

# Homework 6

Hsin-Wei, Chen

B12902132 CSIE

Introduction to Analysis II

June 27, 2024

**Problem 1.** Let  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\} \in [a, b]$ ,  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$  and continuous on  $[a, b] \setminus \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ . Show that  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

*Proof.*

Since  $[a, b]$  is compact, there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$ , such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$$

, if  $|x - y| < \delta_1$ , for  $x, y \in [a, b] \setminus W$ .

Let  $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  and  $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose partition  $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $\|P\| < \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{4kM}, \delta_1\}$  and  $W \cap P = \emptyset$ .

Define  $U(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i$  and  $L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i$ , where  $M_i = \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$ ,  $m_i = \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$  and  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f) - L(P, f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i = \sum_{[x_{i-1}, x_i] \cap W = \emptyset} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i + \sum_{[x_{i-1}, x_i] \cap W \neq \emptyset} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i \\ &\leq \sum_{[x_{i-1}, x_i] \cap W = \emptyset} \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} \cdot \Delta x_i + \sum_{[x_{i-1}, x_i] \cap W \neq \emptyset} 2M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{4kM} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} \cdot (b-a) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem 2.**

- (a) Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable with  $m \leq f(x) \leq M$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , and  $\phi : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Show that  $\phi \circ f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .
- (b) Show that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  implies  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .
- (c) Given an example that  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  but  $f$  is not Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* (a) Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $[m, M]$  is compact, there exists  $\delta < \epsilon$  such that

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \epsilon$$

, if  $|x - y| < \delta$  and  $x, y \in [m, M]$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , there exists partition  $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n\}$  such that

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i < \delta^2$$

where  $M_i = \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$ ,  $m_i = \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)$  and  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$  and let  $M_i^* = \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} \phi(f(x))$ ,  $m_i^* = \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} \phi(f(x))$ . Divide the number  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  into two class  $A = \{i \mid M_i - m_i < \delta\}$  and  $B = \{i \mid M_i - m_i \geq \delta\}$ .

For  $i \in A$ ,  $\sum_{i \in A} (M_i^* - m_i^*) \Delta x_i < \epsilon \cdot (b - a)$ .

For  $i \in B$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in B} \delta \Delta x_i \leq \sum_{i \in B} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i < \delta^2$$

. so that  $\sum_{i \in B} \Delta x_i < \delta$ . Let  $M^* = \sup_{x \in [m, M]} \phi(x)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, \phi \circ f) - L(P, \phi \circ f) &= \sum_{i \in A} (M_i^* - m_i^*) \Delta x_i + \sum_{i \in B} (M_i^* - m_i^*) \Delta x_i \leq \epsilon \cdot (b - a) + 2M^* \cdot \delta \\ &< \epsilon \cdot (b - a + 2M^*) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\phi \circ f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

(b) Since  $\phi(x) = |x|$  is a continuous function and  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , by (a),  $|f| \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ .

(c) Consider the Dirichlet function  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ ,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1, & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then  $U(P, f) = (b - a)$  and  $L(P, f) = (a - b)$ , for any partition  $P$  on  $[a, b]$ , where as  $U(P, |f|) = L(P, |f|) = (b - a)$ , for all partition  $P$  on  $[a, b]$ . And Therefore,  $|f|$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  but  $f$  is not Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

□

### Problem 3.

Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable and suppose for every  $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ , there exist  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(c) = 0$ . Prove that  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$ .

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $g = |f|$ , Since  $f \in \mathcal{R}[0, 1]$ , then  $g \in \mathcal{R}[0, 1]$ , i.e. there exist partition  $P$  such that

$$U(P, g) - L(P, g) < \epsilon$$

. Because zero point of  $f$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , therefore zero point of  $g$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ . Since the zero point of  $g$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , then  $L(P, g) = 0$  for any partition  $P$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right| \leq \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx \leq U(P, g) < \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$ .

□

**Problem 4.** (Density Argument) Show that for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$  the identity

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \int_0^1 f(x) x^n dx = f(1)$$

holds.

*Proof.* (Method 1) Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$|f(x) - f(1)| < \epsilon/2$$

, if  $|x - 1| < \delta$ , where  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)(1-\delta)^{n+1} = 0$$

, then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > N$ ,

$$(n+1)(1-\delta)^{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon}{4M}$$

, where  $M = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (n+1) \int_0^1 f(x) x^n dx - f(1) \right| \\ & \leq \left| (n+1) \int_0^1 [f(x) - f(1)] x^n dx \right| \\ & \leq (n+1) \int_0^{1-\delta} |f(x) - f(1)| (1-\delta)^n dx + (n+1) \int_{1-\delta}^1 \frac{\epsilon}{2} x^n dx \\ & \leq 2M(n+1)(1-\delta)^{n+1} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} [1 - (1-\delta)^{n+1}] \\ & < 2M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{4M} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

if  $n > N$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \int_0^1 f(x) x^n dx = f(1)$$

for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ .

(Method 2) Let  $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \int_0^1 p(x) x^n dx \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^{k+n} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^m \int_0^1 a_k x^{k+n} dx \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \frac{1}{n+k+1} dx = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k = p(1) \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , by Weierstrass approximation theorem, there exist  $p(x)$  polynomial such that  $|f(x) - p(x)| < \epsilon/3$ , for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ . And by above limit, we see that

$$\left| (n+1) \int_0^1 p(x) x^n dx - p(1) \right| < \epsilon/3$$

, if  $n > M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (n+1) \int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx - f(1) \right| \\
& \leq \left| (n+1) \int_0^1 [f(x) - p(x)]x^n dx \right| + \left| (n+1) \int_0^1 p(x)x^n dx - p(1) \right| + |p(1) - f(1)| \\
& < (n+1) \int_0^1 \frac{\epsilon}{3} \cdot x^n dx + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

if  $n > M$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \int_0^1 f(x)x^n dx = f(1)$$

for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . □