## 1 Lecture 18-19

## 1.1 Topics

- lim sup and lim inf (Two equivalent characterizations)
- Theorem:  $\liminf a_n \leq \limsup a_n$ .
- Theorem:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$  exists in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  if and only if  $\liminf a_n = \limsup a_n \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- Theorem:  $\limsup (a_n + b_n) \le \limsup a_n + \limsup b_n$  provided that the right-hand side is not  $\infty \infty$ .
- Some special sequences

## 1.2 First Characterization of Limsup and Liminf

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Let

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists a subsequence } (x_{n_k}) \text{ of } (x_n) \text{ such that } x_{n_k} \to x\}.$$

We define,

$$\limsup x_n = \sup S$$
$$\liminf x_n = \inf S.$$

## 1.3 Second Characterization of Limsup and Liminf

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n = \{x_k : k \ge n\}$ . Clearly, we have

$$F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq F_3 \supseteq \dots$$
.

So,

$$\sup F_1 \ge \sup F_2 \ge \sup F_3 \cdots$$
 (A decreasing sequence in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ )

and similarly, we have

$$\inf F_1 \leq \inf F_2 \leq \inf F_3 \leq \dots$$
 (An increasing sequence in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ )

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem (in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ), we know that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup F_n$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf F_n$  exists in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . We define

$$\limsup x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup F_n$$
$$\liminf x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F_n.$$

That is, we have

$$\limsup x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{x_k : k \ge n\} = \inf_n (\sup F_n)$$
$$\liminf x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{x_k : k \ge n\} = \sup_n (\inf F_n).$$

Take note of the following notation:

$$\limsup x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup x_n = \overline{\lim} x_n$$
$$\liminf x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf x_n = \underline{\lim} x_n.$$

**Example.** (i) 
$$x_n = (-1)^n$$

Notice that

$$\limsup x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{x_k : k \ge n\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{-1, 1\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 = 1$$
$$\lim \inf x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{x_k : k \ge n\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{-1, 1\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} -1 = -1.$$

(ii) Consider  $(a_n) = (-1, 2, 3, -1, 2, 3, -1, 2, 3, \dots)$ 

Then we have

$$\limsup a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{a_k : k \ge n\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{-1, 2, 3\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} 3 = 3$$
$$\liminf a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{a_k : k \ge n\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{-1, 2, 3\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} -1 = -1.$$

(iii) Consider  $a_n = n$ 

$$\limsup a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{a_k : k \ge n\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n = \infty.$$

and similarly, we have

$$\liminf a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{ a_k : k \ge n \} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{ a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots \} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{ n, n+1, n+2, \dots \}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n = \infty.$$

**Remark.** (i)  $\liminf x_n = \sup_n \inf \{x_k : k \ge n\}$ 

(ii)  $\limsup x_n = \inf_n \sup \{x_k : k \ge n\}$ 

**Theorem.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Then

 $\lim \inf a_n \leq \lim \sup a_n$ .

**Proof.** Notice that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \le \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\}.$$

Since we already proved that the limits of both sides exists (in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ), it follows from the order limit theorem (in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \{ a_k : k \ge n \} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{ a_k : k \ge n \}.$$

That is, we have

 $\lim \inf a_n \leq \lim \sup a_n$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \text{ exists in } \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ if and only if } \limsup a_n = \liminf a_n.$ 

Moreover, in this case,  $\lim a_n = \lim \sup a_n = \lim \inf a_n$ .

**Proof.** ( $\iff$ ) Let  $A = \limsup a_n = \liminf a_n$  with  $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . In what follows, we will show that  $\lim a_n = A$ . We may consider three cases; that is,

- (1)  $A \in \mathbb{R}$
- (2)  $A = \infty$
- (3)  $A = -\infty$

For (1), note that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \le a_n \le \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\{a_k : k\geq n\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf\{a_k : k\geq n\} = A$ , it follows from the squeeze theorem that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = A.$ 

For (2)  $(A = \infty)$ , we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $\inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \le a_n$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} = \infty$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty$  by the Order Limit Theorem in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

For (3)  $(A = -\infty)$ , we know that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $a_n \leq \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\}$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\} = -\infty$  implies that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = -\infty$  by the Order Limit Theorem in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  Let  $A = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$  with  $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . In what follows, we will show that  $\limsup a_n = A$  and  $\liminf a_n = A$ . We may consider three cases:

- $(1) \ A \in \mathbb{R}$
- (2)  $A=\infty$
- (3)  $A = -\infty$

For (1), suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . Our goal is to show that

$$A \leq \liminf a_n \text{ and } \limsup a_n \leq A,$$

and so

$$A \leq \liminf a_n \leq \limsup a_n \leq A$$
.

Thus, it suffices to show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$A - \varepsilon \leq \liminf a_n$$
 and  $\limsup a_n \leq A + \varepsilon$ .

To this end, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $a_n \to A$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n > N \ |a_n - A| < \varepsilon;$$

that is,

$$\forall n > N \ A - \varepsilon < a_n < A + \varepsilon.$$

Now, observe that

$$\forall n > N \ a_n < A + \varepsilon \Longrightarrow A + \varepsilon \text{ is an upper bound of } \{a_k : k \ge n\}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \forall n > N \ \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\} \le A + \varepsilon$$

$$\Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup\{a_k : k \ge n\} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} (A + \varepsilon)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \limsup a_n \le A + \varepsilon$$
(Order Limit Theorem)

and similarly, we have

$$\forall n > N \ A - \varepsilon < a_n \Longrightarrow A - \varepsilon \text{ is a lower bound of} \{a_k : k \ge n\}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \forall n > N \ \inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \ge A - \varepsilon$$

$$\Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} A - \varepsilon$$

$$\Longrightarrow \liminf a_n \ge A - \varepsilon.$$

Now, suppose (2). Our goal is to show that  $\liminf a_n = \infty$  so that  $\liminf a_n \leq \limsup a_n$  will imply that  $\limsup a_n = \infty$ . In order to show that  $\liminf a_n = \infty$ , it suffices to show that

$$\forall M > 0 \ M \le \liminf a_n.$$

To this end, let M>0 be given. Since  $a_n\to\infty$ , there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n > N \ a_n > M \Longrightarrow \forall n > N \ \inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \ge M$$

$$\Longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf\{a_k : k \ge n\} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} M$$

$$\Longrightarrow \liminf a_n \ge M.$$

Note that an analogous process to the above is used to prove (3).

**Theorem.** Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  be the two sequences of real numbers. Then

$$\limsup (a_n + b_n) \le \limsup a_n + \limsup b_n$$

provided that the right-hand side is not of the form  $\infty - \infty$  or  $-\infty + \infty$ .

**Proof.** First note that, by our assumption,  $\limsup a_n + \liminf a_n$  is not of the form  $\infty - \infty$  or  $(-\infty + \infty)$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0 \quad \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\} + \sup\{b_k : k \geq n\} \text{ is not of the form } \infty - \infty \text{ or } -\infty + \infty$$

For each  $n \geq n_0$ , we have

$$\forall k \ge n \ a_k \le \sup\{a_\ell : \ell \ge n\}$$
  
$$\forall k \ge n \ b_k \le \sup\{b_m : m \ge n\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\forall k \ge n \ a_k + b_k \le \sup\{a_\ell : \ell \ge n\} + \sup\{b_m : m \ge n\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall n \ge n_0 \quad \sup\{a_k + b_k : k \ge n\} \le \sup\{a_\ell : \ell \ge n\} + \sup\{b_m : m \ge n\}.$$

Now, label  $R_n = \sup\{a_k + b_k : k \ge n\}$ ,  $L_n = \sup\{a_\ell : \ell \ge n\}$  and  $S_n = \sup\{b_m : m \ge n\}$ . From the above, we can see that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n$  all exists in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n$  is not of the form  $\infty - \infty$ , it follows form the Algebraic Limit Theorem that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (L_n + S_n)$  exists and is equal to that of  $\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n$ . By the Order Limit Theorem, we see that

$$\limsup (a_n + b_n) \le \limsup a_n + \limsup b_n.$$

**Theorem** ((e)). If |x| < 1, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x^n = 0$ .

**Proof.** Clearly, if x = 0, then the claim holds. So, let's assume  $x \in (-1,1)$  and  $x \neq 0$ . Our goal is to show that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > N \ |x^n - 0| < \varepsilon.$$

That is, we need to show, given the setup above, that  $|x^n| < \varepsilon$ . Since 0 < |x| < 1, there exists y > 0 such that  $|x| = \frac{1}{1+y}$ . Note that

$$|x|^n < \varepsilon \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{(1+y)^n} < \varepsilon.$$

Using the Binomial Theorem  $((1+y)^n \ge 1 + ny)$ , we can see that

$$\frac{1}{(1+y)^n} \le \frac{1}{1+ny} < \frac{1}{ny}.$$

Therefore, in order to ensure that  $|x|^n < \varepsilon$ , we just need to choose n large enough so that  $\frac{1}{ny} < \varepsilon$ . To this end, it suffices to choose n larger than  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon y}$ ; that is, we can take  $N = \frac{1}{\varepsilon y}$  and the result follows.

Theorem ((b)). If p > 0, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{p} = 1$ .

**Proof.** If p=1, the claim obviously holds. If  $p \neq 1$ , we may consider two cases.

For the first case, assume that p > 1. Then let  $x_n = \sqrt[n]{p} - 1$ . It suffices to show that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$ . Note that since p > 1,  $x_n \ge 0$ . Also, we have

$$\sqrt[n]{p} = 1 + x_n \Longrightarrow p = (1 + x_n)^n \ge 1 + nx_n$$
$$\Longrightarrow x_n \le \frac{p - 1}{n}.$$

Thus, we have

$$0 \le x_n \le \frac{p-1}{n}.$$

It follows from the squeeze theorem that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$ .

Now, suppose that  $0 . Since <math>0 , we have <math>1 < \frac{1}{p}$ . So, by the previous case, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{p}}=1\Longleftrightarrow\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{p}}=1.$$

Theorem ((c)).  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$ 

**Proof.** Let  $x_n = \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ . Observe that, by the binomial formula, we have for all  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\sqrt[n]{n} = 1 + x_n \Longrightarrow n = (1 + x_n)^n \ge \binom{n}{2} x_n^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} x_n^2$$

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{2n}{n(n-1)} \ge x_n^2$$

$$\Longrightarrow x_n \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$0 \le x_n \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}}.$$

It follows from the squeeze theorem that  $x_n \to 0$  and so  $\sqrt[n]{n} \to 1$ .