

# Tutorial Questions - Week 5

## Statistics and Econometrics

### Question 1

Use the data in `loanapp.RData` for this exercise. The binary variable to be explained is *approve*, which is equal to one if a mortgage loan to an individual was approved. The key explanatory variable is *mortno*, a dummy variable equal to one if the applicant had no mortgage history.

1. Estimate a probit model of *approve* on *mortno*. Find the estimated probability of loan approval for those with no mortgage history and those who had mortgage before.
2. Now, add the variables *hrat*, *obrat*, *loanprc*, *unem*, *male*, *married*, *dep*, *sch*, *cosign*, *chist*, *pubrec*, and *vr* to the probit model. Is *mortno* still statistically significant?
3. Estimate the model from part 2 by logit.
4. Estimate the partial effects of *mortno* for probit and logit.

### Question 2

Using the data in `rental.RData` for this exercise. The data on rental prices and other variables for college towns are for the years 1980 and 1990. The idea is to see whether a stronger presence of students affects rental rates. The fixed effects model is

$$\log(\text{rent}_{it}) = \beta_0 + \delta_0 y90 + \beta_1 \log(\text{pop}_{it}) + \beta_2 \log(\text{avginc}_{it}) + \beta_3 \text{pctstu}_{it} + a_i + u_{it},$$

where *pop* is city population, *avginc* is average income, and *pctstu* is student population as a percentage of city population (during the school year).

1. Estimate the equation as if we have a cross sectional data set (i.e., without  $a_i$ ) and report the results. What do you make of the estimate on the 1990 dummy variable? What do you get for  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{pctstu}}$ ?
2. Now estimate the equation using first-difference estimation. Compare your estimate of  $\beta_{\text{pctstu}}$  with that from part 1. Does the relative size of the student population appear to affect rental prices?