Contents

1	Pre 1.1 1.2	Ref	3 3								
Ι	Re	eview of learnt	5								
2	Definition of complex numbers 7										
	2.1	Def: complex conjugation	7								
	2.2	Def:absolute value	8								
	2.3	Def: division	8								
3	Geo	Geometry picture of complex numbers 9									
	3.1	Some inequalities	9								
		3.1.1 Cauchy's inequality	9								
4	Topology and metrics on $\mathbb C$										
	4.1^{-}	Basic definitions	11								
	4.2	Notations	12								
5	Cor	Compactness 13									
	5.1	Theorem	13								
	5.2	Theorem	14								
	5.3	Def	15								
	5.4	Lemma	15								
	5.5	Theorem	15								
	5.6	Lemma	15								
	5.7	Corollary	15								
	5.8	Continuous mapping	16								
	5.9	Lemma	16								
	5.10	Theorem	16								
	5.11	Corollary	16								
		Theorem	16								
		5.19.1 Proof	17								

2		CONTENTS

Path connected and homotopy		a connected and homotopy	f
Path connected and homotopy	- v	Def	

Preface

1.1 Ref

- Ahlfors: Complex analysis.
- 谭小江, 伍胜健复变函数简明教程
- Stein,? complex analysis.(extra exercises)

1.2 A brief history of complex analysis

Complex analysis refers studies on functions of complex variables, emerged in the 19th century. Cauchy proposed Cauchy 's integral theorem (1825) and the concept of residues. Riemann defined the Riemann Surface, which enlarge complex analysis to geometry field. Besides, he defined Riemann zeta function. And he gave Riemann mapping theorem. Weirstrass use power series to approach complex analysis.

Complex analysis also deeply connects to other filed in math.

- It's essential to analysis geometry and complex geometry.
- Provide powerful tool to research prime numbers.
- In dynamics, complex dynamics is active.
- Deep connected with topology of 3-manifold.
- Deep connection with harmonic analysis (Fourier analysis).

Part I Review of learnt

Definition of complex numbers

 \mathbb{R} denotes the real numbers. Some polynomials equation like $x^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solutions in \mathbb{R} . So we formally introduce the number i (an imaginary number) s.t.

$$i^1 + 1 = 0$$

A complex number z = a + bi, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\mathbb{C} = \{ z = a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

 \mathbb{C} is called complex plane. The real numbers a,b are called the real and imaginary part of z respectively. Denoted by $\Re z$, $\Im z$

Similar with to \mathbb{R} , we can define a field structure on \mathbb{C} .

Addition

$$(a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) + (b+d)i$$

Multiplication

$$(a+bi)\cdot(c+di) = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i$$

To verify \mathbb{C} a field, we need to show $\forall z \neq 0, \exists z^{-1}$

2.1 Def: complex conjugation

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The complex conjugation \overline{z} of z = a + bi is

$$\overline{z} = a - bi$$

Ones can verify are

$$\overline{z+w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w}$$

$$\overline{zw} = \overline{zw}$$

As a corollary, we consider a polynomial equation

$$a_n z^n + \dots + a_0 = 0$$
 $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$

. If z is a root, then \overline{z} a root for:

$$\overline{a_n}z^n + \dots + \overline{a_0} = 0$$

In particular, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then \overline{z} is also a solution to original equation.

2.2 Def:absolute value

The absolute value of complex number z is defined as:

$$|z| := \sqrt{z \cdot \overline{z}} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$

one can verify:

$$|zw| = |z| \cdot |w|$$
$$|z + w|^{2} = |z|^{2} + |w|^{2} + 2\Re(z\overline{w})$$
$$|z - w|^{2} = |z|^{2} + |w|^{2} - 2\Re(z\overline{w})$$

2.3 Def: division

Let
$$z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\frac{z_1}{z_2} := \frac{z_1 \overline{z_2}}{|z_2|^2}$$

In particular, if z = a + bi

$$z^{-1} = \frac{\overline{z}}{\left|z\right|^2}$$

Geometry picture of complex numbers

We can identify $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ as \mathbb{R} -vector space, by using z=a+bi. We can also use the polar coordinates write $z=r(\cos\theta+i\sin\theta)$, where $r=|z|,\,\theta$ is called the argument of z. Then conjugation flip z along real axis. Addition is the same with vectors' addition. Multiplication multiplicate the length of vector and rotate the vector by the other's argument.

Consider the equation $z^n=1,\ n\geq 1.$ The solution of it is called *n*-th root of unity.

3.1 Some inequalities

By the definition of absolute value

$$-|z| \le \Re z \le |z|$$
$$-|z| \le \Im z \le |z|$$

The equality $\Re z = |z|$ iff z is a non-negative real number. Since $Re(z\overline{w}) \leq |z| |w|$ recall for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|z + w|^2 = |z|^2 + |w|^2 + 2\Re(z\overline{w})$$

Then we get triangle inequality:

$$|z + w| \le |z| + |w|$$

3.1.1 Cauchy's inequality

Let $n \geq 1$, then

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k w_k \right|^2 \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |w_k|^2 \right)$$

with the equality holds iff $\exists t \in \mathbb{C}, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n, z_k + t\overline{w_k} = 0$

Proof

Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$ be any complex number

$$0 \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k + t\overline{w_k}|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k|^2 + |t|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} |w_k|^2 + 2\Re(\overline{t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k w_k)$$

choose $t = \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} z_k w_k}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} |w_k|^2}$ Then we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k|^2 = \frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k w_k\right|^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} |w_k|^2} \ge 0$$

The condition of equality \Leftarrow the equality $0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k + t\overline{w_k}|$

Topology and metrics on $\mathbb C$

4.1 Basic definitions

Recall that a topology space is a set X equipped with a collection of subsets of X as open sets, satisfying:

- X and \varnothing are open.
- Arbitrary union of open sets is open
- Finite intersection of open sets is open.

A closed set is by definition the complement of an open set.

A metric space is a pair (X, d), where X be a set and $d: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ a mapping s.t.

- $d(x,x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$
- $d(x,y) > 0 \quad \forall x \neq y \in X$
- d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- $d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y)$

let $x \in X, r > 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the set

$$\mathcal{B}(x,r) := \{ y \in X \mid d(x,y) < r \}$$

is called an open ball. We say a subset $N\subseteq X$ is a neighborhood of x if N contains an open ball centered at x. A subset N is open if $\forall x\in N$ N is a neighborhood of x

Remark

For any subset $N \subseteq X$ (N,d) is a metric space. The diameter of X:

$$diamX := \sup_{x,y \in X} d(x,y)$$

X is bounded if $diam X < +\infty$. A sequence of points x_n in X is called converges to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$. A sequence (x_n) is called Cauchy sequence if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \geq 1$ s.t. $\forall n > m \geq N, d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$

The metric space is called complete if any Cauchy sequence converges.

4.2 Notations

 $N \subseteq X$ any subset.

• \mathring{N} the interior of N, is the maximal open subset contained in N, i.e.

 \mathring{N} = union of all open subsets in N

- \overline{N} the closure of N, the minimal closed set contains N.
- ∂N the **boundary** of N,

$$\partial:=\overline{N}\setminus\mathring{N}$$

let $N\subseteq X$. A point $x\in X$ is a limit point of N if $x\in \overline{N}\Leftrightarrow$ this means \exists sequence (x_n) in N s.t. $x_n\to x$ $(\lim_{n\to +\infty}d(x_n,x)=0)$

• We say $x \in X$ is called an **isolated** point if \exists an open ball $\mathcal{B}(x,r)$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{B}(x,r) \cap X = \{x\}$$

- We say X is connected if X is not a disjoint union of non-empty open subsets.
- a point $x \in X$ is called a **limit point** of N if $x \in \overline{N} \Leftrightarrow$ this means \exists sequence (x_n) in \mathbb{N} s.t. $x_n \to x$ $(\lim_{n \to +\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0)$

Compactness

An open cover of X is a collection of open sets $\{U_{\alpha}\}, X = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$

X is called totally bounded if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists$ finite open cover using ϵ -radius balls. It's clear that totally bounded set is bounded.

The metric space X is called compact if every open cover of X has a finite sub-cover.

5.1 Theorem

A metric space X is compact \Leftrightarrow X is complete and totally bounded.

Proof

 \Rightarrow

For completeness, assuming X isn't. Then exists a Cauchy sequence (x_n) doesn't converges. Then $\forall y \in X, x_n \not\to y$. Then $\exists r > 0$ s.t. $\cup_y := \mathcal{B}(y,r)$ then \cup_y contains finite many elements. Then we get an open cover $\{\cup_y\}_{y \in X}$. For X compact, we can get a finite subcover.

$$X = \bigcup_{y \in F} \cup_y$$

where F finite. In particular $x_n \in \bigcup_{y \in F} \cup_y$ so $X_n = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains finite many elements. But finite Cauchy sequence converges. Contradiction.

For total boundence. For every $\epsilon > 0, y \in X$ let $\cup_y := \mathcal{B}(y,\epsilon)$ Then $\{\cup_y\}_{y \in X}$ is an open cover. For compactness, we get a finite subcover $X \subseteq \bigcap_{y \in F} \cup_y$ so X totally bounded.

 \Leftarrow

Assume X is complete and totally bounded Assume X is not compact. Then \exists open cover $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ s.t. $\not\exists$ finite subcover. For totally bounded, $X = \bigcap_{x \in F} \mathcal{B}(x,1)$ F finite. Then consider the index in F s.t. $\mathcal{B}(x,1) \neq \bigcup_{\alpha \in E} \cup_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{B}(x,1)$ Then exist x_0 s.t. $\mathcal{B}(x_0,1)$ can not be covered by finite many \cup_{α} So $\exists x_1 \in \mathcal{B}(x_0,1)$ s.t. $\mathcal{B}(x_1,2^{-1})$ cannot be covered by finite many \cup_{α} . Inductively, we get a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < 2^{-n}$$

which means (x_n) is Cauchy sequence. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}(x_n, 2^{-n})$ can't be covered by finite many \cup_{α} . By completeness, $(x_n) \to y \in X$ Let U be an open set s.t. $U \in \{U_{\alpha}\}$ and $y \in U$. Then for n large

$$\mathcal{B}(x_n, 2^{-n}) \subseteq U$$

contradiction.

5.2 Theorem

A metric space X. Compact is equiv with Cauchy compact.

Proof

 \Leftarrow

Assume X Cauchy compact. We prove it by prove X complete and totally bounded

For a Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges iff \exists subsequence (x_{n_i}) converges. This means every sequence in X converges, meaning X complete.

Assume X isn't totally bounded. Then $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. X isn't covered by finite ϵ -balls. We inductively construct a sequence (x_n) as following: We choose x_{n+1} s.t. $x_{n+1} \not\in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{B}(x_k, \epsilon)$ It doesn't have a subsequence convergent. Contradiction.

 \Rightarrow

Assume that $\exists (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ divergent. Then $\forall y\in X, \exists r>0$ s.t.

$$\bigcup_{y} := \mathcal{B}(y,r)$$

 \cup_y contains finite points in $\{x_n\}$. Then consider the open cover $\{\cap_y\}_{y\in X}$. According to compactness, extract a finite sub-cover: $X\subseteq\bigcup_{y\in F}\cup_y$. Then $\{x_n\}$ a

finite set, which means (x_n) has a convergent subsequence. For Cauchy sequence this implies convergence. Contradiction.

5.3. DEF 15

5.3 Def

Consider $X = \mathbb{C} \ \forall z, w \in \mathbb{C}$

$$d(z, w) := |z - w|$$

open balls in \mathbb{C} is called open disks $\mathcal{D}(z,r)$

$$\mathbb{D} := D(0,1)$$

is called unit disk.

5.4 Lemma

A sequence $z_n \to z$ in $\mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow$

- $\Re z_n \to \Re z$
- $\Im z_n \to = \Im z$

5.5 Theorem

 $\mathbb C$ is complete.

Proof

This follows \mathbb{R} is complete and the Lemma above.

5.6 Lemma

A bounded subset in \mathbb{C} is totally bounded.

Proof

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ bounded. $\exists R > 0$ s.t. $K \subseteq \mathcal{D}(0,R)$. It suffice to show $\mathcal{D}(0,R)$ is totally bounded. It's clear, since $\mathcal{D}(0,R)$ can be covered by finitely many ϵ -balls.

5.7 Corollary

A subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is compact \Leftrightarrow K is bounded and K is closed.

Proof

K is compact \Leftrightarrow K is totally bounded and complete. Since $\mathbb C$ complete, K is complete iff K is closed. Then K compact \Leftrightarrow K closed and bounded.

5.8 Continuous mapping

 $f: X \to Y$ between metric space is continuous if \forall open set $U \subseteq Y$ $f^{-1}(U)$ is open

A homomorphism $f: X \to Y$ continuous and f^{-1} is also continuous.

5.9 Lemma

Let $f: X \to Y$ between metric space f is continuous $\Leftrightarrow \forall$ sequence (x_n) in $X x_n \to x \Rightarrow f(x_n) \to f(x)$

5.10 Theorem

 $f:X\to Y$ continuous mapping between metric spaces. Let $K\subseteq X$ compact. Then f(K) is compact in Y.

Proof

Any open cover $\{V_{\alpha}\}$ of f(K) induces an open cover $U_{\alpha} := f^{-1}V_{\alpha}$ of K. Since K is compact, \exists finite set F s.t.

$$K = \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} U_{\alpha}$$

then

$$f(K) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} V_{\alpha}$$

so f(K) is compact.

5.11 Corollary

Let X compact metric space. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous function. Then f(X) can take maximal and minimal values.

Proof

f(x) is compact in \mathbb{R}

5.12 Theorem

 $f: X \to Y$ continuous. If X is connected, then f(X) is connected.

5.13. DEF 17

5.12.1 Proof

Assume that $f(X)=A\cup B$, with A, B non-empty and disjoint. Then $X=f^{-1}(A)\cup f^{-1}(B)$ is a union of non-empty open sets, meaning X not connected.

5.13 Def

Let $f:X\to Y$ continuous mapping f is called uniformly continuous if $\forall \epsilon>0, \exists \delta>0$ s.t. if $d(x,y)<\delta$, then $d(f(x),f(y))<\epsilon$.

5.14 Theorem

Let $f:X\to Y$ continuous. X compact. Then f uniformly continuous.

Path connected and homotopy

A curve in \mathbb{C} is a continuous mapping $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$

6.1 Def

A subseteq $S\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ is called path-connected if $\forall z,w\in S,\exists\gamma:[a,b]\to S$ curve s.t. $\gamma(a)=z,\gamma(b)=w$

6.2 Theorem

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open set. U is connected \Leftrightarrow path connected.

Proof

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ open $\forall z \in U$ let

 $V_z := \{ \text{points} w \in U \text{ s.t.} \exists \text{curve connecting } z, w \}$

Since every open disk is path connected, V_z is open, $U \setminus V_z$ is open.

 \Rightarrow

Assume U not path connected. Then $\exists z \in U \text{ s.t. } V_z \neq U$. Let $V_1 := V_z, V_2 := U \setminus V_z$. Then V_1, V_2 are non-empty open disjoint sets, then U not connected. Contradiction.

 \Leftarrow

Assume U not connected. We can write

$$U = V_1 \cup V_2$$

 V_1, V_2 non-empty and disjoint open sets. Let $z \in V_1, w \in V_2$ and $\gamma : [a, b] \to U$ curve $\gamma(a) = z, \gamma(b) = w$. Let

$$I_1 := \gamma^{-1} V_1 \quad I_2 := \gamma^{-1} V_2$$

 I_1, I_2 open non-empty and disjoint and $[a,b] \cup I_1, I_2$, telling [a,b] not connected. Contradiction.

6.2.1 Remark

This conclusion isn't true in general when U is not open. Consider

$$S: \{iy \mid |y| \leq 1\} \cup \{x+i \sin \frac{1}{x} \mid 0 < x \leq 1\}$$

S closed. Try to prove:

- S connected
- S not path connected