

Journal of Statistical Software

 $MMMMMM\ YYYY,\ Volume\ VV,\ Issue\ II.$

doi: 10.18637/jss.v000.i00

causalglm: An R package for semiparametric and nonparametric generalized linear models and causal inference for heterogeneous treatment effects using targeted machine-learning

Lars van der Laan

Abstract

Generalized linear models are well-established and interpretable methods for learning heterogeneous treatment effects. However, conventional parametric generalized linear models make strong parametric assumptions on components of the data-generating distribution that are not directly of interest for the causal question at hand. Notably, in real-world settings, the relation between confounders and the outcome is almost always unknown and may be quite complex. As a result, causal inference based on parametric generalized linear models can be very biased and even misleading. Moreover, in high dimensional settings, generalized linear models and their inference breaks down and alternative methods like lasso and elastic-net regression do not easily provide inference. In this article, we present the R package causalglm that implements robust semiparametric (spqlm) and nonparametric (npqlm) generalized linear models and causal inference for heterogeneous treatment effects in both low and high dimensions. These methods utilize targeted maximum likelihood estimation and therefore can leverage adaptive machine-learning algorithms for estimation and variable selection of nonparametric nuisance components of the data-distribution. The methods allow for the interpretable and familiar coefficient-based inference while significantly relaxing the assumptions needed for correct inference through the use of machine-learning. This package supports semiparametric and nonparametric estimation and inference for user-specified parametric models of the estimands: the conditional average treatment effect, the conditional relative risk, the conditional odds ratio, and much more. The nonparametric methods view the userspecified parametric model as a causal approximation (i.e. working-model) and provide interpretable and correct causal inference even when the parametric model is incorrect (i.e. misspecified). The nonparametric methods can also be used without change to learn marginal structural models for heterogeneous treatment effect parameters. A custom lasso-based version of these methods are implemented in the function causalglmnet, allowing for robust post-confounder-selection causal inference in high dimensions.

Keywords: interpretable-machine-learning, targeted-learning, generalized-linear-models, causal-inference, relative-risk-regression, heterogeneous-treatment-effects, high-dimensional-inference, robust-statistics, semiparametric, nonparametric, double-robust.

1. Introduction to causalglm

1.1. Semiparametric and nonparametric generalized linear models and causal inference for heterogeneous treatment effects using targeted maximum likelihood estimation

It is possible to get robust and efficient inference for causal quantities using machine-learning. In the search for answers to causal questions, assuming parametric models can be dangerous. With even a seemingly small amount of confounding and misspecification, they can give biased answers. One way of mitigating this challenge is to instead assume a parametric model for only the feature of the data-generating distribution that you care about. That is, assume a semiparametric model! Let the data speak for itself and use machine-learning to model the nuisance features of the data that are not directly related to your causal question. Why worry about things that don't matter for your question? It is not worth the risk of being wrong.

In this package, we utilize targeted machine-learning to generalize the parametric generalized linear models commonly used for heterogeneous treatment effect estimation (e.g. the R package glm) to the world of semi and nonparametric models. There is little-to-no loss in precision/p-values/confidence-interval-widths with these semiparametric methods relative to parametric generalized linear models, but the bias reduction from these methods can be substantial. Simulations suggest that these methods can work well with small sample sizes. All methods utilize targeted maximum likelihood estimation (TMLE) (van der Laan, Rose, 2011).

Each estimand considered in this package can be modeled with a user-specified parametric model that is either assumed correct ('spglm' and 'causalglmnet') or as an approximation, i.e. working model, of the nonparametric true estimand ('npglm'). The former approach provides interpretable estimates and correct inference only when the parametric model is correct, and the latter approach provides interpretable estimates and nonparametrically correct inference even when the parametric model is incorrect.

By default, the Highly Adaptive Lasso (HAL) and its semiparametric variants are used for estimation. See Benkeser et al. (2016) for an overview of HAL and its statistical performance. For the theoretical analysis of HAL including its fast rate of convergence in high dimensions, see Bibaut et al. (2019) and van der Laan (2017) . We implement the HAL estimators using the R package hal9001 (Coyle et al., 2021).

Noticable features supported:

- Efficent semiparametric and nonparametric interpretable inference for user-specified parametric working-models of conditional treatment-effect functions with 'spglm' and 'npglm'.
- Efficient nonparametric inference for marginal structural models for a number of conditional treatment-effect estimands with 'npglm'.
- Designed-easy-to-use interface and built-in machine-learning routines for diverse settings and immediate use.
- General machine-learning tools with the tlverse/sl3 generalized machine-learning ecosystem (Coyle et al., 2021).

causalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

• Semiparametric inference with high dimensional covariates and adaptive variable selection of confounders with the wrapper function 'causalglmnet'.

All functions give the outputs:

- Coefficient estimates (and their exponential transform for the OR and RR estimands)
- 95% confidence intervals for the coefficients
- Z-scores and p-values
- Estimand point-wise predictions and 95% confidence (prediction) intervals can be extracted with the 'predict' function and argument 'data'.

1.2. spglm: semiparametric causal inference for generalized linear models

This function supports semiparametric efficient estimation of following point-treatment estimands:

- Conditional average treatment effect (CATE). (Causal semiparametric linear regression)
- Conditional odds ratio (OR) between two binary variables. (Causal semiparametric logistic regression)
- Conditional relative risk (RR) for nonnegative outcomes and a binary treatment. (Causal semiparametric log-linear relative-risk regression)

1.3. npglm: nonparametric causal inference for generalized linear models

The function npglm supports nonparametric efficient working-model-based estimation of following point-treatment estimands:

- Conditional average treatment effect (CATE).
- Conditional average treatment effect among the treated (CATT)
- Conditional treatment-specific mean (TSM)
- Conditional odds ratio (OR) between two binary variables.
- Conditional relative risk (RR) for nonnegative outcomes and a binary treatment.

This function also automatically supports marginal structural models by specifying lower dimensional formulas/working-models for the following estimands

- Marginal structural models for the conditional average treatment effect (CATE).
- Marginal structural models for the conditional average treatment effect among the treated (CATT).

- Marginal structural models for the conditional treatment-specific mean (TSM).
- Marginal structural models for the conditional relative risk (RR).

1.4. causalglmnet: high dimensional causal inference for generalized linear models

The function causalglmnet supports causal inference with high dimensional confounders for the following estimands:

- Conditional average treatment effect (CATE).
- Conditional odds ratio (OR) between two binary variables.
- Conditional relative risk (RR) for nonnegative outcomes and a binary treatment.

Note that the function causalglmnet is a custom wrapper function around the function spglm.

1.5. npcoxph: assumption-lean inference for the conditional hazard ratio

The function npcoxph supports the following survival estimands:

- Nonparametric inference for a user-specified working-model for the conditional hazard ratio between two treatments with 'npcoxph'.
- The estimands supported by 'npcoxph' based on lower dimensional formulas can immediately be interpreted as marginal structural models for the hazard ratio.

2. Data-Structure and treatment-effect estimands

We will mainly consider the point-treatment data-structure O=(W,A,Y) where W represents a vector of baseline covariates (i.e. possible confounders), A is a binary treatment assignment, and Y is some outcome variable. As an example, for a given observation O,W could be measurements: age, sex, a risk-score, location, income; A could take the value 1 if the individual receives the treatment and 0 if they do not receive the treatment; and Y is a binary or continuous variable that captures the effect of the treatment. For the goal of assessing heterogeneity of the treatment effect, there are a number of popular estimands:

The conditional average treatment effect (CATE):

$$CATE(w) := E[Y|A = 1, W = w] - E[Y|A = 0, W = w],$$
 (1)

which is an additive measure of the effect of the treatment (A = 1) relative to no treatment (A = 0).

The conditional odds ratio (OR) for when Y is binary:

$$OR(w) := \frac{P(Y=1|A=1, W=w)/P(Y=0|A=1, W=w)}{P(Y=1|A=0, W=w)/P(Y=0|A=0, W=w)}$$
(2)

causalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

The conditional relative risk (RR) for when Y is nonnegative (e.g. a binary or count variable):

$$RR(w) := \frac{E[Y|A=1, W=w]}{E[Y|A=0, W=w]},$$
(3)

which is a relative measure of the effect of the treatment (A = 1) relative to no treatment (A = 0).

In some application, non-contrast measures like the conditional treatment-specific mean (TSM) may be of interest:

$$TSM_a(w) := E[Y|A = a, W = w]. \tag{4}$$

2.1. Conventional estimators using parametric generalized linear models

In order to estimate the estimands of the previous section, parametric generalized linear models are often employed (e.g. the R package glm). For the CATE, the following linear regression model is often used:

$$E[Y|A, W = w] = \beta_0 A + \beta_1^T w \cdot A + \beta_2^T w.$$

This model is equivalent to assuming both the nuisance linear model

$$E[Y|A=0, W=w] = \beta_2^T w$$

and target linear model

$$CATE(w) = \beta_0 + \beta_1^T w.$$

Thus, the coefficient in front of the treatment interactions can be directly interpreted as a measure of the conditional average treatment effect when the parametric model is correct. However, we see that very strong parametric assumptions are made on the orthogonal nuisance function $w \mapsto E[Y|A=0, W=w]$, which has little to do with the CATE.

Next, for the conditional odds ratio, the following logistic regression model is often used

logit
$$\{P(Y = 1|A, W = w)\} = \beta_0 A + \beta_1^T w \cdot A + \beta_2^T w.$$

This model is equivalent to assuming both the nuisance logistic model

logit
$$\{P(Y = 0|A = 0, W = w)\} = \beta_2^T w$$

and the target log-linear model

$$\log OR(w) = \beta_0 + \beta_1^T w.$$

Once again, we see that the conventional logistic regression model makes strong parametric assumptions on the orthogonal nuisance parameter P(Y = 0|A = 0, W = w).

Finally, for the conditional relative-risk, the poisson or log-linear regression model is a well-known approach:

$$\log \{E[Y|A, W = w]\} = \beta_0 A + \beta_1^T w \cdot A + \beta_2^T w.$$

This is equivalent to assuming the nuisance log-linear model

$$\log \{E[Y|A=0, W=w]\} = \beta_2^T w$$

and the target log-linear model

$$\log \left\{ RR(w) \right\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1^T w.$$

Besides the strong parametric assumptions on the nuisance parameter E[Y|A=0,W=w], another issue with this approach is that conventional methods only provide inference when the outcome is Poisson distributed, which is not useful if the outcome is binary. Log-link binomial generalized-linear-models are one way to overcome this limitation.

Under the parametric assumptions, standard generalize linear model software can be used to obtain estimates and inference for the coefficients in the above models for the treatment-effect estimands. However, these methods make much stronger assumptions than are needed. In particular, the parametric assumptions on E[Y|A=0,W] provides little-to-no benefit in interpretability since we are interested in the coefficients for the treatment interaction terms, and it comes at a possibly substantial cost in bias due to model misspecification. Additionally, these methods do not allow for any adaptive estimation of E[Y|A=0,W] (e.g. using the LASSO, variable selection, or machine-learning) and therefore do not perform well in both estimation and inference in high dimensions. In the next section, we consider a partial relaxation of the parametric models through so-called partially-linear generalized linear models.

3. Semiparametric glms for treatment effect estimation with spglm

In this section, we give an overview of semiparametric treatment-effect estimation in partially-linear generalized linear models which allows for adaptive estimation of nuisance parameters of the data-generating distribution that are not directly relevant for the problem at end. Semiparametric models are statistical models in which one component of the data-generating distribution is parametric and the remaining components are nonparametric. For background on semiparametric models and estimators in causal inference, we refer to Bickel et al. (1993) and van der Laan, Robins (2003).

These methods allow for:

- 1. Interpretable (coefficient-based) inference for user-specified parametric models for conditional treatment effect estimands.
- 2. Adaptive machine-learning and variable selection methods including generalized additive models, LASSO, MARS and gradient-boosting can be used to estimate nuisance parameters nonparametrically, thereby substantially relaxing assumptions for valid inference although still assuming a parametric model for the conditional estimand of interest.

3.1. Conditional average treatment effect (CATE) and partially-linear least-squares regression

Let $\underline{f}(w)$ be an arbitrary known vector-valued function of the covariates and consider the linear parametric model $\beta^T f(w)$ for CATE(w). The partially-linear least-squares model is of

causalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

the form:

$$E[Y|A, W = w] = \beta^T f(w) \cdot A + h_0(w),$$

where $h_0(w) := E[Y|A=0, W=w]$ is an unspecified nuisance function that is to be learned from the data nonparametrically. The parametric component of the model is the coefficient vector β . This model is equivalent to *only* assuming:

$$CATE(w) = \beta^T f(w).$$

Thus, this semiparametric model only makes assumptions that directly relate the estimand of interest!

A concrete model is choosing f(W) = (1, W) which gives the linear model

$$CATE(w) = \beta_0 + \beta_1^T w.$$

Estimates and inference for the coefficient vector in this semiparametric model can be obtained by applying the R function spglm with the option 'estimand = "CATE". We employ machine-learning for initial estimation of the relevant components of the data-generating distribution and then use targeted maximum likelihood estimation for bias-correction, thereby allowing for valid efficient inference. The estimand is estimated using targeted maximum likelihood estimation and the theory and pseudo-code for the method can be found in the working paper, van der Laan (2009).

3.2. Conditional odds ratio (OR) and partially-linear logistic regression

Let $\underline{f}(w)$ be an arbitrary known vector-valued function of the covariates and consider the parametric model $\beta^T f(w)$ for $\log OR(w)$. The partially-linear logistic model is given by:

$$\operatorname{logit} \{ E[Y|A, W = w] \} = \beta^{T} \underline{f}(w) \cdot A + h_0(w),$$

where $h_0(w) := \text{logit} \{ E[Y|A=0, W=w] \}$ is an unspecified nuisance function that is to be learned from the data nonparametrically. This model is equivalent to *only* assuming:

$$\log OR(w) = \beta^T \underline{f}(w).$$

Estimates and inference for the coefficient vector in this semiparametric model can be obtained by applying the R function spglm with the option 'estimand = "OR". The estimand is estimated using targeted maximum likelihood estimation and the theory and pseudo-code for the method can be found in the working paper, van der Laan (2009). For a similar estimator based on estimating equations and additional background on the semiparametric logistic regression model, see Tchetgen Tchetgen (2010).

3.3. Conditional relative-risk (RR) and partially-linear log-linear regression

Let $\underline{f}(w)$ be an arbitrary known vector-valued function of the covariates and consider the parametric model $\beta^T f(w)$ for $\log RR(w)$. The partially-linear log-linear model is of the form:

$$\log \{E[Y|A, W = w]\} = \beta^T \underline{f}(w) \cdot A + h_0(w),$$

where $h_0(w) := \log \{E[Y|A=0, W=w]\}$ is an unspecified nuisance function that is to be learned from the data nonparametrically. This model is equivalent to *only* assuming:

$$\log RR(w) = \beta^T f(w).$$

Estimates and inference for the coefficient vector in this semiparametric model can be obtained by applying the R function *spglm* with the option 'estimand = "RR". The estimand is estimated using targeted maximum likelihood estimation and the theory and pseudo-code for the method can be found in the working paper, Tuglus et al. (2011).

3.4. spglm in action

Let us generate a mock dataset that has a constant CATE of value 1.

```
> library(causalglm)
> n <- 250
> W <- runif(n, min = -1, max = 1)
> A <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(W))
> Y <- rnorm(n, mean = A + W, sd = 0.3)
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
```

We specify the parametric form of the CATE through the formula argument. In this case, we will use the intercept-only formula which is equivalent to assuming the CATE is constant. The output consists of: a coefficient estimate for the intercept, lower and upper confidence intervals, an asymptotic standard error estimate for the estimator, a Z-score and p-value. The argument 'W' should be a character vector of variable names in data for which to adjust, 'A' should be the name of a treatment variable, and 'Y' should be the name of an outcome variable. We set the argument 'estimand = "CATE" to estimate the conditional average treatment effect.

```
> formula <- ~1
> output <-
+    spglm(
+    formula,
+    data,
+    W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
+    estimand = "CATE",
+    verbose = FALSE
+  )
> summary(output)
```

A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula CATE(W) = 1 * (Intercept)

[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"

```
type param tmle_est se lower upper Z_score p_value
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.002988 0.04012527 0.9243441 1.081632 395.2281 0
```

tausalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

>

We can also do a much more complex model with higher dimensional treatment effect interactions. The following data distribution has CATE(w) = 1 + w.

```
> Y <-
    rnorm(n,
      mean = A * W + A + poly(W, degree = 3) + sin(4 * W),
      sd = 0.4
    )
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula <- ~ 1 + W
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATE",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 1.09 * (Intercept) + 1.05 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
                                             lower
                                                       upper Z_score p_value
                                      se
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.086353 0.05347580 0.9815420 1.191163 321.2059
2: CATE
                  W 1.052821 0.09144093 0.8736001 1.232042 182.0472
                                                                             0
>
```

We can also obtain predictions and confidence intervals thereof at observations.

> head(predict(output, data = data))

```
(Intercept)
                            CATE(W)
                                                  CI_left CI_right Z-score
                                            se
1
               0.9293763 2.0648196 1.3967894 1.8916718 2.2379674 23.37336
2
              0.3674931 1.4732571 0.8786695 1.3643361 1.5821781 26.51081
            1 -0.3301924 0.7387191 1.0701067 0.6060673 0.8713709 10.91496
3
            1\quad 0.9954337\ 2.1343663\ 1.4752399\ 1.9514936\ 2.3172389\ 22.87580
4
5
            1\quad 0.8139898\ 1.9433383\ 1.2653926\ 1.7864786\ 2.1001980\ 24.28248
6
            1 0.5843620 1.7015813 1.0347436 1.5733131 1.8298494 26.00100
  p-value
        0
2
        0
3
        0
```

```
4 0
5 0
6 0
```

Currently, the nonparametric learning is performed using the partially-linear first-order Highly Adaptive Lasso (HAL) implemented using the R package therse/hal9001. HAL is an adaptive piece-wise linear regression spline estimator and the custom implementation performs the risk minimization entirely within the semiparametric model. It is implemented using LASSO regression with the parametric treatment interactions (as specified by the formula argument) unpenalized and a rich penalized spline basis for the nonparametric component of the model. This method performs risk minimization entirely within the semiparametric model. There are a number of other built-in learning options: c("HAL", "SuperLearner", "glm", "glmnet", "gam", "mars", "ranger", "xgboost")

```
> Y <- rnorm(n, mean = A * W + A + W, sd = 0.4)
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> # generalized additive models:
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATE",
      learning_method = "gam",
      verbose = FALSE
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 1.02 * (Intercept) + 1.03 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
   type
              param tmle_est
                                      se
                                             lower
                                                       upper Z_score p_value
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.018789 0.05095567 0.9189180 1.118661 316.1272
                                                                             0
2: CATE
                  W 1.034057 0.07800932 0.8811612 1.186952 209.5887
                                                                             0
> # multivariate adaptive regression splines:
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATE",
      learning_method = "mars",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
```

```
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 1 * (Intercept) + 1.03 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
   type
                                     se
                                            lower
                                                      upper Z_score p_value
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.003556 0.05121096 0.9031848 1.103928 309.8482
2: CATE
                  W 1.030164 0.08798331 0.8577202 1.202608 185.1298
                                                                           0
> # gradient-boosting with xgboost: :
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATE",
      learning_method = "xgboost",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 1.02 * (Intercept) + 1.04 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
                                     se
                                            lower
                                                    upper Z_score p_value
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.021216 0.05497772 0.9134613 1.12897 293.6978
2: CATE
                  W 1.043797 0.08506422 0.8770740 1.21052 194.0167
```

The default learning algorithm "HAL" can be customized with the HAL_args_Y0W argument (see the arguments in hal9001 for more description). 'max_degree' = 1 corresponds with estimating the nuisance function E[Y|A=0,W] with an additive model. 'num_knots' specifies for each interaction degree how many variable knot points are used to generate the tensor product interaction basis functions.

```
> HAL_args_YOW <-
+ list(
+ smoothness_orders = 1,
+ max_degree = 2,
+ num_knots = c(10, 5, 1)
+ )
> output <-
+ spglm(
+ formula,
+ data,
+ W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
+ estimand = "CATE",
+ learning_method = "HAL",</pre>
```

```
HAL_args_YOW = HAL_args_YOW,
      verbose = FALSE
+
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 1.01 * (Intercept) + 1.03 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
                                              lower
                                                        upper Z_score p_value
   type
                                       se
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.014782 0.05048656 0.9158298 1.113733 317.8094
2: CATE
                   W 1.032108 0.07867727 0.8779032 1.186312 207.4177
                                                                              0
It is also possible to employ custom learners using the tlverse/sl3 framework and the sl3_Learner_Y
```

It is also possible to employ custom learners using the theorem's framework and the sl3_Learner_Y (to estimate E[Y|A=1,W] and E[Y|A=0,W]) and sl3_Learner_A (to estimate P(A=1|W)) argument. Take a look at the argument append_interaction_matrix to understand the design matrix that is given as input to the learner sl3_Learner_Y. In particular, it is important to note that sl3_Learner_Y will be sent to Lrnr_glm_semiparametric.

```
> library(s13)
> lrnr_glmnet <- Lrnr_glmnet$new()</pre>
> lrnr_xgboost <- Lrnr_xgboost$new(max_depth = 4)</pre>
> lrnr_earth <- Lrnr_earth$new()</pre>
> lrnr_stack <-
    make_learner(Stack, lrnr_glmnet, lrnr_xgboost, lrnr_earth)
> lrnr_cv <- Lrnr_cv$new(lrnr_stack, full_fit = TRUE)
> # A custom superlearner
> lrnr_sl <- make_learner(Pipeline, lrnr_cv, Lrnr_cv_selector$new())</pre>
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
+
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATE",
      s13_Learner_A = lrnr_s1,
      s13_Learner_Y = lrnr_s1,
      verbose = FALSE
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 1.02 * (Intercept) + 1.03 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
                                                       upper Z_score p_value
   type
                                      se
                                              lower
1: CATE (Intercept) 1.016422 0.05127196 0.9159305 1.116913 313.4469
2: CATE
                  W 1.029178 0.08306707 0.8663696 1.191987 195.8987
                                                                             0
```

That's all there is to it! spglm also supports the RR and OR estimands which are run in a completely analogous way. Use the option 'estimand = "OR" to estimate the conditional odds ratio, and use the option 'estimand = "rR" to estimate the conditional relative risk. Note that the parametric model specified by formula is actually for the log odds ratio and log relative risk (i.e. at the log scale). Thus, the coefficients returned are for the log-transformed OR and RR. We also provide the exponential-transformed coefficients and confidence intervals, which may be more interpretable as measures of the OR and RR.

```
> n <- 250
> W \leftarrow runif(n, min = -1, max = 1)
> A <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(W))</pre>
> # OR
> Y <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(A + A * W + W + sin(5 * W)))</pre>
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula ~ 1 + W
formula ~ 1 + W
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "OR",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand OR with formula
log OR(W) = 0.649 * (Intercept) + 0.408 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
   type
                                       se
                                                 lower
                                                          upper psi_exp lower_exp
1:
     OR (Intercept) 0.6485099 0.2924393 0.07533944 1.221680 1.912689 1.0782501
                   W 0.4084865 0.5034409 -0.57823956 1.395213 1.504539 0.5608849
2:
   upper_exp Z_score p_value
   3.392884 35.06315
  4.035833 12.82919
                             0
> # RR
> Y \leftarrow rpois(n, lambda = exp(A + A * W + sin(5 * W)))
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula ~ 1 + W
formula ~ 1 + W
```

```
> output <-
    spglm(
      formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "RR",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from spglm for the estimand RR with formula
log RR(W) = 0.935 * (Intercept) + 0.887 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
  type
              param tmle_est
                                       se
                                              lower
                                                       upper psi_exp lower_exp
    RR (Intercept) 0.9350559 0.09287231 0.7530295 1.117082 2.547356
1:
                  W 0.8865872 0.19100238 0.5122294 1.260945 2.426833
2:
    RR
               Z_score p_value
  upper_exp
   3.055925 159.19203
   3.528755
            73.39267
                             0
```

3.5. Risk minimization in semiparametric models and an optional argument

In this section, we consider the general partially-linear generalized linear model

$$\theta(E[Y|A, W]) = A \cdot \beta^T f(W) + \theta(E[Y|A = 0, W]).$$

The identity link $\theta(x) := x$ corresponds with the partially-linear least-squares regression model, $\theta(x) = \log(x)$ the partially-linear logistic regression model, and $\theta(x) = \log(x)$ corresponds with the partially-linear log-linear regression model. A critical component of the methods implemented in spglm is the initial estimation of both β and E[Y|A=0,W] using machine-learning. The function spglm supports two different ways of performing this first initial estimation through the boolean argument 'append_interaction_matrix'.

The first approach corresponds with the option 'append_design_matrix = FALSE' and utilizes a two-stage initial estimation approach. Specifically, we do the following:

- 1. Estimate E[Y|A=0,W] with machine-learning separately by regressing Y on W using only the observations with A=0.
- 2. Estimate β by performing offsetted standard glm regression of Y on $A \cdot \underline{f}(W)$ using as offset the initial estimator of $\theta(E[Y|A=0,W])$ from the previous step and all observations.

This approach is very flexible since any machine-learning algorithm can be used to estimate E[Y|A=0,W]. However, one issue with this approach is that, by separating the tasks, the machine-learning is not able to pool across treatment arms and therefore does not fully utilize

the simpler form of the regression function. For example, if there are far fewer observations with A=0 than A=1 then this method may perform badly. This method may also run into issues in finite samples.

The second approach performs the estimation pooled across all treatment arms and corresponds with 'append_design_matrix = TRUE' (which is the default option). We do as follows:

- 1. Create a design matrix obtained by appending the user-specified treatment interaction design matrix corresponding with the term $A \cdot \underline{f}(W)$ to the baseline variable design matrix for W.
- 2. Perform the regression of Y onto (A, W) using this design matrix and any machine-learning algorithm. In other words, regress Y on $(A \cdot \underline{f}(W), W)$. This gives an initial estimator of E[Y|A=0, W] that leveraged the smoothness across the treatment arms.
- 3. Project the resulting estimator of E[Y|A=1,W] onto the semiparametric model by performing the parametric glm regression of the estimator of E[Y|A=1,W] onto $\underline{f}(W)$ using as offset $\theta(E[Y|A=0,W])$. This pseudo-outcome regression step gives an estimate of the coefficient vector β .

This approach has a number of desirable properties. Firstly, additive regression methods like glm, glmnet or gam can perform well since the treatment interaction terms are included in the design matrix. Also, linear estimators like glm and glmnet combined with this method will immedietly respect the semiparametric model without the projection. The projection is needed for non-linear algorithms like gam and gradient-boosting that may not inherently respect the parametric component of the semiparametric model. Additionally using this method, we can construct custom machine-learning algorithms that generate, for instance, a rich penalized spline basis for the W part of the original design matrix and leaves the treatment interaction terms untransformed and unpenalized. Such an algorithm would fully respect the constraints of the semiparametric statistical model throughout the optimization procedure. We note that the default 'learning_method = "HAL" option for the function spglm does exactly this. A custom Highly Adaptive Lasso estimator is used that performs risk minimization fully within the semiparametric model by using a rich variation-norm-penalized spline basis for the nonparametric component and leaves the parametric component untransformed and unpenalized. This HAL estimator is implemented using the glmnet implementation of LASSO through hal9001.

4. Robust nonparametric glms for treatment effect estimation with npglm

In the previous section, we considered semiparametric generalized linear models where the data distribution component of interest (the estimand) is modeled parametrically and nuisance components are modeled nonparametrically. While this is much more robust than typical parametric methods, the parametric assumption on the estimand of interest can still be quite strong. It is therefore of interest to develop fully nonparametric methods that provide correct and interpretable estimates and inference under no parametric assumptions. To do so, we will still utilize user-specified parametric models for the estimand of interest, however, we will not assume that these parametric models are correct. We will treat these parametric models as interpretable approximations of the true nonparametric estimand. That is, we

utilize the parametric model as a "working-model" that is only used to derive an interesting nonparametric estimand.

It turns out that many of these working models have desirable properties. In particular, by specifying parametric models/formulas that depend on $V \subset W$ where V is a subvector of baseline covariates, we can actually learn marginal structural models. Notably, the intercept working model that approximates the true estimand by a constant often corresponds with a marginal causal estimand like the average treatment effect (ATE or ATT), the marginal treatment-specific mean, or the marginal relative risk. For these reasons, these nonparametrics method allow for the estimation of an even more rich class of parameters than the analogous semiparametric methods.

Nonparametric working-model based estimators for the estimands are implemented in the function 'npglm'. These methods have:

- Interpretable coefficient-based estimates and inference
- Nonparametric and causal estimates and inference even when the parametric model is incorrect
- Many of the estimands correspond with marginal structural models when lower dimensional working-models are used.

We refer to van der Laan, Robins (2003), Neugebauer, van der Laan (2008), and Robins et al. (1994) for background on marginal structural models. See also the working paper, van der Laan (2009), for more on marginal structural models in the context of TMLE.

4.1. Conditional average treatment effect (CATE) estimation with a linear working-model

To define a nonparametric approximation of the true CATE with a parametric linear-working model, we utilize the least-squares projection. Let $\underline{f}(w)$ be an arbitrary known vector-valued function of the covariates and consider the linear parametric working-model $\beta^T \underline{f}(w)$ for CATE(w).

Define the risk function,

$$R_{CATE}(\beta) = E \left\{ CATE(W) - \beta^T f(W) \right\}^2$$

Our estimand of interest is given by β^* which is defined as the minimizer of R_{CATE} . Consider the simple working model $\beta^T f(W) := \beta_0 + \beta_1^T W$. The risk function then reduces to

$$R_{CATE}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = E \left\{ CATE(W) - \beta_0 - \beta_1^T W \right\}^2$$

which can be viewed as the ordinary least-squares regression of the true estimand CATE(W) onto W. By specifying a lower dimensional working model $\underline{f}(W) := V$ for some $V \subset W$, the risk function further reduces to

$$R_{CATE}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = E\left\{E[CATE(W)|V] - \beta_0 - \beta_1^T V\right\}^2.$$

The risk minimizer is now the least-squares projection of the true marginal structural CATE model E[CATE(W)|V] onto the linear working model. Note if $E[CATE(W)|V] = \beta_0 + \beta_1^T V$,

so that the working model is correct, then this estimand can be directly interpreted as a marginal structural CATE function.

If we use the intercept model then the risk function reduces to

$$R_{CATE}(\beta_0) = E \left\{ E[CATE(W)] - \beta_0 \right\}^2.$$

and the risk minimizer is exactly given by the nonparametric ATE E[CATE(W)]! Thus, the intercept model can be used for marginal ATE estimation. Quite remarkably, this estimands based on such least-squares working model projections automatically reduce to marginal structural model parameters when lower dimensional working models are used. No user or developer intervention is needed for this to happen!

These estimators and estimands are inspired by Chambaz et al. (2012) and is based on discussions with Prof. Mark van der Laan.

4.2. Conditional average treatment effect among the treated (CATT) estimation with a linear working-model

We now define an alternative working model for the CATE that focuses on the treatment effect among the treated. Again, let $\underline{f}(w)$ be an arbitrary known vector-valued function of the covariates and consider the linear parametric working-model $\beta^T \underline{f}(w)$ for CATE(w). Define the risk function,

$$R_{CATT}(\beta) = E \{ E[Y|A, W] - A \cdot \beta^{T} f(W) - E[Y|A = 0, W] \}^{2}$$

Our estimand of interest is β^* which is defined as the minimizer of R_{CATT} . This working model can be viewed as the least-squares regression of the true conditional mean E[Y|A,W] onto the interaction model $A \cdot \beta^T \underline{f}(W)$ using as offset the true placebo conditional mean E[Y|A=0,W]. It turns out that we can rewrite this risk function as

$$R_{CATT}(\beta) = E \left\{ A \left[CATE(W) - \beta^T \underline{f}(W) \right] \right\}^2,$$

which is the least-squares projection of the true CATE onto the linear working model using only the observations with A=1 (the treated). Because of this, we call estimands based on this risk function measures of the conditional average treatment effect among the treated (CATT).

This method can also be used to estimate marginal structural models for treatment effects among the treated. Specifically, by specifying a lower dimensional working model $\underline{f}(W) := V$ for some $V \subset W$, the risk function further reduces to

$$R_{CATT}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = E\left\{A\left[E[CATE(W)|V, A=1] - \beta_0 - \beta_1^T V\right]^2\right\}.$$

The risk minimizer is now the least-squares projection of the true marginal structural CATT model E[CATE(W)|V,A=1] onto the linear working model. Next, if we use the intercept model then the risk function reduces to

$$R_{CATT}(\beta_0) = E\left\{A \cdot \left[E[CATE(W)|A=1] - \beta_0\right]^2\right\}.$$

and the risk minimizer is exactly given by the nonparametric ATT E[CATE(W)|A=1]!Thus, the intercept model can be used for marginal ATT estimation. These estimators and estimands are directly due to Chambaz et al. (2012).

4.3. Conditional treatment-specific mean (TSM) estimation with a linear working-model

A similar working model-based estimand can be constructed for the conditional treatment specific mean. Let a be a level of a categorical treatment assignment A. Again, let $\underline{f}(w)$ be an arbitrary known vector-valued function of the covariates and consider the linear parametric working-model $\beta^T f(w)$ for CATE(w).

Define the risk function,

$$R_{TSM}(\beta) = E \left\{ E[Y|A = a, W] - \beta^T f(W) \right\}^2$$

Our estimand of interest is β^* which is defined as the minimizer of R_{TSM} . This estimand corresponds with the least-squares projection of E[Y|A=a,W=w] onto the linear working model.

By specifying a lower dimensional working model $\underline{f}(W) := V$ for some $V \subset W$, the risk function further reduces to

$$R_{TSM}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = E\left\{ E[E[Y|A = a, W]|V] - \beta_0 - \beta_1^T V \right\}^2.$$

The risk minimizer is now the least-squares projection of the true marginal structural TSM model E[E[Y|A=a,W]|V] onto the linear working model. If we use the intercept model then the risk function reduces to

$$R_{TSM}(\beta_0) = E \{ E[E[Y|A=a,W]] - \beta_0 \}^2.$$

and the risk minimizer is exactly given by the nonparametric TSM E[E[Y|A=a,W]]! Thus, the intercept model can be used for marginal TSM estimation.

These estimators and estimands are inspired by Chambaz et al. (2012) and is based on discussions with Prof. Mark van der Laan.

4.4. Conditional odds-ratio (OR) estimation with a logistic working-model

Define the working model

$$P_{\beta}(Y = 1|A, W) := \operatorname{expit} \left\{ A \cdot \beta^{T} f(W) + \operatorname{logit}(P(Y = 0|A, W)) \right\},\,$$

which is not assumed correct.

Consider the log-likelihood projection risk function

$$R_{OB}(\beta) = E\{P(Y=1|A,W)\log(P_{\beta}(Y=1|A,W)) + P(Y=0|A,W)\log(P_{\beta}(Y=0|A,W))\}.$$

We define the nonparametric OR estimand as the risk minimizer β^* of R_{OR} . This estimand unfortnately does not reduce to a marginal structural model estimand when $\underline{f}(W)$ lower dimensional.

4.5. Conditional relative-risk regression (RR) with a log-linear working-model

Define the log-linear multiplicative working model

$$E_{\beta}[Y|A=1,W] := \exp\left\{\beta^T \underline{f}(W)\right\} E[Y|A=0,W],$$

24 usalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

which is not assumed correct and $E_{\beta}[Y|A=0,W] := E[Y|A=0,W]$ is left correctly specified. We define the projection using the log-linear generalized linear model,

$$R_{RR}(\beta) = E\{E[Y|A=0,W] \exp\{\beta^T f(W)\} - E[Y|A=1,W]\beta^T f(W)\}.$$

We define the nonparametric RR estimand as the risk minimizer β^* of R_{RR} .

By specifying a lower dimensional working model $\underline{f}(W) := V$ for some $V \subset W$, the risk function further reduces to

$$R_{RR}(\beta) = E\left\{ E[E[Y|A=0,W]|V] \exp\left\{ \beta^T V \right\} - E[E[Y|A=1,W]|V]\beta^T V \right\}.$$

The risk minimizer is now the projection of the true marginal structural RR model $\frac{E[E[Y|A=1,W]|V]}{E[E[Y|A=0,W]|V]}$ onto the log-linear working model. Thus, if the working model is correctly specified, the estimand is $\frac{E[E[Y|A=1,W]|V]}{E[E[Y|A=0,W]|V]}$. If we use the intercept model then the risk function reduces to

$$R_{RR}(\beta) = E\{E[E[Y|A=0,W]] \exp\{\beta\} - E[E[Y|A=1,W]]\beta\}.$$

and the risk minimizer is exactly given by the nonparametric marginal relative risk $\frac{E[E[Y|A=1,W]]}{E[E[Y|A=0,W]]}$! Thus, the intercept model can be used for marginal RR estimation.

4.6. npglm in action

 $formula_Y = ~~. + . * A,$

npglm operates in almost exactly the same way as spglm (with a few less optional arguments). The main difference is that it supports new estimands like the CATT and TSM. All previous discussion on learner customization operates in the exact same way but it should be noted that nuisance functions are now estimated nonparametrically (and no longer semiparametrically) so it is important to use learners that include interactions. The following block of the code runs npglm for each supported estimand.

```
> n <- 250
> W <- runif(n, min = -1, max = 1)
> A <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(W))
> # CATE
> Y <- rnorm(n, mean = A + A * W + W + sin(5 * W), sd = 0.5)
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)
> formula ~ 1 + W

formula ~ 1 + W

> # Use the formula_Y argument to specify the design matrix for glm, glmnet or mars (not s > output <-
+ npglm(
+ formula,
+ data,
+ W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
+ estimand = "CATE",
+ learning_method = "glm",</pre>
```

```
verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from npglm for the estimand CATE with formula
CATE(W) = 0.949 * (Intercept) + 1.12 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
              param tmle_est
                                                     upper Z_score p_value
                                   se
                                            lower
1: CATE (Intercept) 0.9493787 0.1074288 0.7388220 1.159935 139.7297
                  W 1.1205540 0.1635730 0.7999569 1.441151 108.3157
                                                                          0
> # CATT
> Y <- rnorm(n, mean = A + A * W + W + sin(5 * W), sd = 0.5)
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula ~ 1 + W
formula ~ 1 + W
> output <-
   npglm(
     formula,
      data,
      W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATT",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from npglm for the estimand CATT with formula
CATT(W) = 0.98 * (Intercept) + 0.955 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
   type
              param tmle_est
                                     se
                                             lower
                                                     upper Z_score p_value
1: CATT (Intercept) 0.9801899 0.06386701 0.8550128 1.105367 242.6630
2: CATT
                  W 0.9545239 0.11352895 0.7320112 1.177037 132.9383
                                                                           0
> # TSM
> Y <- rnorm(n, mean = A + A * W + W + sin(5 * W), sd = 0.5)
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula ~ 1 + W
formula ~ 1 + W
> output <-
+ npglm(
```

22 usalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

```
formula,
+
                data,
                W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
                estimand = "TSM",
                learning_method = "mars",
                formula_Y = ~~. + . * A,
                verbose = FALSE
           )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from npglm for the estimand TSM with formula
TSM(W) = -0.00581 * E[Y_{A=0}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) + 0.658 * E[Y_{A=0}]: W + 1.02 * E[Y_{A=
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
                                                                                                                                                                     lower
        type
                                                                      param
                                                                                                 tmle_est
                                                                                                                                               se
                                                                                                                                                                                                upper
1: TSM E[Y_{A=0}]: (Intercept) -0.005812043 0.05911024 -0.1216660 0.1100419
                                                E[Y_{A=0}]: W = 0.658255840 = 0.09035432 = 0.4811646 = 0.8353471
3: TSM E[Y_{A=1}]: (Intercept) 1.017105856 0.06299438 0.8936391 1.1405726
4: TSM
                                                E[Y_{A=1}]: W 1.504012387 0.10141045 1.3052516 1.7027732
               Z_score p_value
         1.554662 0.12003
2: 115.190267 0.00000
3: 255.290334 0.00000
4: 234.497773 0.00000
> # OR
> Y <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(A + A * W + W + sin(5 * W)))</pre>
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula ~ 1 + W
formula ~ 1 + W
> output <-
          npglm(
               formula,
                data,
                W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
                estimand = "OR",
                verbose = FALSE
           )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from npglm for the estimand OR with formula
log OR(W) = 1.38 * (Intercept) + 0.979 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
```

```
param tmle_est
                                           lower
                                                    upper psi_exp lower_exp
                              se
1: OR (Intercept) 1.383272 0.3227203 0.7507523 2.015793 3.987931 2.1185932
                 W 0.979298 0.5851159 -0.1675080 2.126104 2.662587 0.8457699
2:
  upper_exp Z_score p_value
1: 7.506675 67.77218
2: 8.382147 26.46324
                           0
> # RR
> Y <- rpois(n, lambda = exp(A + A * W + sin(5 * W)))
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula ~ 1 + W
formula ~ 1 + W
> output <-
   npglm(
     formula,
     data,
     W = "W", A = "A", Y = "Y",
     estimand = "RR",
     verbose = FALSE
   )
> summary(output)
A causalglm fit object obtained from npglm for the estimand RR with formula
log RR(W) = 0.973 * (Intercept) + 0.84 * W
[1] "Coefficient estimates and inference:"
  type
             param tmle_est
                                  se
                                           lower
                                                   upper psi_exp lower_exp
1: RR (Intercept) 0.9734054 0.1022388 0.7730210 1.173790 2.646943 2.166301
                 W 0.8404128 0.1934324 0.4612923 1.219533 2.317323 1.586122
              Z_score p_value
  upper_exp
1: 3.234227 150.53863
2: 3.385607 68.69632
> head(predict(output, data = data))
  (Intercept)
                             RR(W)
                                         se CI_left CI_right Z-score
1
           1 0.786821024 5.127713 3.024702 3.524413 7.460375 8.545055
2
           1 -0.493378637 1.748509 2.103146 1.347236 2.269302 4.200768
           1 -0.004767591 2.636359 1.615207 2.157986 3.220774 9.489519
3
           1 0.885070217 5.569079 3.283034 3.707139 8.366192 8.270332
4
           1 0.225320169 3.198773 1.816959 2.553678 4.006828 10.118538
           1 -0.443425674 1.823476 2.008176 1.421637 2.338900 4.729970
1 0.0000e+00
```

2ausalglm: Robust adaptive generalized linear models and causal inference for treatment effects

```
2 2.6601e-05
3 0.0000e+00
4 2.2204e-16
5 0.0000e+00
6 2.2455e-06
```

5. High dimensional semiparametric glms using the LASSO with causalglmnet

In high dimensional settings (e.g. $\dim(W) >= 50\text{-}1000$), conventional machine-learning algorithms may be computationally expensive or poorly behaved. In such scenarios, we can utilize lasso-penalized regression (Tibshirani, 1994) to estimate the nuisance parameters, allowing for adaptive variable selection and adjusting of confounders. The function causalglmnet is a specialized wrapper for spglm that uses the lasso implementation provided by the state-of-the-art R package glmnet (Friedman, 2010) for estimation of all nuisance parameters. Its use is exactly the same as spglm except learners no longer need to be specified.

```
> n <- 200
> W <- replicate(100, runif(n, min = -1, max = 1))
> colnames(W) <- paste0("W", 1:100)</pre>
> beta <- runif(10, -1, 1) / 20
> A <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(W[, 10 * (1:10)] %*% beta))
> # CATE
> Y <- rnorm(n, mean = A + W[, 10 * (1:10)] %*% beta, sd = 0.5)
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula <- ~1
> output <-
    causalglmnet(
      formula,
      data,
      W = colnames(W), A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "CATE",
      verbose = FALSE
    )
> summary(output)
> Y <- rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = plogis(A + W[, 10 * (1:10)] %*% beta))
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)</pre>
> formula <- ~1
> output <-
    causalglmnet(
      formula,
      data,
      W = colnames(W), A = "A", Y = "Y",
      estimand = "OR",
      verbose = FALSE
```

```
+ )
> summary(output)
> # RR
> Y <- rpois(n, lambda = exp(A + W[, 10 * (1:10)] %*% beta))
> data <- data.frame(W, A, Y)
> formula <- ~1
> output <-
+ causalglmnet(
+ formula,
+ data,
+ W = colnames(W), A = "A", Y = "Y",
+ estimand = "RR",
+ verbose = FALSE
+ )
> summary(output)
> head(predict(output, data = data))
```

6. Robust nonparametric inference for the hazard ratio with npcoxph

This is in development.

References

- Benkeser D, van der Laan M (2016). "The Highly Adaptive Lasso Estimator." *International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics*, pp. 689–696. doi:10.1109/DSAA. 2016.93.
- Bibaut AF, van der Laan MJ (2019). "Fast rates for empirical risk minimization over càdlàg functions with bounded sectional variation norm." 1907.09244.
- Bickel PJ, Klaassen CA, Ritov Y, Wellner J (1993). Efficient and adaptive estimation for semiparametric models, volume 4. Johns Hopkins University Press Baltimore.
- Chambaz A, Neuvial P, van der Laan MJ (2012). "Estimation of a non-parametric variable importance measure of a continuous exposure." *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, **6**(none), 1059 1099. doi:10.1214/12-EJS703. URL https://doi.org/10.1214/12-EJS703.
- Coyle JR, Hejazi NS, Malenica I, Sofrygin O (2021a). sl3: Modern Pipelines for Machine Learning and Super Learning. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1342293. R package version 1.4.2, URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1342293.
- Coyle JR, Hejazi NS, van der Laan MJ (2021b). hal9001: The scalable highly adaptive lasso. doi:10.5281/zenodo.3558313. R package version 0.2.7, URL https://github.com/tlverse/hal9001.

- Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R (2010). "Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent." *Journal of Statistical Software*, **33**(1), 1–22. URL https://www.jstatsoft.org/v33/i01/.
- Hejazi NS, Coyle JR, van der Laan MJ (2020). "hal9001: Scalable highly adaptive lasso regression in R." *Journal of Open Source Software*. doi:10.21105/joss.02526. URL https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02526.
- Laan MJVD, Rubin D (2006). "Targeted Maximum Likelihood Learning." *The International Journal of Biostatistics*, **2**(1). doi:10.2202/1557-4679.1043.
- Neugebauer R, van der Laan M (2007). "Nonparametric causal effects based on marginal structural models." *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **137**(2), 419–434. ISSN 0378-3758. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2005.12.008. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378375806000334.
- Robins JM, Rotnitzky A, Zhao LP (1994). "Marginal Structural Models and Causal Inference in Epidemiology." *Journal of the American statistical Association*, **89**(427), 846–866.
- Tchetgen Tchetgen E, Robins J, Rotnitzky A (2010). "On doubly robust estimation in a semiparametric odds ratio model." *Biometrika*, **97**, 171–180.
- Tibshirani R (1994). "Regression Shrinkage and Selection Via the Lasso." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 58, 267–288.
- Tuglus C, Porter K, van der Laan M (2011). "Targeted Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Conditional Relative Risk in a Semi-parametric Regression Model." U.C. BERKELEY DIVISION OF BIOSTATISTICS WORKING PAPER SERIES, Working paper 283.
- van der Laan M (2009). "Readings in Targeted Maximum Likelihood Estimation." Biostatistics Working Paper Series Working Paper 253, pp. 621–622,626–629.
- van der Laan M (2017). "A Generally Efficient Targeted Minimum Loss Based Estimator based on the Highly Adaptive Lasso." *The international journal of biostatistics*, **13**(2). doi:/10.1515/ijb-2015-0097. URL https://doi.org/10.1515/ijb-2015-0097.
- van der Laan MJ, Polley EC, Hubbard AE (2007). "Super learner." Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology, 6, number 1.
- van der Laan MJ, Robins JM (2003). Unified Methods for Censored Longitudinal Data and Causality. Springer.
- van der Laan MJ, Rose S (2011). Targeted Learning: Causal Inference for Observational and Experimental Data. Springer, New York.

http://www.jstatsoft.org/

http://www.foastat.org/

Submitted: yyyy-mm-dd

Accepted: yyyy-mm-dd

Affiliation:

Lars van der Laan Department of Statistics University of Washington, Seattle E-mail: vanderlaanlars@yahoo.com

Journal of Statistical Software
published by the Foundation for Open Access Statistics
MMMMMM YYYY, Volume VV, Issue II
doi:10.18637/jss.v000.i00