Son of Man E2 Final

Humans & Animals

Podcast Date: January 21, 2019

(60:32)

Speakers in the audio file:

Jon Collins

Tim Mackie

Tim:

The Eden ideal is humans peacefully coexisting with the animals. That's the Eden idea. On page 1, the ideal is that humans are placed in a role and responsibility for the animals to rule them. But that raises a question that's both ancient and modern about humans' relationship to animals. To rule the animals, what does that mean?

Jon:

Hey, this is Jon at The Bible Project. On this episode of the podcast, we're going to continue a series that we just began on the Son of Man. The Son of Man is a phrase that Jesus uses to refer to himself. It's a phrase that he got from a vision and dream that takes place in Daniel 7.

In this dream, there's a series of beasts, crazy animal-like creatures that represent oppressive humans. It's a picture of humans becoming animals. And this isn't just a random image that the Bible uses. It's actually a theme the Bible develops starting in its very first pages.

Tim:

The Son of Man theme is a way of thinking about the whole biblical story through the images of humans and animals.

Jon:

In Genesis 1, God creates humans and animals on the same day. If you think about it, humans are kind of just a type of animal, but according to the Bible, we're much more.

Tim:

Very clearly humans come from the earth, just like animals come from the earth. They are similar. But there's also something different in that humans are designated as the divine image.

Jon:

The divine image, the image of God, the biblical claim the role of humans is to rule alongside God.

Tim:

The whole premise of the story for the Son of Man will be when humans elevate themselves to the role of the creator, they don't want to be an image of God, they want to be God, something tragic happens. They become beasts. They become less than human. When humans want to transcend their humanity and act like God, ironically, they become and begin acting like animals.

Jon:

Thanks for joining us. Here we go.

We talked about the Son of Man in the last episode. Daniel 7, Jesus' go-to phrase.

Tim:

Phrase to call him-

Jon:

Title for himself.

Tim:

That's right.

Jon:

It's not a title, but he made it a title.

Tim:

It's not an official title but he used it as a title to refer to himself, the story that he saw himself within, and a way of identifying who he was in light of the biblical story. And Daniel 7 where it comes from, is a symbolic dream vision that Daniel has that's like this super dense, compressed symbolic retelling of the entire biblical drama in one

chapter. Which for sure, is why Jesus was attracted to it and used it to explain who he was.

Jon: So that story that he saw himself being a part of when he uses that phrase, Son of Man, I think that's what we're going to start getting into, right?

Tim: Yes, that's right. Maybe give me like 60 seconds. What's in your mind after we talked for an hour, about what the Daniel 7 story is? How it summarizes the biblical story.

Jon: Okay. Well, Daniel saw these crazy beasts and they are doing damage to humanity. Not only are they crazy looking, but they're mean. They represent the kingdoms of the earth. They represent oppressive empires and humans becoming like animals and hurting each other. I mean, this is Daniel's context. Does that make sense?

Tim: Yeah.

Jon: He's in Babylon, the beast of an empire that took over his people-

Tim: Just devoured Jerusalem.

Jon: So now he's living in a foreign land. His hometown is in ruins - not complete ruins but it's in bad shape. He's seen this vision, that's not a fun dream to have, there's one beast after the next and then the final beast is like this amalgam super beasts. Then he looks up in the sky, and he sees God is the Ancient of Days on the throne, and He's glimmering and fiery.

So this is Daniel having a vision of if you were in the temple and able to kind of see up through, what is this representing and what is God's authority, this is it. This is God on his cosmic mountain reigning.

Tim: It's like when you look down, what you see are the beasts and violence, but when you look up to the heavens, which is the top of the cosmic mountain where God's enthroned above all creation, what he sees is the reality down here on Earth is difficult to see.

Jon: Yeah. It's like pulling back the veil.

Tim: Yes, that's exactly right.

Jon: But the thing that he sees, the detail that's given that's kind of odd is that there's two thrones.

Tim: Multiple divine thrones.

Jon: And these thrones are by the way unlike that chariot kind of thing.

Tim: Yeah, the God mobile.

Jon: Two thrones, the Ancient of Days, God's on one, the other one is unoccupied. It's empty which makes you wonder like, "Why is there an empty throne?"

Tim: Who's going to go there?

Jon: Who gets to sit next to God and rule?

Tim: And it's a potent image for the plot conflict of the biblical story. God made the world

to share, rule over it with a partner, and right now He has no workable partner.

Jon: That should be going through your head when there's two thrones because that

wouldn't be what I think of immediately.

Tim: I see. Well, the point is, he says, "I saw thrones set up." Then he says, "And I saw

the Ancient of Days."

Jon: Maybe it was like a throne for like Michael or something just to hang out with God.

Who knows?

Tim: The point is, who does that other throne belong to?

Jon: Maybe God likes to switch up His thrones. Every once in a while He sits in that other

throne.

Tim: He just has multiple thrones. Sit on the other one in a different day.

Jon: Yes, double throne-chariot.

Tim: That is one possibility of what it could be.

Jon: So he looks up at this, and then all of a sudden you're in a courtroom scene and

Ancient of Days pulls out his material and destroys this super beast. Like judges condemns the super beast. That's awesome. That would be like, "That's it. It's over.

Battle is won." But that's not the end of the vision.

Suddenly, Daniel, he's looking closer and he sees the Son of Man, the human one...

Tim: The human.

Jon: ...the human coming up on a cloud and then he gets up there to the God mobile and

sits on the throne that was there empty. And then they are both worshiped and they

both have authority to rule the world.

Tim: Then all humanity and the kingdoms of the world worship and serve both of them.

Jon: This is tying into the story of God wanting to partner with humans. He creates a good world, "Rule this world with me, you're the image of God, you have authority to

go extend the garden and rule and subdue the earth." That's what the throne

represents. That's what the empty throne represents?

Tim: That's right. The twin thrones or the multiple thrones represent as an image, the ideal that the whole story began with, which is God and His image-bearing humans

ruling the world in covenant partnership together. That's the premise of the biblical

story. And so, Daniel's vision begins with that premise - with a symbol. With the symbol of the throne.

Again, the logic is of the video and our whole conversation, Jesus uses this phrase, to talk about himself, way more often than he used the image of Christ or Messiah. Son of Man was how he described himself. Where do you get that? From Daniel 7. Was Daniel 7 a compressed retelling of the whole biblical story and symbol, and imagery in dream?

What we're going to do now the rest of our conversation is reverse engineer. What is that story compressed in Daniel? Let's expand it back out and just walk through the different sections of the Old Testament, showing how each one of them has major contributions to make to the developing Son of Man theme. And then we're going to get back to Daniel 7, notice some things with new significance, and then go to the Gospels.

The Son of man is like a key. There are so many puzzling things in the gospels that Jesus says and does that all of a sudden make sense once you have all this in your head, in your heart.

Jon: So we're going back to the beginning?

Tim: Yeah. Page 1.

Jon: Page 1 of the Bible.

Tim: Here we are again. Sorry, but I'm not sorry. I'm not sure how much we'll need to go here in the video but there's an enormous overlap that I never quite realized between...Well, the Son of Man just means human. And what that empty throne in Daniel represents is that God wants to like you said, partner together with humans very closely. That's the whole premise of the biblical story.

The language that Genesis 1 uses to describe that is something we made a video about already which is the image.

Jon: The image of God.

Tim: The image of God. So the way that Genesis 1 is designed - we've been talking about this, we're actually going to make a whole separate video series about it, about literary design themes in Genesis 1 and 2. But Genesis 1 works in an opening statement than a triad, three pairs. We have the first sentence of Genesis 1: "In the beginning, God created the skies and the land. Now the land was wild and waste, darkness over the face of the watery deep and the Spirit of God was hovering over those waters." That's opening introduction.

Jon: It's like the premise statement.

Tim: Yeah, totally. So God's going to create—

Jon: Your translation probably says heavens and the earth, not skies and land.

Tim: That's right. It begins with God versus undeveloped, unordered wasteland, that is both wilderness and chaotic.

Jon: And what I'm familiar with in this chapter and most people are going to be, from here it's broken into structures of days.

Tim: That's right. Seven days to follow.

Jon: Seven days follow and God create something on each day.

Tim: If you pay attention to repeated words and patterns of repeated words, days one through six lineups precisely in three pairs of two. So days one through three—

Jon: In one column.

Tim: In one column. And then days four through six in second column.

Jon: In corresponding column. So day one will then match with day four?

Tim: Yeah. You just read it. Day one is light separated from dark matching day four which is the lights. The big light and the little light rule the day and the night.

Jon: So day one and day four are about light?

Tim: Correct, day and night. Day two is the waters above are separated from waters below. Day five, it's pair, is God placing inhabitants to those waters. So the creatures that fly against the waters above are the birds because they fly in that blue stuff up there, which are the waters above, and they fly against the face of the blue stuff. Then the fish, the water swimmers, in the waters below.

Jon: The waters swimmers.

Tim:

Jon:

It's interesting. Day three, first of all, has the waters receded from a chunk of dry land. Mound of land emerges from the waters. That's step one on day three. Step two on day three is vegetation is birthed out of the land. It just emerges. It matches day six, which also has two steps. First, is the land births or brings forth beasts, animals. That step one of the six. Step two of day six is the culminating, let us create human in our image, and in our likeness.

So what God appoints then is human that is a reflection of the divine image. It is a divine image. It is a divine image. Reflector of the divine. And he appoints them to rule and have dominion and stewardship over everything that just came before. That's the flow.

I remember when you first pointed this out to me, the matching chapters, it really blew my mind how I never saw that, but it's so obvious once you see it that day one and four are matched, day two and five, and day three and six. Because I've always been trained to think of it more literally, I missed that. I just missed that connection.

Tim: Yeah, that's right. Genesis 1 is so good. I could spend hours here. Genesis 1 is both

teaching you how to read the Hebrew Bible. It's so patterned and ordered right down

to the syllables and the numbers of words and sentences.

Jon: Wow.

Tim: It's just hard to imagine how long it took someone to complete, who I believe was

inspired and carried along by God's Spirit, but all the same, it took someone a long

time to craft everything. Anyway. Remember we had an opening statement?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: Then we have the—

Jon: Six-day structure.

Tim: The six-day structures, which works for it in three pairs. Then the openings introduction, you have a conclusion which is the seventh day which is God blessed

the seventh day, He consecrated it, He finished his work, blessed seventh day. There are so many cool things about Genesis 1 but I'll save it for when we talk about

that.

The main thing to pay attention to, I think, for the Son of Man video is on day six. On

the same day, the land creatures, which are called the beasts, the animals—

Jon: Is that just a word for animal in Hebrew?

Tim: Beast?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: I think this is the King James thing, where in 1611 when the King James was made,

beast was a normal word for animal. It's the Hebrew word for animal.

Jon: We don't walk around Portland and walking our dogs. So I might find a beast out

there.

Tim: You're right, beast makes it seem like you're talking about a dangerous animal. A

ferocious, dangerous animal.

Jon: Most animals are if they're not—

Tim: Which is true of most animals. I mean, except super domesticated dogs. But even

they are a little wild. Their inner beast will come out. Here's why I like the word beast. The beasts are going to be used mainly as negative symbols and images throughout the rest of the biblical story. I think that's why I like the translation beast.

Jon: Because beast has a negative connotation to it.

Tim: Correct.

Jon: But in Hebrew, does it have a negative connotation?

Tim: No, just animal.

Jon: Just animal.

Tim: Land animal.

Jon: It could easily be translated as just animal?

Tim: Correct. And then there are categories for domesticated animal in Hebrew.

Jon: Which would be domesticated beasts?

Tim: Yeah. Cows, cattle, sheep and goats, and then wild animals. There's that language. Here, it's let the bland bring forth animals. So day six, the first comers on day six are the animals. But then the second comers on day six are the humans. But it's the

second comers that God appoints to rule over the animals.

Jon: The first comers?

Tim: The commission is God bless the humans and said, "Be fruitful and multiply, fill the

land and subdue it and rule over the fish of the sea, the birds of the air, the beast of

the land."

Jon: Rule those who came before you?

Tim: Yes, yeah. This is interesting. In the six-day structure, day five of the sky flyers and

the waters swimmers. And then the first part of the day six is the land creatures.

Jon: You need a better phrase.

Tim: What's that?

Jon: The sky flyers, water swimmers. You need like a—

Tim: Land walkers.

Jon: The land walkers. That's good.

Tim: Land walkers. But it's the humans, it's the last comers who end up ruling over all

who come before. That's an important point because that's the pattern in the book of Genesis that's going to keep playing itself out in every generation of the characters, where there's somebody who came first and then there's somebody who comes second, and God typically chooses the one who came second. And then the one who came first gets angry about it. She's going to be relevant for what happens in

Genesis 3.

Here we go. This is ideal for set up.

Jon: This is first born or second born thing?

Tim:

Correct. That's right. Animals are the firstborn of day six, humans are the second born, but God chooses the second born to rule over a little over the firstborn of day six. There you go. This is whole book of Genesis previewed for you in seed form.

Let's just talk about humans and animals. That's going to be a big thing of this video, is the Son of Man video and the Son of Man theme is a way of thinking about the whole biblical story through the images of humans and animals. Here on page 1, the ideal is that humans are placed in a role of responsibility for the animals to rule them. But that raises the question that's both ancient and modern about humans' relationship to animals. What does it mean to rule the animals?

Jon:

It's equally important question, but a completely different premise. Like before, it was like, "How do we not get killed by these things, and how do we domesticate enough of them to keep us alive?" Now it's like, "How do we make sure we don't wipe them all out?"

Tim: Totally.

Jon: Let's keep some of them around.

Tim: That's exactly right. Oh, man, I was just on a backpacking trip with some friends out south of Yellowstone in Grand Tetons. We saw like a herd of bison when we were out in the park entrance area, but I was just reminded the story of the Buffalo. I think we've talked about it before, 3 million killed in less than five years in the mid-1800s...

Jon: Yeah, you did mention that.

Tim: ...by all the western expansion.

Jon: This has been fact-checked. It feels like kind of a tale.

Tim: I was reading the interpretive little sign in the park and it matched what I read about a month earlier in the children's book to my son. It was a historical kids level retelling of the buffalo. Are you looking up right now?

Jon: Yeah, I just feel like I have to.

Tim: That's good.

Jon: Three million buffalo.

Tim: An estimated population of three million on the American planes.

Jon: That's how many there were?

Tim: Correct.

Jon: Here we go. This is from The Atlantic. The title is "Kill Every Buffalo You Can! Every Buffalo Dead Is an Indian Gone." It was near the end of September... This matches up your story was, it was like, "If we kill these buffalo then the Indians won't have anything to eat?"

Tim: I'm sure that was one whole layer that was going on.

Jon: Oh, you didn't tell me about that?

Tim: I didn't tell you about that.

Jon: Oh, someone told me about that.

Tim: I'm sure.

Jon: But yeah, there was a mandate, "Go kill the Buffalo."

Tim: As a way of dispossessing Indian from their territory.

Jon: Instead of going and finding any of this, let's go just kill the buffalo.

Tim: It's the beast in humans. Humans are beasts. That's actually a good example of

what this theme is all about. You're still reading.

Jon: I'm just trying to find a number. It is estimated as many as 60 million buffalo roamed

the great plains from Canada and North Texas.

Tim: There were millions. The population was brought down to something of under a

thousand.

Jon: Oh, really? Oh, that's what that was just saying.

Tim: Then it was a series of settlers who realized what was going on and then started

breeding them again in captivity and brought the population up to back—

Jon: They said there's some 20,000 to 25,000 now.

Tim: Yes, that's right. It actually began with a number of settlers starting to breed them

when they realized they were all going to go extinct and then it got subsidized by the

federal government. Then they started giving them National Parks.

Jon: So there was once like 30 million of these things? Then we came in and we just start

picking them off.

Tim: For all kinds of reasons.

Jon: All sorts of reasons.

Tim: Some of them survival, some of them really screwed up.

Jon: And there was down to just a few thousand and brought back.

Tim: Here's the thing. I'm not an expert in this by any means, but I even can pick it up in

rhetoric of public media, where people will often portray the biblical worldview of humans over the animals as part of the problem of the Western world view bringing

species to extinction.

Jon: There has been a problem...

Tim: So the question is, for sure, people have—

Jon: One option is maybe human shouldn't rule the earth.

Tim: Yeah. What this chapter is doing if humans are ruling the animals is actually giving

license to just do what they want with the animal.

Jon: Right.

Tim: This is an important discussion and I just want to recommend a book on it and some quotes because I think it helps illuminate what's going on in Genesis 1 in ways that I hadn't noticed before. This humans relationship to animals, so humans are called to rule over the animals. What does that mean? What does that mean?

Very clearly, humans come from the earth just like animals come from the earth. So they share. They are similar. But there's also something different in that humans are designated as the divine image, which is connected to their ruling. This is a book by Richard Bauckham called "Living with Other Creatures." It's a whole book exploring this very thing - humans' relationship to animals in the Bible. It's so fascinating. This guy has so much time on his hands. Seriously, he writes so many good books. I can't keep up with him.

So in Genesis, right after God appoints humans to rule, He designates what humans can have for their food and what animals can have for their food. It's the vegan ideal.

Jon: The humans are told to eat the tree fruit.

Tim: Yeah, that's right. It's in Genesis 1:29. God says, "I give you humans all of the plants

yielding seed and every tree that has fruit." You get the fruit trees.

Jon: You get the vegetarian diet.

Tim: Yeah, totally. Then he says, "And to every beast of the earth and bird of the air and everything that creeps on the earth, I give them every green plant for food." This is

everything that creeps on the earth, I give them every green plant for food." This is connected to humans subduing the lands by agriculture. You get agricultural food, and you get fruit. That's the human diet. The animals get all the green grass stuff.

Bushes and the grass. So you just stop, like, why—

Jon: Did they not know about lions or something?

Tim: No, this is about the ideal.

Jon: It's about the ideal.

Tim: The Eden ideal is no creature has to live at the expense of another creature's life.

That's the ideal. The animals are all depicted as vegetarian. Again, Genesis 1 is

partraval of the ideal. And whether or not it's preserved historical memory of how the

portrayal of the ideal. And whether or not it's preserved historical memory of how the world actually was for some period of time, that's a whole series of debates.

Whatever view you hold on that, everybody can agree it's portraying that ideal.

So Genesis 1 depicts a world where animals don't have to live at the expense of each other's lives and humans don't live at the expense of the animals. Why else would you have a whole paragraph clarifying who gets what fruit to eat? It is interesting.

Here's what Bauckham says about this, because it's relevant to understanding what it means for humans to rule. He says this. "It's not often well enough noticed that the command God gives to humanity refers to two rather different matters. It first refers to the relationship with humans to the earth, which is subdue the land. Secondly to their relationship to other living creatures." These commands are not the same thing.

So he's referring to "be fruitful and multiply, fill the earth, and subdue the land, and rule the animals." Those two commands.

Jon: Subdue the land, rule the animals.

m: So humans are not alone in being told to be fruitful and multiply. He said that actually first to the birds and the fish. They have the same blessing on day five. It's only humans that are told to fill the land and to subdue it.

In the Genesis narrative, subduing the land clearly refers to agriculture as explored in Genesis 2. Gardening, all that kind of thing, agriculture. So taking possession of the soil and working it to make it yield more food for humans than it would otherwise do.

So the narrative itself clarifies what it means to subdue the land. Farm it. Make more food then we'll just fall off trees if you just don't do anything at all. "But what about the other land animals?" Bauckham goes on. "How does humanity's role of subduing the land relate to the blessing that God gives to the animals to fill the land?" Does that make sense?

Jon: Yeah. If you've subdued all the land, where are the animals going to hang up?

Tim: Yeah, exactly. That's right. If you're going farm—

If you turn everything into a garden or a farm, then where do the zebra got to go?

It's a question that arises if you read through the story. If humans subdue the land but the animals are supposed to fill the land along with humans, where do the animals go? Then he says, "Look at these God's next words to the humans. See, I've given you humans, every plant yielding seed on the face of the earth, every tree with seed and its fruit that you should have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and bird and everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I give the rest of the green plants for food."

Again, back to Bauckham, I've never noticed this before, but I think he's right on. He asked, "Why does God tell the humans that He's given every green plant for food to the other living creatures." Why does God have to say that?

Jon: So that they leave it there.

0011

Tim:

Jon:

Tim:

Tim:

Surely, the reason is that it's humans who need to know that the produce of the earth isn't intended to feed them alone, but also the other living species of the earth. "The clear implication," Bauckham says, "is that the Earth can provide enough food for all creatures. Humans aren't to fill and subdue the earth in a way that leaves no room or sustenance for the other creatures who share the earth with them. God has given them to the right to live from the soil just like the humans."

He says, "The human right to make use of the earth to live from it is far from an unlimited right. It must respect the existence of the other creatures." Such a good observation. I'd never quite put it that way, but I think that that's exactly right.

Jon: Yeah, that makes sense

Tim: He goes on to talk about then the biblical portrait of human rulers in the Bible is really nuanced. For example, the kings in Israel who are called the rule - just using the same vocabulary as Genesis 1. I mean, the kings in Israel, we've looked at king law and Deuteronomy and it's like don't get mass wealth.

Jon: Like don't bring in Egyptian horses.

Yeah, exactly. It's like, don't build a huge military, don't do political marriages, just be a Bible nerd and study the Torah and pray and trust God. That's ruling in the biblical worldview. It's very similar here of, if God tells someone to rule as His image, it is not a blank check to do whatever you want, which is the opposite.

> So in Genesis 1, it's clarified by who gets what food is this way of showing that the humans' relationship with the animals is to be one of coexistence. It's why he called the book "Living with Other Creatures."

Jon: Cool. That's helpful.

Tim: I think it is helpful. The Eden ideal is humans peacefully coexisting with the animals. That's the Eden ideal.

Jon: And everyone's just eating veggies.

Tim: Everyone's vegan. No creature has to live at the expense of another. There's plenty because this God is generous. He wants to share. That's the ideal on page1. Then that image gets filled out even a little bit more in Genesis 2, where humans' relationship the animals takes another step forward.

[00:30:27]

Jon: This is where, though, if the story of the Bible is coming back to the ideal, then are we anticipating a time when humans and animals are on a vegan diet? Which you can make that case for humans, but, I mean, what are the lions going to do? Those things are meant to kill other animals and eat them. That's how their whole body works.

Tim: In the world, as we experience it, life survives at the expense of other lives. That's the universe as we know it.

Tim:

Jon: So that needs to fundamentally change, you think?

Tim: Apparently. The ideal in Genesis 1 is the world that's like ours but also

fundamentally unlike it. We're getting into new creation territory, which is where the

Son of Man video will take us. It's about a new humanity.

Jon: I just like lions. I want them to be around new creation but—

Tim: Yeah, me too. It wouldn't quite be as fearsome. They didn't threaten to smash you.

Jon: That, and just like will it still be a lion if all of a sudden you take away the claws and the teeth and you give him a digestive tract that can eat grass? What do you got

now? You have a cow is what you have – a fearsome looking cow with a furry head.

Tim: That's a good point. There you go. I think we're getting to the limits of our framework or way of understanding reality for what new creation means. Genesis 1 asks us to see our world as good but incomplete and on its way to some next step and the way will be led by a new kind of human, which is what the biblical story is all about.

Humans and animals.

Jon: Humans and animals living together.

Tim: And humans as the ruling partner of the animals. So if humans bear responsibility

for the creation in a way that's different, apparently, in Genesis 1 animals aren't responsible for the destiny of the earth the way that humans are responsible before God. Because the elephant can really trash a field but humans can trash a

continent. It's that kind of difference here.

This key to how the biblical story begins. Its God, humans, and animals in a happy

triangle.

Jon: In a happy triangle?

Tim: We think God over everything and then humans and the animals, and then—

Jon: On the same plain.

Tim: Yeah. And then the humans are responsible for the animals, but in a horizontal

relationship because they're both creatures before God.

Jon: Both come from the earth on the same day.

Tim: Yeah, that's right. That's one element. The second element, we said this is a human

and humans are called the image of God. And so, we don't need to fill this out, although I've done a lot more reading and thinking about it. But it is relevant to where the Son of Man theme is going, because the whole biblical story then is going to be about humans as these closely united partners, these bridges of heaven and earth. If God's the heavenly supreme ruler, but He's installed an image, which is the

biblical word for idol. Statue.

Jon: Physical representation of who God is.

Tim:

That's right. So, if the ideal is going to be maintained, what you need is a human who's so united with God, connected with God, you could say that when you look at this human, you're looking at God. What else does it mean to say a human as an image of God?

When you think back to Daniel 7, that unoccupied throne next to God that will be filled by a human who will be worshipped by other humans as part of God's identity, you can see how this phrase "image of God" is actually starting to give you categories for that.

Jon:

And you've pointed out to me before how the image of God it's connected to the vocational calling of theirs to go to subdue the earth and rule the animals. Not only can you look at the person and maybe see God, but that person is acting on God's behalf and has his authority to rule.

Tim:

Yeah, that's right. So it doesn't mean that you look at a human and say, "Oh, that's God." You look at human and say, "That's an image of God," or "that's God embodied through a human image or expression." Genesis 1 is trying to give us a category of God - creation. Very separate things. God is not the animal or the rock or the tree. But merging those two or somehow bridging those two is the image the divine image that is a physical representation of the creator. What else does this image mean?

Jon:

Well, I don't know. It's not a word I use a lot, but I mean, that in the way that it's being used here as an idol. I mean, we don't use that typically.

Tim:

That's right. Actually, I have some quotes here that I put together since we last talked about this. This one is from a German commentator named Gerhard von Rad.

Jon:

Is his last name von Rad?

Tim:

Gerhard von Rad, yeah. The von just means from in Germany.

Jon:

He's from Rad.

Tim:

He's from Rad. Gerhard von Rad is how it reads. Commenting on the image of God in Genesis 1 one, he says, "The close relation of the term for God's image with that for the commission to exercise dominion. We saying, calling humans the image of God and then in the next sentence saying rule the land.

It emerges quite clearly when we understand the word tselem - that the Hebrew word for image. He calls it as a plastic image. Plastic meaning malleable. Just as powerful earthly kings to indicate their claim to dominion erect an image of themselves in the provinces of the Empire where they do not personally appear, so man is placed on the earth in God's image as God's sovereign emblem. I like that phrase. He is really only God's representative, someone to maintain and enforce God's claim to dominion over the earth. So we're being given a category. It looks like God. Ideally, it acts like God. It's a physical emblem.

Jon:

So God created a bunch of creatures that resemble him in some way, but aren't him, and said, this is in the same way a king would put up a statue of himself in a city that

he doesn't get to hang out in, God put us to resemble him to show people that...remind them who's really in charge.

Tim: If it's about showing people. The fundamental portrait is that this God wants to share existence.

With living idols.

Jon:

Tim:

Tim: With living images. Yeah, that's right. And this is for sure what's underneath the prohibition of making idols. For a human to make an idol there's an oxymoron. In a biblical worldview—

...You're an idol. You don't need to make one and give your allegiance to it. You are the idol.

Jon: You are God's representation in physical form. You don't need to make something else. That's that.

Tim: Correct. Think of the Esau story. Selling your birthright for a bowl of porridge. It's like you've been given this wonderful identity and vocation—

Jon: It's like a transformer car trying to build a car.

Tim: Yes, totally.

Jon: "No, no, no, you are a car."

Tim: And thinking that the car is somehow more powerful than you. It's like, "No, dude, you're an Autobot. Don't make a car and drive it? No, dude, drive yourself. You are the thing?" That's it. Typically, Protestants have been a little twitchy. We kind of get uncomfortable with this glorious elevation of humanity.

Jon: Because we aren't gods. And that is a human temptation is to then take that and be like, "We can be God's."

That's right. One of the ways of thinking about the conflict in the Bible that's relevant to the Son of Man theme is that humans aren't content to be images of God. They want to live and rule as if they are God. That's key to the plotline conflict. Actually, the whole premise of the story for the Son of Man will be when humans elevate themselves to the role of the Creator they don't want to be an image of God, they want to be God. Something tragic happens, they become beasts. They become less than human.

When humans want to transcend their humanity and act like God, ironically, they become and begin acting like animals. They become less than human. And so, in the biblical story, it's only when humans humble themselves and recognize their subordinate role as images of God that they become truly human and are elevated to their glorious role. It's this inversion. It'd be fun to figure out something visual.

Jon: The first will become last.

Tim: Totally Yeah, that's right. So let's just think about Genesis 3. What's the agent

tricking the humans?

Jon: A snake.

Tim: It's an animal. It's a snake, we're told that in Genesis 3:1 that—

Jon: It's a snake with legs.

Tim: Actually, it doesn't say that. That's a whole thing. It's a snake. Then the narrative

says it's one of the beasts of the field that the Lord God made. It's a beast. In Genesis 3, you have a beast deceiving humans to think that they can be God. What they end up being is becoming less than what they were made to be. Once again, it's humans and animals. Genesis 3 is a conflict between humans and animals, and

humans end up getting ruled by an animal instead of ruling the animal.

Jon: Ruled by the snake.

Tim: Yeah. And so then we're off to the races.

Jon: Then they're out roaming in the wilderness like animals.

Tim: Totally. Killing each other like animals. But we're getting ahead of ourselves.

[00:41:53]

Tim: One last thought about the glorious ideal of the human image of God is Psalm 8.

We've looked at it before but it's going to be again relevant for the Son of Man theme and how it gets drawn upon by Jesus and the apostles. So Psalm 8. You

want to read Psalm 8?

Jon: Sure.

Tim: Do it.

Jon: "O LORD, our Lord, how majestic is Your name in all the earth, who have shown

Your splendor above the heavens!" Is that a question, the earth has shown?

Tim: Is your name in all the earth. O Lord, who's shown your splendor about the heaven."

Jon: Okay.

Tim: Sorry, it's awkward.

Jon: "When I consider Your heavens, the work of Your fingers, the moon and the stars, which You have ordained; What is human that You take thought of him, and the son

of man that You care for him? Yet You have made him a little lower than Elohim, and You crown him with glory and majesty! You make him to rule over the works of Your hands; You have put all things under his feet, all sheep and oxen, and also the beasts of the field. The birds of the heavens and the fish of the sea, whatever

passes through the paths of the seas. O LORD, our Lord, how majestic is Your name in all the earth!"

Tim: Psalm 8.

Jon: Psalm 8. It's all in there.

Tim: It is, isn't it?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: You couldn't ask for a better poetic summary of Genesis 1, could you?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: So a couple of things are one, formally, in terms of the form or designed to the poem, it begins and ends with identical phrase. "O LORD, our Lord, how majestic is Your name in all the earth." Think about this. Ponder this. This is one of these things you have a cup of tea and read the poem for the 50th time and think about it.

> This poem begins and ends elevating God's majesty above all the land. So whatever happens in the middle of the poem is about increasing God's majesty over the land. And what is the content of the poem? It's about how God has elevated a creature to have glory and majesty. Do you see that?

Yeah. Jon:

> You've made her a little lower than Elohim - we'll talk about that - and you've crowned human with glory and majesty. The very thing that God, the attribute of God, that elevates Him above the land is the thing that God wants to share with humans. And God's majesty isn't threatened by an exalted, glorious humanity. It's actually increased. Isn't that interesting?

> I mean, think of analogy would be like...It's where you make something that's so clearly not yourself. Let's go with a work of art. You make a work of art, and if you show it off in a gallery, like a big sculpture, what you really want people to focus on. if you're a humble artist, is just to look at the sculpture. You don't want everybody to walk into the room and just come look at you. You want them to go and look at the sculpture.

"It's the guy who made the sculpture."

Totally. They'll look at you and so on, but in a room like that, people are looking at a sculpture, but what they're thinking about is, whoa, the person who created this. So, even though everybody's marveling at the glory of the sculpture, it doesn't detract from the glory of the artists. It actually increases it.

There's something similar going on here where a glorious, elevated humanity doesn't threaten God's glory. It actually increases it. It's an image of it. And that's the logic here. It's just worth sitting and thinking about, I think.

Tim:

Jon:

Tim:

Notice that humans are called in two poetic lines here paired in verse four.

Jon: Yeah. What is human that you take thought of him, the Son of Man that you care for

him. The phrase is synonym for human.

Tim: Yeah, synonym for human. This is significant in verse five.

Jon: It's ben adam, is what that is?

Tim: Yeah, ben adam.

Jon: So what is adam and what is ben adam?

Tim: Here the poem is envisioning humanity as a whole, but also successive generations.

The ideal is that all generation experience this rule. This is an interesting line - verse

five - you've made him a little lower than Elohim.

Jon: Yeah. We've had a big conversation about Elohim, which is out.

Tim: There's a translation interpretive challenge here.

Jon: I'm imagining when we talk about Elohim it's the best way I can think to translate that word is spiritual being. Because it doesn't just refer to Yahweh the creator God,

it refers to a whole class of spiritual beings. It could be angels. It could be demons,

spirits.

Here in verse five, you have made him, the humans, a little lower than Elohim.

Singular Elohim?

Tim: That's the question. Elohim is the plural. It's plural in Hebrew. So it could be

translated, you have made him lower than gods - plural - or you have made him

lower than Elohim, referring to the singular chief God, that is Yahweh.

Jon: But it's plural.

Tim: But the word for the God of Israel is often the plural noun Elohim.

Jon: Oh, is it?

Tim: Yeah.

Jon: Okay.

Tim: That's right. So if you flip open a different translations of Psalm 8, you'll notice

differences here. The New American Standard Version of Psalm 8:6 reads: "You have made him a little lower than God." The New Revised Standard Version is the same: "You've made them a little lower than God." NIV, International Version reads: "You have made them a little lower than the angels." ESV translates "You made him

a little lower than the heavenly beings."

Jon: That's interesting. NIV says, angels. It's really interesting.

Tim: So you are not just translating Elohim, they're actually interpreting Elohim to refer to

a plural group of spiritual beings, namely, angelic beings.

Jon: This is the verse quoted in Hebrews and it's translated as angels?

Tim: Yes, it is. There were Jewish translators 200 years before Jesus translating the

Hebrew Bible into Greek. It's often called The Septuagint. Technically incorrect, but

that's okay.

Jon: What's technically incorrect?

Tim: The title Septuagint is incorrect as a title for all of the Greek translation of the old

testament. That title originally referred only to the Greek translation of the Torah.

Anyway. Sorry.

Jon: What's the phrase for the whole thing?

Tim: Scholars just call it the Old Greek translation. OG is the abbreviation.

Jon: That's awesome.

Tim: I had to use it in my dissertation a whole, and I just abbreviated it as OG. I always

snickered a little.

Jon: The original Greek.

Tim: Because you'd be at us a biblical studies conference, and there are all these people

in suits, and they are using the phrase OG. It makes me laugh. Anyway. Sorry. It's a

rabbit trail.

So the Old Greek translation translated it as angelos. Angels. And that's what the

NIV and ESV is going off of here is that interpretation / tradition.

Tim: So it exposes an ambiguity, though that's in Hebrew. Are humans made to be just a

little lower than God? Well, that kind of make sense in that they're an image of God.

They are meant resemble God. You have made them a little lower than the gods.

Jon: It's like a little lower than the class of Elohim.

Tim: Correct. That's correct.

Jon: There's a type of being that's not a creature on the earth - Elohim, and we are

physically lower in the way that they thought of cosmology.

Tim: Correct. That's right. They are above us

Jon: That realm is above.

Tim: They are with God. They're part of His Divine Council. So they are the Elohim.

Jon: And speaking of Divine Council, then they are ruling with God.

Tim: Yeah, they're given authority too. They also image God in a different way.

Jon: Right. Sure.

Tim: They're Elohim like Yahweh.

Jon: And we are a rung lower than that kind of creature.

Tim: That's right. I think that's the idea here.

Jon: That makes sense.

Tim: And that's a contrast. The point is you've made him a little lower than the Elohim, but

it's humans that you've crowned to be your glory and to rule over all of it.

Jon: I mean, he didn't call them the image of God. He called them humans.

Tim: Humans are the image of God called to rule over the rest of creation.

Jon: He could have just said, "Humans, you're really smart animal and Elohim are going to rule over creation." But instead, he said, "No, humans, your animals that carry my

image even though you're lower than the angels."

It seems like the Psalmist is kind of tripping out on how weird... Like, if you think about the levels of power, you got the beasts and then they get the humans, but then you have the class of Elohim, and above it, all is Yahweh Elohim. And him going, "You will think authority would also be along this ranking. Beast and then

humans and then Elohim and then God. But it's not."

Tim: No.

Jon: Humans were given the special task.

Tim: Special calling.

Jon: Like it was flipped.

Tim: Just like on day six in Genesis 1, the sky flyers, the water swimmers, and the beast,

the land walkers, they came first. And it's the latecomers, humans, who end up

actually being the ones who rule over everything else. That's very similar to—

Jon: It's another kind of flipping.

Tim: So this, within in a biblical world, but I've really tried to comment on this as I've

pondered Psalm 8:2. It's really remarkable. You think about this too. That the human species is what it is on planet earth. I mean, we're much weaker than a mountain

lion.

Jon: Oh, sure.

Tim: On many levels, humans are a sub-par species compared to other creatures, except

for this thing carried by our necks is a game changer.

Jon: Right. The most marvelous thing in the universe, some people think.

Tim: Is the human brain?

Jon: The human brain. So we can out-think the mountain lion and rule it. Anyhow.

[00:53:26]

Tim: Trust me, this relates back to the animals.

Jon: Okay

Tim: This is a quote from another Bible nerd I recently discovered on the top of page 8. His names Crispin Fletcher Louis. He's reflecting on Genesis 1 image of God Psalm 8, how the Son of Man is elevated to rule everything else.

> He says, "One point of saying that God is the absolute sovereign, as the biblical text say time and again, is to say that God is free. He's free to exalt and share His own power and His divine power with those whom He wills through a transformation of their nature and identity." Think what's happening on Genesis 1. That's making creatures. And there's one creature who's elevated.

He's like, "I'm going to do something special with you." And he has that prerogative. Jon:

> Yeah, He can do that. So God's sovereignty in the Bible isn't just He has the authority to tell you what to do. It's He's free to do things that might surprise us or seem counterintuitive. So the author of Psalm 8, he's like, "I can't believe you elevated humans. I can't believe it. When I look at the universe, I think why humans?" That's what he's talking about. But God can do that.

> "He's free to create entities," Crispin goes on, "that in various ways share in His identity as ruler and judge who manifest His presence to the world. The God of the biblical story is able to enter into and take on the nature and identity of the very reality He's created, taking it up into his very self. God's identity is apparently shareable."

> Again, he's just reflecting on Genesis 1. God's identity in the Bible isn't a zero-sum game. To say that God shares His identity with humans as His image doesn't mean he suffers the loss of being. On the contrary, it's actually a way of saying that His identity is magnified and His glory extended." So we're back to Psalm 8 here - for God to share His Divine Throne with a human.

Now, why would you say shares identity versus share his authority or share his...?

Well, on Genesis 1, you're right, it's God sharing authority. Yeah, that's right. This is in a book called "Jesus Monotheism." Essentially what he's trying to do is allow the Hebrew Bible to shape all of our categories about God's relationship to humans, and reading the New Testament portrait of Jesus as divine in light of the Hebrew Bible.

Tim:

Jon:

Tim:

And so, what he's pondering is that for a Jewish monotheist in Jesus' day, like Paul, to say, "We have one God the Father and Jesus." That sounds to us like a contradiction.

Jon: Right.

Tim: He's just really trying to reframe the whole conversation to say, if you really reflect on Genesis 1 and Psalm 8, God's relationship to creation has always been to enter into a solidarity with it, and to have it share in His identity. For God to crown a creature with glory and majesty, that's an image of the divine majesty. What is that except God sharing himself with that creature?

Crispin's point is that in the Bible, God's identity isn't something that God's walling off. He's actually inviting people into His identity. And He doesn't stop being God and they don't stop being creatures.

Jon: Invite people into his identity?

Tim: What else is Daniel 7, but God—

Jon: Well, yeah, Daniel 7 for sure.

Tim: That's right. But Daniel 7 only makes the sense that it does in light of this depiction of God and humans in Genesis 1 and Psalm 8.

Jon: One way of framing is that God is bringing human into his identity. Another way of framing is that the Word became flesh.

Tim: Correct? That's right.

Jon: So it's that God became human. So he isn't elevating a human, he's elevating a godhuman.

That's right. Totally. That's where this is all going. But I'm saying this is giving us the conceptual categories of the incarnation of God become human. So Genesis 1 is about God elevating humans to this role, which they forfeit. And then the rest of the story is just going to be iterating on just how every General of humans just keeps forfeiting the chance to participate in the divine rule. That's God's image.

And so the climactic solution in Jesus is that as the Son of Man, God becomes the Son of man. Which, if you have a god, I don't know, who's so walled off from his creation, so transcendent and above it, something like the incarnations is very bizarre. But the biblical God is just in this very close, intimate relationship and He allows the developing story of His creation to affect Him as He relates to it. And he eventually becomes a part of it in and through the incarnation. I don't even know what I'm talking about.

Jon: I know.

Tim:

Tim: Once I get here, I'm just like, "What?" All I have is the language of the story. The biblical story makes sense of something that's just like, "What?"

Jon:

Thanks for listening to this episode of The Bible Project podcast. If you're enjoying this conversation on the Son of Man, you'll like the video we made on the Son of Man. It's on our YouTube channel, youtube.com/thebibleproject. It's also on our website, thebibleproject.com.

Also, if you've been enjoying this podcast, one really amazingly helpful thing you can do is review or rate our podcast on iTunes, or whatever service you use. That helps other people find it and enjoy it too. Also, make sure to check out our show notes that has more information on this episode, including references to books that Tim made in the episode.

Today's show was produced by Dan Gummel, the music by the band Tents. We're crowdfunded nonprofit in Portland, Oregon. We believe the Bible is a unified story that leads to Jesus. We make free resources, videos, this podcast, study notes, other downloads. It's all for free at thebibleproject.com. Thanks for being a part of this with us.

Woman:

We believe the Bible is a unified story that leads to Jesus. We are a crowdfunded project by people like me. Find free videos, study notes, and more at thebibleproject.com.