## Homework 1

- Q1. Show that the ridge regression estimate is the mean (and mode) of the posterior distribution, under a Gaussian prior  $\beta \sim N(0, \tau^2 \mathbf{I})$ , and Gaussian sampling model  $\mathbf{y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ . Find the relationship between the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  in the ridge formula, and the variances  $\tau^2$  and  $\sigma^2$ .
- S1. We assume that our input data is centered which allows us to ignore the intercept term  $\beta_0$ . The posterior distribution is given by

$$\Pr(\beta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{K} \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \mathbf{X}) \Pr(\beta)$$

where  $K = K(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \int \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \mathbf{X}) \Pr(\beta) d\beta$ , is clearly independent of  $\beta$ . Using our assumptions, we see that

$$\Pr(\beta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} \sigma^p} \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)}{2\sigma^2}\right) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} \tau^p} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^T \beta}{2\tau^2}\right). \tag{1}$$

Then,

$$\log \left( \Pr(\beta | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) \right) = -C - \frac{\left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \beta \right)^T \left( \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \beta \right)}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{\beta^T \beta}{2\tau^2},$$

where C collects the terms without  $\beta$  dependence. It is then not difficult to see that this expression is maximized for

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2} \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

Letting  $\lambda = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2}$ , we see the equivalence of the above approach and ridge regression.

It is clear that  $\Pr(\beta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})$  is Gaussian and its mean and mode coincide. We will now show that its mean  $m = \hat{\beta}$ . To this end, note that (1) implies that its covariance  $\Sigma$  satisfies

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left( \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2} \mathbf{I} \right).$$

This gives  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Sigma \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$  and equating the relevant terms in (1), we see that this must be the mean.

- Q2. Show that the ridge regression estimates can be obtained by ordinary least squares regression on an augmented data set. We augment the centered matrix X with p additional rows  $\sqrt{\lambda}I$  and augment y with p zeroes. By introducing artificial data having response value zero, the fitting procedure is forced to shrink the coefficients toward zero.
- S2. Denote by  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  the augmented data sets, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{X} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \mathbf{I}_{p \times p} \end{array} \right), \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \end{array} \right).$$

By (3.6) in [HTF09] an ordinary least squares regression yields the estimate

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Using the definition of  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ , it is not difficult to see

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$$
 and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ .

1

So, 
$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$
.

Q3. Consider a mixture model density in p-dimensional feature space,

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k g_k(x),$$

where  $g_k = \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$  and  $\pi_k \geq 0$  for all k with  $\sum_k \pi_k = 1$ . Here  $\{\mu_k, \pi_k\}$ , k = 1, ..., K and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown parameters. Suppose we have data  $x_1, ..., x_N \sim g(x)$  and we wish to fit the mixture model.

- a. Write down the log-likelihood of the data.
- b. Derive an EM algorithm for computing the maximum likelihood estimates.
- c. Show that if  $\sigma$  has a known value in the mixture model and we take  $\sigma \to 0$ , then in a sense, this EM algorithm coincides with K-means clustering.
- S3.a) The log-likelihood function for  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is given by

$$l(\theta, \mathbf{Z}) = \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} g(x_i) \right) = \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k g_k(x_i) \right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k g_k(x_i) \right), \tag{2}$$

where  $\theta = (\sigma^2, \theta_1, ..., \theta_K) = (\sigma^2, \pi_1, \mu_1, ..., \pi_K, \mu_K).$ 

S3.b) We generalize the ideas in [HTF09, p.272]. Introduce the random vector  $\Delta = (\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_K)$  satisfying  $\Delta_k \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^K \Delta_k = 1$  and  $\Pr(\Delta_k = 1) = \pi_k$ . Note  $\Pr(\Delta) = \prod_{k=1}^K \pi_k^{\Delta_k}$  and  $\Pr(x|\Delta_k = 1) = g_k(x)$ . We set

$$\gamma_{kn}(\theta) := \Pr(\Delta_k = 1 | \theta, \mathbf{Z} = x_n) = \frac{\pi_k g_k(x_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j g_j(x_n)}.$$
(3)

In (2) we calculate the derivatives  $\frac{dl}{d\mu_k}$ ,  $\frac{dl}{d\sigma^2}$  and  $\frac{dl}{d\pi_k}$ , we determine their zeros and find the extreme points

$$\mu_{k} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk} x_{n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk}}, \quad \sigma^{2} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk} (x_{n} - \mu_{k}) (x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{T}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk}}, \quad \pi_{k} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk}}{N}$$
(4)

Now, guess  $\mu_k^0, \sigma^0, \pi_k^0$  and calculate  $\gamma_{kn}^0$  using (3). With  $\gamma_{kn}^0$  at hand, we can use (4) to update our parameters to  $\mu_k^1, \sigma^1, \pi_k^1$ . Repeating this procedure, we improve our estimates. To see why, assume we have determined  $\mu_k^i, \sigma^i, \pi_k^i$ . Note that  $\sum_k \gamma_{nk}^i = 1$  and  $\gamma_{nk}^i \geq 0$ . Applying Jensen's inequality to (2), we get

$$l(\theta, \mathbf{Z}) \ge \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{nk}^{i} \log \left( \frac{\pi_{k} g_{k}(x_{n})}{\gamma_{nk}^{i}} \right) = \sum_{n,k} \gamma_{nk}^{i} \log(\pi_{k} g_{k}(x_{n})) - \sum_{n,k} \gamma_{nk}^{i} \log(\gamma_{nk}^{i}) = B_{i}(\theta).$$

The extreme points for  $B_i(\theta)$  turn out to be  $\mu_k^{i+1}, \sigma^{i+1}, \pi_k^{i+1}$  when calculated in (4) using  $\gamma_{nk}^i$ .

In conclusion, the EM algorithm is given by:

- 1. Take initial guesses for the parameters  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_i$ ,  $\hat{\pi}_i$  for i = 1, ..., K.
- 2. Expectation step: Compute the responsibilities

$$\hat{\gamma}_{nk} = \frac{\pi_k g_k(x_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \hat{\pi}_j g_j(x_n)}, \qquad i = 1, ..., N, \quad k = 1, ..., K$$

3. Maximization step: Compute the weighted means and variances

$$\hat{\mu}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{nk} x_{n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{nk}}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{nk} \left(x_{n} - \hat{\mu}_{k}\right) \left(x_{n} - \hat{\mu}_{k}\right)^{T}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{nk}}, \quad \hat{\pi}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{nk}}{N}$$

- 4. Iterate steps 2 and 3 until convergence.
- S3.c) For each n choose j such that  $(x_n \mu_j)^T (x_n \mu_j) \le (x_n \mu_k)^T (x_n \mu_k)$  for all k and provided  $\pi_k \ne 0$ . Note from (3), that for  $k \ne j$   $\gamma_{nk} \to 0$  as  $\sigma \to 0$  and  $\gamma_{nj} \to 1$ . Hence, we can write

$$\gamma_{nk} \to r_{nk} := \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } k = \arg\min_j (x_n - \mu_j)^T (x_n - \mu_j) \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which assigns each data point to the cluster having the closest mean.

- Q4. Derive equation (6.8) in [HTF09, p. 195] for multidimensional x.
- S4. We want to determine

$$\left(\hat{\beta}_{0},...,\hat{\beta}_{p}\right) = \arg\min_{\beta_{0},...,\beta_{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{\lambda}(x_{0},x_{j}) \left(y_{j} - \beta_{0}(x_{0}) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i}(x_{0})x_{i,j}\right)^{2}.$$

Define  $b(x)^T = (1, x)$ , let **B** be the regression matrix with *i*th row  $b(x_i)^T$ , and **W** $(x_0)$  the matrix with *i*th diagonal element  $K_{\lambda}(x_0, x_i)$ . Then,

$$\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_0, ..., \hat{\beta}_p) = \arg\min_{\beta = (\beta_0, ..., \beta_p)} (\mathbf{B}\beta - \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{W}(x_0) (\mathbf{B}\beta - \mathbf{y})$$

It is then not difficult to reduce the problem to ordinary least squares, which yields

$$\beta = (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}(x_0)\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{W}(x_0)\mathbf{y}.$$

Consequently,

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = b(x_0)^T \left(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}(x_0)\mathbf{B}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{W}(x_0)\mathbf{y}.$$

## References

[HTF09] Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome Friedman. The elements of statistical learning. 2(1), 2009.