## Homework 1

## Lance Remigio

## January 31, 2025

**Problem 1.** (a) Define  $f:[0,\infty]\to\mathbb{R}$  by  $f(t)=\frac{t}{1+t}$  show that f is an increasing function.

(b) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define  $\tilde{d}: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1 + d(x,y)}.$$

Prove that  $\tilde{d}$  is a metric on X.

**Proof.** (a) We will show that  $f:[0,\infty]\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(t)=\frac{t}{1+t}$  is an increasing function; that is, for any  $a,b\in[0,\infty]$  with  $a\leq b$ , we have

$$\frac{a}{1+a} \le \frac{b}{1+b}.$$

To this end, let  $a, b \in [0, \infty]$  such that  $a \leq b$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a & \leq b \Longleftrightarrow ab + a \leq ab + b \\ & \iff a(b+1) \leq b(a+1) \\ & \iff \frac{a}{1+a} \leq \frac{b}{1+b}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that  $f(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$  must be an increasing function.

(b) (i) Let  $x, y \in X$ . Since (X, d) is a metric space, we know that  $d(x, y) \ge 0$  for every  $x, y \in X$  by property (i) of metric spaces. Thus, we see that

$$\tilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1 + d(x,y)} \ge 0 \ \forall x, y \in X.$$

Hence, property (i) is satisfied.

(ii) Let  $x, y \in X$ . Since (X, d) is a metric space, we know that d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y. Thus, we see that

$$\tilde{d}(x,y) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{d(x,y)}{1 + d(x,y)} = 0$$
$$\iff d(x,y) = 0$$
$$\iff x = y.$$

Hence, property (ii) is satisfied.

(iii) Let  $x, y \in X$ . Since d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all  $x, y \in X$  (because (X, d) is a metric space), we see that

1

$$\tilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} = \frac{d(y,x)}{1+d(y,x)} = \tilde{d}(y,x).$$

Thus, property (iii) is satisfied.

- (iv) Now, we will show that  $\tilde{d}$  satisfies the triangle inequality. Let  $x, y, z \in X$ . We need to consider a few cases when proving the triangle inequality:
  - (I)  $d(x,y) \le d(x,z)$ .
  - (II)  $d(x,y) \le d(z,y)$
  - (III) d(x, y) > d(x, z) and d(x, z) > d(z, y).

We proceed with each case as follows:

(I) If  $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z)$ , then by using the monotonicity of  $\tilde{d}(x,y)$ , we can see that

$$\tilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} \le \frac{d(x,z)}{1+d(x,z)} = \tilde{d}(x,z) \le \tilde{d}(x,z) + \tilde{d}(z,y).$$

(II) Similarly, if  $d(x,y) \leq d(z,y)$ , we have by using the monotonicity of D(x,y) that

$$\tilde{d}(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} \le \frac{d(z,y)}{1+d(z,y)} = \tilde{d}(z,y) \le \tilde{D}(x,z) + \tilde{d}(z,y).$$

(III) If both d(x,y) > d(x,z) and d(x,z) > d(z,y), then by the triangle inequality property of (X,d), we see that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{d}(x,y) &= \frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x,z)+d(z,y)}{1+d(x,y)} \\ &= \frac{d(x,z)}{1+d(x,y)} + \frac{d(z,y)}{1+d(z,y)} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x,z)}{1+d(x,z)} + \frac{d(z,y)}{1+d(z,y)} \\ &= \tilde{d}(x,z) + \tilde{d}(z,y). \end{split}$$

Thus, we can see that  $\tilde{d}(x,y)$  satisfies the triangle inequality.

Since properties (I) through (IV) are satisfied, we can conclude that d is a metric on X.

**Problem 2.** Let 
$$X = \mathbb{R}^n$$
. For  $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Define  $d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$  and  $d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \max\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \le i \le n\}$ . Show that  $d_1$  and  $d_{\infty}$  are metrics on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** We will show that the following functions

(1) 
$$d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$$

(2)  $d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \max\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i|$ 

First, we will show that (1) is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(i) Suppose  $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$ . Then observe that

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y} \iff x_i = y_i \ \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\iff x_i - y_i = 0 \ \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\iff |x_i - y_i| = 0 \ \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| = 0 \ \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\iff d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0.$$

Thus, property (i) is satisfied.

(ii) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - x_i| = d_1(\vec{y}, \vec{x}).$$

Thus, property (ii) is satisfied.

(iii) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By the triangle inequality of the standard metric  $|\cdot|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n [|x_i - z_i| + |z_i - y_i|]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - z_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i - y_i|$$
$$= d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + d_1(\vec{z}, \vec{y}).$$

Thus, properties (i) through (ii) of a metric space are satisfied. Hence,  $d_1$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Now, we will show that (2) is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(i) Observe that

$$d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0 \Longrightarrow \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow 0 \le |x_i - y_i| \le 0 \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow |x_i - y_i| = 0 \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow x_i - y_i = 0 \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow x_i = y_i \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{y}.$$

Conversely, we have

$$\vec{x} = \vec{y} \Longrightarrow x_i = y_i \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow x_i - y_i = 0 \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow |x_i - y_i| = 0 \quad \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

$$\Longrightarrow \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0.$$

(ii) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have

$$d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |y_i - x_i| = d_{\infty}(\vec{y}, \vec{x}).$$

(iii) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Our goal is to show that

$$d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \le d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + d_{\infty}(\vec{z}, \vec{y}).$$

Note that

$$|x_i - z_i| \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - z_i| \text{ and } |z_i - y_i| \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} |z_i - y_i|$$
  $(\forall 1 \le i \le n)$ 

Adding the two inequalities above gives us

$$|x_i - z_i| + |z_i - y_i| \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - z_i| + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |z_i - y_i|.$$
 (1 \le i \le n)

Using the triangle inequality property of the standard metric  $|\cdot|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can see that

$$|x_i - y_i| \le |x_i - z_i| + |z_i - y_i|.$$

Hence, we have

$$|x_i - y_i| \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - z_i| + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |z_i - y_i|.$$

Notice that the right-hand side of the above inequality is an upper bound of the set  $\{|x_i - y_i| : x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ . Thus, we see that

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| \le \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - z_i| + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |z_i - y_i|.$$

By definition, we can conclude that

$$d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \le d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + d_{\infty}(\vec{z}, \vec{y}).$$

Hence, we can conclude that  $d_{\infty}$  forms a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d_1$  and  $d_{\infty}$  be as defined as in problem 2.

- (a) (i) Show that  $d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  for all  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (ii) Let  $\vec{x_0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0. Let

$$B_1 = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x_0}) < r \}$$
  

$$B_2 = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{x_0}) < r \}.$$

Which one of the following holds and why?

$$B_1 \subseteq B_2$$
 or  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ .

- (b) Show that  $d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq n \cdot d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ .
- (c) Fix  $\vec{x_0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0. Prove that

$$\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{x_0}) < \frac{r}{n}\} \subseteq \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x_0}) < r\}.$$

- (d) Prove that  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open with respect to the metric  $d_1$  if and only if M is open with respect to the metric  $d_{\infty}$ .
- **Proof.** (a) (i) Note that for all  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$|x_i - y_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|. \tag{\forall 1 \le i \le n}$$

Furthermore, the right-hand side of the above inequality is an upper bound for the set

$$\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \le i \le n, \ \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \Longrightarrow d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \le d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}).$$

- (ii) We claim that  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$  holds. Let  $\vec{y} \in B_2$ . By part (i), we can see that  $d_{\infty}(\vec{y}, \vec{x_0}) \le d_1(\vec{y}, \vec{x_0}) < r$ . Hence, we have  $\vec{y} \in B_1$  and so  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ .
- (b) Our goal is to show that  $d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq n \cdot d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  for any  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Observe that

$$d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i|$$
  
=  $n \cdot \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_i - y_i|$   
=  $n \cdot d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ .

Thus, we have  $d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \leq n \cdot d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ 

(c) Fix  $\vec{x_0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0. Set

$$D_1 = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{x_0}) < \frac{r}{n} \},$$
  
$$D_2 = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_1(\vec{x}, \vec{x_0}) < r \}.$$

We will show that  $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ . Let  $\vec{y} \in D_1$ . Then

$$d_{\infty}(\vec{y}, \vec{x_0}) < \frac{r}{n} \iff n \cdot d_{\infty}(\vec{y}, \vec{x_0}) < r.$$

Using part (b), we can write

$$d_1(\vec{y}, \vec{x_0}) < r.$$

Hence,  $\vec{y} \in D_2$ . So,  $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ .

(d) ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose that M is an open set with respect to the metric  $d_1$ . We will show that M is open with respect to the metric  $d_{\infty}$ ; that is, we want to show that for all  $\vec{x} \in M$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{x}; \delta) \subseteq M$ . To this end, let  $\vec{x} \in M$ . By assumption, we can see that there exists  $\hat{\delta} > 0$  such that  $B_{d_1}(\vec{x}, \hat{\delta}) \subseteq M$ .

We claim that  $\hat{\delta}$  can be used as the same  $\delta$  we were looking for. Indeed, we can see by part (ii) of (a) that  $B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{x};\delta) \subseteq B_{d_1}(\vec{x},\delta) \subseteq M$ . Hence, we have M must be open with respect to the metric  $d_{\infty}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that M is an open set with respect to the metric  $d_{\infty}$ . Our goal is to show that M is open with respect to the metric  $d_1$ ; that is, for all  $\vec{y} \in M$ , we need to find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_{d_1}(\vec{x}; \delta) \subseteq M$ . Let  $\vec{y} \in M$ . By assumption, we can find a  $\hat{\delta} > 0$  such that  $B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{y}; \hat{\delta}) \subseteq M$ . If  $\vec{x} \in B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{y}; \hat{\delta})$ , then  $d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) < \hat{\delta}$ . Set  $\delta = \hat{\delta} - d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) > 0$ . It suffices to show that  $B_{d_1}(\vec{y}; \delta) \subseteq B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{y}; \hat{\delta})$ . Let  $\vec{z} \in B_{d_1}(\vec{y}; \delta)$ . Then

$$d_1(\vec{z}, \vec{y}) < \delta \Longrightarrow d_1(\vec{z}, \vec{y}) < \hat{\delta} - d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}).$$

Since  $d_1(\vec{z}, \vec{y}) < d_1(\vec{z}, \vec{y}) + d_{\infty}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ , we can conclude that

$$d_1(\vec{z}, \vec{y}) < \hat{\delta} \Longrightarrow \vec{z} \in B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{y}; \hat{\delta}) \Longrightarrow B_{d_1}(\vec{y}; \delta) \subseteq B_{d_{\infty}}(\vec{y}; \hat{\delta}).$$

Hence, M is open with respect to  $d_1$ .

5

**Problem 4.** Let I be an indexing set and  $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a collection of subsets of X. We define

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i = \{x \in X : x \in X_i \text{ for some } i \in I\}$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = \{x \in X : x \in X_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = \{ x \in X : x \in X_i \text{ for all } i \in I \}.$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (i) Let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a collection of open sets in X. Show that  $\bigcup_{i\in I} M_i$  is also open.
- (ii) Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two open sets in X. Prove that  $M_1 \cap M_2$  is open.
- (iii) Let  $x_0 \in X$  and r > 0. Show that  $B(x_0; r)$  is open.
- (iv) Let  $\{K_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a collection of closed sets in X. Prove that  $\bigcap_{i\in I} K_i$  is closed.
- (v) Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be closed in X. Prove that  $K_1 \cup K_2$  is closed.
- (vi) Let  $x_0 \in X$  and r > 0. Prove that  $\overline{B}(x_0; r)$  is closed.
- (vii) Let  $M \subseteq X$ . Prove that  $\overline{M}$  is closed in X.
- (viii) Let  $x_0 \in X$  and r > 0. Prove that

$$\overline{B(x_0;r)} \subseteq \overline{B}(x_0;r).$$

Is it always true that

$$\overline{B(x_0;r)} = \overline{B}(x_0;r)?$$

Justify your answer.

(i) Let  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ . Our goal is to find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ . Now, notice Proof.

$$x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \Longrightarrow \exists k \in I \text{ such that } x \in M_k.$$

Since  $M_k$  is an open set, there exists  $\hat{\delta} > 0$  such that  $B(x, \hat{\delta}) \subseteq M_k$ . But this means that

$$B(x,\hat{\delta}) \subseteq M_k$$

since  $M_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in M_1 \cap M_2$ . Our goal is to show that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2$ . If  $x \in M_1 \cap M_2$ , then  $x \in M_1$  and  $x \in M_2$ . Then  $x \in M_1$  and  $M_1$  is open implies there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta_1) \subseteq M_1$ . Likewise,  $x \in M_2$  an  $M_2$  is open implies that there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta_2) \subseteq M_2$ . Choose  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \min{\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}$ . Our goal is to show that  $B(x,\delta)\subseteq M_1\cap M_2$ . By the way  $\delta$  was constructed, observe that

$$B(x,\delta) \subseteq B(x,\delta_1) \subseteq M_1$$
 and  $B(x,\delta) \subseteq B(x,\delta_2) \subseteq M_2$ .

If  $y \in B(x, \delta)$ , then  $y \in M_1$  and  $y \in M_2$  by the above. Hence, we have

$$B(x,\delta)\subseteq M_1\cap M_2$$
.

(iii) Our goal is to show that  $B(x_0, r)$  is open; that is, we want to show that for every  $x \in B(x_0, r)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$ . Let  $x \in B(x_0, r)$ . Then

$$d(x, x_0) < r \Longrightarrow r - d(x, x_0) > 0.$$

Choose  $\delta = r - d(x, x_0)$ . Now, our goal is to show that

$$B(x, \delta) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$$
.

Let  $y \in B(x, \delta)$ . Then

$$d(y,x) < \delta = r - d(x,x_0) \Longrightarrow d(y,x) + d(x,x_0) < r.$$

By the triangle inequality, we can see that

$$d(y, x_0) \le d(y, x) + d(x, x_0).$$

This implies that

$$d(y, x_0) < r \Longrightarrow y \in B(x_0, r).$$

Hence,  $B(x, \delta) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$ .

(iv) Let  $\{K_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a collection of closed sets in X. Our goal is to show that  $\bigcap_{i\in I} K_i$  is closed. It suffices to show that

$$\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}K_i\right)^c$$
 is open.

The above can be rewritten in the following way

$$\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} K_i\right)^c = \bigcup_{i\in I} K_i^c.$$

Since each  $K_i$  is closed, we can see that  $K_i^c$  is open. Using part (i), we can conclude that  $\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}K_i\right)^c$  is open and so

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} K_i$$

must be closed.

- (v) Apply part (iv) to  $i \in I$  on  $K_1, K_2, \emptyset, \emptyset, \ldots$
- (vi) Our goal is to show that  $\overline{B}(x_0,r)$  is a closed set. To this end, we will show that  $[\overline{B}(x_0,r)]^c$  is an open set. If this holds, then we can conclude that  $\overline{B}(x_0,r)$  is a closed set. Let  $x \in [\overline{B}(x_0,r)]^c$ . Then we have  $d(x,x_0) > r$ . Our goal is to find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq [\overline{B}(x_0,r)]^c$ . Then d(x,p) > r. Choose  $\varepsilon = d(x,p) r > 0$ . Using this chosen radius  $\varepsilon$ , let  $y \in B(x,\varepsilon)$ . In order for y to be contained within  $[\overline{B}(x_0,r)]^c$ , we have to show that d(p,y) > r. Using the triangle inequality, we have

$$d(x, x_0) \le d(x, y) + d(y, x_0) \Longrightarrow d(y, x_0) \ge d(x, x_0) - d(x, x_0)$$

$$> d(x, x_0) - \varepsilon \qquad (y \in B(x, \varepsilon))$$

$$- r$$

Indeed, we can now see that  $B(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq [\overline{B}(x_0,r)]^c$ . This tells us that  $[\overline{B}(x_0,r)]^c$  is open and so  $\overline{B}(x_0,r)$  is closed.

(vii) Our goal is to show that  $(\overline{E})^c$  is open. We need to show that every point of  $(\overline{E})^c$  is an interior point of  $(\overline{E})^c$ . Let  $p \in (\overline{E})^c$ . We have

$$\begin{split} p \in (\overline{E})^c &\Longrightarrow p \notin \overline{E} \\ &\Longrightarrow p \notin (E \cup E') \\ &\Longrightarrow p \notin E \ \land \ p \notin E'. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$p \notin E' \Longrightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ B(p,\varepsilon) \cap (E \setminus \{p\}) = \emptyset$$
$$\Longrightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ B(p,\varepsilon) \cap E = \emptyset. \tag{1}$$

In what follows, we will show that  $B(p,\varepsilon) \cap E' = \emptyset$ . So, we have

$$B(p,\varepsilon) \cap (E \cup E') = \emptyset$$
  

$$\Longrightarrow B(p,\varepsilon) \cap \overline{E} = \emptyset$$
  

$$\Longrightarrow B(p,\varepsilon) \subseteq (\overline{E})^c.$$

Thus, we have that p is an interior point of  $(\overline{E})^c$ . It remains to show that  $B(p,\varepsilon)\cap E'=\emptyset$ . Assume for sake of contradiction that  $B(p,\varepsilon)\cap E'\neq\emptyset$ . Let  $q\in B(p,\varepsilon)\cap E'$ . Then we have  $q\in B(p,\varepsilon)$  and  $q\in E'$ . Because  $B(p,\varepsilon)$  is an open set, there exists  $\delta>0$  such that  $B(q,\delta)\subseteq B(p,\varepsilon)$  and that  $B(q,\delta)\cap (E\setminus\{q\})\neq\emptyset$ , respectively. But note that since  $B(q,\delta)\subseteq B(p,\varepsilon)$  and  $E\setminus\{q\}\subseteq E$  implies that

$$B(p,\varepsilon)\cap E\neq\emptyset$$
.

which contradicts (1).

(viii) We can see immediately that  $B(x_0, r) \subseteq \overline{B}(x_0, r)$ . By part (vi), we can see that  $\overline{B}(x_0, r)$  is a closed set. As a consequence, we have that

$$\overline{B(x_0,r)} \subseteq \overline{B}(x_0,r).$$

In general, it is not true that

$$\overline{B(x_0,r)} = \overline{B}(x_0,r).$$

Consider the interval [0,1] in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete metric. Clearly, we see that  $1/2 \in [0,1]$ . If we let  $\varepsilon = 1$ , then

$$B(\frac{1}{2},1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : d(x,1/2) < 1\} = \{1/2\}$$

since the only case when the inequality is satisfied is when x = 1/2. If we consider the closure of this neighborhood, we just get

$$\overline{B(\frac{1}{2},1)} = \{1/2\}.$$

Now, consider the closed ball

$$B(\frac{1}{2},1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : d(x,1/2) \le 1\}.$$

Observe that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , either x = 1/2 or  $x \neq 1/2$  in [0,1], the inequality of the set above we always be satisfied; that is, the set will just be all elements contained in [0,1]. Thus, we see that  $B(\frac{1}{2},1) = [0,1]$  and, in this case, that  $\overline{B}(\frac{1}{2},1) \neq \overline{B(\frac{1}{2},1)}$ .

**Problem 5.** In this problem, we will establish some key inequalities that will be useful later in the class.

(i) Young's Inequality: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \ge 0$ ,  $b \ge 0$ , and p > 1. Let  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Then

$$ab \le \frac{a^q}{q} + \frac{b^p}{p}.$$

Prove Young's Inequality.

(ii) **Holder's Inequality:** Let p > 1. For  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ , define  $\|\vec{x}\|_p = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right]^{1/p}$ . Let

 $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . For  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i y_i| \le \|\vec{x}\|_p \|\vec{y}\|_q$$

where 
$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$ 

(iii) Minkowski's Inequality Let  $p, \vec{x}$ , and  $\vec{y}$  be as in (ii). Prove that

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|_p \le \|\vec{x}\|_p + \|\vec{y}\|_p.$$

(iv) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , p > 1. For  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $d_p(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = ||\vec{x} - \vec{y}||_p$ . Prove that  $d_p$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let p and q be positive real numbers with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  be nonnegative. We have the following cases:

- (1) a = 0 and b = 0
- (2) a = 0 and b > 0
- (3) a > 0 and b = 0
- (4) a > 0 and b > 0.

We proceed with the proof of the result with the following cases.

- (1) If a = 0 and b = 0, then the result is immediate.
- (2) If a = 0 and b > 0, then we immediately have

$$ab = 0 \le \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} = \frac{b^q}{q}.$$

(3) If b = 0 and a > 0, then we similarly have

$$ab = 0 \le \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} = \frac{a^p}{p}.$$

(4) Suppose a > 0 and b > 0. By the property of logarithms, we see that

$$ab = e^{\ln a}e^{\ln b} = e^{\ln a + \ln b}.$$

Also, we see that

$$ln(a^p) = p ln a$$
 and  $ln(b^q) = q ln b$ .

Now, observe that

$$e^{\ln a + \ln b} = e^{\frac{p}{p} \ln a + \frac{q}{q} \ln b} = e^{\frac{1}{p} \ln(a^p) + \frac{1}{q} \ln(b^q)}.$$

Notice that  $e^t$ , when differentiated twice, is a strictly positive function. Thus,  $e^t$  is convex for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  our knowledge of calculus. Thus, we can use Jensen's inequality to conclude that

$$e^{\frac{1}{p}\ln(a^p) + \frac{1}{q}\ln(b^q)} \leq \frac{1}{p}e^{\ln(a^p)} + \frac{1}{q}e^{\ln(b^q)} = \frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q.$$

(ii) Let  $a = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and  $b = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . Note that if a = 0 or b = 0, then both sides of the above inequality will be zero. Hence, it suffices to show the result when  $a \neq 0$ 

9

and  $b \neq 0$ . For each  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  let  $u_i = \frac{|x_i|}{a}$  and  $v_i = \frac{|y_i|}{b}$ . Using part (a), we can see that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left( \frac{x_i}{a} \right) \left( \frac{y_i}{b} \right) \right| &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{u_i^p}{p} + \frac{v_i^q}{q} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|x_i|^p}{a^p} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|y_i|^q}{b^q} \\ &= \frac{1}{pa^p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p + \frac{1}{qb^q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^q \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{pa^p} \right) a^p + \left( \frac{1}{qb^q} \right) b^q \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \end{split}$$

Now, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left( \frac{x_i}{a} \right) \left( \frac{y_i}{b} \right) \right| \le 1 \Longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_i}{a} \right| \left| \frac{y_i}{b} \right| \le 1$$

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| |y_i|$$

$$\Longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i y_i| \le ab = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i y_i| \le ||\vec{x}||_p ||\vec{y}||_q.$$

(iii) Suppose  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Notice that if  $\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^p = 0$ , then Minkowski's inequality immediately follows. Hence, it suffices to show the result when  $\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^p \neq 0$ . Note that if p = 1, then Minkowski's inequality immediately follows via applying the triangle inequality (on the standard metric  $|\cdot|$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and distributing the summation. Thus, suppose further that p > 1. Let q be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  (where p > 1 and q is a positive real number). Hence, we have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|x_i| + |y_i|) |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| x_i + y_i|^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^{(p-1)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^{(p-1)q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$
(Holder's Inequality)

Dividing  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  by both sides, we see that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \le \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

which can be re-written to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p\right)^{1 - \frac{1}{q}} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Now, observe that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

If we set

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|^p,$$

then we see that

$$A^{1-\frac{1}{q}} = A^{1-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} = A^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Thus, we see that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and so we conclude that

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|_p \le \|\vec{x}\|_p + \|\vec{y}\|_p.$$

(iv) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and p > 1. We will show that

$$d_p(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = ||\vec{x} - \vec{y}||_p$$

is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(I) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $d_p(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ . By definition of  $d(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  and by property (2) of norms, we have

$$d_p(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0 \Longrightarrow ||\vec{x} - \vec{y}|| = 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow \vec{x} - \vec{y} = 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{y}.$$

This shows property (ii).

(II) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then by property (3) of norms, we see that

$$d_{p}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\| = \| - (\vec{y} - \vec{x})\| = | - 1| \|\vec{y} - \vec{x}\| = d_{p}(\vec{y}, \vec{x}).$$

Thus, property (iii) is satisfied.

(III) Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in V$ . Then by the triangle inequality property of norms, we see that

$$\begin{split} d_p(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) &= \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\| = \|(\vec{x} - \vec{z}) + (\vec{z} - \vec{y})\| \\ &\leq \|\vec{x} - \vec{z}\| + \|\vec{z} - \vec{y}\| \\ &= d_p(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + d_p(\vec{z}, \vec{y}). \end{split}$$

Hence, we can conclude that  $d_p$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .