

# ESE 520 Probability and Stochastic Processes

## Lecture 1

”Introduction. Probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ”.

- What is Probability theory as a part of mathematics? It is dealing with randomness as such and, in particular, helps us to rigorously understand how to calculate the chance of occurring of a particular event that might happen as a result of a ”random experiment”.

**Example 1.** Toss a coin  $n$  times ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). It is then easy to see how to calculate the related probability of a particular event. The experiment has *finitely many* possible elementary outcomes. More formally, the sample space of the experiment denoted here and later on by  $\Omega$  consists of

$$\Omega = \{w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)\},$$

where any  $w_i$  can have only two possible values, ”heads” or ”tails”. For simplicity, we can use the value 1 for ”heads” and the value 0 for ”tails” so that  $w_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If we assume that on any single toss the probability of having ”heads” is  $p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) so that the probability of having ”tails” is  $1 - p$  (or  $q := 1 - p$ ) and all tosses are assumed to be *independent*, we can find that the probability of any elementary outcome  $w \in \Omega$  of the experiment is given by

$$p(w) = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} (1 - p)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i}. \quad (1)$$

It is then not hard to see that

$$1) \ 0 \leq p(w) \leq 1, w \in \Omega,$$

$$2) \ \sum_{w \in \Omega} p(w) = 1.$$

For any subset  $A \subset \Omega$  (called then an *event*), we set

$$P(A) := \sum_{w \in A} p(w), \quad (2)$$

called the chance of occurring of event  $A$ .

Formula (2) defines then a measure on  $\Omega$  called a probability that allows us to calculate the chance of occurring of any event  $A$  as a subset of  $\Omega$ . The measure  $P$  in the example is concentrated on finitely many points  $w \in \Omega$  since  $\Omega$  consists of exactly  $2^n$  points (number of all possible vectors  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ , where any  $w_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

- The above example can be generalized to the case of any random experiment with infinitely many but *countable!* outcomes.

Recall: a countable set  $\Omega$  is a set of points that has as many elements as the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  so that, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\Omega = \{w_1, w_2, \dots\},$$

where any  $w_i$  denotes an elementary outcome of the experiment.

In that case, any sequence of numbers  $p(w_i), i = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfying two conditions

$$1) 0 \leq p(w_i) \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$2) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p(w_i) = 1,$$

will define a probability measure  $P$  on the subsets of  $\Omega$ :

$$P(A) = \sum_{w_i \in A} p(w_i),$$

where  $A$  is *any subset* of  $\Omega$ .

- Can the approach above be generalized to the case of a random experiment when  $\Omega$  has infinitely but *uncountable many* elementary outcomes? Some examples of uncountable sets would be  $[0, 1]$ ,  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $(-\infty, \infty)$  etc.

**Example 2.** ”Tossing a coin *infinitely* many times independently. The sample space  $\Omega$  of the experiment is then given as

$$\Omega = \{w = (w_1, w_2, \dots), w_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

In other words,  $\Omega$  consists of infinite sequences  $(w_1, w_2, \dots)$ , where any component  $w_i$  has the value 1 or 0.

- How many such sequences are there in  $\Omega$ ?

It turns out "too many".

It is known from the number theory that any real number  $w \in [0, 1]$  can be uniquely represented in the form (called the dyadic representation of  $w$ )

$$w = \frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{w_2}{2^2} + \frac{w_3}{2^3} + \dots,$$

where any  $w_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . From that fact, it follows that there is 1 : 1 relation between the set  $\Omega$  of the experiment and the interval of real numbers  $[0, 1]$ . However, the set  $[0, 1]$  has uncountable many points so must  $\Omega$ .

- The consequence from that when trying to construct a *probability measure*  $P$  on  $\Omega$  is the following:

We cannot prescribe probabilities  $p(w) > 0$  for all *single*  $w \in \Omega$  (or at least uncountable many of them from  $\Omega$ ) since it must hold then

$$\sum_{w \in [0,1]} p(w) = 1.$$

However, the last relation would be impossible unless  $p(w) = 0$  for uncountable many values  $w \in [0, 1]!$

In other words, it is then mathematically impossible to build an adequate probability measure  $P$  on an uncountable sample space  $\Omega$  prescribing initial probabilities  $p(w)$  to single elementary outcomes  $w$  from  $\Omega$ . In that case,  $\Omega$  is "too large" to follow a "countable" path of constructing  $P$ .

- What is the solution in the uncountable case of  $\Omega$ ? One prescribes then the "initial probabilities" not to single points from  $\Omega$  but to some "natural" sets from  $\Omega$ . Then, a probability measure  $P$  defined initially on those "natural sets" is *extended* to a bigger collection of subsets from  $\Omega$  all of which can be obtained from those "natural sets" using some standard

operations on sets. This typical procedure of construction of a probability measure (in fact, of any measure, not necessarily a probability measure) leads to the important concept of a  $\sigma$ -algebra as a collection of events (subsets of  $\Omega$ ) on which a probability measure  $P$  is defined.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Then, a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if

- i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- ii) if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^c := \{w \in \Omega : w \notin A\} \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- iii) if  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ;

**Example 3.** a) There exists always the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .

- b) For any subset  $A \subset \Omega$ , define  $\mathcal{F}_A := \{\emptyset, \Omega, A, A^c\}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}_A$  will be a  $\sigma$ -algebra called the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the set  $A$ .
- c) There exist always so-called *power  $\sigma$ -algebra*  $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega}$  as the collection of all possible subsets from  $\Omega$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{P}^{\Omega}$  will be the largest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ .

**Remark 1.** a) Any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is *closed* under all finite or countable infinite set-theoretical operations. Clearly, we could replace in the Definition 1 the operation of union by the operation on intersection.

- b) If in the Definition 1 we replace  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty}$  by  $\cup_{i=1}^n$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called *an algebra*.
- c) We also recall some additional operations on sets that might be useful:

$$A \setminus B := \{w \in \Omega : w \in A, w \notin B\} = A \cap B^c$$

called the difference of  $A$  and  $B$ ;

$$A \Delta B = A \setminus B \cup B \setminus A$$

called the symmetric difference of  $A$  and  $B$ ;

$$(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)^c = (\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c)$$

called the De Morgan's law (Exercise!).

- o Now, a pair  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  where  $\Omega$  is the sample space of a particular random experiment and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  as a collection of subsets from  $\Omega$

also called the events is typically called a *measurable space* (in measure theory). What is missing now is the component  $P$  called a probability measure (or a probability function or simply probability). What kind of "function" should be called a probability function?

**Definition 2.** (due to A.A. Kolmogoroff, 1933) Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ . A set function  $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *probability (measure)* on  $\mathcal{F}$  if it satisfies the following axioms:

- i)  $P(\Omega) = 1$  (normalization condition);
- ii) if  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}, i = 1, 2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint (do not have points in common), then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

( $\sigma$ -additivity condition)  $\circ$  If in the condition ii) we replace  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  by the finite union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , then  $P$  is called an additive function.

**Remark 2.** Clearly, the probability measure  $P$  we constructed in the case of a finite or infinite but countable sample space  $\Omega$ , satisfies the properties i) and ii) from Definition 2. In general, when  $\Omega$  is uncountable, our goal is then to construct a measure  $P$  which will satisfy those properties i) and ii) so that we can use that measure  $P$  as a probability measure.

- $\circ$  Before we address some details of construction of a probability measure  $P$ , let us mention some simple but useful properties/formulas of any probability function:
  - a) For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , it holds:  $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$  (complement rule); - Use:  $\Omega = A \cup A^c$ ;
  - b) For any sets  $A \subset B$ , it holds:  $P(A) \leq P(B)$  (monotonicity of probability function); Use:  $B = A \cup B \setminus A$ ;
  - c) For any sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , it holds:

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B);$$

(addition rule)

The next property is less trivial and we prove it as a statement:

d) A normalized finitely additive non-negative set function  $\mu$  is a probability function if and only if it satisfies the following property called the "continuity from below":

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A) \quad (3)$$

for any sequence of subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  such that  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  where  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ .

Proof. We have to show two directions. Assume first that  $\mu$  is a probability function (as defined in Definition 2) and the sequence  $A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  is as specified with  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ .

Define  $B_1 := A_1, B_n := A_n \setminus A_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots, A_0 = \emptyset$ .

We see that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , where the sets  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint (by construction) and  $B_n \in \mathcal{F}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Since  $P$  is a probability function thus  $\sigma$ -additive, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [P(A_n) - P(A_{n-1})] = \\ &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k [P(A_n) - P(A_{n-1})] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(A_k). \end{aligned}$$

For the opposite direction, assume that  $\mu$  is finitely additive and the condition (3) holds.

We choose an arbitrary sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  and define the new sequence of sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  as  $A_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ . Clearly, by construction,

$$A_n \subset A_{n+1}$$

so that  $A := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ .

We have then that

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i).$$