Linear Algebra Notes

Lance Remigio

December 9, 2023

Contents

1		tor Spa		
	1.1	Vector	Spaces	١
		1.1.1	Basics	ļ
		1.1.2	Non-examples	8
			Basic Extensions from Definition	
	1.2	Subspa	ces	ć
	1.3	Linear	Combinations and Systems of Linear Equations	12
	1.4	Linear	Dependence and Linear Independence	16
			Motivation	
			Examples of Linearly Dependent Sets	
			Examples of Linear Independent Sets	
	1.5	Bases A	And Dimension	18
		1.5.1	Bases	16
		1.5.2	Dimensions	21
		1.5.3	An Overview of Dimension and Its Consequences	24

Chapter 1

Vector Spaces

1.1 Vector Spaces

1.1.1 Basics

Definition 1.1.1 (Vector Spaces). A **vector space** (or **linear space**) over a field F consists of a set on which two operations (called **addition** and **scalar multiplication**, respectively) are defined so that for each pair of elements x, y, in V there is a unique element ax in V, such that the following conditions hold:

- (VS 1) For all $x, y \in V$, x + y = y + x (commutativity of addition).
- (VS 2) For all $x, y, z \in V$, (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) (associativity of addition).
- (VS 3) There exists an element in V denoted by O such that x + O = x for each $x \in V$
- (VS 4) For each element $x \in V$, there exists an element $y \in V$ such that x + y = O.
- (VS 5) For each element $x \in V$, we have 1x = x.
- (VS 6) For each $a, b \in F$ and each element $x \in V$, then (ab)x = a(bx).
- (VS 7) For each element $a \in F$ and each pair $x, y \in V$, we have a(x + y) = ax + ay.
- (VS 8) For each pair $a, b \in F$ and each $x \in V$, we have (a + b)x = ax + bx.

The elements x + y and ax are called the **sum** of x and y and the **product** of a and x, respectively.

- The elements of a field F are called **scalars** and the elements of a vector space V are called **vectors** (these should not be confused!).
- Every vector space will always be defined over a given field, mostly defined over the real numbers \mathbb{R} or the complex numbers \mathbb{C} unless otherwise noted.
- Every vector space should specify the operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

Definition 1.1.2 (n-tuples). An object of the form (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) , where the entries a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n are elements of a field F, is called an **n-tuple** with entries from F. The elements a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n are called **entries** or **components** of the n-tuple.

Definition 1.1.3. We say that two *n*-tuples, (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) and (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) , are **equal** if $a_i = b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Example 1.1.1. The set of all *n*-tuples with entries from a field F denoted by F_n is a vector space. To see why, suppose $u, v \in F_n$ where $u = (a_1, a_2, \dots a_n)$ and $v = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$. If we take

term-by-term addition of the entries in both u and v, then we end up with

$$u + v = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

and likewise,

$$cu = (ca_1, ca_2, \dots, ca_n).$$

These same set of operations define \mathbb{R}^3 as a vector space over \mathbb{R} and likewise, \mathbb{C}^2 is a vector space over \mathbb{C}

• Note that vectors in F^n can be written as **column vectors**

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

rather than **row vectors** (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) .

• 1-tuples are are just scalars or an just an element from F.

Definition 1.1.4. An $m \times n$ matrix with entries from a field F is a rectangular array of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where each entry a_{ij} with $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n)$ is an element of F. We call the entries a_{ij} with i = j the **diagonal entries** of the matrix. The entries $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \ldots a_{in}$ compose the *i*th row of the matrix, and the entries $a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \ldots a_{mj}$ compose the *j*th column of the matrix.

- The rows make a vector space which we denote F^n .
- Likewise, the columns make a vector space we denote F^m

Definition 1.1.5 (Zero Matrix). The $m \times n$ matrix in which each entry equals zero is called the **zero** matrix and is denoted by O.

Definition 1.1.6 (Square Matrix). A matrix is **square** if the number of rows and columns of a matrix are equal.

• Just like our tuple example, the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries from a field F form a vector space. Denote this vector space as $M_{m \times n}(F)$ endowed with two operations; that is, **matrix** addition and scalar multiplication. Suppose for $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(F)$ and $c \in F$, we have

$$(A+B)_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij}$$

and

$$(cA)_{ij} = cA_{ij}$$

for $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n$. In other words, the two operations can be performed entry-wise.

• The operations from our tuple case extends very naturally to $M_{m\times n}(F)$. In other words, if we add two matrices A_{ij} and B_{ij} , then we would expect to that $A_{ij} + B_{ij} \in M_{m\times n}(F)$ as well and likewise for the scalar multiplication case.

Definition 1.1.7 (Set of All Functions). Let S be any nonempty set and F be any field, and let $\mathcal{F}(S,F)$ denote the set of all functions from S to F.

Definition 1.1.8. Two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(S, F)$ are called **equal** if f(s) = g(s) for each $s \in S$.

The set of functions above forms a vector space with the operations of addition and scalar multiplication defined for every $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(S, F)$ and $c \in F$ with

$$(f+g)(s) = f(s) + g(s)$$
 and $(cf)(s) = cf(s)$

Definition 1.1.9 (Set of All Polynomials). A **polynomial** with coefficients from a field F is an expression of the form

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0,$$

where n is a nonnegative integer and each $a_k \in F$ is called the **coefficient** of x_k .

Definition 1.1.10 (Zero Polynomial). We call f(x) = 0 the **zero polynomial** if $a_n = a_{n-1} = \cdots = a_0 = 0$.

Definition 1.1.11 (Degree). The **degree** of a given polynomial f is defined to be the largest exponent of x that appears in the representation

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0.$$

Definition 1.1.12 (Equality of Polynomials). We call two polynomials f, g, where

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$

and

$$g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 x + b_0,$$

are equal if m = n and $a_i = b_i$ for all i = 0, 1, ..., n.

Suppose we have $c \in F$ and say we evaluated the polynomial $f \in F$ at c. Then we would have the following

$$f(c) = a_n c^n + a_{n-1} c^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 c + a_0$$

where $f(c) \in F$.

Definition 1.1.13 (Basic Operations of Polynomials). Define polynomial addition f + g as the following:

$$f(x) + g(x) = (a_n + b_n)x^n + (a_{n-1} + b_{n-1})x^{n-1} + \dots + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_0 + b_0).$$

Let $c \in F$. Let scalar **multiplication** be defined by

$$cf(x) = ca_n x^n + ca_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + ca_1 x + ca_0.$$

The operations above form a vector space for P(F) (the set of all polynomials).

Definition 1.1.14 (Sequences). A **sequence** in F is a function $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to F$. A given sequence σ such that $\sigma(n) = a_n$ for n = 1, 2, ... is denoted (a_n) .

Let V be the set of all sequences $\sigma(n) \in F$. For every $(a_n), (b_n) \in V$ with $t \in F$, we have the following operations

$$(a_n) + (b_n) = (a_n + b_n)$$
 and $t(a_n) = (ta_n)$.

1.1.2 Non-examples

Example 1.1.2. Let $S = \{(a_1, a_2) : a_1, a_2 \in R\}$ where R is a field. For every $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in S$ and $c \in R$, define

$$(a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 - b_2)$$
 and $c(a_1, a_2) = (ca_1, ca_2)$.

Note that S is **NOT** a vector space since (VS 1), (VS 2), and (VS 8) fail.

Example 1.1.3. Let S be the same set as in the last example. For $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in S$ and $c \in R$, define

$$(a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + b_1, 0)$$

and

$$c(a_1, a_2) = (ca_1, 0).$$

Note that S is **NOT** a vector space with these operations since (VS 3), (VS 4), and (VS 5) fail.

1.1.3 Basic Extensions from Definition

Theorem 1.1.1 (Cancellation Law for Vector Addtion). If $x, y, z \in V$ such that x + z = y + z, then x = y.

Proof. There exists a vector $v \in V$ such that z + v = O (VS 4). Thus, we have

$$x = x + O$$

$$= x + (z + v)$$

$$= (x + z) + v$$

$$= (y + z) + v$$

$$= y + (z + v)$$

$$= y + O$$

$$= y.$$

Hence, we have x = y.

Corollary. The vector described O described in (VS 3) is unique.

Proof. Let $x \in V$. Suppose there exists two elements $O, O' \in V$ such that

$$x + O = x \tag{1}$$

and

$$x + O' = x. (2)$$

Our objective is to show that O = O'. Equating (1) and (2), we find that

$$x + O = x + O' \tag{3}$$

Using the Cancellation Law for Vector Addition, (3) implies that O = O'. Hence, O is a unique vector in V.

Corollary. The vector y described in (VS 4) is unique.

Proof. Let $x \in V$. Suppose there exists $y, y' \in V$ such that

$$x + y = O$$
 and $x + y' = O$.

Equating the two equations above, we get that

$$x + y = x + y'.$$

Applying the Cancellation Law for Vector Addition once again, we get that y = y'. Hence, y is a unique vector in V.

Theorem 1.1.2. In any vector space V, the following statements are true:

- (a) 0x = O for any $x \in V$.
- (b) (-a)x = -(ax) = a(-x) for each $a \in F$ and each $x \in V$.
- (c) a0 = 0 for any $a \in F$.

Proof. (a) Using (VS 8), (VS 3), and (VS 1), it follows that

$$0x + 0x = (0 + 0)x$$
$$= 0x$$
$$= 0x + O$$
$$= O + 0x.$$

Hence, 0x = O by Theorem 1.1.

(b) The vector -(ax) is the unique element of V such that ax + [-(ax)] = O. If we know that ax + (-a)x = O, we can use Corollary 2 to Theorem 1.1 to state that (-a)x = -(ax). But by (VS 8), we have

$$ax + (-ax) = [a + (-a)]x = 0x = 0$$

by (a). Hence, we have that (-a)x = -(ax). In particular, we have (-1)x = -x. Thus, (VS 6) implies that

$$a(-x) = a[(-1)x] = [a(-1)]x = (-a)x.$$

(c) The proof of (c) is similar to the proof of (a).

1.2 Subspaces

Definition 1.2.1 (Subspaces). A subset W of a vector space V over a field F is called a **subspace** of V if W is a vector space over F with the operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

The most simple examples of subspaces of V is V itself and the set containing just the zero vector. The latter is denoted as the **zero subspace** of V.

We don't have to check all the vector space properties to prove that a subset W of V is a subspace of V. This is because vectors in any subset of V already satisfy all the properties of a vector space. Hence, we need only check that W is closed under

- 1. Addition: $x + y \in W$ whenever $x \in W$ and $y \in W$.
- 2. Scalar Multiplication: $cx \in W$ whenever $c \in F$ and $x \in W$.
- $3. \ W$ contains a zero vector.
- 4. Each vector in W has an additive inverse in W.

Theorem 1.2.1 (Subspaces). Let V be a vector space and W a subset of V. Then W is a subspace of V if and only if the following three conditions hold for the operations defined in V.

(a) $O \in W$.

9

- (b) $x + y \in W$ whenever $x \in W$ and $y \in W$.
- (c) $cx \in W$ whenever $c \in F$ and $x \in W$.

Proof. Suppose W is a subspace of V. Since W is also a vector space with the operations of addition and scalar multiplication defined in V. Hence, we know that W is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Note that W contains a zero vector $O' \in W$ such that for any $x \in W$, we have x + 0' = x. Since x is also in V (since $W \subseteq V$), we know that x + 0 = x. Using the cancellation, we can see that x + 0' = x + 0 implies O' = O. Hence, properties (a), (b), and (c) are satisfied.

Conversely, suppose conditions (a), (b), and (c) hold. We need to show that W is a subspace. This tells us that W is closed under addition and scalar multiplication in addition to containing the zero vector. Let $x \in W$. Since W is closed under scalar multiplication, we know that $(-1)x \in W$. By part (b) of theorem 2, we know that $1(-x) = -x \in W$. Hence, W contains an additive inverse and we are done.

The theorem above provides a simpler way to determine whether a given subset of a vector space is a subspace. Instead of using the definition to show that a give subset is a subspace, it is more common to use the result above.

Definition 1.2.2 (Tranpose). The **transpose** of A^t of an $m \times n$ matrix A is the $n \times m$ matrix obtained from A by interchanging the rows with the columns; that is, $(A^t)_{ij} = A_{ji}$.

Example 1.2.1.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 5 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 1.2.3 (Symmetric Matrices). A symmetric matrix is a matrix A such that $A^t = A$.

- The easiest example of a symmetric matrix would be a square matrix where i = j.
- The set W of all symmetric matrices in $M_{n\times n}(F)$ is a subspace of $M_{n\times n}(F)$ since the conditions of Theorem 1.3 hold.

We can show that W is indeed a subspace.

- 1. The zero matrix is equal to its transpose and hence belongs to W.
- 2. Suppose $A \in W$ and $B \in W$. Hence, $A^t = A$ and $B^t = B$. Hence, we have

$$(A+B)^t = A^t + B^t = A + B$$

which implies that $A + B \in W$.

3. We have $A \in W$ implies $A^t = A$. Now, let $a \in F$. Then we have that $(aA)^t = aA^t = aA$. Hence, $aA \in W$.

Example 1.2.2. Let n be non-negative integer, and let $P_n(F)$ consist of all polynomials in P(F) having degree less than or equal to n. We get that $P_n(F)$ is a subspace because:

- 1. Zero polynomial has degree -1, it is in $P_n(F)$.
- 2. The sum of two polynomials with degrees less than or equal to n is another a polynomial less than or equal to n.
- 3. The product of a scalar and a polynomial of degree less than or equal to n is a polynomial less than or equal to n.

This tells us that $P_n(F)$ is a subspace of the space of all polynomials.

Example 1.2.3. Let $C(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all continuous real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} . We know that $C(\mathbb{R})$ is a subset of the vector space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ defined in Example 3. We will show that $C(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$.

- 1. Note that the zero function f(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is a continuous real-valued function.
- 2. Let $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R})$. Since the sum of f and g is also continuous for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $f + g \in C(\mathbb{R})$.
- 3. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$. We have that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, (cf)(x) = cf(x) is a continuous function. Hence, property (c) is satisfied.

Hence, $C(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace.

Definition 1.2.4 (Upper Triangular). An $m \times n$ matrix A is called **upper triangular** if all its entries below the diagonal entries are zero; that is, if $A_{ij} = 0$ whenever i > j.

Example 1.2.4. Let B be an upper triangular 3×4 matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.2.5 (Diagonal Matrix). An $n \times n$ matrix M is called a **diagonal matrix** if $M_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$; that is, if all its non-diagonal entries are zero.

Example 1.2.5. Let A be diagonal 3×3 matrix.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 1.2.6. The set of diagonal matrices is a subspace of $M_{n\times n}(F)$. To see why, we have

- 1. Let O be the zero matrix of $M_{n\times n}(F)$. Since O is also a diagonal matrix, we know that O must be in the set of diagonal matrices.
- 2. Let A, B in the set of diagonal matrices. Let $i \neq j$ such that

$$(A+B)_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij} = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence, A + B is a diagonal matrix and so addition is closed.

3. Let A be a diagonal matrix as before and let $c \in F$. Let $i \neq j$ again, and observe that

$$(cA)_{ij} = cA_{ij} = c \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Hence, cA is a diagonal matrix and so scalar multiplication is closed.

Since the set of diagonal matrices satisfies all properties of theorem 3, we conclude that it is indeed a subspace of $M_{n\times n}(F)$.

Definition 1.2.6 (Trace). The **trace** of an $n \times n$ matrix M, denoted tr(M), is the sun of the diagonal entries of M; that is,

$$tr(M) = M_{11} + M_{22} + \dots + M_{nn}.$$

The set of all $n \times n$ matrices that have a trace equal to zero is a subspace of $M_{n \times n}(F)$ (proved in Exercise 6).

Example 1.2.7 (Non-example). Denote V as the set of matrices in $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ having non-negative entries. The subset V is not a subspace because it is not closed under scalar multiplication. We can see this by multiplying any matrix in V be a negative number and observe that the entries of said matrix are no longer all non-negative.

We can create subspaces out of other subspaces.

Theorem 1.2.2. Any intersection of subspaces of a vector space V is a subspace of V.

Proof. Let C be a collection of subspaces of V, and let W denote the intersection of the subspaces in C. Since every subspace contains the zero vector and the intersection $W \neq \emptyset$, the zero vector $0 \in W$. Let $a \in F$ and $x, y \in W$. Since each subspace of C is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, it follows that x + y and ax are contained each subspace in C and hence W must be closed under addition and closed under scalar multiplication. Thus, W is a subspace of V by theorem 3.

A natural question to ask is whether or not the union of subspaces of a vector space V is a subspace of V too. We can see that indeed the union of subspaces of V satisfy the first first and third property of theorem 3. However, property 2 need not be satisfied all the time. In fact, the union can only be a subspace of V if and only if one the subspaces is a subset of the other.

1.3 Linear Combinations and Systems of Linear Equations

Definition 1.3.1 (Linear Combinations). Let V be a vector space and S a nonempty subset of V. A vector $v \in V$ is called **linear combination** of vectors of S if there exist a finite number of vectors $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$ and scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ such that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n.$$

In this case, we say that v is a linear combination of $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in V$ and call $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ the **coefficients** of the linear combination.

- An easy example of a vector expressed as a linear combination is the zero vector O where 0v = O for each $v \in V$.
- Determining whether a vector is a linear combination of other vectors often involves solving a system of linear equations.

Example 1.3.1. Suppose we wanted to express the vector $(2,6,8) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as a linear combination of

$$u_1 = (1, 2, 1), u_2 = (-2, -4, -2), u_3 = (0, 2, 3), u_4 = (2, 0, -3), \text{ and } u_5 = (-3, 8, 16).$$

Our goal is to find scalars a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and a_5 such that

$$(2,6,8) = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + a_3u_3 + a_4u_4 + a_5u_5.$$

Doing a bit of algebra, we would need to solve the following system of linear equations

$$a_1 - 2a_2 + 2a_4 - 3a_5 = 2$$

 $2a_1 - 4a_2 + 2a_3 + 8a_5 = 6$
 $a_1 - 2a_2 + 3a_3 + 16a_5 = 8$

Solving the system of equations above involves three types of operations:

- 1. Interchanging the order of any two equations in the system;
- 2. multiplying any equation in the system by some non-zero constant;
- 3. adding a constant multiple of any equation to another equation in the system.

We will learn in a later section that the operations listed above do not change the set of solutions to the original system.

Example 1.3.2. We claim that

$$2x^3 - 2x^2 + 12x - 6$$

is a linear combination of

$$x^3 - 2x^2 - 5x - 3$$
 and $3x^3 - 5x^2 - 4x - 9$

in $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, but that

$$3x^3 - 2x^2 + 7x + 8$$

is not. In the first case we wish to find scalars a and b such that

$$2x^{3} - 2x^{2} + 12x - 6 = a(x^{3} - 2x^{2} - 5x - 3)$$

$$+ b(3x^{3} - 5x^{2} - 4x - 9)$$

$$= (a + 3b)x^{3} + (-2a - 5b)x^{2}$$

$$+ (-5a - 4b)x + (-3a - 9b).$$

Thus, we have the following system of linear equations:

$$a + 3b = 2$$

$$-2a - 5b = -2$$

$$-5a - 4b = 12$$

$$-3a - 9b = -6.$$

Adding the appropriate multiples of the first equation to the others in order to eliminate a, we find that

$$a + 3b = 2$$
$$b = 2$$
$$11b = 22$$
$$0b = 0.$$

Then we get that a = -4, b = 2, 0 = 0, 0 = 0. Hence, we have

$$2x^3 - 2x^2 + 12x - 6 = -4(x^3 - 2x^2 - 5x - 3) + 2(3x^3 - 5x^2 - 4x - 9).$$

Looking at the second case now, we observe that using the preceding technique leads us to the following system of linear equations

$$a + 3b = 3$$

$$-2a - 5b = -2$$

$$-5a - 4b = 7$$

$$-3a - 9b = 8.$$

Eliminating a as before yields the following:

$$a + 3b = 3$$
$$b = 4$$
$$11b = 22$$
$$0 = 17.$$

The presence of the non-sensical result in the last equality tells us that there are no solutions to the system and that the second polynomial cannot be written as a linear combination of the two polynomials.

We can denote the set of all linear combinations of some set of vectors in the following:

Definition 1.3.2 (Span). Let S be a nonempty subset of a vector space V. The **span** of S, denoted span(S), is the set consisting of all linear combinations of the vectors in S. For convenience, we define span(\emptyset) = $\{0\}$.

Some immediate examples of spans are:

Example 1.3.3. In \mathbb{R}^3 , the span of the set $S = \{(1,0,0), (0,1,0)\}$ consist of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 such that for some scalars $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$a(1,0,0) + b(0,1,0) = (a,b,0).$$

This tells us that S contains all the points in the xy-plane. One can show that S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Theorem 1.3.1. The span of any subset S of a vector space v is a subspace of V that contains S. Moreover, any subspace of V that contains S must also contain the span of S.

Proof. Suppose $S = \emptyset$. Then the span of S is just $\operatorname{span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ which is a subspace in which S is contained in. Moreover, $\operatorname{span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ is always contained in any subspace X of V because every subspace contains the zero vector S. Suppose $S \neq \emptyset$, then S contains a vector S. We need to show that $\operatorname{span}(S)$ is a subspace of S.

- (a) Since $S \neq \emptyset$, we know that S contains a vector v such that 0z = 0. Hence, $0 \in \text{span}(S)$.
- (b) Let $x, y \in \text{span}(S)$. We need to show that $x + y \in \text{span}(S)$. If $x \in \text{span}(S)$, then we can find $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S$ such that

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n.$$

Likewise, $y \in \text{span}(S)$ implies that we can find scalars b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m and vectors y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m such that

$$b_1y_1+b_2y_2+\cdots+b_my_m.$$

Then

$$x + y = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n + b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + \dots + b_my_m$$

is a linear combination and thus $x + y \in \text{span}(S)$.

(c) Note that $c \in F$ implies that

$$cx = c(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n)$$

= $(ca_1)x_1 + (ca_2)x_2 + \dots + (ca_n)x_n$

is a linear combination and thus $cx \in \text{span}(S)$.

Hence, span(S) is a subspace of V. Now we need to show that S is contained within span(S); that is, $S \subseteq \text{span}(S)$. Let $v \in S$. Then using (VS 5), we can see that $1 \cdot v = v$ is a linear combination; so we have $v \in \text{span}(S)$ and hence, the span of S contains S.

Now let W be any subspace of V that contains S. We need to show that $\operatorname{span}(S) \subseteq W$. Let $v \in \operatorname{span}(S)$. Then we can find scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S$ such that

$$v = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n.$$

Since $S \subseteq W$, we know that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in W$. Using exercise 20 from section 1.3 and using the same set of scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$, we have $v = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n \in W$. Hence, $\operatorname{span}(S) \subseteq W$.

Definition 1.3.3. A subset S of a vector space V **generates** (or **spans**) V if span(S) = V. In this case, we also say that the vectors of S generates (or span) V.

Example 1.3.4 (Vectors in \mathbb{R}^3). The vectors (1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1) generate \mathbb{R}^3 since any given vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a linear combination of the three given vectors. Furthermore, there exists scalars $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$r(1,1,0) + s(1,0,1) + t(0,1,1) = (a_1, a_2, a_3) = v$$

where

$$r = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2 - a_3), s = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 - a_2 + a_3)$$
 and $t = \frac{1}{2}(-a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$.

Example 1.3.5 (Polynomials). The polynomials $x^2 + 3x - 2$, $2x^2 + 5x - 3$, and $-x^2 - 4x + 4$ generate $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ because each of the three given polynomials belongs to $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and each polynomial $ax^2 + bx + c \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear combination of these three. It can be shown that we can find $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a(x^{2} + 3x - 2) + b(2x^{2} + 5x - 3) + c(-x^{2} - 4x + 4) = ax^{2} + bx + c.$$

Example 1.3.6 (Matrices). Note that the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

generate $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ because an every $A \in M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the four given matrices found below where there exists scalars $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where it can be show that

$$a = \frac{1}{3}a_{11} + \frac{1}{3}a_{12} + \frac{1}{3}a_{21} - \frac{2}{3}a_{22}$$

$$b = \frac{1}{3}a_{11} + \frac{1}{3}a_{12} - \frac{2}{3}a_{21} + \frac{1}{3}a_{22}$$

$$c = \frac{1}{3}a_{11} - \frac{2}{3}a_{12} + \frac{1}{3}a_{21} + \frac{1}{3}a_{22}$$

$$d = -\frac{2}{3}a_{11} + \frac{1}{3}a_{12} + \frac{1}{3}a_{21} + \frac{1}{3}a_{22}$$

A non-example set of matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

do not generate $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ since all of the matrices have equal diagonal entries. Thus, every the set of matrices above cannot generate every $A \in M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

1.4 Linear Dependence and Linear Independence

1.4.1 Motivation

Suppose V is a vector space over a field F and that $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace of V.

- Suppose we have a set S that will generate every vector in V as a linear combination of vectors in S.
- ullet It is desirable to find a subset of S that is as small as possible or rather just enough to generate each vector in V.
- The reason for this is to reduce the amount of computations done to represent a vector in V.
- Finding this subset is a matter of expressing one of the vectors in the spanning set as a linear combination of the other vectors in S.
- This will naturally lead to a system of linear equations for which we have to solve for the coefficients.
- The answer to the question is whether this system of equations leads to a solution or not.
- An easier way to answer this question is to find coefficients (not all zero) for which the zero vector can be written as a linear combination of vectors in S.
- Doing this, guarantees that we can write any one of the vectors in S as a linear combination of the others.

Definition 1.4.1 (Linearly Dependence). A subset S of a vector space V is called **linearly dependent** if there exists a finite number of distinct vectors in $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$ and scalars a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n not all zero, such that

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n = 0.$$

In this case, we also say that the vectors of S are linearly dependent.

- The **trivial representation** of 0 is a linear combination of scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and distinct vectors $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S$ where for all $1 \le i \le n$, we have $a_i = 0$.
- This tells us that our definition of **linear dependence** implies that 0 is a non-trivial linear combination.
- Any subset of V that contains the zero vector is subsequently linearly dependent since 0 can be written as non-trivial representation; that is, $1 \cdot 0 = 0$.

1.4.2 Examples of Linearly Dependent Sets

Example 1.4.1. Consider a subset in \mathbb{R}^4 defined by

$$S = \{(1, 3, -4, 2), (2, 2, -4, 0), (1, -3, 2, -4), (-1, 0, 1, 0)\}.$$

To show that S is a linear dependent set, we need to find scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that a_i for all $1 \le i \le 4$ not all zero such that

$$a_1(1,3,-3,2) + a_2(2,2,-4,0) + a_3(1,-3,2,-4) + a_4(-1,0,1,0) = 0.$$

We can represent this as a system of linear equations with each equation being set equal to zero and solving for the scalars. Solving this system of equations leads to the coefficients $a_1 = 4$, $a_2 = -3$, $a_3 = 2$, and $a_4 = 0$. Thus, we have that S is linearly dependent subset of \mathbb{R}^4 and hence we can write any vector in S as a linear combination of the other vectors contained in S.

Example 1.4.2. Define a subset of $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ -4 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 7 & 4 \\ 6 & -2 & -7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 & 11 \\ -1 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

This set is **linearly dependent** because we can find coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3 such that $a_1 = 5, a_2 = 3$, and $a_3 = -2$ where

$$5\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ -4 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} + 3\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 7 & 4 \\ 6 & -2 & -7 \end{pmatrix} - 2\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 & 11 \\ -1 & -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.4.2 (Linear Independence). A subset S of a vector space that is not linearly dependent is called **linearly independent**. As before, we also say that the vectors of S are linearly independent.

Whereas linear dependence requires solutions that are not all zero, linear independence **requires** all the solutions to be zero. This provides us an easy way to determine if a finite set is linearly independent.

1.4.3 Examples of Linear Independent Sets

Some facts about linearly independent sets include:

- The empty set is linearly independent since we don't have any vectors to take linear combinations of.
- A set consisting of only one non-zero vector; that is, $\{v\}$ is linearly independent.
- If $\{v\}$ is linearly dependent, then it the singleton has to be the zero vector 0. This is because au=0

$$u = 1 \cdot u = (a^{-1}a)u = a^{-1}(au) = a^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Example 1.4.3 (A Set of Vectors in \mathbb{R}^4). It can be shown that the set

$$S = \{(1,0,0,-1), (0,1,0,-1), (0,0,1,-1), (0,0,0,1)\}$$

is linearly independent by showing that all the coefficients of the linear combination of 0 represent the trivial representation; that is, we have scalars $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$.

Example 1.4.4. For k = 0, 1, ..., n, let $p_k = x^k + x^{k+1} + ... + x^n$. The set

$$\{p_0(x), p_1(x), \dots p_n(x)\}\$$

is linearly independent in $P_n(F)$. It can be shown that for some scalars $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$, the following equation

$$a_0 + (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)x^2 + \dots + (a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n)x^n = 0$$

has the trivial-representation; that is, $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$.

Theorem 1.4.1. Let V be a vector space, and let $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq V$. If S_1 is linearly dependent, then S_2 is linearly dependent.

Proof. Let V be a vector space. Suppose S_1 is linearly dependent. Then there exists a finite number of distinct vectors $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in S_1$ and scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ not all zero such that

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n = 0.$$

Since $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, we must have $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in S_2$ as well. If these vectors are linearly dependent, then these vectors are also linearly dependent in S_2 ; that is, we have scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$ not all zero such that

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0.$$

Hence, S_2 is linearly dependent.

Corollary. Let V be a vector space, and let $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq V$. If S_2 is linearly independent, then S_1 is linearly independent.

Proof. Note that this corollary is just the contrapositive of the theorem before it. Hence, S_1 is linearly independent.

- Determining whether there exists a minimal generating set for the span of S is related to solving the problem of finding whether some vector in S that can be written in terms of a linear combination of other vectors in S.
- We can see that in a given subset of \mathbb{R}^3 defined by

$$S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$$

where $u_1 = (2, -1, 4), u_2 = (1, -1, 3), u_3 = (1, 1, -1),$ and $u_4 = (1, -2, 1).$ Note that this S is linearly dependent. This tells us that any vector in S can be written as a linear combination of the others. Suppose we pick u_3 and write as a linear combination of the vectors $u_1, u_2,$ and u_4 . We would find that the span of these three vectors (denote this set as S') generates the same spanning set S but with a cardinality one less than S; that is, $\operatorname{span}(S) = \operatorname{span}(S')$.

• If we find that there does not exist a proper subset that is equivalent to the span of S, then S must be a linearly independent set.

Theorem 1.4.2. Let S be a linearly independent subset of a vector space V, and let $v \in V$ but not in S. Then $S \cup \{v\}$ is linearly dependent if and only if $v \in \text{span}(S)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $S \cup \{v\}$ is a linearly dependent set. Then there exists a finite number of scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and vectors $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S \cup \{v\}$ such that

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n = 0.$$

Since S is a linearly independent set, we must have $u_i = v$ for some $1 \le i \le n$. Choose i = 1 (any choice of i will do) such that

$$a_1v + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n = 0.$$

Solving for v by subtracting a_1v on both sides, multiplying by a_1^{-1} on both sides of the equation,

and distributing by a_1^{-1} yields the following:

$$v = (-a_1^{-1}a_2)u_2 + (-a_1^{-1}a_3)u_3 + \dots + (-a_1^{-1}a_n)u_n.$$

Since v is a linear combination of vectors $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$, we know that $v \in \text{span}(S)$.

 (\Leftarrow) Conversely, suppose $v \in \text{span}(S)$. This implies that there exists a finite amount of scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ and $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in S$ such that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n$$

Subtracting v from both sides of the equation above gives us the following equation:

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n - v = 0.$$

Note that v is not contained in S, so $v \neq u_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since S is a linearly independent set, we know that $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that the only coefficient that is non-zero is with -v = -1v. Hence, the set of vectors $S' = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n, v\}$ is linearly dependent. Since $S' \subseteq S \cup \{v\}$, we know that $S \cup \{v\}$ is also linearly independent by Theorem 6.

1.5 Bases And Dimension

1.5.1 Bases

- Recall that S is a generating set for a subspace W and no proper subset of S is a generating set for W, then S must be linearly independent.
- Linearly independent sets possess the unique property that every vector that its spanning set generates is unique.
- This is property is what allows generating sets to be the building blocks of vector spaces.

Definition 1.5.1 (Basis). A basis β for a vector space V is linearly independent subset of V that generates V. If β is a basis for V, we also say that the vectors of β form a basis for V.

Example 1.5.1. • Recall that the empty set \emptyset is linearly independent and that span(\emptyset) = $\{0\}$. The empty set \emptyset in this case is the basis for the zero vector space.

- Note that in F^n , the vectors $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, ..., 0) ..., e_n = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1)$ form a basis for F^n .
- The basis for $M_{m \times n}(F)$ is the set of matrices E^{ij} such that the only nonzero entry is a 1 in the *i*th and *j*th column.
- As we have seen in the last section, the set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is a basis for $P_n(F)$.
- In P(F), the set $\{1, x, x, x^2, \dots\}$ is a basis. Bases are not limited to finite sets. They can be infinite.

Theorem 1.5.1. Let V be a vector space and u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n be distinct vectors in V. Then $\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ is a basis for V if and only if each $v \in V$ can be unique expressed as a linear combination of vectors in β , that is, expressed in the form

$$v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

for unique scalars a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ is a basis for V. Then $\operatorname{span}(\beta) = V$. If $v \in V$, then $v \in \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. Hence, we can write v as a linear combination of vectors in β such that choosing

scalars $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in F$ leads to

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i.$$

Suppose there exists another representation of $v \in V$ such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i$$

Hence, observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i y_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - b_i) x_i = 0.$$

Since β is linearly independent, we know that $a_i - b_i = 0$ which implies $a_i = b_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Hence, v can be expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in β .

 (\Leftarrow) Conversely, let $v \in V$ be expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in β such that

$$v = a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_n u_n \tag{1}$$

for unique scalars a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n . We want to show that β is a basis for V; that is, we want to show that β is a spanning set for V and β is linearly independent. To show that β is a spanning set for V, we need to show that $\operatorname{span}(\beta) \subseteq V$ and $V \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. Note that $\beta \subseteq V$ and $\operatorname{span}(\beta)$ is a subspace for V. Hence, $\operatorname{span}(\beta) \subseteq V$. On the other hand, $V \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\beta)$ follows immediately from (1). To show that β is linearly independent, we need to show that for scalars $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n$, we have

$$\delta_1 u_1 + \delta_2 u_2 + \dots + \delta_n u_n = 0$$

such that $u_i = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Note that v + 0 = v. Hence, we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + \delta_i) u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i u_i$$

Equating each term in the equation above yields the following equality:

$$a_i + \delta_i = a_i \Rightarrow \delta_i = 0$$

for all $1 \le i \le n$. But this tells us that β is linearly independent and we are done.

- Any vector $v \in V$ can be written as a linear combination of vectors from the basis containing $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in V$.
- This determines a unique n-tuple of scalars (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) and conversely, each n-tuple of scalars determines a unique vector $v \in V$ such that each coefficient from the linear combination of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n is an entry from said tuple.
- For example, in our vector space F^n , n is the number of vectors that should be in the basis for F^n which is indeed the case.
- In this book, we are only concerned with finite bases.

Theorem 1.5.2 (Finite Spanning Set For a Vector Space). If a vector space V is generated by a finite set S, then some subset of S is a basis for V. Hence, V has a finite basis.

Proof. Suppose $S = \emptyset$ or $S = \{0\}$, then $V = \{0\}$ and \emptyset is a subset of S that is a basis for V. If S neither of these choices, then S must contain at least one nonzero vector u_1 ; that is, $S = \{u_1\}$. Since u_1 is nonzero, it follows that S is a linearly independent set. We can continue this process of adding vectors u_2, \ldots, u_k into S such that S is a linearly independent set of k vectors. Since S is a finite set, we must end with the linearly independent set $\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$. There are two cases for which this occurs, either $\beta = S$ or $\beta \subseteq S$:

- (i) Suppose that $\beta = S$ (remember that β is a finite set by construction). Then we have S is a linearly independent set and spanning set for V (since S is a finite set that generates V). Hence, S is a finite basis for V.
- (ii) Suppose $\beta \subseteq S$ is a linearly independent set such that adding $v \in S$ where $v \notin \beta$ makes a linearly dependent set. We claim that β is the desired subset of S that is a basis for V. Then we have two cases; that is, either $v \in \beta$ or $v \notin \beta$. Since $\beta \subseteq S$, we know by Theorem 1.5 that $\operatorname{span}(\beta) \subseteq S$ (This applies for both cases). It suffices to show that $S \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. If $v \in \beta$, then surely $v \in \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. Hence, we have $S \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. Suppose $v \notin \beta$. Since β is a linearly independent set, then by Theorem 1.7, we have that $\beta \cup \{v\}$ being linearly dependent implies that $v \in \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. Hence, $S \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\beta)$. Thus, that both cases implies that β is a spanning set for V.

This theorem tells us that any spanning set of a vector space V can reduced to a finite basis for V. This is illustrated in the following examples.

Example 1.5.2. Define

$$S = \{(2, -3, 5), (8, -12, 20), (1, 0, -2), (0, 2, -1), (7, 2, 0)\}$$

which can be shown to generate \mathbb{R}^3 . The idea is to create a proper subset of S such that none of the vectors in β are a multiples of each other. In other words, we want a set that is linearly independent; that is, our choices of vectors determine whether a set will be linearly dependent or independent (we want the latter to hold). Say, we pick (2, -3, 5) as our first vector in our subset β . Right away, we can exclude (8, -12, 20) since it is a multiple of (2, -3, 5). Otherwise, including it would make β linearly dependent (see exercise 9 from section 1.5). Next, add the vectors (1, 0, -2) and (0, 2, -1) since they are not multiples of the other. Narrowing down our set to

$$\beta = \{(2, -3, 5), (1, 0, -2), (0, 2, -1)\}.$$

Note that adding the vector (7,2,0) makes β linearly dependent, so (7,2,0) is excluded from the list. Hence, we have arrived at a subset of S such that $\beta \subseteq S$ is both a linearly independent set and spanning set for \mathbb{R}^3 .

The following theorem and its corollaries are the most important results in the Chapter 1.

1.5.2 Dimensions

Theorem 1.5.3 (Replacement Theorem). Let V be a vector space that is generated by a set G containing exactly n vectors, and let L be a linearly independent subset of V containing exactly m vectors. Then $m \leq n$ and there exists a subset H of G containing exactly n-m vectors such that $L \cup H$ generates V.

Proof. Let us proceed the proof via induction on m. Let m=0 be our base case. Then we find that $L=\emptyset$ is linearly independent set with exactly 0 vectors. Letting H=G gives us the desired result (since G contains exactly n vectors). Now suppose that the theorem is holds for some integer $m \geq 0$. We will show that the theorem holds for the m+1 case.Let $L=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_{m+1}\}$ be a

linearly independent subset of V consisting of exactly m+1 vectors. By the corollary to Theorem 1.6, we find that $L'=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_m\}$ is a linearly independent set (because $L'\subseteq L$ and L is linearly independent). Using our induction hypothesis, we can conclude that $m\leq n$ and that there exists a subset $H'=\{u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_{n-m}\}$ of G such that $L'\cup H'$ generates V. Thus there exists scalars $a_1,a_2,\ldots a_m,b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{n-m}$ such that

$$v_{m+1} = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_m v_m + b_1 u_1 + b_2 u_2 + \dots + b_{n-m} u_{n-m}.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Note that n-m>0, **unless** v_{m+1} is a linear combination of v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m which by Theorem 1.7 contradicts the assumption that L is a linearly independent set. Hence, n>m; that is, $n\geq m+1$. Furthermore, some b_i , say b_1 is nonzero, for otherwise we obtain the same contradiction. Solving (1) for u_1 , we get

$$u_1 = (-b_1^{-1}a_1)v_1 + (-b_1^{-1}a_2)v_2 + \dots + (-b_1^{-1})v_m + (b_1^{-1})v_{m+1} + (-b_1^{-1}b_2)u_2 + \dots + (-b_1^{-1}b_{n-m})u_{n-m}.$$

Let $H = \{u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{n-m}\}$. Then $u_1 \in \text{span}(L \cup H)$ and because $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m, u_2, \dots, u_{n-m}$ are clearly in $\text{span}(L \cup H)$, we have that

$$L' \cup H' \subseteq \operatorname{span}(L \cup H)$$
.

Since $L' \cup H'$ generates V and the fact that $L' \cup H' \subseteq \operatorname{span}(L \cup H)$ (note that $\operatorname{span}(L \cup H)$ is also a subspace), we know by Theorem 1.5 that $\operatorname{span}(L' \cup H') \subseteq \operatorname{span}(L \cup H)$. Since $\operatorname{span}(L' \cup H')$ generates V, we know that $V \subseteq \operatorname{span}(L' \cup H') \subseteq \operatorname{span}(L \cup H)$. Observe that $\operatorname{span}(L \cup H) \subseteq V$ is true by default. Hence, $\operatorname{span}(L \cup H)$ generates V and that H contains (n-m)-1=n-(m+1) vectors which concludes our induction proof.

Corollary. Let V be a vector space having a finite basis. Then all bases for V are finite, and every basis for V contains the same number of vectors.

Proof. Let β be a finite basis for V that contains exactly n vectors. Let γ be any other basis that contains more than n vectors. Suppose we pick a subset S of γ such that this subset contains exactly n+1 vectors. Since β is a finite basis for V, we know that β is a linearly independent set. By Theorem 1.6, we know that S is also a linearly independent set. By Replacement Theorem, we have that $n+1 \leq n$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have γ is finite, and γ contains exactly m amount of vectors in γ which satisfies $m \leq n$. To show that $n \leq m$, we can reverse the roles of β and γ and use the same argument as above. Hence, $n \leq m$ and thus m = n.

The main takeaway from the corollary above is that the number of vectors in any basis for V is an inherent property of V.

Definition 1.5.2 (Finite-dimensional). A vector space is **finite-dimensional** if it has a basis consisting of a finite number of vectors.

Definition 1.5.3 (Dimension of a Vector Space). The unique integer n such that every basis for V contains exactly n elements is called the **dimension** of V and is denoted by $\dim(V)$.

Definition 1.5.4 (Infinite-dimensional). A vector space that is not finite-dimensional is called **infinite-dimensional**.

Example 1.5.3. • The vector space $\{0\}$ has dimension zero. This is because $\{0\}$ is generated by the empty set \emptyset .

- The vector space F^n has dimension n.
- The vector space $M_{m \times n}(F)$ has dimension mn.

• The vector space $P_n(F)$ has dimension n+1.

It turns out that the dimension of a vector space depends on the choice of the field it's defined on.

Example 1.5.4. • Over the field of complex numbers, the vector space of complex numbers has dimension 1. (A basis is {1}).

• On the other hand, if \mathbb{C} is defined over the real numbers \mathbb{R} , then the vector space has dimension 2.

The first conclusion of the replacement theorem states that given a finite-dimensional vector space, a linearly independent subset of V cannot contain no more than $\dim(V)$ amount of vectors.

Example 1.5.5 (Example of an Infinite-Dimensional Vector Space). The vector space P(F) is infinite-dimensional since it contains a linearly independent set $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ that is infinite. This is peculiar because all of the results in this section thus far does not guarantee that an infinite-dimensional vector space containing a basis. In fact, it is proven in section 1.7 that infinite-dimensional vector space are guaranteed to have a basis.

The next corollary from the Replacement Theorem refers to the size of the generating set.

Corollary. Let V be a vector space with dimension n.

- (a) Any finite generating set for V contains at least n vectors, and a generating set for V that contains exactly n vectors is a basis for V.
- (b) Any linearly independent subset of V that contains exactly n vectors is a basis for V.
- (c) Every linearly independent subset of V can be extended to a basis for V, that is, if L is a linearly independent subset of V, then there is a basis β of V such that $L \subseteq \beta$.

Proof. Let β be a basis for V.

- (a) Let β be a finite generating set for V. By Theorem 1.9, β contains a subset S such that S is a finite basis for V. Since V is a vector space with dimension n, we know that S contains exactly n vectors. This means that β must contain at least n vectors since $S \subseteq \beta$.
- (b) Let L be a linearly independent subset of V that contains exactly n vectors. Since β is a generating set for V, the Replacement Theorem states that we can find a subset of β , say L', such that L' contains exactly n n = 0 vectors implies that $L' = \emptyset$ and $L \cup L' = L \cup \emptyset = L$ generates V. Since L is also linearly independent, we conclude that L is a basis for V.
- (c) Let L be a linearly independent subset of V containing m vectors. Then the Replacement Theorem implies that there exists $H \subseteq \beta$ containing n-m, where β is the generating set of V with exactly n amount of vectors, such that $L \cup H$ generates V and is finite. This implies that $L \cup H$ must contain at most n vectors, but part (a) also tells us that $L \cup H$ contains at least n vectors, so $L \cup H$ must contain exactly n vectors. Hence, $L \cup H$ is a basis for V.

Example 1.5.6. • In Example 1.3.5 the set

$$\{x^2 + 3x - 2, 2x^2 + 5x - 3, -x^2 - 4x + 4\}$$

is a generating set for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ that contains exactly 3 vectors. Hence, the set above is a basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ by part (a).

• It follows from Example 1.3.6 and (a) of the second corollary to the Replacement Theorem that the set

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a basis for $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

The set in Example 1.4.3 is a basis for \mathbb{R}^4 .

See Example 1.4.4. This set is a basis for $P_n(F)$.

The main takeaways from our results are:

- \bullet Reduce a finite generating set into a basis for a vector space V.
- \bullet Extend a linearly independent set into a basis for V.
- ullet Any basis for V contains the same number of vectors.
- The number of vectors in a basis is the dimension of a vector space.

1.5.3 An Overview of Dimension and Its Consequences