			Imp	ortance of	compe	tency ques	tion				Average	Median		stdDev	<3	>=3	both	p-value	p-value <3	p-value >=3
CQ1	1	5	2	10	3	10	4	7	5	10	3.166666667		3	1.359997609	15	27	42	0.08842954699	0.978220739	0.04421477349
CQ2	1	11	2	13	3	11	4	6	5	1	2.357142857		2	1.10036421	24	18	42	0.4407990673	0.2203995337	0.8600218807
CQ3	1	1	2	2 4	3	10	4	21	5	6	3.642857143		4 0	0.9323829573	5	37	42	0.0000004433704817	0.999999717	0.00000022168524
CQ4	1	6	2	2 8	3	8	4	18	5	2	3.047619048		3	1.188407029	14	28	42	0.04355852192	0.9902397636	0.02177926096
CQ5	1	0	2	2 0	3	3	4	12	5	27	4.571428571		5 0	0.6302480016	0	42	42	0	1	0
CQ6	1	2	2	2 4	3	10	4	20	5	6	3.571428571		4	1.01555841	6	36	42	0.000002828877768	0.9999997783	0.00000141443888
CQ7	1	6	2	12	3	10	4	13	5	1	2.785714286		3	1.116084495	18	24	42	0.4407990673	0.8600218807	0.2203995337
Usefulness of interface for answer competency questions								Average	Median		stdDev	<3	>=3	both	p-value	p-value <3	p-value >=3			
CQ1	1	3	2	2 6	3	9	4	16	5	8	3.476190476		4	1.173655853	9	33	42	0.0002715392343	0.9999656144	0.0001357696171
CQ2	1	1	2	2 4	3	8	4	17	5	12	3.833333333		4	1.033975672	5	37	42	0.0000004433704817	0.999999717	0.00000022168524
CQ3	1	1	2	2 8	3	12	4	15	5	6	3.404761905	3	3.5	1.037340027	9	33	42	0.0002715392343	0.9999656144	0.0001357696171
CQ4	1	2	2	12	3	8	4	13	5	7	3.261904762		3	1.190603942	14	28	42	0.04355852192	0.9902397636	0.02177926096
CQ5	1	5	2	2 4	3	15	4	13	5	5	3.214285714		3	1.158967461	9	33	42	0.0002715392343	0.9999656144	0.0001357696171
CQ6	1	2	2	2 6	3	12	4	16	5	6	3.428571429		4	1.062506405	8	34	42	0.00006877111491	0.9999924514	0.00003438555746
CQ7	1	2	2	2 3	3	12	4	17	5	8	3.619047619		4	1.034817787	5	37	42	0.0000004433704817	0.9999999717	0.00000022168524
Importance of competency questions										Usef	fulness of the	e inte	rface	for ar	nswering competend	cy questions				
CQ1	3		6		9				16		8	CQ1	5	5	1	0		10	7	10
CQ2	1	4		8				17			12	CQ2		11				13	11	6 1
CQ3	1	8 12 15				5	6	CQ3 1	4	4	1	0			21	6				

CQ4

CQ6

CQ7

0%

CQ5 008

6

25%

CQ4

CQ5

CQ6

CQ7

0%

12

8

12

50%

12

15

13

16

100%

75%

12

25%

10

12

8

50%

10

75%

8

27

6

100%

13 1

18 2

	nce of Competenc Importance	of Competent Importance	f Competent Importano	e of Competent Importa	nce of Competent Impo	rtance of Competenc Importance	e of Competenc Please rai	e below how us Plea	ase rate below how us PI	ease rate below how us	Please rate below how	v us Please rate beli	ow how us Please rate be	low how us Please rate below	how us The journal(s) for which	yilf you have any comments,	you can let us know he	re (optional).			
5/8/2020 10:09:42	3	1	4	4	4	3	1	1	3	2		2	2	2	3						
5/8/2020 11:30:23	2	2	3	4	5	3	2	4	5	4		4	3	3	5 Data Science, IJSWS						
5/8/2020 12:14:05	3	1	2	1	4	4	1	2	4	2		2	1	3	4 Data Science	On the "reviewer-oriented"	view, each "row" of data	needs to be labeled. I ke	now there is a legend, but	it is very awkward to refer to	it. Also, would the reviewers have to answe
5/8/2020 14:02:25	3	3	3	4	4	2	5	3	5	4		2	3	2	3 Journal of Data Science						
5/9/2020 11:48:45	2	4	3	5	5	4	4	3	4	3		3	4	4							tter to either allow the editor to dynamically:
5/9/2020 15:14:21	2	4	5	2	5	2	4	5	5	5		5	3	5	1 PeerJ Computer Scien						es – different shapes, sizes, etc. – so as to c
5/10/2020 21:04:07		2		4		2	2		2	2			4		4 Data Science	The first time this question It would be more persuasiv Best, Christine	was asked "What is the e if the email included the	number of positive com- ie name of your professo	ments and the number of r.	negative comments per seci	on of the article?" the responses provided o
5/15/2020 17:30:46	2	2	- 7	- 7			2	3	4	2		2	2	4	4 Data Science						
5/29/2020 10:46:11	4	2	7	,		2	4					5	i			I when can I start using the t	noll				
5/30/2020 13:57:34	- 7	2	2	2		4	2	4	4	2		2		4	5 Data science journal	in territori carri asant darrig trici	ou.				
5/30/2020 17:43:14	5	4	3	5	5	5	4	3	4	4		5	3	4	4 Data Science Journal						
313012020 11.43.14								3				5			4 Data Octobe Journal						
- 1	0	2	0	- 1			2	- 1	0			0	- 1	0	1						
2	4	3	1	2	0	3	3	1	0	2		4	2	2	0						
3	3	3	5	1	0	3	1	5	2	4		2	4	2	2						
4	2	3	4	5	3	4	4	2	5	3		2	3	5	5						
-				-				-					- 1								

/13/2020 9:40:11	5	5	4	4	5	4	3	5	5	4	5	4	3	5 Computational Intelligence
13/2020 10:51:18	1	1	4	1	5	4	1	4	4	4	4	5	5	4 Peed, JOT Frames with long scrollable content are not very user friendly. A system that is read-only is of limited usefulness.
13/2020 11:22:26	3	2	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2 Pear J in Computer Scien I think attempts to create such teacnomies induce a tyranny of numbers and a reduction in nuanced evaluation.
13/2020 12:07:12	4	3	4	2	4	1	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3 Pearl, Science of Computer Programming
13/2020 14:40:18	1	1	2	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4 Poet
13/2020 14:45:37	2	1	3	1	4	1	1	5	5	5	5	5	4	5 many
13/2020 16:24:38	2	2	3	4	5	3	4	5	4	3	3	3	4	3 JAAMAS, Adv. Complex \$1 like the idea but I am extremely concerned that it will turn the process into a quantitative process only, whereas I still believe in qualitative arealysis. However, perhaps it would be very useful to filter out for conferences (or even journals) that require a lot of submissions. In this
13/2020 16:33:16	5	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	3 3 PEEJ No
13/2020 16:38:50	5	3	4	3	4	3	2	2	5	4	5	3	4	5 Peed
13/2020 16:42:42	4	1	2	3	3	5	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2 Frontiers, PlosiCine, Peer I find the presentations of these interfaces disturbing because of the two many colours. I prefer to read and think quietly at referees' suggestions.
13/2020 16:54:48	2	2	4	4	5	4	3	4	3	4	3	5	4	3 SoSMA PeerJ
13/2020 17:36:42	3	3	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3 Scientific report, Peer J
13/2020 18:25:59	5	3	5	2	5	4	2	4	4	3	2	3	4	4 Peaul, STTT, System Sci. The interface is to my opinion too much focused on quantitative information (how many comments are given per section?, which sections are covered by the review, etc.). The prime aspect however is the scientific contribution of the paper, and what the reviewers' opinion is at
13/2020 23:49:57	1	1	1	1	5	5	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	4
13/2020 23:56:53	1	1	3	1	4	3	2	4	2	3	4	3	2	4 If I answer this you can identify me.
/14/2020 3:03:31	3	1	5	3	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	1 J. Cryptology, SIAM J. Computing, Theory of Computing Systems, Pear J. ACM Trans. on Economics and Computation, J. Algorithms
/14/2020 9:35:00	1	1	4	2	4	4	1	4	3	4	1	3	4	3 parej your approach seams to be very much based on counting, but the containts of the feedback is much more important
14/2020 15:25:34	4	3	4	2	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	4	4	4 Poet
14/2020 20:40:48	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	3	2	2	3	3	3   was offeet by the number of colors and elements in the interface.
15/2020 15:15:10	2	2	4	4	3	3	2	4	5	4	3	3	3	4 The Computer Journal, ACM TOMACS, JLAMP, TCS, LMCS, RSPA
/17/2020 2:55:38	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5 Multiple, including LIDL. The link to the paper led to a bad gateway message, so I did not look at that.
22/2020 23:23:03	3	2	3	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3 Peeul Corrector Science
29/2020 15:07:42	3	2	3	4	5	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4 Journal of Automates Rea My job as editor includes a detailed reading of the reviews. The system gives a good first picture, but I couldn't use it to replace reading the reviews.
29/2020 16:17:34	5	2	4	3	4	4	2	2	4	2	1	3	3	2 Pear J. Have been an ed. The idea for this is good, but the color code is weful, it was too busy, I couldn't make anything out. You need a better presentation. Too many colors missed, I couldn't make repetil look at it.
30/2020 16:31:02	5	4	4	3	4		4	3	4	4	4	4		3 Pehaps about 7 journals t I have just one paper published on innovation in publishing. I may do more research on that, from a few thousand papers, many rejected but certainly a considerable number accepted.
1	5	*	1	4	0	2	3	1		1	2	2	2	
	4				0				- 1			- 1	- 1	
	- 1		- 1	,				3	- 1		· ·	10	- 4	
								-		-		10		

5/10/2020 6:40:22		2	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	- 1	5				ick on some comments to	confirm, I can't tell how they are classified i	terms of impact.	
5/13/2020 17:29:47	2	1	2	1	5	2	1	4	4	4	4	3	3	4 Semantics Web	Feel free to get in touch.					
5/16/2020 12:31:42	5	2	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	3	2	1	2	3 SWJ, JWS, JoDS	The problem is that the p Honestly, I did not found	proposed interface is not very the interface helpful. It would	synthetic, so it does not he be more if it helped comp	elp answering directly these competency quaringeventually automatically reviewers	estions. Moreover, the CQ them opinion on each topic. That cou	nselves are not hierarchi uld be overall or per para
															I was confused by the ta I also did not understand The presentation should Also, the presentation st	gs that were assigned to the d sinitially why there were differ give a clear impression at the rould give more weight to mon	efferent portions of the reviewer. effect sight to suggest if this important comments, e.	It of Information shown, and the lack of total views. I did not understand who assigns the .1 assume that they represent the different: is an article with more positive vs negative g, refactor the whole structure, unsound tec en allow the editor to drill down to less critics on allow the editor to drill down to less critics and the structure.	m, is it the reviewers or some au ections, but that is not clearly pr recommendations. The current hnical description, missing relate	utomatic process? If the resented in the interface it presentation does not ed works, etc.
5/20/2020 16:43:35	2	2	4	4	5	4	4	1	4	2	2	2	2	4 SWJ, JWS	Maybe it would also be g Conclusion: I think the ta	great to generate comments in igs are useful, just the present I Feel free to contact me if you	n the paper pdf based on the tallion needs to be improve	he tags, and provide the pdf to the editors, ed.		the most important aspe
5/29/2020 11:10:56	5	3	5	4	5	3	2	5	5	5	4	4	3	4 Semantic Web Journal.	A how long does it take a	reviewer to upload all the meta	adata required by the syste	em (compare to submit a plain text review)	it is sometime difficult to find or	ood reviewers and an ow
															I think the system indeed	d might be useful if used respo	onsibly, but I worry it might	t lead to a "by-the-numbers" form of proces	ing papers. As seen for the exa	ample given, some revier
5/29/2020 20:54:40	3	3	4	4	5	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	5 SWJ, JWS	Beyond categorising and	counting comments, one thin	ng I think would be very us	seful would be to identify and group comme	its made by multiple reviewers.	In general, the comment
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0						
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0						
1 2 2	0	1 3	0	1 0	0	0 1	1 1	1 0	0	0	0 2	2	0 2	0						
1 2 3	0 2 2	1 3 2	0 1 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	1 1 1	1 0 0	0 0 1	0 1 2	0 2 0	2 1 1	0 2 2	0 0 1						