

# ESE 520 Probability and Stochastic Processes

## Lecture 3

”Probability measures on Borel sets. Random variables and their probability distributions: general theory”.

Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  (or any set from  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and let us look at some ways how we can construct a *probability measure* on  $\Omega$ .

**A.** ( a particular but important case) Assume  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any non-negative function satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx = 1$ . For any interval  $I$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  we define

$$P(I) := \int_I f(x)dx, \quad (1)$$

where the integral in (1) is a Riemann integral.

It follows then from the properties of the Riemann integral and those of the function  $f$  that the set function  $P$  in (1) will be a probability measure well-defined on all Borel sets.

*Exercise: Prove that  $P$  satisfies all three properties from the definition of a probability measure.*

Actually, in this case we have that  $P$  is defined for any subset from  $\mathbb{R}$ , not only a Borel subset.

**B.** (general case) Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-decreasing, right-continuous function satisfying  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$ . For any interval  $I = (a, b]$ , define

$$P(I) := F(b) - F(a). \quad (2)$$

It is not hard to verify that the set function  $P$  defined in (2) will be a *finite additive probability measure* when operating on the collection of intervals of the form  $(a, b]$  and all sets that can be obtained from them using finite number of unions, intersections, and complements of such intervals.

It is then a little bit more difficult task to show that the relation (2) will define the unique probability measure acting on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets

(that result is called the *Caratheodory extension theorem*). The later result is a cornerstone of the entire *measure theory*. In fact, the converse is then also true: any probability measure  $P$  defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  has the representation (2).

**Remark 1.** It follows from (2) that for  $I = (-\infty, b]$ , one has

$$P(I) = F(b).$$

Comparing (1) and (2), we see that the case A is a particular case of the general case B when

$$F(b) = \int_{-\infty}^b f(x)dx,$$

or, equivalently,

$$F'(b) = f(b)$$

meaning that the function  $F$  is differentiable and  $f$  is the derivative of  $F$ .

Actually, the case A above is usually referred in the probability theory to the case when  $P$  is *absolute continuous*.

**Remark 2.** Another particular case of the function  $F$  is the case when  $F$  is a piece-wise constant function with a *countable number of jumps*. It follows then from (2) that the measure  $P$  must be concentrated only on the countable number of points at which those jumps of  $F$  occur. The size of jump at a particular point of jump  $b$  is then  $F(b) - F(b-)$ .

An alternative way to describe the corresponding probability measure  $P$  would be the following: let  $D$  be the countable set of points from  $\mathbb{R}$  representing the points of jump of  $F$ . For any  $x \in D$ , let  $p(x) := F(x) - F(x-)$  so that  $0 \leq p(x) \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{x \in D} p(x) = 1$ . Then, for any subset  $I \subset D$ , we define a set function  $P$  as

$$P(I) := \sum_{x \in I} p(x). \tag{3}$$

Clearly,  $P$  will be a probability measure (we discussed it in Lecture 1) called in this case a *discrete probability measure* corresponding to  $F$ .

**Remark 3.** The cases of absolute continuous and discrete probability measures are the most important ones though there is one more class

of probability measures called *singular probability measures*. However, we won't discuss in this course singular probability measures (or singular probability distributions). We only mention that, as can be proven, any probability measure  $P$  defined on a Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}$  can be represented in a form

$$P = \alpha_1 P_1 + \alpha_2 P_2 + \alpha_3 P_3,$$

where  $P_1$  is an absolute continuous probability measure,  $P_2$  is a discrete probability measure, and  $P_3$  is a singular probability measure, and the real numbers  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  are such that

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1.$$

Now, after we discussed how a probability measure on the measurable space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  can be defined, we have to discuss what are the *random variables* in the probability theory and how to deal with them mathematically. After all, we have to understand what is meant if we read somewhere in a book "...let  $X$  be a random variable that has a geometric probability distribution" (or any other specified probability distribution as a matter of fact).

It should be clear that a random variable  $X$  must be a particular map  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ , where  $P$  is a probability measure on the sets from  $\mathcal{F}$ . What properties the map  $X$  should satisfy? It turns out that not too many because the most important property on  $X$  must be the one that we should be able to calculate probabilities of the form  $P(\omega : X(\omega) \in B)$ , say for any Borel set from  $\mathbb{R}$ . This leads to the following general

**Definition 1.** A map  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be a *random variable* if the inverse image of any Borel set  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an event in  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.

$$X^{-1}(B) := \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F} \tag{4}$$

for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Therefore, a random variable  $X$  is understood as a map between two measurable spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  satisfying the condition (4).  $X$

is then also called to be a *measurable map*. If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ , then  $X$  is a *measurable function*.

To study measurable maps acting between two measurable spaces, everything what one needs is in fact the definition of the inverse image of a set as defined in (4).

**Example 1.** Let  $D$  be a subset from  $\mathbb{R}$  and consider a map  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  meaning only that, for any  $x \in D$ , it holds that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now, for a subset  $A \subset D$ , we define

$$f(x) = \mathbf{1}_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{1}_A(x)$  is a map between  $D$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let us find the inverse image of  $\mathbf{1}_A^{-1}(B)$ , where  $B$  is any Borel set from  $\mathbb{R}$ .

By the definition of the inverse image, we have that

$$f^{-1}(B) = \mathbf{1}_A^{-1}(B) := \begin{cases} D, & 0, 1 \in B \\ A^c, & 0 \in B, 1 \notin B \\ A, & 0 \notin B, 1 \in B \\ \emptyset, & 0, 1 \notin B. \end{cases}$$

The following properties of inverse images can be proved directly by definition and are useful when working with measurable maps as random variables:

- i)  $X^{-1}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(B_i)$ ;
- ii)  $X^{-1}(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(B_i)$
- iii)  $X^{-1}(B^c) = (X^{-1}(B))^c$ ,

where  $X$  is any measurable map and  $B, B_i$  are arbitrary Borel sets.

**Remark 4.** It follows from the above three properties that the collection of subsets from  $\mathcal{F}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{F}^X := \{X^{-1}(B), B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$$

will be a  $\sigma$ -algebra called sometimes *the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X$* . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}^X \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  corresponding to the experiment if we roll a die once.

a) Consider a  $\sigma$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}\}.$$

Define a map  $X(w) = w$  (identity map). We see that

$$X^{-1}(\{3\}) = \{w \in \Omega : X(w) = 3\} = \{3\} \notin \mathcal{F}$$

so that  $X$  is not  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable.

b) Define

$$X(w) = \begin{cases} 0, & w = 1, 3, 5 \\ 1, & w = 2, 4, 6. \end{cases}$$

We can easily see that

$$\mathcal{F}^X = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}\}.$$

The value of  $X$  only tells us whether the result of the toss was even or odd but the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X$  exactly tells us WHEN it happened.

Now, formally we are ready to define what is called the *probability distribution* of a random variable  $X$ .

**Definition 2.** The set function  $P_X$  defined on Borel sets  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as

$$P_X(B) := P(w \in \mathcal{F}^X : X(w) \in B) \quad (5)$$

is said to be the *probability distribution of  $X$* .

We see from (5) that  $P_X$  is the image of the probability measure  $P$  restricted to the sets in  $\mathcal{F}^X$  in the measurable space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .

As indicated before, it is enough in fact to know  $P_X(B)$  *not for all Borel sets  $B$*  but for those from a *generating collection of sets  $\mathcal{A}$* . Then, instead of  $P_X(B)$  one has a function called the *cumulative distribution function* (cdf).

If  $n = 1$ , then one defines the cdf as

$$F_X(x) := P(w : X(w) \leq x) = P(w : X^{-1}((-\infty, x]) \neq \emptyset) \quad (6)$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $n \geq 2$ , one defines

$$F_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := P(w : X_i(w) \leq x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (7)$$

for all  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $F$  be a function defined as in (6). Then  $F$  is a non-decreasing, right-continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1.$$

Proof. We choose  $a < b$  so that  $(-\infty, a] \subset (-\infty, b]$  and since  $P$  is an increasing function

$$F(a) = P(X \leq a) \leq P(X \leq b) = F(b)$$

thus  $F$  is non-decreasing.

Now, choose a sequence  $a_n \downarrow a$  so that  $(-\infty, a_n] \downarrow \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a_n] = (-\infty, a]$ . Using the property of "continuity from below" of  $P$  discussed earlier we obtain that

$$F(a_n) = P(X \leq a_n) \rightarrow P(X \leq a) = F(a)$$

meaning that  $F$  is continuous from the right.

Choose  $a_n \uparrow +\infty$  so that  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a_n] = \mathbb{R}$ . By similar argument,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X \leq a_n) = P(X \in \mathbb{R}) = 1.$$

Choose  $a_n \downarrow -\infty$  so that  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a_n] = \emptyset$ . By similar argument,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = P(\emptyset) = 0.$$

We also note that  $F(x)$  is *not necessarily left-continuous*. To see that, we choose  $a_n \uparrow a$  so that  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, a_n] = (-\infty, a)$ . Then,

$$P(X \leq a_n) \rightarrow P(X < a) = F(a) - P(X = a)$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = F(a) - P(X = a).$$

It means that  $F$  will be left-continuous only if  $P(X = a) = 0$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem.** (Caratheodory extension theorem) Any function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  being a non-decreasing, right-continuous function with properties  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$  is the cdf of a random variable  $X$ .

In fact, one can construct then such  $X$  as  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), P_X)$ , where

$$X(w) = w, \Omega = \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), P = P_X$$

and

$$P_X((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$$

for all  $(a, b], a < b$ .

It can then be shown (not so trivial!) that  $P_X$  defined above is indeed a probability measure defined on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ .