

# Final\_Report

2025-12-03

## Introduction

Introduction goes here... 1) An introduction that poses the research question. 2) Do not include a signposting paragraph. These are tedious and your paper should be well structured enough that it stands without one. 3) A justification – based on either previous research (theory), business intuition (inductive theory), or some other structure (lazy theory) – that informs your reader why you have chosen to conduct this specific experiment. 4) A specific statement of the hypotheses that are up for test and why you think they should show a difference (this comes from #3 above).

## Dog Adoption Field Experiment

### Potential Outcomes

Comparison of Potential Outcomes - A clear statement is made that describes what potential outcomes are going to be compared to which other. This could come in the form of an explicit appeal to the ROXO comparison, but it need not necessarily be. Clarity in this exposition is crucial.

### Randomization Process

Randomization Process - Given the design that you've written down, how will you actually go about creating random assignment into one or more of the groups? If this is not explicitly random, then detail what trade offs you are having to make. If you have any reason to doubt that randomization was not conducted according to plan – that is, it isn't random – then checks to evaluate any deviations should be presented, consequences for these deviations considered, and remedies – to the extent they are possible – proposed.

### Treatment

Treatment - What is the treatment? Specifics of the feature, or experience, or intervention should be provided somewhere in the document; frequently this works well in the main body; it can also work well in an appendix. If there was not perfect compliance to the treatment regime, then checks to evaluate deviations should be presented and deviations considered. To the extent that they are possible, remedies should be proposed.

### Experiment Population

Your report should make very clear who was considered for involvement in your experiment, who was assigned, and whose data will eventually be used. Consider, as an example, the flow chart on p. 439 of Gerber and Green. (Note that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration calls this information a CONSORT statement.

\*\* I'd say this is where we can talk about how we go from a shelter of 100+ dogs, down to ~60 dogs in our experiment... with the reason being: 1) A dog is not eligible for adoption until a surgery date is scheduled. 2) There are many types of dog intakes, including stray, which require at least a 10 day hold. 3) Since our treatment was to add a bio, we had to ignore any dogs with existing bios (ended up being ~30 dogs I think)

## Power Calculation

Power Calculation – Given pre-experiment assumptions about effect size and experiment size, how much power does the experiment anticipate generating.

## Analysis

### Data

Data: the data is clearly detailed, and the reader can understand at a conceptual and operational level - The outcome that is being measured and reasoned about - How the treatment that was described in the /Experimental Details/ section maps into the operational space for the models. - Important covariate features that will be used in the analysis.

### Models

Models: there is a clear, structured, and progressive plan for testing and reporting. - Data - Models use data from units that is appropriate for estimating the causal quantity of interest. - Design - Estimates for causal effects identify the same quantities that are designed for in the /Experiment Details/ section. - Models - Models first estimate simple “treatment-control” contrast, unadorned with additional model features intended to improve precision; - Models - To the extent that it was designed for, models increase precision of estimates using ‘good controls’ - If implicated in the Theory or Hypotheses, either HTE or subgroup analysis are handled appropriately.

\*\*Spoke with Clinton about this... He suggested running/displaying 3 models (ITT or IVreg... he said that we are good with using lm and not needing a log model, the lm coefficient will tell us the adaptability rate): 1) Simple model: Outcome ~ Treatment 2) Control Variables: Outcome ~ Treatment + Stigma + Complier 3) All Features: Outcome ~ Treatment + Stigma + Complier + Pretreat\_LOS + Pretreat\_views + Pretreat\_open + Age + Sex + Size + (include F-tests to see if the additional features add any value)

```
head(experiment_data)
```

```
##           DogName Stigma Treatment Outcome_Experiment Compliance
##           <char>  <int>     <num>          <int>      <int>
## 1: Chucky [Foster Home]    1       1            0        1
## 2: Sebastian             1       0            0        1
## 3: Woodstock              1       0            0        1
## 4: Goose                  1       1            0        1
## 5: Koko                   1       1            1        1
## 6: Zorua [Foster Home]    1       1            0        1
##   Pretreat_LOS Pretreat_views Pretreat_open
##   <num>          <num>          <num>
## 1:     416.0        3697         433
## 2:     361.7        6492        1182
## 3:     251.1        2319         399
## 4:     241.9        1688         274
## 5:     193.9        2092         260
## 6:     219.8        1947         191
##           Color Black_Dog     Age   Sex
##           <char>    <num> <char> <num>
## 1: Brown/Chocolate - with White      0 adult    1
## 2: Black - with Brown, Red, Golden, Orange or Chestnut      1 adult    1
## 3: Gray/Blue/Silver/Salt & Pepper      0 adult    1
## 4: Brindle                           0 adult    1
## 5: Gray/Blue/Silver/Salt & Pepper      0 adult    0
## 6: Black - with White                 0 adult    0
```

```

##      Size Housebroken Special_Needs  Kids  Dogs
##    <char>     <num>           <num> <num> <num>
## 1: medium        0            0     1     1
## 2: large         0            0     1     1
## 3: large         0            0     1     1
## 4: large         0            0     1     1
## 5: medium        0            0     1     1
## 6: medium        0            0     1     1

ITT Models:

# starting with ITT (intent to treat)
model_ITT_1 = lm(Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_ITT_1)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment, data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min    1Q Median    3Q   Max
## -0.4483 -0.4483 -0.3214  0.5517  0.6786
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.32143   0.09286   3.461  0.00105 ***
## Treatment    0.12685   0.13019   0.974  0.33415
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4914 on 55 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.01697,   Adjusted R-squared:  -0.0009054
## F-statistic: 0.9493 on 1 and 55 DF,  p-value: 0.3342

# starting with ITT (intent to treat)
# won't compliance add contamination from outcomes? since that's a post-assignment variable...
# excluded compliance for now.
model_ITT_2 = lm(Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment + Stigma, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_ITT_2)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment + Stigma, data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min    1Q Median    3Q   Max
## -0.5239 -0.4063 -0.2235  0.4761  0.7765
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.4063     0.1101   3.689 0.000524 ***
## Treatment    0.1176     0.1292   0.910 0.366749
## Stigma       -0.1827     0.1302  -1.404 0.166184
## ---

```

```

## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4871 on 54 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.05157,   Adjusted R-squared:  0.01644
## F-statistic: 1.468 on 2 and 54 DF,  p-value: 0.2394
# excluded compliance for now since it's a post-treatment variable
model_ITT_3 = lm(Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment + Stigma + Pretreat_LOS + Pretreat_views +
                  Pretreat_open + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_ITT_3)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment + Stigma + Pretreat_LOS +
##     Pretreat_views + Pretreat_open + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog,
##     data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:
##       Min     1Q Median     3Q    Max
## -0.5824 -0.3699 -0.1311  0.4548  0.7140
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 5.834e-01  2.424e-01   2.407  0.0211 *
## Treatment   1.106e-01  1.442e-01   0.767  0.4480
## Stigma      -1.291e-01  1.673e-01  -0.772  0.4451
## Pretreat_LOS -1.761e-03  1.616e-03  -1.090  0.2826
## Pretreat_views -4.596e-05  1.012e-04  -0.454  0.6524
## Pretreat_open  5.907e-04  6.974e-04   0.847  0.4023
## Agepuppy     5.778e-01  5.156e-01   1.121  0.2695
## Agesenior    -4.637e-01  5.264e-01  -0.881  0.3839
## Ageyoung     3.829e-02  1.685e-01   0.227  0.8215
## Sex          -2.073e-01  1.586e-01  -1.307  0.1990
## Sizemedium   7.253e-02  1.934e-01   0.375  0.7097
## Sizesmall    8.461e-01  5.460e-01   1.550  0.1295
## Black_Dog    -1.572e-01  1.656e-01  -0.949  0.3486
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4799 on 38 degrees of freedom
## (6 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2485, Adjusted R-squared:  0.01123
## F-statistic: 1.047 on 12 and 38 DF,  p-value: 0.4287
# made a fourth, slightly simpler model because I don't like including views/clickthrough rates. Feels

model_ITT_4 = lm(Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment + Stigma + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_ITT_4)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ Treatment + Stigma + Age +
##     Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)

```

```

## 
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median      3Q     Max 
## -0.66124 -0.38190 -0.09678  0.48070  0.71044
## 
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)    
## (Intercept) 0.46847   0.21796   2.149   0.0368 *  
## Treatment   0.09227   0.12940   0.713   0.4793    
## Stigma      -0.28512   0.13975  -2.040   0.0470 *  
## Agepuppy    0.52683   0.35810   1.471   0.1479    
## Agesenior   -0.60587   0.50438  -1.201   0.2357    
## Ageyoung    0.05537   0.14814   0.374   0.7103    
## Sex         -0.17884   0.14439  -1.239   0.2216    
## Sizemedium  0.13740   0.17617   0.780   0.4393    
## Sizesmall   0.94012   0.52103   1.804   0.0776 .  
## Black_Dog   -0.16237   0.14904  -1.089   0.2815    
## --- 
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## 
## Residual standard error: 0.4695 on 47 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.233, Adjusted R-squared:  0.0861 
## F-statistic: 1.586 on 9 and 47 DF,  p-value: 0.1471

```

#### Instrumental Variable Models:

```

# IV regression data prep
treatmentgrp_and_treated = (experiment_data$Treatment == 1 & experiment_data$Compliance == 1)

controlgrp_and_treated = (experiment_data$Treatment == 0 & experiment_data$Compliance == 0)

experiment_data$actually_treated = (treatmentgrp_and_treated | controlgrp_and_treated)

head(experiment_data)

```

```

##           DogName Stigma Treatment Outcome_Experiment Compliance
##             <char>  <int>     <num>          <int>      <int>
## 1: Chucky [Foster Home]     1       1              0        1
## 2: Sebastian                 1       0              0        1
## 3: Woodstock                  1       0              0        1
## 4: Goose                      1       1              0        1
## 5: Koko                       1       1              1        1
## 6: Zorua [Foster Home]      1       1              0        1
##   Pretreat_LOS Pretreat_views Pretreat_open
##             <num>        <num>        <num>
## 1:      416.0        3697        433
## 2:      361.7        6492       1182
## 3:      251.1        2319        399
## 4:      241.9        1688        274
## 5:      193.9        2092        260
## 6:      219.8        1947        191
##           Color Black_Dog     Age   Sex
##             <char>     <num> <char> <num>
## 1: Brown/Chocolate - with White        0 adult     1

```

```

## 2: Black - with Brown, Red, Golden, Orange or Chestnut      1  adult    1
## 3:                      Gray/Blue/Silver/Salt & Pepper      0  adult    1
## 4:                                Brindle      0  adult    1
## 5:                      Gray/Blue/Silver/Salt & Pepper      0  adult    0
## 6:                Black - with White      0  adult    0
##           Size Housebroken Special_Needs  Kids Dogs actually_treated
##     <char>      <num>          <num> <num> <num>      <lgcl>
## 1: medium        0            0     1     1      TRUE
## 2: large         0            0     1     1     FALSE
## 3: large         0            0     1     1     FALSE
## 4: large         0            0     1     1      TRUE
## 5: medium        0            0     1     1      TRUE
## 6: medium        0            0     1     1      TRUE

# check how many non-compliers overall. Only six because we filtered out dogs assigned to control who e
table(experiment_data$Compliance)

```

```

##
## 0 1
## 6 51

```

IV Regression Models:

```

model_IV_1 = ivreg(Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated | Treatment, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_IV_1)

```

```

##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated | Treatment,
##       data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:
##   Min     1Q Median     3Q    Max
## -0.4814 -0.3214 -0.3214  0.5186  0.6786
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.32143   0.09473   3.393  0.00129 **
## actually_treatedTRUE 0.15994   0.16746   0.955  0.34371
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.5013 on 55 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: -0.02307,   Adjusted R-squared: -0.04167
## Wald test: 0.9122 on 1 and 55 DF,  p-value: 0.3437

```

```

model_IV_2 = ivreg(Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated + Stigma | Treatment + Stigma, data = experiment_data)

```

```

summary(model_IV_2)

##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated + Stigma |
##       Treatment + Stigma, data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:

```

```

##      Min     1Q   Median     3Q     Max
## -0.5608 -0.4135 -0.2152  0.5865  0.7848
##
## Coefficients:
##                               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)            0.4135    0.1070   3.864 0.000301 ***
## actually_treatedTRUE  0.1473    0.1644   0.896 0.374159
## Stigma                -0.1983    0.1325  -1.497 0.140255
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4948 on 54 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.0215, Adjusted R-squared: -0.01474
## Wald test: 1.423 on 2 and 54 DF, p-value: 0.2499
model_IV_3 = ivreg(Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated + Stigma + Pretreat_LOS + Pretreat_views +
                    Pretreat_open + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog | Treatment + Stigma + Pretreat_LOS + Pretreat_views +
                    Pretreat_open + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_IV_3)

##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated + Stigma +
##        Pretreat_LOS + Pretreat_views + Pretreat_open + Age + Sex +
##        Size + Black_Dog | Treatment + Stigma + Pretreat_LOS + Pretreat_views +
##        Pretreat_open + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min     1Q   Median     3Q     Max
## -0.5824 -0.3699 -0.1311  0.4548  0.7140
##
## Coefficients:
##                               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)            5.834e-01  2.424e-01   2.407  0.0211 *
## actually_treatedTRUE  1.106e-01  1.442e-01   0.767  0.4480
## Stigma                -1.291e-01  1.673e-01  -0.772  0.4451
## Pretreat_LOS           -1.761e-03  1.616e-03  -1.090  0.2826
## Pretreat_views          -4.596e-05  1.012e-04  -0.454  0.6524
## Pretreat_open           5.907e-04  6.974e-04   0.847  0.4023
## Agepuppy               5.778e-01  5.156e-01   1.121  0.2695
## Agesenior              -4.637e-01  5.264e-01  -0.881  0.3839
## Ageyoung                3.829e-02  1.685e-01   0.227  0.8215
## Sex                     -2.073e-01  1.586e-01  -1.307  0.1990
## Sizemedium              7.253e-02  1.934e-01   0.375  0.7097
## Sizesmall               8.461e-01  5.460e-01   1.550  0.1295
## Black_Dog               -1.572e-01  1.656e-01  -0.949  0.3486
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4799 on 38 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.2485, Adjusted R-squared: 0.01123
## Wald test: 1.047 on 12 and 38 DF, p-value: 0.4287

```

```

# made a fourth, slightly simpler model because I don't like including views/clickthrough rates. Feels
model_IV_4 = ivreg(Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated + Stigma + Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog | Treatment + Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)

summary(model_IV_4)

##
## Call:
## ivreg(formula = Outcome_Experiment ~ actually_treated + Stigma +
##       Age + Sex + Size + Black_Dog | Treatment + Stigma + Age +
##       Sex + Size + Black_Dog, data = experiment_data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min      1Q Median      3Q      Max
## -0.6660 -0.3715 -0.1040  0.4559  0.7272
##
## Coefficients:
##                               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)             0.46491   0.22111   2.103   0.0409 *
## actually_treatedTRUE  0.11505   0.16267   0.707   0.4829
## Stigma                 -0.29449   0.14058  -2.095   0.0416 *
## Agepuppy               0.57098   0.36965   1.545   0.1291
## Agesenior              -0.61046   0.50747  -1.203   0.2350
## Ageyoung                0.05554   0.14937   0.372   0.7117
## Sex                    -0.18144   0.14558  -1.246   0.2188
## Sizemedium              0.14555   0.17611   0.826   0.4127
## Sizesmall               0.95548   0.52745   1.812   0.0765 .
## Black_Dog              -0.15626   0.15133  -1.033   0.3071
##
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.4734 on 47 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-Squared: 0.2203, Adjusted R-squared: 0.07101
## Wald test:  1.56 on 9 and 47 DF, p-value: 0.1552

```

To do in model section:

- (1) Decide if we are doing IV reg or ITT
- (2) F-tests

## Results

Tables: The findings of the experiment can be read through a limited number of tables. Tables: - Communicate a specific point - Are titled, have axes labeled, and legends included. - Include a caption that is informative enough that the figure is readable without reading more than the Abstract of the paper and knowing the treatments and outcome measures.

Supporting Figures: - Communicate a specific point - Are titled, have axes labeled, and legends included. - Include a caption that is informative enough that the figure is readable without reading more than the Abstract of the paper and knowing the treatments and outcome measures.

\*\*Clinton suggested: - SJ plot - Box plot - Scatter plot with mean adoption rate for treatment/control

## Lessons Learned and Further Research Suggestions