Contents

Ι	Set	t		9
1	Rin ;		iism	11 11
II	Se	equen	ices	13
2	Sup	remun	n and infimum	15
3	Inte	rval		17
4	Enh	anced	real line	19
5	Vec	tor spa	ace	21
	5.1	K-mod	dule	21
		5.1.1	Def	21
		5.1.2	Remark	21
		5.1.3	Notation	. 22
		5.1.4	K-vector space	
		5.1.5	Association:	. 22
		5.1.6	Remark:	23
	5.2	sub K-	-module	23
		5.2.1	Def	23
		5.2.2	Example	23
	5.3	morph	nism of K-modules	. 23
		5.3.1	Def	. 23
		5.3.2	K-linear mapping	. 23
		5.3.3	Theorem	. 23
		5.3.4	Remark:column	. 24
	5.4	kernel		. 24
		5.4.1	Prop	. 24
		5.4.2	Def	. 24
		5.4.3	Theorem	. 24
		511	Dof	25

		5.4.5 Remark	5
		5.4.6 Theorem	5
		5.4.7 Proof:	5
6	Moi	notone mappings 27	7
•	6.1	Def	
	6.2	Prop	
	6.3	Def	
	6.4	Prop	
	6.5	Prop	
	6.6	Def	
	6.7	Prop	
	6.8	Proof	
	0.0	6.8.1 bijection	
		·	
		6.8.2 uniqueness	J
7	sequ	nence and series 33	1
	7.1	Def	1
	7.2	Remark	1
	7.3	Prop	1
	7.4	proof	1
	7.5	Prop	1
	7.6	limit	2
		7.6.1 Def	2
		7.6.2 Remark	2
		7.6.3 Prop	2
		7.6.4 Prop	3
		7.6.5 Prop	3
		7.6.6 Theorem	3
		7.6.7 Def	3
		7.6.8 Prop	
		7.6.9 Prop	4
		7.6.10 Theorem	4
		7.6.11 Notation	
		7.6.12 Corollary	
		7.6.13 Notation	
		7.6.14 Theorem: Bolzano-Weierstrass	
8		chy sequence 3'	
	8.1	Def	
	8.2	Prop	
	8.3	Theorem: Completeness of real number	
	8.4	Absolutely converge	
		8.4.1 Prop	8

9	Con	nparison and Technics of Computation	39
	9.1	Def	39
	9.2	Prop	39
	9.3	Theorem	39
	9.4	Prop	40
	9.5	Prop	41
	9.6	Theorem	41
	9.7	Prop	41
	9.8	Theorem	42
	9.9	Remark	42
	9.10	Calculates on $O(),o()$	42
		9.10.1 Plus	42
		9.10.2 Transform	43
		9.10.3 Transition	43
		9.10.4 Times	43
	9.11	On the limit	43
		Prop	43
		Prop	44
		Prop	44
		Theorem: d'Alembert ratio test	44
		9.15.1 Lemma	45
		9.15.2 (2)	45
	9.16	Prop	45
	00	9.16.1 Corollary	46
		9.16.2 Corollary	46
	9.17	Theorem: Cauchy root test	46
	0.1.	Theorem. Calabay 1000 vote 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	10
II	IЛ	Copology	47
		2 00	
10		olute value and norms	49
		Def	49
	10.2	Notation	49
	10.3	Prop	49
11	•	tient Structure	51
	11.1	Def	51
	11.2	equivalence class	51
	11.3	Prop	51
	11.4	Def	52
	11.5	$\operatorname{Remark} \ \dots $	52
	11.6	Prop	52
	11.7	Notation on Equivalence Class	52
	11.8	Proof	53
	11.9	Quotient set	53
		11.9.1 Example	53

11.10Def	53
11.11Remark	53
11.12Prop	53
11.13Theorem	54
11.14Def	54
11.15Prop	54
11.16Def	55
11.17Theorem	55
	56
11.18Theorem	56
	57
Topology	59
12.1 Def	59
12.2 Remark	59
12.2.1 Example	59
12.3 Def	59
12.3.1 Example	60
12.4 Def	60
12.4.1 Example	60
12.5 Prop	60
12.6 Def	60
12.7 Def	61
12.7.1 Example	61
12.8 Axiom of choice	61
12.9 Def	61
12.10Theorem	61
12.11Zorn's lemma	61
12.12Prop	61
12.13Proof	62
12.14Def: Initial Segment	62
	62
	62
	62
	62
12.19Proof	62
12.20Lemma	63
	63
	63
	63
	64
	64
12.26Theorem(Zorn's lemma)	65
	11.11Remark 11.12Prop 11.13Theorem 11.14Def 11.15Prop 11.16Def 11.17Theorem 11.17.1 Reside Class 11.18Theorem 11.19Theorem 11.19Theorem Topology 12.1 Def 12.2 Remark 12.2.1 Example 12.3 Def 12.3.1 Example 12.4 Def 12.4.1 Example 12.4 Def 12.4.1 Example 12.5 Prop. 12.6 Def 12.7 Def 12.7 Def 12.7 Let Example 12.8 Axiom of choice 12.9 Def 12.10Theorem 12.11Zorn's lemma 12.12Prop. 12.13Proof 12.14Def: Initial Segment 12.15Example 12.16Prop. 12.17Proof 12.18Prop. 12.19Proof 12.19Proof 12.20Lemma 12.21Prop 12.22Def 12.22Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.23Def 12.24Prop. 12.25Lemma

13	Filte	er 6
	13.1	Def
		13.1.1 Example
	13.2	Def: Filter Basis
	_	13.2.1 Remark
		13.2.2 Example
	13 3	Remark
	10.0	13.3.1 Example
	13 /	Def
		Remark
		•
	13.7	Prop
14	Lim	t point and accumulation point 7
		Def
		Prop
		Def
		Prop
	11.1	1100
15	Lim	t of mappings 75
		Def
		Remark
		15.2.1 Example
	15.3	Remark
		Remark
		Prop
		Theorem
		Prop
		Def
		Remark
		Prop
		Theorem
	10.12	1
		15.12.1 Proof
16	Con	cinuity 7
	16.1	Def
		Remark
		Theorem
		Proof
		Prop
		Def
		Prop
		Proof
		Prop
	16.1	

16.11Remark	82
16.12Prop	82
16.13Theorem	84
16.13.1 Proof	84
16.14Remark	84
16.14.1 Example	85
17 Uniform continuity and convergency	87
17.1 Def	87
17.2 Remark	87
17.3 Prop	87
17.4 Def	88
17.5 Prop	88
17.5.1 Proof	88
17.6 Def	89
17.7 Prop	89
17.7.1 Proof	89
17.8 Def	90
17.9 Theorem	90
17.9.1 Proof	90
17.10Theorem	91
17.10.1 Proof	91
17.10.2 Def	91
17.11Remark	91
17.12Example	91
	_
IV Normed Vector Space	93
IV Ivormed vector space	90
18 Linear Algebra	95
18.1 Def	95
18.1.1 Notation	95
18.2 Def	95
18.3 Def	96
18.4 Remark	96
18.5 Theorem	96
18.6 Theorem	97
18.7 Corollary	99
v	100
	100
	100
	104
	104

CONTENTS	7
----------	---

19	Mat	rices 10	05
	19.1	Def	05
		19.1.1 Example	06
	19.2	Def	06
		19.2.1 Example	06
	19.3	Def	06
	19.4	Calculate Matrices	07
		19.4.1 Remind	07
20	Trai		09
	20.1	Def	09
	20.2	Def	10
		20.2.1 Example	10
	20.3	Prop	
	20.4	Corollary	11
		Remark	

Part I

Set

Ring

1.1 morphism

Def

Let A and B be unitary rings .We call morphism of unitary rings from A to B .only mapping $A \to B$ is a morphism of group from (A,+) to (B,+), and a morphism of monoid from (A,\cdot) to (B,\cdot)

Properties

• Let R be a unitary ting. There is a unique morphism from \mathbb{Z} to R

•

algebra

we call k-algebra any pair(R,f), when R is a unitary ring , and $f:k\to R$ is a morphism of unitary rings such that $\forall (b,x)\in k\times R, f(b)x=xf(b)$

Example: For any unitary ring R, the unique morphism of unitary rings $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ define a structure of $\mathbb{Z} - algebra$ on R (extra: \mathbb{Z} is commutative despite R isn't guaranteed)

Notation: Let k be a commutative unitary ring (A,f) be a k-algebra. If there is no ambiguity on f, for any $(\lambda,a) \in k \times A$, we denote $f(\lambda)a$ as λa

Formal power series

reminder: $n\in\mathbb{N}$ is possible infinite , so $\sum\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ couldn't be executed directly. Def:

(extended polynomial actually) Let k be a commutative unitary ring. Def : Let T be a formal symbol. We denote $k^{\mathbb{N}}$ as k[T] If $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an element of $k^{\mathbb{N}}$, when we denote $k^{\mathbb{N}}$ as k[T] this element is denote as $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n T^n$ Such

element is called a formal power series over k and a_n is called the Coefficient of T^n of this formal power series Notation:

- omit terms with coefficient O
- write T' as T
- omit Coefficient those are 1;
- omit T^0

Example $1T^0 + 2T^1 + 1T^2 + 0T^3 + ... + 0T^n + ...$ is written as $1 + 2T + T^2$ Def Remind that $k[T] = \{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n T^n \mid (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in k^{\mathbb{N}} \}$, define two composition

$$\forall F(T) = a_0 + a_+ 1T + \dots \quad G(T) = b_0 + \dots$$
let $F + G = (a_0 + b_0) + \dots$

$$FG = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i+j=n} (a_i b_j) T^n$$

Properties:

- $(k[T], +, \cdot)$ form a commutative unitary ring.
- $k \to k[T]$ $\lambda \mapsto \lambda T$ is a morphism

•
$$(FG)H = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i+j=n} (a_i b_j) T^n\right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n T^n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{p,q,l=n} a_p b_q c_l\right) T^n$$
 is a trick applied on integral

Derivative:

let
$$F(T) \in k[T]$$

We denote by $F'(T)$ or $\mathcal{D}(F(T))$ the formal power series $\mathcal{D}(F) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n+1)a_{n+1}T^n$
Properties:

- $\mathcal{D}(k[T], +) \to (k[T], +)$ is a morphism of groups
- $\mathcal{D}(FG) = F'G + FG'$

We denote $exp(T) \in k[T]$ as $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} T^n$, which fulfil the differential equation $\mathcal{D}(exp(T)) = exp(T)$ (interesting)

Cauchy sequence: $(F_i(T))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in k[T], and $F(T) \in$ k[T]We say that $(F_i(T))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence if $\forall l\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists $N(l)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall (i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2_{>N(l)}, ord(F_i(T) - F_j(T)) \geq l$

Part II Sequences

Supremum and infimum

Def:

Let (X,\leq) be a partially ordered set A and Y be subsets of X, such that $A\subseteq Y$

- If the set $\{y \in Y \mid \forall a \in A, a \leq Y\}$ has a least element then we say that A has a Supremum in Y with respect to \leq denoted by $sup_{(y,\leq)}A$ this least element and called it the Supremum of A in Y(this respect to \leq)
- If the set $\{y \in Y \mid \forall a \in A, y \leq a\}$ has a greatest element, we say that A has n infimum in Y with respect to \leq . We denote by $inf_{(y,\leq)}A$ this greatest element and call it the infimum of A in Y
- Observation: $inf_{(Y,<)}A = sup_{(Y,>)}A$

Notation:

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set, I be a set.

- If f is a function from I to X sup f denotes the supremum of f(I) is X.inf ftakes the same
- If $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of element in X, then $\sup_{i \in I} x_i$ denotes $\sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ (inX)

If moreover $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$ denotes a statement depending on a parameter in I then $\sup_{i \in I, \mathbb{P}(i)} x_i \text{ denotes } \sup\{x_i \mid i \in I, \mathbb{P}(i) \text{ holds}\}$

Example:

Let $A = x \in R \mid 0 \le x < 1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ We equip \mathbb{R} with the usual order relation.

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in A, x \le y\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y \ge 1\}$$

So $\sup A = 1$

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in A, y \le x\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y \ge 0\}$$

Hence $\inf A = 0$

Example: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_n = (-1)^n \in R$

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}, k \ge n} x_k = -1$$

Proposition:

Let (X,\leq) be a partially ordered set, A,Y,Z be subset of X, such that $A\subseteq Z\subseteq Y$

- If max A exists, then is is also equal to $\sup_{(y,<)} A$
- If $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A$ exists and belongs to Z, then it is equal to $\sup A$

inf takes the same Prop.

Let X,\leq be a partially ordered set ,A,B,Y be subsets of X such that $A\subseteq B\subseteq Y$

- If $\sup_{(y,<)} A$ and $\sup_{(y,<)} B$ exists, then $\sup_{(y,<)} A \leq \sup_{(y,<)} B$
- If $\inf_{(y,\leq)} A$ and $\inf_{(y,\leq)} B$ exists, then $\inf_{(y,\leq)} A \geq \inf_{(y,\leq)} B$

Prop.

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set ,I be a set and $f,g:I\to X$ be mappings such that $\forall t\in I, f(t)\leq g(t)$

- If inf f and inf g exists, then inf $f \leq \inf g$
- If $\sup f$ and $\sup g$ exists, then $\sup f \leq \sup g$

Interval

We fix a totally ordered set (X, \leq)

Notation:

If $(a, b) \in X \times X$ such that $a \leq b$, [a,b] denotes $\{x \in X \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$

Def:

Let $I \subseteq X$. If $\forall (x,y) \in I \times I$ with $x \leq y$, one has $[x,y] \subseteq I$ then we say that I is a interval in X

Example:

Let $(a,b) \in X \times X$, such that $a \leq b$ Then the following sets are intervals

- $|a,b| := \{x \in X \mid a,x,b\}$
- $[a, b] := \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$
- $[a, b] := \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$

Prop.

Let Λ be a non-empty set and $(I_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$ be a family of intervals in X.

- $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}$ is a interval in X
- If $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda} \neq \varnothing, \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}$ is a interval in X

We check that $[a, b] \subseteq I_{\lambda} \cup I_{|}\mu$

- If $b \le x$ $[a, b] \subseteq [a, x] \subseteq I_{\lambda}$ because $\{a, x\} \subseteq I_{\lambda}$
- If $x \le a$ $[a,b] \subseteq [x,b] \subseteq I_{\mu}$ because $\{b,x\} \subseteq I_{\mu}$
- If a < x < b then $[a,b] = [a,x] \cup [x,b] \subseteq I_{\lambda} \cup I_{\mu}$

Def:

Let (X, \leq) be a totally ordered set .I be a non-empty interval of X. If sup I exists in X, we call sup I the right endpoint; inf takes the similar way. Prop.

Let I be an interval in X.

- Suppose that $b = \sup I \text{ exists. } \forall x \in I, [x, b] \subseteq I$
- Suppose that $a = \inf I$ exists. $\forall x \in I, |a, x| \subseteq I$

Prop.

Let I be an interval in X. Suppose that I has supremum b and an infimum a in X.Then I is equal to one of the following sets [a,b] [a,b[]a,b[Def

let (X, \leq) be a totally ordered set . If $\forall (x, z) \in X \times X$, such that $x < z \quad \exists y \in X$ such that x < y < z, than we say that (X, \leq) is thick Prop.

Let (X, \leq) be a thick totally ordered set. $(a, b) \in X \times X, a < b$ If I is one of the following intervals [a, b]; [a, b[;]a, b[Then inf I = a sup I = b (for it's thick empty set is impossible) Proof:

Since X is thick, there exists $x_0 \in]a, b[$ By definition,b is an upper bound of I. If b is not the supremum of I, there exists an upper bound M of I such that M_ib. Since X is thick , there is $M' \in X$ such that $x_0 \leq M, M' < b$ Since $[x, b] \subseteq [a, b] \in I$ Hence M and M' belong to I, which conflicts with the uniqueness of supremum.

Enhanced real line

Def:

Let $+\infty$ and -infty be two symbols that are different and don not belong to \mathbb{R} We extend the usual total order $\leq on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < +\infty$$

Thus $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ become a totally ordered set, and $\mathbb{R} =]-\infty, +\infty[$ Obviously, this is a thick totally ordered set. We define:

- $\forall x \in]-\infty, +\infty[$ $x + (+\infty) := +\infty$ $(+\infty) + x := +\infty$
- $\forall x \in [-\infty, +\infty[\quad x + (-\infty) := -\infty \quad (-\infty) + x = -\infty$
- $\forall x \in]0, +\infty]$ $x(+\infty) = (+\infty)x = +\infty$ $x(-\infty) = (-\infty)x = -\infty$
- $\forall x \in [-\infty, 0]$ $x(+\infty) = (+\infty)x = -\infty$ $x(-\infty) = (-\infty)x = +\infty$
- $-(+\infty) = -\infty$ $-(-\infty) = +\infty$ $(\infty)^{-1} = 0$
- $(+\infty) + (-\infty)$ $(-\infty) + (+\infty)$ $(+\infty)0$ $0(+\infty)$ $(-\infty)0$ $0(-\infty)$ ARE NOT DEFINED

Def

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. If for any subset A of X,A has a supremum and an infimum in X, then we say the X is order complete Example

Let Ω be a set $(\mathscr{P}(\Omega), \subseteq)$ is order complete If \mathscr{F} is a subset of $\mathscr{P}(\Omega)$, sup $\mathscr{F} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathscr{F}} A$

Interesting tip: $\inf \emptyset = \Omega \quad \sup \emptyset = \emptyset$ \mathcal{AXION} :

 $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}, \leq)$ is order complete In $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ sup $\emptyset = -\infty$ inf $\emptyset = +\infty$

Notation:

- For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup -\infty, +\infty$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ We denote by A+c the set $\{a+c \mid a \in A\}$
- If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, λA denotes $\{\lambda a \mid a \in A\}$
- -A denotes (-1)A

Prop.

For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, $\sup(-A) = -\inf A$, $\inf(-A) + -\sup A$ Def We denote by (R, \leq) a field \mathbb{R} equipped with a total order \leq , which satisfies the following condition:

- $\forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that a < b , one has $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, a+c < b+c$
- $\forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, ab > 0$
- $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, if A has an upper bound in \mathbb{R} , then it has a supremum in \mathbb{R}

Prop.

Let
$$A \subseteq [-\infty, +\infty]$$

- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$ $\sup(A+c) = (\sup A) + c$
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ $\sup(\lambda A) = \lambda \sup(A)$
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$ $sup(\lambda A) = \lambda \inf(A)$

inf takes the same

Theorem:

Let I and J be non-empty sets

$$f: I \to [-\infty, +\infty], g: J \to [-\infty, +\infty]$$

$$a = \sup_{x \in I} f(x) \quad b = \sup_{y \in J} g(y) \quad c = \sup_{(x,y) \in I \times J, \{f(x), g(y)\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}} (f(x) + g(y))$$

If $\{a, b\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}$ then c = a + b

inf takes the same if $(-\infty) + (+\infty)$ doesn't happen

Corollary:

Let I be a non-empty set, $f: I \to [-\infty, +\infty], g: J \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ Then $\sup_{x \in I, \{f(x), g(y)\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}} (f(x) + g(x)) \leq (\sup_{x \in I} f(x)) (\sup_{x \in I} g(x))$

inf takes the similar($\leq \rightarrow \geq$) (provided when the sum are defined)

Vector space

In this section:
K denotes a unitary ring.
Let 0 be zero element of K
1 be the unity of K

5.1 K-module

5.1.1 Def

Let (V,+) be a commutative group. We call left/right K-module structure: any mapping $\Phi:K\times V\to V$

- $\bullet \ \forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad \Phi(ab,x) = \Phi(a,\Phi(b,x))/\Phi(b,\Phi(a,x))$
- $\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V, \Phi(a+b,x) = \Phi(a,x) + \Phi(b,x)$
- $\forall a \in K, \forall (x, y) \in V \times V, \Phi(a, x + y) = \Phi(a, x) + \Phi(a, y)$
- $\forall x \in V, \Phi(1, x) = x$

A commutative group (V,+) equipped with a left/right K-module structure is called a left/right K-module.

5.1.2 Remark

Let K^{op} be the set K equipped with the following composition laws:

- $\bullet \ K \times K \to K$
- $(a,b) \mapsto a+b$
- $\bullet K \times K \to K$
- $(a,b) \mapsto ba$

Then K^{op} forms a unitary ring Any left $K^{op} - module$ is a right K-module Any right $K^{op} - module$ is a left K-module $(K^{op})^{op} = K$

5.1.3 Notation

When we talk about a left/right K-module (V,+), we often write its left K-module structure as $K \times V \to V \quad (a,x) \mapsto ax$

The axioms become:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad (ab)x = a(bx)/b(ax) \\ &\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad (a+b)x = ax+bx \\ &\forall a \in K, \forall (x,y) \in V \times V \quad a(x+y) = ax+ay \\ &\forall x \in V \quad 1x = x \end{aligned}$$

5.1.4 K-vector space

If K is commutative, then $K^{op}=K$, so left K-module and right K-module structure are the same . We simply call them K-module structure. A commutative group equipped with a K-module structure is called a K-module. If K is a field, a K-module is also called a K-vector space

Let $\Phi: K \times V \to V$ be a left or right K-module structure

$$\forall x \in V, \Phi(\cdot, x) : K \to V \quad (a \in K) \mapsto \Phi(a, x)$$

is a morphism of addition groups. Hence $\Phi(0,x)=0, \Phi(-a,x)=-\Phi(a,x)$ $\forall a\in K, \Phi(a,\cdot):V\to V$ is a morphism of groups. Hence $\Phi(a,0)=0, \Phi(a,-x)=-\Phi(a,x)(\cdot\ is\ a\ var)$

5.1.5 Association:

 $\forall x \in K$

$$(f(f+g)+h)(x) = (f+g)(x)+h(x) = f(x)+g(x)+h(x)$$
$$(f+(g+h))(x) = f(x)+((g+h)(x)) = f(x)+g(x)+h(x)$$

Let
$$0: I \to K: x \mapsto 0 \quad \forall f \in K^I \quad f + 0 = f$$

Let $-f: f + (-f) = 0$

The mapping $K \times K^I \to K^I : (a, f) \mapsto af \quad (af)(x) = af(x)$ is a left K-module structure

The mapping $K \times K^I \to K^I$: $(a \in I) \mapsto ((x \in I) \mapsto f(x)a)$ (af)(x) = af(x) is a right K-module structure

5.1.6 Remark:

We can also write an element μ of K^I is the form of a family $(\mu_i)_{i\in I}$ of elements in K (μ_i) is the image of $i\in I$ by μ)
Then

$$(\mu_i)_{i \in I} + (\nu_i)_{i \in I} := (\mu_i + \nu_i)_{i \in I}$$

 $a(\mu_i)_{i \in I} := (a\mu_i)_{i \in I}$
 $(\mu_i)_{i \in I} a = (\mu_i a)_{i \in I}$

5.2 sub K-module

5.2.1 Def

Let V be a left/right K-module. If W is a subgroup of V. Such that $\forall a \in K, \forall x \in W \quad ax/xa \in W$, then we say that W is left/right sub-K-module of V.

5.2.2 Example

Let I be a set .Let $K^{\bigoplus I}$ be the subset of K^I composed of mappings $f: I \to K$ such that $I_f = \{x \in I \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite. It is a left and right sub-K-module of K^I

In fact,
$$\forall (f,g) \in K^{\bigoplus I} \times K^I$$
 $I_{f-g} = x \in I \mid f(x) - g(x) \neq 0 \subseteq I_f \cup I_g$
Hence $f - g \in K^{\bigoplus I}$ So $K^{\bigoplus I}$ is a subgroup of K^I $\forall a \in K, \forall f \in K^{\bigoplus I}$ $I_{af} \subseteq I_f, I_{(x \mapsto f(x)a)} \subseteq I_f$

5.3 morphism of K-modules

5.3.1 Def

Let V and W be left K-module, A morphism of groups $\phi: V \to W$ is called a morphism of left K-modules if $\forall (a,x) \in K \times V, \phi(ax) = a\phi(x)$

5.3.2 K-linear mapping

If K is commutative, a morphism of K-modules is also called a K-linear mapping. We denote by $\hom_{K-Mod}(V,W)$ the set of all morphism of left-K-module from V to W.This is a subgroup of W^V

5.3.3 Theorem

Let V be a left K-module. Let I be a set. The mapping $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I},V) \to V^I: \phi \to (\phi(e_i))_{i \in I}$ is a bijection where $e_i: I \to K: j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j=i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$

5.3.4 Remark:column

In the case where I=1,2,3,...,n V^I is denoted as V^n,K^I is denoted as K^n For any $(x_1,...,x_n)\in V^n$, by the theorem, there exists a unique morphism of left K-modules $\phi:K^n\to V$ such that $\forall i\in 1,...,n\phi(e_i)=x_i$

We write this
$$\phi$$
 as a column $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ It sends $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ to $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$

5.4 kernel

5.4.1 Prop

Let G and H be groups and $f: G \to H$ be a morphism of groups

- $I_m(f) \subseteq H$ is a subgroup of H
- $\bullet \ \ker(f) = \{ x \in G \mid f(x) = e_H \}$
- f is injection iff $ker(f) = \{e_G\}$

5.4.2 Def

ker(f) is called the kernel of f

5.4.3 Theorem

f is injection iff $\ker(f) = \{e_G\}$

Proof

Let e_G and e_H be neutral element of G and H respectively

- (1) Let x and y be element of G $f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(xy^{-1}) \in Im(f)$. So Im(f) is a subgroup of H
- (2) Let x and y be element of $\ker(f)$ One has $f(xy^{-1})=f(x)f(y)^{-1}=e_H$ $e_H^{-1}=e_H$. So $xy^{-1}\in\ker(f)$ So $\ker(f)$ is a subgroup of G
- (3) Suppose that f is injection. Since $f(E_G) = e_H$ one has $\ker(f) = f^{-1}(\{e_H\}) = \{e_G\}$ Suppose that $\ker(f) = \{e_G\}$ If f(x) = f(y)then $f(xy^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = e_H$ Hence $xy^{-1} = e_G \Rightarrow x = y$

5.4. KERNEL 25

5.4.4 Def

Let (V,+) be a commutative group, I be a set. We define a composition law + on V^I as follows

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} + (y_i)_{i \in I} := (x_i + y_i)_{i \in I}$$

Then V^I forms a commutative group

5.4.5 Remark

Let E and F be left K-modules

 $\hom_{K=Mod}(E,F):=\{\text{morphisms of left K-modules from E to F}\}\subseteq F^E$ is a subgroup of F^E

In fact f and g are elements of $hom_{K-Mod}(E, F)$, then f-g is also a morphism of left K-module

$$(f-g)(x+y) = f(x+y) - g(x+y) = (f(x) + f(y)) - (g(x) + g(y)) = (f(x) - g(x)) + (f(y) - g(y)) = (f - g)(x) + (f - y)(x)$$

5.4.6 Theorem

Let V be a left K-module, I be a set The mapping $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V) \to V^I$: $\phi \mapsto (\phi(e_i))_i \in I$ is an isomorphism of groups, where $e_i : I \to K : j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j=i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$

5.4.7 **Proof:**

One has $(\phi + \psi)(e_i) = \phi(e_I) + \psi(e_i)$ $\forall (\phi, psi) \in \text{hom}_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V)^2$ Hence $\Psi(\phi, \psi) = (\phi(e_i) + \psi(e_i))_{i \in I} = \Psi(\phi) + \Psi(\psi)$ So Ψ is a morphism of groups

injectivity Let $\phi \in \text{hom}_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V)$ Such that $\forall i \in I(\forall \phi \in \text{ker}(\Psi)) \quad \phi(e_i) = 0$ Let $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in K^{\bigoplus I}$ One has $a = \sum_{i \in I} a_i e_i$

If fact,
$$\forall j \in I$$
, $a_j = \sum_{i \in I, a_i \neq 0} a_i e_i(j)$
Thus $\phi(a) = \sum_{i \in I, a_i \neq 0} a - I \phi(e_i) = 0$

Hence ϕ is the neutral element.

surjectivity Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in V^I$ We define $\phi_x : K^{\bigoplus I} \to V$ such that $\forall a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in K^{\bigoplus I}, \phi_x(a) = \sum_{i \in I, a_i \neq 0} a_i x_i$ This is a morphism of left K modules

This is a morphism of left K-modules

$$foralli \in I, \phi_x(e_i) = 1x_i = x_i \text{ So } \Psi(\phi_x) = x$$

Suppose that K' is a unitary ring, and V is also equipped with a right K'-module structure, Then $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I},V)\subseteq V^{K^{\bigoplus I}}$ is a right sub-k'-module , and Ψ in the theorem is a right K'-module isomorphism

Monotone mappings

6.1 Def

Let I and X be partially ordered sets, $f: I \to X$ be a mapping.

- If $\forall (a,b) \in I \times I$ such that a < b. One has $f(a) \leq f(b)/f(a) < f(b)$, then we say that f is increasing/strictly increasing. decreasing takes similar way.
- If f is (strictly) increasing or decreasing, we say that f is (strictly) monotone

6.2 Prop.

Let X,Y,Z be partially ordered sets. $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to Z$ be mappings

- If f and g have the same monotonicity, then $g \circ f$ is increasing
- If f and g have different monotonicities, then $g \circ f$ is decreasing

strict monotonicities takes the same

6.3 Def

Let f be a function from a partially ordered set I to another partially ordered set .If $f \mid_{Dom(f)} \to X$ is (strictly) increasing/decreasing then we say that f is (strictly) increasing/decreasing

6.4 Prop.

Let I and X be partially ordered sets. f be function from I to X.

- If f is increasing/decreasing and f is injection, then f is strictly increasing/decreasing
- ullet Assume that I is totally ordered and f is strictly monotone, then f is injection

6.5 Prop

Let A be totally ordered set, B be a partially ordered set, f be an injective function from A to B

If f is increasing/decreasing ,then so is f^{-1}

6.6 Def

Let X and Y be partially ordered sets. $f: X \to Y$ be a bijection. If both f and f^{-1} are increasing ,then we say that f is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets.

(If X is totally, then a mapping $f: X \to Y$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets iff f is a bijection and f is increasing)

6.7 Prop.

Let I be a subset of $\mathbb N$ which is infinite. Then there is a unique increasing bijection $\lambda_I:\mathbb N\to I$

6.8 Proof

6.8.1 bijection

```
We construct f: \mathbb{N} \to I by induction as follows. Let f(0) = \min I Suppose that f(0), ..., f(n) are constructed then we take f(n+1) := \min(I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}) Since I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(n-1)\} \supseteq I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}. Therefore f(n) \le f(n+1) Since f(n+1) \notin \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}, we have f(n) < f(n+1) Hence f is strictly increasing and this is injective If f is not surjective, then I \setminus Im(f) has a element \mathbb{N}. Let m = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid N \le f(n)\}. Since N \notin Im(f), N < f(m). So m \ne 0. Hence f(m-1) < N < f(m) = \min(I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(m-1)\}) By definition, N \in I \setminus Im(f) \subseteq I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(m-1)\}, Hence f(m) \le N, causing contradiction.
```

6.8. PROOF 29

6.8.2 uniqueness

exercise: Prove that $Id_{\mathbb{N}}$ is the only isomorphism of partially ordered sets from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N}

sequence and series

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a infinite subset

7.1 Def

Let X be a set.We call sequence in X parametrized by I a mapping from I to X.

7.2 Remark

If K is a unitary ring and E is a left K-module then the set of sequence E^I admits a left-K-module structure. If $x=(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a sequence in E, we define a sequence $\sum (x):=(\sum_{i\in I,i\leq n}x_i)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, called the series associated with the sequence x.

7.3 Prop

 $\sum:E^I\to E^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a morphism of left-K-module

7.4 proof

Let
$$x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$$
 and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$ be elements of E^I

$$\sum_{i \in I, i \le n} (x_i + y_i) = (\sum_{i \in I, i \le n} x_i) + (\sum_{i \in I, i \le n} y_i), \lambda \sum_{i \in I, i \le n} x_i = \sum_{i \in I, i \le n} \lambda x_i$$

7.5 Prop

Let I be a totally ordered set . X be a partially ordered set, $f: I \to X$ be a mapping $J \in I$ Assume that J does not have any upper bound in I

- If f is increasing , then f(I) and f(J) have the same upper bounds in X
- If f is decreasing , then f(I) and f(J) have the same lower bounds in X

7.6 limit

7.6.1 Def

Let $i \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a infinite subset. $\forall (x_i)_{n \in I} \in [-\infty, +\infty]^I$ where $[-\infty, +\infty]$ denotes $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, we define:

$$\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n := \inf_{n\in I} (\sup_{i\in I, i\geq n} x_i)$$

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \inf x_n := \sup_{n \in I} (\inf_{i \in I, i \ge n} x_i)$$

If $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n = \liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n = l$, we then say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ tends to l and that l is the limit of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$. If in addition $(x_n)_{n\in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l

7.6.2 Remark

If $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite subset, then:

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} = \inf_{n \in J} (\sup_{i \in I, i \ge n} x_i)$$

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \inf x_n = \sup_{n \in J} (\inf_{i \in I, i \ge n} x_i)$$

Therefore, if we change the values of finitely many terms in $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ the limit superior and the limit inferior do not change.

In fact, if we take $J = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, ..., m\}$, then $\inf_{n \in J} (...)$ and $\sup_{n \in J} (...)$ only depends on the values of $x_i, i \in I, i \geq m$

7.6.3 Prop

$$\forall (x_n)_{n \in I} \in [-\infty, +\infty]^I, \lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n \le \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n$$

7.6. LIMIT 33

7.6.4 Prop

Let
$$(x_n)_{n\in I} \in [-\infty, +\infty]^I$$

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (x_n+c) = (\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n) + c$$

$$\lim\inf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (x_n+c) = (\lim\inf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n) + c$$

$$\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (\lambda x_n) = \lambda \lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$$

$$\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (\lambda x_n) = \lambda \lim\inf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$$

$$\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (\lambda x_n) = \lambda \lim\inf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$$

$$\lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (\lambda x_n) = \lambda \lim\inf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$$

$$\lim\inf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} (\lambda x_n) = \lambda \lim\sup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$$

7.6.5 Prop

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements in $[-\infty, +\infty]^I$. Suppose that there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in I, n \geq N_0$, one has $x_n \leq y_n$ Then

$$\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n) \le \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$
$$\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n) \ge \liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$

7.6.6 Theorem

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}, (y_n)_{n\in I}, (z_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty, +\infty]^I$ Suppose that

- $\exists N N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I, n \geq N_0 \text{ one has } x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n$
- $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(z_n)_{n\in I}$ tend to the same limit l

Then $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ tends to l

7.6.7 Def

Let I be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} , and $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in some set X. We call subsequence of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ a sequence of the form $(x_n)_{n\in J}$, where J is an infinite subset of I

7.6.8 Prop

Let I and J be infinite subset of $\mathbb N$ such that $J\subseteq I$ $\forall (x_n)_{n\in I}\in [-\infty,+\infty]^I$,one has

$$\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n) \le \liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \sup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$

In particular, if $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ tends to $l\in [-\infty,+\infty]$, then $(x_n)_{n\in J}$ tends to l

7.6.9 Prop

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{one has}$

$$\liminf_{n \in J, n \to +\infty} (x_n) \ge \liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$

$$\limsup_{n \in J, n \to +\infty} (x_n) \le \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$

7.6.10 Theorem

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset and $(x_N)_{n \in I}$ be a sequence in $[-\infty, +\infty]$

- If the mapping $(n \in I) \mapsto x_n$ is increasing, then $(x_N)_{i \in I}$ tends to $\sup_{n \in I} x_n$
- If the mapping $(n \in I) \mapsto x_n$ is decreasing, then $(x_N)_{i \in I}$ tends to $\inf_{n \in I} x_n$

7.6.11 Notation

If a sequence $(x_N)_{n\in I} \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ tends to some $l \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ the expression $\lim_{n\in I, n\to} x_n$ denotes this limit l

7.6.12 Corollary

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ Then the series $\sum_{n\in I} x_n$ (the sequence $(\sum_{i\in I, i\leq n})_{n\in \mathbb{N}}$) tends to an element in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\cup\{+\infty\}$ It converges in \mathbb{R} iff it is bounded from above (namely has an upper bound in \mathbb{R})

7.6.13 Notation

If a series $\sum_{n\in I} x_n$ in $[-\infty, +\infty]$ tends to some limit, we use the expression $\sum_{n\in I} x_n$ to denote the limit

7.6.14 Theorem: Bolzano-Weierstrass

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $[-\infty, +\infty]$ There exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ that tends to $\limsup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$ There exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ that rends to $\liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$

7.6. LIMIT 35

Proof

Let $J = \{ n \in I \mid \forall m \in I, \text{if } m \leq n \text{ then } x_m \leq x_n \}$

If J is infinite, the sequence $(x_N)_{n\in J}$ is decreasing so it tends to $\inf_{n\in J} x_n$

 $\forall n \in J \text{ by definition } x_n = \sup_{i \in I, i \geq n} x_i \text{ so } \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = \inf_{n \in J} \sup_{i \in I, i \geq n} x_i = \sum_{i \in I, i \geq n}$

 $\inf_{n \in J} x_n = \lim_{n \in J, n \to +\infty} x_n$

Assume that J is finite. Let $n_0 \in I$ such that $\forall n \in J, n < n_0$. Denote by $l = \sup$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \geq n_0$. By definition $\sup_{i \in I, i \geq n} x_i \leq l$. If the strict

inequality $\sup_{i \in I, i \geq N} x_i < l$ holds, then $\sup_{i \in I, i \geq N} x_i$ is NOT an upper bound of $\{x_n \mid i \in I, i \geq N\}$

 $n \in I, n_0 \le n < N \}$

So there exists $n \in I$ such that $n_0 \le n < N$ such that $x_n > \sup_{i \in I, i \ge N} x_i$ We may also assume that n is largest among elements of $I \cap [n_0, N[$ that satisfies this inequality.

Then $\forall m \in I$ if $m \geq n$ then $x_m \leq x_n$ Thus $n \in J$ that contradicts the maximality of n_0

Therefore

$$l = \sup_{i \in I, i \ge N} x_i$$

, which leads to

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = l$$

Moreover, if $m \in I, m \geq n_0$ then $m \notin J$, so $x_m < l$ (since otherwise $x_m = \sup_{i \in I} x_i$ and hence $m \in J$)Hence, $\forall finite subset I' of <math>\{m \in I \mid m \geq n_0\}$

 $\max_{i \in I} x_i < l$ and hence $\exists n \in I$, such that $n > \max_{i \in I'} x_i < x_n$

We construct by induction an increasing sequence $(n_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in I

Let n_0 be as above. Let $f: \mathbb{N} \to I_{\geq n_0}$ be a surjective mapping.

If n_j is chosen, we choose $n_{j+1} \in I$ such that

$$n_{j+1} > n_j, x_{n_{j+1}} > \max\{x_{f(j)}, x_{n_j}\}$$

Hence the sequence $(x_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing And

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} x_{n_j} \le \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} x_{f(j)} = \sup_{n \in I, n \ge n_0} x_n = l$$

$$l = \sup_{n \in I, n \ge n_0}$$

So $(x_{n_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ tends to l

Cauchy sequence

8.1 Def

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} If $\inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{(n,m)\in I\times I,\ n,m\geq N}|x_n-x_m|=\lim_{N\to +\infty}\sup_{(n,m)\in I\times I,\ n,m\geq N}|x_n-x_m|=0$ then we say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence

8.2 Prop

- If $(x_n)_{i\in I}\in\mathbb{R}^I$ converges to some $l\in\mathbb{R}$, then it is a Cauchy sequence
- If $(x_N)_{i\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exists M>0 such that $\forall n\in I \ |x_n|\leq M$
- If $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $\forall J\subseteq I$ infinite, $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
- If $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $\forall J\subseteq I$ infinite and $l\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l, then $(x_n)_{n\in J}$ converges to l too.

8.3 Theorem: Completeness of real number

If $(x_n)_{n\in I}\in\mathbb{R}^I$ is a Cauchy sequence, then it converges in \mathbb{R}

Proof

Since $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\exists M\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $-M\leq x_n\leq M$ $\forall x\in I$ So $\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}x_n\in\mathbb{R}$. By Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem. $\exists J\subseteq I$ infinite such that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to $\limsup_{n\in I,n\to+\infty}x_n\in\mathbb{R}$. Therefore $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to the same limit.

8.4 Absolutely converge

We say that a series $\sum\limits_{n\in I}x_n\in\mathbb{R}$ converges absolutely if $\sum\limits_{n\in I}|x_n|<+\infty$

8.4.1 Prop

If a series $\sum\limits_{n\in I}x_n$ converges absolutely, then it converges in $\mathbb R$

Comparison and Technics of Computation

9.1 Def

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be sequence in \mathbb{R}

- If there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in I_{\geq N}, |x_N| \leq M|y_m|$ then we write $x_n = O(y_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If there exists $(\epsilon_n)_{n\in I}\in\mathbb{R}^I$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{n\in I, n\to +\infty}\epsilon_n=0$ and $\forall n\in I_{\geq N}, |x_N|\leq |\epsilon y_m|$, then we write $x_n=\circ (y_n), n\in I, n\to +\infty$ Example:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$$

9.2 Prop.

Let I and X be partially ordered sets and $f:I\to X$ be an increasing/decreasing mapping. Let J ba a subset of I. Assume that any elements of I has an upper bound in J. Then f(I) and f(J) have the same upper/lower bounds in X

9.3 Theorem

Let I be a totally ordered set, $f: I \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ and $g: I \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ be two mappings that are both increasing/decreasing. Then the following equalities holds, provided that the sum on the right hand side of the equality is well defined.

$$\sup_{x\in I,\{f(x),g(x)\}\neq\{-\infty,+\infty\}}=(\sup_{x\in I}f(x))+(\sup_{y\in I}g(y))$$

$$\inf_{x \in I, \{f(x), g(x)\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}} = (\inf_{x \in I} f(x)) + (\inf_{y \in I} g(y))$$

Proof

We can assume f and g increasing. Let $a = \sup f(I), b = \sup g(I)$ Let $A = \{(x,y) \in I \times I \mid \{f(x),g(x)\} \neq \{-\infty,+\infty\}\}$ We equip A with the following order relation.

$$(x,y) \le (x',y') \text{ iff } x \le x', y \le y'$$

Let
$$B = A \cap \Delta_I = \{(x, y) \in A \mid x = y\}.$$

Consider

$$h: A \to [-\infty, +\infty]$$
 $h(x, y) = f(x) + g(y)$

h is increasing.

Let $(x, y) \in A$. Assume that $x \leq y$

If $\{f(y), g(y)\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ then $(y, y) \in B$ and $(x, y) \leq (y, y)$

If
$$\{f(y), g(y)\} = \{-\infty, +\infty\}$$
 and for $(x, y) \in A \Rightarrow f(y) = +\infty, g(y) = -\infty$. So $a = +\infty$, Hence $b > -\infty$

So $\exists z \in I$ such that $g(z) > -\infty$. We should have $y \leq z$ Hence f(z) + g(z) is well defined, $(z, z) \in B$ and $(x, y) \leq (z, z)$ Similarly, if $x \geq y$, (x, y) has also an upper bound in B. Therefore: $\sup h(A) = \sup h(B)$

9.4 Prop.

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset. Let $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty, +\infty]^I$ such that $\forall n \in I \mid \{x_n, y_n\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. Then the following inequalities holds, provided that the sum on the right hand side is well defined.

$$\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n + y_n) \le (\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n) + (\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n)$$

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \inf (x_n + y_n) \ge (\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n) + (\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n)$$

Proof

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ let } A_N = \sup_{n \in I, n \geq N} x_n \quad B_N = \sup_{n \in I, n \geq N} y_n. \ (A_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ and } (B_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ are decreasing, and $\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = \inf_{N \in \mathbb{N}} A_N \quad \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n = \inf_{N \in \mathbb{N}} B_N$ By theorem:

$$\inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} A_N + \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}} B_N = \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}, \{A_N, B_N\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}} (A_N + B_N)$$

Let
$$C_N = \sup_{n \in I, n \ge N} (x_n + y_n) \le A_N + B_N$$
 if $A_N + B_N$ is defined.

Therefore

$$\inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}}C_N \leq \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N},\{A_N,B_N\}\neq \{-\infty,+\infty\}}(A_N+B_N) = \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}}A_N + \inf_{N\in\mathbb{N}}B_N$$

9.5. PROP. 41

9.5 Prop.

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset. Let $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty, +\infty]^I$ such that $\forall n \in I \mid \{x_n, y_n\} \neq \{-\infty, +\infty\}$. Then the following inequalities holds, provided that the sum on the right hand side is well defined.

$$\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n + y_n) \ge (\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n) + (\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n)$$

$$\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n + y_n) \ge (\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n) + (\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n)$$

Proof

a tricky proof?:

$$\limsup_{n\in I, n\to} x_n = \limsup_{n\in I, n\to} (x_n + y_n - y_n) \le \limsup_{n\in I, n\to} (x_n + y_n) - \liminf_{n\in I, n\to} y_n$$

to have a true proof, only need to discuss conditions with ∞

9.6 Theorem

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty,+\infty]^I$. Assume that $\forall n\in I,y_n\in\mathbb{R}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to some $i\in\mathbb{R}$. Then:

$$\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} (x_n + y_n) = (\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n) + l$$

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \inf (x_n + y_n) = (\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \inf x_n) + l$$

9.7 Prop.

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of $[-\infty, +\infty]^I$ Then:

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} \max\{x_n,y_n\} = \max\{\liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n, \liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} y_n\}$$

$$\liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} \min\{x_n,y_n\} = \min\{ \liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n, \liminf_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} y_n\}$$

Proof

About the first inequality. Since $\max\{x_n, y_n\} \ge x_n \quad \max\{x_n, y_N\} \ge y_n$ By the theorem of Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exists an infinite subset J of I such that

$$\lim_{n\in J, n\to +\infty} = \limsup_{n\in J, n\to +\infty} \max\{x_n, y_n\}$$

$$\lim_{n\in J, n\to} \max\{x_n, y_n\} = \lim_{n\in J_1, n\to} \max\{x_n, y_n\} = \lim_{n\in J, n\to} x_n \le \limsup_{n\in I, n\to +\infty} x_n$$

If J_2 is infinite

$$\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} = \lim_{n \in J_2, n \to +\infty} \max\{x_n, y_n\} \leq \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} y_n$$

9.8 Theorem

Let $(a_N)_{n\in I}\in\mathbb{R}^I$ $l\in\mathbb{R}$. The following statements are equivalent

- $(a_N)_{n\in I}$ converges to l
- $\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} |a_n l| = 0$

Proof

$$|a_n - l| = \max\{a_n - l, l - a_n\}$$

$$\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} |a_n - l| = \max\{\left(\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n\right) - l, l - \left(\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n\right)\}$$

- (1) \Rightarrow (2): If $(a_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to l, then $\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n = \liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n = l$
- $(2) \Rightarrow (1): \\ \text{If } \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} |a_n l| = 0 \text{ ,then } \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n \leq l \leq \liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n \\ \text{Therefore: } \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n = \liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} a_n = l$

9.9 Remark

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} , $l\in\mathbb{R}$ The sequence $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l iff $a_n-l=o(1), n\in I, n\to +\infty$

9.10 Calculates on O(),o()

9.10.1 Plus

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ $(a'_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements in \mathbb{R}^I

- If $a_n = O(b_n), a'_n = O(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$ then $\forall (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ $\lambda a_n + \mu a'_n = O(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a_n = o(b_n), a'_n = o(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$ then $\forall (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ $\lambda a_n + \mu a'_n = o(b_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.10.2 Transform

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in I}$ be two sequence in \mathbb{R} If $a_n=o(b_n), n\in I, n\to +\infty$, then $a_n=O(b_n), n\in I, n\to +\infty$

9.10.3 Transition

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}$, $(b_n)_{n\in I}$ and $(c_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements in \mathbb{R}^I

- If $a_n = O(b_n)$ and $b_n = O(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$ then $a_n = O(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a_n = O(b_n)$ and $b_n = o(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$ then $a_n = o(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$
- If $a_n = o(b_n)$ and $b_n = O(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$ then $a_n = o(c_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.10.4 Times

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}, (b_n)_{n\in I}, (c_n)_{n\in I}, (d_n)_{n\in I}$ be sequences in \mathbb{R}

- If $a N = O(b_n)$, $c_n = O(d_n)$, $n \in I$, $n \to +\infty$ then $a_n c_n = O(b_n d_n)$, $n \in I$, $n \to +\infty$
- If $a N = o(b_n), c_n = O(d_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$ then $a_n c_n = o(b_n d_n), n \in I, n \to +\infty$

9.11 On the limit

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}, (b_n)_{n\in I}$ be elements of \mathbb{R}^I that converges to $l\in\mathbb{R}$ and $l'\in\mathbb{R}$ respectively. Then:

- $(a_n + b_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to l + l'
- $(a_n b_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to ll'

9.12 Prop

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then $a^n = o(n!)$ $n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that |a| < NFor $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \ge N$

$$0 \le \frac{|a^n|}{n!} = \frac{|a^N|}{N!} \cdot \frac{|a^n - N|}{\frac{n!}{N!}} \le \frac{|a^N|}{N!} (\frac{|a|}{N})^n - N$$

And $0 < \frac{|a|}{<} 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to +\infty} (\frac{|a|}{N})^n = 0$. Therefore:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{|a^n|}{n!} = 0$$

namely:

$$a^n = o(n!)$$

9.13 Prop

$$n! = o(n^n) \quad n \to +\infty$$

Proof

Let
$$N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$$

 $0 \leq \frac{n!}{n^n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n!}{n^n} = 0$

9.14 Prop

Let $(a_n)_{n\in I}, (b_n)_{n\in I}$ be the elements of \mathbb{R}^I If the series $\sum_{n\in I} b_n$ converges absolutely and if $on = O(b_n)$ $n \to +\infty$ Then $\sum_{n\in I} a_n$ converges absolutely

Proof

By definition $\sum\limits_{n\in I}|b_N|<+\infty$ If $|a_N|\leq M|b_N|$ fro $n\in I, n\geq N$ where $N\in\mathbb{N}$ Then

$$\sum_{n \in I} |a_n| = \sum_{n \in I, n < N} |a_n| + \sum_{n \in I, n \ge N} |a_n| \le \sum_{n \in I, n < N} |a_n| + \sum_{n \in I, n \ge N} |b_n| < +\infty$$

9.15 Theorem: d'Alembert ratio test

Let $(a_N)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$

- If $\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right| < 1$, then $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges absolutely
- If $\liminf_{n\to +\infty} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| > 1$, then $\sum_{n\in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ does not converge (diverges)

9.16. PROP 45

Proof

(1)

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| < \alpha < 1$, alpha isn't a lower bound of $(\sup_{n \ge N} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$

So $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{n \geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| < \alpha \text{Hence for } n \geq N \quad |a_n| \leq \alpha^{n-N} |a_N| \text{ since }$

$$\frac{a_n}{a_N} = \frac{a_{N+1}}{a_N} \frac{a_{N+2}}{a_{N+1}} ... \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$$

Therefore $a_n = O(\alpha^n)$ since $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} < +\infty$, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converge absolutely.

9.15.1 Lemma

If a series $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ converges, then $\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n = 0$

Proof

If $(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $l\in\mathbb{R}$, then $(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i)_{n\in\mathbb{N}, n\geq 1}$ converges to l, too. Hence $\left(a_n=\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i\right)-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to l-l=0

9.15.2 (2)

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1 < \beta < \liminf_{n \to +\infty} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| = \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n \geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}|$ So there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta < \inf_{n \geq N} |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}|$

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N \quad |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| \geq \beta$

Hence $(|a_n|)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not bounded since $|a_n| \ge \beta^{n-N} |a_n|$ By the lemma: $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges.

9.16 Prop

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 1$ Then $n = o(a^n), n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $a = (1 + \epsilon)^2$

$$a^{n} = (1 + \epsilon)^{2n} = (1 + \epsilon)^{n} (1 + \epsilon)^{n} \ge (1 + n\epsilon)(1 + n\epsilon) \ge \epsilon^{2} n^{2}$$

Hence

$$n \le \frac{a^n}{\epsilon^2 n} = o(a^n)$$

9.16.1 Corollary

Let
$$a > 1, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$
 Then $n^t = o(a^n), n \to +\infty$

Proof

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that $t \leq d$ Then $n^{t-d} \leq 1$ So

$$n^t = n^d n^{t-d} = O(n^d)$$

Let
$$b = \sqrt[d]{a} > 1$$

$$n^d = o((b^n)^d) = o(a^n)$$

Hence $n^t = o(a^n)$

9.16.2 Corollary

There exists $M \ge 1$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x \ge M, \ln(x) \le x$

Proof

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that 1 < a < e

9.17 Theorem: Cauchy root test

Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Let $\alpha = \limsup_{n\to+\infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$

- If $\alpha < 1$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges absolutely.
- If a > 1 then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ diverges

Proof

(1)

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \beta < 1$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_N|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \beta$ for $n \geq N$. That means $|a_n| = O(\beta^n)$ since $0 < \beta < 1$, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges absolutely.

(2)

If $\alpha > 1$ then $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ $\exists n \geq N$ such that $|a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1$, since otherwise $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \geq N, |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$ contradiction Hence $(|a_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot converge to 0.

Part III

Topology

Absolute value and norms

10.1 Def

Let K be a field . By absolute value on K, we mean a mapping $|\cdot|:K\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ that satisfies:

- (1) $\forall a \in K \quad |a| = 0 \text{ iff } a = 0$
- $(2) \ \forall (a,b) \in K^2 \quad |ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$
- (3) $\forall (a,b) \in K^2 \quad |a+b| \le |a| + |b|$ (triangle inequality)

10.2 Notation

 \mathbb{Q} Take a prime num $p \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a integer $ord_p(\alpha) \frac{a}{b}$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ $b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

10.3 Prop

$$\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$|\cdot| : \alpha \mapsto \begin{cases} p^{-ord_p(\alpha)} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a absolute value on $\mathbb Q$

Proof

(1) Obviously

(2) If
$$\alpha = p^{ord_p(\alpha)} \frac{a}{b}, \beta = p^{ord_p(\beta)} \frac{c}{d} \quad p \nmid abcd$$

$$\alpha\beta = p^{ord_p(\alpha) + ord_p(\beta)} \frac{ac}{bd} \quad p \nmid ac, p \nmid bd$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3) & \ \alpha+\beta = p^{ord_p(\alpha)} \frac{a}{b} + p^{ord_p(\beta)} \frac{c}{d} \\ & \text{Assume} \ ord_p(\alpha) \geq ord_p(\beta) \\ & \alpha+\beta \\ & = p^{ord_p(\beta)} \left(p^{ord_p(\alpha) - ord_p(\beta)} \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} \right) \\ & = p^{ord_p(\beta)} \frac{p^{ord_p(\alpha) - ord_p(\beta)} ad + bc}{bd} \quad p \nmid bd \\ & \text{So} \end{aligned}$$

$$ord_p(\alpha + \beta) \ge ord(\beta)$$

Hence
$$ord_p(\alpha + \beta) \ge \min\{ord_p(\alpha), ord_p(\beta)\}$$

So $|\alpha + \beta|_p = p^{-ord_p(\alpha + \beta)} \le \max\{p^{-ord_p(\alpha)}, p^{-ord_p(\beta)}\} = \max\{|\alpha|_p, |\alpha|_p\} \le |\alpha|_p, |\alpha|_p$

Quotient Structure

11.1 Def

Let X be a set and \sim be a binary relation on X If :

- $\forall x \in X, x \sim x$
- $\forall (x,y) \in X \times X$, if $x \sim y$ then $y \sim x$
- $\forall (x, y, z) \in X^3$, if $x \sim y, y \sim z$ then $x \sim z$

then we say that \sim is an equivalence relation

11.2 equivalence class

 $\forall x \in X$ we denote by [x] the set $\{y \in X \mid y \sim x\}$ and call it the equivalence class of x on X.Let X/\sim be the set $\{[x] \mid x \in X\}$

11.3 Prop.

Let X be a set and \sim be an equivalence relation on X

- (1) $\forall x \in X, y \in [x] \text{ on has } [x] = [y]$
- (2) If α and β are elements of X/\sim such that $\alpha\neq\beta$ then $\alpha\cap\beta=\varnothing$
- (3) $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha$

Proof

- (1) Let $z \in [y]$. Then $y \sim z$. Since $y \in [x]$ on has $x \sim y$ Therefore $x \sim z$ namely $z \in [x]$. This proves $y[] \subseteq [x]$. Moreover ,since $x \sim y$, one has $x \in [y]$. Hence $[x] \subseteq [y]$. Thus we obtain [x] = [y]
- (2) Suppose that $\alpha \cap \beta \neq \emptyset, y \in \alpha \cap \beta$ By $(1), \alpha = [y], \beta = [y]$, Thus leads to a contradiction.
- (3) $\forall x \in X \quad x \in [x] \text{ Hence } x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha \text{Hence } X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha. \text{Conversely,}$ $\forall \alpha \in X/\sim, \alpha \text{ is a subset of } X. \text{ Hence } \bigcup_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha \subseteq X. \text{Then } X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in X/\sim} \alpha$

11.4 Def

Let G be a group and X be a set We call left/right action of G on X ant mapping $G \times X \to X : (g,x) \mapsto gx/(g,x) \mapsto xg$ that satisfies:

- $\forall x \in X$ 1x = x / x1 = x
- $\forall (g,h) \in G^2, x \in X$ g(hx) = (gh)x / (xg)h = x(gh)

11.5 Remark

If we denote by G^{op} the set G equipped with the composition law:

$$G \times G \to G$$

$$(g,h) \mapsto hg$$

The a right action of G on X is just a left action of G^{op} on X.

11.6 Prop

Let G be a group and X be a set . Assume given a left action of G on X. Then the binary relation \sim on X defined as $x \sim y$ iff $\exists g \in G \quad y = gx$ is an equivalence relation

11.7 Notation on Equivalence Class

We denote by G/X the set $X/\sim \forall x\in X$ the equivalence class of x is denoted as Gx/xG or $orb_G(x)$ call the orbit of x under the action of G

11.8. PROOF 53

11.8 Proof

- $\forall x \in X \quad x = 1x \text{ so } x \sim x$
- $\forall (x,y) \in X^2$ if y = gx for same $g \in G$ then $g^{-1}y = g^{-1}(gx) = (g^{-1}g)x = 1x = x.(y \sim x)$
- $\forall (x,y,z) \in X^3$, if $\exists (g,h) \in G^2$, such that y=gx and then z=h(gx)=(hg)x So $x \sim z$

11.9 Quotient set

Let X be a set and \sim be an equivalence relation, the mapping $X \to X/\sim$: $(x \in X) \mapsto [x]$ is called the projection mapping. X/\sim is called the quotient set of X by equivalence relation \sim

11.9.1 Example

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G. Then the mapping

$$H \times G \to G$$

$$(h,g) \mapsto hg/(h,g) \mapsto gh$$

is a left/right action of H on G. Thus we obtain two quotient sets H/G and G/H

11.10 Def

Let G be a group and H be a subgroup of G. Ig $\forall g \in G, h \in H$ $ghg^{-1} \in H$, Then we say that H is a normal subgroup of G

11.11 Remark

 $\forall g \in G, gH = Hg$, provided that H is a normal subgroup of G. In fact $\forall h \in$,

- $\exists h' \in H$ such that $ghg^{-1} = h'$ Hence gh = h'g. This shows $gH \subseteq Hg$
- $\exists h'' \in H$ such that $g^{-1}hg = h''$ Hence hg = gh''. This shows $Hg \subseteq gH$

Thus gH = Hg

11.12 Prop

If G is commutative, any subgroup of G is normal

11.13 Theorem

Let G be a group and H be a normal subgroup of G. Then the mapping

$$G/H \times H/G \rightarrow G/H$$

$$(xH, Hx) \mapsto (xy)H$$

is well defined and determine a structure of group of quotient set G/H Moreover the projection mapping

$$\pi:G\to G/H$$

$$x \mapsto xH$$

is a morphism of groups.

Proof

- If xH = x'H, yH = y'H then $\exists h_1 \in H, h_2 \in H$ such that $x' = xh_1, y' = yh_2$ Hence $x'y' = xh_1yh_2 = (xy)(y^{-1}h_1y)h_2$. For $y^{-1}h_1y, h_2 \in H$ then (x'y')H = (xy)H. So the mapping is well defined.
- $\forall (x,y,x) \in G^3$ $(xH)(yH \cdot zH) = xH((yx)H) = (x(yz)H = ((xy)z)H = ((xy)H)zH = (xH \cdot yH)zH)$
- $\bullet \ \forall x \in G \quad 1H \cdot xH = xH \cdot 1H = xH \quad x^{-1}HxH = xHx^{-1}H = 1H$
- $\pi(xy) = (xy)H = xH \cdot yH = \pi(x)\pi(y)$

11.14 Def

Let K be a unitary ring and E be a left K-module. We say that a subgroup F og (E, +) is a left sub-K-module of E if $\forall (a, x) \in K \times F, ax \in F$

11.15 Prop

Let K be a unitary ring , E be a left K-module and F be a sub-K-module. Then the mapping

$$K \times (E/F) \to E/F$$

$$(a, [x]) \mapsto [ax]$$

is well defined , and defines a left-K-module structure on E/F. Moreover, the projection mapping $pi: E \to E/F$ is a morphism of left-K-modules

11.16. DEF 55

Proof

Let x and x' be elements of E such that [x] = [x'], that meas: $x' - x \in F$ Hence $a(x' - x) = ax' - ax \in F$ So [ax] = [ax']Let us check that E/F forms a left K-module.

- a([x] + [y]) = a([x + y]) = [a(x + y)] = [ax + ay] = [ax] + [ay]
- (a+b)[x] = [(a+b)x] = [ax+bx] = [ax] + [bx]
- 1[x] = [1x] = [x]
- a(b[x]) = a[bx] = [a(bx)] = [(ab)x] = (ab)[x]

By the provided proposition, π is a morphism of groups. Moreover $\forall x \in E, a \in K$ $\pi(ax) = [ax] = a[x] = a\pi(x)$

11.16 Def

Let A be a unitary ring . We call two-sided ideal any subgroup I of (A,+) that satisfies : $\forall x \in I, a \in A \quad \{ax, xa\} \subseteq I()$ (I is a left and right sub-K-module of A)

11.17 Theorem

Let A be a unitary ring and I be a two sided ideal of A. The mapping

$$(A/I) \times (A/I) \to A/I$$

$$([a],[b]) \mapsto [ab]$$

is well defined. Moreover, A/I becomes a unitary ring under the addition and this composition law, and the projection mapping

$$A \stackrel{\pi}{\longrightarrow} A/I$$

is a morphism of unitary ring (if is a morphism of additive groups and multiplicative monoids, namely $\pi(a+b) = \pi(a) + \pi(b), \pi(ab) = \pi(a)\pi(b), \pi(1) = 1$)

Proof

If $a' \sim a, b' \sim b$ that means $a' - a \in I, b' - b \in I$ then a'b' - ab = a'b' - a'b + a'b - ab = a'(b' - b) + (a' - a)b. For $(a' - a), (b' - b) \in I$, then $a'b' - ab \in I$ Therefore $a'b' \sim ab$

11.17.1 Reside Class

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d\mathbb{Z} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, n = dm\} \ d\mathbb{Z}$ is a two sided ideal of \mathbb{Z} If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ $adm = dma \in d\mathbb{Z}$

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ the quotient ring. The class of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is called the reside class of n modulo d

If A is a commutative unitary ring, a two sided ideal of A is simply called an ideal of A

11.18 Theorem

Let $f: G \to H$ be a morphism of groups

- (1) Im(f) is a subgroup of H
- (2) $\ker(f) := \{x \in G \mid f(x) = 1_H\}$ is a normal subgroup of G
- (3) The mapping

$$\widetilde{f}: G/Ker(f) \to Im(f)$$
 $[x] \mapsto f(x)$

is well defined and is an isomorphism of groups

(4) f is injective iff $\ker(f) = \{1_G\}$

Proof

- (1) Let α and β be elements of Im(f). Let $(x,y) \in G^2$ such that $\alpha = f(x), \beta = f(y)$ Then $\alpha\beta^{-1} = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(xy^{-1}) \in Im(f)$ So Im(f) is a subgroup
- (2) Let x and y be elements of $\ker(f)$. One has $f(xy^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = 1_H 1_H^{-1} = 1_H$ So $xy^{-1} \in \ker f$. Hence $\ker f$ is a subgroup of G Let $x \in \ker f, y \in G$. One has $f(yxy^{-1}) = f(y)f(x)f(y)^{-1} = f(y)f(y)^{-1} = 1_H$ Hence $yxy^{-1} \in \ker f$. So $\ker f$ is a normal subgroup
- (3) If $x \sim y$ then $\exists z \in \ker f$ such that y = xz Hence $f(y) = f(x)f(z) = f(x)1_H = f(x)$ So f is well defined. Moreover $\widetilde{f}([x][y]) = \widetilde{f}([xy]) = f(xy) = f(x)f(y) = f([x])f([y])$ Hence \widetilde{f} is a morphism of groups. By definition $Im(\widetilde{f}) = Im(f)$ If x and y are elements of x such that x such that x is a such that x such that x is a such that x

11.19. THEOREM

57

(4) If f is injective $\forall x \in \ker f$ $f(x) = 1_H = f(1_G)$, so $x = 1_G$. Therefore $\ker f\{1_G\}$ Conversely, suppose that $\ker f = \{1_G\} \quad \forall (x,y) \in G^2 \text{ if } f(x) = f(y) \text{ then } f(x)f(y)^{-1} = 1_H$. Hence $xy^{-1} = 1_G, x = y$

11.19 Theorem

Let K be a unitary ring and $f:E\to F$ be a morphism of left K-modules. Then

- (1) Im(f) is a left-sub-K-module of F
- (2) $\ker(f)$ is a left-sub-K-module of E
- (3) $\widetilde{f}:E/\ker f\to Im(f)$ is a isomorphism of left K-modules $[x]\mapsto f(x)$

Proof

- (1) $\forall x \in E$, f(ax) = af(x) So $af(x) \in Im(f)$
- (2)
- (3)

Topology

12.1 Def

Let X be a set. We call topology on X any subset $\mathcal J$ of $\wp(x)$ that satisfies:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{J}$ and $X \in \mathcal{J}$
- If $(u_i)_{i\in I}$ is an arbitrary family of elements in \mathcal{J} , then $\bigcup_{i\in I} u_i \in \mathcal{J}$
- If u and v are elements of \mathcal{J} , then $u \cap v \in \mathcal{J}$

12.2 Remark

If $(u_i)_i^n = 1$ is a finite family of elements of \mathcal{J} , then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n u_i \in \mathcal{J}$ (by induction, this follows from (3))

12.2.1 Example

 $\{\phi, X\}$ is a topology. call the trivial topology on $\wp(X)$ is a topology called the discrete topology.

12.3 Def

Let X be a set. We call metric on X any mapping $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, that satisfies

- d(x,y) = 0 iff x=y
- $\forall (x,y) \in X^2, d(x,y) = d(y,x)$
- $\forall (x, y, z) \in X^3$ $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ (triangle inequality)

(X,d) is called a metric space

12.3.1 Example

Let X be a set

$$d: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

is a metric

12.4 Def

Let (X,d) be a metric space. For any $x \in X$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, let $B(x,\epsilon) := \{y \in X \mid d(x,y) \leq \epsilon\}$ We call the open ball of radius ϵ centered at x

12.4.1 Example

Consider (\mathbb{R}, d) with d(x, y) = |x - y|, then $B(x, \epsilon) = |x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon|$

12.5 Prop.

Let (X,d) be a metric space . let \mathcal{J}_d be the set of $U\subseteq X$ such that $\forall x\in U\exists \epsilon>0$ $B(x,\epsilon)\subseteq U$ THen \mathcal{J}_d is a topology on X

Proof

- $\varnothing \in \mathcal{J}_d \quad X \in \mathcal{J}_d$
- Let $(u_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family of elements of \mathcal{J}_d Let $U = \bigcup_{i\in I} u_i, \ \forall x\in U, \exists i\in I$ such that $x\in u_i$. Since $u_i\in \mathcal{J}_d, \exists \epsilon>0$ such that $B(x,y)\subseteq u_i\subseteq U$ Hence $U\in \mathcal{J}_d$
- Let U and V be elements of \mathcal{J}_d Let $x \in U \cap V \exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $B(x,a) \subseteq U, B(x,b) \subseteq V$ Taking $\epsilon = \min\{a,b\}$, Then $B(x,\epsilon) = B(x,a) \cap B(x,b) \subseteq U \cap V$ Therefore $U \cap V \in \mathcal{J}_d$

12.6 Def

 \mathcal{J}_d is called the topology induced by the metric d

12.7. DEF 61

12.7 Def

We call topology space any pair (X, \mathcal{J}) where X is a set and \mathcal{J} is a topology on X

Given a topological space (X, \mathcal{J}) If $U \in \mathcal{J}$ then we say that U is an open subset of X. If $F \in \wp(X)$ such that $X \setminus F \in \mathcal{J}$, then we say that F is closed subset of X

If there exists d a metric on X such that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_d$ then we say that \mathcal{J} is metrizable

12.7.1 Example

Let X be a set . The discrete topology on X is metrizable. In fact,m if d denote the metric defined as $d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$ $\forall x \in X \quad B(x,1) = \{x\} \text{ So } \{x\} \in \mathcal{J}_d \text{ Hence } \forall A \subseteq X \quad A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\} \in \mathcal{J}_d$

12.8 Axiom of choice

For any set I and any family $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ of non-empty sets , there exists a mapping $f:I\to\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i$ such that $\forall i\in I, f(i)\in A_i$

12.9 Def

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set If $\forall A \subseteq X$ A is non-empty, there exists a least element of A then we say that (X, \leq) is a well ordered set.

12.10 Theorem

For any set X, there exists an order relation \leq on such that (X, \leq) forms a well ordered set.

12.11 Zorn's lemma

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set . If $\forall A \subseteq X$ that is totally ordered with respect to \leq , there exists an upper bound of A inside X. Then , there exists a maximal element x_0 of $X(\forall y \in X, y > x_0$ does not hold)

12.12 Prop.

Let (X, \leq) be a well ordered set , $y \notin X$. We extends \leq to $X \cup \{y\}$, such that $\forall x \in X, x < y$. Then $(X \cup \{y\}, \leq)$ is well ordered.

12.13 Proof

Let $A \subseteq X \cup \{y\}$, $A \neq \emptyset$. If $A = \{y\}$ then Y is the least element of A. If $A \neq \{y\}$ then $B = A \setminus \{y\}$ is non-empty. Let b be the least element of B. Since b < y it's also the least element of A

12.14 Def: Initial Segment

Let (X, \leq) be a well ordered set. $S \subseteq X$, If $\forall s \in S, x \in X$ x < s initial $x \in S(X_{\leq s} \subseteq S)$, then we say that S is an initial segment of X

If S is a initial segment such that S = X then we sat that S is a proper initial segment.

12.15 Example

 $\forall x \in X \quad X_{\leq x} = \{s \in X \mid s < x\}$ Then $X_{\leq x}$ is a proper initial segment of X.

12.16 Prop.

Let (X, \leq) be a well ordered set , If $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of initial segment of X, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ is an initial segment of X

12.17 Proof

 $\forall s \in \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i, \exists i \in I \text{ such that } s \in S_i, i \in I \text{ Therefore } X_{\leq s} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$

12.18 Prop.

Let $(X \leq \leq)$ be a well erodered set.

- (1) Let S be a proper initial segment of X, $x = \min(X \setminus S)$ Then $S = X_{\leq x}$
- $(2) \begin{array}{c} X \to \wp(X) \\ x \mapsto X_{< x} \end{array}$
- (3) The set of all initial segments of X forms a well ordered subset of $(\wp(x), \subseteq)$

12.19 Proof

(1) $\forall s \in S$ if $x \leq s$ then $x \in S$ contradiction. Hence s < x, This shows $S \subseteq X_{< x}$ Conversely , if $t \in X, t \notin X \setminus S$ Hence $t \in S$. Hence $X_{< x} \subseteq S$ 12.20. LEMMA 63

(2) Let $x, y \in X, x < y$ By definition $X_{< x} \subseteq X_{< y}$ Moreover $x \in X_{< y} \setminus X_{< x}$ So $X_{< x} \subsetneq X_{< y}$

(3) Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \wp(X)$ be a set of initial segments. $\mathcal{F} \neq \varnothing$. Then there exists $A \subseteq X$ such that $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{x\} = \{X_{\leq x} \mid x \in A\}$ If $A = \varnothing$ then $\mathcal{F} = \{X\}$, and $\{X\}$ is the least element of \mathcal{F} . Otherwise $A \neq \varnothing$ and A has a least element a. Then by(2) $X_{\leq a}$ is the least element of \mathcal{F}

12.20 Lemma

Let (X, \leq) be a well ordered set, $f: X \to X$ be a strictly increasing mapping. Then $\forall x \in X, x \leq f(x)$

Proof

Let $A = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < x\}$ If $A \neq \emptyset$, let a be the least element of A. By definition f(a) < a. Hence f(f(a)) < f(a) since f is strictly increasing. This shows $f(a) \in A$. But a is the least element of A, f(a) < a cannot hold: contradiction.

12.21 Prop

Let (X, \leq) be a well ordered set, S and T be two initial segment of X . If $f: S \to T$ is a bijection that's strictly increasing, then $S = T, f = Id_S$

Proof

We may assume $T\subseteq S$.Let $l:T\to S$ be the induction mapping and $g=l\circ f:S\to S$. Since g is strictly increasing , by the lemma , $\forall s\in S,s\le g(s)=f(s)\in T$. Since T is an initial segment, $s\in T$. Hence S=T Apply the lemma to f^{-1} we get $\forall s\in S,s\le f^{-1}(s)$ Hence $f(s)\le s$ Therefore f(s)=s

12.22 Def

Let (X, \leq) and (Y, \leq) be partially ordered sets. If $\exists f : X \to Y$ that's increasing and bijective, we say that (X, \leq) and (Y, \leq) are isomorphic

12.23 Def

Let (X, \leq) and (Y, \leq) be well ordered sets. If (X, \leq) is isomorphic to an initial segment of Y. We note $X \leq Y$ or $Y \succeq X$. If X is isomorphic to Y, we note $X \sim Y$. If $X \leq Y$ but $X \not\sim Y$, we note $X \prec Y$ or $Y \prec X$

12.24 Prop.

Let X and Y be well ordered sets. Among the following condition, one and only one holds.

$$X \prec Y \quad X \sim Y \quad X \succ Y$$

Proof

We construct a correspondence f from X to Y, such that $(x,y) \in \Gamma_f,$ iff $X_{< x} \sim Y_{< y}$

By the last proposition of Oct. 11, f is a function.

- If $a, b \in Dom(f)^2$, a < b, then $X_{< a} \subsetneq X_{< b}$ By definition, $Y_{< f(b)} \sim X_{< b}$ $Y_{< f(a)} \sim X_{< a}$ Hence $Y_{< f(a)}$ is isomorphic to a proper initial segment of $Y_{< f(b)}$. Therefore $Y_{f(a)}$ is a proper initial segment of $Y_{< f(b)}$. We then get f(a) < f(b). Thus f is strictly increasing.
- Let $a \in Dom(f)$ Let $x \in X, x < a$ Then $X_{< x}$ is a initial segment of $X_{< a} \sim Y_{< f(a)}$ Hence $\exists y \in Y \mid X_{< x} \sim Y_{< y}$ This shows that $x \in Dom(f)$. Hence Dom(f) is an initial segment of X. Applying this to f^{-1} , we get: Im(f) = Dom(f) is an initial segment of Y
- Either Dom(f) = X or Im(f) = Y. Assume that $x \in X \setminus Dom(f), y \in Y \setminus Im(f)$ are respectively the least elements of $X \setminus Dom(f)$ and $Y \setminus Im(f)$. Then we get $Dom(f) = X_{< x}, Im(f) = Y_{< y}$. We obtain $X_{< x} \sim Y_{< y}, (x, y) \in \Gamma_f$. Contradiction

•

Case 1
$$Dom(f) = X, Im(f) \subsetneq Y$$
 $X \prec Y$
Case 2 $Dom(f) \subsetneq X, Im(f) = Y$ $X \succ Y$
Case 3 $Dom(f) = X, Im(f) = Y$ $X \sim Y$

12.25 Lemma

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set . $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \wp(X)$. Assume that

- $\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}, (A, \leq)$ is a well-ordered set.
- $\forall (A,B) \in \mathfrak{S}^2$, either A is an initial segment of B, or B is a initial segment of A.

Let $Y = \bigcup_{A \in \mathfrak{S}} A$. Then (Y, \leq) is a well ordered set, and $\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}, A$ is an initial segment of Y.

Proof

- Let $A \in \mathfrak{S}, x \in A, y \in Y, y < x$. Since $Y = \bigcup_{B \in \mathfrak{S}} B, \exists B \in \mathfrak{S}$, such that $y \in B$. If $y \not\in A$ then $B \not\subseteq A$. Hence A is an initial segment of B. Hence $y \in A$. Contradiction
- Let $Z \subseteq Y, Z \neq \emptyset$. Then $\exists A \in \mathfrak{S}, A \cap Z \neq \mathfrak{S}$. Let m be the least element of $A \cap Z$. Let $z \in Z, B \in \mathfrak{S}$, such that $z \in B$. If $z \in A$, then $m \leq z$. If $z \notin A$, then A is an initial segment of B.

Since B is well ordered , if $m \not \leq z$ then z < m. Since $m \in A$, we het $z \in A$. Contradiction.

Therefore, m is the least element of Z.

12.26 Theorem(Zorn's lemma)

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose that any well-ordered subset of X has an upper bound on X, the X has a maximal element (a maximal element m of $\{x \mid x > m\} = \emptyset$)

Proof

Suppose that X doesn't have any maximal element. $\forall A \in \omega. \exists f(A)$ such that $\forall a \in A, a < f(A)$

Let

$$\omega = \{ \text{well ordered subset of X} \}$$

. (guaranteed by axiom of choice)

Let $f: \omega \to X$ such that f(A) is an upper bound of $A \in \omega$.

If $A \in \omega$ satisfies

$$\forall a \in Aa = f(A_{< a})$$

, we say that A is a f-set

Let

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{f - sets\}$$

Note that

$$\varnothing \in \mathfrak{S}$$

if

$$\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}, A \cap \{f(A)\} \in \mathfrak{S}$$

In fact, if $a \in A$, then

$$A_{\leq a} = (A \cup \{f(A)\})_{\leq a}$$

If
$$a = f(A) \not\in A$$
 then

$$(A \cup \{f(A)\})_{\leq a} = A$$

Let A and B be elements of \mathfrak{S} . Let I be the union of all common initial segments of A and B. This is also a common initial segment of A and B. If $I \neq A$ and $I \neq B$, then

$$\exists (a,b) \in A \times B, I = A_{\leq a} = B_{\leq b} \quad f(I) = f(A_{\leq a}) = f(B_{\leq b})$$

. Hence

$$a = b$$

. Then $I \cup \{a\}$ is also a common initial segment of A and B, contradiction. By the lemma ,

$$Y:=\bigcup_{A\in\mathfrak{S}}A$$

is well-ordered , and $\forall A \in \mathfrak{S}$ is an initial segment of Y. Since A is an initial segment of Y

$$\forall a \in Y, \exists A \in \mathfrak{S} \quad a \in AA_{\leq a} = Y_{\leq a}$$

. Hence

$$f(Y_{< a}) = f(A_{< a}) = a$$

. Hence

$$y \in \mathfrak{S}$$

. Thus Y is the greatest element of (\mathfrak{S},\subseteq) . However,

$$Y \cup \{f(Y)\} \in \mathfrak{S}$$

. Hence

$$f(y) \in Y$$

If f(y) is not a maximal element of X

$$\exists x \in X, f(y) < x$$

Filter

13.1 Def

Let Xbe a set. We call filter if X any $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \wp(x)$ that satisfies:

- (1) $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset, \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$
- (2) $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \forall B \in \wp(X), \text{ if } A \subseteq B, \text{ then } B \in \mathcal{F}$
- (3) $\forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}, A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$

13.1.1 Example

- (1) Let $Y \subseteq X, Y \neq \emptyset$. $\mathcal{F}_Y := \{A \in \wp(X) \mid Y \subseteq A\}$ is a filter, called the principal filter of Y.
- (2) Let X be an infinite set.

$$\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(X) := \{ A \in \wp(X) \mid X \backslash A \text{is infinite} \}$$

is a filter called the Fréchet filter of X.

(3) Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space, $x \in X$ We call neighborhood of x any $V \in \wp(X)$ such that $\exists u \in \mathcal{J}$, satisfying $x \in U \subseteq V$. Then $\mathcal{V} = \{\text{neighborhoods of } x\}$ is a filter.

13.2 Def: Filter Basis

Let X ba a set. $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \wp(X)$. If $\varnothing \notin \mathscr{B}$ and $\forall (B_1, b_2) \in \mathscr{B}^2, \exists B \in \mathscr{B}$, such that $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. We say that \mathscr{B} is a filter basis.

13.2.1 Remark

If \mathscr{B} is a filter basis, then $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) = \{A \subseteq X \mid \exists B \in \mathscr{B} \mid B \subseteq A\}$ is a filter

Proof

 $\varnothing \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}), \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) \neq \varnothing$ since $0 \neq B \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$. If $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}), A' \in \wp(X)$ such that $A \subseteq A'$, then $\exists B \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq A'$. Hence $A' \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$ If $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$, then $\exists (B_1, B_2) \in \mathscr{B}^2$ such that $B_1 \subseteq A_1, B_2 \subseteq A_2$. Since \mathscr{B} is a filter basis, $\exists B \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$ Hence $A_1 \cap A_2 \in A_1 \cap A_2 \in A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$

13.2.2 Example

- Let $Y \subseteq X, Y \neq \emptyset$ $\mathscr{B} = \{Y\}$ is a filter basis. $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathcal{F}_Y = \{A \subseteq X \mid Y \subseteq A\}$
- Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space $x \in X$. If \mathscr{B}_x is a filter basis such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathcal{V}_x = \{\text{neighborhood of } x\}$, then we say that \mathscr{B}_x is a neighborhood basis of x

13.3 Remark

Let \mathcal{B}_x is a neighborhood basis of x iff

- $\mathscr{B}_x \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$
- $\forall V \in \mathcal{V}_x \quad \exists U \in \mathscr{B}_x \text{ such that } U \subseteq V$
- Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x \in X \forall \epsilon > 0$, Let

$$B(x,\epsilon) = \{ y \in X \mid d(x,y) < \epsilon \}$$

$$\overline{B}(x,\epsilon) = \{ y \in X \mid d(x,y) \le \epsilon \}$$

Then

- $\{B(x,\epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x
- $-\{\overline{B}(x,\frac{1}{n})\mid n\in\mathbb{N}_{>1}\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x
- $\{B(x,\epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x
- $-\left\{\overline{B}(x,\frac{1}{n})\mid n\in\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\right\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x

13.3.1 Example

 $\mathcal{V}_x \cap \mathcal{J}$ is a neighborhood basis of x

13.4 Def

 $V \in \wp(X)$ is called a neighborhood of x if $\exists U | in \mathcal{J}$ such that $x \in U \subseteq V$

13.5. REMARK 69

13.5 Remark

Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space, $x \in X$ and \mathscr{B}_x a neighborhood basis os x. Suppose that \mathscr{B} is countable. We choose a surjective mapping $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from \mathbb{N} to \mathscr{B}_x . For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = B_0 \cap B_1 \cap \ldots \cap B_n \in \mathcal{V}_x$ The sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing adn $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a neighborhood basis of x.

13.6 Extra Episode

 $\wp(\mathbb{N})$ is NOT countable

Suppose that $f: \wp(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$ injective. Then $\exists g: \mathbb{N} \to \wp(\mathbb{N})$ surjective. Taking $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \notin g(n)\}$. Since g is surjective, $\exists a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A = g(a).

If $a \in A$, then $a \in g(a)$, hence $a \notin A$

If $a \notin A$, then $a \in g(a) = A$

Contradiction

13.7 Prop.

Let Y and R be sets, $g:Y\to E$ be a mapping ,

• If \mathcal{F} is a filter of Y, then

$$G_*(\mathcal{F}) := \{ A \in \wp(E) \mid g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F} \}$$

is a filter on E

• If \mathcal{B} is a filter basis of Y, then

$$g(\mathscr{B}) := \{g(B) \mid B \in \mathscr{B}\}$$

is a filter of E, adn $\mathcal{F}(g(\mathscr{B})) = g_*(\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}))$

Proof

- (1) $E \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$ since $g^{-1}(E) = Y$ $\varnothing \notin g_x(\mathcal{F})$ since $g^{-1}(\varnothing) = \varnothing$
 - If $A \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$ and $A' \supseteq A$, then $g^{-1}(A') \supseteq g^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{J}$, so $g^{-1}(A') \in \mathcal{J}$, Hence $A' \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$
 - If $A_1, A_2 \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$. Then $g^{-1}(A_1) \in \mathcal{F}, g^{-1}(A_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ Hence $g^{-1}(A_1 \cap A_2) = g^{-1}(A_1) \cap g^{-1}(A_2) \in \mathcal{F}$. So $A_1 \cap A_2 \in g_x(\mathcal{F})$.
- (2) Since g is a mapping , and $\varnothing \not\in \mathscr{B}$, we get $\varnothing \not\in g(\mathscr{B})$, since $\mathscr{B} \neq \varnothing, g(\mathscr{B}) \neq \varnothing$.

Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $C \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. Hence $g(C) \subseteq g(B_1) \cap g(B_2)$, namely $g(\mathcal{B})$ is a filter basis.

Limit point and accumulation point

We fix a topological space (X, \mathcal{J})

14.1 Def

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter of X and $x \in X$

- If $\mathcal{V}_x \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ then we say that x is an limit point of \mathcal{F}
- If $\forall (A, V) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{V}_x, A \cap V \neq \emptyset$, we say that x is an accumulation point of \mathcal{F}

So any limit point of \mathcal{F} is necessarily a accumulation point of mathcal F

14.2 Prop

Let \mathscr{B} be a filter basis of X, $x \in X$, \mathscr{B}_x a neighborhood basis of x. Then x is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B})$ iff $\forall (B,U) \in \mathscr{B} \times \mathscr{B}_x$, $B \cap U \neq \varnothing$

Proof

Necessity

Since $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}), \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$, the necessity is true.

Sufficiency

Let $(A, V) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathscr{B}) \times \mathcal{V}_x$. There exist $B \in \mathscr{B}, U \in \mathscr{B}_x$, such that $B \subseteq A, U \subseteq V$. Hence $\varnothing \neq B \cap U \subseteq A \cap V$

14.3 Def

Let $Y\subseteq X, Y\neq\varnothing$. W call accumulation point of Y any accumulation point of the principal filter $\mathcal{F}=\{A\subseteq X\mid Y\subseteq A\}$. We denote by $\overline{Y}=\{\text{accumulation points of }Y\}$. Note that $x\in\overline{Y}$ iff $\forall U\in\mathscr{B}_x,Y\cap U\neq\varnothing$ By convention $\overline{\varnothing}=\varnothing$

14.4 Prop

Let $Y \subseteq X$. Then \overline{Y} is the smallest closed subset of X containing Y.

Proof

 $\forall x \in X \setminus \overline{Y}$, then there exists $U_x = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{J}$, such that $Y \cap U_x = \emptyset$. Moreover, $\forall y \in U_x, U_x \in \mathcal{V}_y \cap \mathcal{J}$. This shows that $\forall y \in U_x, y \notin \overline{Y}$. Therefore $X \setminus \overline{Y} = \bigcap_{x \in X \setminus \overline{Y}} U_x \in \mathcal{J}$

Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a closed subset that contain Y. Suppose that $\exists y \in \overline{Y} \backslash Z$. Then $U = X \backslash Z \in \mathcal{V}_y \cap \mathcal{J}$ and $U \cap Y \subseteq U \cap Z = \emptyset$. So $y \notin \overline{Y}$ contradiction. Hence $\overline{Y} \subseteq Z$.

Chapter 15

Limit of mappings

15.1 Def

Let (E, \mathcal{J}_E) be a topological space . $f: Y \to E$ a mapping , and \mathcal{F} eb a filter of Y. If $a \in E$ is a limit point of $F_*(\mathcal{F})$ namely , \forall neighborhoodV of $a, f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$, then we say that a is a limit of the filter \mathcal{F} by f

15.2 Remark

Let \mathscr{B}_a be a neighborhood basis of a. Then $\mathcal{V}_a \subseteq f_x(\mathcal{F})$, iff $\mathscr{B} \subseteq f_*(\mathcal{F})$ Therefore, a is a limit of \mathcal{F} by f iff $\forall V \in \mathscr{B}_a, f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$

15.2.1 Example

Let (E, \mathcal{J}_E) be a topological space. $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset, $x = (x_n)_{n \in I} \in E^I$. If the Fréchet filter $\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(I)$ has a limit $a \in E$ by the mapping $x : I \to E$, we say that $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ converges to a ,denote as

$$a = \lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n$$

15.3 Remark

 $a=\lim_{n\in I,n\to +\infty}x_n \text{ iff, } \forall U\in \mathscr{B}_a \text{(where } \mathscr{B}_a \text{ is a neighborhood basis of } a\text{)}, \\ \exists N\in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x_n\in U \text{ for any } n\in I_{\geq N}$

Suppose that \mathcal{J}_E is induced by a metric $d.\{B(a,\epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}, \{\overline{B}(a,\epsilon) \mid \epsilon > 0\}\{B(a,\frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}\{\overline{B}(a,\frac{1}{n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}\}$ are all neighborhood basis of a. There fore, the following are equivalent

- $a = \lim_{n \in i, n \to +\infty} x_n$
- $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{>N}, d(x_n, a) < \epsilon$

- $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{>N}, d(x_n, a) \leq \epsilon$
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{>N}, d(x_n, a) < \frac{1}{n}$
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{>N}, d(x_n, a) \leq \frac{1}{n}$

 $(x^{-1}(B(a,\epsilon)) = \{n \in I \mid d(x_n,a) < \epsilon\}$? unknown position)

15.4 Remark

We consider the metric d on \mathbb{R} defined as

$$\forall (x, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad d(x, y) := |x - y|$$

The topology of \mathbb{R} defined by this metric is called the usual topology on \mathbb{R}

15.5 Prop

Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}\in\mathbb{R}^I$, where $I\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ is an infinite subset. Let $l\in\mathbb{R}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- The sequence $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l in the topological space \mathbb{R}
- $\liminf_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = \limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} x_n = l$
- $\limsup_{n \in I, n \to} |x_n l| = 0$

15.6 Theorem

Let (X,d) be a metric space .Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset and $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ be an element of X^I . Let $l \in X$. The following statements are equivalent:

- $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to l
- $\limsup_{n \in I} d(x_n, l) = 0$ (equivalent to $\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} d(x, l) = 0$)

Proof

- (1) \Rightarrow (2) The condition (1) is equivalent to $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in I_{\geq N}, d(x_n, l) < \epsilon$. We then get $\sup_{n \in I_{geqN}} d(x, l) \leq \epsilon$. Therefore $\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} d(x_n, l) \leq \epsilon$ We obtain that $\limsup_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} 0$
- (2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ If $\inf_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n \in I_{\geq N}} d(x_n, l) = 0$. Then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ $\sup_{n \in I_{\leq N}} d(x_n, l) < \epsilon$. Hence $\forall n \in I_{\geq N} d(x_n, l) < \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, (*) is true, Hence (1) is also true.

15.7. PROP 75

15.7 Prop

Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space . $Y \subseteq X, p \in \overline{Y} \setminus Y$. Then

$$\mathcal{V}_{p,Y} := \{ V \cap Y \mid V \in \mathcal{V}_p \}$$

is a filter of Y.

Proof

Y is not empty otherwise $\overline{Y} = \emptyset$.

- $Y = X \cap Y \in \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$ $\varnothing \notin \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$ since $p \in \overline{Y}$
- Let $V \in \mathcal{V}_p$ and $A \subseteq Y$ such that $V \cap Y \subseteq A$. Let $U = V \cup (A \setminus (V \cap Y)) \in \mathcal{V}_p$ and $U \cap Y = A \in \mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$
- Let U and V be elements of \mathcal{V}_p Let $W=U\cap V\in\mathcal{V}_p$ Then $W\cap Y=(U\cap Y)\cap (V\cap Y)\in\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}$

15.8 Def

Let (X, \mathcal{J}_x) and (E, \mathcal{J}_E) be topological spaces, $Y \subseteq X, p \in \overline{Y} \setminus Y$, and $f: Y \to E$ be a mapping . If a is a limit point of $(F_*(\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}))$, then we say that a is a limit of f when the variable $y \in Y$ tends to p, denoted as $a = \lim_{y \in Y, y \to p} f(y)$

15.9 Remark

If \mathscr{B}_a is a neighborhood basis of a. Then $a = \lim_{y \in Y, y \to p} f(y)$ is equivalent to $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_a \quad \exists V \in \mathcal{V}_p \text{ such that } Y \cap V \subseteq f_{-1}(U) (\Leftrightarrow f(Y \cap V) \subseteq U)$

15.10 Prop

Let X be a set, \mathscr{B} be a filter basis, \mathscr{G} be a filter. If $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{G}$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathscr{G}$.

Proof

Let $V \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$ By definition $\exists U \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U \subseteq V$, since $U \in \mathcal{G}$ (for $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$) and since \mathcal{G} is a filter, $V \in G$

15.11 Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{J}_x) and $(E < \mathcal{J}_E)$ be topological spaces. $Y \subseteq X, \ p \in \overline{T} \backslash Y, a \in E$. We consider the following conditions.

(i)
$$a = \lim_{y \in Y, y \to p} f(y)$$

(ii)
$$\forall (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$$
 if $\lim_{n\to+\infty}y_n=p$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty}f(y_n)=a$

The following statements are true

- If (i) holds, then (ii) also holds
- \bullet Assume that p has a countable neighborhood basis, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Proof

(1) Let $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in Y^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $p=\lim_{n\to+\infty}y_n$. For any $U\in\mathcal{V}_p,\exists N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n\in\mathbb{N}_{\geq N}\quad y\in U\cap Y. y_n\in U\cap Y$ Therefore

$$\mathcal{V}_{p,Y} \subseteq y_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))$$

We then get

$$f_*(\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}) \subseteq f_*(y_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))) = (f \circ y)_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))$$

Condition (i) leads

$$\mathcal{V}_a \subseteq f_*(\mathcal{V}_{p,Y}) \subseteq (f \circ y)_*(\mathcal{F}_{Fr}(\mathbb{N}))$$

This means

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} f(y_n) = a$$

(2) Assume that p has a countable neighborhood basis . There exists a decreasing sequence $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{V}_P^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{V_n\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ forms a neighborhood basis of p.

Assume that (i) does not hold. Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{V}_a$ such that,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad V_n \cap Y \not\subseteq f^{-1}(U)$$

Take an arbitrary

$$y_n \in (V_n \cap Y) \setminus f^{-1}(U)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} y_n = \emptyset$$

In fact.

$$\forall W \in \mathcal{V}_p, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad V_N \subseteq W$$

Hence

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N} \quad y_n \in W$$

However $f(y_n) \notin U$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $(f(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot converges to a.

15.12. PROP. 77

15.12 Prop.

Let X be a set. If $(\mathcal{J}_i)_{i\in I}$ is a family of topologies on X, then $\mathcal{J}=\bigcap_{i\in I}\mathcal{J}_i$ is a topology. In particular, for any $\mathcal{A}\subseteq\wp(X)$, there is a smallest topology on X that contain \mathcal{A}

15.12.1 Proof

- $\forall i \in I \quad \{\emptyset, X\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_i \text{ So } \{\emptyset, X\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$
- Let $(u_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of elements of $\mathcal{J} \ \forall j \in J, i \in I \ u_i \in \mathcal{J}_i$ So $\bigcup_{j \in J} u_j \in \mathcal{J}_i$ We then get $\bigcup_{j \in J} u_j \in \mathcal{J}$
- Let U and V be elements of $\mathcal{J} \, \forall i \in I, \{u,v\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_i \, \text{So} \, U \cap V \in \mathcal{J}_i$. Therefore we get $U \cap V \in \mathcal{J}$ Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \wp(X)$ Let $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{J} \subseteq \wp(X) \text{a topology}} \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ Then $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is a topology. By definition, if \mathcal{J} is a topology containing \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ Hence $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is the smallest topology containing \mathcal{A}

Chapter 16

Continuity

16.1 Def

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ be topological spaces f be a function from X to Y, $x \in Dom(f)$. If for any neighborhood U of f(x), there exists a neighborhood V of x such that $f(V) \subseteq U$. Then we say that f is continuous at x. If f is continuous at any $x \in Dom(f)$ then we say f is continuous.

16.2 Remark

Let $\mathscr{B}_{f(x)}$ be a neighborhood basis of f(x) If $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_{f(x)}$ there exist $V \in \mathscr{B}_{f(x)}V_x$ such that $f(V) \subseteq U$, then f is continuous at x Suppose that X and Y are metric space. Then f is continuous at x iff:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall y \in Dom(f) \quad d(y,x) < \delta \text{ implies } d(f(y),f(x)) < \epsilon$$

16.3 Theorem

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ be topological spaces, f be a function from X to Y $x \in Dom(f)$ Consider the following condition

- f is continuous at x
- $\forall (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in Dom(f)^{\mathbb{N}}$, if $\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n = x$, then $\lim_{n\to+\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$ THen (i) implies (ii) Moreover, if x has a countable neighborhood basis, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

16.4 Proof

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in Dom(f)^{\mathbb{N}}$ that converges to $x \ \forall U \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)} \exists V \in \mathcal{V}_x, f(V) \subseteq U$ Since $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}, x_n \in V$.

Hence
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}, f(x_n) \in f(V) \subseteq U$$
. Thus $\lim_{n \to +\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ under the hypothesis that x has countable neighborhood basis. actually we will prove $NOT(i) \Rightarrow NOT(ii)$

Let $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{V}_x such that $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a neighborhood basis of x

If (i) does not hold, then $\exists U \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f(V_n) \not\subseteq U$ Pick $x_n \in V_n$ such that $f(x_n) \not\in U \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq N}, x_n \in V_N$. Hence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x. However, $f(x_n) \not\in U$ for any n So $(f(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converges to f(x). Therefore (ii) does not hold.

16.5 Prop

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y), (Z, \mathcal{J}_Z)$ be topological spaces. f be a function from X to Y, g be a function from Y to Z. Let $x \in Dom(g \circ f)$ If f and g are continuous at x. then $g \circ f$ is continuous at x sectionProof Let $U \in \mathcal{V}_{g(f(x))}$ Since g is continuous at f(x):

$$\exists W \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)}, g(W) \subseteq U$$

Since f is continuous at x:

$$\exists V \in \mathcal{V}_x \quad f(V) \subseteq W$$

Therefore, $g(f(V)) \subseteq g(W) \subseteq U$ Hence $g \circ f$ is continuous at x

16.6 Def

Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, If any element of \mathcal{J} can be written as the union of a family of sets in \mathscr{B} we say that \mathscr{B} is a topological basis of \mathcal{J}

16.7 Prop

Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space, $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \mathscr{B}$ is a topological basis iff

$$\forall x \in X, \mathscr{B}_x := \{ V \in \mathscr{B} \mid x \in V \}$$

is a neighborhood basis of x

16.8 Proof

 \Rightarrow :

$$\forall x \in X \mathscr{B}_x \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$$

Moreover,

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{V}_x \exists V \in \mathcal{V}, x \in V \subseteq U$$

16.9. PROP 81

. Since \mathcal{B} is a topological basis of \mathcal{J} ,

$$\exists W \in \mathscr{B}, x \in W \subseteq V \subseteq U$$

Hence \mathcal{V}_x is generated by \mathscr{B}_x

$$\Leftarrow$$
 Let $U \in \mathcal{J}$

$$\forall x \in U, U \in \mathcal{V}_x$$

So

$$\exists V_x \in \mathscr{B}_x \quad x \in V_x \subseteq U$$

Hence

$$U\subseteq\bigcup_{x\in U}V_x\subseteq U$$

Hence

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} V_x \in \mathcal{J}$$

16.9 Prop

Let $(X, \mathcal{J}_X), (Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ be topological spaces. \mathscr{B}_Y be a topological basis of \mathcal{J}_Y $f: X \to Y$ be a mapping. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) f is continuous
- (2) $\forall U \in \mathcal{J}_Y, f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{J}_X$
- (3) $\forall U \in \mathcal{B}, f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{J}_X$

Proof

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$

Lemma Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a topological space, $V \in \wp(X)$, Then $V \in \mathcal{J}$ iff $\forall x \in V, V$ is a neighborhood of x

Proof of lemma \Rightarrow is by definition

Left arrow:

$$\forall x \in V, \exists W_x \in \mathcal{J}, x \in W_x \subseteq V.$$

Hence

$$V = \bigcap_{x \in V} W - x \in \mathcal{J}$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{J}_Y$

$$\forall x \in f^{-1}(U) \quad f(x) \in U$$

Hence

$$U \in \mathcal{V}_{f(x)}$$

Hence there exists an open neighborhood W of x such that $f(W) \subseteq U$ Since f is a mapping,

$$W \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$$

Therefore

$$f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{V}_x$$

Since x is arbitrary,

$$f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{J}_X$$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ For (3) is a special situation of (2), it's natural.

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$$
 Let $x \in X$

$$\forall U \in \mathscr{B}_Y \ s.t. \ f(x) \in U, f^{-1}(U)$$

is an open neighborhood of x, and

$$f(f^{-1}(U)) \subseteq U$$

Hence f is continuous at x

16.10 Def

LEt X be a set $((Y_i, \mathcal{J}_i))_{i \in I}$ be a family of topological spaces. $\forall i \in I$ let $f_i : X \to Y_i$ be a mapping. We call initial topology of $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ on X the smallest topology on X making all f_i continue

16.11 Remark

If \mathcal{J} is the initial topology of $(f_i)_{i\in I}$, $\forall i\in I, U_i\in \mathcal{J}_i$ $f_i^{-1}(U_i)\in \mathcal{J}$ If $J\subseteq I$ is a finite subset, $(U_j)_{j\in J}\in\prod_{j\in J}\mathcal{J}_j$ then $\bigcap_{j\in J}f_j^{-1}(U_j)\in\mathcal{J}$

16.12 Prop

$$\mathscr{B} := \left\{ \bigcap_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \mid J \subseteq I \text{ is finite}(U_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{J}_j \right\}$$

is a topological basis of the initial topology \mathcal{J}

16.12. PROP 83

Proof

First

$$\mathscr{B}\subseteq \mathcal{J}$$

Let

 $\mathcal{J}' = \{ \text{subset V of X that can be written as the union of a family of sets in } \mathcal{B} \}$

- $\varnothing \in \mathcal{J}' \quad X \in \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}'$
- \mathcal{J}' is stable by taking the union of any family of elements in \mathcal{J}'
- If V_1, V_2 are elements of \mathcal{J}' , then

$$V_1 \cap V_2 \in \mathcal{J}'$$

In fact, V_1, V_2 are of the form of the union of some sets of \mathscr{B}

The intersection of two elements of \mathcal{B} is still a element of \mathcal{B}

$$\left(\bigcap_{j\in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j\in J'} f_j^{-1}(U_j')\right)$$

$$= \bigcap_{j\in J\cup J'} f_j^{-1}(W_j) \text{ where } W_j = \begin{cases} U_j & j\in J\backslash J' \\ U_j' & j\in J'\backslash J \\ U_j\cap U_j' & j\in J\cap J' \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\bigcap_{j\in J\backslash J'} f_j^{-1}(U_j)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j\in J\cap J'} f_j^{-1}(U_j)\cap f_j^{-1}(U_j')\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j\in J'\backslash J} f_j^{-1}(U_j')\right)$$

So \mathcal{J}' is a topology making all f_i continuous. Hence

$$\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}$$

Example

Let $((Y_i, \mathcal{J}_i))_{i \in I}$ be topological spaces. $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$ and

$$\pi_i: \begin{matrix} Y \to Y_i \\ (y_j)_{j \in I} \mapsto y_i \end{matrix}$$

The product topology on Y is by definition the initial topology of $(\pi_i)_{i\in I}$

16.13 Theorem

Let X be a set, $((Y_i, \mathcal{J}_i))_{i \in I}$ be a family of topological spaces,

$$((f_i:X\to Y_i))_{i\in I}$$

be a family of mappings and we equip X with the initial topology \mathcal{J}_X of $(f_i)_{i\in I}$ Let (Z,\mathcal{J}_Z) be a topological space and

$$h:Z\to X$$

be a mapping. Then h is continuous iff

 $\forall i \in I, \quad f_i \circ h$ is continuous

16.13.1 Proof

 \Rightarrow If h is continuous, since each f_i is continuous, $f_i \circ h$ is also continuous.

 \Leftarrow Suppose that $\forall i \in I, f_i \circ h$ is continuous .Hence

$$\forall U_i \in \mathcal{J}_i, (f_i \circ h)_{-1}(U_i) = h^{-1}(f_i^{-1}(U_i)) \in \mathcal{J}_Z$$

Let

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ \bigcap_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \mid J \subseteq Ifinite(U_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{J}_j \right\}$$

 $\forall U \in \mathscr{B}$

$$h^{-1}(U) = \bigcap_{j \in J} h^{-1}(f_i^{-1}(U_i)) \in \mathcal{J}_Z$$

Therefore, h is continuous.

16.14 Remark

We keep the notation of the definition of initial topology If $\forall i \in I, \mathscr{B}_i$ is a topological basis of \mathcal{J}_i , then

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ \bigcap_{j \in J} f_i^{-1}(U_i) \mid J \subseteq Ifinite(U_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathscr{B}_j \right\}$$

is also a topological basis of the initial topology,

16.14. REMARK 85

16.14.1 Example

Let $((X_i, d_i))_{i \in \{1, ..., n\}}$ be a family of metric spaces.

$$X = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} X_i$$

We define a mapping

$$X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$d: ((x_i)_i \in \{1, ..., n\}(y_i)_{i \in \{1, ..., n\}}) \mapsto \max_{i \in \{1, ..., n\}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$$

d is a metric on X. If $x = (x_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}} \ y = (y_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}} \ z = (z_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}}$ are elements of X, then

$$d(x,z) = \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} d_i(x_i,z_i) \le \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \left(d_i(x_i,y_i) + d(y_i,z_i) \right) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$$

Each

$$\pi_i: \begin{matrix} X \to X_i \\ (x_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \mapsto x_i \end{matrix}$$

is continuous. Hence the product topology \mathcal{J} is contained in \mathcal{J}_d Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}} \in X, \epsilon > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}(x,\epsilon) = \left\{ y = (y_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \mid \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} d_i(x_i, y_i) < \epsilon \right\}$$

$$= \prod_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \mathcal{B}(x_i,\epsilon)$$

$$= \bigcap_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(x_i,\epsilon)) \in \mathcal{J}$$

Chapter 17

Uniform continuity and convergency

17.1 Def

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $\forall A \subseteq X$, we define

$$diam(A) := \sup_{(x,y) \in A \times A} d(x,y)$$

called the diameter of A.By convention

$$diam(\emptyset) := 0$$

If $diam(A) < +\infty$, we say that A is bounded

17.2 Remark

- If A is finite, then it's bounded
- If $A \subseteq B$ then $diam(A) \leq diam(B)$

17.3 Prop

Let (X,d) be a metric space. $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq X, (x_0,y_0) \in A \times B$. Then

$$diam(A \cup B) \le diam(A) + d(x_0, y_0) + diam(B)$$

In particular, if A,B are bounded, then $A \cup B$ is bounded.

Proof

Let
$$(x,y) \in (A \cup B)^2$$
. If $\{x,y\} \subseteq A$, then $d(x,y) \leq diam(A)$ If $\{x,y\} \subseteq B$ then $diam(B) \geq d(x,y)$ If $x \in A, y \in B$,

$$d(x,y) \le d(x,x_0) + d(x_0,y_0) + d(y_0,y) \le diam(A) + d(x_0,y_0) + diam(B)$$

Similarly if $x \in B, y \in A$

$$d(x,y) \le diam(A) + d(x_0, y_0) + diam(B)$$

17.4 Def

Let (X,d) be a metric space. $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be an infinite subset, $(x_n)_{n \in I} \in X^I$. If

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad diam(\{x_n \mid n \in I_{\geq \mathbb{N}}\}) \leq \epsilon$$

then we say that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

17.5 Prop

- (1) If $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges, then it's a Cauchy sequence.
- (2) If $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\{x_n \mid n\in I\}$ is bounded
- (3) Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence If there exists an infinite subset J of I such that $(x_n)_{n\in J}$ converges to some $x\in X$, then $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to x

17.5.1 Proof

- (1) trivial
- (2) trivial
- (3) Let $\epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$diam(\{x_n \mid n \in I_{\geq N}\}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\forall n \in J_{\geq N}, d(x_n, x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

• Take $n_0 \in J_{\leq N} \subseteq I_{\geq N}$

$$\forall n \in I_{\geq N} \quad d(x_n, x) \le d(x_n, x_{n_0}) + d(x_{n_0}, x) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Hence $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ converges to x

17.6. DEF 89

17.6 Def

Let $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ be metric space. f be a function from X to Y. If $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall (x,y) \in Dom(f)^2, d(x,y) \le \delta$$

implies

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le \epsilon$$

namely

$$\inf_{\delta>0} \sup_{(x,y)\in Dom(f)^2, d(x,y)\leq \delta} d(f(x),f(y))=0$$

we say that f is uniformly continuous.

17.7 Prop

Let $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ be metric spaces f be a function from X to Y which is uniformly continuous.

- (1) If $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is finite, and $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $Dom(f)^I$ then $(f(x_n))_{n \in I}$ is Cauchy sequence
- (2) f is continuous

17.7.1 Proof

(1) $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that}$

$$\forall (x,y) \in Dom(f)^2, d(x,y) \le \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(y)) \le \epsilon$$

Since $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\exists N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall (n,m) \in I_{\geq N}^2, d_X(x_n,x_m) \leq \delta$$

Hence

$$d_Y(f(x_n), f(x_m)) \le \epsilon$$

Therefore $(f(x_n))_{n\in I}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(2) Let $(x_n)_{n\in I}$ be a sequence in $Dom(f)^{\mathbb{N}}$ that converges to $x\in Dom(f)$ We define $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ x_{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Then $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to x. Hence $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since f is uniformly continuous, $(f(y_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y.

$$(f(y_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N},n}$$
 is odd $=(f(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N},n}$ is odd

converges to f(x). Hence $(f(y_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to f(x)

17.8 Def

Let X be a set , $Z \subseteq X$, (Y,d) be a metric space, $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite. $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ and f be functions from X to Y, having Z as their common domain of definition.

- If $\forall x \in Z, (f_n(x))_{n \in I}$ converges to f(x), we say that $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ converges pointwisely to f
- If

$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} \sup_{x \in Z} d(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0$$

we say that $(f_n)_{n\in I}$ converges uniformly to f

17.9 Theorem

Let X and Y be metric space, $Z \subseteq X, I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite. $(f_n)_{n \in I}, f$ be functions from X to Y, having Z as domain of definition. Suppose that

- $(f_n)_{n\in I}$ converges uniformly to f
- each f_n is uniformly continuous

Then f is uniformly continuous.

17.9.1 Proof

 $\forall n \in I \text{ let}$

$$A_n = \sup_{x \in Z} d(f_n(x), f(x))$$
$$\lim_{n \in I, n \to +\infty} A_n = 0$$

 $\forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, n \in \mathbb{I}$

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(f(x), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) + d(f_n(y), f(y)) \leq 2A_n + d(f_n(x), f_n(y))$$

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \le \delta} d(f(x), f(y)) \le 2A_n + \inf_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \le \delta} d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) = 0$$

Hence

$$0 \le \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in Z^2, d(x,y) \le \delta} d(f(x), f(y)) \le 2A_n$$

Take $\lim_{n\to+\infty}$, by squeeze theorem, we get

$$\inf_{\delta>0}\sup_{(x,y)\in Z^2, d(x,y)\leq \delta}d(f(x),f(y))=0$$

17.10. THEOREM 91

17.10 Theorem

Let X be a topological space, Y be a metric space, $Z \subseteq X, p \in Z, I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite. $(f_n)_{n \in I}$ and f function from X to Y, having Z as domain of definition. Suppose that:

- $(f_n)_{n\in I}$ converges uniformly to f
- each f_n is continuous at p

Then f is continuous at p

17.10.1 Proof

 $\forall n \in I \text{ let}$

$$A_n = \sup_{x \in Z} d(f_n(x), f(x))$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists n \in I \quad A_n \le \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

Since f_n is continuous $\exists U \in \mathcal{V}_p \quad f_n(U) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}(f_n(p), \frac{\epsilon}{3})$

$$\forall x \in U \cap Z \quad d(f(x)f(p))$$

$$\leq d(f(x), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), f_n(p)) + d(f_n(p), f(p))$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

 $f(U) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}(f(p), \epsilon)$

17.10.2 Def

Let X Y be metric spaces , f be a function from X to Y, $\epsilon > 0$. If

$$\forall (x,y) \in Dom(f)^2 \quad d(f(x),f(y)) \leq \epsilon d(x,y)$$

then we say that f is $\epsilon\text{-Lipschitzian}$

If $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that f is ϵ -Lipschitzian, then it's uniformly continuous.

17.11 Remark

If f is Lipschitzian, then it's uniformly continuous.

17.12 Example

• Let $((X_i,d_i))_{i\in I}$ be metric space. $X=\prod_{i\in I}X_i$ where I is finite

$$d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$
$$d: d((x_i), (y_i)_{i \in I}) = \max_{i \in I} d_i(x_i, y_i)$$

$$d_i(x_i, y_i) = d_i(\pi_i(x), \pi_i(y)) \le d(x, y)$$

Then

$$\pi_i:X\to X_i$$

is Lipschitzian. ($\forall x=(x_i)_{i\in I}, \forall x=(x_i)_{i\in I})$

 \bullet Let (X,d) be a metric space

$$d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

is Lipschitzian.

$$|d(x,y) - d(x',y')| \le 2 \max\{d(x,x'), d(y,y')\}$$

Part IV Normed Vector Space

Chapter 18

Linear Algebra

We fix a unitary ring K

18.1 Def

Let M be a left K-module , and let $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of M. We define a morphism of left K-module as following:

$$\varphi_x : K^{\bigoplus I} \longrightarrow M$$

$$(a_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i (:= \sum_{i \in I, i \neq 0} a_i x_i)$$

18.1.1 Notation

$$K^{\bigoplus I} := \{(a_i)_{i \in I} \in K^I \mid \exists J \subseteq I \text{finite,such that} a_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in I \setminus J\}$$
$$\varphi_x((a_i)_{i \in I} + (b_i)_{i \in I}) = \varphi_x((a_i)_{i \in I})\varphi_x((b_i)_{i \in I})$$

18.2 Def

Ler M be a left K-module, I be a set, $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in M^I$ If

$$\varphi_x : K^{\bigoplus I} \to M$$
$$(a_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i$$

 is

injective then we say $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is K-linearly independent surjective then we say $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is system of generator a bijection then we say $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is a basis of M

Example

Let e_i be the element $(\delta_{ij})_{j \in I}$ with

$$\delta_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Then the family

$$e = (e_i)_{i \in I} \in (K^{\bigoplus I})^I$$

is a basis of $K^{\bigoplus I}$

18.3 Def

Let M be a left K-module

- If M bas a basis, we say that M is a free K-module
- If M has finite system of generated $(\exists a \text{ finite set I and a family } (x_i)_{i \in I} \in M^I \text{ that forms a system of generator}),$ then we say that M is of finite type.

18.4 Remark

Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} \in M^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$

• x is linearly independent iff

$$\forall a \in K^n \quad \sum a_i x_i = 0$$

implies

$$a = 0$$

• x is a system of generator iff for any element of M can be written in the form

$$\sum b_i x_i \quad b \in K^n$$

Such expression is called a K-linear combination of $x_1, ... x_n$

18.5 Theorem

Let K be a division ring $(0 \neq 1 \text{ and } \forall k \in K \setminus \{0\} \text{ } k \text{ is invertible})$ Let V be a left K-module of finite type and $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a system of generators of V. Then ,there exists a subset I of $\{1,...,n\}$ such that $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ forms a basis of V. (In particular, V is a free K-module) 18.6. THEOREM 97

Proof

(By induction on n) If n = 0, then $V = \{0\}$ In this case \emptyset is a basis of V

Induction hypothesis

True for a system of generators of n-1 elements. Let $(x_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,n\}}$ be a system of generators of V. If $(x_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,n\}}$ is linearly independent, it's a basis. Otherwise, $\exists (a_i)_{i\in I} \in K^n$ such that

$$(a_i, ... a_n) \neq 0$$

$$\sum a_i x_i = 0$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose $a_n \neq 0$. Then

$$x_n = -a_n^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_i)$$

Since $(x_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}}$ is a system of generators, any elements of V can be written as

$$\sum b_i x_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i x_i\right) - b_n a_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b_i - b_n a_n^{-1} a_i) x_i$$

Thus $(x_i)_{i\in\{1,...n\}}$ forms a system of generators . By the induction hypothesis, there exists $I\subseteq\{1,...,n\}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ forms a basis of V.

18.6 Theorem

Let K be a unitary ring and B be a left K-module. W be a left K-submodule of V. Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ be an element of W^n

$$(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^l \in (V/W)^l$$

, where $(n,l) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \ \forall j \in \{1,...l\}$, let x_{n+j} be an element in the equivalence class α_j

- If both $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^l$ are linearly independent, then $(x_i)_{i=1}^{n+l}$ is also linearly independent
- If both $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^l$ are system of generators of W and V/W respectively, then $(x_i)_{i=1}^{n+l}$ is also a system of generators
- If both $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$, $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^l$ are basis, then $(x_i)_{i=1}^{n+l}$ is also a basis

Proof

(1) Suppose that $(b_i)_{i=1}^{n+l}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+l} b_i x_i = 0$$

Let

$$\pi:V\to V/W$$

be the projection morphism $(\pi(x) = [x])$

$$0 = \pi(\sum_{i=1}^{n+l} b_i x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+l} b_i \pi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_{n+j} \pi(x_{n+j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} b_{n+j} \alpha_j$$

 $\{x_1,...x_n\} \subseteq W \text{ So} \forall i \in \{1,...,n\}$

$$\pi(x_i) = 0$$

Since $(\alpha_j)_{j=1}^l$ is linearly independent,

$$b_{n+1} = \dots = b_{n+j} = 0$$

Hence

$$\sum b_i x_i = 0$$

Since $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is linearly independent,

$$b_1 = \dots b_n = 0$$

(2) Let $y \in V$. Then $\pi(y) \in V/W$. So there exists

$$(c_{n+1},...,c_{n+l}) \in K^l$$

such that

$$\pi(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{n+j} \alpha_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{n+j} \pi(x_{n+j}) = \pi(\sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{n+j} x_{n+j})$$

So

$$y - (\sum_{i=1}^{l} c_{n+j} x_{n+j}) \in W$$

 $\exists c \in K^n \text{ such that }$

$$y - (\sum_{i=1}^{l} c_{n+j} x_{n+j}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i)$$

99

Therefore

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n+l} c_i x_i$$

(3) from (1)(2), proved

18.7 Corollary

Let K be a division ring and V be a left K-module of finite type. If $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a linearly independent family of elements of $V(n \in \mathbb{N})$, then

$$\exists l \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists (x_{n+j})_{j=1}^l \in V_l$$

such that

$$(x_i)_{i=1}^{n+l}$$

forms a basis of V

Proof

Let W be the image of

$$\varphi(x_i)_{i=1}^n : K^n \to V$$

$$(a_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$$

It's a left K-submodule of V.

Note that $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ forms a basis of W.

$$\varphi_{i}(x_{i})_{i=1}^{n}:K^{n}\to W$$
$$\varphi_{i}(x_{i})_{i=1}^{n}(e_{j})=x_{j}\in W$$

Moreover , since V is of finite type there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and a surjective morphism of left K-modules.

$$\psi: K^d \twoheadrightarrow V$$

Since the projection morphism

$$\pi:V\to V/W$$

is surjective.

Hence the composite morphism

$$K^d \xrightarrow[\pi \circ \psi]{\psi} V \xrightarrow[\pi \circ \psi]{\pi} V/W$$

is surjective. Thus V/W is of finite type. There exist then a basis

$$(a_j)_{j=1}^l$$

of V/W.

Taking $x_{n+j} \in \alpha_j$ for $j \in \{1,...,l\}$, we get a basis of V:

$$(x_i)_{i=1}^{n+l}$$

18.8 Def

Let K be a division ring and V be a left K-module of finite type. We call rank of V the minimal number of elements of its basis, denote as

$$rk_K(V)$$

or simply

If K is a field rk(V) is also denoted as

$$dim_K(V)$$

or

called the dimension of V.

18.9 Theorem

Let K be a division ring and V be a left K-module of finite type. Let W be a left K-submodule of V.

(1) W and V/W are both of finite type, and

$$rk(V) = rk(W) + rk(V/W)$$

(2) Any basis of V has exactly rk(V) elements

18.10 Proof

(1) This proof is written twice. Both are kept.

10.30's Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a basis of V. Let

$$\pi: V \to V/W$$
$$x \mapsto [x]$$

18.10. PROOF 101

In $(\pi(x_i))_{i=1}^n$ we extract a basis of V/W, say

$$(\pi(x_i))_{i=1}^l$$

For $j \in \{l+1, ..., n\}$,

$$\exists (b_{i,1},...,b_{i,l}) \in K^l$$

such that

$$\pi(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^l b_{j,i} \pi(x_i)$$

Let

$$y_j = x_j - \sum_{i=1}^l b_{j,i} x_i \in W$$

Since

$$\pi(y_i) = 0$$

For any
$$x \in W, \exists (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in K^n, x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i x_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j (y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{l} b_{j,i} x_i)$$
$$= \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{l} (a_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j b_{j,i}) x_i$$

Since

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (a_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j b_{j,i}) \pi(x_i) = 0$$

Hence

$$x = \sum_{i=l+1}^{n} a_i y_i$$

Hence W is of finite type, and

$$rk(V) \ge rk(W) + rk(V/W)$$

Moreover the previous theorem shows that

$$rk(V) \le rk(W) + rk(V/W)$$

So

$$rk(V) = rk(W) + rk(V/W)$$

11.1's By previous theorem.

$$rk(V) \le rk(W) + rk(V/W)$$

Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a basis of V. Then

$$(\pi(x_i))_{i=1}^n$$

is a system of generators of V/W.

We extract a subfamily, say $(x_i)_{i=1}^l$ such that

$$(\pi(x_i))_{i=1}^l$$

forms a basis of V/W.

For $j \in \{1, ..., l\}$, there exists:

$$(b_{j,1},...,b_{j,l}) \in K^l$$

such that

$$\pi(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^l b_{j,i} \pi(x_i)$$

namely

$$y_j := x_j - \sum_{i=1}^l b_{j,i} x_i \in W$$

Let $x \in W, \exists (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in K^n$ let $x = \sum a_i x_i$, then

$$x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i x_i\right) + \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j (y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{l} b_{j,i} x + i)\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i x_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j b_{j,i} x_i\right) + \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j y_j\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left(a_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j b_{j,i}\right) x_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j y_j$$

and

$$0 = \pi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (a_i + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} a_j b_{j,i}) \pi(x_i)$$

Therefore $(y_j)_{j=l+1}^n$ is a system of generators

$$n-l \ge rk(W)$$

Hence

$$n \ge rk(W) + rk(V/W)$$

Thus

$$rk(V) > rk(W) + tk(V/W)$$

18.10. PROOF

103

(2) All basis of V have rk(V) elements.

We reason by induction on rk(V)

(1)

$$rk(V) = 0$$

In this case $V = \{0\}$ The only basis of V is \emptyset . So the statement holds

(2) Assume that there exists $e \in V \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$V = \{ \lambda e \mid \lambda \in K \}$$

Then any basis of V is of the form

ae

where $a \in K\{0\}$

Let $(e_i)_{i=1}^m$ be a basis of V. We reason by induction on m to prove that

$$m = rk(V)$$

The cases where m=0 or 1 are proved in (1)(2) respectively. Induction hypothesis: true for a basis of < m elements Let

$$W = \{\lambda e_i \mid \lambda \in K\}$$

Let

$$\pi: V \to V/W$$
$$x \mapsto [x]$$

Then

$$(\pi(e_i))_{i=1}^m$$

forms a system of generators of V/W.

If $(a_i)_{i=2}^m \in K^{m-1}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=2}^{m} a_i \pi(e_i) = 0$$

then

$$\sum_{i=2}^{m} a_i e_i \in W$$

Hence

$$\exists a_i \in K \quad \sum_{i=2}^m a_i e_i - a_1 e_1 = 0$$

And for $(e_i)_{i=1}^m$ a basis of V,

$$a_i = 0$$

Thus

$$(\pi(e_i))_{i=2}^m$$

is a basis of V/W. We then obtain that

$$rk(V/W) \le m-1 \le n-1$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$m-1 = rk(V/W)$$

By (2),
$$rk(W) = 1$$
. Hence

$$m = (m-1) + 1 = rk(V/W) + rk(W) = rk(V)$$

18.11 Prop

Let K be a unitary ring and $f:E\to F$ be a morphism of left K-modules. Let I be a set and $(x_i)_{i\in I}\in E^I$

- If $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is linearly independent and f is injective, then $(f(x_i))_{i \in I}$ is linearly independent.
- If $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is a system of generators and f is surjective, then $(f(x_i))_{i\in I}$ is a system of generators.
- If $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis and f is an isomorphism, then $(f(x_i))_{i \in I}$ is a basis.

18.11.1 Proof

$$\varphi_{(f(x_i))_{i\in I}} = f \circ \varphi_{(x)_{i\in I}}$$

Chapter 19

Matrices

We fix unitary ring K

19.1 Def

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ adn V be a left K-module.

For any
$$(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in V^n$$
, we denote by $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ the morphism

$$\phi_{(x_i)_{i=1}^n} : K^n \to V$$
$$(a_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i n_i$$

19.1.1 Example

Suppose that $V = K^p(p \in \mathbb{N})$ Then each $x_i \in K^p$ is of the form $(x_{i,1}, ..., x_{i,p})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ x_{n,1} & \dots & x_{n,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

19.2 Def

Let $(n,p) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. We call n by p matrix of coefficient in K any morphism of left K-modules from K^n to K^p

19.2.1 Example

• Denote by I_n then identity mapping. Then $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of K^n called the canonical basis of K^n

$$\varphi_{(e_i)_{i=1}^n} = Id_{K^n}$$

$$\varphi_{(e_i)_{i=1}^n}((a_1, ..., a_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i = (a_1, ..., a_n)$$

• Let $(x_1,...,x_n) \in K^n$, Denote by

$$diog(x_1,...x_n) (= \varphi_{(x_i e_i)_{i=1}^n}) : K^n \to K^n$$

 $(a_1,...,a_n) \mapsto (a_1x_1,...,a_nx_n)$

19.3 Def

We denote by $M_{n,p}(K)$ the set of all n by p matrices of coefficients in K. For $(n, p, r) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we define

$$M_{n,p}(K) \times M_{p,r}(K) \rightarrow M_{n,r}(K)$$

 $(A,B) \mapsto AB := B \circ A$

19.4 Calculate Matrices

Let K be a unitary ring , and V be a left K-module. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ adn

$$x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in V^n$$

19.4.1 Remind

Consider a matrix

$$A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}} \in M_{p,n}(K)$$

A is a morphism of left K-modules from K^p to K^n Recall that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is defined as

$$\varphi_x \circ A : K^p \xrightarrow{A} K^n \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} V$$

Let $(b_1,...,b_n) \in K^p$

$$A((b_1, ..., b_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} b_i(a_{i,1}, ..., a_{i,n})$$

$$\varphi(A((b_1, ..., b_n))) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} b_i \varphi_x((a_{i,1}, ..., a_{i,n}))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} b_i(a_{i,1}x_1, ..., a_{i,n}x_n)$$

Let
$$B = \{b_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in \{1,...,n\} \times \{1,...,r\}} : K^n \to K^r$$

$$AB = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{lj} b_{jm} \right\}_{(l,m) \in \{1,\dots,p\} \times \{1,\dots,r\}}$$

Chapter 20

Transpose

We fix a unitary ring K

20.1 Def

Let E be a left-K-module. Denote by

$$E^\vee := \{ \text{morphisms of left K-modules } E \to K \}$$

 $\forall (f,g) \in E^{\vee}$ let

$$f + g : E \to K$$

 $x \mapsto f(x) + g(x)$

 $(E^{\vee},+)$ forms a commutative group.

The neutral element is the constant mapping

$$0: E \to K$$
$$x \mapsto 0$$

We define

$$K \times E^{\vee} \to E^{\vee}$$

 $(a, f) \mapsto fa : x \in E \to f(x)a$

 $\forall \lambda \in K$

$$(fa)(\lambda x) = (f(\lambda f(x)))a$$
$$= (\lambda f(x))a$$
$$= \lambda (f(x)a)$$
$$= \lambda (fa)(x)$$

This mapping defines a structure of right K-module on E^\vee

20.2 Def

Let E and F be two left K-modules. $\varphi: E \to F$ be a morphism of left K-modules. We denote by

$$\varphi^{\vee}: F^{\vee} \to E^{\vee}$$

the morphism of right K-modules sending $g \in F^{\vee}$ to $g \circ \varphi \in E^{\vee}$ Actually $\forall a \in K$

$$g \circ \varphi(\cdot)a = g(\varphi(\cdot))a = (g(\cdot)a) \circ \varphi$$

20.2.1 Example

Suppose that $E = K^n, F = K^p$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \dots & b_{1,p} \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ b_{n,1} & \dots & b_{n,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

 φ sends $(a_1,...,a_n)$ to $\{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i j\}_{j \in \{1,...,p\}}$ Let $g \in F^{\vee}$ $g: K^p \to K$, then g is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_p \end{pmatrix}, y_i \in K$$

 $g \circ \varphi$ sends $(a_1, ..., a_n)$ to $\sum_{i=1}^p (\sum_{j=1}^n a_i b_{ij} y_j)$

Assume that K is commutative. We denote by

$$\iota_p : (K^p)^{\vee} \to K^p$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (x_1, ..., x_p)$$

$$\iota_n : (K^n)^{\vee} \to K^n$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (x_1, ..., x_n)$$

are isomorphisms of K-modules

20.3. PROP 111

For any morphism of K-modules $\varphi:K^n\to K^p$, we denote by φ^τ the morphism of K-modules $K^p\to K^n$ given by $\iota_n\circ\varphi^\vee\circ\iota_p^{-1}$

$$(K^{p})^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\vee}} (K^{n})^{\vee}$$

$$\cong \downarrow^{\iota_{p}} \quad \circlearrowright \quad \cong \downarrow$$

$$K^{p} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\tau}} K^{n}$$

 φ^{τ} is called the transpose of φ

20.3 Prop

Let E,F,G be left K-modules. $\varphi: E \to F, \psi: F \to G$ be morphisms of left K-modules. Then $(\psi \circ \varphi)^{\vee}$ is equal to $\varphi^{\vee} \circ \psi^{\vee}$

Proof

$$\forall f \in G^\vee$$

$$(\varphi^{\vee} \circ \psi^{\vee})(f) = \varphi^{\vee}(f \circ \psi) = (f \circ \psi) \circ \varphi = f \circ (\psi \circ \varphi) = (\psi \circ \varphi)^{\vee}(f)$$

20.4 Corollary

Assume that K is commutative. Let n,p,q be neutral numbers. $A\in M_{n,p}(K), B\in M_{p,q}(K)$. Then

$$(AB)^{\tau} = B^{\tau}A^{\tau}$$

Proof

$$A^{t}au = \iota_{n} \circ A^{\vee} \circ \iota_{p}^{-1}$$

$$B^{t}au = \iota_{p} \circ B^{\vee} \circ \iota_{q}^{-1}$$

$$B^{\tau}A^{\tau} = A^{\tau} \circ B^{\tau}$$

$$= \iota_{n} \circ A^{\vee} \circ B^{\vee} \circ \iota_{q}^{-1}$$

$$= \iota_{n} \circ (B \circ A)^{\vee} \circ \iota_{q}^{-1}$$

$$= \iota_{n} \circ (AB)^{\vee} \circ \iota_{q}^{-1}$$

$$= (AB)^{t}au$$

112

20.5 Remark

- (1) For $A \in M_{n,p}(K)$, one has $(A^{\tau})^{\tau}$
- (2) We have a mapping

$$E \to (E^{\vee})^{\vee}$$

 $x \mapsto ((f \in E^{\vee}) \mapsto f(x))$

This is a K-linear mapping.

If K is a field and E is of finite dimension, this is a isomorphism of K-modules.

In fact, if $e=(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of E over K. For $i\in\{1,...,n\},$ let

$$e_i^{\vee}: E \to K$$

 $\lambda_1 e_1, ..., \lambda_n e_n \mapsto \lambda_i$

is called the dual basis of e

$$K^{n} \overset{\cong}{\longleftarrow} (K^{n})^{\vee}$$

$$\varphi_{e} \downarrow \cong \qquad \varphi_{e^{\vee}} \cong \varphi_{e^{\vee}} \cong \varphi_{e^{\vee}} \cong E^{\vee}$$

$$E \xrightarrow{\cong} E^{\vee}$$

 $(e^{\vee})^{\vee}$ gives a basis of $(E^{\vee})^{\vee}$ Hence $E \to (E^{\vee})^{\vee}$ is an isomorphism.