Contents

Part I

Set

Chapter 1

Ring

1.1 morphism

Def

Let A and B be unitary rings .We call morphism of unitary rings from A to B .only mapping $A \to B$is a morphism of group from (A,+) to (B,+),and a morphism of monoid from $(A, \cdot) \; to \; (B, \cdot)$

Properties

- Let R be a unitary ting. There is a unique morphism from $\mathbb{Z} \; to \; R$
-

algebra

we call k-algebra any pair(R,f),when R is a unitary ring ,and $f : k \to R$ is a morphism of unitary rings such that $\forall (b, x) \in k \times R, f(b)x = xf(b)$

Example: For any unitary ring R,the unique morphism of unitary rings $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ define a structure of $\mathbb{Z} - algebra$ on R (extra: $\mathbb{Z}$ is commutative despite R isn't guaranteed)

Notation: Let k be a commutative unitary ring ,(A,f) be a k-algebra. If there is no ambiguity on f,for any$(\lambda, a) \in k \times A$,we denote $f(\lambda)a \; as \; \lambda a$

Formal power series

reminder: $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is possible infinite ,so $\sum\limits_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ couldn't be executed directly.
Def:

(extended polynomial actually) Let k be a commutative unitary ring. Def : Let T be a formal symbol.We denote $k^{\mathbb{N}}$ as $k[T]$ If $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an element of $k^{\mathbb{N}}$,when we denote $k^{\mathbb{N}}$ as k[T] this element is denote as $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n T^n$ Such element is called a formal power series over k and a_n is called the Coefficient of T^n of this formal power series Notation:

- omit terms with coefficient O
- write T' as T
- omit Coefficient those are 1;
- omit T^0

Example $1T^0 + 2T^1 + 1T^2 + 0T^3 + ... + 0T^n + ...$ is written as $1 + 2T + T^2$

Def Remind that k[T]=$\{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n T^n \mid (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in k^{\mathbb{N}}\}$,define two composition laws on k[T]

$\forall F(T) = a_0 + a_+1T + ... \quad G(T) = b_0 + ...$

let $F + G = (a_0 + b_0) + ...$

$FG = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sum\limits_{i+j=n} (a_i b_j)T^n$

Properties:

- $(k[T], +, \cdot)$form a commutative unitary ring.
- $k \to k[T] \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda T$ is a morphism
- $(FG)H = \left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sum\limits_{i+j=n} (a_i b_j)T^n\right) (\sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n T^n) = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum\limits_{p,q,l=n} a_p b_q c_l\right) T^n$
  is a trick applied on integral

Derivative:

let $F(T) \in k[T]$

We denote by $F'(T)$ *or* $\mathcal{D}(F(T))$ the formal power series

$\mathcal{D}(F) = \sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (n+1)a_{n+1}T^n$

Properties:

- $\mathcal{D}(k[T], +) \to (k[T], +)$ is a morphism of groups
- $\mathcal{D}(FG) = F'G + FG'$

exp

We denote $exp(T) \in k[T]$ as $\sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!}T^n$,which fulfil the differential equation $\mathcal{D}(exp(T)) = exp(T)$(interesting)

Cauchy sequence: $(F_i(T))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in k[T],and $F(T) \in k[T]$We say that $(F_i(T))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence if $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}$,there exists $N(l) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall (i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2_{\geq N(l)}, ord(F_i(T) - F_j(T)) \geq l$

Part II

Sequences

Chapter 2

Supremum and infimum

Def:

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set A and Y be subsets of X,such that $A \subseteq Y$

- If the set $\{y \in Y \mid \forall a \in A, a \leq Y\}$has a least element then we say that A has a Supremum in Y with respect to $\leq$ denoted by $sup_{(y,\leq)}A$ this least element and called it the Supremum of A in Y(this respect to $\leq$)
- If the set $\{y \in Y \mid \forall a \in A, y \leq a\}$ has a greatest element, we say that A has n infimum in Y with respect to $\leq$ .We denote by $inf_{(y,\leq)}A$ this greatest element and call it the infimum of A in Y
- Observation: $inf_{(Y,\leq)}A = sup_{(Y,\geq)}A$

Notation:

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set,I be a set.

- If f is a function from I to X sup f denotes the supremum of f(I) is X.inf $f$takes the same
- If $(x_i)_{i \in I} is\ a\ family\ of\ element\ in\ X, then\ \sup_{i \in I} x_i\ denotes\ \sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}(in X)$

If moreover $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$ denotes a statement depending on a parameter in I then $\sup_{i \in I, \mathbb{P}(i)} x_i$ denotes $\sup\{x_i \mid i \in I, \mathbb{P}(i)\ holds\}$

Example:

Let $A = x \in R \mid 0 \leq x < 1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ We equip $\mathbb{R}$ with the usual order relation.

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in A, x \leq y\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y \geq 1\}$$

So $\sup A = 1$

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in A, y \leq x\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y \geq 0\}$$

Hence $\inf A = 0$
Example: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,let $x_n = (-1)^n \in R$

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \inf_{k\in\mathbb{N},k\geq n} x_k = -1$$

Proposition:

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set,A,Y,Z be subset of X,such that $A \subseteq Z \subseteq Y$

- If max A exists,then is is also equal to $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A$
- If $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A$ exists and belongs to Z, then it is equal to $\sup A$

inf takes the same Prop.

Let $X, \leq$ be a partially ordered set ,A,B,Y be subsets of X such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq Y$

- If $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A$ and $\sup_{(y,\leq)} B$ exists,then $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A \leq \sup_{(y,\leq)} B$
- If $\inf_{(y,\leq)} A$ and $\inf_{(y,\leq)} B$ exists,then $\inf_{(y,\leq)} A \geq \inf_{(y,\leq)} B$

Prop.

Let $(X, \leq)$be a partially ordered set ,I be a set and $f, g : I \to X$ be mappings such that $\forall t \in I, f(t) \leq g(t)$

- If $\inf f$ and $\inf g$ exists,then $\inf f \leq \inf g$
- If $\sup f$ and $\sup g$ exists,then $\sup f \leq \sup g$

Chapter 3

Interval

We fix a totally ordered set $(X, \leq)$

Notation:

If $(a, b) \in X \times X$ such that $a \leq b$,[a,b] denotes $\{x \in X \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$

Def:

Let $I \subseteq X$. If $\forall (x, y) \in I \times I$ with $x \leq y$,one has $[x, y] \subseteq I$ then we say that I is a interval in X

Example:

Let $(a, b) \in X \times X$, such that $a \leq b$ Then the following sets are intervals

- $]a, b[:= \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$
- $[a, b[:= \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$
- $]a, b] := \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$

Prop.

Let Λ be a non-empty set and $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of intervals in X.

- $\bigcap\limits_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ is a interval in X
- If $\bigcap\limits_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda \neq \varnothing$, $\bigcup\limits_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ is a interval in X

We check that $[a, b] \subseteq I_\lambda \cup I_] \mu$

- If $b \leq x \quad [a, b] \subseteq [a, x] \subseteq I_\lambda$ because $\{a, x\} \subseteq I_\lambda$
- If $x \leq a \quad [a, b] \subseteq [x, b] \subseteq I_\mu$ because $\{b, x\} \subseteq I_\mu$
- If a < x < b then $[a, b] = [a, x] \cup [x, b] \subseteq I_\lambda \cup I_\mu$

Def:

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a totally ordered set .I be a non-empty interval of X. If $\sup I$ exists in X, we call $\sup I$ the right endpoint; inf takes the similar way.

Prop.

Let I be an interval in X.

- Suppose that $b = \sup I$exists. $\forall x \in I, [x, b[\subseteq I$
- Suppose that $a = \inf I$exists. $\forall x \in I,]a, x] \subseteq I$

Prop.

Let I be an interval in X. Suppose that I has supremum b and an infimum a in X.Then I is equal to one of the following sets $[a, b]$ $[a, b[$ $]a, b]$ $]a, b[$

Def

let $(X, \leq)$ be a totally ordered set .If $\forall (x, z) \in X \times X$,such that $x < z \quad \exists y \in X$ such that $x < y < z$,than we say that $(X, \leq)$ is thick

Prop.

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a thick totally ordered set. $(a, b) \in X \times X, a < b$ If I is one of the following intervals $[a, b]; [a, b[;]a, b];]a, b[$ Then $\inf I = a \quad \sup I = b$ (for it's thick empty set is impossible)

Proof:

Since X is thick,there exists $x_0 \in]a, b[$ By definition,b is an upper bound of I. If b is not the supremum of I,there exists an upper bound M of I such that M¡b. Since X is thick ,there is $M' \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq M, M' < b$ Since $[x, b[\subseteq]a, b[\in I$ Hence M and M' belong to I,which conflicts with the uniqueness of supremum.

Chapter 4

Enhanced real line

Def:

Let $+\infty$ and $-infty$ be two symbols that are different and don not belong to $\mathbb{R}$ We extend the usual total order $\leq$ *on* $\mathbb{R}$ *to* $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < +\infty$$

Thus $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ become a totally ordered set, and $\mathbb{R} =]-\infty, +\infty[$ Obviously,this is a thick totally ordered set.
We define:

- $\forall x \in]-\infty, +\infty] \quad x + (+\infty) := +\infty \quad (+\infty) + x := +\infty$
- $\forall x \in [-\infty, +\infty[\quad x + (-\infty) := -\infty \quad (-\infty) + x = -\infty$
- $\forall x \in]0, +\infty] \quad x(+\infty) = (+\infty)x = +\infty \quad x(-\infty) = (-\infty)x = -\infty$
- $\forall x \in [-\infty, 0[\quad x(+\infty) = (+\infty)x = -\infty \quad x(-\infty) = (-\infty)x = +\infty$
- $-(+\infty) = -\infty \quad -(-\infty) = +\infty \quad (\infty)^{-1} = 0$
- $(+\infty) + (-\infty) \quad (-\infty) + (+\infty) \quad (+\infty)0 \quad 0(+\infty) \quad (-\infty)0 \quad 0(-\infty)$
  ARE NOT DEFINED

Def

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set. If for any subset A of X,A has a supremum and an infimum in X, then we say the X is order complete
Example

Let Ω be a set $(\mathscr{P}(\Omega), \subseteq)$is order complete If $\mathscr{F}$is a subset of $\mathscr{P}(\Omega)$, $\sup \mathscr{F} = \bigcup\limits_{A \in \mathscr{F}} A$

Interesting tip: $\inf \varnothing = \Omega \quad \sup \varnothing = \varnothing$

$\mathcal{AXION}$:

$(\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}, \leq)$ is order complete

In $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \quad \sup \varnothing = -\infty \quad \inf \varnothing = +\infty$

Notation:

- For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup -\infty, +\infty$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{R}$ We denote by $A + c$ the set $\{a + c \mid a \in A\}$
- If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \lambda A$ denotes $\{\lambda a \mid a \in A\}$
- -A denotes (-1)A

Prop.

For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}, \sup(-A) = -\inf A, \inf(-A) + -\sup A$ Def

We denote by $(R, \leq)$ a field $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with a total order $\leq$, which satisfies the following condition:

- $\forall (a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$,one has $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, a + c < b + c$
- $\forall (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, ab > 0$
- $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,if A hsa an upper bound in$\mathbb{R}$,then it has a supremum in$\mathbb{R}$

Prop.

Let $A \subseteq [-\infty, +\infty]$

- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R} \quad \sup(A + c) = (\sup A) + c$
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \quad \sup(\lambda A) = \lambda \sup(A)$
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \quad sup(\lambda A) = \lambda \inf(A)$

inf takes the same

Theorem:

Let I and J be non-empty sets

$f : I \to [-\infty, +\infty], g : J \to [-\infty, +\infty]$

$a = \sup_{x \in I} f(x) \quad b = \sup_{y \in J} g(y) \quad c = \sup_{(x,y) \in I \times J, \{f(x), g(y)\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}} (f(x) + g(y))$

If $\{a, b\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}$then $c = a + b$

inf takes the same if $(-\infty) + (+\infty)$ doesn't happen

Corollary:

Let I be a non-empty set,$f : I \to [-\infty, +\infty], g : J \to [-\infty, +\infty]$

Then $\sup_{x \in I, \{f(x), g(y)\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}} (f(x) + g(x)) \leq (\sup_{x \in I} f(x))(\sup_{x \in I} g(x))$

inf takes the similar($\leq \to \geq$) (provided when the sum are defined)

Chapter 5

Vector space

In this section:
K denotes a unitary ring.
Let 0 be zero element of K
1 be the unity of K

5.1 K-module

5.1.1 Def

Let $(V,+)$ be a commutative group.We call left/right K-module structure: any mapping $\Phi{:}K \times V \to V$

- $\forall(a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad \Phi(ab,x) = \Phi(a,\Phi(b,x))/\Phi(b,\Phi(a,x))$
- $\forall(a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V, \Phi(a+b,x) = \Phi(a,x) + \Phi(b,x)$
- $\forall a \in K, \forall(x,y) \in V \times V, \Phi(a,x+y) = \Phi(a,x) + \Phi(a,y)$
- $\forall x \in V, \Phi(1,x) = x$

A commutative group (V,+) equipped with a left/right K-module structure is called a left/right K-module.

5.1.2 Remark

Let K^{op} be the set K equipped with the following composition laws:

- $K \times K \to K$
- $(a,b) \mapsto a+b$
- $K \times K \to K$
- $(a,b) \mapsto ba$

Then K^{op} forms a unitary ring
Any left $K^{op} - module$ is a right K-module
Any right $K^{op} - module$ is a left K-module
$(K^{op})^{op} = K$

5.1.3 Notation

When we talk about a left/right K-module $(V, +)$,we often write its left K-module structure as $K \times V \to V \quad (a, x) \mapsto ax$

The axioms become:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad (ab)x = a(bx)/b(ax) \\
&\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad (a+b)x = ax + bx \\
&\forall a \in K, \forall (x,y) \in V \times V \quad a(x+y) = ax + ay \\
&\forall x \in V \quad 1x = x
\end{aligned}$$

5.1.4 K-vector space

If K is commutative,then $K^{op} = K$,so left K-module and right K-module structure are the same .We simply call them K-module structure. A commutative group equipped with a K-module structure is called a K-module.If K is a field,a K-module is also called a K-vector space

Let $\Phi : K \times V \to V$ be a left or right K-module structure

$$\forall x \in V, \Phi(\cdot, x) : K \to V \quad (a \in K) \mapsto \Phi(a, x)$$

is a morphism of addition groups.Hence $\Phi(0, x) = 0, \Phi(-a, x) = -\Phi(a, x)$
$\forall a \in K, \Phi(a, \cdot) : V \to V$ is a morphism of groups.Hence $\Phi(a, 0) = 0, \Phi(a, -x) = -\Phi(a, x)(\cdot \; is \; a \; var)$

5.1.5 Association:

$\forall x \in K$

$$\begin{aligned}
(f(f+g)+h)(x) &= (f+g)(x) + h(x) = f(x) + g(x) + h(x) \\
(f+(g+h))(x) &= f(x) + ((g+h)(x)) = f(x) + g(x) + h(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $0 : I \to K : x \mapsto 0 \quad \forall f \in K^I \quad f + 0 = f$
Let $-f : f + (-f) = 0$
The mapping $K \times K^I \to K^I : (a, f) \mapsto af \quad (af)(x) = af(x)$ is a left K-module structure
The mapping $K \times K^I \to K^I : (a \in I) \mapsto ((x \in I) \mapsto f(x)a) \quad (af)(x) = af(x)$ is a right K-module structure

5.1.6 Remark:

We can also write an element $\mu \ of \ K^I$ is the form of a family $(\mu_i)_{i\in I}$ of elements in K ($\mu_i$is the image of $i \in I \ by \ \mu$)
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu_i)_{i\in I} + (\nu_i)_{i\in I} := (\mu_i + \nu_i)_{i\in I}\\
&a(\mu_i)_{i\in I} := (a\mu_i)_{i\in I}\\
&(\mu_i)_{i\in I}a = (\mu_i a)_{i\in I}
\end{aligned}$$

5.2 sub K-module

5.2.1 Def

Let V be a left/right K-module.If W is a subgroup of V. Such that $\forall a \in K, \forall x \in W \quad ax/xa \in W$, then we say that W is left/right sub-K-module of V.

5.2.2 Example

Let I be a set .Let $K^{\bigoplus I}$ be the subset of K^I composed of mappings $f : I \to K$ such that $I_f = \{x \in I \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite. It is a left and right sub-K-module of K^I

In fact,$\forall (f,g) \in K^{\bigoplus I} \times K^I \quad I_{f-g} = x \in I \mid f(x) - g(x) \neq 0 \subseteq I_f \cup I_g$
Hence $f - g \in K^{\bigoplus I}$ So $K^{\bigoplus I}$ is a subgroup of K^I
$\forall a \in K, \forall f \in K^{\bigoplus I} \quad I_{af} \subseteq I_f, I_{(x \mapsto f(x)a)} \subseteq I_f$

5.3 morphism of K-modules

5.3.1 Def

Let V and W be left K-module, A morphism of groups $\phi : V \to W$ is called a morphism of left K-modules if $\forall (a,x) \in K \times V, \phi(ax) = a\phi(x)$

5.3.2 K-linear mapping

If K is commutative, a morphism of K-modules is also called a K-linear mapping. We denote by $\hom_{K-Mod}(V,W)$ the set of all morphism of left-K-module from V to W.This is a subgroup of W^V

5.3.3 Theorem

Let V be a left K-module. Let I be a set.
The mapping $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V) \to V^I : \ \phi \to (\phi(e_i))_{i\in I}$ is a bijection where $e_i : I \to K : j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$

5.3.4 Remark:column

In the case where $I = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$ V^I is denoted as V^n, K^I is denoted as K^n For any $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in V^n$,by the theorem, there exists a unique morphism of left K-modules $\phi : K^n \to V$ such that $\forall i \in 1, ..., n\phi(e_i) = x_i$

We write this ϕ as a column $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ ... \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ It sends $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in K^n$ to $a_1x_1 + ... + a_nx_n$

5.4 kernel

5.4.1 Prop

Let G and H be groups and $f : G \to H$ be a morphism of groups

- $I_m(f) \subseteq H$ is a subgroup of H
- $\ker(f) = \{x \in G \mid f(x) = e_H\}$
- f is injection iff $\ker(f) = \{e_G\}$

5.4.2 Def

$\ker(f)$ is called the kernel of f

5.4.3 Theorem

f is injection iff $\ker(f) = \{e_G\}$

Proof

Let e_G and e_H be neutral element of G and H respectively

(1) Let x and y be element of G
$f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(xy^{-1}) \in Im(f)$. So $Im(f)$ is a subgroup of H

(2) Let x and y be element of $\ker(f)$ One has $f(xy^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = e_H \quad e_H^{-1} = e_H$. So $xy^{-1} \in \ker(f)$ So $\ker(f)$ is a subgroup of G

(3) Suppose that f is injection.
Since $f(E_G) = e_H$ one has $\ker(f) = f^{-1}(\{e_H\}) = \{e_G\}$ Suppose that $\ker(f) = \{e_G\}$ If $f(x) = f(y)$then $f(xy^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = e_H$
Hence $xy^{-1} = e_G \Rightarrow x = y$

5.4.4 Def

Let (V,+) be a commutative group, I be a set. We define a composition law + on V^I as follows

$$(x_i)_{i\in I} + (y_i)_{i\in I} \ := (x_i + y_i)_{i\in I}$$

Then V^I forms a commutative group

5.4.5 Remark

Let E and F be left K-modules
$\hom_{K=Mod}(E,F) := \{$morphisms of left K-modules from E to F$\} \subseteq F^E$ is a subgroup of F^E
In fact f and g are elements of $\hom_{K-Mod}(E,F)$, then $f-g$ is also a morphism of left K-module
$(f-g)(x+y) = f(x+y) - g(x+y) = (f(x)+f(y)) - (g(x)+g(y)) = (f(x) - g(x)) + (f(y) - g(y)) = (f-g)(x) + (f-y)(x)$

5.4.6 Theorem

Let V be a left K-module,I be a set The mapping $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V) \to V^I \ : \ \phi \mapsto (\phi(e_i))_i \in I$ is an isomorphism of groups, where $e_i : I \to K : j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$

5.4.7 Proof:

One has $(\phi + \psi)(e_i) = \phi(e_I) + \psi(e_i)$
$\forall (\phi, psi) \in \hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V)^2$
Hence $\Psi(\phi,\psi) = (\phi(e_i) + \psi(e_i))_{i\in I} = \Psi(\phi) + \Psi(\psi)$
So Ψ is a morphism of groups

injectivity Let $\phi \in \hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V)$ Such that $\forall i \in I (\forall \phi \in \ker(\Psi)) \quad \phi(e_i) = 0$
Let $a = (a_i)_{i\in I} \in K^{\bigoplus I}$ One has $a = \sum\limits_{i\in I} a_i e_i$
If fact,$\forall j \in I, a_j = \sum\limits_{i \in I, a_i \neq 0} a_i e_i(j)$
Thus $\phi(a) = \sum\limits_{i\in I, a_i \neq 0} a - I\phi(e_i) = 0$
Hence ϕ is the neutral element.

surjectivity Let $x = (x_i)_{i\in I} \in V^I$ We define $\phi_x : K^{\bigoplus I} \to V$ such that $\forall a = (a_i)_{i\in I} \in K^{\bigoplus I}, \phi_x(a) = \sum\limits_{i\in I, a_i\neq 0} a_i x_i$
This is a morphism of left K-modules
$foralli \in I, \phi_x(e_i) = 1x_i = x_i$ So $\Psi(\phi_x) = x$

Suppose that K' is a unitary ring,and V is also equipped with a right K'-module structure, Then $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V) \subseteq V^{K^{\bigoplus I}}$ is a right sub-k'-module ,and Ψ in the theorem is a right K'-module isomorphism

Chapter 6

Monotone mappings

6.1 Def

Let I and X be partially ordered sets,$f : I \to X$ be a mapping.

- If $\forall (a, b) \in I \times I$ such that $a < b$. One has $f(a) \leq f(b)/f(a) < f(b)$,then we say that f is increasing/strictly increasing. decreasing takes similar way.
- If f is (strictly) increasing or decreasing, we say that f is (strictly) monotone.

6.2 Prop.

Let X,Y,Z be partially ordered sets.$f : X \to Y, g : Y \to Z$ be mappings

- If f and g have the same monotonicity, then $g \circ f$ is increasing
- If f and g have different monotonicities, then $g \circ f$ is decreasing

strict monotonicities takes the same

6.3 Def

Let f be a function from a partially ordered set I to another partially ordered set .If $f \mid_{Dom(f)} \to X$ is (strictly) increasing/decreasing then we say that f is (strictly) increasing/decreasing

6.4 Prop.

Let I and X be partially ordered sets. f be function from I to X.

- If f is increasing/decreasing and f is injection, then f is strictly increasing/decreasing
- Assume that I is totally ordered and f is strictly monotone, then f is injection

6.5 Prop

Let A be totally ordered set, B be a partially ordered set, f be an injective function from A to B
If f is increasing/decreasing ,then so is f^{-1}

6.6 Def

Let X and Y be partially ordered sets. $f : X \to Y$ be a bijection. If both f and f^{-1} are increasing ,then we say that f is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets.

(If X is totally, then a mapping $f : X \to Y$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets iff f is a bijection and f is increasing)

6.7 Prop.

Let I be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ which is infinite. Then there is a unique increasing bijection $\lambda_I : \mathbb{N} \to I$

6.8 Proof

6.8.1 bijection

We construct $f : \mathbb{N} \to I$ by induction as follows.
Let $f(0) = \min I$ Suppose that $f(0), ..., f(n)$ are constructed
then we take $f(n+1) := \min(I \backslash \{f(0), ..., f(n)\})$
Since $I \backslash \{f(0), ..., f(n-1)\} \supseteq I \backslash \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}$.Therefore $f(n) \leq f(n+1)$
$Since f(n+1) \notin \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}$,we have $f(n) < f(n+1)$
Hence f is strictly increasing and ths is injective
If f is not surjective,then $I \backslash Im(f)$ has a element N.
Let $m = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid N \leq f(n)\}$.
Since $N \notin Im(f), N < f(m)$.
So $m \neq 0$.Hence $f(m-1) < N < f(m) = \min(I \backslash \{f(0), ..., f(m-1)\})$
By definition,$N \in I \backslash Im(f) \subseteq I \backslash \{f(0), ..., f(m-1)\}$,
Hence $f(m) \leq N$,causing contradiction.

6.8.2 uniqueness

exercise: Prove that $Id_{\mathbb{N}}$ is the only isomorphism of partially ordered sets from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$

Chapter 7

sequence and series

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a infinite subset

7.1 Def

Let X be a set.We call sequence in X parametrized by I a mapping from I to X.

7.2 Remark

If K is a unitary ring and E is a left K-module then the set of sequence E^I admits a left-K-module structure. If $x = (x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a sequence in E, we define a sequence $\sum(x) := (\sum\limits_{i \in I, i \leq n} x_i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,called the series associated with the sequence x.

7.3 Prop

$\sum : E^I \to E^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a morphism of left-K-module

7.4 proof

Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$ be elements of E^I
$\sum\limits_{i \in I, i \leq n} (x_i + y_i) = (\sum\limits_{i \in I, i \leq n} x_i) + (\sum\limits_{i \in I, i \leq n} y_i), \lambda \sum\limits_{i \in I, i \leq n} x_i = \sum\limits_{i \in I, i \leq n} \lambda x_i$

7.5 Prop

Let I be a totally ordered set . X be a partially ordered set,$f : I \to X$ be a mapping ,$J \in I$ Assume that J does not have any upper bound in I

- If f is increasing ,then $f(I)$ and $f(J)$ have the same upper bounds in X
- If f is decreasing ,then $f(I)$ and $f(J)$ have the same lower bounds in X

7.6 limit

7.6.1 Def

Let $i \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a infinite subset.$\forall (x_i)_{n\in I} \in [-\infty,+\infty]^I$ where $[-\infty,+\infty]$ denotes $\mathbb{R}\cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$,we define:

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n := \inf_{n\in I}(\sup_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i)$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n := \sup_{n\in I}(\inf_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i)$$

If $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n = \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n = l$, we then say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ tends to l and that l is the limit of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$. If in addition $(x_n)_{n\in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ and $l\in\mathbb{R}$,we say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l

7.6.2 Remark

If $J\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ is an infinite subset, then:

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} = \inf_{n\in J}(\sup_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i)$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n = \sup_{n\in J}(\inf_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i)$$

Therefore ,if we change the values of finitely many terms in $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ the limit superior and the limit inferior do not change.
In fact, if we take $J=\mathbb{N}\backslash\{0,...,m\}$, then $\inf_{n\in J}(...)$ and $\sup_{n\in J}(...)$ only depends on the values of $x_i, i\in I, i\geq m$

7.6.3 Prop

$\forall (x_n)_{n\in I}\in[-\infty,+\infty]^I$, $\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n$

7.6.4 Prop

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I} \in [-\infty,+\infty]^I$

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R} \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(x_n + c) &= (\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n) + c \\ \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(x_n + c) &= (\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n) + c \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(\lambda x_n) &= \lambda \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \\ \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(\lambda x_n) &= \lambda \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}_{<0} \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(\lambda x_n) &= \lambda \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \\ \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(\lambda x_n) &= \lambda \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \end{aligned}$$

7.6.5 Prop

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements in $[-\infty,+\infty]^I$. Suppose that there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in I, n \geq N_0$,one has $x_n \leq y_n$ Then

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(x_n) \leq \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n$$

,

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(x_n) \geq \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n$$

7.6.6 Theorem

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}, (y_n)_{n\in I}, (z_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty,+\infty]^I$
Suppose that

- $\exists N - N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I, n \geq N_0$ one has $x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n$
- $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(z_n)_{n\in I}$ tend to the same limit l

Then $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ tends to l

7.6.7 Def

Let I be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, and $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in some set X. We call subsequence of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ a sequence of the form $(x_n)_{n\in J}$,where J is an infinite subset of I

7.6.8 Prop

Let I and J be infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $J \subseteq I$
$\forall (x_n)_{n\in I} \in [-\infty,+\infty]^I$,one has

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty}(x_n) \leq \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n$$

$$\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}(x_n) \geq \limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n$$

In particular, if $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ tends to $l \in [-\infty,+\infty]$,then $(x_n)_{n\in J}$ tends to l

7.6.9 Prop

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,one has

$$\liminf_{n\in J,n\to+\infty}(x_n) \geq \liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n$$

$$\limsup_{n\in J,n\to+\infty}(x_n) \leq \limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n$$

7.6.10 Theorem

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset and $(x_N)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $[-\infty,+\infty]$

- If the mapping $(n \in I) \mapsto x_n$ is increasing,then $(x_N)_{i\in I}$ tends to $\sup\limits_{n\in I} x_n$
- If the mapping $(n \in I) \mapsto x_n$ is decreasing,then $(x_N)_{i\in I}$ tends to $\inf\limits_{n\in I} x_n$

7.6.11 Notation

If a sequence $(x_N)_{n\in I} \in [-\infty,+\infty]$ tends to some $l \in [-\infty,+\infty]$ the expression $\lim\limits_{n\in I,n\to} x_n$ denotes this limit l

7.6.12 Corollary

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ Then the series $\sum\limits_{n\in I} x_n$(the sequence $(\sum\limits_{i\in I,i\leq n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ tends to an element in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ It converges in $\mathbb{R}$ iff it is bounded from above (namely has an upper bound in $\mathbb{R}$)

7.6.13 Notation

If a series $\sum\limits_{n\in I} x_n$ in $[-\infty,+\infty]$ tends to some limit, we use the expression $\sum\limits_{n\in I} x_n$ to denote the limit

7.6.14 Theorem: Bolzano-Weierstrass

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $[-\infty,+\infty]$ There exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ that tends to $\limsup\limits_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n$ There exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ that rends to $\liminf\limits_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n$

Proof

Let $J = \{n \in I \mid \forall m \in I, \text{if } m \leq n \text{ then } x_m \leq x_n\}$

If J is infinite, the sequence $(x_N)_{n\in J}$ is decreasing so it tends to $\inf\limits_{n\in J} x_n$

$\forall n \in J$ by definition $x_n = \sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i$ so $\limsup\limits_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n = \inf\limits_{n\in J} \sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i = \inf\limits_{n\in J} x_n = \lim\limits_{n\in J, n\to+\infty} x_n$

Assume that J is finite. Let $n_0 \in I$ such that $\forall n \in J, n < n_0$.Denote by $l = \sup\limits_{n\in I, n\geq n_0}$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \geq n_0$. By definition $\sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq n_0} x_i \leq l$. If the strict inequality $\sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq N} x_i < l$ holds, then $\sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq N} x_i$ is NOT an upper bound of $\{x_n \mid n \in I, n_0 \leq n < N\}$

So there exists $n \in I$ such that $n_0 \leq n < N$ such that $x_n > \sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq N} x_i$ We may also assume that n is largest among elements of $I \cap [n_0, N[$ that satisfies this inequality.

Then $\forall m \in I$ if $m \geq n$ then $x_m \leq x_n$ Thus $n \in J$ that contradicts the maximality of n_0

Therefore

$$l = \sup_{i\in I, i\geq N} x_i$$

, which leads to

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n = l$$

Moreover, if $m \in I, m \geq n_0$ then $m \notin J$,so $x_m < l$(since otherwise $x_m = \sup\limits_{i\in I, i\geq m} x_i$ and hence $m \in J$)Hence,$\forall\ finite\ subset\ I'\ of \{m \in I \mid m \geq n_0\}$

$\max\limits_{i\in I} x_i < l$ and hence $\exists n \in I$,such that $n > \max I'$,and $\max\limits_{i\in I'} x_i < x_n$

We construct by induction an increasing sequence $(n_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in I

Let n_0 be as above. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to I_{\geq n_0}$ be a surjective mapping.

If n_j is chosen, we choose $n_{j+1} \in I$ such that

$$n_{j+1} > n_j, x_{n_{j+1}} > \max\{x_{f(j)}, x_{n_j}\}$$

Hence the sequence $(x_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing

And

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} x_{n_j} \leq \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} x_{f(j)} = \sup_{n\in I, n\geq n_0} x_n = l$$

$$l = \sup_{n\in I, n\geq n_0}$$

So $(x_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ tends to l

Chapter 8

Cauchy sequence

8.1 Def

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$
If $\inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{(n,m)\in I\times I,\ n,m\geq N} |x_n - x_m| = \lim_{N\to+\infty} \sup_{(n,m)\in I\times I,\ n,m\geq N} |x_n - x_m| = 0$ then we say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence

8.2 Prop

- If $(x_n)_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ converges to some $l \in \mathbb{R}$, then it is a Cauchy sequence
- If $(x_N)_{i\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\forall n \in I \quad |x_n| \leq M$
- If $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $\forall J \subseteq I$ infinite,$(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
- If $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $\forall J \subseteq I$ infinite and $l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l, then $(x_n)_{n\in J}$ converges to l too.

8.3 Theorem: Completeness of real number

If $(x_n)_{n\in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ is a Cauchy sequence, then it converges in $\mathbb{R}$

Proof

Since $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $-M \leq x_n \leq M \quad \forall x \in I$ So $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. By Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem. $\exists J \subseteq I$ infinite such that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to the same limit.

8.4 Absolutely converge

We say that a series $\sum_{n\in I} x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ converges absolutely if $\sum_{n\in I} |x_n| < +\infty$

8.4.1 Prop

If a series $\sum_{n\in I} x_n$ converges absolutely, then it converges in $\mathbb{R}$

Chapter 9

Comparison and Technics of Computation

9.1 Def

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be sequence in $\mathbb{R}$

- If there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in I_{\geq N}, |x_N| \leq M|y_m|$ then we write $x_n = O(y_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If there exists $(\epsilon_n)_{n\in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim\limits_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ and $\forall n \in I_{\geq N}, |x_N| \leq |\epsilon y_m|$, then we write $x_n = \circ(y_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  Example:
  $$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$$

9.2 Prop.

Let I and X be partially ordered sets and $f : I \to X$ be an increasing/decreasing mapping. Let J ba a subset of I. Assume that any elements of I has an upper bound in J. Then $f(I)$ and $f(J)$ have the same upper/lower bounds in X

9.3 Theorem

Let I be a totally ordered set, $f : I \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ and $g : I \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ be two mappings that are both increasing/decreasing.Then the following equalities holds,provided that the sum on the right hand side of the equality is wel defined.

$$\sup_{x\in I, \{f(x),g(x)\}\neq\{-\infty,+\infty\}} = (\sup_{x\in I} f(x)) + (\sup_{y\in I} g(y))$$

$$\inf_{x\in I,\{f(x),g(x)\}\neq\{-\infty,+\infty\}} = (\inf_{x\in I} f(x)) + (\inf_{y\in I} g(y))$$

Proof

We can assume f and g increasing. Let $a = \sup f(I)$,$b = \sup g(I)$
Let $A = \{(x,y) \in I \times I \mid \{f(x), g(x)\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}\}$
We equip A with the following order relation.

$$(x,y) \leq (x',y') \ iff \ x \leq x', y \leq y'$$

Let $B = A \cap \Delta_I = \{(x,y) \in A \mid x = y\}$.
Consider

$$h : A \to [-\infty, +\infty] \quad h(x,y) = f(x) + g(y)$$

h is increasing.
Let $(x,y) \in A$. Assume that $x \leq y$
If $\{f(y), g(y)\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ then $(y,y) \in B$ and $(x,y) \leq (y,y)$
If $\{f(y), g(y)\} = \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ and for $(x,y) \in A \Rightarrow f(y) = +\infty, g(y) = -\infty$. So $a = +\infty$, Hence $b > -\infty$
So $\exists z \in I$ such that $g(z) > -\infty$. We should have $y \leq z$ Hence $f(z) + g(z)$ is well defined,$(z,z) \in B$ and $(x,y) \leq (z,z)$ Similarly, if$x \geq y$, (x,y) has also an upper bound in B. Therefore: $\sup h(A) = \sup h(B)$

9.4 Prop.

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset. Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty, +\infty]^I$ such that ,$\forall n \in I \quad \{x_n, y_n\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. Then the following inequalities holds, provided that the sum on the right hand side is well defined.

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} (x_n + y_n) \leq (\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n) + (\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n)$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} (x_n + y_n) \geq (\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n) + (\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n)$$

Proof

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_N = \sup_{n\in I, n\geq N} x_n \quad B_N = \sup_{n\in I, n\geq N} y_n$. $(A_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(B_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ are decreasing, and $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n = \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} A_N \quad \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n = \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} B_N$
By theorem:

$$\inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} A_N + \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} B_N = \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N},\{A_N,B_N\}\neq\{-\infty,+\infty\}} (A_N + B_N)$$

Let $C_N = \sup_{n\in I, n\geq N} (x_n + y_n) \leq A_N + B_N$ if $A_N + B_N$ is defined.
Therefore

$$\inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} C_N \leq \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N},\{A_N,B_N\}\neq\{-\infty,+\infty\}} (A_N + B_N) = \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} A_N + \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} B_N$$

9.5 Prop.

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset. Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty,+\infty]^I$ such that ,$\forall n \in I \quad \{x_n,y_n\} \neq \{-\infty,+\infty\}$. Then the following inequalities holds, provided that the sum on the right hand side is well defined.

$$\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}(x_n+y_n) \geq (\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n) + (\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n)$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}(x_n+y_n) \geq (\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n) + (\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n)$$

Proof

a tricky proof ?:

$$\limsup_{n\in I,n\to} x_n = \limsup_{n\in I,n\to}(x_n+y_n-y_n) \leq \limsup_{n\in I,n\to}(x_n+y_n) - \liminf_{n\in I,n\to} y_n$$

to have a true proof,only need to discuss conditions with ∞

9.6 Theorem

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty,+\infty]^I$. Assume that $\forall n \in I, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to some $i \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then:

$$\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}(x_n+y_n) = (\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n) + l$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}(x_n+y_n) = (\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n) + l$$

9.7 Prop.

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty,+\infty]^I$
Then:

$$\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} \max\{x_n,y_n\} = \max\{\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n, \liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n\}$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} \min\{x_n,y_n\} = \min\{\liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} x_n, \liminf_{n\in I,n\to+\infty} y_n\}$$

Proof

About the first inequality.Since $\max\{x_n,y_n\} \geq x_n \quad \max\{x_n,y_N\} \geq y_n$

By the theorem of Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exists an infinite subset J of I such that

$$\lim_{n\in J,n\to+\infty} = \limsup_{n\in J,n\to+\infty} \max\{x_n,y_n\}$$

Let $J_1 = \{n \in J \mid x_n \geq y_n\} \quad J_1 = \{n \in J \mid x_n \leq y_n\}$
$J_1 \cup J_2 = J$ So either J_1 or J_2 is infinite
Suppose that J_1 is infinite, then

$$\lim_{n\in J, n\to} \max\{x_n, y_n\} = \lim_{n\in J_1, n\to} \max\{x_n, y_n\} = \lim_{n\in J, n\to} x_n \leq \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} x_n$$

If J_2 is infinite

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} = \lim_{n\in J_2, n\to+\infty} \max\{x_n, y_n\} \leq \limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} y_n$$

9.8 Theorem

Let $(a_N)_{n\in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ $l \in \mathbb{R}$. The following statements are equivalent

- $(a_N)_{n\in I}$ converges to l
- $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} |a_n - l| = 0$

Proof

$$|a_n - l| = \max\{a_n - l, l - a_n\}$$
$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} |a_n - l| = \max\{(\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n) - l, l - (\liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n)\}$$

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2):
If $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l, then $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n = \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n = l$
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1):
If $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} |a_n - l| = 0$,then $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n \leq l \leq \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n$
Therefore: $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n = \liminf_{n\in I, n\to+\infty} a_n = l$

9.9 Remark

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{R}$
The sequence $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l iff $a_n - l = o(1), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.10 Calculates on O(),o()

9.10.1 Plus

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ $(a'_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements in $\mathbb{R}^I$

- If $a_n = O(b_n), a'_n = O(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then $\forall(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \lambda a_n + \mu a'_n = O(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a_n = o(b_n), a'_n = o(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then $\forall(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \lambda a_n + \mu a'_n = o(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.10.2 Transform

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in I}$ be two sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ If $a_n = o(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$,then $a_n = O(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.10.3 Transition

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}, (b_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(c_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements in $\mathbb{R}^I$

- If $a_n = O(b_n)$ and $b_n = O(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then$a_n = O(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a_n = O(b_n)$ and $b_n = o(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then$a_n = o(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a_n = o(b_n)$ and $b_n = O(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then$a_n = o(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.10.4 Times

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}, (b_n)_{n\in I}, (c_n)_{n\in I}, (d_n)_{n\in I}$ be sequences in $\mathbb{R}$

- If $a - N = O(b_n), c_n = O(d_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then $a_n c_n = O(b_n d_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a - N = o(b_n), c_n = O(d_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
  then $a_n c_n = o(b_n d_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.11 On the limit

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}, (b_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $\mathbb{R}^I$ that converges to $l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l' \in \mathbb{R}$ respectively. Then:

- $(a_n + b_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to $l + l'$
- $(a_n b_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to ll'

9.12 Prop

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then $a^n = o(n!) \quad n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a| < N$
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N$

$$0 \leq \frac{|a^n|}{n!} = \frac{|a^N|}{N!} \cdot \frac{|a^n - N|}{\frac{n!}{N!}} \leq \frac{|a^N|}{N!} (\frac{|a|}{N})^n - N$$

And $0 < \frac{|a|}{<} 1 \Rightarrow \lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} (\frac{|a|}{N})^n = 0$. Therefore:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{|a^n|}{n!} = 0$$

namely:

$$a^n = o(n!)$$

9.13 Prop

$n! = o(n^n) \quad n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$
$0 \leq \frac{n!}{n^n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n!}{n^n} = 0$

9.14 Prop

Let $(a_n)_{n \in I}, (b_n)_{n \in I}$ be the elements of $\mathbb{R}^I$ If the series $\sum\limits_{n \in I} b_n$ converges absolutely and if $on = O(b_n) \quad n \to +\infty$Then $\sum\limits_{n \in I} a_n$ converges absolutely

Proof

By definition $\sum\limits_{n \in I} |b_N| < +\infty$ If $|a_N| \leq M|b_N|$ fro $n \in I, n \geq N$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ Then

$$\sum_{n \in I} |a_n| = \sum_{n \in I, n < N} |a_n| + \sum_{n \in I, n \geq N} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n \in I, n < N} |a_n| + \sum_{n \in I, n \geq N} |b_n| < +\infty$$

9.15 Theorem: d'Alembert ratio test

Let $(a_N)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (\mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$

- If $\limsup\limits_{n \to +\infty} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| < 1$, then $\sum\limits_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges absolutely
- If $\liminf\limits_{n \to +\infty} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| > 1$, then $\sum\limits_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ does not converge (diverges)

Proof

(1)

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\limsup_{n\to+\infty} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| < \alpha < 1$, $alpha$ isn't a lower bound of $(\sup_{n\geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}|)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$

So $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{n\geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| < \alpha$Hence for $n \geq N \quad |a_n| \leq \alpha^{n-N}|a_N|$ since

$$\frac{a_n}{a_N} = \frac{a_{N+1}}{a_N}\frac{a_{N+2}}{a_{N+1}}...\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$$

Therefore $a_n = O(\alpha^n)$ since $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} < +\infty$, $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converge absolutely.

9.15.1 Lemma

If a series $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ converges, then $\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n = 0$

Proof

If $(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $l \in \mathbb{R}$,then $(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i)_{n\in\mathbb{N},n\geq 1}$ converges to l, too. Hence $\left(a_n = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i\right) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $l - l = 0$

9.15.2 (2)

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1 < \beta < \liminf_{n\to+\infty} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| = \sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \inf_{n\geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}|$

So there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta < \inf_{n\geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}|$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N \quad |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| \geq \beta$

Hence $(|a_n|)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not bounded since $|a_n| \geq \beta^{n-N}|a_n|$

By the lemma: $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges.

9.16 Prop

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 1$ Then $n = o(a^n), n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $a = (1+\epsilon)^2$

$$a^n = (1+\epsilon)^{2n} = (1+\epsilon)^n(1+\epsilon)^n \geq (1+n\epsilon)(1+n\epsilon) \geq \epsilon^2 n^2$$

Hence

$$n \leq \frac{a^n}{\epsilon^2 n} = o(a^n)$$

9.16.1 Corollary

Let $a > 1, t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ Then $n^t = o(a^n), n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that $t \leq d$Then $n^{t-d} \leq 1$ So

$$n^t = n^d n^{t-d} = O(n^d)$$

Let $b = \sqrt[d]{a} > 1$

$$n^d = o((b^n)^d) = o(a^n)$$

Hence $n^t = o(a^n)$

9.16.2 Corollary

There exists $M \geq 1$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x \geq M, \ln(x) \leq x$

Proof

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1 < a < e$

9.17 Theorem: Cauchy root test

Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\alpha = \limsup\limits_{n\to+\infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$

- If $\alpha < 1$, then $\sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges absolutely.
- If $a > 1$ then $\sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges

Proof

(1)

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha < \beta < 1$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_N|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \beta$ for $n \geq N$. That means $|a_n| = O(\beta^n)$ since $0 < \beta < 1$, $\sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges absolutely.

(2)

If $\alpha > 1$ then $\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists n \geq N$ such that $|a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1$, since otherwise $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}\ \forall n \geq N, |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$ contradiction
Hence $(|a_n|)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ cannot converge to 0.

Part III

Topology

Chapter 10

Absolute value and norms

10.1 Def

Let K be a field . By absolute value on K, we mean a mapping $|\cdot| : K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ that satisfies:

(1) $\forall a \in K \quad |a| = 0$ iff $a = 0$

(2) $\forall (a, b) \in K^2 \quad |ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$

(3) $\forall (a, b) \in K^2 \quad |a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$(triangle inequality)

10.2 Notation

$\mathbb{Q}$ Take a prime num p $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash \{0\}$ there exists a integer $ord_p(\alpha) \frac{a}{b}$, where $\begin{matrix} a \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\} \\ b \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \{0\} \end{matrix}, p \nmid a, p \nmid b$

10.3 Prop

$$|\cdot| : \begin{matrix} \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ \alpha \mapsto \begin{cases} p^{-ord_p(\alpha)} & if \ \alpha \neq 0 \\ 0 & if \ \alpha = 0 \end{cases} \end{matrix}$$

is a absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}$

Proof

(1) Obviously

(2) If $\alpha = p^{ord_p(\alpha)} \frac{a}{b}, \beta = p^{ord_p(\beta)} \frac{c}{d} \quad p \nmid abcd$
$\alpha\beta = p^{ord_p(\alpha)+ord_p(\beta)} \frac{ac}{bd} \quad p \nmid ac, p \nmid bd$

(3) $\alpha + \beta = p^{ord_p(\alpha)} \frac{a}{b} + p^{ord_p(\beta)} \frac{c}{d}$
Assume $ord_p(\alpha) \geq ord_p(\beta)$
$\alpha + \beta$
$= p^{ord_p(\beta)} \left(p^{ord_p(\alpha)-ord_p(\beta)} \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}\right)$
$= p^{ord_p(\beta)} \frac{p^{ord_p(\alpha)-ord_p(\beta)} ad+bc}{bd} \quad p \nmid bd$
So

$$ord_p(\alpha + \beta) \geq ord(\beta)$$

Hence $ord_p(\alpha + \beta) \geq \min\{ord_p(\alpha), ord_p(\beta)\}$
So $|\alpha + \beta|_p = p^{-ord_p(\alpha+\beta)} \leq \max\{p^{-ord_p(\alpha)}, p^{-ord_p(\beta)}\} = \max\{|\alpha|_p, |\alpha|_p\} \leq |\alpha|_p, |\alpha|_p$

Chapter 11

Quotient Structure

11.1 Def

Let X be a set and $\sim$ be a binary relation on X
If :

- $\forall x \in X, x \sim x$
- $\forall (x, y) \in X \times X$, if $x \sim y$ then $y \sim x$
- $\forall (x, y, z) \in X^3$, if $x \sim y, y \sim z$ then $x \sim z$

then we say that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation

11.2 equivalence class

$\forall x \in X$ we denote by $[x]$ the set $\{y \in X \mid y \sim x\}$ and call it the equivalence class of x on X.Let $X/\sim$ be the set $\{[x] \mid x \in X\}$

11.3 Prop.

Let X be a set and $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on X

(1) $\forall x \in X, y \in [x]$ on has $[x] = [y]$

(2) If α and β are elements of $X/\sim$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$ then $\alpha \cap \beta = \varnothing$

(3) $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha$

Proof

(1) Let $z \in [y]$. Then $y \sim z$. Since $y \in [x]$ on has $x \sim y$
Therefore ,$x \sim z$ namely $z \in [x]$. This proves $y[] \subseteq [x]$. Moreover ,since $x \sim y$, one has $x \in [y]$. Hence $[x] \subseteq [y]$. Thus we obtain $[x] = [y]$

(2) Suppose that $\alpha \cap \beta \neq \varnothing, y \in \alpha \cap \beta$
By (1),$\alpha = [y], \beta = [y]$, Thus leads to a contradiction.

(3) $\forall x \in X \quad x \in [x]$ Hence $x \in \bigcup\limits_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha$Hence $X \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha$.Conversely, $\forall \alpha \in X/\sim, \alpha$ is a subset of X. Hence $\bigcup\limits_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha \subseteq X$.Then $X = \bigcup\limits_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha$

11.4 Def

Let G be a group and X be a set
We call left/right action of G on X ant mapping $G \times X \to X : (g,x) \mapsto gx/(g,x) \mapsto xg$ that satisfies:

- $\forall x \in X \quad 1x = x \ / \ x1 = x$
- $\forall (g,h) \in G^2, x \in X \quad g(hx) = (gh)x \ / \ (xg)h = x(gh)$

11.5 Remark

If we denote by G^{op} the set G equipped with the composition law :

$$G \times G \to G$$

$$(g,h) \mapsto hg$$

The a right action of G on X is just a left action of G^{op} on X.

11.6 Prop

Let G be a group and X be a set . Assume given a left action of G on X. Then the binary relation $\sim$ on X defined as $x \sim y$ iff $\exists g \in G \quad y = gx$ is an equivalence relation

11.7 Notation on Equivalence Class

We denote by G/X the set $X/\sim$ $\forall x \in X$ the equivalence class of x is denoted as Gx/xG or $orb_G(x)$ call the orbit of x under the action of G

11.8 Proof

- $\forall x \in X \quad x = 1x$ so $x \sim x$
- $\forall (x,y) \in X^2$ if $y = gx$ for same $g \in G$ then $g^{-1}y = g^{-1}(gx) = (g^{-1}g)x = 1x = x.(y \sim x)$
- $\forall (x,y,z) \in X^3$,if $\exists (g,h) \in G^2$, such that $y = gx$ and then $z = h(gx) = (hg)x$ So $x \sim z$

11.9 Quotient set

Let X be a set and $\sim$ be an equivalence relation, the mapping $X \to X/\sim$: $(x \in X) \mapsto [x]$ is called the projection mapping.
$X/\sim$ is called the quotient set of X by equivalence relation $\sim$

11.9.1 Example

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G.Then the mapping

$$H \times G \to G$$

$$(h,g) \mapsto hg/(h,g) \mapsto gh$$

is a left/right action of H on G. Thus we obtain two quotient sets H/G and G/H

11.10 Def

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G. Ig $\forall g \in G, h \in H \quad ghg^{-1} \in H$, Then we say that H is a normal subgroup of G

11.11 Remark

$\forall g \in G, gH = Hg$, provided that H is a normal subgroup of G. In fact $\forall h \in$,

- $\exists h' \in H$ such that $ghg^{-1} = h'$ Hence $gh = h'g$. This shows $gH \subseteq Hg$
- $\exists h'' \in H$ such that $g^{-1}hg = h''$ Hence $hg = gh''$. This shows $Hg \subseteq gH$

Thus $gH = Hg$

11.12 Prop

If G is commutative, any subgroup of G is normal

11.13 Theorem

Let G be a group and H be a normal subgroup of G. Then the mapping

$$G/H \times H/G \to G/H$$

$$(xH, Hx) \mapsto (xy)H$$

is well defined and determine a structure of group of quotient set G/H Moreover the projection mapping

$$\pi : G \to G/H$$

$$x \mapsto xH$$

is a morphism of groups.

Proof

- If $xH = x'H, yH = y'H$ then $\exists h_1 \in H, h_2 \in H$ such that $x' = xh_1, y' = yh_2$ Hence $x'y' = xh_1yh_2 = (xy)(y^{-1}h_1y)h_2$. For $y^{-1}h_1y, h_2 \in H$ then $(x'y')H = (xy)H$. So the mapping is well defined.
- $\forall (x, y, x) \in G^3 \quad (xH)(yH \cdot zH) = xH((yx)H) = (x(yz)H = ((xy)z)H = ((xy)H)zH = (xH \cdot yH)zH)$
- $\forall x \in G \quad 1H \cdot xH = xH \cdot 1H = xH \quad x^{-1}HxH = xHx^{-1}H = 1H$
- $\pi(xy) = (xy)H = xH \cdot yH = \pi(x)\pi(y)$

11.14 Def

Let K be a unitary ring and E be a left K-module. We say that a subgroup F og $(E, +)$ is a left sub-K-module of E if $\forall (a, x) \in K \times F, ax \in F$

11.15 Prop

Let K be a unitary ring , E be a left K-module and F be a sub-K-module. Then the mapping

$$K \times (E/F) \to E/F$$

$$(a, [x]) \mapsto [ax]$$

is well defined , and defines a left-K-module structure on E/F. Moreover, the projection mapping $pi : E \to E/F$ is a morphism of left-K-modules

Proof

Let x and x' be elements of E such that $[x] = [x']$, that meas: $x' - x \in F$ Hence $a(x' - x) = ax' - ax \in F$ So $[ax] = [ax']$
Let us check that E/F forms a left K-module.

- $a([x] + [y]) = a([x + y]) = [a(x + y)] = [ax + ay] = [ax] + [ay]$
- $(a + b)[x] = [(a + b)x] = [ax + bx] = [ax] + [bx]$
- $1[x] = [1x] = [x]$
- $a(b[x]) = a[bx] = [a(bx)] = [(ab)x] = (ab)[x]$

By the provided proposition, π is a morphism of groups. Moreover $\forall x \in E, a \in K \quad \pi(ax) = [ax] = a[x] = a\pi(x)$

11.16 Def

Let A be a unitary ring . We call two-sided ideal any subgroup I of $(A, +)$ that satisfies : $\forall x \in I, a \in A \quad \{ax, xa\} \subseteq I()$ (I is a left and right sub-K-module of A)

11.17 Theorem

Let A be a unitary ring and I be a two sided ideal of A . The mapping

$$(A/I) \times (A/I) \to A/I$$

$$([a], [b]) \mapsto [ab]$$

is well defined. Moreover , A/I becomes a unitary ring under the addition and this composition law, and the projection mapping

$$A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/I$$

is a morphism of unitary ring (if is a morphism of additive groups and multiplicative monoids, namely $\pi(a + b) = \pi(a) + \pi(b), \pi(ab) = \pi(a)\pi(b), \pi(1) = 1$)

Proof

If $a' \sim a, b' \sim b$ that means $a' - a \in I, b' - b \in I$ then $a'b' - ab = a'b' - a'b + a'b - ab = a'(b' - b) + (a' - a)b$. For $(a' - a), (b' - b) \in I$, then $a'b' - ab \in I$ Therefore $a'b' \sim ab$

11.17.1 Reside Class

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, n = dm\}$ $d\mathbb{Z}$ is a two sided ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$
If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad adm = dma \in d\mathbb{Z}$
Denote by $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ the quotient ring. The class of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is called the reside class of n modulo d
If A is a commutative unitary ring, a two sided ideal of A is simply called an ideal of A

11.18 Theorem

Let $f : G \to H$ be a morphism of groups

(1) $Im(f)$ is a subgroup of H

(2) $\ker(f) := \{x \in G \mid f(x) = 1_H\}$ is a normal subgroup of G

(3) The mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{f} :& G/Ker(f) \to Im(f) \\ & [x] \mapsto f(x)\end{aligned}$$

is well defined and is an isomorphism of groups

(4) f is injective iff $\ker(f) = \{1_G\}$

Proof

(1) Let α and β be elements of $Im(f)$. Let $(x, y) \in G^2$ such that $\alpha = f(x), \beta = f(y)$ Then $\alpha\beta^{-1} = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(xy^{-1}) \in Im(f)$ So $Im(f)$ is a subgroup

(2) Let x and y be elements of $\ker(f)$.
One has $f(xy^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = 1_H 1_H^{-1} = 1_H$
So $xy^{-1} \in \ker f$. Hence $\ker f$ is a subgroup of G
Let $x \in \ker f, y \in G$.
One has $f(yxy^{-1}) = f(y)f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(y)f(y)^{-1} = 1_H$ Hence $yxy^{-1} \in \ker f$. So $\ker f$ is a normal subgroup

(3) If $x \sim y$ then $\exists z \in \ker f$ such that $y = xz$ Hence $f(y) = f(x)f(z) = f(x)1_H = f(x)$ So f is well defined.
Moreover $\widetilde{f}([x][y]) = \widetilde{f}([xy]) = f(xy) = f(x)f(y) = f([x])f([y])$ Hence $\widetilde{f}$ is a morphism of groups.
By definition $Im(\widetilde{f}) = Im(f)$ If x and y are elements of G such that $f(x) = f(y)$ then $f(xy^{-1}) = 1_H$
Hence $xy^{-1} \in \ker f$ Since $x = (xy^{-1})y, x \sin y$ that means $[x] = [y]$
Therefore $\widetilde{f}$ is injective.

(4) If f is injective ,$\forall x \in \ker f \quad f(x) = 1_H = f(1_G)$, so $x = 1_G$. Therefore $\ker f\{1_G\}$
Conversely , suppose that $\ker f = \{1_G\} \quad \forall (x, y) \in G^2$ if $f(x) = f(y)$ then $f(x)f(y)^{-1} = 1_H$. Hence $xy^{-1} = 1_G, x = y$

11.19 Theorem

Let K be a unitary ring and $f : E \to F$ be a morphism of left K-modules. Then

(1) $Im(f)$ is a left-sub-K-module of F

(2) $\ker(f)$ is a left-sub-K-module of E

(3) $\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f} : & E/\ker f \to Im(f) \\ & [x] \mapsto f(x) \end{aligned}$ is a isomorphism of left K-modules

Proof

(1) $\forall x \in E, \quad f(ax) = af(x)$ So $af(x) \in Im(f)$

(2)

(3)

Chapter 12

Topology

12.1 Def

Let X be a set. We call topology on X any subset $\mathcal{J}$ of $\wp(x)$ that satisfies:

- $\varnothing \in \mathcal{J}$ and $X \in \mathcal{J}$
- If $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is an arbitrary family of elements in $\mathcal{J}$, then $\bigcup\limits_{i \in I} u_i \in \mathcal{J}$
- If u and v are elements of $\mathcal{J}$, then $u \cap v \in \mathcal{J}$

12.2 Remark

If $(u_i)_i^n = 1$ is a finite family of elements of $\mathcal{J}$, then $\bigcap\limits_{i=1}^{n} u_i \in \mathcal{J}$(by induction, this follows from (3))

12.2.1 Example

$\{\phi, X\}$ is a topology. call the trivial topology on $\wp(X)$ is a topology called the discrete topology.

12.3 Def

Let X be a set. We call metric on X any mapping $d : \begin{matrix} X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ (x,y) \mapsto d(x,y) \end{matrix}$,that satisfies

- $d(x,y) = 0$ iff x=y
- $\forall (x,y) \in X^2, d(x,y) = d(y,x)$
- $\forall (x,y,z) \in X^3 \quad d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$(triangle inequality)

(X, d) is called a metric space

12.3.1 Example

Let X be a set

$$d : X^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ x \neq y \\ 0 & if\ x = y \end{cases}$$

is a metric

12.4 Def

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any $x \in X, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, let $B(x,\epsilon) := \{y \in X \mid d(x,y) \leq \epsilon\}$ We call the open ball of radius ϵ centered at x

12.4.1 Example

Consider $(\mathbb{R}, d)$ with $d(x,y) = |x-y|$,then $B(x,\epsilon) =]x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon[$

12.5 Prop.

Let (X,d) be a metric space . let $\mathcal{J}_d$ be the set of $U \subseteq X$ such that $\forall x \in U \exists \epsilon > 0 \quad B(x,\epsilon) \subseteq U$ THen $\mathcal{J}_d$ is a topology on X

Proof

- $\varnothing \in \mathcal{J}_d \quad X \in \mathcal{J}_d$
- Let $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of $\mathcal{J}_d$ Let $U = \bigcup\limits_{i \in I} u_i$, $\forall x \in U, \exists i \in I$ such that $x \in u_i$. Since $u_i \in \mathcal{J}_d, \exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $B(x,y) \subseteq u_i \subseteq U$ Hence $U \in \mathcal{J}_d$
- Let U and V be elements of $\mathcal{J}_d$ Let $x \in U \cap V$ $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $B(x,a) \subseteq U, B(x,b) \subseteq V$ Taking $\epsilon = \min\{a,b\}$, Then $B(x,\epsilon) = B(x,a) \cap B(x,b) \subseteq U \cap V$ Therefore $U \cap V \in \mathcal{J}_d$

12.6 Def

$\mathcal{J}_d$ is called the topology induced by the metric d

12.7 Def

We call topology space any pair $(X, \mathcal{J})$ where X is a set and $\mathcal{J}$ is a topology on X

Given a topological space $(X, \mathcal{J})$ If $U \in \mathcal{J}$ then we say that U is an open subset of X. If $F \in \wp(X)$ such that $X \backslash F \in \mathcal{J}$, then we say that F is closed subset of X

If there exists d a metric on X such that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_d$ then we say that $\mathcal{J}$ is metrizable

12.7.1 Example

Let X be a set . The discrete topology on X is metrizable. In fact,m if d denote the metric defined as $d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ x \neq y \\ 0 & if\ x = y \end{cases}$

$\forall x \in X \quad B(x,1) = \{x\}$ So $\{x\} \in \mathcal{J}_d$ Hence $\forall A \subseteq X \quad A = \bigcup\limits_{x \in A} \{x\} \in \mathcal{J}_d$

12.8 Axiom of choice

For any set I and any family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of non-empty sets , there exists a mapping $f : I \to \bigcup\limits_{i \in I} A_i$ such that $\forall i \in I, f(i) \in A_i$

12.9 Def

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set If $\forall A \subseteq X$ A is non-empty , there exists a least element of A then we say that $(X, \leq)$ is a well ordered set.

12.10 Theorem

For any set X, there exists an order relation $\leq$ on such that $(X, \leq)$ forms a well ordered set.

12.11 Zorn's lemma

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set . If $\forall A \subseteq X$ that is totally ordered with respect to $\leq$, there exists an upper bound of A inside X. Then , there exists a maximal element x_0 of $X (\forall y \in X, y > x_0$ does not hold)

12.12 Prop.

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a well ordered set , $y \notin X$. We extends $\leq$ to $X \cup \{y\}$, such that $\forall x \in X, x < y$. Then $(X \cup \{y\}, \leq)$ is well ordered.

12.13 Proof

Let $A \subseteq X \cup \{y\}, A \neq \varnothing$. If $A = \{y\}$ then Y is the least element of A. If $A \neq \{y\}$ then $B = A \backslash \{y\}$ is non-empty. Let b be the least element of B. Since $b < y$ it's also the least element of A

12.14 Def: Initial Segment

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a well ordered set. $S \subseteq X$, If $\forall s \in S, x \in X \quad x < s$ initial $x \in S(X_{<s} \subseteq S)$, then we say that S is an initial segment of X

If S is a initial segment such that $S = X$ then we sat that S is a proper initial segment.

12.15 Example

$\forall x \in X \quad X_{<x} = \{s \in X \mid s < x\}$ Then $X_{<x}$ is a proper initial segment of X.

12.16 Prop.

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a well ordered set , If $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of initial segment of X, then $\bigcup\limits_{i \in I} S_i$ is an initial segment of X

12.17 Proof

$\forall s \in \bigcup\limits_{i \in I} S_i, \exists i \in I$ such that $s \in S_i, i \in I$ Therefore $X_{<s} \subseteq S_i \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{i \in I} S_i$

12.18 Prop.

Let $(X <\leq)$ be a well erodered set.

(1) Let S be a proper initial segment of X, $x = \min(X \backslash S)$ Then $S = X_{<x}$

(2) $\begin{aligned} X &\to \wp(X) \\ x &\mapsto X_{<x} \end{aligned}$

(3) The set of all initial segments of X forms a well ordered subset of $(\wp(x), \subseteq)$

12.19 Proof

(1) $\forall s \in S$ if $x \leq s$ then $x \in S$ contradiction.Hence $s < x$, This shows $S \subseteq X_{<x}$ Conversely , if $t \in X, t \notin X \backslash S$ Hence $t \in S$. Hence $X_{<x} \subseteq S$

(2) Let $x, y \in X, x < y$ By definition $X_{<x} \subseteq X_{<y}$ Moreover $x \in X_{<y} \backslash X_{<x}$ So $X_{<x} \subsetneqq X_{<y}$

(3) Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \wp(X)$ be a set of initial segments. $\mathcal{F} \neq \varnothing$. Then there exists $A \subseteq X$ such that $\mathcal{F} \backslash \{x\} = \{X_{<x} \mid x \in A\}$ If $A = \varnothing$ then $\mathcal{F} = \{X\}$, and $\{X\}$is the least element of $\mathcal{F}$. Otherwise $A \neq \varnothing$ and A has a least element a. Then by(2) $X_{<}a$ is the least element of $\mathcal{F}$

12.20 Lemma

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a well ordered set, $f : X \to X$ be a strictly increasing mapping. Then $\forall x \in X, x \leq f(x)$

Proof

Let $A = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < x\}$ If $A \neq \varnothing$, let a be the least element of A. By definition $f(a) < a$. Hence $f(f(a)) < f(a)$ since f is strictly increasing . This shows $f(a) \in A$. But a is the least element of A, $f(a) < a$ cannot hold: contradiction.

12.21 Prop

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a well ordered set, S and T be two initial segment of X . If $f : S \to T$ is a bijection that's strictly increasing , then $S = T, f = Id_S$

Proof

We may assume $T \subseteq S$.Let $l : T \to S$ be the induction mapping and $g = l \circ f : S \to S$. Since g is strictly increasing , by the lemma ,$\forall s \in S, s \leq g(s) = f(s) \in T$. Since T is an initial segment, $s \in T$. Hence $S = T$
Apply the lemma to f^{-1} we get $\forall s \in S, s \leq f^{-1}(s)$ Hence $f(s) \leq s$ Therefore $f(s) = s$

12.22 Def

Let $(X, \leq)$ and $(Y, \leq)$ be partially ordered sets. If $\exists f : X \to Y$ that's increasing and bijective, we say that $(X, \leq)$ and $(Y, \leq)$ are isomorphic

12.23 Def

Let $(X, \leq)$ and $(Y, \leq)$ be well ordered sets. If $(X, \leq)$ is isomorphic to an initial segment of Y. We note $X \preceq Y$ or $Y \succeq X$. If X is isomorphic to Y, we note $X \sim Y$. If $X \preceq Y$ but $X \not\sim Y$, we note $X \prec Y$ or $Y \prec X$

12.24 Prop.

Let X and Y be well ordered sets. Among the following condition, one and only one holds.

$$X \prec Y \quad X \sim Y \quad X \succ Y$$

Proof

We construct a correspondence f from X to Y, such that $(x, y) \in \Gamma_f$, iff $X_{<x} \sim Y_{<y}$
By the last proposition of Oct. 11, f is a function.

- If $a, b \in Dom(f)^2, a < b$,then $X_{<a} \subsetneqq X_{<b}$
  By definition, $Y_{<f(b)} \sim X_{<b} \quad Y_{<f(a)} \sim X_{<a}$
  Hence $Y_{<f(a)}$ is isomorphic to a proper initial segment of $Y < f(b)$. Therefore $Y_{f(a)}$ is a proper initial segment of $Y_< f(B)$. We then get $f(a) < f(b)$. Thus f is strictly increasing.

- Let $a \in Dom(f)$ Let $x \in X, x < a$ Then $X_{<x}$ is a initial segment of $X_{<a} \sim Y_{<f(a)}$ Hence $\exists y \in Y \quad X_{<x} \sim Y_{<y}$ This shows that $x \in Dom(f)$. Hence $Dom(f)$ is an initial segment of X. Applying this to f^{-1}, we get : $Im(f) = Dom(f)$ is an initial segment of Y

- Either $Dom(f) = X$ or $Im(f) = Y$.
  Assume that $x \in X \backslash Dom(f), y \in Y \backslash Im(f)$ are respectively the least elements of $X \backslash Dom(f)$ and $Y \backslash Im(f)$.
  Then we get $Dom(f) = X_{<x}, Im(f) = Y_{<y}$.
  We obtain $X_{<x} \sim Y_{<y}, (x, y) \in \Gamma_f$. Contradiction

-

Case 1 $Dom(f) = X, Im(f) \subsetneqq Y \quad X \prec Y$

Case 2 $Dom(f) \subsetneqq X, Im(f) = Y \quad X \succ Y$

Case 3 $Dom(f) = X, Im(f) = Y \quad X \sim Y$

12.25 Lemma

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set . $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \wp(X)$. Assume that

- $\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}, (A, \leq)$ is a well-ordered set .

- $\forall (A, B) \in \mathfrak{S}^2$, either A is an initial segment of B, or B is a initial segment of A.

Let $Y = \bigcup\limits_{A \in \mathfrak{S}} A$. Then $(Y, \leq)$ is a well ordered set, and ,$\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}, A$ is an initial segment of Y.

Proof

- Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}, x \in A, y \in Y, y < x$.Since $Y = \bigcup_{B \in \mathfrak{S}} B$,$\exists B \in \mathfrak{S}$, such that $y \in B$. If $y \notin A$ then $B \not\subseteq A$. Hence A is an initial segment of B. Hence $y \in A$. Contradiction

- Let $Z \subseteq Y, Z \neq \varnothing$. Then $\exists A \in \mathfrak{S}, A \cap Z \neq \mathfrak{S}$. Let m be the least element of $A \cap Z$. Let $z \in Z, B \in \mathfrak{S}$, such that $z \in B$. If $z \in A$, then $m \leq z$. If $z \notin A$, then A is an initial segment of B.

Since B is well ordered , if $m \not\leq z$ then $z < m$. Since $m \in A$, we het $z \in A$. Contradiction.

Therefore, m is the least element of Z.

12.26 Theorem(Zorn's lemma)

Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set. Suppose that any well-ordered subset of X has an upper bound on X, the X has a maximal element(a maximal element m of $\{x \mid x > m\} = \varnothing$)

Proof

Suppose that X doesn't have any maximal element. $\forall A \in \omega.\exists f(A)$ such that $\forall a \in A, a < f(A)$
Let

$$\omega = \{\text{well ordered subset of X}\}$$

. (guaranteed by axiom of choice)
Let $f : \omega \to X$ such that $f(A)$ is an upper bound of $A \in \omega$.
If $A \in \omega$ satisfies

$$\forall a \in Aa = f(A_{<a})$$

, we say that A is a f-set
Let

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{f - sets\}$$

Note that

$$\varnothing \in \mathfrak{S}$$

if

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}, A \cap \{f(A)\} \in \mathfrak{S}$$

In fact, if $a \in A$, then

$$A_{<a} = (A \cup \{f(A)\})_{<a}$$

If $a = f(A) \notin A$ then

$$(A \cup \{f(A)\})_{<a} = A$$

Let A and B be elements of $\mathfrak{S}$. Let I be the union of all common initial segments of A and B. This is also a common initial segment of A and B.
If $I \neq A$ and $I \neq B$, then

$$\exists(a,b) \in A \times B, I = A_{<a} = B_{<b} \quad f(I) = f(A_{<a}) = f(B_{<b})$$

. Hence

$$a = b$$

. Then $I \cup \{a\}$ is also a common initial segment of A and B, contradiction.

By the lemma ,

$$Y := \bigcup_{A \in \mathfrak{S}} A$$

is well-ordered , and $\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}$ is an initial segment of Y.

Since A is an initial segment of Y

$$\forall a \in Y, \exists A \in \mathfrak{S} \quad a \in A A_{<a} = Y_{<a}$$

. Hence

$$f(Y_{<a}) = f(A_{<a}) = a$$

. Hence

$$y \in \mathfrak{S}$$

. Thus Y is the greatest element of $(\mathfrak{S}, \subseteq)$. However,

$$Y \cup \{f(Y)\} \in \mathfrak{S}$$

. Hence

$$f(y) \in Y$$

.

If $f(y)$ is not a maximal element of X

$$\exists x \in X, f(y) < x$$

Chapter 13

Filter

13.1 Def

Let Xbe a set. We call filter if X any $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \wp(x)$ that satisfies:

(1) $\mathcal{F} \neq \varnothing, \varnothing \notin \mathcal{F}$

(2) $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \forall B \in \wp(X)$, if $A \subseteq B$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$

(3) $\forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}, A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$

13.1.1 Example

(1) Let $Y \subseteq X, Y \neq \varnothing$. $\mathcal{F}_Y := \{A \in \wp(X) \mid Y \subseteq A\}$ is a filter, called the principal filter of Y.

(2) Let X be an infinite set.

$$\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(X) := \{A \in \wp(X) \mid X \backslash A \text{is infinite}\}$$

is a filter called the Fréchet filter of X.

(3) Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space, $x \in X$ We call neighborhood of x any $V \in \wp(X)$ such that $\exists u \in \mathcal{J}$, satisfying $x \in U \subseteq V$. Then $\mathcal{V} = \{\text{neighborhoods of x}\}$ is a filter.

13.2 Def: Filter Basis

Let X ba a set. $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \wp(X)$. If $\varnothing \notin \mathscr{B}$ and $\forall (B_1, b_2) \in \mathscr{B}^2, \exists B \in \mathscr{B}$, such that $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. We say that $\mathscr{B}$ is a filter basis.

13.2.1 Remark

If $\mathscr{B}$ is a filter basis, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) = \{A \subseteq X \mid \exists B \in \mathscr{B} \quad B \subseteq A\}$ is a filter

Proof

$\varnothing \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}), \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) \neq \varnothing$ since $0 \neq B \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}), A' \in \wp(X)$such that $A \subseteq A'$, then $\exists B \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq A'$. Hence $A' \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$ If $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$, then $\exists (B_1, B_2) \in \mathscr{B}^2$ such that $B_1 \subseteq A_1, B_2 \subseteq A_2$. Since $\mathscr{B}$ is a filter basis, $\exists B \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$ Hence $A_1 \cap A_2 \in A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$

13.2.2 Example

- Let $Y \subseteq X, Y \neq \varnothing$
  $\mathscr{B} = \{Y\}$ is a filter basis. $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathcal{F}_Y = \{A \subseteq X \mid Y \subseteq A\}$
- Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space $x \in X$. If $\mathscr{B}_x$ is a filter basis such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathcal{V}_x = \{\text{neighborhood of } x\}$, then we say that $\mathscr{B}_x$ is a neighborhood basis of x

13.3 Remark

Let $\mathscr{B}_x$ is a neighborhood basis of x iff

- $\mathscr{B}_x \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$
- $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}_x \quad \exists U \in \mathscr{B}_x$ such that $U \subseteq V$
- Let (X, d) be a metric space , $x \in X \forall \epsilon > 0$, Let

$$B(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$$

$$\overline{B}(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}$$

  Then

  - $\{B(x, \epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x
  - $\{\overline{B}(x, \frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x
  - $\{B(x, \epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x
  - $\{\overline{B}(x, \frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x

13.3.1 Example

$\mathcal{V}_x \cap \mathcal{J}$ is a neighborhood basis of x

13.4 Def

$V \in \wp(X)$ is called a neighborhood of x if $\exists U | in \mathcal{J}$ such that $x \in U \subseteq V$

13.5 Remark

Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space, $x \in X$ and $\mathscr{B}_x$ a neighborhood basis os x. Suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is countable . We choose a surjective mapping $(B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ from $\mathbb{N}$ to$\mathscr{B}_x$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = B_0 \cap B_1 \cap ... \cap B_n \in \mathcal{V}_x$ The sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing adn $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x.

13.6 Extra Episode

$\wp(\mathbb{N})$is NOT countable

Suppose that $f : \wp(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$ injective. Then $\exists g : \mathbb{N} \to \wp(\mathbb{N})$ surjective. Taking $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \notin g(n)\}$. Since g is surjective, $\exists a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A = g(a)$.

If $a \in A$, then $a \in g(a)$, hence $a \notin A$

If $a \notin A$, then $a \in g(a) = A$

Contradiction

13.7 Prop.

Let Y and R be sets, $g : Y \to E$ be a mapping ,

- If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter of Y, then

  $$G_*(\mathcal{F}) := \{A \in \wp(E) \mid g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

  is a filter on E

- If $\mathscr{B}$ is a filter basis of Y, then

  $$g(\mathscr{B}) := \{g(B) \mid B \in \mathscr{B}\}$$

  is a filter of E, adn $\mathcal{F}(g(\mathscr{B})) = g_*(\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}))$

Proof

(1) $E \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$ since $g^{-1}(E) = Y$
$\varnothing \notin g_x(\mathcal{F})$ since $g^{-1}(\varnothing) = \varnothing$

If $A \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$ and $A' \supseteq A$, then $g^{-1}(A') \supseteq g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{J}$, so $g^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{J}$, Hence $A' \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$

If $A_1, A_2 \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$. Then $g^{-1}(A_1) \in \mathcal{F}, g^{-1}(A_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ Hence $g^{-1}(A_1 \cap A_2) = g^{-1}(A_1) \cap g^{-1}(A_2) \in \mathcal{F}$. So $A_1 \cap A_2 \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$.

(2) Since g is a mapping , and $\varnothing \notin \mathscr{B}$, we get $\varnothing \notin g(\mathscr{B})$,since $\mathscr{B} \neq \varnothing, g(\mathscr{B}) \neq \varnothing$.

Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathscr{B}$, there exists $C \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $C \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. Hence $g(C) \subseteq g(B_1) \cap g(B_2)$, namely $g(\mathscr{B})$ is a filter basis.

Chapter 14

Limit point and accumulation point

We fix a topological space $(X, \mathcal{J})$

14.1 Def

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter of X and $x \in X$

- If $\mathcal{V}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ then we say that x is an limit point of $\mathcal{F}$
- If $\forall (A, V) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{V}_x, A \cap V \neq \varnothing$, we say that x is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{F}$

So any limit point of $\mathcal{F}$ is necessarily a accumulation point of $mathcalF$

14.2 Prop

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a filter basis of X, $x \in X, \mathscr{B}_x$ a neighborhood basis of x. Then x is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$ iff $\forall (B, U) \in \mathscr{B} \times \mathscr{B}_x, B \cap U \neq \varnothing$

Proof

Necessity

Since $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}), \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$, the necessity is true.

Sufficiency

Let $(A, V) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) \times \mathcal{V}_x$. There exist $B \in \mathscr{B}, U \in \mathscr{B}_x$, such that $B \subseteq A, U \subseteq V$. Hence $\varnothing \neq B \cap U \subseteq A \cap V$

14.3 Def

Let $Y \subseteq X, Y \neq \varnothing$. W call accumulation point of Y any accumulation point of the principal filter $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subseteq X \mid Y \subseteq A\}$. We denote by $\overline{Y} = \{\text{accumulation points of } Y\}$. Note that $x \in \overline{Y}$ iff $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_x, Y \cap U \neq \varnothing$
By convention $\overline{\varnothing} = \varnothing$

14.4 Prop

Let $Y \subseteq X$. Then $\overline{Y}$ is the smallest closed subset of X containing Y.

Proof

$\forall x \in X \backslash \overline{Y}$, then there exists $U_x = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{J}$, such that $Y \cap U_x = \varnothing$. Moreover , $\forall y \in U_x, U_x \in \mathcal{V}_y \cap \mathcal{J}$. This shows that $\forall y \in U_x, y \notin \overline{Y}$. Therefore $X \backslash \overline{Y} = \bigcap_{x \in X \backslash \overline{Y}} U_x \in \mathcal{J}$

Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a closed subset that contain Y. Suppose that $\exists y \in \overline{Y} \backslash Z$. Then $U = X \backslash Z \in \mathcal{V}_y \cap \mathcal{J}$ and $U \cap Y \subseteq U \cap Z = \varnothing$. So $y \notin \overline{Y}$ contradiction. Hence $\overline{Y} \subseteq Z$.

Chapter 15

Limit of mappings

15.1 Def

Let $(E, \mathcal{J}_E)$ be a topological space . $f : Y \to E$ a mapping , and $\mathcal{F}$ eb a filter of Y. If $a \in E$ is a limit point of $F_*(\mathcal{F})$ namely , $\forall \text{neighborhood} V \text{of} a, f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$, then we say that a is a limit of the filter $\mathcal{F}$ by f

15.2 Remark

Let $\mathscr{B}_a$ be a neighborhood basis of a. Then $\mathcal{V}_a \subseteq f_x(\mathcal{F})$, iff $\mathscr{B} \subseteq f_*(\mathcal{F})$ Therefore , a is a limit of $\mathcal{F}$ by f iff $\forall V \in \mathscr{B}_a, f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$

15.2.1 Example

Let $(E, \mathcal{J}_E)$ be a topological space. $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset, $x = (x_n)_{n \in I} \in E^I$. If the Fréchet filter $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(I)$ has a limit $a \in E$ by the mapping $x : I \to E$, we say that $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to a ,denote as

$$a = \lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n$$

15.3 Remark

$a = \lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n$ iff, $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_a$(where $\mathscr{B}_a$ is a neighborhood basis of a), $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in U$ for any $n \in I_{\geq N}$

Suppose that $\mathcal{J}_E$ is induced by a metric $d.\{B(a, \epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}, \{\overline{B}(a, \epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}\{B(a, \frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}\{\overline{B}(a, \frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}$ are all neighborhood basis of a .There fore , the following are equivalent

- $a = \lim_{n \in i, n \to +\infty} x_n$
- $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{\geq N}, d(x_n, a) < \epsilon$

- $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{\geq N}, d(x_n, a) \leq \epsilon$
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{\geq N}, d(x_n, a) < \frac{1}{n}$
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{\geq N}, d(x_n, a) \leq \frac{1}{n}$

($x^{-1}(B(a, \epsilon)) = \{n \in I \mid d(x_n, a) < \epsilon\}$? unknown position)

15.4 Remark

We consider the metric d on $\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\forall (x, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad d(x, y) := |x - y|$$

The topology of $\mathbb{R}$ defined by this metric is called the usual topology on $\mathbb{R}$

15.5 Prop

Let $(x_n)_{n \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite subset. Let $l \in \mathbb{R}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to l in the topological space $\mathbb{R}$
- $\liminf\limits_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = \limsup\limits_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = l$
- $\limsup\limits_{n \in I, n \to} |x_n - l| = 0$

15.6 Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space .Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset and $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ be an element of X^I. Let $l \in X$. The following statements are equivalent:

- $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to l
- $\limsup\limits_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} d(x_n, l) = 0$(equivalent to $\lim\limits_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} d(x, l) = 0$)

Proof

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) The condition (1) is equivalent to $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{\geq N}, d(x_n, l) < \epsilon$. We then get $\sup\limits_{n \in I_{geqN}} d(x, l) \leq \epsilon$. Therefore $\limsup\limits_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} d(x_n, l) \leq \epsilon$ We obtain that $\limsup\limits_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} = 0$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ If $\inf\limits_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sup\limits_{n \in I_{\geq N}} d(x_n, l) = 0$. Then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \sup\limits_{n \in I_{\leq N}} d(x_n, l) < \epsilon$. Hence ,$\forall n \in I_{\geq N} d(x_n, l) < \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, $(*)$ is true, Hence (1) is also true .

15.7 Prop

Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space . $Y \subseteq X, p \in \overline{Y} \backslash Y$.Then

$$\mathcal{V}_{p,Y} := \{V \cap Y \mid V \in \mathcal{V}_p\}$$

is a filter of Y.

Proof

Y is not empty otherwise $\overline{Y} = \varnothing$.

- $Y = X \cap Y \in \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$
  $\varnothing \notin \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$ since $p \in \overline{Y}$
- Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_p$ and $A \subseteq Y$ such that $V \cap Y \subseteq A$. Let $U = V \cup (A \backslash (V \cap Y)) \in \mathcal{V}_p$ and $U \cap Y = A \in \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$
- Let U and V be elements of $\mathcal{V}_p$ Let $W = U \cap V \in \mathcal{V}_p$ Then $W \cap Y = (U \cap Y) \cap (V \cap Y) \in \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$

15.8 Def

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_x)$ and $(E, \mathcal{J}_E)$ be topological spaces, $Y \subseteq X, p \in \overline{Y} \backslash Y$, and $f : Y \to E$ be a mapping . If a is a limit point of $(F_*(\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}))$, then we say that a is a limit of f when the variable $y \in Y$ tends to p, denoted as $a = \lim\limits_{y \in Y, y \to p} f(y)$

15.9 Remark

If $\mathscr{B}_a$ is a neighborhood basis of a. Then $a = \lim\limits_{y \in Y, y \to p} f(y)$ is equivalent to $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_a \quad \exists V \in \mathcal{V}_p$ such that $Y \cap V \subseteq f_{-1}(U) (\Leftrightarrow f(Y \cap V) \subseteq U)$

15.10 Prop

Let X be a set, $\mathscr{B}$ be a filter basis, $\mathscr{G}$ be a filter. If $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{G}$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathscr{G}$.

Proof

Let $V \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$ By definition $\exists U \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $U \subseteq V$, since $U \in \mathscr{G}($ for $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{G})$ and since $\mathscr{G}$ is a filter, $V \in G$

15.11 Theorem

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_x)$ and $(E < \mathcal{J}_E)$ be topological spaces. $Y \subseteq X,\ p \in \overline{T} \backslash Y, a \in E$. We consider the following conditions.

(i) $a = \lim_{y \in Y, y \to p} f(y)$

(ii) $\forall (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ if $\lim_{n\to+\infty} y_n = p$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(y_n) = a$

The following statements are true

- If (i) holds, then (ii) also holds
- Assume that p has a countable neighborhood basis, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Proof

(1) Let $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $p = \lim_{n\to+\infty} y_n$. For any $U \in \mathcal{V}_p, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N} \quad y \in U \cap Y . y_n \in U \cap Y$ Therefore

$$\mathcal{V}_{p,Y} \subseteq y_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))$$

We then get

$$f_*(\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}) \subseteq f_*(y_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))) = (f \circ y)_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))$$

Condition (i) leads

$$\mathcal{V}_a \subseteq f_*(\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}) \subseteq (f \circ y)_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))$$

This means

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} f(y_n) = a$$

(2) Assume that p has a countable neighborhood basis . There exists a decreasing sequence $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{V}_P^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a neighborhood basis of p.
Assume that (i) does not hold. Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{V}_a$ such that ,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad V_n \cap Y \not\subseteq f^{-1}(U)$$

Take an arbitrary

$$y_n \in (V_n \cap Y) \backslash f^{-1}(U)$$

Therefore ,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} y_n = \varnothing$$

In fact,

$$\forall W \in \mathcal{V}_p, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad V_N \subseteq W$$

Hence

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N} \quad y_n \in W$$

However $f(y_n) \notin U$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,so $(f(y_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ cannot converges to a.

15.12 Prop.

Let X be a set. If $(\mathcal{J}_i)_{i\in I}$ is a family of topologies on X, then $\mathcal{J} = \bigcap\limits_{i\in I} \mathcal{J}_i$ is a topology. In particular. for any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \wp(X)$, there is a smallest topology on X that contain $\mathcal{A}$

15.12.1 Proof

- $\forall i \in I \quad \{\varnothing, X\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_i$ So $\{\varnothing, X\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$
- Let $(u_j)_{j\in J}$ be a family of elements of $\mathcal{J}$ $\forall j \in J, i \in I \quad u_i \in \mathcal{J}_i$ So $\bigcup\limits_{j\in J} u_j \in \mathcal{J}_i$ We then get $\bigcup\limits_{j\in J} u_j \in \mathcal{J}$
- Let U and V be elements of $\mathcal{J}$ $\forall i \in I, \{u, v\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_i$ So $U \cap V \in \mathcal{J}_i$. THerefore we get $U \cap V \in \mathcal{J}$ Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \wp(X)$ Let $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap\limits_{\mathcal{J}\subseteq\wp(X)\text{a topology}\mathcal{A}\subseteq\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{J}$ Then $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is a topology. By definition, if $\mathcal{J}$ is a topology containing $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ Hence $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is the smallest topology containing $\mathcal{A}$

Chapter 16

Continuity

16.1 Def

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ be topological spaces f be a function from X to Y, $x \in Dom(f)$. If for any neighborhood U of $f(x)$, there exists a neighborhood V of x such that $f(V) \subseteq U$. Then we say that f is continuous at x. If f is continuous at any $x \in Dom(f)$ then we say f is continuous.

16.2 Remark

Let $\mathscr{B}_{f(x)}$ be a neighborhood basis of $f(x)$ If $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_{f(x)}$ there exist $V \in \mathscr{B}_{f(x)} V_x$ such that $f(V) \subseteq U$, then f is continuous at x Suppose that X and Y are metric space. Then f is continuous at x iff:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall y \in Dom(f) \quad d(y,x) < \delta \text{ implies } \; d(f(y), f(x)) < \epsilon$$

16.3 Theorem

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ be topological spaces, f be a function from X to Y $x \in Dom(f)$ Consider the following condition

- f is continuous at x
- $\forall (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Dom(f)^{\mathbb{N}}$, if $\lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$, then $\lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$ THen (i) implies (ii) Moreover, if x has a countable neighborhood basis, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

16.4 Proof

$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Dom(f)^{\mathbb{N}}$ that converges to x $\forall U \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)} \exists V \in \mathcal{V}_x, f(V) \subseteq U$ Since $\lim\limits_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$,there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}$, $x_n \in V$.

Hence $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}, f(x_n) \in f(V) \subseteq U$. Thus $\lim_{n \to +\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ under the hypothesis that x has countable neighborhood basis. actually we will prove $NOT(i) \Rightarrow NOT(ii)$
Let $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence in $\mathcal{V}_x$ such that $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a neighborhood basis of x
If (i) does not hold, then $\exists U \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(V_n) \nsubseteq U$ Pick $x_n \in V_n$ such that $f(x_n) \notin U \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}, x_n \in V_N$. Hence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to x. However, $f(x_n) \notin U$ for any n So $(f(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not converges to $f(x)$. Therefore (ii) does not hold.

16.5 Prop

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y), (Z, \mathcal{J}_Z)$ be topological spaces. f be a function from X to Y, g be a function from Y to Z. Let $x \in Dom(g \circ f)$ If f and g are continuous at x. then $g \circ f$ is continuous at x sectionProof Let $U \in \mathcal{V}_{g(f(x))}$ Since g is continuous at $f(x)$:

$$\exists W \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)}, g(W) \subseteq U$$

Since f is continuous at x:

$$\exists V \in \mathcal{V}_x \quad f(V) \subseteq W$$

Therefore, $g(f(V)) \subseteq g(W) \subseteq U$ Hence $g \circ f$ is continuous at x

16.6 Def

Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, If any element of $\mathcal{J}$ can be written as the union of a family of sets in $\mathscr{B}$ we say that $\mathscr{B}$ is a topological basis of $\mathcal{J}$

16.7 Prop

Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ $\mathscr{B}$ is a topological basis iff

$$\forall x \in X, \mathscr{B}_x := \{V \in \mathscr{B} \mid x \in V\}$$

is a neighborhood basis of x

16.8 Proof

$\Rightarrow$:

$$\forall x \in X \mathscr{B}_x \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$$

Moreover,

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{V}_x \exists V \in \mathcal{V}, x \in V \subseteq U$$

. Since $\mathscr{B}$ is a topological basis of $\mathcal{J}$,

$$\exists W \in \mathscr{B}, x \in W \subseteq V \subseteq U$$

Hence $\mathcal{V}_x$ is generated by $\mathscr{B}_x$

$\Leftarrow$ Let $U \in \mathcal{J}$

$$\forall x \in U, U \in \mathcal{V}_x$$

So

$$\exists V_x \in \mathscr{B}_x \quad x \in V_x \subseteq U$$

Hence

$$U \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in U} V_x \subseteq U$$

Hence

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} V_x \in \mathcal{J}$$

16.9 Prop

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ be topological spaces. $\mathscr{B}_Y$ be a topological basis of $\mathcal{J}_Y$ $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) f is continuous

(2) $\forall U \in \mathcal{J}_Y, f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{J}_X$

(3) $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}, f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{J}_X$

Proof

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)

Lemma Let $(X, \mathcal{J})$ be a topological space, $V \in \wp(X)$, THen $V \in \mathcal{J}$ iff $\forall x \in V, V$ is a neighborhood of x

Proof of lemma $\Rightarrow$ is by definition
$Leftarrow$:

$$\forall x \in V, \exists W_x \in \mathcal{J}, x \in W_x \subseteq V.$$

Hence

$$V = \bigcap_{x \in V} W - x \in \mathcal{J}$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{J}_Y$

$$\forall x \in f^{-1}(U) \quad f(x) \in U$$

Hence

$$U \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)}$$

Hence there exists an open neighborhood W of x such that $f(W) \subseteq U$ Since f is a mapping ,

$$W \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$$

Therefore

$$f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{V}_x$$

Since x is arbitrary,

$$f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{J}_X$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) For (3) is a special situation of (2), it's natural.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $x \in X$

$$\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_Y \ s.t. \ f(x) \in U, f^{-1}(U)$$

is an open neighborhood of x, and

$$f(f^{-1}(U)) \subseteq U$$

Hence f is continuous at x

16.10 Def

LEt X be a set ,$((Y_i, \mathcal{J}_i))_{i \in I}$ be a family of topological spaces. $\forall i \in I$ let $f_i : X \to Y_i$ be a mapping. We call initial topology of $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ on X the smallest topology on X making all f_i continue

16.11 Remark

If $\mathcal{J}$ is the initial topology of $(f_i)_{i \in I}$, $\forall i \in I, U_i \in \mathcal{J}_i \quad f_i^{-1}(U_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ If $J \subseteq I$ is a finite subset, $(U_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod\limits_{j \in J} \mathcal{J}_j$ then $\bigcap\limits_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \in \mathcal{J}$

16.12 Prop

$$\mathscr{B} := \left\{ \bigcap_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \mid J \subseteq I \text{is finite} (U_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{J}_j \right\}$$

is a topological basis of the initial topology $\mathcal{J}$

Proof

First

$$\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$$

Let

$\mathcal{J}' = \{$subset V of X that can be written as the union of a family of sets in$\mathscr{B}\}$

- $\varnothing \in \mathcal{J}' \quad X \in \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}'$
- $\mathcal{J}'$ is stable by taking the union of any family of elements in $\mathcal{J}'$
- If V_1, V_2 are elements of $\mathcal{J}'$, then

  $$V_1 \cap V_2 \in \mathcal{J}'$$

  In fact, V_1, V_2 are of the form of the union of some sets of $\mathscr{B}$

The intersection of two elements of $\mathscr{B}$ is still a element of $\mathscr{B}$

$$\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in J'} f_j^{-1}(U_j')\right)$$

$$= \bigcap_{j \in J \cup J'} f_j^{-1}(W_j) \text{ where } W_j = \begin{cases} U_j & j \in J \backslash J' \\ U_j' & j \in J' \backslash J \\ U_j \cap U_j' & j \in J \cap J' \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\bigcap_{j \in J \backslash J'} f_j^{-1}(U_j)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in J \cap J'} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \cap f_j^{-1}(U_j')\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \in J' \backslash J} f_j^{-1}(U_j')\right)$$

So $\mathcal{J}'$ is a topology making all f_i continuous.Hence

$$\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}' \subseteq \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}$$

Example

Let $((Y_i, \mathcal{J}_i))_{i \in I}$ be topological spaces. $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$ and

$$\pi_i : \begin{array}{l} Y \to Y_i \\ (y_j)_{j \in I} \mapsto y_i \end{array}$$

The product topology on Y is by definition the initial topology of $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$

16.13 Theorem

Let X be a set , $((Y_i, \mathcal{J}_i))_{i\in I}$ be a family of topological spaces,

$$((f_i : X \to Y_i))_{i\in I}$$

be a family of mappings and we equip X with the initial topology $\mathcal{J}_X$ of $(f_i)_{i\in I}$
Let $(Z, \mathcal{J}_Z)$ be a topological space and

$$h : Z \to X$$

be a mapping. Then h is continuous iff

$$\forall i \in I, \quad f_i \circ h \text{is continuous}$$

16.13.1 Proof

$\Rightarrow$ If h is continuous, since each f_i is continuous, $f_i \circ h$ is also continuous.

$\Leftarrow$ Suppose that $\forall i \in I, f_i \circ h$ is continuous .Hence

$$\forall U_i \in \mathcal{J}_i, (f_i \circ h)_{-1}(U_i) = h^{-1}(f_i^{-1}(U_i)) \in \mathcal{J}_Z$$

Let

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ \bigcap_{j\in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \mid J \subseteq I\text{finite}(U_j)_{j\in J} \in \prod_{j\in J} \mathcal{J}_j \right\}$$

$\forall U \in \mathscr{B}$

$$h^{-1}(U) = \bigcap_{j\in J} h^{-1}(f_i^{-1}(U_i)) \in \mathcal{J}_Z$$

Therefore, h is continuous.

16.14 Remark

We keep the notation of the definition of initial topology If $\forall i \in I, \mathscr{B}_i$ is a topological basis of $\mathcal{J}_i$, then

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ \bigcap_{j\in J} f_i^{-1}(U_i) \mid J \subseteq I\text{finite}(U_j)_{j\in J} \in \prod_{j\in J} \mathscr{B}_j \right\}$$

is also a topological basis of the initial topology,

16.14.1 Example

Let $((X_i, d_i))_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ be a family of metric spaces.

$$X = \prod_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} X_i$$

We define a mapping

$$d : \begin{array}{l} X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ ((x_i)_i \in \{1, ..., n\}(y_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}) \mapsto \max\limits_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} d_i(x_i, y_i) \end{array}$$

d is a metric on X. If $x = (x_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ $y = (y_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ $z = (z_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ are elements of X, then

$$d(x, z) = \max_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} d_i(x_i, z_i) \leq \max_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} (d_i(x_i, y_i) + d(y_i, z_i)) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

Each

$$\pi_i : \begin{array}{l} X \to X_i \\ (x_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} \mapsto x_i \end{array}$$

is continuous. Hence the product topology $\mathcal{J}$ is contained in $\mathcal{J}_d$
Let $x = (x_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} \in X, \epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(x, \epsilon) &= \left\{ y = (y_i)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} \mid \max_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} d_i(x_i, y_i) < \epsilon \right\} \\ &= \prod_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} \mathcal{B}(x_i, \epsilon) \\ &= \bigcap_{i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(x_i, \epsilon)) \in \mathcal{J} \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 17

Uniform continuity and convergency

17.1 Def

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $\forall A \subseteq X$, we define

$$diam(A) := \sup_{(x,Y) \in A \times A}$$

called the diameter of A.By convention

$$diam(\varnothing) := 0$$

If $diam(A) < +\infty$, we say that A is bounded

17.2 Remark

- If A is finite, then it's bounded
- If $A \subseteq B$ then $diam(A) \leq diam(B)$

17.3 Prop

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq X, (x_0, y_0) \in A \times B$. Then

$$diam(A \cup B) \leq diam(A) + d(x_0, y_0) + diam(B)$$

In particular, if A,B are bounded, then $A \cup B$ is bounded.

Proof

Let $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^2$. If $\{x, y\} \subseteq A$, then $d(x, y) \leq diam(A)$
If $\{x, y\} \subseteq B$ then $diam(B) \geq d(x, y)$
If $x \in A, y \in B$,

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_0) + d(x_0, y_0) + d(y_0, y) \leq diam(A) + d(x_0, y_0) + diam(B)$$

Similarly if $x \in B, y \in A$

$$d(x, y) \leq diam(A) + d(x_0, y_0) + diam(B)$$

17.4 Def

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset, $(x_n)_{n \in I} \in X^I$. If

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad diam(\{x_n \mid n \in I_{\geq \mathbb{N}}\}) \leq \epsilon$$

then we say that $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

17.5 Prop

(1) If $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges, then it's a Cauchy sequence.

(2) If $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\{x_n \mid n \in I\}$ is bounded

(3) Suppose that $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequenceIf there exists an infinite subset J of I such that $(x_n)_{n \in J}$ converges to some $x \in X$,then $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to x

17.5.1 Proof

(1) trivial

(2) trivial

(3) Let $\epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$diam(\{x_n \mid n \in I_{\geq N}\}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\forall n \in J_{\geq N}, d(x_n, x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

- Take $n_0 \in J_{\leq N} \subseteq I_{\geq N}$

$$\forall n \in I_{\geq N} \quad d(x_n, x) \leq d(x_n, x_{n_0}) + d(x_{n_0}, x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Hence $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to x

17.6 Def

Let $(X, d_X), (Y < d_Y)$ be metric space. f be a function from X to Y. If $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall (x, y) \in Dom(f)^2, d(x, y) \leq \delta$$

implies

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \epsilon$$

namely

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in Dom(f)^2, d(x,y) \leq \delta} d(f(x), f(y)) = 0$$

we say that f is uniformly continuous.

17.7 Prop

Let $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ be metric spaces f be a function from X to Y which is uniformly continuous.

(1) If $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is finite, and $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $Dom(f)^I$ then $(f(x_n))_{n \in I}$ is Cauchy sequence

(2) f is continuous

17.7.1 Proof

(1) $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall (x, y) \in Dom(f)^2, d(x, y) \leq \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \epsilon$$

Since $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall (n, m) \in I^2_{\geq N}, d_X(x_n, x_m) \leq \delta$$

Hence

$$d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_m)) \leq \epsilon$$

THerefore $(f(x_n))_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(2) Let $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ be a sequence in $Dom(f)^{\mathbb{N}}$ that converges to $x \in Dom(f)$ We define$(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ x_{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Then $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x. Hence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since f is uniformly continuous, $(f(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y.

$$(f(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \text{ is odd}} = (f(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \text{ is odd}}$$

converges to $f(x)$. Hence $(f(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f(x)$

17.8 Def

Let X be a set , $Z \subseteq X, (Y, d)$ be a metric space, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite. $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ and f be functions from X to Y, having Z as their common domain of definition.

- If $\forall x \in Z, (f_n(x))_{n \in I}$ converges to $f(x)$, we say that $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ converges pointwisely to f
- If
$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \sup_{x \in Z} d(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0$$
we say that $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ converges uniformly to f

17.9 Theorem

Let X and Y be metric space, $Z \subseteq X, I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite. $(f_n)_{n \in I}, f$ be functions from X to Y, having Z as domain of definition. Suppose that

- $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ converges uniformly to f
- each f_n is uniformly continuous

Then f is uniformly continuous.

17.9.1 Proof

$\forall n \in I$ let
$$A_n = \sup_{x \in Z} d(f_n(x), f(x))$$
$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} A_n = 0$$

$\forall (x, y) \in Z^2, n \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(f(x), f(y)) \\ \leq & d(f(x), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) + d(f_n(y), f(y)) \\ \leq & 2A_n + d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \leq \delta} d(f(x), f(y)) \leq 2A_n + \inf_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \leq \delta} d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) = 0$$

Hence
$$0 \leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \leq \delta} d(f(x), f(y)) \leq 2A_n$$

Take $\lim\limits_{n \to +\infty}$, by squeeze theorem, we get

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \leq \delta} d(f(x), f(y)) = 0$$

17.10 Theorem

Let X be a topological space, Y be a metric space, $Z \subseteq X, p \in Z$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite. $(f_n)_{n\in I}$ and f function from X to Y, having Z as domain of definition. Suppose that:

- $(f_n)_{n\in I}$ converges uniformly to f
- each f_n is continuous at p

Then f is continuous at p

17.10.1 Proof

$\forall n \in I$ let

$$A_n = \sup_{x\in Z} d(f_n(x), f(x))$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists n \in I \quad A_n \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

Since f_n is continuous $\exists U \in \mathcal{V}_p \quad f_n(U) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}(f_n(p), \frac{\epsilon}{3})$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in U \cap Z \quad d(f(x)f(p)) & \\ \leq & d(f(x), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), f_n(p)) + d(f_n(p), f(p)) \\ \leq & \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \frac{\epsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$f(U) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}(f(p), \epsilon)$

17.10.2 Def

Let X Y be metric spaces , f be a function from X to Y, $\epsilon > 0$. If

$$\forall (x, y) \in Dom(f)^2 \quad d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \epsilon d(x, y)$$

then we say that f is ϵ-Lipschitzian
If $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that f is ϵ-Lipschitzian, then it's uniformly continuous.

17.11 Remark

If f is Lipschitzian, then it's uniformly continuous.

17.12 Example

- Let $((X_i, d_i))_{i\in I}$ be metric space. $X = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$ where I is finite

$$d : \begin{array}{l} X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ d((x_i), (y_i)_{i\in I}) = \max_{i\in I} d_i(x_i, y_i) \end{array}$$

$$d_i(x_i, y_i) = d_i(\pi_i(x), \pi_i(y)) \leq d(x, y)$$

Then

$$\pi_i : X \to X_i$$

is Lipschitzian.($\forall x = (x_i)_{i \in I}, \forall x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$)

- Let (X, d) be a metric space

$$d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

is Lipschitzian.

$$|d(x, y) - d(x', y')| \leq 2 \max\{d(x, x'), d(y, y')\}$$