_3, W_4 be as in Exercise 8. Describe $W_1 \cap W_3, W_1 \cap W_4$, and $W_3 \cap W_4$ and observe that each is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof.

Exercise 1.3.11

Prove that the set $W_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in F^n : a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = 0\}$ is a subspace of F^n , but $W_2 = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)\} \in F^n : a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = 1$ is not.

Proof. We need to show that W_1 is a subspace of F^n . We proceed by satisfying the properties of Theorem 3 to do this.

- (a) Note that $O_{F^n} \in W_1$ since $0 + 0 + \cdots + 0 = 0$ n times.
- (b) Let $x, y \in W_1$ with $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_3)$ and $y = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$. By definition of W_1 , we can see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i$$

$$= 0 + 0$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence, $x + y \in W_1$ which tells us that W_1 is closed under addition.

(c) Let $x \in W_1$ and $c \in F$. Then observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (ca_i) = c \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = c \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Hence, we have $cx \in F^n$.

We claim that W_2 is not a subspace because W_2 is not closed under addition. Let $(0,1), (1,0) \in F^2$. Observe that 0+1=1 and 1+0=1, but (0+1)+(1+0)=1+1=2. Hence, $(0,1)+(1,0) \notin W_2$.

5

Exercise 1.3.11

Is the set $W = \{f(x) \in P(F) : f(x) = 0 \text{ or } f(x) \text{ has degree } n\}$ a subspace of P(F) if $n \ge 1$? Justify your answer.

Exercise 1.3.12

Prove that the set of $m \times n$ upper triangular matrices is a subspace of $M_{m \times n}(F)$.

Proof. Let V denote the set of $m \times n$ upper triangular matrices. We will show that V is a subspace of $M_{m \times n}(F)$ using Theorem 3.

- (a) The zero matrix O from $M_{m \times n}(F)$ contains entries $O_{ij} = 0$ whenever i > j. Hence, $O \in V$.
- (b) Let $A, B \in V$. By definition of V, A and B are upper triangular where $A_{ij} = 0$ and $B_{ij} = 0$ whenever i > j. Observe that

$$(A+B)_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij} = 0 + 0 = 0$$

whenever i > j. Hence, $A + B \in V$.

(c) Let $c \in F$ and $A \in V$ as defined before. Let i > j and observe that $(cA)_{ij} = cA_{ij} = c \cdot 0 = 0$. Hence, $cA \in V$.

Since all the properties of theorem 3 have been satisfied, we conclude that V is indeed a subspace of $M_{m\times n}(F)$.

Exercise 1.3.13

Let S be nonempty set and F is a field. Prove that for any $s_0 \in S$, the set $\{f \in \mathcal{F}(S, F) : f(s_0) = 0\}$, is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(S, F)$.

Proof. We will proceed to prove that S is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(S,F)$ over the field F by satisfying the properties of Theorem 3. Let $V = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}(S,F) : f(s_0) = 0 \}$.

- (a) Note that the zero function $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}(S, F)$ where $f_0(s_0) = 0$ for any $s_0 \in S$ implies that $f_0 \in V$.
- (b) Let $f, g \in V$. By definition of V, $f(s_0) = 0$ and $g(s_0) = 0$ for any $s_0 \in S$. We have $f + g \in V$ since

$$(f+g)(s_0) = f(s_0) + g(s_0) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence, V is closed under addition.

(c) Let $f \in V$ and $c \in F$. We have $cf \in V$ since

$$(cf)(s_0) = cf(s_0) = c \cdot 0 = 0$$

for any $s_0 \in S$. Hence, V is closed under scalar multiplication.

Exercise 1.3.14

Let S be a nonempty set and F a field. Let C(S, F) denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{F}(S, F)$ such that f(s) = 0 for all but a finite number of elements of S. Prove that C(S, F) is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(S, F)$.

Proof. We proceed by using Theorem 3 to prove that C(S, F) is a subspace where S is a nonempty set and F is a field.

- (a) Note that the zero vector $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}(S, F)$ is in $\mathcal{C}(S, F)$ because $f_0(x_n) = 0$ where $x_n \in S$ for finitely many n.
- (b) Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(S, F)$. We need to show that $f + g \in \mathcal{C}(S, F)$. Let $x_n \in S$ for finitely many n. Then using the addition defined on $\mathcal{F}(S, F)$, we can write

$$(f+g)(x_n) = f(x_n) + g(x_n) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

(c) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(S, F)$ and $c \in F$. We need to show that $cf \in \mathcal{C}(S, F)$. Let $x_n \in S$ for finitely many n. Using the scalar operation defined on $\mathcal{F}(S, F)$, we can write

$$(cf)(x_n) = cf(x_n) = c \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Since all the properties of Theorem 3 have been satisfied, we conclude that C(S, F) is indeed a subspace of F(S, F).

Exercise 1.3.15

Is the set of all differentiable real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} a subspace of $C(\mathbb{R})$?

Proof. We claim that the set of all differentiable real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} is a subspace of $C(\mathbb{R})$. Denote this set as V.

(a) Note that the zero function f_0 is differentiable for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and continuous for all $x \in R$.

Hence, $f_0 \in V$.

- (b) Let $f, g \in V$. Using the addition operation defined on $C(\mathbb{R})$, we get that the sum (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) differentiable which implies that the sum of functions f, g is also continuous. Hence, $f + g \in V$.
- (c) Let $f \in V$ and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (cf)(x) = cf(x) is differentiable for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ which means that cf is also continuous. Hence, $cf \in V$.

Hence, V is a subspace of $C(\mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 1.3.16

Let $C^n(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all real-valued functions defined on the real line that have a continuous nth derivative. Prove that $C^n(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof.

Exercise 1.3.17

Prove that a subset W of a vector space V is a subspace of V if and only if $W \neq \emptyset$ and, whenever $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$, then $ax \in W$ and $x + y \in W$.

Proof. For the forwards direction, let $W \subseteq V$ where V is a vector space and W is a subspace of V. Let $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$. Since W is a subspace, we know that $O_V \in W$. So, W is nonempty. Since W is closed under addition and multiplication, we get that $x + y \in W$ and $ax \in W$ and we are done.

For the backwards direction, let $W \neq \emptyset$ and $W \subseteq V$. Let $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$ be arbitrary such that $ax \in W$ and $x + y \in W$. We need to show that W is a subspace of V. We need only show that $O_V \in W$ since W is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Let $x \in W$. We can pick any $c \in F$ such that c = 0. So, we have $c \cdot x = 0 \cdot x = O_w$. Since the zero vector $O_W \in W$ is unique, we must have $O_V = O_W$. Hence, $O_V \in W$ and we conclude that W is a subspace of V.

Exercise 1.3.18

Prove that a subset W of a vector space V is a subspace of V if and only if $O \in W$ and $ax + y \in W$ whenever $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $W \subseteq V$ where W is a subspace of V. Since W is a subspace of V, we know that W is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Let $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$. Using the third property of Theorem 3, we can see that $ax \in W$. Since W is closed under addition, we can take $y \in W$ and $ax \in W$ such that $ax + y \in W$. Since W is also a vector space by definition, we know that $O_W \in W$. But $O_W = O_V$ so $O_V \in W$.

 (\Leftarrow) Let $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$. We want to show that $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace of V. We can do this by using Theorem 3.

- (a) By assumption, the zero vector $O_V \in W$.
- (b) Let $x, y \in W$. Choose a = 1 such that ax + y = x + y. Since $ax + y \in W$ and ax + y = x + y, we also have $x + y \in W$. Hence, W is closed under addition.
- (c) Let $x \in W$ and $O_V \in W$. Let $a \in F$. Then we have $ax + O_V = ax \in W$.

Hence, W is a subspace of V by Theorem 3.

Exercise 1.3.19

Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V. Prove that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V if and only if $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ or $W_2 \subseteq W_1$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V. We need to show that $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ or $W_2 \subseteq W_1$. We

proceed by showing the contrapositive. Assume $W_2 \not\subseteq W_1$ and $W_1 \not\subseteq W_2$. We need to show that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is **NOT** a subspace of V. By assumption, $x \in W_1$ is not contained in W_2 as well as $y \in W_2$ is not contained in W_1 . This implies that $W_1 \cup W_2 = \emptyset$. Since $W_1 \cup W_2$ is empty where $W_1 \cup W_2$ does not contain O_V , it cannot possibly be a subspace of V.

(\Leftarrow) Let $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ or $W_2 \subseteq W_1$. We need to show that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V. We proceed by using Theorem 3 to do this. Without loss of generality, assume $W_1 \subseteq W_2$. The proof will be the same if we use $W_2 \subseteq W_1$.

- (a) Since W_1 is a subspace of V, we get that $O_W \in W_1$. Furthermore, $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ implies that $O_V \in W$. Since $O_V \in W_1$ and $O_V \in W_2$, we get that $O_V \in W_1 \cup W_2$ by definition of union.
- (b) Let $x, y \in W_1$. Since W_1 is a subspace, we get that $x + y \in W_1$. Since $W_1 \subseteq W_2$, we also get that $x + y \in W_2$. Since both $x + y \in W_1$ and $x + y \in W_2$, we know that $x + y \in W_1 \cup W_2$ by definition of the union.
- (c) Let $x \in W_1$ and $c \in F$. Since W_1 is closed under scalar multiplication, we have that $cx \in W_1$. But $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ so W_2 also contains $cx \in W_1$. So we must have $cx \in W_1 \cup W_2$.

Hence, $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of a vector space V.

Exercise 1.3.20

Prove that if W is a subspace of a vector space V and w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n are in W, then $a_1w_1 + a_2w_2 + \cdots + a_nw_n \in W$.

Proof. Let W be a subspace of a vector space V. Our goal is to show that the following statement: $a_1w_1 + a_2w_2 + \cdots + a_nw_n \in W$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We proceed by induction on $i \geq 1$. Let i = 1. Since W is closed under scalar multiplication, we know that $a_1 \in F$ and $w_1 \in W$ implies that $a_1w_1 \in W$. Now let i = 2, then $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $a_1, a_2 \in F$ implies that $a_1w_1 + a_2w_2 \in W$ since W is closed under scalar multiplication and addition. Now, assume that our result holds for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We want to show that it also holds for i = n + 1. By our inductive hypothesis, we know that $a_1w_1 + a_2w_2 + \cdots + a_nw_n \in W$. Let $a_{n+1} \in F$ and $w_{n+1} \in W$. Using vector addition and scalar multiplication, we know that

$$(a_1w_1 + a_2w_2 + \dots + a_nw_n) + a_{n+1}w_{n+1} \in W.$$

Exercise 1.3.21

8

Let V denote the vector space of sequences in \mathbb{R} , as defined in Example 5 of section 1.2. Show that the set of convergent sequences (a_n) (that is, those for which $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ exists) is a subspace of V.

Proof. We will show that W (the set of convergent sequences in \mathbb{R}) is a subspace of V by using Theorem 3.

- (a) Note that the zero sequence O_n is zero for all n = 1, 2, ... Hence, the limit of O_n converges to 0 and so we have $O_n \in W$.
- (b) Let (a_n) and (b_n) be two convergent sequences in \mathbb{R} . Then we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n$ exists. Observe that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n.$$

Since the a_n and b_n are both convergent sequences, we also get that the sum $(a_n + b_n)$ also converges. Hence, $(a_n + b_n) \in W$.

(c) Let $t \in F$ and $a_n \in W$ as before. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} t a_n = t \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n.$$

Since any constant $t \in F$ multiplied by a convergent sequence is convergent, we also get that the sequence (ta_n) is also convergent. Hence, $ta_n \in W$.

Exercise 1.3.22

Let F_1 and F_2 be fields. A function $g \in \mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$ is called an **even function** if g(-t) = g(t) for each $t \in F_1$ and is called an **odd function** if g(-t) = -g(t) for each $t \in F_1$. Prove that the set of all even functions in $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$ and the set of all odd functions in $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$.

Proof. Let V be the set of all even functions and let W be the set of all odd functions. We will first prove that V is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$ and the same with W.

- (a) Note that the zero function f_0 from $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$ is even since $f_0(-t) = 0 = f_0(t)$ for all $t \in F_1$. Hence, $f_0 \in V$.
- (b) Let $f, g \in V$. We need to show that $f + g \in V$; that is, we need to show that it is even. Let $t \in F_1$. Then observe that

$$(f+g)(-t) = f(-t) + g(-t) = f(t) + g(t) = (f+g)(t)$$

Hence, (f+g)(-t) = (f+g)(t) for all $t \in F_1$.

(c) Let $f \in V$ and let $c \in F_2$. Then observe that

$$(cf)(-t) = cf(-t) = cf(t) = (cf)(t).$$

Hence, $cf \in V$.

Since all the properties of V are satisfied, we have that V is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$. Now we will prove W is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$.

- (a) Note that the zero function f_0 is in W because for any $t \in F_1$ we have $f_0(-t) = 0 = -1 \cdot 0 = -f(t)$. Hence, $f_0 \in W$.
- (b) Let $f, g \in W$ and Let $t \in F_1$. Observe that

$$(f+g)(-t) = f(-t) + g(-t) = -f(t) - g(t) - (f+g)(t).$$

Hence, $f + g \in W$.

(c) Let $c \in F_2$ and $f \in W$. Let $t \in F_1$ such that f(-t) = -f(t). Then observe that

$$(cf)(-t)=cf(-t)=cf(t)=(cf)(t).$$

Hence, $cf \in W$.

Hence, W is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(F_1, F_2)$

Definition 1 (Sum of Two Sets). If S_1 and S_2 are nonempty subsets of a vector spaces V, then the sum S_1 and S_2 , denoted $S_1 + S_2$, is the set $\{x + y : x \in S_1 \text{ and } y \in S_2\}$.

Definition 2 (Direct Sum). A vector space V is called the **direct sum** of W_1 and W_2 if W_1 and W_2 are subspaces of V such that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ and $W_1 + W_2 = V$. We denote that V is the direct sum of W_1 and W_2 by writing $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Exercise 1.3.23

Let $W_1 + W_2$ is a subspace of a vector space V.

(a) Prove that $W_1 + W_2$ is a subspace of V that contains both W_1 and W_2 .

Proof. Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V. Define $W_1 + W_2$ as the set

$$\{x_1 + y_2 : w_1 \in W_1 \text{ and } w_2 \in W_2\}.$$

- (a) Since W_1 and W_2 are subspaces of V, we know that the zero vector O_V is contained in both W_1 and W_2 . Hence, the sum $O_V = O_V + O_V \in W_1 + W_2$.
- (b) Let $u, v \in W_1 + W_2$ with $u = x_1 + y_1$ and $v = x_2 + y_2$. Since W_1 and W_2 are subspaces of V, we know that addition is closed in both subsets W_1 and W_2 . Hence, $x_1 + x_2 \in W_1$ and $y_1 + y_2 \in W_2$. Observe that

$$u + v = (x_1 + y_1) + (x_2 + y_2)$$

= $(x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2) \in W_1 + W_2$.

Hence, addition is closed in $W_1 + W_2$.

(c) Let $c \in F$ where F is a field and let $u \in W_1 + W_2$ with $u = x_1 + y_1$. Since W_1 and W_2 are subspaces of V, we know that $cx_1 \in W_1$ and $cx_2 \in W_2$. Observe that

$$cu = c(x_1 + y_1)$$

= $cx_1 + cy_1 \in W_1 + W_2$.

Hence, $W_1 + W_2$ is a subspace of V.

(b) Prove that any subspace of V that contains both W_1 and W_2 must also contain $W_1 + W_2$.

Proof. Let X be a subspace of V. Suppose X contains both W_1 and W_2 ; that is, $W_1 \subseteq X$ and $W_2 \subseteq X$. We must show that $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq X$. Let $u \in W_1 + W_2$ with $u = x_1 + y_1$. By definition, we have $x_1 \in W_1$ and $y_1 \in W_2$. Since $W_1 \subseteq X$ and $W_2 \subseteq X$, we have $x_1 \in X$ and $y_1 \in X$. Since X is a subspace of V and X is closed under addition, we have $x_1 + y_1 \in X$. Hence, $u \in X$ and we conclude $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq X$.

Exercise 1.3.24

Show that F^n is the direct sum of the subspaces

$$W_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, \cdots a_n) \in F^n : a_n = 0\}$$

and

$$W_2 = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in F^n : a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0\}$$

Proof. Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of F^n . Since W_1 and W_2 are subspaces, they both contain the zero vector O_{F^n} . Observe that for any element $x \in W_1$, we have the *n*th element $a_n = 0$. On the other hand, $y \in W_2$ implies that $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$ except for the *n*th element. Thus, the only element that W_1 and W_2 have in common is the zero vector O_{F^n} . Hence, we have $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{O_{F^n}\}$.

Now, we show $W_1 + W_2 = F^n$. To do this, we need to show the following containments:

- (i) $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq F^n$ and
- (ii) $F^n \subseteq W_1 + W_2$.

Let $u \in W_1 + W_2$ with $u = x_1 + x_2$ where $x_1 \in W_1$ and $y_1 \in W_2$. Since $W_1 \subseteq F^n$, we know that $x_1 \in F^n$. Likewise, $W_2 \subseteq F^n$ implies that $y_1 \in F^n$. Since F^n is a vector space where addition is closed, we have that $u = x_1 + y_1 \in F^n$. Hence, $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq F^n$.

Now, let $u \in F^n$. Since F^n is a vector space over F, each entry in U (where each entry is an element of a field F) can written and separated using the addition defined in F^n in the following

way:

$$u = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

= $(a_1 + 0, a_2 + 0, \dots, 0 + a_n)$
= $(a_1, a_2, \dots, 0) + (0, 0, \dots, a_n)$

where the first term is an element of W_1 and the second term is an element of W_2 . Hence, $u \in W_1 + W_2$.

Since both containments are satisfied, we that $W_1 + W_2 = F^n$. Thus, $W_1 \oplus W_2 = F^n$.

Exercise 1.3.25

Let W_1 denote the set of all polynomials f(x) in P(F) such that in the representation

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0,$$

we have $a_i = 0$ whenever i is even. Likewise, let W_2 denote the set of all polynomials g(x) in P(F) such that in the representation

$$g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 x + b_0,$$

we have $b_i = 0$ whenever i is odd. Prove that $P(F) = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Proof. Let $f(x) \in W_1$. Then we have

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} a_n x^n$$

where $a_i = 0$ where i is even. Likewise, let $g(x) \in W_2$. Hence,

$$g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \dots + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + b_n x^n$$

where $b_i = 0$ for i odd. This tells us that the only representation that W_1 and W_2 have in common is the zero polynomial f(x) = 0 where $a_i = 0$ for all $0 \le i \le n$. Hence, $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{O\}$.

Now, we want to show that $W_1 + W_2 = P(F)$; that is, we need to show $P(F) \subseteq W_1 + W_2$ and $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq P(F)$. Starting with the former, let $f \in P(F)$. Observe that for a_i where $1 \le i \le n$

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_n x^n$$

$$= \underbrace{(a_1 x + a_3 x^3 + \dots + a_n x^n)}_{\in W_1} + \underbrace{(a_0 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x^4 + \dots + a_n x^n)}_{\in W_2}$$

The first term of the last equality contains coefficients $a_i = 0$ for even i and the second term contains coefficients $a_i = 0$ for odd i. This implies that $f(x) \in W_1 + W_2$. Hence, $P(F) \subseteq W_1 + W_2$.

Now, let $u(x) \in W_1 + W_2$ with u(x) = f(x) + g(x) with $f(x) \in W_1$ and $g(x) \in W_2$. Since W_1 and W_2 are subsets of P(F), we have that $f(x), g(x) \in P(F)$. Since addition is closed in P(F), we have that $u(x) = f(x) + g(x) \in P(F)$. Hence, $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq P(F)$. Since $W_1 + W_2 = P(F)$ and $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$, we have $W_1 \oplus W_2 = P(F)$.

Exercise 1.3.26

In $M_{m\times n}(F)$ define $W_1=\{A\in M_{m\times n}(F): A_{ij}=0 \text{ whenever } i>j\}$ and $W_2=\{A\in M_{m\times n}(F): A_{ij} \text{ whenever } i\leq j\}$. Show that $M_{m\times n}(F)=W_1\oplus W_2$. (W_1 is the set of all triangular matrices as defined in the previous section.)

Exercise 1.3.30

Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of a vector space V. Prove that V is the direct sum of W_1 and W_2 if and only if each vector in V can be uniquely written as $x_1 + x_2$, where $x_1 \in W_1$ and $x_2 \in W_2$.

Proof. Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of V. Let $v \in V$. Let $x_1, x_1' \in W_1$ and $x_2, x_2' \in W_2$ such that $v = x_1 + x_2 = x_1' + x_2'$. Since W_1 and W_2 is closed under addition, we know that $x_1 - x_2' \in W_1$ and $x_2 - x_2' \in W_2$. But observe that $x_1 - x_1' = x_2 - x_2' \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Since V is a direct sum of the two subspaces W_1 and W_2 , we know that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{O_V\}$ which implies that $x_1 = x_1'$ and $x_2 = x_2'$. This tells us that every v can be expressed uniquely as $x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in W_1$ and $x_2 \in W_2$.

Conversely, suppose every vector $v \in V$ can be uniquely written as $x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in W_1$ and $x_2 \in W_2$. We need to show that $W_1 \oplus W_2 = V$. Since $v \in V$ is uniquely expressed in terms of $x_1 + x_2$, the only vector that the two subspaces W_1 and W_2 share is the zero vector. Hence, $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{O_V\}$. Now, we need to show that $V = W_1 + W_2$; that is, we need to show $V \subseteq W_1 + W_2$ and $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq V$. Suppose $v \in V$. Since $x_1 \in W_1$ and $x_2 \in W_2$, we have that $v \in W_1 + W_2$. Hence, $V \subseteq W_1 + W_2$. Now, let $v \in W_1 + W_2$. Since $v = x_1 + x_2$ where $x_1 \in W_1$ and $x_2 \in W_2$ and $W_1 \subseteq V$ and $W_2 \subseteq V$, we know that x_1 and x_2 are contained within V. Since V is vector space, we know that sum of x_1 and x_2 are contained in V. Hence, $v \in V$ and so $V \subseteq W_1 + W_2$. Thus, $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

1.2 Linear Combinations

Exercise 1.4.7

In F^n , let e_j denote the vector whose jth coordinate is 1 and whose other coordinates are 0. Prove that $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ generates F^n .

Proof. Denote the set $V = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Our goal is to find scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n \in F$ such that for all $e_j \in V$ for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$\delta_1 e_1 + \delta_2 e_2 + \dots + \delta_n e_n = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n).$$
 (1)

Since $e_j = 1$ for the jth coordinate and the rest of the entries are zeros, we have that

$$\delta_j e_j = \delta_j(0, 0, \underbrace{1}_{j \text{th entry}}, \dots, 0) = (0, 0, \delta_j, \dots, 0)$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Summing up each term on the left side of (1) and equating each coordinate to the right side of (1), we get that $a_j = \delta_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence, the set V can span the vector space F^n .

Exercise 1.4.8

Show that $P_n(F)$ is generated by $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$.

Proof. Let $V = \{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$. We need to find scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n \in F$ such that

$$\delta_0 + \delta_1 x + \delta_2 x^2 + \dots + \delta_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n.$$
 (1)

Immediately, we have that equating coefficients in (1) gives us $a_i = \delta_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Hence, V generates $P_n(F)$.

Exercise 1.4.9

Show that the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

generate $M_{2\times 2}(F)$.

Proof. Define V with the given 2×2 matrices above. We need to find scalars $\delta_i \in F$ for all $1 \le i \le 4$

such that

$$\delta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Distributing each scalar δ_i for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$ for each term in (1), summing up each matrix in (1), and equating each term entry-wise, we have that $a_{11} = \delta_1, a_{12} = \delta_2, a_{21} = \delta_3$, and $a_{22} = \delta_4$. Hence, V spans $M_{2\times 2}(F)$.

Exercise 1.4.10

Show that if

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

then the span of $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ is the set of all symmetric 2×2 matrices.

Proof. We need to show that the set $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ spans the set of all symmetric 2×2 matrices. We need to find scalars a_1, a_2, a_3 such that

$$a_1 M_1 + a_2 M_2 + a_3 M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{pmatrix} = A$$
 (1)

where A is any 2×2 symmetric matrix. Observe that for i = j, we have $\delta_{12} = \delta_{21}$. Performing scalar multiplication on matrices M_1, M_2 , and M_3 , addition of all three terms on (1), and equating entry-wise, we get that $\delta_{12} = \delta_{21} = \delta_3$ and $\delta_{11} = \delta_1$ and $\delta_2 = a_{22}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the set $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ spans the set of all symmetric 2×2 matrices.

Exercise 1.4.11

Prove that span($\{x\}$) = $\{ax : a \in F\}$ for any vector x in a vector space V. Interpret this result geometrically in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof. We need to show that $\operatorname{span}(\{x\}) = \{ax : a \in F\}$, we need to show two containments; that is, $\operatorname{span}(\{x\}) \subseteq \{ax : a \in F\}$ and $\{ax : a \in F\} \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\{x\})$. Let $v \in \operatorname{span}(\{x\})$. Then observe that we can find $\delta \in F$ such that multiplying by $x \in V$ leads $v = \delta x$. But this means that $v \in \{ax : a \in F\}$ by definition. Hence, $\operatorname{span}(\{x\}) \subseteq \{ax : a \in F\}$. Let $v \in \{ax : a \in F\}$. Then v = ax for some $a \in F$. But this is a linear combination of x that makes v. So $v \in \operatorname{span}(\{x\})$ and hence, $\{ax : a \in F\} \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\{x\})$. This result can be viewed as the scaling of vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Exercise 1.4.12

Show that a subset W of a vector space V is a subspace of V if and only if span(W) = W.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let W be a subspace of V. To show that $\operatorname{span}(W) = W$, we need to show two containments; that is, $\operatorname{span}(W) \subseteq W$ and $W \subseteq \operatorname{span}(W)$. Clearly, W contains itself. Hence, $\operatorname{span}(W) \subseteq W$ Theorem 5. Let $v \in W$. Since W is a subspace, we can find scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and vectors $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n \in W$ such that

$$v = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n \in W$$

using the result in Exercise 1.3.20. But this tells us that $v \in \text{span}(W)$. Hence, $W \subseteq \text{span}(W)$. (\Leftarrow) Since the span of any subset of W is a subspace and W = span(W), we have that W is a subspace as well by Theorem 5.

Exercise 1.4.13

Show that if S_1 and S_2 are subsets of a vector space V such that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, then $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_2)$. In particular, if $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ and $\operatorname{span}(S_1) = V$, deduce that $\operatorname{span}(S_2) = V$.

Proof. Let S_1 and S_2 be subsets of a vector space V. Let $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$. We can find scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \in F$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_1$ such that

$$v = \delta_1 x_1 + \delta_2 x_2 + \dots + \delta_n x_n.$$

Since $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, we know that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_2$ so we must have $v \in \text{span}(S_2)$. Hence, $\text{span}(S_1) \subseteq \text{span}(S_2)$.

Now, let $\operatorname{span}(S_1) = V$. We need to show that $\operatorname{span}(S_2) = V$; that is, we need to show $\operatorname{span}(S_2) \subseteq V$ and $V \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_2)$. By assumption, $S_2 \subseteq V$ and $\operatorname{span}(S_2)$ is a subspace. Clearly, $\operatorname{span}(S_2) \subseteq V$. Since $\operatorname{span}(S_1) = V$ and $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_2)$, we have $V \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_2)$. Hence, $\operatorname{span}(S_2) = V$.

Exercise 1.4.14

Show that if S_1 and S_2 are arbitrary subsets of a vector space V, then $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \operatorname{span}(S_1) + \operatorname{span}(S_2)$. (The sum of two subsets is defined in the exercises of Section 1.3.)

Proof. Let S_1 and S_2 be subsets of a vector space V. We need to show $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \operatorname{span}(S_1) + \operatorname{span}(S_2)$; that is, $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cup S_2) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_1) + \operatorname{span}(S_2)$ and $\operatorname{span}(S_1) + \operatorname{span}(S_2) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$. Let $v \in \operatorname{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$. We can find $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \in F$ such that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_1 \cup S_2$ implies that

$$v = \delta_1 x_1 + \delta_2 x_2 + \dots + \delta_n x_n.$$

Hence, either $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_1$ or $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_2$. If $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_1$, then $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$. Since $\text{span}(S_2)$ is a subspace, we know that $O_V \in \text{span}(S_2)$. Hence, $O_V \in \text{span}(S_1)$ and $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$ imply that $v + O_V = v \in \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2)$. The other case follows a similar process. Hence, $\text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2)$.

Let $s \in \text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2)$. Hence, s = u + v where $u \in \text{span}(S_1)$ and $v \in \text{span}(S_2)$. The former implies that we can find scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ such that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_1$ where

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$$

and the latter implies that there exists scalars $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in F$ such that $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \in S_2$ where

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i y_i.$$

Since both $x_i \in S_1$ and $y_i \in S_2$ for all $1 \le i \le n$, we have $x_i, y_i \in S_1 \cup S_2$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. So we must have $s \in \text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$. Hence, $\text{span}(S_1) + \text{span}(S_2) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$.

Exercise 1.4.15

Let S_1 and S_2 be subsets of a vector space V. Prove that $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2)$. Give an example in which $\operatorname{span}(S_2 \cap S_2)$ and $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2)$ are equal and one in which they are not unequal.

Proof. Let S_1 and S_2 be subsets of a vector space V. Let $v \in \text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2)$. Then we can find scalars $a_i \in F$ and vectors $x_i \in S_i \cap S_2$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i.$$

If $x_i \in S_1 \cap S_2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $x_i \in S_1$ and $x_i \in S_2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies

that $v \in \text{span}(S_1)$ and $v \in \text{span}(S_2)$. Hence, $v \in \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$. Thus, we conclude that $\text{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \subseteq \text{span}(S_1) \cap \text{span}(S_2)$.

Example. Define S_1 as the set

$$\{(1,1,0)\in\mathbb{R}^3\}$$

and S_2 as the set

$$\{(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1)\in\mathbb{R}^3\}.$$

Observe that $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{(1, 1, 0)\}$ and thus the of this set yields $\{a(1, 1, 0) : a \in F \text{ and } (1, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$. The span of S_1 yields the following set $\{a(1, 1, 0) : a \in F \text{ and } (1, 1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$ and the span of S_2 yields the following set

$${a(1,10) + b(1,0,1) + c(0,1,1) : a,b,c \in F \text{ and } (1,1,0), (1,0,1), (0,1,1) \in \mathbb{R}^3}.$$

Note that $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) \neq \operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2)$ since $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Now define $S_1 = \{O_V\} = S_2$. Clearly, $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{O_V\}$, $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2) = \{O_V\}$, and that $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cap S_2)$ is also equal to this set. $\operatorname{span}(S_1 \cap S_2) = \operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2)$

Exercise 1.4.16

Let V be a vector space and S a subset of V with the property that whenever $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S$ and $a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n = 0$, then $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0$. Prove that every vector in the span of S can be uniquely written as a linear combination of vectors of S.

Proof. Let $x \in \text{span}(S)$. Suppose there exists two sets of scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in F$ such that whenever $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S$ such that

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n = x \tag{1}$$

and

$$b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + \dots + b_n v_n = x \tag{2}$$

implies that a_i Setting (1) and (2) together, we have

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2 + \dots + b_nv_n \tag{3}$$

which implies that

$$(a_1 - b_1)v_1 + (a_2 - b_2)v_2 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)v_n = 0.$$

Since $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S$, we have that $a_i - b_i = 0$ and thus $a_i = b_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Hence, every vector in the span of S can be *uniquely* written as a linear combination of vectors of S.

1.3 Linear Dependence and Linear Independence

Exercise 1.5.1

Label the following statements as true or false.

(a) If S is a linearly dependent set, then each vector in S is a linear combination of other vector in S.

Proof. True

(b) Any set containing the zero vector is linearly dependent.

Proof. True

(c) The empty set is linearly dependent.

Proof. False. It is linearly independent.

(d) Subsets of linearly dependent sets are linearly dependent.

Proof. This is **False**. We can have a linearly independent subset of a set that is linearly dependent.

(e) Subsets of linearly independent sets are linearly independent.

Proof. True by corollary to Theorem 6.

(f) If $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n = 0$ and x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n are linearly independent, then all the scalars a_i are zero.

Proof. True this is by definition.

Exercise 1.5.4

In F^n , let e_j denote the vector whose jth coordinate is 1 and whose other coordinates are 0. Prove that $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. Choose a finite amount of scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ to create the following linear combination:

$$a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + \dots + a_ne_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$
 (1)

To show that the set $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ is linearly independent, we need to show that the scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ have the trivial representation; that is, $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0$. Since the jth coordinate of e_j is 1 but 0 in all the other entries, we have that

$$a_1(1,0,\ldots,0) + a_2(0,1,\ldots,0) + \cdots + a_n(0,0,\ldots,1)$$

= $(a_1,0,\ldots,0) + (0,a_2,\ldots,0) + \cdots + (0,0,\ldots,a_n)$
= (a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n) .

Hence, we have

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Equating each entry of the left side of the equation above to 0, we find that $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le n$. Hence, the set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is linearly independent.

Exercise 1.5.4

Show that the set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is linearly independent in $P_n(F)$.

Proof. Just like the prior exercise, we need to show that we can find scalars $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$ such that

$$a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n = 0$$

where $a_i = 0$ for all $0 \le i \le n$. Note that the 0 polynomial is just

$$0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots + 0x^n = 0.$$

Hence, equating coefficients we immediately get that $a_i = 0$ for all $0 \le i \le n$. Thus, the set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is linearly independent.

Exercise 1.5.6

In $M_{m\times n}(F)$, let E^{ij} denote the matrix whose only nonzero entry is 1 in the *i*th row and *j*th column. Prove that $\{E^{ij}: 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. First, we create a linear combination of a finite amount vectors in $E = \{E^{ij} : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$ with scalars δ_k for $1 \le k \le N$ with N = mn as the number of total entries in each matrix in $\{E^{ij} : 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$. Note that after doing our scalar multiplication and summing up each term, we find that each $\delta_k E_{ij} = \delta_k$ in our linear combination can equated with a corresponding i and j entry in the zero matrix such that $\delta_k = 0$ for all $1 \le k \le N$. Hence, E is a linearly independent set.

Exercise 1.5.7

Recall from Example 3 in Section 1.3 that the set of diagonal matrices in $M_{2\times 2}(F)$ is a subspace. Find a linearly independent set that generates this subspace.

Proof. Define W as the linearly independent spanning set of the set of diagonal matrices in $M_{2\times 2}$ where

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

To see why W is a linearly independent set, choose scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in F$ such that

$$\delta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Performing scalar multiplication and vector addition gives us the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the zero matrix is a diagonal matrix, we know that equation entries where i = j yields $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$. Hence, W is a linearly independent set that generates the set of diagonal matrices of $M_{2\times 2}(F)$.

Exercise 1.5.8

Let $S = \{(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$ be a subset of the vector space F^3 .

(a) Prove that if $F = \mathbb{R}$, then S is linearly independent.

Proof

(b) Prove that if F has characteristic two, then S is linearly dependent.

Proof.

Exercise 1.5.9

Let u and v be distinct vectors in a vector space V. Show that $\{u, v\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if u or v is a multiple of the other. I have written two proofs for this:

Proof. Let u and v be distinct vectors in a vector space V.

 (\Rightarrow) Since $\{u,v\}$ is a linearly dependent set, we can find scalars $a_1,a_2\in F$ such that

$$a_1 u + a_2 v = 0 \tag{1}$$

Suppose v is not a multiple of u and choose $a_1 \neq 0$ since $\{u, v\}$ is linearly dependent. We need to show that u is a multiple of v. Solving for u, we get that

$$u = -\frac{a_2}{a_1}v.$$

Hence, u is a multiple of v.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose u or v is a scalar multiple of the other. Assume u is the scalar multiple of v. Then for some $c \neq 0 \in F$, we have u = cv. Hence, we have u - cv = 1u - cv = 0. This tells us that $\{u,v\}$ is linearly dependent.

Exercise 1.5.12

Prove Theorem 1.6 and its corollary.

Exercise 1.5.13

Let V be a vector space over a field of characteristic not equal to two.

(a) Let u and v be distinct vectors in V. Prove that $\{u,v\}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\{u+v,u-v\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. Let u and v be distinct vectors in V.

For the forwards direction, assume $\{u,v\}$ is a linearly independent set. We need to show that $\{u+v,u-v\}$ is linearly independent. Hence, we need to find $a,b\in F$ such that

$$a(u+v) + b(u-v) = 0.$$
 (1)

Note that (1) leads to

$$a(u+v) + b(u-v) = au + av + bu - bv$$
$$= au - bv + av + bu.$$

Since $\{u, v\}$ is a linearly independent set, we have that

$$au - bv = 0$$

and

$$av + bu = 0$$

for a = b = 0. Hence,

$$a(u+v) + b(u-v) = 0$$

for a = b = 0 and so $\{u - v, u + v\}$ is a linearly independent set.

For the backwards direction, suppose $\{u+v,u-v\}$ is linearly independent. We need to show that $\{u,v\}$ is linearly independent. Note that $a,b\in F$ such that

$$a(u+v) + b(u-v) = 0$$

for a = b = 0 since $\{u - v, u + v\}$ is linearly independent. Note that

$$a(u+v) + b(u-v) = au + av + bu - bv$$
$$= au - bv + av + bu$$
$$= 0 + av + bu$$
$$= 0.$$

Thus, av + bu = 0 where a, b both zero. Thus, the set $\{u, v\}$ is linearly independent.

(b) Let u, v, and w be distinct vectors in V. Prove that $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\{u + v, u + w, v + w\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. For the forwards direction, suppose $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly independent. Then choose scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in F$ such that

$$a_1u + a_2v + a_3w = 0$$

with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. We need to show that $\{u + v, u + w, v + w\}$ is linearly independent; that is, we need to show that we can find scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in F$ such that

$$a_1(u+v) + a_2(u+w) + a_3(v+w) = 0 (1)$$

for $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Observe that (1) can be written in the following way

$$(a_1u + a_3v + a_2w) + (a_1v + a_2u + a_3w) = 0 (2)$$

Since $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly independent, we know that $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. But this also has to mean that $\{u + v, u + w, v + w\}$ is a linearly independent set.

For the backwards direction, suppose $\{u+v, u+w, v+w\}$ is linearly independent. Then choose scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in F$ such that

$$a_1(u+v) + a_2(u+w) + a_3(v+w) = 0. (1)$$

We need to show that $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly independent. Observe that (1) can be re-written as

$$(a_1u + a_3v + a_2w) + (a_1v + a_2u + a_3w) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 + (a_1v + a_2u + a_3w) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1v + a_2u + a_3w = 0$$

where $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Hence, $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly independent.

Exercise 1.5.14

Prove that a set S is linearly dependent if and only if $S = \{0\}$ or there exists distinct vectors $v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$ such that v is a linear combination of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n .

Proof. For the forwards direction, Let S be a linearly dependent. Then we need to show that either $S = \{0\}$ or S contains distinct vectors $v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$ such that $v \in \operatorname{span}(S)$. Suppose there does not exists distinct vectors $v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$ such that $v \in \operatorname{span}(S)$. This tells us that S only contains the singleton $S = \{v\}$. Furthermore, we must require v = 0 since S is linearly dependent. Otherwise, $v \neq 0$ would imply that S is linearly independent. Hence, we have $S = \{0\}$. Now suppose $S \neq \{0\}$. Since S is linearly dependent, there exists scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and vectors v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n such that

$$a_1v + a_2u_1 + a_3u_2 + \dots + a_{n+1}u_n = 0$$

with all $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_{n+1}$ not all equal to zero. Solving for v, we get that

$$v = -(a_1^{-1}a_2)u_1 - (a_1^{-1}a_3)u_2 - \dots - (a_1^{-1}a_{n+1})u_n.$$

Since v is a linear combination of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n , we have that $v \in \text{span}(S)$.

Conversely, suppose that either $S=\{0\}$ or there exists distinct vectors $v,u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n\in S$ such that v is a linear combination of u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n . Assume $S=\{0\}$. Then S is linearly dependent because the singleton is the zero vector. Now suppose v is a linear combination of vectors u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n . Then there exists scalars $a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n\in F$ and distinct vectors $u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n\in S$ such that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n.$$

Subtracting v from both sides of this equation yields the following equation

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n - 1v = 0.$$

Since not all scalars in the equation above are zero and $v, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in S$, we must have that S is a linearly dependent set.

Exercise 1.5.15

Prove that a set $S = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a finite set of vectors. Prove that S is linearly dependent if and only if $u_1 = 0$ or $u_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\})$ for some k where $1 \le k < n$.

Proof. Suppose $u_{k+1} \notin \operatorname{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\})$ for all $1 \leq k < n$. Since S is linearly dependent, we know that the zero vector is contained in S. Choose k = 1 such that $u_1 = 0$ and we are done. On the other hand, suppose $u_1 \neq 0$. We need to show that $u_{k+1} \in \operatorname{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\})$. Choose k = n - 1. Then clearly n = k + 1. Since S is linear independent, choose scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$ such that

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_ku_k + a_{k+1}u_{k+1} = 0. (1)$$

where $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_{k+1}$ not all zero. Solving for u_{k+1} by subtracting $a_{k+1}u_{k+1}$ on both sides of (1) and multiplying $-a_{k+1}^{-1}$ on both sides of (1), we end up with the following equation:

$$u_{k+1} = -(a_{k+1}^{-1}a_1)u_1 - (a_{k+1}^{-1}a_2)u_2 - \dots - (a_{k+1}^{-1}a_k)u_k.$$

This tells us that u_{k+1} can be written as a linear combination of vectors u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k . Hence, $u_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \ldots u_k\})$.

Conversely, either $u_1 = 0$ or $u_{k+1} \in \text{span}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$. Suppose $u_1 = 0$. Then S contains the zero vector so S must be linearly dependent. On the other hand, choose k = n - 1 where $1 \le k < n$ such that $u_{k+1} \in \text{span}(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\})$ implies that there exists scalars a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k such that

$$u_{k+1} = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k$$

$$\Rightarrow u_n = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} u_{n-1}.$$
(1)

Subtracting u_n on both sides of (1) implies that

$$(a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_{n-1}u_{n-1}) - 1u_n = 0.$$

Since not all scalars in the linear combination above are zero, we know that S must be linearly dependent.

Exercise 1.5.16

Prove that a set S of vectors is linearly independent if and only if each finite subset of S is linearly independent.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose S is a linearly independent set. Let S' be any finite subset of S. By corollary to Theorem 6, we can see that $S' \subseteq S$ implies that S' is also linearly independent. (\Leftarrow) We will proceed by proving the contrapositive. Let $S' \subseteq S$ be a finite subset that is linearly dependent set. We will prove that S is a linearly dependent set. Since $S' \subseteq S$, we have that S must be a linearly dependent set by Theorem 6.

Exercise 1.5.17

Let M be a square upper triangular matrix (as defined in Section 1.3) with nonzero diagonal entries. Prove that the columns of M are linearly independent.

Proof. Let M be a square upper triangular matrix. Note that M have the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & a_{n-1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can define the following columns as vectors $v_1, v_2, \dots v_n$ where

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, v_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1n} \\ a_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n \in F$ such that

$$\delta_1 v_1 + \delta_2 v_2 + \dots + \delta_n v_n = 0$$

where the zero vector 0 is denoted as

$$0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using scalar multiplication and addition, we arrive at the following system of equations:

$$\delta_{1}a_{11} + \delta_{2}a_{12} + \dots + \delta_{n-1}a_{1n-1} + \delta_{n}a_{1n} = 0$$

$$\delta_{2}a_{22} + \delta_{3}a_{23} + \dots + \delta_{n}a_{2n} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\delta_{n-1}a_{n-1n-1} + \delta_{n}a_{n-1n} = 0$$

$$\delta_{n}a_{nn} = 0$$

Since all the diagonal entries of M are non-zero, we can see from the equation above that $\delta_n=0$ which subsequently tells us that $\delta_{n-1}=0$. We claim that $\delta_1=\cdots=\delta_{n-1}=\delta_n=0$ for all $n\geq 1$. We can prove this via induction. Let our base case be n=1. Then we have $\delta_1a_{11}=0$ with $a_{11}\neq 0$ implies $\delta_1=0$. Now let n=2. Then observe that we have an upper triangular 2×2 matrix such that the linear combination of the columns lead to the following system of linear equations:

$$\delta_1 a_{11} + \delta_2 a_{12} = 0$$
$$0 + \delta_2 a_{22} = 0.$$

Observe that $\delta_2 = 0$ which also implies that $\delta_1 = 0$. Now suppose our claim that $\delta_1 = \cdots = \delta_{n-1} = \delta_n = 0$ holds for all $n \ge 1$. We want to show that our claim still holds for the n+1 case. Observe that $\delta_{n+1} = 0$ derived from an $n+1 \times n+1$ matrix. Using the same process that proved the base case, we find that $\delta_n = \delta_{n+1} = 0$. By our inductive hypothesis, we know that $\delta_1 = \cdots = \delta_{n-1} = \delta_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$. This tells us that $\delta_1 = \cdots = \delta_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge 1$. Hence, the columns of M are linearly independent.

Exercise 1.5.18

Let S be a set of nonzero polynomials in P(F) such that no two have the same degree. Prove that S is linearly independent.

Proof. Let $0 \le n \le k$ such that $S = \{p_0(x), p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_k(x)\}$ where every $p_n(x)$ non-zero such that no two polynomials in this set have the same degree. Define $p_n(x) = x^n + x^{n+1} + \dots + x^k$. Choose scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in F$ such that

$$a_1p_1(x) + a_2p_2(x) + \dots + a_kp_k(x) = 0$$
 (1)

We need to show that $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \cdots = \delta_k = 0$. Observe that (1) implies that

$$a_0 + (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)x^2 + \dots + (a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_k)x^k = 0.$$
 (2)

Setting each x^n to both sides of the equation above leads to the following system of linear equations:

$$a_{0} = 0$$

$$a_{0} + a_{1} = 0$$

$$a_{0} + a_{1} + a_{2} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{0} + a_{1} + a_{2} + \dots + a_{k} = 0.$$

It can be proved via induction that $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_k = 0$ for all $1 \le n \le k$. Hence, S is a linearly independent set.

Exercise 1.5.19

Prove that if $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $M_{n \times n}(F)$, then $\{A_1^t, A_2^t, A_3^t, \ldots, A_k^t\}$ is also linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ is a linearly independent set. Choose a finite set of scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k \in F$ such that

$$\delta_1 A_1 + \delta_2 A_2 + \dots + \delta_k A_k = 0$$

with $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \cdots = \delta_k = 0$. Apply the transpose to both sides, we know that $0^t = 0$ and $(\delta_i A_i)^t = \delta_i (A_i)^t$ for all $1 \le i \le k$. Hence, we have

$$(\delta_1 A_1 + \delta_2 A_2 + \dots + \delta_k A_k)^t = 0^t$$

$$\Rightarrow (\delta_1 A_1)^t + (\delta_2 A_2)^t + \dots + (\delta_k A_k)^t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_1 A_1^t + \delta_2 A_2^t + \dots + \delta_k A_k^t = 0.$$

Hence, the set $\{A_1^t, A_2^t, \dots, A_k^t\}$ is also linearly independent.

Exercise 1.5.20

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be the functions defined by $f(t) = e^{rt}$ and $g(t) = e^{st}$, where $r \neq s$. Prove that f and g are linearly independent in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ where f and g are defined by $f(t) = e^{rt}$ and $g(t) = e^{st}$ with $r \neq s$. Suppose for sake of contradiction that the set $\{f, g\}$ is a linearly dependent set of vectors. By Exercise 1.5.9, we know that either f is a multiple of g or g is a multiple of f. Assume f is a multiple of g. Hence, there exists a $c \in R$ such that f(t) = cg(t). In other words,

$$f(t) = cg(t) \Rightarrow e^{rt} = ce^{st}$$
.

To solve for c, let t = 0. Then we have

$$f(0) = cg(0) \Rightarrow 1 = c.$$

Hence, we have

$$e^{rt} = e^{st}$$

This equality is valid only when r = s because otherwise f would not be a scalar multiple of g. But note that $r \neq s$ by assumption. Hence, we have a contradiction and thus $\{f,g\}$ must be linearly independent.

Exercise 1.5.21

Let S_1 and S_2 be disjoint linearly independent subsets of V. Prove that $S_1 \cup S_2$ is linearly dependent if and only if $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) We will proceed via contrapositive. Since $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2) = \{0\}$, let $v \in \operatorname{span}(S_1)$ such that we can find a $w \in \operatorname{span}(S_2)$ such that both v = w where both v = 0 and w = 0. Since $v \in \operatorname{span}(S_1)$, we can find a finite set of vectors $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S_1$ and scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = 0.$$

Likewise, $w \in \text{span}(S_2)$ implies that we can find $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in S_2$ and scalars $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in F$ such that

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j y_j = 0.$$

Observe that

$$v = w \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j y_j.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j y_j = 0.$$

Since S_1 and S_2 are disjoint linearly independent sets, we know that $x_i \notin S_2$ and $y_i \notin S_1$ and that $a_i = 0$ and $b_j = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n$ respectively. Hence, $S_1 \cup S_2$ is a linearly independent set.

 (\Leftarrow) We will proceed via contrapositive for this direction as well. We need to show that $\operatorname{span}(S_1)\cap\operatorname{span}(S_2)=\{0\}$. Since $S_1\cup S_2$ is linearly independent, we can choose scalars $a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n\in F$ and vectors $x_1-y_1,x_2-y_2,\ldots,x_n-y_n\in S_1\cup S_2$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i (x_i - y_i) = 0 \tag{1}$$

with $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Observe that (1) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_i .$$

$$\in \operatorname{span}(S_1) \in \operatorname{span}(S_2)$$

Since $S_1 \cup S_2$ is linearly independent, $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_i = 0$$

and thus $\operatorname{span}(S_1) \cap \operatorname{span}(S_2) = \{0\}.$