

Identifying Relevant Genes Related Atopic Dermatitis to using Transcriptomic

Creel, Kristin
ISPED
University of Bordeaux
kristin.creel@etu.u-bordeaux.fr

Dong, Larry
Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics
and Occupational Health
McGill University
larry.dong@mail.mcgill.ca

Nama Ravi, Sneha Keerthi
ISPED
University of Bordeaux
sneha-keerthi.nama-ravi@etu.u-bordeaux.fr

I. INTRODUCTION

Atopic dermatitis (AD) or atopic eczema is an itchy, inflammatory skin condition characterised by poorly defined erythema with edema vesicles, and weeping in the acute stage and lichenification in the chronic stage. The global prevalence is 15-20 % in children and 1-3% in adults, posing a significant burden on health-care resources and patients' quality of life [3].

The etiological factors associated with the initiation and progress of the disease are known to be genetic, environmental and immunological that affects the epithelial barrier-immunity interplay [4].

Clinical investigations and discoveries in molecular medicine have positively identified 46 genes linked to AD. Mutations in filaggrin (FLG) genes (influencing intermediate filament protein filaggrin expression) are most common in the AD diseased population, it affects 10-50% of AD patients worldwide.

Few additional barrier genes encoded by the epidermal differentiation complex (EDC) locus chromosome 1q21, including claudins, loricrin (LOR), involucrin (IVL), SPINK5, AND tmem79/matt, are also associated with AD.

The genes of innate immune system like NOD1, NOD2, TLR2, CD14, and DEFB1, that encode the integral factors in cutaneous immunologic response to non-specific antigens may also experience mutations and cause AD [2].

Studies have identified FLG gene mutation to be the most significant risk factor for AD, followed by the genes in the type 2 T helper lymphocyte (Th2) signalling pathways. Additionally, gene profiling assays demonstrated AD is associated with decreased gene expression of epidermal differentiation complex genes and elevated Th2 and Th17 genes. Hypomethylation of TSLP and FCER1G in AD were also reported; and miR-155, which targets the im-

mune suppressor CTLA-4, was found to be significantly over-expressed in infiltrating T cells in AD skin lesions [1], [2].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Data Source

Data came from MAARS

1) Data Cleaning:

B. Exploratory Data Analysis

C. Statistical Analysis

The overarching goal of the statistical analysis was the determine the relevant genes from a transcriptomic dataset that are predictive of AD. The analysis plan was performed two-fold; the identification of genes highly associated with AD was first performed followed by assess the predictability of lesional status using a prediction model.

are firstly identified using a multiple testing framework with many parallel linear models. Secondly, sparse partial least squares (SPLS) was performed in determining genes that can best explain the variability in the outcome variable, a sample being lesional or not.

The statistical analysis plan was performed two-fold.

1) *Differential Gene Expression Analysis*: Let $\mathcal{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_1 \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}$ Let \mathcal{X} be a $(n \times 2)$ model matrix where the only covariate $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$ represents the lesional stats of sample i .

2) Prediction Model:

III. RESULTS

IV. DISCUSSION

V. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Lianghua Bin and Donald YM Leung. Genetic and epigenetic studies of atopic dermatitis. *Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology*, 12(1):52, 2016.
- [2] Emma Guttman-Yassky, Andrea Waldman, Jusleen Ahluwalia, Peck Y Ong, and Lawrence F Eichenfield. Atopic dermatitis: pathogenesis. *Semin Cutan Med Surg*, 36(3):100–103, 2017.

- [3] Sophie Nutten. Atopic dermatitis: global epidemiology and risk factors. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 66(Suppl. 1):8–16, 2015.
- [4] W Peng and N Novak. Pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis. *Clinical & Experimental Allergy*, 45(3):566–574, 2015.