Son of Man E4 Final

Power Over the Snake

Podcast Date: February 4, 2019

(62:41)

Speakers in the audio file:

Jon Collins

Tim Mackie

Jon:

Hey, this is Jon at The Bible Project. Today, we continue a conversation about the biblical theme we're calling the Son of Man. Son of Man is a phrase in the Bible, it literally means the human one. It is the way that Jesus most often refers to himself. It's an idea, a theme, that begins in page 3 of the Bible - Genesis 3.

If you remember, Genesis 3 there's a snake, there's a beast, but also some sort of spiritual evil, and he convinces humanity, Adam and Eve, to rebel against God. So God tells the snake that there's going to be two lineages moving forward: the seed of the woman, the Son of Man, who will one day crush the snake and the seed of the snake. What does that mean to be the seed of the snake?

Tim:

It's giving ourselves over to powers, and thoughts, and systems, that take us not forward into life. It takes us backwards into competitive violent rivalry, the result and all of this being destroyed. It takes us back into chaos and nothingness.

Jon:

In this episode, we're going to see how this theme, the seed of the snake develops through Genesis. And then into Exodus, we'll look at Cain, Jacob, Joseph's brothers, and we'll see how they all give into the snake.

Tim:

All that does is just reinforced to you that man, we need some different humans. Every human I'm meeting in this story, some have positive traits, but they're always balanced by really horrible traits. And these horrible traits are almost always connected to animal things in the storyline. So you walk out of Genesis going, "Dude, we need a new and different kind of human around here."

Jon:

Then we'll get into the Book of Exodus and we'll look at Moses. We'll look at something I've always overlooked. Moses was told by God to turn a staff into a snake. To grab it, control it, and that's going to be the sign to Pharaoh that he comes with God's authority and power. When the prophets read the Exodus story, like when Isaiah goes back and talks about it...

Tim:

...he reads the Exodus narrative as a portrait of the snake crusher. He reads the story of the past as giving us imagery and language to talk about our hope for the future. But that was planted all the way back in Genesis 3:15, that we're waiting for a human, a Son of Man, who won't give in to the beast, and act like a beast, but one who will conquer the beast.

Jon:

All of that and more on today's podcast. Thanks for joining us. Here we go.

We are talking about the Son of Man. This is an ongoing conversation. Tim, would you do me the pleasure of giving a recap?

Tim:

Okay, recap. Son of Man, whether or not we start the video with this hook, this is how we begin our conversation. That Christ, or Messiah, is one of the most consistent titles used to describe Jesus throughout the New Testament, except in the Gospels. And that's true, except for in Jesus' own words, he almost never calls himself by that title. And when people do call him that title, he usually switches to using a different title, which is the phrase the Son of Man, or literally in Greek, the son of the human.

Jon: Son of the human.

Tim: The word "the" is in front of both Greek words.

Jon: Oh.

Tim: The son of the human.

Jon: It doesn't roll up the tongue guite as much.

Tim: No. So this is Jesus' most consistent title to call himself. Then we talked about where he would have got a phrase like that and why that's important. It's a Hebrew phrase, but it's clear that he was referring to a key chapter in the book of Daniel. And that chapter is a dream Daniel has about a human who's getting trampled on by the beasts that represent the empires of the world, then God brings judgment on the beast, and invites the human one to float up on the divine cloud up to the divine throne room and participate in God's rule over the world.

Jon: Sit on a throne next to God.

Tim: Sit on a throne next to God and be worshipped and served along with God - all humanity.

Jon: It's a dream Daniel had.

Tim: It's a dream Daniel had. We talked about that and about how that dream is bringing together and that imagery is providing a climatic conclusion to the whole biblical... it's anticipating the conclusion of the whole biblical storyline, of humans in the image of God put in exalted place to rule over the world with God, and on God's path.

Jon: That's what we talked about - after the Daniel's vision is that call for humans to rule the world.

Tim: That's right. Daniel 7 assumes that you've really internalized what's going on in Genesis 1 with humans that come after the animals in the order of Genesis 1.

Jon: In the order of how things appear in Genesis 1.

Tim: Yeah, not in Genesis 2. But in Genesis 1, the humans come at the climactic point of the story and after the animals are made. But yeah, they are said to rule over the animals, which sets up a conflict because, on Genesis chapter 3, you got an angry animal.

Jon: A disgruntled animal.

Tim: Yeah, who there's a whole backstory behind. But one thing that's for sure is that animal is and represents something that doesn't want to be ruled by anybody. And certainly not humans.

Jon: The snake.

Jon:

Yeah, the snake. Nachash. We talked about how the nachash is a narrative image that clearly you're supposed to assume there is more than meets the eye here. But it's not less than an animal. It seems to be an animal and more than an animal. But the fact that it's an animal who's overcoming the human, it ends up being this tragic reversal of the divine ideal for humanity. Then the moment humans are overcome by the animal in Genesis 3, they actually start behaving like animals.

And this links back to Daniels vision in that it's animals that are trampling.

Tim: That's right. It's mutant animals.

Jon: Like the best way to describe humanity gone awry is the images of mutant animals.

Tim: Mutant animals, yeah. That's right. Then you get this animal-like behavior that surfaces out of Cain. Remember the animal urge to—

Jon: Kill his brother?

Tim: Yeah, that's crouching for you. And then what does he do? He kills his brother like an animal. And then his descendants do the same and so violence keep spreading, spreading, spreading, leading up to the Tower of Babylon in the city of Babylon, where you have humans now in their animal-like state exalting themselves up to the

heavens as if they can declare themselves to rule over heaven and earth.

Jon: What was that thing about Nimrod?

Tim: He's the first animal slayer. He's the grandson of Ham.

Jon: Who's the son of Noah.

Tim: Ham is son of Noah. Ham does that sketchy thing with his dad, he gets a curse

brought down on him, and Ham becomes the grandfather of Nimrod, who's the first

animal slayer in terms of a hunter.

Jon: He's a hunter.

Tim: And he's a violent warrior. He's a gibbor in Hebrew. Then he goes and builds

Babylon, and then his son goes out and found Assyria. So the two biggest bad guys in the entire Bible come from Nimrod who comes from Ham, who's connected with this trajectory of humans in Genesis 1 through 11 of people who are duped by the

animal and therefore start to act like animals.

Jon: Duped by the animal in the idea of the snake?

Tim: Yeah.

And then also with sin being in Genesis 4? Jon:

Tim: Sin is depicted as animal that gets humans to act like animals. It goes to where Cain

> murders his brother. That's the overall portrait. That's where we've been so far. There's a really robust portrait about humans and animals emerging out of this here.

Jon:

It seems like it's important to you to say humans are acting like animals, and I want to make sure I understand how you're getting there. What leads you to use that language?

Tim:

Got it. You have an animal overcoming a human in Genesis 3. Then those humans go out with a programmatic statement given in God's words to the serpent - that there'll be two lineages emerging out of the story here. An animal line, a seed of the serpent, and then a human line, the seed of the woman. And the human line is going to, at some point, crush and overcome the serpent line and its lineage.

But the animal line is it's more than animals. Jon:

Tim: Well, in Genesis 3, it's giving you an image of baby snakes. But then you go, "Well, okay, but baby snakes?" The next story is about a human. The woman gives birth to two humans, but then one of those humans has a metaphorical animal crouching at its door and it is overcome by that animal temptation, and then takes on the behavior of an animal - slaying his brother.

> So Cain, he's not the seed of the serpent. He's on an analogy to or he becomes metaphorically. But then you learn, "Oh, this wasn't about baby snakes. Who cares about baby snakes?" The point is about humans who are acting like animals

because they give in to the animal.

Jon: The animal inside.

Tim: The animal inside. So, the snake in Genesis 3 is mirrored by the paired story of the

animal sin-

Jon: So the seed of the snake is kind of a spiritual evil?

Tim: Or moral evil. It's humans giving in—

Jon: It materializes in a moral evil for humans.

Tim: I mean, it's humans redefining good and evil. And this is great, we're always talking about Cain and Abel. But how Cain and Abel both replays and intensifies the portrait of Genesis 3 of humans and animals, they mutually illuminate each other. The way that Cain faces his animal is that it's inside. It's an inner urge to redefine good and evil so that what's in my best interest is to eliminate the life of another for my

wellbeing.

And this is what's keeping the humans from ruling? Jon:

Yes. That's why violence is tied in here. Humans acting like animals is humans being reduced to violent behavior for their own best interests. Which is the opposite apparently, of a human who truly knows how to rule the world in the wisdom of God.

It's the opposite.

So all of a sudden, humans killing each other, and violence becomes the main portrait of what's wrong with humans in Genesis 3 to 11. And then it turns corporate

Tim:

with Babylon. You get Nimrod building an empire, then you've got whole empires that are acting like animals.

Jon: And God's like, "I don't want this to go down."

That's right. That whole narrative trajectory, like later biblical authors, they see all this. That's why the primary metaphor for Babylon in the prophets is of wild animals, including the Book of Daniel. So a story of an actual animal and a human in Genesis 3 becomes a story of humans facing their own inner animal throughout the rest of the biblical story, and how is the beast going to be overcome.

But this puts us in a quandary. The beast has to be overcome, but God doesn't want to destroy humans. He wants humans to rule the world along beside Him. So somehow, the beast has to be defeated and overcome in a way that doesn't destroy all humanity, even though humanity has become a beast. So how do you destroy the beast without destroying humanity? I think that's the interesting thing of what we could do with the Son of Man video. Humans keep acting like animals, God has to deal with humans in their animal-like state, but His goal is to move them into a restored humanity. Like the plot tension that could drive the video.

Jon: Thanks. I like that.

[00:13:23]

Jon:

Tim:

Jon: Now, we are mammals.

Tim: Yes, we are.

Jon: In Genesis 1, we were created on the same day with the animals.

Tim: That's right. And we emerged from the ground. We go back to it. In Genesis 2, remember there's no corresponding one for the human. It's not good for the human to be alone. And so Plan A is the animals. God makes the animals.

Jon: Yeah, hang out with the animals.

Tim: So this is close connection.

Jon: That's right. In that narrative in Genesis 2, you got man, he's alone, God's like, "Oh, make up some animals." Made the animals. "Ahh, still not good enough."

Tim: That's right. It doesn't correspond to Him. But I think the point isn't that it's like apples and oranges. It's close but it doesn't correspond. Then that makes the conflict between human and animals this kind of tragic past that we've lost, which is why peace with the animals as we're going to see in the prophets becomes a huge image of a new creation of peace with the animals. So there's something we've lost. We have a connection to the animals that we've lost when we only see them in zoos, or eat them.

I feel like we're saying two opposite things then. Which is, one, the sense of lost piece with the animals, this connection with the animals. The other one is that we're

fighting this inner animal. It's kind of like, "Yeah, animals are good and we need to be buddies with the animals." "Animals are dangerous, you don't want to become like animals."

Tim:

That's a good point. But I think that's the animal imagery conserve multiple purposes. Jon, that's a really good observation. Thank you for pointing that out. Peace with peaceful animals is the Eden image. Expelled from the garden, humans become dangerous.

Jon: Like dangerous animals.

Tim: Like dangerous animals. And animals become dangerous. And then they can

become images of each other. Dangerous humans and dangerous animals.

Jon: Animals are by nature dangerous.

Tim: That's true. Unless you threaten them. But that's true of humans too. I do know. I think humans are probably a little more destructive, a little more dangerous.

Jon: Oh, for sure. It's just like you hear these stories of people who have like a pet ape, and the pet ape is all friendly and they are like, "This pet ape will never hurt anyone." And then someone comes over and the ape bites their face off.

Tim: That's right. Or they've had a tiger for five years and then one day it just kills someone.

Jon: And you're like, "Oh, that's right, it's an animal."

Tim: They're wild.

But there is this biblical prophetic hope of something different than actual peace. Jon: You could actually have a pet ape and it won't rip someone's face off.

Tim: That's right. In the background, all of our conversations about how metaphors work in the Bible. So it doesn't necessarily mean there's video camera footage of the new creation. These images of peace with the animals...We'll look at some later on. Rather it's using an experience that we know of feeling this connection to the animals that it has been lost. And we also know the feeling of fear of animals.

> Imagine a world where there is no fear, but only peace with the animals. When you think of that and it warms your heart, that's like a little taste of—

Jon: My four-year-old has no fear of animals.

Tim: Yes, you've mentioned this before. Is that still the case?

It's still. Jon:

Tim: You think if he saw a Python he would want to go to it?

Jon: I don't know about a snake. There's something about snakes. I'm not sure about

snakes.

Tim: Like a fuzzy grizzly bear.

Jon: Yeah. We were trying to explain to him that he shouldn't hug bears. And he's like,

"Well, the nice ones." We are like, "No, there's no nice bears. Don't hug bears. Full

stop." He's like, "Okay. But the nice ones?" He doesn't get it.

Tim: Here's where we're going to go from here. This may or may not be content relevant to the video so I kind of want to just fly over this. But the animal imagery doesn't stop

when we leave the early Genesis narratives. It continues to resonate in the background because it's a big thing in Genesis 3 to 11. The corruption of humanity

and their animal-like behavior. So, it continuous.

Animal imagery plays a significant role throughout the biblical story moving out of

Genesis. So I thought it just kind of hit on some to point out—

Jon: Like the greatest hits

Tim: Yeah, exactly. Exactly right.

[00:18:51]

Tim: So you move out of Babylon, scattering Babylon and God select one line out of the

people groups from that part of the world. And that leads to Abraham and his family. God says to Abraham, "Through your line, that blessing of the new humanity and the new creation, the blessing for all nations is going to come through your family." But remember, just like from the woman, there came two brothers, an older and a younger, and then there was conflict. One of them is angry and acts like an animal.

That motif replays through every generation in the book of Genesis.

Jon: The first born and the second born.

Tim: Totally, that's right. Abraham ends up having his first two sons. Ishmael first and then

Isaac. And just like Cain and Abel, it's the second born who is the line that God chooses as the line of promise. Isn't it interesting that there's conflict between the two of them in Genesis 16 and 21? And the imagery used to describe that conflict is Genesis 16:12. Ishmael will be a wild donkey of a human. He's going to be a wild

animal.

Jon: It sounds like an insult. A wild donkey animal.

Tim: The Ishmaelites are connected to the desert tribes that were southeast of the land of

Israel, and they end up being in different periods of peace and conflict and so on. But they are wild out there. Like wild donkey, they roam the steps. That kind of thing.

Jon: What does that mean roam the steps?

Tim: Like the desert steps. Like the plateau steps.

Jon: Cool.

Tim: There we go. Just two brothers again, firstborn and second born and once again,

that firstborn who isn't the chosen line is depicted as an animal - connected with animal imagery. Jacob and Esau is the next generation. Isaac has two sons, Jacob

and Esau. Esau comes out first-

Jon: Firstborn.

Tim: Firstborn. He's hairy. So hairy.

Jon: He's a hairy man.

Tim: Hairy man, and he eats like an animal.

Jon: He eats like an animal?

Tim: Remember the first story is about how he comes in from the field and he's hungry

and Jacob's making this bowl of stew and Esau says...in Hebrew he says, "Give me that adom adom." "Give me that red red stuff." Then he sat and he ate and he drank and he got up. It's just all these verbs in a row as if he's just like wolfing it down. Anyway. He sounds like an animal. And Jacob becomes out grabbing his brother's

akev.

Jon: His heel.

Tim: His heel.

Jon: Akev.

Tim: Akev. And so they give him the name Yaakov to match what he came out doing. The

word for to grab someone's heel, like to trip them, became one of the Hebrew words

for deceive or to trick somebody.

Jon: It's not a great name.

Tim: His name means heel grabber, which means to trick somebody.

Jon: This is the second born?

Tim: This is the second born. Do you remember all the way back to Genesis 3 the conflict

between the snake and the woman?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: God says to the snake, "There'll be conflict between your seed and her seed. Then

the woman's seed, he will crush your head and you will crush his heel." The snake is

the one who tries to get the heel to trick people.

The next time the word "heel" is used in the book of Genesis is to describe Jacob

coming out grabbing his brother's heel.

Jon: Not a coincidence?

Tim: No, no, oh no. This is so hyperlinked. It's like a glowing blue hyperlink.

Jon: "Click on me."

Tim: "Click me. Click me." Then you put Jacob's birth story on top of Genesis 3:15 and

Jacob is on analogy to the snake.

Jon: Now, the snake is the first born and Jacob is the second born.

Tim: That's right. It's just in their roles in relation to people's heels. Have I heard about someone grabbing and snatching onto the heel of another? Oh, yeah. It was a snake. This is how hyperlinks work. When biblical authors want to suggest a comparison between one character and early biblical character, they'll use the

language from that earlier story.

Jon: You made a point earlier, though, to show how the animals were the first created and the humans then are the second, and then the second is supposed to rule the first. And that becomes a biblical motif of the second born ruling the first born.

Tim: That's right. That's the creative twist with Jacob and Esau. The first comes out and he's an animal. Esau. The second one comes out and he's no better. He's an animal too.

Jon: So that should be the unexpected?

Jon:

Tim: Yeah, that's the twist in the plot. They're both animals. Neither one of them deserves to be the chosen one.

He's acting like an animal too. And the way that the snake was acting is grabbing the heel.

Tim: Totally. That's right. So they come out of the womb and Rebecca, their mom, gets this promise from God that two nations are in your womb; one people will serve the other, the older will serve the younger. The younger is going to rule just like Cain and Abel and Isaac and Ishmael. But they're both animals.

Jon: With Cain and Abel, it wasn't that Abel was going to rule but that he was favored over Cain.

Tim: That's right. Here, Jacob is getting that favor.

Jon: Second born getting the favor over the first born.

Tim: Jacob doesn't just receive favor; he's going to steal it from his brother. He's going to trade it for that bowl of stew, and then he's going to, remember, cheat his old blind father out of it by dressing up as his animal brother. He kills an animal to make himself feel like an animal. Notice the animal imagery is like permeates the Jacob story and Esau.

Jon: And he didn't have to do that because he was already going to get the blessing. No,

still you don't know.

Tim: Exactly. That becomes the weird thing.

Jon: Because it's up to the Father.

Tim: Totally. Actually, Isaac, his dad wanted Esau. He loved Esau. This is how Book of

Genesis starts spinning your brain. Actually, God is able to work and then through Jacobs evil to accomplish His purpose anyway, which is the point of the whole

Joseph's story.

Jon: He uses humans who become animals to redeem humans.

Tim: Let's talk about the next generation, Joseph and his brothers. So Jacob hasn't

learned anything. It was his father's favoritism that ruined he and Esau's

relationship. He has 12 sons and he favors one of them more than the other.

Jon: The youngest.

Tim: The second to youngest. He gives him the coat of many colors. So his brothers hate

him. And then Joseph has those dreams. And what are his dreams about?

Jon: That the brothers will serve him.

Tim: And particularly that he's going to rule as a king over his brothers. Genesis 1

language - one ruling over the others. The latecomer ruling over the first comers.

Jon: The pattern is painfully clear now.

Tim: Painfully clear. It's what the whole book of Genesis is about. And so, just like Cain's

anger and violence was aroused by this, just like Esau's was, so Joseph's brothers hate him. And so, they're going to murder him, but they decided to spare him and

only sell him into slavery.

Jon: It's a nice move.

Tim: Then the ironic twist, what they end up doing is not killing him but they kill an animal

and dip the colored coat in the animals blood and take it to Jacob so that he thinks an animal killed his son. So here's the animals again - animals killing human but this

time it's a lie. Every generation. Genesis, dude, I'm telling you.

Jon: So the animal stuff is not a coincidence.

Tim: No, it's all intentional.

Jon: They are using all these animal stuff intentionally.

Tim: That's right. Here, the brothers are acting like animals, which is symbolized by them

killing an animal to trick their father. Just like Jacob tricked his father Isaac, now

Jacob's son tricks him.

Jon: It's a lot of kids tricking their fathers, a lot of sibling rivalry.

Tim: That's right. It's permeates the whole thing and a lot of violence, and a lot of

comparing people with animals. So, Book of Genesis—

Jon: In every generation, the second born is going to rule over the firstborn.

Tim: The late born. That's right.

Jon: The late born in Joseph's case.

Tim: So all that really does is just reinforced to you that man, we need some different

humans. Every human I'm meeting in the story, some have positive traits, but they're always balanced by really horrible traits. And these horrible traits are almost always

connected to animal things in the storyline and specifically, violent behavior.

So you walk out of Genesis going, "Dude, we need a new and different kind of human around here." Then you enter the Exodus story. So these are the

descendants of... we're flying high, is this okay?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: Just cruising.

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: So we go into Exodus. The descendants of Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob are

multiplying in Egypt.

Jon: There's a whole crew now.

Tim: Yeah. The new king of Egypt says, "Well, there's way too many of them." He makes

up a story. He makes up a propaganda to demonize the Israelites. He says to his people, "Look, they're going to be too many. Man, if we ever are in a battle, they're going to fight against us with our enemies, and then leave the land." Which is, of

course, what exactly what ends up happening is God fights against them.

Jon: And they join the battle and they leave the land.

Tim: His fears come true, but not at all—

Jon: The way he expected.

Tim: No. His first line to the Egyptians in Exodus 1 is he says, "Come, let us deal

shrewdly with them."

Jon: Same words with the snake?

Tim: It's a synonym but it's meant to recall the snake type portrait of, "Here are the ones

God has placed here with the blessing." And like the snake, he's here to try and turn that into a curse. And it all backfires on him. Specifically, he tries to drown all the

boys. Then there's one particular boy who gets thrown into the waters but in an ark. We've talked about this before. I'm pretty sure we have.

Jon: Yeah, the basket. I know it as the basket.

Tim: That's right. It gets translated as basket.

Jon: This is Moses.

Tim: This is Moses.

Jon: Baby Moses.

Tim: Baby Moses. I forget if we've looked at this before. The thing that Moses' mom puts him in is called in Hebrew a tevah. The word "Tevah" is used only one other time in the Hebrew Bible. And it's Noah's Ark.

Jon: Noah's tevah.

Tim: Yeah, Noah's tevah. They fulfill the same purpose in each story, that is God rescuing the promised seed through the waters of death and that seed is going to be carried to the top of a mountain to meet with God and perform a key active intercessory mediation.

Jon: Okay. Like Noah going through the flood and then tevah, he lands on a mountain...

Tim: ...and offers a sacrifice. Then God says, "Okay, I'm not going to destroy humans anymore." Excuse me, I'm not going to destroy humans like I—

Jon: But then he grows a vineyard and he gets drunk.

Tim: Yeah.

Jon: Moses goes through the waters in the tevah and he doesn't land on a mountain.

Tim: No, he doesn't. He lands in Pharaoh's house.

Jon: He gets adopted into Pharaoh's house and then he takes Israel to a mountain.

Tim: That's right. Yeah, after leaving Egypt. On the macro level, the portrait of Moses' life is set on analogy to the story of Noah, and Abraham, and all kinds of other characters.

Jon: No accident.

Tim: It's very intentional. But yes, in the King James, they call it..."When Moses mother could no longer hide him, she took for him in ark." Thank you, King James. Most translation to basket. The reason why that's significant is because Noah was with the animals. He was a new Adam with the animals in the ark. The Ark was a little micro Eden. And then he got off, and blew it in another garden. So this is how design patterns work.

Once I think of Moses as a Noah-like character, I'm thinking everything that characterizes Noah, I'm looking for correspondences. That's what these hyperlinks are meant to do. And lo and behold, in the burning bush story there's this moment where Moses says, "Listen, I'm going to go to the Israelites and tell them you sent me to rescue them."

And he says, "What if they don't believe me?" "Well, listening to what I say. They might say, the Lord hasn't appeared to you." This Exodus 4. So the Lord said to him, "Hey, What's that in your hand?" And he said, "It's a staff." Then God said, "Throw it on the ground." So he threw it on the ground, and it became a nachash. A snake. It's the word for snake from Genesis 3. And he ran away from it.

Jon: Yeah, as you would.

Tim: Can you imagine? Sheesh. But then the Lord said to Moses, "No, no, no. Stretch out your hand and grab it by its tail."

Jon: By its tail?

Tim: "Grab that snake."

Jon: Supposed to crush its head? Is that the point?

Tim: Isn't that interesting? Yeah. He doesn't get its head; he gets the other end. But it surely meant to make your imagination go back to that.

Jon: Think about that.

Tim: So he stretched out his hand, he took it, caught it, and it became a staff again in his hand. And God says, "This is this so that they may believe that the Lord the God of your fathers has appeared to you."

Jon: So this isn't just a parlor trick? It's not getting behind this imagery?

Tim: No. He is a new Noah, he's a new Adam.

Jon: Oh, and he has power over the snake.

Tim: Yeah.

[00:34:12]

Tim: All right. This is awkward but maybe funny too. Jon and I are coming from the future in to this past podcast. We actually lost the last 15 minutes of the conversation that you were just listening to. We realize that just recently. So here we are having the conversation again in months and months later.

Jon: Four months later.

Tim: Totally.

Jon: And your voice...you're just sick.

Tim: Yeah. I had a sinus infection this week so that's why my voice sounds different. But this is such a cool thing. This whole thing about Moses grabbing and having power of the snake, it's really cool. We had a fun conversation about it. At least we remember that we did. We thought we would try and have it again.

Jon: Let's do it.

Tim: Sweet. Let's pause here. The whole significance of Moses is that Moses is introduced into the story with the imagery of all of the momentum of the previous characters from the book of Genesis. So remember, Genesis 3:15, we're looking for a human who will have power over the snake, who won't give in to the beast and act like a beast, but rather, will act like the true human that God has called humans today.

Most of the characters in Genesis fail. They're likened to animals. Jacob is even likened to a snake who grabs the heel.

Jon: Yeah. He's the grabber?

Tim: He's a heel grabber. When we're introduced to Moses, the author really wants us to connect him to this hope for a new Adam with power of the snake.

Jon: We've talked before in a different podcast about how Moses is super close to becoming kind of like this new Adam.

Tim: Yeah. He's the closest character up to that point in the biblical story for sure. I mean, he gets to go up on the sacred cosmic mountain.

Jon: And he comes down and his face is like a tabernacle.

Tim: He actually sees God on His throne. Exactly. So leading up to that put in a little ark in the waters, again, likening him to Noah who is himself a kind of Adam 2.0. Really what we're talking about is design patterns. When we did the How to Read Biblical Narrative, we did that thing about design patterns. Stories later on in the Bible are patterned after and interconnected with earlier stories through common words, hyperlinks, motifs, and images.

Jon: And we're tracing the pattern of animal imagery.

Tim: Yeah. The human with power over the evil beast, that's what we're waiting for.

Jon: And humans who become like beast.

Tim: Or humans who be given to the beast and become like a beast. That's right. Moses is going to go confront the powers of evil in Egypt and Pharaoh, and the sign that he carries with him is that he has power over snakes.

Jon: His staff becomes a snake.

Yeah, exactly. Here's what's fascinating is, so we just looked at that story in Exodus 4, and that was he was by himself up on top of Mount Sinai before the burning bush when he had power over the snake. That's cool. But the thing is, he needs to be able to do this in public to convince people.

The narrative of Moses, and then Aaron actually performing the sign is in Exodus 7. It's just fascinating. We have to read it because it develops the ideas here.

Exodus 7:8: "Now the Lord spoke to Moses and Aaron, saying, "When Pharaoh speaks to you, saying, 'Perform a sign..." This is like in Pharaoh's courtroom. They're going to go and Pharaoh is going to be like, "Prove that your God is real and that I should let the people go." So Pharaoh will say, "Perform a sign." Then Moses, say to Aaron, "Take your staff throw it down before Pharaoh that it may become a sea monster." Well, in Hebrew, tannin.

Jon: Tannin. I remember this.

Tim: It's a different word—

Jon: So the first time it becomes a nachash.

Tim: Nachash, which is the word for snake from Genesis 3.

Jon: And now you're like, "Okay, he's the one that can now rule the snake and he's going to rule the snake in order to fight against evil. It's this whole thing. Then he goes to do the trick in front of Pharaoh, but it turns into—

Tim: Something different. Well, a related word and its significant difference. In most English translations, the Exodus 4 story is snake or serpent. In Exodus 7, it's a different Hebrew word.

Jon: But it says snake in NIV.

Tim: NIV says Snake. ESV says serpent. New American Standard Version says serpent. In other words, they don't register the difference - our English translations. But in Hebrew, there's an important difference. Because the tannin is no mere—

Jon: No ordinary snake.

Tim: It's not just like a ground snake. It's the same word that appears in Genesis 1 on day five for the water swimmers. Well, God makes the water swimmers, and then it says, "And He also made the tannin." Which gets translated as I think enormous sea creatures or great sea creatures. But ESV there gets it right. Sea monster.

Jon: A tannin is the sea monster.

Tim: This is otherwise known as Leviathan. The same Leviathan from the book of Job.

Jon: Oh, it's Leviathan. That's right.

Tim: Leviathan is another name for the same creature.

Jon: How do you spell this in English? Tannin.

Tim: Tannin

Jon: If you google image search, you get a ton of...Oh, it's a chemical. I was hoping to

find like-

Tim: Tannin?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: You Google tannins.

Jon: I was hoping that a sea monster will show up.

Tim: If you put in the word "tannin" in Hebrew—

Jon: There he is. Oh, my goodness. This one looks like an alligator shark.

Tim: Some kind of dinosaur. Wow. It's the tannin.

Jon: This is the thing that in other cultures was a big deal.

Tim: That's right. The Canaanite neighbors up to the north who left a huge library behind in the city of Ugarit left behind the Ugaritic tablets and the tannin who was also

in the city of Ugarit left behind the Ugaritic tablets and the tannin who was also known as Leviathan. In their literature is the mythological chaos monster who resists

the chief God and is responsible for death and chaos and evil in the world.

Jon: Is it also related to the...it's the Babylonian one where...?

Tim: Oh, yes. The Babylonian counterpart is Tiamat who is the ocean waters.

Jon: And is personified as a sea dragon.

Tim: It's personified as a sea dragon. The Marduk fights to become the king of the

universe. The whole point is, the fact that the narrator uses a different Hebrew word to describe what the staff becomes before Pharaoh and his sorceress is significant. Let's keep reading. This is still in God's command. "Do this it will become a tannin."

Exodus 7 again.

Jon: Which would be intense.

Tim: Yeah, totally. That's enough for it to become a snake, a staff, like all sudden

squirming...That's crazy. But it would have to like more often to like this massive

beast that's supposed to live in water and it's flopping around Pharaoh's...

Tim: And because that's hard for moderns to imagine, I think that's the motivation behind

translating it as well. It must just mean also a snake. This word must also mean snake. The word doesn't mean snake. Then some people think, "Well, maybe it's crocodile," because we're thinking you have to literally translate the image. But you

still have to explain why is it snake in Exodus 4 but crocodile in Exodus 7. That

doesn't make any sense. Again, these narrators are interested in the theological meaning and significance of these images.

Exodus 7: "Moses and Aaron went to Pharaoh, they did just as the Lord commanded Aaron threw the staff before Pharaoh and his servants, and it became a tannin. So Pharaoh also called for his Wiseman and sorceress and the magicians of Egypt, and they did the same with their magic arts. Each one threw down his own staff and they also turned into tannin." Let's just pause.

So now it's the showdown of Egypt, and their pagan magic in the nations that oppressed innocent. They have their own chaos monster under their control. But then there's Yahweh who controls the chaos monster through Moses and Aaron. Then the next thing that happens is "But Aaron's staff swallowed up their staffs."

Jon: So, who's got the real chaos monster?

Tim: Totally. This is actually Genesis 1. This is God's power over the darkness. Remember this? God. He doesn't eradicate the darkness.

Jon: He separates it from light.

Tim: He separates it and contains it and turns it into a cycle of darkness and then light. That's an image right there in day one of Genesis of God doesn't eradicate chaos and death and evil and darkness. He rather makes it serve his grand purpose to bring about true and ultimate light. Then there's something happening here. This is a battle of light and dark. Yahweh can make the tannin destroy the other tannin.

Jon: But this is also about who ultimately can defeat the beast and who has control over

That's right. Moses and his brother Aaron, they're associated here. Aaron will be the high priest. Aaron's going to become the high priest and be the one who goes into the little mini Eden, that is the tabernacle, once a year. You know, like a new Adam going back to Eden. But also Moses is a snake grabber so to speak.

So, in this narrative, Moses and Aaron serve as a new part of the portrait - another like mosaic tile in the Hebrew Bibles depiction of that snake crusher from Genesis 3:15.

Jon: The seed of the woman.

Yeah, the seed of the woman. We know that we're not making this up. In other Tim: words, you could say, "That sounds like an allegorical symbolic reading of these Exodus stories."

Jon: Well, I mean, you could say literally, there was a tannin that swallowed another tannin.

Yeah, you could. But the guestion is, why are the biblical authors telling us this story? They don't have to tell us anything. They include this story of them doing what God said to do but the word is different. The visual really first clued me into this was

Tim:

Tim:

when you turn to the prophets, books of Isaiah and Ezekiel, they look back on the Exodus narrative, and they see all these connections between Yahweh's mission to overcome the ultimate snake of Genesis 3.

Here, let me just give you an example. One is in the book of Ezekiel 32. It's a simple example. Ezekiel is delivering these oracles of accusation and judgment against the nations around him. There's debate between somewhere to 700 to 800 years after Moses. So completely different time and place. However, Egypt still exists and they still call their king Pharaoh.

So, in Ezekiel 32, God tells Ezekiel, human, Son of Man, "Take up a lamentation over Pharaoh, king of Egypt and say to him, 'You compared yourself to a lion among the nations but here's what you're really like. You're like a tannin in the seas."

Jon: It says monster in NIV.

Tim: Yeah, you're the sea monster. So we're reflecting here, where does Ezekiel get this idea?

Jon: You're the evil boss.

Tim: Yeah, totally. When Moses faces Pharaoh, and it's his staff versus Pharaoh's staff, so to speak, and Pharaoh's staff is a tannin. So it's Yahweh's tannin with Moses, and then it's Pharaoh's tannin. The fact that Pharaoh becomes a physical embodiment of the snake in Genesis 3, and the sea monster, Ezekiel does assume that right here. "You're like a tannin."

> Here's another example. In Isaiah chapter 51, there's this poem where Isaiah is longing for God to bring Babylon down and to return the exiles back to Jerusalem. Isaiah 51:9, the poet starts talking to the arm of Yahweh, and says, "Wake up. Wake up. Put on strength, O, arm of Yahweh. Wake up as in the days of old generations long ago." Two things. Remember...

Yeah, Moses' arm is Yahweh's arm when he separates the waters. Jon:

Tim: That's right. Moses stretches out his arm over the waters in the narrative. But in the poem of Exodus 15, Yahweh's arm is what's splitting the seas. Once again, Yahweh and Moses are connected. So when did the arm of the Lord do something mighty long ago? The Exodus story. Yahweh's arm. Wasn't it you who cut Rahav into pieces. Rahav Israelite name for the chief god of Egypt. Rahav. That's not the word that Egyptians use. They use the word Ra or Re, the sun god. But biblical authors use the word Rahab.

> The whole point is we're recalling the past Exodus, and it says, "Wasn't it you, O arm of the Lord who cut down the God of Egypt? Wasn't it you who pierced the tannin? Wasn't it you who dried up the sea, the waters of the great deep, who made the depths of the sea into a pathway for the redeemed to crossover?"

Jon: It was, in fact.

It was. It was Moses' arm holding that staff but that was the arm of Lord. Then the poet says, "In the same way - like that past Exodus - so the ransomed, those ransomed by the Lord, will, in the future return, and come with shouting to Zion." So this poet in Isaiah is reading the Exodus story, actually, as a portrait of future hope.

Jon:

What God will do in the future.

Tim:

And he sees the narrative about Moses and his arm and his staff as really a narrative about God and his staff and his power to overcome the forces of evil. And what are the forces of evil? They are reptilian chaos monster of the seas.

Jon:

Now is that verse nine? It was you who cut Ra to pieces or Rahab to pieces, who pierced...

Tim:

...pierced the sea monster. Now NIV says that monster. Like it's referring back to Ra.

Tim:

Yes, they're the same.

Jon:

So Egypt thought of Ra as a sea monster?

Tim:

Two things. In the narrative of the Exodus, who actually defeated in the waters?

Jon:

In the narrative of Exodus, who was defeated in the waters?

Tim:

In the waters of the sea that the Israelites pass through when they were rescued, who did God defeat in the waters?

Jon:

Pharaoh.

Tim:

A Pharaoh. Pharaoh, in Egyptian propaganda, he's the incarnation of the gods. So when God defeats Pharaoh, He is defeating a force of spiritual evil. That's how the biblical authors—

Jon:

So Isaiah likens it here to a tannin?

Tim:

That's right. In other words, he reads the Exodus narrative as a portrait of the snake crusher. He reads the story of the past as giving us imagery and language to talk about our hope for the future. But that was planted all the way back in Genesis 3:15. That we're waiting for a human, a son of man who won't give into the beast and be and acting like a beast, but one who will conquer the beast as a source.

The author of Isaiah here reads the Exodus story about Moses and his staff and the tannin and Pharaoh as this theological mosaic pointing to that future hope.

Jon:

That's cool.

Tim:

The Bible, dude.

Jon:

Sea monsters. There's more sea monsters in the Bible than meets the eye.

Tim:

Yeah, totally.

Jon: I want to make sure I got this all straight, though.

Tim: Okay. Feel free. It's clear to me, but that doesn't mean it's clear to anybody else.

Jon: I remember being really surprised at how the theme of Son of Man became so much about beasts. It's like, this is a theme video about beasts and not about the Son of Man.

Tim: I see.

Jon: It's still may be a little muddy for me in terms of just connecting all the dots. Let me try to summarize. So humanity is given the authority to rule and part of that rule is to rule over animals. And ruling over animals, the prophets have this vision of peace with the animals. And ruling with the animals is actually this kind of beautiful thing.

Tim: Protecting them and giving them space to flourish as well. They have their food; we have our food. Remember that thing in Genesis 1?

Jon: Yeah. They can eat all the grass; we eat the seed-bearing stuff. But then we are introduced to a beast who is a snake, who's not just a beast because there's something more going on.

Tim: It's a spiritual being.

Jon: Now all of a sudden, the Bible is merging two ideas.

Tim: Yeah, that's right.

It's like, "Hey, you're supposed to rule the beasts. Here comes a beast that's going Jon: to rule over you but it's not just a beast. It's actually part of this spiritual rebellion."

Tim: Correct.

Jon: Now, when we're looking at this theme of beasts, it's connected to spiritual rebellion.

Tim: Correct. It's humans becoming captive to the spiritual power.

Jon: So it's not just humans being like, "I'm going to just give an animal urge." It is that but it's also more than that.

Tim: It's giving ourselves over to powers and thoughts and systems that take us not forward into life and image of God working together to rule the world in wisdom. It takes us backwards into competitive violent rivalry that results in all of us being destroyed. It takes us back into chaos and nothingness.

Jon: So when God talks about these two lines, these two lineages, that there's going to be the seed of the serpent, the seed of the snake, and then there's a seed of the woman and we're waiting for the seed of the woman who's going to come and destroy the snake. But this idea of the seed of the snake becomes part of that theme too - humans who give in to that animal/supernatural evil kind of thing.

Tim: Cosmic evil.

Jon: The cosmic evil.

Tim: That's right. It sets you up to think, "Oh, there's going to be good guys and bad guys." But then you go into the Cain and Abel story and you've got a clean slate. These are both children of the woman. But the seed of the woman becomes the seed of the snake in the Cain story.

> And then you get all these stories afterwards of people who, when you're introduced to them, they're already acting like a snake or some of them are born like a snake, like Jacob. And then the whole story is about how God has to really work this guy over so that he'll finally become a seed of the woman. So it's not a static you're one or the other. People fluctuate between what seeds they are part of.

Jon: Right. Which is something you learn later in life. When you're a kid, there's good guys and bad guys, and the good guys beat the bad guys. And then when you're an adult, you realize we're all kind of good guys and bad guys, and every day is a decision.

Tim: That's right. It's a famous Alexander Solzhenitsyn quote of the line of good and evil does not exist out there, it runs right down the middle of me.

So we trace this theme of humans being compared to beasts to show that. Now, Jon: connected to this is another layer, which is the whole first born and second born thing. But we can leave that to the side.

Tim: Yeah, for the moment.

Jon: Man, there's so much. No wonder you call as a Jewish meditation literature...It's like there's so many interwoven ideas, and then you start tracing the idea and then the idea flips on you like, "Okay, there's going to be a seed of the snake and seed of the woman." Then all sudden, the seed of the woman becomes the seed of the snake. And the seed of the snake is connected to humans needing to rule animals, which is like a literal thing, but then become something bigger.

Tim: Yeah, yeah, I agree. When we make the theme videos in a way we're...I think I've used this. It's like some people have this big balls of like hundreds of rubber bands all bound together.

Jon: And try to take off a couple.

Tim: Yeah. We're probably doing a little damage distorting a particular theme when you isolate it and take it out of the rubber band ball. But to begin to understand it, we have to do that and then put it back on the ball again.

Jon: I think another analogy is, it is like some sort of symphony, and it's like, "Hey, let's take this one—

Tim: Let's isolate the flute.

Jon: Yeah, let's isolate the flute. Or even like, "Let's just isolate all the instruments who

are doing this one little melody or this one little sub thing."

Tim: That's good. That's better analogy that the rubber band.

Jon: And then when you do that, actually you're losing a lot. But in order to then

understand the thing so then, they can listen to that in context everything else.

Tim: That's right. You can probably do that today in ways you couldn't long time ago. In

terms of digital-

Jon: With music?

Tim: Yeah, yeah. That you could probably isolate all the different tracks of different

instruments in a symphony, and then in some software, turn off things and then just

listen to it like you're doing one section. That would be a great analogy.

Jon: Oh good.

Tim: I like this.

Jon: Then all of this is because we're waiting for my son of the human who can ultimately

crush the snake.

Tim: That's right.

Jon: And when we get to Daniel 7, we are told that there's all these beasts, they're crazy

mutant beasts, they are not normal beasts, and they are human kingdoms gone

awry.

Tim: They are symbol explicitly in his dream that beasts are identified as human

kingdoms.

Jon: And God has to destroy them.

Tim: That's right. If you've been reading the Torah, the Exodus story already gave you an

image of a whole kingdom that is likened to the sea monster and a snake, which is

Pharaoh.

Jon: And so the people are being oppressed by this beast...

Tim: God elevates the Son of Man.

Jon: ...that comes up, sits at the right hand of God, rules with Him and it's all connected

to this theme of a seed of the woman who can...

Tim: Correct.

Jon: Now, why does it in Daniel 7 that the Son of Man destroys the beast? Because in

that vision it's God Himself destroy the beast.

It's God destroys the beast. That's right. Well, I think it's that by the time you're to Daniel in the Hebrew Scriptures, you've lost hope in any human who can do it because everybody's failed. So, what is going to have to happen is a joint God human initiative that is even more than what Moses did. Because that was a God human initiative - Moses and Yahweh thrashing on the beast.

Jon: But Moses didn't sit on a throne next to Yahweh.

Tim: No, Moses went up on a cosmic mountain.

Jon: But he didn't stay up there.

Tim: But he didn't stay up there.

Jon: And he wasn't worshiped.

Tim: Yeah. And eventually, he displayed that he had a lack of trust in God's power when

they were out in the wilderness.

Jon: There's someone better than Moses, the Son of Man. And then this character

becomes the way Jesus refers to himself.

Tim: That's right. This character who is brought into the divine identity by sitting alongside the God of Israel on his throne, and worshipped and served by all the nations, this

ultimate snake crusher human, God-human, Son of man, it's all loaded in

the...Remember The Dark Knight analogy earlier in this conversation?

Jon: Yeah.

Tim: The Son of Man is just loaded with all these stories about Moses and Pharaoh, and the snake staff, and Jacob and Esau and Abraham and Ishmael, Cain. Again, that's

why we started saying Daniel 7 is a compressed symbolic retelling of the whole story of the Hebrew Bible. That's the story that Jesus came on to the scene saying

that he was bringing to its fulfillment.

Jon: So where are we going next?

Tim: All right. The next step, we could do a lot of things. We can hang out in just the prophets, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel. You have so much relevant Son of Man, humans and beast stuff. But we're going to go back to Daniel now, because I think

we have enough to appreciate now, not just Daniel 7, but the way the whole book of Daniel is about the Son of Man.

And lo and behold, Daniel who is of the line of David is presented as another one of these portraits of the Son of Man as the suffering Israelite, who ends up being exalted and even worshipped by the king of Babylon. He is another one of these Son of man like figures that he ends up dreaming about. Dude, the book of Daniel is

amazing.

Jon: So we're gonna dive deep into that and we're going to read Daniel 7.

Read Daniel 7 again, get a little more clarity on some things, and then rocket into the Gospels and the story of Jesus.

Jon:

Thanks for listening to this episode of The Bible Project podcast. Next week, we continue this conversation on the Son of Man. There's a couple more episodes to go. We also have a video done already up and live on our YouTube channel on this theme, Son of Man. You can find it on our website, thebibleproject.com. It's under theme videos. You can also find it on our YouTube channel, youtube.com/ thebibleproject.

This episode was edited produced by Dan Gummel, the music by the band Tents. The Bible Project is a nonprofit. We're in Portland, Oregon. We believe the Bible is one unified story that leads to Jesus. We look at biblical themes, we look at the literary design of the Bible, and we make videos, these podcasts and other free resources. It's all free because of the generous support of thousands of people like you who follow the project and become part of the project. So thank you for those of you who do that. Thank you for those of you who are just listening along, nerding out with us.

This podcast now has 100,000 active weekly listeners, which is incredible that so many of you are joining us on this journey, reading through the Bible and seeing what God's doing with it in us. We're having a blast. Thanks for being a part of this with us.

Man:

This is Devin from Raleigh, North Carolina. My favorite part about The Bible Project is that I don't have to necessarily read the whole Bible before understanding it, and then I can get that general summarization before I get motivated to read. We believe the Bible is a unified story that leads to Jesus. We are a crowdfunded project by people like me. Find free videos, study notes and more at the bible project.com.