# Exile Part 5

# The Ethic of an Exile

Podcast Date: Feb 26, 2018

(40:57)

## Speakers in the audio file:

Jon Collins

Tim Mackie

Man

Jon:

Hey, this is Jon at The Bible Project. We're in the last two hours of our conversation on the theme of exile in the Bible. We spent a majority of this conversation so far showing how the Israelites became exiles when they were forced by the Babylonians to leave their land. They were transplanted and had to live in Babylon.

In Babylon, as exiles, they began to see the story of all humanity as that of exiles banished from the good world that God designed for us. When the Israelites get to go back home, they find that home is not what it should be yet. Not what God had promised them.

So they continue to keep the identity of an exile even though they're living in their own land. They've turned the idea of an exile into an existential identity that you can embrace no matter where you live. This is my home, but there are powers that keep it from being truly home. We've talked about what it means to live a life as an exile, and we found that the biblical mandate is surprising.

Tim:

We're going to be loyal to Babylon, seek it's shalom, pray for it, contribute to its well-being, but there are moments where identity as God's covenant people comes into conflict with loyalty to Babylon.

Jon:

This type of loyal subversion is tricky and it takes a lot of wisdom. This week we get to Jesus.

Tim:

Jesus advocates the same kind of loyal subversion that you find in Jeremiah and Daniel, first of all, dropping any violent aggression and extravagant generosity and love and seeking the well-being of the people you like and people you don't like.

Jon:

At the time of Jesus, the Jewish people are not under the occupation of Babylon anymore. Rather, they're under the oppression and occupation of the Roman Empire. Now, the Roman Empire has Caesar who sees himself as God, and your loyalty living in the Roman Empire has to be to Caesar alone.

Think about this. People are talking about this guy, Jesus like he is the true king, not Caesar. Now, surprisingly, Rome doesn't see Jesus as a threat because Jesus isn't acting like any king they're familiar with. He has no army, no assassins, no palace. But Jesus did see himself as a king bringing in a new kind of Kingdom, a new kind of home, one with a whole new set of values.

Tim:

And he becomes the Daniel wisdom warrior.

Jon:

This is what we're going to look at today. How do we live in two kingdoms at one time? What is the ethic of an exile? Thanks for joining us. Here we go.

[00:02:52]

Tim:

Think of what Jesus is doing as he goes around Galilee, up in his own home region first for a couple of years announcing that God's Kingdom has arrived here. There's a guy named Herod, as a puppet governor over the region under a guy named Caesar Augustus in Rome, and they use the king language to describe themselves. And Jesus is going around saying—

Jon:

"There's another kingdom coming."

Tim:

"The divine kingdom is here." Jesus's movement emerges out of that return from exile movement that the Baptists started down by the river - John the Baptist. Jesus's movement is a part of John's, "let's return from exile back into the land" movement.

Jesus advocates the same kind of loyal subversion that you find in Jeremiah and Daniel, first of all, dropping any violent aggression towards the Roman occupiers and extravagant generosity and love and seeking the well-being of people you like, and people you don't like.

But then there's that famous story where he goes to Jerusalem acting like a king, and everybody expects him to throw down. Remember that story where he's tested and they bring him the coin.

Jon:

Who should we pay taxes to?

Tim:

Yeah. and they say, "Is it right to pay the imperial tax to Caesar or not?" They're trying to trap him? It actually says in the story, it says, "Jesus knew their evil intent." The way they asked the question sets him up for failure because either, he's going to be a compromiser, give loyalty of Caesar, or he's going or he's going to be seen as a rebel.

Jon:

Don't give loyalty.

Tim:

Don't give loyalty. What brilliance. Great story. Jesus says, "Show me the coin." They brought him the coin. Then his question is, "Whose image?" He's very intentional. "Whose image is it? And then who's inscription?" Certainly, they didn't see this coming.

So whose image? Well, it's the image of Caesar. Then his response is dense. It's like a riddle from Proverbs. "Give to Caesar what belongs to Caesar, give to God, what belongs to God." Brilliant. Nobody knows what to say. It was like, "Okay. All right."

They're trying to paint him in the categories of either you're going to be fully defined by Roman identity and give and pay the tax or full subversion. His way of

saying is "give to Caesar what is Caesar's." Well, what is Caesar's? What is Caesar's, is whatever reflects the image of Caesar. I mean, he uses this word "image." So give to Caesar what reflects the image of Caesar.

Jon: Was he also saying like, this whole economy, all these coins, it's all because of

Caesar?

Tim: Yes.

Jon: So that's his deal?

Tim: It's his deal. That's right.

Jon: Okay.

Tim: Give to Caesar—

Jon: So if he wants it, give it to him.

Tim: That's right. But then the opposite of that or the complementary of that is "and give

to God what is God's." Which then that's the riddle because then it forces you to say,

"Well, what is God's?

Jon: Yeah. What is God's image on?

Tim: That's right. What is God's image? That's exactly it. What is God's image? I mean,

they're all Jews. They all grew up on the Bible.

Jon: They've read Genesis 1 and 2.

Tim: So give the image of Caesar back to Caesar - this whole system and everything that

coin represents, which is propaganda. Coins were the mass media of the ancient

world and everything those symbols represent.

The inscription that he mentions would have been like Caesar Augustus, son of the divine. That kind of thing. Give the piece of metal and the economic system it represents but give to God, what is God's. Which is what? Your whole being. Your

whole being is an image of God.

So on a scale, which one of those is actually the more valuable thing or the more

radical call? Give your whole life and allegiance, is what you see Daniel doing. He'll

give to Caesar, "I'll dress like a Babylonian, I'll take a Babylonian name."

Jon: "I'll use your coin. I'll give you the tax you want."

Tim: That's right. But the moment that you think I'm going to define my identity and my

ultimate values by the Empire, I can't do that. That's God's because I'm an image of

God.

Jon: So that's the ethic of—

Tim: That's the wisdom warrior. Jesus is carrying on the wisdom warrior ethic. So good.

That's exactly the paradox that you see running right up to the trial of Jesus. He's the

king he's accused of. Then Pilate's is like—

Jon: "Are you a king?" He's like, "Oh, yeah, sure."

Tim: Then Pilates is like, "Where is your assassins? Where's your...?

Jon: "Where's the real threat here?" He's like, "Well, I'm not like coming with swords."

Then Pilate's like, "Oh, this guy's innocent."

Tim: The paradox that Daniel presents to Babylon is what the paradox Jesus presents to the Jerusalem authorities, which he, in not very subtle terms, was trying to say they

were the Babylon at the moment. When the high priest of Jerusalem tells Jesus, "Tell us if you are the Messiah, the Son of God," and when Jesus answers - this is Matthew 26 - "from this moment on - he quotes from the book of Daniel - you'll see the Son

of man sitting at the right hand of the power and coming on the clouds of heaven."

He's quoting an image from the book of Daniel about how God's people are trampled on by Babylon, the beast and yet God will vindicate His people, the

trampled people before the beast.

Jon: Which he calls the Son of Man in Daniel?

Tim: Yeah. Which is from Daniel Chapter 7. The point is that he uses an image from the Old Testament; a persecuted person who embodies the people of Israel before

Babylon, and he puts himself in the place of Israel and he's painting the high priest

of Jerusalem as the beast of Babylon.

Right there Jesus is saying he's in Jerusalem, he's in the holy city, and he's painting this picture where this is exile. This Jerusalem has become Babylon and he becomes the Daniel wisdom warrior who will give up his life bearing witness to the kingdom of God. If that means you want to kill me, go right ahead. That's fine. And that's what

he allows Babylon to do to him.

Once again, when you see Peter talking about Rome and talking to Christians living in Asia Minor advocating the same type of ethic as Daniel and Jesus, this way of

living it seems like it's the ways that Christians are supposed to see themselves in

relationship to the cultures around them, which is always going to be this give and take. It's the exilic peace ethic.

[00:10:49]

Tim:

This is really cool set of themes that became so compelling to me number of years ago. People don't talk about this, and people don't expect to hear this from the Bible, I think. I don't know.

Jon:

Well, the Jeremiah verses become very popular. But in context of this entire exilic theme, not so much. There's one little wrinkle in my mind I'm trying to iron right now which is, am I supposed to be thinking about myself in the promised land but an exile in time, or outside the garden?

I've always really thought of myself as outside the garden. There was the garden, it's gone. We don't know where it is, and if we found it, it's guarded by some crazy cherubim. They're going to take me out. So the garden opportunity's over. But one day, this will be transformed, it'll be reformed to the garden.

But it's actually a different kind of feeling or paradigm to think of myself as, "No, we're actually in the land. We're in the garden. This is God's Earth. It's just not made new and complete. And it's ruled by some other forces that I need to both be loyal to and subversive to." This is weird to think about. But not to evil to the systems that are kind of being manipulated by evil. There's a distinction there. Sorry, this is another rabbit trail.

Tim:

No.

Jon:

Because I'm not being loyal to evil, but Babylon, which is become complicit with evil, I want to be loyal to Babylon. Until it's asking me to be loyal to evil itself I guess would be the distinction. So loyal up to the point where now I'm becoming complicit with the evil that empire has become complicit with.

Tim:

That's exactly what 1 Peter advocates. This is in 1 Peter 2. He's saying what you're saying. He says in 1 Perter 2:11, "Beloved ones, I urge you, as exiles and strangers, abstain from fleshly lust, that wars against your soul. Keep your behavior excellent among the Gentiles so that even though they might slander you - it's like, scoundrel. You're not loyal to the empire and your weirdos - but because of your good deeds - because you seek the shalom of our city, all they can do is observe that." And he says, "Then they'll glorify God in the day of your visitation." The day you're vindicated, they'll be like, "Oh, we were totally wrong about these people."

Then he says this, "Submit yourself for the Lord's sake to every human institution of authority: whether it's a king, or others in authority, Governor's, sent for the punishment of evildoers or the praise of those who do right. This is God's will, that by doing right, you can silence the ignorance of foolish people. Act like you are freed people." It's very important. "Act like you're freed. Act like you actually aren't a member of the Roman Empire. But don't use your freedom as a covering for evil. Use it as though you were God's slave or God's servant."

The image is actually; you are subservient to God. Therefore, you'll follow the speed limit, and you'll pay your taxes, and you'll [unintelligible 00:14:37]. But even the motive for my submission isn't because I think that my identity is defined by this nation-state.

Jon: What was that movie that just came out that was up for Oscar for the guy who

joined the military? He wouldn't carry a gun.

Tim: He became a medic.

Jon: Yeah, he became a medic and he wouldn't carry a gun.

Tim: I feel like you told me about the story in a previous conversation that will be in a

podcast also.

Jon: Oh, did I?

Tim: Andrew Garfield, "Hacksaw Ridge" That's just such a gnarly name.

Tim: That's right.

Jon: He has this ethic of nonviolence because of his faith and also because of some violence and his dad was abusive. But anyways, he has this ethic of nonviolence.

They definitely show his faith, but he won't carry a gun.

I guess I was just thinking like, "Okay, I can pay my taxes, and I can drive the speed limit, but what if I get drafted into a war and I got to go kill people?" He's such a

cool like Daniel story in that way, right?

Tim: Sure, sure.

Jon: Because he's like, "Okay, I'll go." And they're like, "Well, you have to carry a gun."
"Well, I want to be a medic." "Well, no, you still have to carry a gun." "No, I'm not
going to shoot anyone." They're like, "We don't care. If you're not armed, you're just

a liability." "He's like, "Look, I'm not going to carry a gun." And it became this big

standoff and everyone started to hate him because he was like, "Are you really for us if you won't carry a gun. Spoiler alert."

He, during the battle, ends up saving dozens and dozens of lives risking his own. Then everyone's like, "Whoa, you are for us." And they're glorifying God because of the good works that he did. It's such a cool story. It's also a very gory, bloody, war movie. We did talk about this because it's like the first 10 minutes of saving [unintelligible 00:16:41] for like two hours. It's gnarly.

Tim: Oh, I couldn't take it.

Jon: Not for two hours. It's for like 40 minutes. It's like 40 minutes of intense battle.

Tim: I can't do it. Movies like that just melt me.

Jon: There's something about it I guess you kind of acclimate to limbs flying off people

eventually.

Tim: Oh, I'm just going to move on.

Jon: You're not going to dwell on that?

Tim: No, I'm not.

Jon: But you just spent 20 minutes talking about the valley of dry bones but you won't

talk about a battle scene?

Tim: It's a dream. A weird dream.

Jon: Zombie dream.

Tim: There you go. When you ask what it looks like, that's actually a provocative example

because there are many followers of Jesus, maybe even—

Jon: Who will fight for the country and tell people.

Tim: Totally. There's that. It's very complicated and I'm not trying to throw a blanket

statement over all of this. I'm just saying, a huge theme of the Bible that happens to have been almost totally overlooked in western Christianity is this exile ethic of living

in Babylon with a mix of subversion and loyalty.

I have to wonder if why this is invisible to modern Western readers of the Bible is because form of the nation states that many modern Western people inhabit has a layer of civil religion over it that it has been Christianized. And so we don't see it as

Babylon. But there I would just go back to the story of Jesus and be like, Jesus was in Jerusalem throwing around language implying that the high priest has become Nebuchadnezzar. It's very bold on Jesus's part.

Jesus apparently wants his followers to have this eagle eye for knowing when the kingdoms of this world have overstepped their boundaries and—

Jon: There was a phrase that one guy, Smith Christopher, something about doubt. Being like you're—

Tim: Here it is. "The nonviolent peace ethic is a practice of radical doubt towards the selfproclaimed power and religion of the empire.

Jon: Which is not what I'm used to of people with this radical sense of doubt towards their own. But that doesn't mean you're being—

Tim: Un-French, or un-American, or un-whatever.

Jon: Or constantly trying to undermine your country. Because that's not the story of Daniel and that's the story of Jesus.

Tim: That's right. And Daniel wasn't trying to undermine Babylon. Rather, when Babylon over asserted its own grander, its own story, its own authority, that's the point at which.

> I think what I like about that phrase of the radical doubt is, your default mode should be that if you're feeling comfortable, you should worry about that a little bit. That should just set an alarm bell, like, "Why am I feeling comfortable with my own identity as an American or as a Portlander?" That should trigger something of like, you just start doubting that. But not fighting against or trying to undermine.

It's tricky. Sorry, we are talking about America a lot in this episode, but it's because it's where we live. I thought about this a lot when I lived out of the country for a year and studied in Jerusalem. I had traveled the country just once or twice before that, but that was my first time, prolonged time. Even though there are lots of internationals in Jerusalem, more often than any other time in my life, I was minority in the grocery store or white, Scottish, Portlander, or whatever.

We traveled a lot in the West Bank, in Egypt, in Jordan and there were many scenarios where we were in the minority. It was so good for me. This was 2006 so it's the Bush era - pre-Obama. So many conversations people were talking about America and want to know what I think.

Jon:

Tim:

So I became aware of my own identity, the layer of my identity as American. There were some parts that I could begin to see from other people's points of view and some of them were really ugly and some of them were really incredible. So I came back with this strange mix of extreme gratitude and also doubt.

Jon: An extreme suspicion.

Tim: There's plenty of extremes on both of those ends. You now, like, so pro, [unintelligible 00:21:32] country totally, and then so whatever the opposite of that. It seems to me neither of those extremes captures the wisdom warrior ethic.

Jon: Well, the wisdom warrior recognizes the extremes and at one point can be incredibly grateful for the transportation system in their city and how easy it is to get around.

Tim: Yeah, and what makes that possible. The whole infrastructure that makes that even a possibility.

Jon: And praise God for that and feel gratitude for that. Then the next moment, feel anger and suspicion for what your city's doing for the least of these or something. You can take both. Maybe you don't lose the extremes, you Bush-era know how to deal with them.

Tim: Yeah, that's right. For me, it's complicated by even our tax system, where I'm like, "A large amount of the income that I've earned as a Bible teacher pays my government to do all kinds of things; some that gnarly on, and some that I'm so, so disturbed by." And I've given pennies on the dollar, whatever, you know.

And this goes for the law of unintended consequences for whatever: whether it's Adventures of our government representatives at home or abroad that have gone horribly, horribly wrong. And that I helped finance by teaching the Bible. You know what I mean?

Jon: Totally.

Tim: So I think that's it. Like, Daniel, he's there. He's woven into Babylon.

Jon: Sure. As he's helping the Babylonian Empire, he's contributing to whatever next city they're going to take over and the lives that that will destroy.

Tim: Think about that.

Jon: If he was going to take some really hard line, that wouldn't be acceptable.

Tim:

I thought about this as a different kind of example. But I remember when I was teaching through Matthew, we got to that story in Matthew where Judas, the money that he got to betray Jesus, he doesn't want. He gives it back; they won't take it. They won't take it officially, so what they do is buy a field with it that becomes a burial plot.

To me, that became this fascinating example of how then for however many generations, people bought plots on that piece of land and we're implicitly involved in the betrayal of Jesus. To me, it is "Why do Matthew tell us the story?" It's almost as if the system that betrayed Jesus actually didn't end. It continued on with people completely unaware and innocent, but who are still in the quagmire of the system.

And the story of Daniel in Babylon became these stories to me that were so thought-provoking or giving me these categories. We're all in Babylon. It's useless to think that we can live completely outside of it. Even if I go off the grid in Montana, I'm still in Montana.

Jon:

Totally. You could stop paying taxes, even, but by buying groceries, you're contributing to the system.

Tim:

But I'm saying even if you go off the grid in Montana, you're still on a land that is overseen and borders protected by the thing that you—

[crosstalk 00:25:11]

Jon: Totally.

So there's no escaping it. And that's why I think the two extremes don't help us see the nuance that the Bible...Once again—

Jon:

Tim:

So the extreme positions, I was trying to say, the extreme emotion can be there. You can have the extreme emotion and you know what to do with it. You're always taking a nuanced position. Even if the position is "Well, then go ahead and kill me, whatever," I won't cross that line. It's still a nuanced position in that you're saying, "I won't do anything you ask." You're just saying, "There's this one line. This thing, I can't go that far. I'll still take your name and help with your business and your whatever, but...

Tim: All the Babylonian names, that's what your—

Jon: Babylonian names, yes.

Tim: Jim.

Jon: To take care of American name. I'll take that American name,

Tim: Jon Smith.

Jon: I have a very American name. Actually, my name is Jonathan, so it's a Hebrew name.

Tim: Yeah, good point. The wisdom warrior ethic, it doesn't actually give you answers. It gives you a story that at least will give you a framework to live within as you encounter new and complex moral issues.

encounter new and complex moral issues.

Jon: It's a more exciting story. It feels a bit of espionage, but in a very open-handed way. It's not like a secretive way. It's not like, "Oh, if they find out I'm a spy, I'm screwed." It's kind of like, "Hey, guys. I'm a spy. Let's get that out of the way. But I'm totally here for you. This other kingdom that I'm working for, they're for you too. But just so you know, I'm more loyal to them than I am to you."

Tim: "And there are some things I won't do."

Jon: "And there are some things I won't do. It's going to get weird every once in a while."

Tim: Totally. They'll be like, "Do I like this person or do I hate this person or both?"

Jon: Yeah, exactly.

Tim: That's exactly it. That's right. I mean, right through into the early Christian centuries, you have women and children being thrown to lions in Roman gladiator games, and their crime is "we think Jesus is more powerful than Caesar." I mean, that's the crime.

And so since like, "Well, I don't know the Germanic tribes that are trying to tear down Rome, since that's their line too, we don't give loyalty to Caesar and we kill them. So I guess that's what we have to do to these weird Christian."

Jon: I guess that still happens to religious minorities in certain parts of the world. And it's not so much "my king is better than your king." It's more like, "my ideology is more powerful than your ideology, so we're going to kill you."

Tim: Yes, that's right. I think religious or political ideologies aren't always married to actual state structure. Sometimes they are, but sometimes they don't.

Then sometimes it's some parts of the world it could mean death. In other parts of the world, it just means you're made fun of, you lose some freedom.

[00:28:54]

Jon:

I want to get back to this whole are we in the garden thing. Jon:

Tim: Actually, that warrior could maybe help us land the plane by getting us to the book

of Revelation and so on.

Jon: Because I've always had this paradigm of I'm outside the garden and I'm waiting for the garden to like re garden. But I like this paradigm of we are in the land - I'm not in the Holy Land, I'm not in Jerusalem, I'm not in Israel - but I'm not called to be. When Peter and Paul were out building churches, they weren't like, "Okay, now go to Jerusalem." They were like, "Do it here." But he called them exiles. Is there any evidence of them thinking, "This is the land, like here in whatever, Asia Minor and

Greece?"

Tim: This is somewhat controversial within some circles of mostly Protestant theology. But where I'm at presently, is that the best case you can make from all over the New Testament is actually following a trajectory within the Old Testament already, namely, that the Garden of Eden is not - we talked about this already - the Garden of Eden, I don't think is leading us to see it as a spot on a map. Rather, it's image of a kind of world or a way that the world could be and was and ought to be - of the cosmic mountain.

> That's why we started this with the cosmic mountain. That Eden is this image of the ultimate cosmic temple merging heaven and earth out of which of that temple presence flows the rivers that water all the earth and so on. Which is why Ezekiel, when he sees the return from exile from Babylon, he sees it as a return to Eden, a new creation of humans.

> Then his second to last vision is of the New Jerusalem standing on a high mountain with a huge city and temple with rivers flowing out of it. What he sees is the Garden of Eden. Genesis 2. But this time it's Jerusalem. It's the God of Israel who's remarrying heaven and earth starting right here.

> So what you see is that all of that image of the promised land becomes itself is a way of thinking about the whole world. And so to be exiled in Babylon is to be in the world as it's not intended. To come back from exile in Babylon only to find out the promised land is still Babylon-like.

> Then, the early Christians, for them, it's very important that the Jesus movement started out of that place, started out of Jerusalem. That's important in the book of Acts. From Jerusalem goes out. But there's just no indication anywhere in the apostle's writings in the New Testament of what we're hoping for is to get everybody back to that place on the map or have an actual building in that place.

For them, the community of Jesus followers is the new temple prophesied about by the prophets. And because Abraham was to become a father of many nations, therefore, the multi-ethnic people of God is the family of Abraham. Therefore, like Paul says in Romans 4, "God's promised Abraham was to inherit the whole world," he says.

Even Paul the Apostle apparently - this is in Romans 4:13, I think...I remember there are funny details in the Bible that are weird. Yeah, Romans 4:13. "It wasn't through the Torah that Abraham and his offspring received the promise that he would become an inheritor of the world."

Jon: Which isn't what he said?

Tim:

Yeah. You go read, Genesis. He was supposed to inherit the land. Paul's reading the story of Abraham in light of the whole Old Testament story, which is about the family of Abraham becomes a blessing to the nation's when the kingdom of God reigns through the Messiah over all the nations, opening up the covenant family to all nations.

Which means that the promised land itself was just an image of what God wants to do for all the world. It starts with a garden, a little spot that becomes an image of the promised land. Bigger spot, actually on a map. And then through this the story of the Old Testament, you find out that itself was just a microcosm image of what God wants to do for all humanity.

I think the idea is that the whole world is the place that will become the new creation. So it's not like we are out of Eden. We're in the place that ought to be Eden and that will once again become a new kind of Eden. And that's what it means to be exiles in time.

Jon: Yeah. If we're talking—

Tim: Thank you for that. I had never thought about that exiles in time but that is what the word "exile" comes to mean is an exile in this age.

Jon: Yeah, an exile in this age.

Tim: As we are in the place that ought to be our home but isn't in the condition of what it —

Jon: We should just create a new word.

Tim: Space wanderers.

Jon: Time wanderers.

Tim: Time exile.

Jon: Time immigrants.

[00:34:31]

Jon: This is where it's complicated. If the Garden of Eden is not specifically about a place

but about a quality, it's not a place on a map, it's much of it it's kind of existence, which is complete, it is in cooperation with God, is where justice and peace rule—

Tim: God's will and human's will, totally—

Jon: It's a sense where, like, I'm at home, and I'm at Home, right?

Jon: Yeah, that's right.

Jon: Versus banishment then is, "Well, I'm at home but I'm no longer at Home." And then

exile is, "Well, I'm not Home anymore. I've been kicked out of my home and I'm not

Home."

But then you get this exile people who come back Home and they say, "Cool, we're back Home," but it doesn't feel like Home yet so we're still exiles. We'll still take that name of exile or that identity, which is now the identity you can then put on Adam

and Eve as soon as they're banished because they're exiles from the garden.

I guess what I'm trying to imagine is like, "I'm at home. I'm not in exile in that this is where I'm from. I'm from this corner of the world, I'm from the northwest, I consider this home, but I'm not at Home. I'm not trying to get back to Chicago or something.

Tim: We need to be able to write all those sentences with a lowercase h home and

uppercase H home.

Jon: Right.

Tim: Uppercase H Home would be like the redeemed new creation of which Eden is in

itself an image. Then lowercase home, which is whatever...Yeah.

Jon: I'm at lowercase home, but not uppercase Home.

Tim: I think this is what the author of the Hebrews is getting at in his description of

Abraham. This is his summary of the whole Abraham story.

In Hebrews 11, he says, "By faith, Abraham was called to go to a place he would later receive as his inheritance. He obeyed and went even though he didn't know where he was going. By faith, he made his home in the promised land, but like a stranger in a foreign country. He lived in tents like Isaac and Jacob did, who were also heirs of the same promise with him. He was looking forward to the city with foundations whose architects and builder is God."

Jon: That could totally apply to—

Tim: Yeah. The author of Hebrews, he's tracking with all of this imagery in the Old Testament here. Where he saying he takes all this exile and exile becomes an image, and now, he's seeing the Abraham story.

Jon: I've made my home here even though...It feels like I'm a foreigner even though this is my Home.

Tim: It's my Home.

Jon: But I'm waiting for a city that's going be built, not by humans but by God.

Tim: This is what the New Jerusalem means in the Bible is again it's not heaven. It's the Jerusalem that ought to have been. If Eden is the image for the whole world as it ought to be, then the New Jerusalem in both the Old Testament and the New Testament becomes the world of human civilization as it ought to be. A civilization where God's will is humanity's will.

That's why in the last pages of the Bible, it's the ultimate homecoming. The new creation is a new garden city, A New Jerusalem Eden. Both images fully merged together because now we're mapping the Israel story and the humanity story. Genesis 3 to 11 right up onto each other. And it's this place become Home.

Jon: Because it's Home.

Tim: Because it's supposed to be our home.

Jon: It's not like I'm not going leave and be transported somewhere else. It's going to be here, but it's truly going to be home.

Tim: In the meantime, I live in my lowercase home by the values of my uppercase Home, even though that will bring this mix.

Jon: So while Daniels instructive as a story and a character living in Babylon, I'm not living in Babylon in the sense that Daniel did, because I'm not living—

Tim: Oh, sure. That's right. But for the readers of this book, Daniel is being offered as a

paradigm to all the people who will read the book of Daniel. Most of them will not

be located in Babylon.

Jon: Sure.

Tim: So I'm being invited to see the Daniel story in Babylon.

Jon: So is the Daniel story kind of saying, "Wink, wink, even though you're not in Babylon,

you are in Babylon?"

Tim: Yeah. If you've read the book of Isaiah, you know the whole world is Babylon or

Babylon is a way of thinking about the world of human civilization.

Jon: Thank you for listening to this episode of The Bible Project podcast. Today's show

was produced by Dan Gummel. We have one more episode in our conversation on exile. We're going to land the plane. We're going to talk about Babylon in the book

of Revelation. Then after that, we're going to do an exile question and response

episodes.

So if you have any questions that have arisen from all these conversations about

theme of exile, why don't you get ready to send that to us. You can record that audio, try to keep it to about 20 seconds or less, tell us your name and where you're

from, and send in that audio questions to info@jointhebibleproject.com

Man: We believe the Bible is a unified story that leads to Jesus. We are a crowdfunded

project by people like me. Find free videos, study notes and more at

thebibleproject.com.