## Homework 2

- Q1. Derive expression [1, (10.12) on p.344] for the update parameter in AdaBoost.
- S1. Note that we need to minimize the expression

$$(e^{\beta} - e^{-\beta}) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^m I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i)) + e^{-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^m$$

(cf. [1, (10.11) p. 344]) with respect to  $\beta$ . For this, we differentiate with respect to  $\beta$ , determine the zeros and get

$$e^{2\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^m - \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^m I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^m I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i))}.$$

Using the definition of the error at m-th stage (cf. [1, p. 339]) gives

$$e^{2\beta} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{err}_m} - 1 = \frac{1 - \operatorname{err}_m}{\operatorname{err}_m}$$

This easily gives the desired result.

Q2. Multiclass exponential loss (see also [2]). For a K-class classification problem, consider the coding  $Y = (Y_1, ..., Y_K)^T$  with

$$Y_k := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } G = \mathcal{G}_k \\ -\frac{1}{K-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $f = (f_1, ..., f_K)^T$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^K f_k(x) = 0$ , and define

$$L(Y,f) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{K}Y^T f\right). \tag{1}$$

- a) Using Lagrange multipliers, derive the population minimizer  $f^*$  of E(Y, f), subject to the zero-sum constraint, and relate these to the class probabilities.
- b) Show that a multiclass boosting using this loss function leads to a reweighting algorithm similar to Adaboost, as in [1, Section 10.4].

S2a. We need to determine

$$f^*(x) = \left(f_1^*(x), ..., f_K^*(x)\right)^T := \arg\min_{f(x)} \mathbb{E}_{Y|x} \left[ L(Y, f(x)) \right] = \arg\min_{f(x)} \mathbb{E}_{Y|x} \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{K}Y^T f(x)\right) \right]$$

provided  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} f_k = 0$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{E}_{Y|x}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{K}Y^Tf(x)\right)\right] = \sum_{l=1}^K \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq l} \frac{f_i(x)}{K(K-1)} - \frac{f_l(x)}{K}\right) \Pr\left(G = \mathcal{G}_l|x\right).$$

Using the constraint  $\sum_k f_k = 0$  and writing  $\lambda$  for the Lagrange multiplier, this yields the Lagrangian objective function

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{f_i(x)}{K-1}\right) \Pr(G = \mathcal{G}_i|x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} f_i(x).$$

Taking derivatives with respect to  $f_k$ ,  $\lambda$  and equating to 0, we get for k = 1, ..., K

$$f_k^*(x) = (K-1)\log\Pr(G = \mathcal{G}_k|x) - \frac{K-1}{K}\sum_{k'=1}^K \log\Pr(G = \mathcal{G}_{k'}|x).$$

1

This gives

$$\Pr(G = \mathcal{G}_k | x) = \left\{ \prod_{k'=1}^K \Pr(G = \mathcal{G}_{k'} | x) \right\}^{1/k} e^{\frac{f_k^*(x)}{K-1}}.$$

Summing both sites from k = 1 to k = K and using the previous equation again, we get

$$\Pr(G = \mathcal{G}_k | x) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{K-1}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\frac{1}{K-1}} f_k^*(x)}.$$

S2b. Define the following algorithm

## **Algorithm 0.1 (SAMME)** cf. [2, p. 351]

- 1. Initialize the observation weights  $w_i = 1/n, i = 1,...,n$ .
- 2. For m = 1 to M:
  - (a) Fit a classifier  $G_m(x)$  to the training data using weights  $w_i$ .
  - (b) Compute

$$err_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}$$

(c) Compute

$$\alpha_m = \log \frac{1 - err_m}{err_m} + \log \left(K - 1\right)$$

(d) Set

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i \cdot \exp\left(\alpha_m I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i))\right)$$

$$for \ i=1,...,n.$$

3. Output  $G(x) = \arg\max_{k} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m I(G_m(x) = k)$ 

Note the similarity between SAMME and AdaBoost: they coincide when K = 2 and if K > 2 the difference is the term  $\log(K - 1)$  in step (c) of SAMME.

Similar to the case K = 2 (covered in [1, pp. 343-344]), it can be showed that SAMME is equivalent to fitting a stagewise additive model using the multi-class exponential loss function (1). See [2, pp.352-353] for details.

- Q3. Derive the variance formula [1, (15.1), p. 588]. This appears to fail if  $\rho$  is negative; diagnose the problem in this case.
- S3. Let  $X_1, ..., X_B$  be a collection of B identically distributed (but not necessarily independent) random variables such that  $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Denote by  $\rho$  the the positive, pairwise correlation coefficient. By definition

$$0 < \rho := \frac{E\left[ (X_i - \mu)(X_j - \mu) \right]}{\sigma^2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad E(X_i X_j) = \rho \sigma^2 + m^2.$$

We then find

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i=1}^{B}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{B^{2}}\left\{E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{B}X_{i}\right)^{2}\right] - E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{B}X_{i}\right]^{2}\right\}$$
$$= \rho\sigma^{2} + \frac{1-\rho}{B}\sigma^{2}.$$

Q4. Suppose  $x_i$ , i = 1, ..., N are iid  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Let  $\bar{x}_1^*$  and  $\bar{x}_2^*$  be two bootstrap realizations of the sample mean. Show that the sampling correlation  $\operatorname{corr}(\bar{x}_1^*, \bar{x}_2^*) = \frac{n}{2n-1} \approx 50\%$ . Along the way, derive  $\operatorname{var}(\bar{x}_1^*)$  and the variance of the bagged mean  $\bar{x}_{bag}$ . Here  $\bar{x}$  is a *linear* statistic; bagging produces no reduction in variance for linear statistics.

S4. Let  $x_1, ..., x_n$  be iid Gaussian with  $x_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . For i = 1, 2 let  $\bar{x}_i^* := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij}$ , where  $x_{ij}$  are randomly drawn with replacement from  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ . Note

$$Cov(x_{ij}, x_{lk}) = \sigma^2 Pr(x_{ij} = x_{lk}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \Rightarrow Cov(\bar{x}_1^*, \bar{x}_2^*) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x}_1^*) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \operatorname{Cov}(x_{1i}, x_{1j}) = \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^2.$$

Together, the previous two lines give

$$\operatorname{corr}(\bar{x}_1^*, \bar{x}_2^*) = \frac{n}{2n-1}.$$

We also get

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{x}_{bag}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\bar{x}_1^* + \bar{x}_2^*)\right) = \frac{3n-1}{2n^2}\sigma^2.$$

## References

- [1] Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. *The elements of statistical learning*. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [2] Ji Zhu, Hui Zou, Saharon Rosset and Trevor Hastie. Multi-class adaboost Statistics and Interface, 2:349-360, 2009.