

# ESE 520 Probability and Stochastic Processes

## Lecture 14

”The law of large numbers continued. Central limit theorem.”

**Lemma** (Kolmogorov’s inequality). Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables with  $E(X_i) = 0$  and  $E(X_i^2) < \infty$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2.$$

Note that  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2$  because of the independence of random variables (exercise!)

*Proof.* Let

$$S_k := \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$$

so that  $ES_k = 0$ .

Introduce (by fixed  $n$ )

$$A := \{w \in \Omega : \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| > \epsilon\}$$

and consider the events of ”first occurrence”

$$A_k := \{w \in \Omega : |S_i| \leq \epsilon \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1; |S_k| > \epsilon\}.$$

Then

$$A = \cup_{k=1}^n A_k$$

where all events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are disjoint events.

We can then calculate (in case of integrals; similar - in discrete case using sums):

$$\begin{aligned} ES_n^2 &\geq \int_A S_n^2 dP = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} S_n^2 dP = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} \left(S_k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i\right)^2 dP = \\ &\sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \int_{A_k} S_k^2 dP + 2 \int_{A_k} S_k \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i\right) dP + \int_{A_k} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i\right)^2 dP \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By independence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_k} S_k \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i \right) dP &= E[S_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i \right)] = \\ &= E[S_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] E \left[ \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the event  $A_k$  depends only on  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  and is independent of  $X_i, i = k+1, \dots, n$ .

Since

$$\int_{A_k} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^n X_i \right)^2 dP \geq 0,$$

it follows that

$$ES_n^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} S_k^2 dP \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A_k} \epsilon_k^2 dP = \epsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k) = \epsilon^2 P(A).$$

Now, we use the Kolmogorov's inequality to finish the proof of strong LLN.

We had:

$$P(N_r) \leq \lim_{\tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \sup_{m \geq \tilde{N}} \{w : |S_m(w) - S_{\tilde{N}}(w)| > \frac{1}{r}\} \right).$$

Noticing that the events

$$\left\{ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{w : |S_{\tilde{N}+k}(w) - S_{\tilde{N}}(w)| > \frac{1}{r} \} \right\}$$

will increase monotonically (over  $n$ ) to the event

$$\sup_{m \geq \tilde{N}} \{w : |S_m(w) - S_{\tilde{N}}(w)| > \frac{1}{r}\}$$

and using the continuity of  $P$ , we obtain by Kolmogorov's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} P(N_r) &\leq r^2 \lim_{\tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=\tilde{N}+1}^{\tilde{N}+n} E \left( \frac{X_k - \mu}{k} \right)^2 = \\ &= r^2 \lim_{\tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=\tilde{N}+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 1.** A monkey is trained to type, but he types at random, i.e. say there are  $k$  possible characters and the probability to hit any particular one is  $1/k$ . What is the probability that he will type a particular word consisting of  $N$  total characters if successive characters are independent? What if we let him try again?...and again?...and again?

◦ If we denote by  $X_i$  the outcome of the  $i$ -th experiment ( $i$ -th try of typing) so that  $X_i = 1$  if the word was typed correctly and  $X_i = 0$  if not, then by the strong LLN:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow (1/k)^N \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

with probability one.

Now we want to address the question about *the asymptotic distribution* of the sequence  $\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

To be more precise, define

$$Z_n := \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

(we just normalized  $\bar{X}_n$ )

**Theorem** (Central limit theorem). Assume that  $\{X_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E(X_i) = \mu, \text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$P(Z_n \leq a) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In other words, in limit the cdf of  $Z_n$  is *standard normal*.

In other words, for large  $n$ , we can say that  $\bar{X}_n$  has *approximately normal* distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ .

*Proof.* We use characteristic functions to prove the CLT. We write

$$Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

where  $Y_i = \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are independent random variables.

Let  $\phi(t)$  be the characteristic function of the random variable

$$(X_i - \mu), i = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows then that the random variable  $\frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$  has the characteristic function

$$\phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Since  $E(X_i^2) < \infty$ ,  $\phi(t)$  is differentiable at  $t = 0$  and can be written as (Taylor expansion):

$$\phi(t) = \phi(0) + \phi'(0)t + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(0)t^2 + o(t^2).$$

Since  $\phi'(0) = E(X - \mu)$ ,  $i^2\phi''(0) = E(X - \mu)^2$ , the last relation is written as

$$\phi(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2 + o(t^2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{t^2}{n} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{Z_n}(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_{Y_i}(t) = \left[\phi\left(\frac{t}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{t^2}{n} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)\right]^n = \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{t^2}{n}(1 + o(1))\right]^n = \left[1 + \frac{-\frac{1}{2}t^2(1 + o(1))}{n}\right]^n.\end{aligned}$$

It follows then that

$$\psi(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{Z_n}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 + \frac{-\frac{1}{2}t^2(1 + o(1))}{n}\right]^n = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}.$$

But:  $\psi(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

The Theorem is then proved if we use the following fact:

**Theorem** (Helly's theorem). Let  $F_n$  be a sequence of distribution functions and let  $\phi_n$  be the corresponding sequence of their characteristic functions. Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t) = \phi(t)$  exists for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi(t)$  is continuous at  $t = 0$ . Then  $\phi(t)$  is the characteristic function of a cdf  $F$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x)$  at every  $x$  where  $F(x)$  is continuous.