

## Mathematical Logic – Proofs by Resolution (recap)

$$\begin{aligned} P \rightarrow Q &\equiv \neg P \vee Q \\ \neg(P \rightarrow Q) &\equiv P \wedge \neg Q \end{aligned}$$

- $P \wedge Q \rightarrow R$  processed as a whole
- or process separately  $P$ ,  $Q$  and  $\neg R$
- why?

The method:

- negate the statement (why?)
- (1)    - convert to prenex form
  - move quantifiers as prefix
  - convert to skolem form
    - remove quantifiers and replace with functions
  - convert to clausal form = conj NF =  $(\dots \vee \dots) \wedge (\dots \vee \dots) \dots$
- (2)    - unifications and substitutions
- (3)    - resolve by (predicates) resolution
- (4)    - resolution for propositions (explanation)
  - examples
- (5)    - ex 37, example of predicates resolution
  - Prolog computation
  - example, the English succession
  - Prolog execution of above

fact	$B.$	$\{B\}$
definite clause	$B \leftarrow A_1, \dots, A_n.$	$\{\neg A_1, \dots, \neg A_n, B\}$
goal	$\leftarrow A_1, \dots, A_n.$	$\{\neg A_1, \dots, \neg A_n\}$