# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Set}$	3
	1.1	Ring
		1.1.1 morphism
2	Seq	uences 5
	2.1	Supremum and infimum
	2.2	Interval
	2.3	Enhanced real line
	2.4	Vector space
		2.4.1 K-module
		2.4.2 sub K-module
		2.4.3 morphism of K-modules
		2.4.4 kernel
	2.5	Monotone mappings
		2.5.1 Def
		2.5.2 Prop
		2.5.3 Def
		2.5.4 Prop
		2.5.5 Prop
		2.5.6 Def
		2.5.7 Prop
		2.5.9 Dwoof

2 CONTENTS

# Chapter 1

# Set

### 1.1 Ring

#### 1.1.1 morphism

#### Def

Let A and B be unitary rings . We call morphism of unitary rings from A to B . only mapping  $A \to B$  is a morphism of group from (A,+) to (B,+),and a morphism of monoid from  $(A,\cdot)$  to  $(B,\cdot)$ 

#### **Properties**

• Let R be a unitary ting. There is a unique morphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to R

#### •

#### algebra

we call k-algebra any pair(R,f), when R is a unitary ring , and  $f:k\to R$  is a morphism of unitary rings such that  $\forall (b,x)\in k\times R, f(b)x=xf(b)$ 

Example: For any unitary ring R,the unique morphism of unitary rings  $\mathbb{Z} \to R$  define a structure of  $\mathbb{Z} - algebra$  on R (extra:  $\mathbb{Z}$  is commutative despite R isn't guaranteed)

Notation: Let k be a commutative unitary ring A, f be a k-algebra. If there is no ambiguity on f, for any  $A, a \in A$ , we denote  $A, a \in A$ 

#### Formal power series

reminder:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is possible infinite , so  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  couldn't be executed directly. Def:

(extended polynomial actually) Let k be a commutative unitary ring. Def : Let T be a formal symbol. We denote  $k^{\mathbb{N}}$  as k[T] If  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is an element

of  $k^{\mathbb{N}}$ , when we denote  $k^{\mathbb{N}}$  as k[T] this element is denote as  $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n T^n$  Such element is called a formal power series over k and  $a_n$  is called the Coefficient of  $T^n$  of this formal power series Notation:

- omit terms with coefficient O
- write T' as T
- omit Coefficient those are 1;
- omit  $T^0$

Example  $1T^0 + 2T^1 + 1T^2 + 0T^3 + ... + 0T^n + ...$  is written as  $1 + 2T + T^2$ Def Remind that  $\mathbf{k}[T] = \{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n T^n \mid (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in k^{\mathbb{N}} \}$ , define two composition laws on  $\mathbf{k}[T]$ 

$$\forall F(T) = a_0 + a_+ 1T + \dots$$
  $G(T) = b_0 + \dots$  let  $F + G = (a_0 + b_0) + \dots$  
$$FG = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i+j=n} (a_i b_j) T^n$$

Properties:

- $(k[T], +, \cdot)$  form a commutative unitary ring.
- $k \to k[T]$   $\lambda \mapsto \lambda T$  is a morphism

• 
$$(FG)H = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i+j=n} (a_i b_j) T^n\right) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n T^n\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{p,q,l=n} a_p b_q c_l\right) T^n$$
 is a trick applied on integral

Derivative:

let 
$$F(T) \in k[T]$$
  
We denote by  $F'(T)$  or  $\mathcal{D}(F(T))$  the formal power series  $\mathcal{D}(F) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n+1)a_{n+1}T^n$ 

Properties:

- $\mathcal{D}(k[T],+) \to (k[T],+)$  is a morphism of groups
- $\mathcal{D}(FG) = F'G + FG'$

exp

We denote  $exp(T) \in k[T]$  as  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n!} T^n$ , which fulfil the differential equation  $\mathcal{D}(exp(T)) = exp(T)$  (interesting)

Cauchy sequence:  $(F_i(T))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of elements in k[T],and  $F(T) \in k[T]$ We say that  $(F_i(T))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence if  $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $N(l) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall (i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2_{>N(l)}$ ,  $ord(F_i(T) - F_j(T)) \geq l$ 

# Chapter 2

# Sequences

### 2.1 Supremum and infimum

Def:

Let  $(X,\leq)$  be a partially ordered set A and Y be subsets of X, such that  $A\subseteq Y$ 

- If the set  $\{y \in Y \mid \forall a \in A, a \leq Y\}$  has a least element then we say that A has a Supremum in Y with respect to  $\leq$  denoted by  $sup_{(y,\leq)}A$  this least element and called it the Supremum of A in Y(this respect to  $\leq$ )
- If the set  $\{y \in Y \mid \forall a \in A, y \leq a\}$  has a greatest element, we say that A has n infimum in Y with respect to  $\leq$ . We denote by  $inf_{(y,\leq)}A$  this greatest element and call it the infimum of A in Y
- Observation:  $inf_{(Y,\leq)}A = sup_{(Y,\geq)}A$

#### Notation:

Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set, I be a set.

- If f is a function from I to X sup f denotes the supremum of f(I) is X.inf ftakes the same
- If  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of element in X, then  $\sup_{i \in I} x_i$  denotes  $\sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$  (inX)

If moreover  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$  denotes a statement depending on a parameter in I then  $\sup_{i \in I, \mathbb{P}(i)} x_i$  denotes  $\sup\{x_i \mid i \in I, \mathbb{P}(i) \text{ holds}\}$ 

#### Example:

Let  $A = x \in R \mid 0 \le x < 1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  We equip  $\mathbb{R}$  with the usual order relation.

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in A, x \le y\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y \ge 1\}$$

So  $\sup A = 1$ 

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall x \in A, y \le x\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y \ge 0\}$$

Hence  $\inf A = 0$ 

Example: For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_n = (-1)^n \in R$ 

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N},k\geq n}x_k=-1$$

Proposition:

Let  $(X,\leq)$  be a partially ordered set, A,Y,Z be subset of X, such that  $A\subseteq Z\subseteq Y$ 

- If max A exists, then is is also equal to  $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A$
- If  $\sup_{(y,\leq)} A$  exists and belongs to Z, then it is equal to  $\sup A$  inf takes the same Prop.

Let  $X,\leq$  be a partially ordered set ,A,B,Y be subsets of X such that  $A\subseteq B\subseteq Y$ 

- If  $\sup_{(u,<)} A$  and  $\sup_{(u,<)} B$  exists, then  $\sup_{(u,<)} A \leq \sup_{(u,<)} B$
- If  $\inf_{(y,\leq)} A$  and  $\inf_{(y,\leq)} B$  exists, then  $\inf_{(y,\leq)} A \geq \inf_{(y,\leq)} B$

Prop.

Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set ,I be a set and  $f,g:I\to X$  be mappings such that  $\forall t\in I, f(t)\leq g(t)$ 

- If  $\inf f$  and  $\inf g$  exists, then  $\inf f \leq \inf g$
- If  $\sup f$  and  $\sup g$  exists, then  $\sup f \leq \sup g$

### 2.2 Interval

We fix a totally ordered set  $(X, \leq)$ 

Notation:

If  $(a,b) \in X \times X$  such that  $a \leq b, [a,b]$  denotes  $\{x \in X \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$  Def:

Let  $I\subseteq X$ . If  $\forall (x,y)\in I\times I$  with  $x\leq y,$  one has  $[x,y]\subseteq I$  then we say that I is a interval in X

Example:

Let  $(a,b) \in X \times X$ , such that  $a \leq b$  Then the following sets are intervals

- $|a, b| := \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$
- $[a, b] := \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$
- $|a,b| := \{x \in X \mid a, x, b\}$

Prop.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-empty set and  $(I_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$  be a family of intervals in X.

- $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}$  is a interval in X
- If  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}$  is a interval in X

We check that  $[a, b] \subseteq I_{\lambda} \cup I_{1}\mu$ 

- If  $b \le x$   $[a, b] \subseteq [a, x] \subseteq I_{\lambda}$  because  $\{a, x\} \subseteq I_{\lambda}$
- If  $x \leq a$   $[a,b] \subseteq [x,b] \subseteq I_{\mu}$  because  $\{b,x\} \subseteq I_{\mu}$
- If a < x < b then  $[a,b] = [a,x] \cup [x,b] \subseteq I_{\lambda} \cup I_{\mu}$

Def:

Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a totally ordered set .I be a non-empty interval of X. If  $\sup I$  exists in X, we call  $\sup I$  the right endpoint; inf takes the similar way. Prop.

Let I be an interval in X.

- Suppose that  $b = \sup I \text{ exists. } \forall x \in I, [x, b] \subseteq I$
- Suppose that  $a = \inf I$ exists.  $\forall x \in I, ]a, x] \subseteq I$

Prop.

Let I be an interval in X. Suppose that I has supremum b and an infimum a in X.Then I is equal to one of the following sets [a,b] [a,b[ ]a,b[ Def

let  $(X, \leq)$  be a totally ordered set . If  $\forall (x, z) \in X \times X$ , such that  $x < z \quad \exists y \in X$  such that x < y < z, than we say that  $(X, \leq)$  is thick Prop.

Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a thick totally ordered set.  $(a, b) \in X \times X$ , a < b If I is one of the following intervals [a, b]; [a, b[; ]a, b[ Then inf I = a sup I = b (for it's thick empty set is impossible)

Proof:

Since X is thick, there exists  $x_0 \in ]a,b[$  By definition,b is an upper bound of I. If b is not the supremum of I, there exists an upper bound M of I such that M<sub>i</sub>b. Since X is thick, there is  $M' \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq M, M' < b$  Since  $[x,b[\subseteq]a,b[\in I]$  Hence M and M' belong to I, which conflicts with the uniqueness of supremum.

#### 2.3 Enhanced real line

Def:

Let  $+\infty$  and -infty be two symbols that are different and don not belong to  $\mathbb{R}$  We extend the usual total order  $\leq on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -\infty < x < +\infty$$

Thus  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  become a totally ordered set, and  $\mathbb{R} = ]-\infty, +\infty[$  Obviously,this is a thick totally ordered set. We define:

- $\forall x \in ]-\infty, +\infty[$   $x + (+\infty) := +\infty$   $(+\infty) + x := +\infty$
- $\forall x \in [-\infty, +\infty[ \quad x + (-\infty) := -\infty \quad (-\infty) + x = -\infty$
- $\forall x \in ]0, +\infty[$   $x(+\infty) = (+\infty)x = +\infty$   $x(-\infty) = (-\infty)x = -\infty$
- $\forall x \in [-\infty, 0[ \quad x(+\infty) = (+\infty)x = -\infty \quad x(-\infty) = (-\infty)x = +\infty$
- $-(+\infty) = -\infty$   $-(-\infty) = +\infty$   $(\infty)^{-1} = 0$
- $(+\infty) + (-\infty)$   $(-\infty) + (+\infty)$   $(+\infty)0$   $0(+\infty)$   $(-\infty)0$   $0(-\infty)$  ARE NOT DEFINED

Def

Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. If for any subset A of X,A has a supremum and an infimum in X, then we say the X is order complete Example

Let  $\Omega$  be a set  $(\mathscr{P}(\Omega),\subseteq)$  is order complete If  $\mathscr{F}$  is a subset of  $\mathscr{P}(\Omega)$ , sup  $\mathscr{F}=\bigcup_{A\subset\mathscr{X}}A$ 

Interesting tip:  $\inf \emptyset = \Omega$   $\sup \emptyset = \emptyset$ 

AXION:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}, \leq) \text{ is order complete} \\ \text{In } \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} \quad \sup \varnothing = -\infty \quad \inf \varnothing = +\infty \end{array}$ 

Notation:

- For any  $A\subseteq \mathbb{R}\cup -\infty, +\infty$  and  $c\in \mathbb{R}$  We denote by A+c the set  $\{a+c\mid a\in A\}$
- If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\lambda A$  denotes  $\{\lambda a \mid a \in A\}$
- -A denotes (-1)A

Prop

For any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ ,  $\sup(-A) = -\inf A$ ,  $\inf(-A) + -\sup A$  Def We denote by  $(R, \leq)$  a field  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with a total order  $\leq$ , which satisfies the following condition:

- $\forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  such that a < b , one has  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, a+c < b+c$
- $\forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, ab > 0$
- $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \text{if A hsa an upper bound in} \mathbb{R}$ , then it has a supremum in $\mathbb{R}$

Prop.

Let  $A \subseteq [-\infty, +\infty]$ 

- $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$   $\sup(A+c) = (\sup A) + c$
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$   $\sup(\lambda A) = \lambda \sup(A)$
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$   $sup(\lambda A) = \lambda \inf(A)$

9

inf takes the same

Theorem:

Let I and J be non-empty sets

$$\begin{array}{l} f:I\rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty],g:J\rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty]\\ a=\sup\limits_{x\in I}f(x)\quad b=\sup\limits_{y\in J}g(y)\quad c=\sup\limits_{(x,y)\in I\times J,\{f(x),g(y)\}\neq\{+\infty,-\infty\}}(f(x)+g(y))\\ \end{array}$$

If  $\{a, b\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}$  then c = a + b

inf takes the same if  $(-\infty) + (+\infty)$  doesn't happen

Corollary:

Let I be a non-empty set,  $f: I \to [-\infty, +\infty], g: J \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ Then  $\sup_{x \in I, \{f(x), g(y)\} \neq \{+\infty, -\infty\}} (f(x) + g(x)) \leq (\sup_{x \in I} f(x)) (\sup_{x \in I} g(x))$ 

inf takes the similar( $\leq \rightarrow \geq$ ) (provided when the sum are defined)

### 2.4 Vector space

In this section:

K denotes a unitary ring. Let 0 be zero element of K 1 be the unity of K

#### 2.4.1 K-module

#### Def

Let (V, +) be a commutative group. We call left/right K-module structure: any mapping  $\Phi: K \times V \to V$ 

- $\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V$   $\Phi(ab,x) = \Phi(a,\Phi(b,x))/\Phi(b,\Phi(a,x))$
- $\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V, \Phi(a+b,x) = \Phi(a,x) + \Phi(b,x)$
- $\forall a \in K, \forall (x, y) \in V \times V, \Phi(a, x + y) = \Phi(a, x) + \Phi(a, y)$
- $\forall x \in V, \Phi(1, x) = x$

A commutative group (V,+) equipped with a left/right K-module structure is called a left/right K-module.

#### Remark

Let  $K^{op}$  be the set K equipped with the following composition laws:

- $\bullet \ K \times K \to K$
- $\bullet$   $(a,b) \mapsto a+b$
- $\bullet \ K \times K \to K$
- $(a,b) \mapsto ba$

Then  $K^{op}$  forms a unitary ring Any left  $K^{op} - module$  is a right K-module Any right  $K^{op} - module$  is a left K-module  $(K^{op})^{op} = K$ 

#### Notation

When we talk about a left/right K-module (V,+), we often write its left K-module structure as  $K\times V\to V$  $(a,x)\mapsto ax$ 

The axioms become:

$$\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad (ab)x = a(bx)/b(ax)$$
 
$$\forall (a,b) \in K \times K, \forall x \in V \quad (a+b)x = ax+bx$$
 
$$\forall a \in K, \forall (x,y) \in V \times V \quad a(x+y) = ax+ay$$
 
$$\forall x \in V \quad 1x = x$$

#### K-vector space

If K is commutative, then  $K^{op}=K$ , so left K-module and right K-module structure are the same . We simply call them K-module structure. A commutative group equipped with a K-module structure is called a K-module. If K is a field, a K-module is also called a K-vector space

Let  $\Phi: K \times V \to V$  be a left or right K-module structure

$$\forall x \in V, \Phi(\cdot, x) : K \to V \quad (a \in K) \mapsto \Phi(a, x)$$

is a morphism of addition groups. Hence  $\Phi(0,x)=0, \Phi(-a,x)=-\Phi(a,x)$   $\forall a\in K, \Phi(a,\cdot):V\to V$  is a morphism of groups. Hence  $\Phi(a,0)=0, \Phi(a,-x)=-\Phi(a,x)(\cdot \mbox{ is a } var)$ 

#### Association:

 $\forall x \in K$ 

$$(f(f+g)+h)(x) = (f+g)(x)+h(x) = f(x)+g(x)+h(x)$$
$$(f+(g+h))(x) = f(x)+((g+h)(x)) = f(x)+g(x)+h(x)$$

Let 
$$0: I \to K: x \mapsto 0 \quad \forall f \in K^I \quad f + 0 = f$$
  
Let  $-f: f + (-f) = 0$ 

The mapping  $K \times K^I \to K^I : (a, f) \mapsto af \quad (af)(x) = af(x)$  is a left K-module structure

The mapping  $K\times K^I\to K^I:(a\in I)\mapsto ((x\in I)\mapsto f(x)a)$  (af)(x)=af(x) is a right K-module structure

#### Remark:

We can also write an element  $\mu$  of  $K^I$  is the form of a family  $(\mu_i)_{i\in I}$  of elements in K  $(\mu_i)$  is the image of  $i\in I$  by  $\mu$ )
Then

$$(\mu_i)_{i \in I} + (\nu_i)_{i \in I} := (\mu_i + \nu_i)_{i \in I}$$
  
 $a(\mu_i)_{i \in I} := (a\mu_i)_{i \in I}$   
 $(\mu_i)_{i \in I} a = (\mu_i a)_{i \in I}$ 

#### 2.4.2 sub K-module

#### Def

Let V be a left/right K-module. If W is a subgroup of V. Such that  $\forall a \in K, \forall x \in W \quad ax/xa \in W$ , then we say that W is left/right sub-K-module of V.

#### Example

Let I be a set .Let  $K^{\bigoplus I}$  be the subset of  $K^I$  composed of mappings  $f: I \to K$  such that  $I_f = \{x \in I \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$  is finite. It is a left and right sub-K-module of  $K^I$ 

In fact, 
$$\forall (f,g) \in K^{\bigoplus I} \times K^I$$
  $I_{f-g} = x \in I \mid f(x) - g(x) \neq 0 \subseteq I_f \cup I_g$   
Hence  $f - g \in K^{\bigoplus I}$  So  $K^{\bigoplus I}$  is a subgroup of  $K^I$   
 $\forall a \in K, \forall f \in K^{\bigoplus I}$   $I_{af} \subseteq I_f, I_{(x \mapsto f(x)a)} \subseteq I_f$ 

#### 2.4.3 morphism of K-modules

#### Def

Let V and W be left K-module, A morphism of groups  $\phi: V \to W$  is called a morphism of left K-modules if  $\forall (a, x) \in K \times V, \phi(ax) = a\phi(x)$ 

#### K-lines mapping

If K is commutative, a morphism of K-modules is also called a K-lines mapping. We denote by  $\hom_{K-Mod}(V,W)$  the set of all morphism of left-K-module from V to W.This is a subgroup of  $W^V$ 

#### Theorem

Let V be a left K-module. Let I be a set. The mapping  $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I},V) \to V^I: \phi \to (\phi(e_i))_{i \in I}$  is a bijection where  $e_i: I \to K: j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j=i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$ 

#### Remark:column

In the case where I=1,2,3,...,n  $V^I$  is denoted as  $V^n,K^I$  is denoted as  $K^n$  For any  $(x_1,...,x_n)\in V^n$ , by the theorem, there exists a unique morphism of left K-modules  $\phi:K^n\to V$  such that  $\forall i\in 1,...,n\phi(e_i)=x_i$ 

We write this 
$$\phi$$
 as a column  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ ... \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$  It sends  $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in K^n$  to  $a_1x_1 + ... + a_nx_n$ 

#### 2.4.4 kernel

#### Prop

Let G and H be groups and  $f: G \to H$  be a morphism of groups

- $I_m(f) \subseteq H$  is a subgroup of H
- $\ker(f) = \{x \in G \mid f(x) = e_H\}$
- f is injection iff  $ker(f) = \{e_G\}$

#### Def

ker(f) is called the kernel of f

#### **Proof:** f is injection iff $ker(f) = \{e_G\}$

Let  $e_G$  and  $e_H$  be neutral element of G and H respectively

- (1) Let x and y be element of G  $f(x)f(y)^{-1}=f(x)f(y)^{-1}=f(xy^{-1})\in Im(f). \text{ So } Im(f) \text{ is a subgroup of } H$
- (2) Let x and y be element of  $\ker(f)$  One has  $f(xy^{-1})=f(x)f(y)^{-1}=e_H$   $e_H^{-1}=e_H$ . So  $xy^{-1}\in\ker(f)$  So  $\ker(f)$  is a subgroup of G
- (3) Suppose that f is injection. Since  $f(E_G) = e_H$  one has  $\ker(f) = f^{-1}(\{e_H\}) = \{e_G\}$  Suppose that  $\ker(f) = \{e_G\}$  If f(x) = f(y)then  $f(xy^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)^{-1} = e_H$  Hence  $xy^{-1} = e_G \Rightarrow x = y$

#### Def

Let (V,+) be a commutative group, I be a set. We define a composition law + on  $V^I$  as follows

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} + (y_i)_{i \in I} := (x_i + y_i)_{i \in I}$$

Then  $V^I$  forms a commutative group

#### Remark

Let E and F be left K-modules

 $\hom_{K=Mod}(E,F):=\{\text{morphisms of left K-modules from E to F}\}\subseteq F^E$  is a subgroup of  $F^E$ 

In fact f and g are elements of  $\hom_{K-Mod}(E,F)$ , then f-g is also a morphism of left K-module

$$(f-g)(x+y) = f(x+y) - g(x+y) = (f(x) + f(y)) - (g(x) + g(y)) = (f(x) - g(x)) + (f(y) - g(y)) = (f - g)(x) + (f - y)(x)$$

#### Theorem

Let V be a left K-module, I be a set The mapping 
$$\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V) \to V^I$$
:  $\phi \mapsto (\phi(e_i))_i \in I$  is an isomorphism of groups, where  $e_i : I \to K : j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j=i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$ 

#### **Proof:**

One has 
$$(\phi + \psi)(e_i) = \phi(e_I) + \psi(e_i)$$
  
 $\forall (\phi, psi) \in \text{hom}_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V)^2$   
Hence  $\Psi(\phi, \psi) = (\phi(e_i) + \psi(e_i))_{i \in I} = \Psi(\phi) + \Psi(\psi)$   
So  $\Psi$  is a morphism of groups

injectivity Let 
$$\phi \in \text{hom}_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I}, V)$$
 Such that  $\forall i \in I(\forall \phi \in \text{ker}(\Psi)) \quad \phi(e_i) = 0$   
Let  $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in K^{\bigoplus I}$  One has  $a = \sum_{i \in I} a_i e_i$   
If  $\text{fact}, \forall j \in I, a_j = \sum_{i \in I, a_i \neq 0} a_i e_i(j)$   
Thus  $\phi(a) = \sum_{i \in I, a_i \neq 0} a - I\phi(e_i) = 0$   
Hence  $\phi$  is the neutral element.

surjectivity Let 
$$x=(x_i)_{i\in I}\in V^I$$
 We define  $\phi_x:K^{\bigoplus I}\to V$  such that  $\forall a=(a_i)_{i\in I}\in K^{\bigoplus I}, \phi_x(a)=\sum_{i\in I, a_i\neq 0}a_ix_i$   
This is a morphism of left K-modules  $foralli\in I, \phi_x(e_i)=1x_i=x_i$  So  $\Psi(\phi_x)=x$ 

Suppose that K' is a unitary ring, and V is also equipped with a right K'-module structure, Then  $\hom_{K-Mod}(K^{\bigoplus I},V)\subseteq V^{K^{\bigoplus I}}$  is a right sub-k'-module , and  $\Psi$  in the theorem is a right K'-module isomorphism

## 2.5 Monotone mappings

#### 2.5.1 Def

Let I and X be partially ordered sets,  $f: I \to X$  be a mapping.

- If  $\forall (a,b) \in I \times I$  such that a < b. One has  $f(a) \leq f(b)/f(a) < f(b)$ , then we say that f is increasing/strictly increasing. decreasing takes similar way.
- If f is (strictly) increasing or decreasing, we say that f is (strictly) monotone.

#### 2.5.2 Prop.

Let X,Y,Z be partially ordered sets.  $f: X \to Y, g: Y \to Z$  be mappings

- If f and g have the same monotonicity, then  $g \circ f$  is increasing
- If f and g have different monotonicities, then  $g \circ f$  is decreasing

strict monotonicities takes the same

#### 2.5.3 Def

Let f be a function from a partially ordered set I to another partially ordered set .If  $f \mid_{Dom(f)} \to X$  is (strictly) increasing/decreasing then we say that f is (strictly) increasing/decreasing

#### 2.5.4 Prop.

Let I and X be partially ordered sets. f be function from I to X.

- ullet If f is increasing/decreasing and f is injection, then f is strictly increasing/decreasing
- ullet Assume that I is totally ordered and f is strictly monotone, then f is injection

#### 2.5.5 Prop

Let A be totally ordered set, B be a partially ordered set, f be an injective function from A to B If f is increasing/decreasing ,then so is  $f^{-1}$ 

## 2.5.6 Def

Let X and Y be partially ordered sets.  $f: X \to Y$  be a bijection. If both f and  $f^{-1}$  are increasing ,then we say that f is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets.

(If X is totally, then a mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets iff f is a bijection and f is increasing)

#### 2.5.7 Prop.

Let I be a subset of  $\mathbb N$  which is infinite. Then there is a unique increasing bijection  $\lambda_I:\mathbb N\to I$ 

#### 2.5.8 **Proof**

#### bijection

```
We construct f: \mathbb{N} \to I by induction as follows. Let f(0) = \min I Suppose that f(0), ..., f(n) are constructed then we take f(n+1) := \min(I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}) Since I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(n-1)\} \supseteq I \setminus \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}. Therefore f(n) \le f(n+1) Since f(n+1) not \in \{f(0), ..., f(n)\}, we have f(n) < f(n+1) Hence f is strictly increasing and this is injective If f is not surjective, then I \setminus Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). Let f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). Since f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). So f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). So f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). So f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). So f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). So f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f). So f(n) \in Im(f) has a element f(n) \in Im(f).
```

#### uniqueness

exercise: Prove that  $Id_{\mathbb{N}}\to$  is the only isomorphism of partially ordered sets from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$