



## Optimization Sprint Report

### CodeX

Name	University	NIC
Yuwani Ranaweera	IIT	200385810513
Harintha Jayashivani	IIT	200670604688
Yehansa Uggallage	IIT	200683704400
Larissa Villavarayen	IIT	200751703372

## Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1. Data Exploration and Process Flow.....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1. Dataset Overview.....	3
1.2. Process Flow Followed.....	4
<b>2. Feature Engineering.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Features Selected as Non-Medical Factors.....	5
2.2. Feature Reduction.....	6
2.3. Feature Creation.....	6
2.4. Finalized Features After Engineering & Preprocessing.....	6
<b>3. Data Preprocessing.....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1. Steps Followed & Justifications.....	7
<b>4. Model Building.....</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1. Models Trained & Justifications.....	8
4.1.1. Logistic Regression.....	8
4.1.2. Random Forest.....	8
4.1.3. XGBoost (Final Model).....	8
<b>5. Model Evaluation.....</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1. Evaluation Metrics Used & Justifications.....	9
5.2. Model Comparison.....	9
<b>6. Explainability &amp; Model Interpretability.....</b>	<b>10</b>
6.1. Explainability Techniques Used.....	10
6.2. Insights Gained.....	10
<b>7. GitHub Repo Link.....</b>	<b>10</b>

# **1. Data Exploration and Process Flow**

## **1.1. Dataset Overview**

The dataset contains a mixture of demographic, lifestyle, behavioural, and functional indicators relevant to dementia prediction.

Medical variables were excluded to focus only on non-medical dementia risk factors such as:

- Age
- Education
- Household income
- Nutrition habits
- Smoking history
- Alcohol use
- Physical activity
- Social engagement
- Daily functioning indicators
- Stress levels
- Sleep patterns
- Memory complaints
- Cognitive behaviour patterns

## **1.2. Process Flow Followed**

### **1. Initial data loading & structure inspection**

- Checked column types, missing values, distributions.

### **2. Dropped direct medical diagnosis indicators**

- To ensure the model predicts dementia without medical tests.

### **3. Exploratory data analysis**

- Histograms, distributions
- Correlation matrix
- Class imbalance identification

### **4. Feature Engineering**

- Feature selection
- Feature creation
- Dimensionality reduction

### **5. Data Preprocessing**

- Encoding, scaling, missing value handling
- Outlier treatment
- Balancing techniques

### **6. Train–test split**

### **7. Model Building**

- Tried multiple baseline and advanced models.

### **8. Hyperparameter tuning**

### **9. Evaluation**

### **10. Explainability using SHAP**

### **11. Generate final predictions and probabilities**

## 2. Feature Engineering

### 2.1. Features Selected as Non-Medical Factors

From the full dataset, you selected only non-medical predictors, such as:

- Age
- Gender
- Marital status
- Educational level
- Employment
- Income
- Smoking amount/duration
- Alcohol use frequency
- Diet/nutrition patterns
- Sleep duration
- Daily activity level
- Household support
- Financial difficulty
- Stress/anxiety indicators
- Self-reported memory issues
- Social participation

*Justification:* These variables are shown in literature to affect dementia risk even without clinical inputs.

## 2.2. Feature Reduction

- Removed features with very low variance (no predictive value).
- Removed highly correlated pairs (correlation  $> 0.9$ ) to avoid multicollinearity.
- Used feature importance from XGBoost to drop weak predictors.
- Optional PCA was considered but not used because explainability was prioritized.

*Justification:* Reduces noise, improves performance, and speeds up training.

## 2.3. Feature Creation

You engineered meaningful new features such as:

- **Lifestyle Risk Score** (combined smoking + alcohol + activity)
- **Socioeconomic Score** (income + education + employment)
- **Cognitive Behaviour Score** (memory issues + confusion indicators)

*Justification:* Combining related variables boosts predictive power and reduces redundancy.

## 2.4. Finalized Features After Engineering & Preprocessing

The final feature set included:

- Age
- Education
- Income
- Sleep hours
- Physical activity frequency
- Smoking amount
- Alcohol frequency

- Social engagement score
- Stress score
- Cognitive behaviour score
- Lifestyle risk score
- Encoded categorical variables (gender, marital status)
- Scaled continuous variables (age, income, sleep)

*Justification:* All remaining features showed relevant variance and predictive contribution.

### 3. Data Preprocessing

#### 3.1. Steps Followed & Justifications

Preprocessing Step	Description	Justification
Missing value handling	Median for numerical, mode for categorical	Prevent data loss, maintain consistency
Encoding	Label encoding & one-hot encoding	ML models require numeric inputs
Scaling	Standardization for age, income, sleep, activity	Helps gradient-based models (Logistic Regression, XGBoost)
Outlier clipping	Winsorization for extreme values	Reduces noise
Class balancing	Used scale_pos_weight in XGBoost	Handles imbalance without oversampling
Train-test split	Used 70/30 split	Ensures strong evaluation on unseen data

## 4. Model Building

### 4.1. Models Trained & Justifications

#### 4.1.1. Logistic Regression

- Baseline model
- Interpretable and simple

*Justification:* Helps compare against more advanced models.

#### 4.1.2. Random Forest

- Handles nonlinear interactions
- Robust and less prone to overfitting

*Justification:* Good benchmark for tabular data.

#### 4.1.3. XGBoost (Final Model)

- Captures complex relationships
- Works well on imbalanced datasets
- Provides strong recall and F1 performance

*Justification:* Provided best results across metrics.



## 5. Model Evaluation

### 5.1. Evaluation Metrics Used & Justifications

Metric	Why Used
<b>F1 Score</b>	Best for imbalanced classification; balances precision & recall
<b>Recall</b>	Critical in healthcare to avoid missing high-risk individuals
<b>Precision</b>	Ensures false positives are controlled
<b>Accuracy</b>	General performance overview
<b>ROC AUC</b>	Measures how well the model separates the classes
<b>Confusion Matrix</b>	Shows misclassification patterns clearly

### 5.2. Model Comparison

Model	F1	Recall	Precision	ROC AUC	Remarks
Logistic Regression	Low	Low	Medium	Low-Medium	Baseline
Random Forest	Moderate	Medium	Medium	~0.72	Good but not best
XGBoost (Final)	0.63	0.77	0.54	0.77	Best performing model

## 6. Explainability & Model Interpretability

### 6.1. Explainability Techniques Used

- XGBoost Feature Importance
- SHAP values
- Partial Dependence

### 6.2. Insights Gained

Common key predictors observed:

- Age - strongest non-medical risk factor
- Lower education - higher risk
- Poor sleep - associated with higher dementia risk
- High stress - strong positive correlation
- Memory complaints - high SHAP contribution
- Social isolation - increased risk
- Smoking & alcohol - moderate impact

*Value:* Helps justify model decisions and maintain transparency.

## 7. GitHub Repo Link

[https://github.com/LarissaRayen/ModelX\\_CodeX](https://github.com/LarissaRayen/ModelX_CodeX)