

# bcg.h Documentation

Haochen (Langford) Huang

June 11, 2025

Version: 2.0    Updated: 2025-06-04

## Section 1

## Introduction and Background

**bcg.h** file contains two functions: *tracer\_fluxes* and *advection* whose major purpose is to construct a solver for advective equation:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \Phi = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the scalar and  $\mathbf{u}$  is the velocity. The discrete time formulation of equation 1 reads:

$$\frac{\Phi^{n+1} - \Phi^n}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{A}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Where  $\mathbf{A}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  is the abbreviation of advection term. With the conservative constraints  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ , the integral form reads:

$$\int_{\Gamma} A^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{\Gamma} [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \Phi]^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{\Gamma} [\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \Phi)]^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \int_{\partial \Gamma} (\mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \Phi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  represents the normal direction of cell interface. For cartesian cell employed in Basilisk, the calculation turns out to be

$$\Delta A^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_d s_d u_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4)$$

Note in this documentation, the subscript  $f$  denotes face value stored in staggered mesh[2], otherwise it is cell-average value stored in center of the cell. Subscript  $d$  is the notation of specific face ( $e, w, n, s$  for 2D and  $e, s, n, s, f, b$  for 3D) for a single cell. In addition, readers may found algebraic notation without  $d$  such as  $u_f$  in the upcoming discussion which refers to the same thing. This is because in the most cases  $d$  is used to

addressed spatial relationship between face and cell from cell-perspective, such relation shall vanish from face-perspective and so does  $d$ .

The following discussions are conducted under 2D condition and results of 3D is easily to obtain accordingly.  $s_d$  represents sign function which reads

$$s_d = \begin{cases} 1 & d = e, n \\ -1 & d = w, s \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The problem now becomes how to obtain  $u_f^{n+1/2}$  and  $\Phi_f^{n+1/2}$ . Basilisk employs BCG scheme to tackle such issue. The scheme, which is originally named by three authors[1], stems from the algorithm intending to apply second order Godunov method to incompressible flow. It obtains value at  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  not by averaging but by considering the Taylor series, for each cell:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Phi^n + s_d \frac{\Delta}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_d} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial t} + O(\Delta^2, \Delta t^2) \quad (6)$$

Where  $x_d = x$  for  $d = e, w$  and  $x_d = y$  for  $d = n, s$ . Replacing  $\frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_d}$  with Euler equation 1 yielding

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Phi^n + [s_d \frac{\Delta}{2} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_d] \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_d} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_o \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_o} \quad (7)$$

where  $u_d$  is the cell-centered value on  $d$  direction (i.e.  $u_x$  for  $d = e, w$ ,  $u_y$  for  $d = n, s$ ). Subscript  $o$  represents directions other than  $d$ . Since aiming equation 1 is advection-only, a simple upwind scheme is therefore employed[4, 3] to adapt each term in equation 7:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \Phi^n + [s_d \frac{\Delta}{2} - \max(\frac{\Delta t}{2} u_d, 0)] \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_d} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_o \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_o} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_o} = \begin{cases} (\Phi^n[0] - \Phi^n[-1])/\Delta & \text{if } u_o > 0 \\ (\Phi^n[1] - \Phi^n[0])/\Delta & \text{if } u_o < 0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

If not additionally declared,  $\frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_d}$  is computed with central scheme. Given four face values from each cell, each face now has two values from its neighbor cell which are left value and right value in traditional Godunov method. Unlike the traditional method which obtains the final face value  $\Phi_{f,d}$  by solving Riemann problem, the upwind scheme is again applied to determine  $\Phi_{f,d}$  in current algorithm (the value is counted from each face hence the subscript  $d$  is omitted.)

$$\Phi_f^{n+1/2}[0] = \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_f[0] & u_f^{n+1/2} < 0 \\ \tilde{\Phi}_f[-1] & u_f^{n+1/2} > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Phi}_f[0] + \tilde{\Phi}_f[-1]) & u_f^{n+1/2} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where  $u_f$  is velocity on the same face and marker  $[0], [-1]$  indicate the cell from which the face value is obtained. In mesh system of Basilisk, for face located at  $(i - 1/2, j)$  the  $[0]$  (resp.  $[-1]$ ) represents cell positioned at  $(i, j)$  (resp.  $(i - 1, j)$ ). It is worth mentioning that the marker in current equation is associated with the direction. For example, if one calculates face value  $\Phi_f^{n+1/2}$  on  $x$  direction, the marker in equation 10 indicates the relationship on  $x$  direction while those in equation 9 refers to relation on  $y$  direction. Above discussion is the original algorithm on the paper, compensation is made in real code which shall be introduced in the following sections.

## Section 2

# Functions

### 2.1 tracer\_fluxes

Given face velocity  $u_f^{n+1/2}$ , the face flux  $u_f^{n+1/2} \Phi_f^{n+1/2}$  is computed and stored on staggered mesh.

#### 2.1.1 Parameters

Name	Data type	Status	Option/Default	Representation (before/after)
<i>f</i>	scalar	unchanged	compulsory	$\Phi^n$
<i>uf</i>	face vector	unchanged	compulsory	$u_f^{n+1/2}$
<i>flux</i>	face vector	<b>output</b>	compulsory	$u_f^{n+1/2} \Phi_f^{n+1/2}$
<i>dt</i>	double	unchanged	compulsory	$\Delta t$
<i>src</i>	scalar	unchanged	compulsory	$a^n$

#### 2.1.2 Worth Mentioning Details

As discussed previously, specific face value on staggered mesh[2] can be located by its spatial relation with corresponding cell. Take cell at  $(i, j)$  as an example, figure 1 demonstrates notation of each face. Moreover such value can be iterated by calling macro *foreach\_face()* in Basilisk. Thanks to this feature, the code can be constructed from face-perspective starting from equation 10 rather than iterating every cell and compare two values for single faces.

Notably, different from original algorithm, two compensations are made in performing equation 10 and equation 8 respectively. For the former one, the condition where  $u_f^{n+1/2} = 0$  merges into the second condition, the filter now reads:

$$\Phi_f^{n+1/2}[0] = \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_f[0] & u_f^{n+1/2} < 0 \\ \tilde{\Phi}_f[-1] & u_f^{n+1/2} \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

For the latter one,  $u_d$  is replaced by face value  $u_f[]$  for simplicity in boundary condition settings. Consequently the upwind filter (i.e. the *max()*) is no longer needed in the second term of equation 8 since upwind scheme is automatically met owing to upwind selection originally conducted by equation 11.




Figure 1: Example of staggered mesh.

Given  $u_f^{n+1/2}$ , the procedure to compute face flux now becomes:

1. Identify the upstream direction based on  $u_f^{n+1/2}$ .
2. Choose corresponding cell to compute face flux based on equation 10 and upstream direction obtained in previous step.
3. According to equation 8 9, add contribution from each direction and multiply the result by  $u_f^{n+1/2}$  to obtain  $u_f^{n+1/2}\Phi_f^{n+1/2}$ .

Yet another detail worth mentioning is the source term  $a^n$  in current function. This is indeed preparation for solving non-Euler equation such as NS equation in 'centered.h'. Albeit compulsory here, such term is overall optional in the final function **advection** which shall be introduced in the upcoming section.

### 2.1.3 Program Workflow

#### Starting Point

input:

$$f = \Phi^n \quad uf = u_f^{n+1/2}$$

$$flux(\text{empty}) \quad dt = \Delta t$$

$$src = g^n$$

gradient:

$$g = \nabla f = \nabla \Phi$$

```

1 void tracer_fluxes (scalar f,
2                     face vector uf,
3                     face vector flux,
4                     double dt,
5                     (const) scalar src)
6 {

```

```

7  vector g[];
8  gradients ({f}, {g});

```



**Compute  $un^a$ :**

$$un = \frac{u_{f,d}^{n+1/2} \Delta t}{fm.x[i] \Delta}$$

**Identification of upstream direction**

The notation of corresponding cell  $i$  is identified according to equation 11.

$$s = s_d$$

<sup>a</sup>A compensation is made here, see 2.1.2 for detailed information

```

1  foreach_face() {
2      double un = dt*uf.x[]/(fm.x[]*Delta + SEPS), s = sign(un);
3      int i = -(s + 1.)/2.;

```



**Contribution of Each Direction**

**Main direction**

$$f2 = \Phi^n[i] + \frac{\Delta}{2} [s_d - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta} u_{f,d}^{n+1/2}] \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_d} [i] \\ + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{a^n[-1] + a^n[0]}{2}$$

**Traversal direction**

**compute  $u_o$  in equation 8:**

$$vn(un) = (u_{f,o}^{n+1/2}[i, 0] + u_{f,o}^{n+1/2}[i, 1]) / (fm.e[i, 0] + fm.e[i, 1])$$

**component of other direction:**

The gradient  $\frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_o}$  is first computed by upwind scheme  
based on direction of  $u_o$  according to equation 9

$$fyy(fzz) = \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_o}$$

$$f2 -= \frac{\Delta t}{2} u_o \frac{\partial \Phi^n}{\partial x_o}$$

```

1  double f2 = f[i] + (src[] + src[-1])*dt/4. + s*(1. -
   ↪ s*un)*g.x[i]*Delta/2.;
2  #if dimension > 1
3  if (fm.y[i] && fm.y[i,1]) {
4      double vn = (uf.y[i] + uf.y[i,1])/(fm.y[i] + fm.y[i,1]);
5      double fyy = vn < 0. ? f[i,1] - f[i] : f[i] - f[i,-1];

```

```

6      f2 -= dt*vn*fyy/(2.*Delta);
7  }
8  #endif
9  #if dimension > 2
10  if (fm.z[i] && fm.z[i,0,1]) {
11      double wn = (uf.z[i] + uf.z[i,0,1])/(fm.z[i] + fm.z[i,0,1]);
12      double fzz = wn < 0. ? f[i,0,1] - f[i] : f[i] - f[i,0,-1];
13      f2 -= dt*wn*fzz/(2.*Delta);
14  }
15  #endif

```



### Assemble

assemble the final result, current direction is  $d$

$$\textcolor{red}{flux.d} = u_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

after the *foreach\_face* macro, the face flux on every face is obtained.

```

1      flux.x[] = f2*uf.x[];
2  }
3  }

```

## 2.2 advection

Given face flux  $u_f^{n+1/2} \Phi_f^{n+1/2}$ , compute  $\Phi^{n+1} = \Phi^n - \Delta t A^{n+1/2}$ .

### 2.2.1 Parameters

Name	Data type	Status	Option/Default	Representation (before/after)
<i>tracers</i>	scalar*	update	compulsory	$\Phi^n / \Phi^n - \Delta t A^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$
<i>u</i>	face vector	unchanged	compulsory	$u_f^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$
<i>dt</i>	double	unchanged	compulsory	$\Delta t$
<i>src</i>	scalar*	unchanged	optional/NULL	$\mathbf{g}^n$

### 2.2.2 Worth Mentioning Details

The data type *scalar\** indicates the input can be vector, and each components will be treated as *scalar* type data and are assembled into one *vector* data eventually.

Given the face flux  $u_f^{n+1/2}\Phi_f^{n+1/2}$ , according to equation 1, for each cell the  $\Phi^{n+1}$  yielding:

$$\Phi^{n+1} = \Phi^n - \Delta t \sum_d s_d u_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{f,d}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (12)$$

take  $flux = u_f^{n+1/2}\Phi_f^{n+1/2}$  for cell located at  $(i, j)$  the above equation reads

$$\Phi^{n+1}[i, j] = \Phi^n[i, j] + \Delta t (flux.x[i] - flux.x[i+1] + flux.y[j] - flux.y[j+1]) \quad (13)$$

### 2.2.3 Program Workflow

#### Starting Point

input:

$$\begin{aligned} tracers &= \Phi^n \quad u = u_f^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ dt &= \Delta t \quad src = a^n \end{aligned}$$

```

1  struct Advection {
2      scalar * tracers;
3      face vector u;
4      double dt;
5      scalar * src; // optional
6  };
7  void advection (struct Advection p)
8  {
9      scalar * lsrc = p.src;
10     if (!lsrc)
11         for (scalar s in p.tracers)
12             lsrc = list_append (lsrc, zero);
13     assert (list_len(p.tracers) == list_len(lsrc));

```



#### Fluxes Compute

Traversal each elements in *tracers* (if *tracers* is vector, then this step traversal component on every direction)

computation:

$$flux = \Phi_f^{n+\frac{1}{2}} u_f^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

```

1 scalar f, src;
2 for (f,src in p.tracers,lsrc) {
3     face vector flux[];
4     tracer_fluxes (f, p.u, flux, p.dt, src);

```



#### Update

$$\textcolor{red}{tracers}(\text{updated}) = \Phi^{n+1}[i,j] = \Phi^n[i,j] + \Delta t(\text{flux.x}[i] - \text{flux.x}[i + 1] + \text{flux.y}[j] - \text{flux.y}[j + 1])$$

```

1 #if !EMBED
2     foreach()
3         foreach_dimension()
4             f[] += p.dt*(flux.x[] - flux.x[1])/(Delta*cm[]);
5 #else // EMBED
6     update_tracer (f, p.u, flux, p.dt); //This is a function that
7     ↪ induced by embed.h which conducts same procedure with
8     ↪ special care taken for embed boundary.
9 #endif // EMBED
10 }
11
12 if (!p.src)
13     free (lsrc);
14 }

```

## Section 3

# Calculation of Face Centered Normal Velocity

Readers may find all the algorithms constructed in this headfile are based on one condition:  $u_f^{n+1/2}$  are known. In fact when solving a NS equation, the face velocity  $u_f^{n+1/2}$  is obtained in a similar method (i.e. BCG scheme) in the NS solver before calling the functions discussed in current doc[5]. The difference majorly manifests in two perspectives:



1) the criteria in equation 11 becomes face velocity computed by center scheme from adjacent cell-centered value and  $u_o$  in equation 9 is the cell-centered value; 2) the obtained face velocity is projected to satisfy no-divergence condition. The detailed discussion will be presented in documentation for 'centered.h'.

## References

- [1] J. B. Bell, P. Colella, and H. M. Glaz. "A second-order projection method for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations". In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 85.2 (1989), pp. 257–283.
- [2] F. H. Harlow and J. E. Welch. "Numerical calculation of time-dependent viscous incompressible flow of fluid with free surface". In: *Phys. Fluids* 8.12 (1965), pp. 2182–2189.
- [3] D. F. Martin. *An adaptive cell-centered projection method for the incompressible Euler equations*. Univ. California, Berkeley, 1998.
- [4] D. F. Martin and P. Colella. "A cell-centered adaptive projection method for the incompressible Euler equations". In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 163.2 (2000), pp. 271–312.
- [5] S. Popinet. "Gerris: a tree-based adaptive solver for the incompressible Euler equations in complex geometries". In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 190.2 (2003), pp. 572–600.