

1 Pseudocode for University Optimal

Algorithm 1 University Optimal G-S

```

1: Initially all universities  $u \in U$  and students  $s \in S$  are unmatched
2: while  $\exists u$  whose available opening(s) > 0 do
3:   while  $u$ 's opening > 0 do ▷ O(n)
4:     Let  $s$  be the favorite student in  $u$ 's preference list to whom  $u$  has not sent an offer
       to
5:     if  $s$  didn't receive any offer then
6:        $s$  is temporarily admitted by  $u$  ▷ Instability
7:       available opening of  $u$  decrease by 1
8:     else  $s$  was temporarily admitted by  $u'$ 
9:       if  $s$  prefer  $u'$  than  $u$  then
10:        number of openings of  $u$  remain the same
11:      else  $s$  prefer  $u$  than  $u'$ 
12:         $s$  is temporarily admitted by  $u$  ▷ Instability
13:        available opening of  $u$  decrease by 1
14:        available opening of  $u'$  increase by 1
15:      end if
16:    end if
17:  end while
18: end while
19: for students  $s \in S$  do
20:   if  $s$  is matched with universities  $u$  then
21:     fill  $u$  to  $s$ 's position in set  $S$ 
22:   else students  $s$  is unassigned
23:     Fill  $-1$  to the set  $S$ 
24:     return  $S$ 
25:   end if
26: end for

```

2 Run time of University Optimal Algorithm

The worst case is that each university has the same preference list, which is $[s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n]$, and students have the same preference list $[u_m, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_0]$. It will take mn operations to "reverse" students' preference list by following the same procedure we discussed to create a *invpref()* for women's preference, in this case, we can compare students' preferences of universities in $O(1)$. For the worst case, assume the available opening for university i is p_i , $\sum_{i=0}^m p_i = n$, while $p_0 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_m$, therefore, u_0 will be reject by $n - p_0$ times, u_1 will be reject by $n - p_0 - p_1$ times..., u_m won't be reject. The total runtime for matching will be:

$$(n - p_0) + (n - p_0 - p_1) + \dots + (n - \sum_{i=0}^m p_i) < m(n - p_0) < mn$$

Therefore the **Big-O** run time for University Optimal Algorithm will be $O(mn)$

3 Pseudocode for Student Optimal

Algorithm 2 Student Optimal G-S

```

Initially all universities  $u \in U$  and students  $s \in S$  are unmatched
2: while  $\exists u$  haven't been admitted by any university and has not applied to every univer-
   sity do
   Let  $u$  be the favorite university in  $s$ 's preference list to which  $s$  has not applied to
4:   if  $u$  still have openings then
        $s$  is temporarily admitted by  $u$  ▷ Instability
6:   available opening of  $u$  decrease by 1
       else  $u$  openings are fully filled
8:   let  $s'$  be the least favorite student in  $u$ 's current temporarily filled openings list
       if  $u$  prefer  $s$  than  $s'$  then
10:     $s$  is temporarily admitted by  $u$ 
         $s'$  is rejected ▷ Instability
12:    sort  $u$  current admitted students by preference list and find the least preferred
        one  $s'$ 
       else  $u$  prefer  $s'$  than  $s$ 
14:     $s$  is rejected ▷ Instability
       end if
16:   end if
       end while
18: for students  $s \in S$  do
   if  $s$  is matched with universities  $u$  then
20:   fill  $u$  to  $s$ 's position in set  $S$ 
   else students  $s$  is unassigned
22:   Fill  $-1$  to the set  $S$ 
   return  $S$ 
24:   end if
end for

```

4 Run time of Student Optimal Algorithm

The worst case is that each university has the same preference list, which is $[s_n, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_0]$, and students have the same preference list $[u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m]$, while the opening for each university is 1. It will take mn operations to "reverse" universities' preference list by following the same procedure we discussed to create a *invpref()* for women's preference, in this case, we can compare universities' preferences of students in $O(1)$. After each time admitting a new student, it will take $O(n)$ to find the least preferred student. Therefore, for each student, it

will at most be compared and rejected by m times. The total runtime for matching will be:

$$mn + 2m(n - m) + 2(m - 1) + 2(m - 2) + \dots + 2(m - m) < mn + 2m(n - 1) < 3mn - 2m$$

Therefore the **Big-O** run time for University Optimal Algorithm will be $O(3mn - 2m) = O(mn)$