Anchored Links

(!) = skal testes om den er true

! = mangler eksempel

```
x <- "3.7 1.9 4.8 11.7 2.8 4.7 2.7 4.9 6.7 3.8"
lifetime <- as.numeric(strsplit(x, " ")[[1]])
```

1 sample data

CI

|||| Method 3.9 The one sample confidence interval for μ

For a sample x_1, \ldots, x_n the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval is given by

$$\bar{x} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}},\tag{3-10}$$

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $(1-\alpha/2)$ quantile from the *t*-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom.^a

Most commonly used is the 95%-confidence interval:

$$\bar{x} \pm t_{0.975} \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}.\tag{3-11}$$

```
n <- 14
mu <- 0
mean <- 367.2
sd <- 571.5
tobs <- (mean-mu)/(sd/sqrt(n))
2*(1-pt(tobs, n-1))</pre>
```

[1] 0.03184036

${ m CI}$ for ${ m var/sd}$

Variansen:

Et $100(1-\alpha)\%$ konfidensinterval for stikprøvevariansen: $\hat{\sigma}^2$ er:

$$\left[\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi^2_{1-\alpha/2}}; \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi^2_{\alpha/2}}\right]$$

hvor fraktilerne kommer fra en χ^2 -fordeling med $\nu = n-1$ frihedsgrader.

Standardafvigelsen:

Et $100(1-\alpha)\%$ konfidensinterval for stikprøvestandardafvigelsen $\hat{\sigma}$ er:

$$\left[\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi_{1-\alpha/2}^2}}; \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi_{\alpha/2}^2}}\right]$$

```
x <- c(168, 161, 167, 179, 184, 166, 198, 187, 191, 179)
n <- length(x)
s <- sd(x)
chi <- qchisq(c(0.025, 0.975),n-1)
#var
var_ci <- (n-1)*(s^2) * chi^-1
#sd
sd_ci <- sqrt(var_ci)
var_ci</pre>
```

[1] 496.96535 70.54704 sd_ci

[1] 22.292720 8.399229

Which sample size to choose?

ME - simple men med shortcomings

||| Method 3.63 The one-sample CI sample size formula

When σ is known or guessed at some value, we can calculate the sample size n needed to achieve a given margin of error, ME, with probability $1 - \alpha$ as

$$n = \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \sigma}{ME}\right)^2. \tag{3-59}$$

```
ME <- 3
x.sd <- 12.21
# norm dist
z.quantile <- qnorm(0.975)
(n <- ((z.quantile*x.sd)/ME)^2)
## [1] 63.63338
"so n = 64 if int"
## [1] "so n = 64 if int"</pre>
```

Power - advanced men better

||| Method 3.65 The one-sample sample size formula

For the one-sample *t*-test for given α , β and σ

$$n = \left(\sigma \frac{z_{1-\beta} + z_{1-\alpha/2}}{(\mu_0 - \mu_1)}\right)^2$$

where $\mu_0 - \mu_1$ is the difference in means that we would want to detect and $z_{1-\beta}$, $z_{1-\alpha/2}$ are quantiles of the standard normal distribution.

```
Manuelt
```

```
z.quantb <- qnorm(0.80)
z.quanta <- qnorm(0.975)
sd <- 12.21
diff <- 4

(n = (sd* (z.quantb + z.quanta)/diff) ^2 )</pre>
```

[1] 73.13395

med r direkte

```
#power: 80% chance for at accep den hvis den er true
\# a = siq.level
# delta, den diffrence i mean vi vil kunne se forskell på. Relevant fordi vi kigger på difference
# Den her er mere accurate da den bruge t-dist
power.t.test(power=0.8, delta=4, sd=12.21, sig.level=0.05, type="one.sample")
##
##
        One-sample t test power calculation
##
##
                 n = 75.07733
##
             delta = 4
##
                sd = 12.21
         sig.level = 0.05
##
##
             power = 0.8
##
       alternative = two.sided
```

two sample data

CI for difference

|||| Method 3.47 The two-sample confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

For two samples x_1, \ldots, x_n and y_1, \ldots, y_n the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is given by

$$\bar{x} - \bar{y} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}},$$
 (3-45)

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $(1-\alpha/2)$ -quantile from the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom given from Equation (3-50)

$$\nu = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{(s_1^2/n_1)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{n_2 - 1}}.$$
(3-46)

```
xA <- c(7.53, 7.48, 8.08, 8.09, 10.15, 8.4, 10.88, 6.13, 7.9)
xB <- c(9.21, 11.51, 12.79, 11.85, 9.97, 8.79, 9.69, 9.68, 9.19)

A.mean <- mean(xA)
B.mean <- mean(xB)
A.len <- length(xA)
B.len <- length(xB)
A.sd <- sd(xA)
B.sd <- sd(xB)</pre>
# df
```

```
vs=c(var(xA), var(xB))
ns=c(length(xA), length(xB))
 v \leftarrow ((vs[1]/ns[1]+vs[2]/ns[2])^2)/((vs[1]/ns[1])^2/(ns[1]-1)+(vs[2]/ns[2])^2/(ns[2]-1)) 
t.quantile \leftarrow qt(0.975, v)
change.t \leftarrow sqrt( ((A.sd)^2/A.len) + ((B.sd)^2/B.len) )
A.mean-B.mean + c(-1,1)*t.quantile*change.t
## [1] -3.4166085 -0.5922804
c(A.mean, B.mean, t.quantile, change.t)
## [1] 8.2933333 10.2977778 2.1199840 0.6661201
# Eller direkte
t.test(xA,xB)
##
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: xA and xB
## t = -3.0091, df = 15.993, p-value = 0.008323
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.4166085 -0.5922804
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 8.293333 10.297778
```

Welch two sample t-test statistic

$\parallel \parallel$ Method 3.49 The (Welch) two-sample t-test statistic

When considering the null hypothesis about the difference between the means of two *independent* samples

$$\delta = \mu_2 - \mu_1,$$

$$H_0: \delta = \delta_0,$$
(3-47)

the (Welch) two-sample *t*-test statistic is

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \delta_0}{\sqrt{s_1^2/n_1 + s_2^2/n_2}}.$$
 (3-48)

| Method 3.51 The level α two-sample t-test

1. Compute the test statistic using Equation (3-48) and ν from Equation (3-50)

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \delta_0}{\sqrt{s_1^2/n_1 + s_2^2/n_2}} \text{ and } \nu = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{(s_1^2/n_1)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{n_2 - 1}}$$

2. Compute the evidence against the *null hypothesis*^a

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \delta_0,$$

vs. the alternative hypothesis

$$H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq \delta_0$$
,

by the

$$p$$
-value = $2 \cdot P(T > |t_{obs}|)$,

where the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom is used

3. If *p*-value $< \alpha$: we <u>reject H_0 </u>, otherwise we <u>accept H_0 </u>, *or*

The rejection/acceptance conclusion can equivalently be based on the critical value(s) $\pm t_{1-\alpha/2}$:

if $|t_{\rm obs}| > t_{1-\alpha/2}$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0

```
xA <- c(7.53, 7.48, 8.08, 8.09, 10.15, 8.4, 10.88, 6.13, 7.9)
xB <- c(9.21, 11.51, 12.79, 11.85, 9.97, 8.79, 9.69, 9.68, 9.19)

ms=c(mean(xA), mean(xB))
vs=c(var(xA), var(xB))
ns=c(length(xA), length(xB))

# Test statistic
tobs <- (ms[2]-ms[1])/sqrt(vs[1]/ns[1]+vs[2]/ns[2])</pre>
```

```
# Degrees of freedom
v = ((vs[1]/ns[1]+vs[2]/ns[2])^2)/((vs[1]/ns[1])^2/(ns[1]-1)+(vs[2]/ns[2])^2/(ns[2]-1))
c(tobs,v)
## [1] 3.009133 15.992694
(pval <- 2*(1-pt(tobs, v)) )
## [1] 0.00832274
#CritVal
(critval \leftarrow qt(0.975, v))
## [1] 2.119984
# Direkte
t.test(xA, xB)
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: xA and xB
## t = -3.0091, df = 15.993, p-value = 0.008323
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -3.4166085 -0.5922804
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 8.293333 10.297778
Power/sample in two way
# Finding the sample size for detecting a group difference of 2
# with sigma=1 and power=0.9
# Her har vi ikke type = "one.sample" på
power.t.test(power=0.90, delta=2, sd=1, sig.level=0.05)
##
##
        Two-sample t test power calculation
##
##
                 n = 6.386756
##
             delta = 2
##
                sd = 1
##
         sig.level = 0.05
##
             power = 0.9
##
       alternative = two.sided
## NOTE: n is number in *each* group
# udfra hvad du giver den, regner den noget forskelligt eg
# Finding the sample size for detecting a group difference of 2
# with sigma=1 and power=0.9
power.t.test(power=0.90, delta=2, sd=1, sig.level=0.05)
##
##
        Two-sample t test power calculation
```

Pooled varance/sd

The *pooled* estimate of variance (assuming $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$)

Method 3.52

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

```
v1 <- 1.8^2

n1 <- 20

v2 <- 1.4^2

n2 <- 30

var <- ((n1-1)*v1+(n2-1)*v2) / (n1 + n2 -2)

sigma <- sqrt(var)
```

anova test

One-way anova

Method 8.9 Post hoc pairwise confidence intervals

A single pre-planned $(1 - \alpha) \cdot 100\%$ confidence interval for the difference between treatment i and j is found as

$$\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE\left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)},$$
 (8-22)

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is based on the *t*-distribution with n-k degrees of freedom.

If all M = k(k-1)/2 combinations of pairwise confidence intervals are calculated using the formula M times, but each time with $\alpha_{\text{Bonferroni}} = \alpha/M$ (see Remark 8.14 below).

```
rm(list = ls())
a.mean <- -0.5416667
b.mean <- -0.6816667

an <- 10
bn <- 10
n <- 25
k <- 4
df <- n-k

a <- 0.05/1
mse <- 0.16207

mean.dif <- an - bn

t.quant <- qt(1-(a/2), df)
inner <- mse * ((1/an) + (1/an))
scale <- sqrt(inner)

mean.dif + c(-1,1)*t.quant*scale</pre>
```

||| Remark 8.13 Least Significant Difference (LSD) values

If there is the same number of observations in each treatment group m = $n_1 = \ldots = n_k$ the LSD value for a particular significance level

$$LSD_{\alpha} = t_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{2 \cdot MSE/m}$$
 (8-28)

will have the same value for all the possible comparisons made.

The LSD value is particularly useful as a "measuring stick" with which we can go and compare all the observed means directly: the observed means with difference higher than the LSD are significantly different on the α -level. When used for all of the comparisons, as suggested, one should as level use the Bonferroni corrected version $LSD_{\alpha_{Bonferroni}}$ (see Remark 8.14 below for an elaborated explanation).

```
m <- 2 # Antal obs i hver kategory
mse <- 0.16207
ny_alpha = 0.005
n <- 10
k < -2
LSD \leftarrow qt(1-(ny_alpha/2), n-k)*sqrt(2*mse*(1/m))
```

[1] 1.542892

Method 8.10 Post hoc pairwise hypothesis tests

A single pre-planned level α hypothesis tests

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_i, \ H_1: \mu_i \neq \mu_i,$$
 (8-23)

is carried out by

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j}{\sqrt{MSE\left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)}},$$
(8-24)

and

$$p$$
-value = $2 \cdot P(T > |t_{obs}|)$, (8-25)

where the *t*-distribution with n - k degrees of freedom is used.

If all M = k(k-1)/2 combinations of pairwise hypothesis tests are carried out use the approach M times but each time with test level $\alpha_{\text{Bonferroni}} = \alpha/M$ (see Remark 8.14 below).

```
rm(list = ls())
a.mean <- -0.5416667
b.mean <- -0.6816667

an <- 10
bn <- 10
n <- 25
k <- 4
df <- n-k

a <- 0.05/1
mse <- 0.16207
mean.dif <- a.mean - b.mean

t.obs <- mean.dif/ sqrt(mse*((1/an)+ (1/bn)))
t.obs

## [1] 0.7776098
pval <- 2* (1- pt(t.obs, df))</pre>
```

Two way ANOVA

One-way ANOVA

Source of	Degrees of	Sums of	Mean sum of	Test-	p-
variation	freedom	squares	squares	statistic F	value
Treatment	k – 1	SS(Tr)	$MS(Tr) = \frac{SS(Tr)}{k-1}$	$F_{\rm obs} = \frac{MS(Tr)}{MSE}$	$P(F > F_{\rm obs})$
Residual	n-k	SSE	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-k}$		
Total	n-1	SST			

Two-way ANOVA

Source of	Degrees of	Sums of	Mean sums of	Test	p-
variation	freedom	squares	squares	statistic F	value
Treatment	k-1	SS(Tr)	$MS(Tr) = \frac{SS(Tr)}{k-1}$	$F_{Tr} = \frac{MS(Tr)}{MSE}$	$P(F > F_{Tr})$
Block	l-1	SS(Bl)	$MS(Bl) = \frac{SS(Bl)}{l-1}$	$F_{Bl} = \frac{MS(Bl)}{MSE}$	$P(F > F_{Bl})$
Residual	(l-1)(k-1)	SSE	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{(k-1)(l-1)}$		
Total	n-1	SST			

2. Use (l-1)(k-1) instead of n-k as degrees of freedom and as denominator for SSE

Theorem 8.22

Under the null hypothesis

$$H_{0,Tr}: \quad \alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$
 (8-44)

the test statistic

$$F_{Tr} = \frac{SS(Tr)/(k-1)}{SSE/((k-1)(l-1))},$$
(8-45)

follows an F-distribution with k-1 and (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom. Further, under the null hypothesis

$$H_{0,Bl}: \quad \beta_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l,$$
 (8-46)

the test statistic

$$F_{Bl} = \frac{SS(Bl)/(l-1)}{SSE/((k-1)(l-1))},$$
(8-47)

follows an *F*-distribution with l-1 and (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom.

testen laves som pval = 1-pf(fobs, l-1, (k-1)(l-1)

estimation of parameters

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y},$$
 $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{y}_i - \bar{y},$
 $\hat{\beta}_j = \bar{y}_{\cdot j} - \bar{y}.$

```
y <- c(3.5, 3.0, 5.4, 7.2,

7.7, 9.0, 7.0, 6.0,

0.4, 1.1, 1.0, 1.8)

treatm <- as.factor(c(1, 1, 1, 1,

2, 2, 2, 2,

3, 3, 3, 3))

block <- as.factor(c(1, 2, 3, 4,

1, 2, 3, 4,

1, 2, 3, 4))

tapply(y, block, mean)
```

```
## 1 2 3 4
## 3.866667 4.366667 4.466667 5.000000
```

```
3.866667-mean(y)
```

[1] -0.558333

PROPORTION analysis

1 population proportion analysis

CI

||| Method 7.3 Proportion estimate and confidence interval

The best estimate of the probability p of belonging to a category (the population proportion) is the sample proportion

$$\hat{p} = \frac{x}{n'} \tag{7-8}$$

where x is the number of observations in the category and n is the total number of observations.

A large sample $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for p is given as

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}.$$
(7-9)

```
small size er når:
```

when either $np \le 15$ or $n(1-p) \le 15$.

```
x <- 518
n <- 1154
p.hat <- x/n
z.quantile <- qnorm(0.975)
scale <- sqrt(((p.hat*(1-p.hat))/n))
p.hat + c(-1,1) * z.quantile * scale

## [1] 0.4201767 0.4775702
scale

## [1] 0.01464147
prop.test(518,1154,correct = FALSE)

##
## 1-sample proportions test without continuity correction
##
## data: 518 out of 1154, null probability 0.5
## X-squared = 12.066, df = 1, p-value = 0.0005135
## alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5</pre>
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.4203935 0.4776927
## sample estimates:
## p
## 0.4488735
```

hypothesis test

Method 7.11 One sample proportion hypothesis test

1. Compute the test statistic using Equation (7-16)

$$z_{\text{obs}} = \frac{x - np_0}{\sqrt{np_0(1 - p_0)}}$$

2. Compute evidence against the *null hypothesis*

$$H_0: p = p_0,$$
 (7-19)

vs. the the alternative hypothesis

$$H_1: p \neq p_0,$$
 (7-20)

by the

$$p$$
-value = $2 \cdot P(Z > |z_{obs}|)$. (7-21)

where the standard normal distribution $Z \sim N(0, 1^2)$ is used

3. If the *p*-value $< \alpha$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 , or

The rejection/acceptance conclusion can equivalently be based on the critical value(s) $\pm z_{1-\alpha/2}$:

if $|z_{\text{obs}}| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0

```
x <- 518
n <- 1154
p.hat <- x/n
# Test statistic
# is 0.5 the true proportion?
p0 <- 0.5</pre>
```

```
zobs <- (x - n*p0) / sqrt(n*p0*(1-p0))
# Husk abs a zobs
p.val \leftarrow 2 * (1-pnorm(abs(zobs)))
p.val
## [1] 0.0005135367
prop.test(518,1154,correct = FALSE)
##
  1-sample proportions test without continuity correction
## data: 518 out of 1154, null probability 0.5
## X-squared = 12.066, df = 1, p-value = 0.0005135
## alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.4203935 0.4776927
## sample estimates:
##
## 0.4488735
```

ME!

■ Method 7.13 Sample size formula for the CI of a proportion

Given some "guess" (scenario) of the size of the unknown p, and given some requirement to the ME-value (required expected precision) the necessary sample size is then

$$n = p(1-p) \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{ME}\right)^2. (7-24)$$

If p is unknown, a worst case scenario with p = 1/2 is applied and necessary sample size is

$$n = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{ME} \right)^2. \tag{7-25}$$

CI og sd/var!

Method 7.15

An estimate of the standard error of the estimator $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$ is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}.$$
 (7-29)

The $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for the difference $p_1 - p_2$ is

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}.$$
 (7-30)

This confidence interval requires independent random samples for the two groups and large enough sample sizes n_1 and n_2 . A rule of thumb is that $n_i p_i \ge 10$ and $n_i (1 - p_i) \ge 10$ for i = 1, 2, must be satisfied.

Ⅲ Remark 7.16

The standard error in Method 7.15 can be calculated by

$$V(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) = V(\hat{p}_1) + V(\hat{p}_2) = \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_1}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_2}^2, \tag{7-31}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2} = \sqrt{V(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2)} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_1}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{p}_2}^2}.$$
 (7-32)

Notice, that the standard errors are added (before the square root) such that the standard error of the difference is larger than the standard error for the observed proportions alone. Therefore in practice the estimate of the difference $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$ will often be further from the true difference $p_1 - p_2$ than \hat{p}_1 will be from p_1 or \hat{p}_2 will be from p_2 .

■ Method 7.18 Two sample proportions hypothesis test

The two-sample hypothesis test for comparing two proportions is given by the following procedure:

1. Compute, with $\hat{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$, the test statistic

$$z_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}$$
(7-37)

2. Compute evidence against the null hypothesis

$$H_0: p_1 = p_2,$$
 (7-38)

vs. the the alternative hypothesis

$$H_1: p_1 \neq p_2,$$
 (7-39)

by the

$$p$$
-value = $2 \cdot P(Z > |z_{obs}|)$. (7-40)

where the standard normal distribution $Z \sim N(0, 1^2)$ is used

3. If the *p*-value $< \alpha$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 , or

The rejection/acceptance conclusion can equivalently be based on the critical value(s) $\pm z_{1-\alpha/2}$:

if $|z_{\rm obs}| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0

```
prop.test(x=c(23,35), n=c(57,167), correct=FALSE, conf.level=0.99)

##

## 2-sample test for equality of proportions without continuity correction
##

## data: c(23, 35) out of c(57, 167)

## X-squared = 8.3288, df = 1, p-value = 0.003902

## alternative hypothesis: two.sided
```

```
## 99 percent confidence interval:
## 0.007922055 0.379933812
## sample estimates:
## prop 1 prop 2
## 0.4035088 0.2095808
```

|||| Method 7.20 The multi-sample proportions χ^2 -test

The hypothesis

$$H_0: p_1 = p_2 = \ldots = p_c = p$$
,

can be tested using the test statistic

$$\chi_{\text{obs}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(o_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}},$$

where o_{ij} is the observed number in cell (i, j) and e_{ij} is the expected in cell (i, j).

The test statistic $\chi^2_{\rm obs}$ should be compared with the χ^2 -distribution degrees of freedom.

The χ^2 -distribution is approximately the sampling distribution of tics under the null hypothesis. The rule of thumb is that it is valid the computed expected values are at least 5: $e_{ij} \geq 5$.

Multi sample! på en axis

	Birth control pill	No birth control pill	Total
Blood clot	$o_{11} = 23$	$o_{12} = 35$	x = 58
	$e_{11} = 14.76$	$e_{12} = 43.24$	
No blood clot	$o_{21} = 34$	$o_{22} = 132$	(n-x)=166
	$e_{21} = 42.24$	$o_{22} = 123.8$	
Total	$n_1 = 57$	$n_2 = 167$	n = 224

The observed χ^2 test statistic can be calculated

$$\chi_{\rm obs}^2 = \frac{(23 - 14.76)^2}{14.76} + \frac{(35 - 43.24)^2}{43.24} + \frac{(34 - 42.24)^2}{42.24} + \frac{(132 - 123.8)^2}{123.8} = 8.33. \tag{7-49}$$

We then find the *p*-value, by calculating how likely it is to get 8.33 or more extreme if the null hypothesis is true, using the χ^2 distribution with c-1=2-1=1 degrees of freedom

$$p$$
-value = $P(\chi^2 \ge 8.33) = 0.0039$, (7-50)

```
pill.study <- matrix(c(23, 35, 34, 132), ncol = 2, byrow = TRUE)
rownames(pill.study) <- c("Blood Clot", "No Clot")</pre>
colnames(pill.study) <- c("Pill", "No pill")</pre>
chi <- chisq.test(pill.study, correct = FALSE)</pre>
#X-squared er test statistic (?)
chi
##
   Pearson's Chi-squared test
##
##
## data: pill.study
## X-squared = 8.3288, df = 1, p-value = 0.003902
chi$expected
                  Pill
                        No pill
## Blood Clot 14.75893 43.24107
## No Clot 42.24107 123.75893
```

Multisample på begge

|||| Method 7.22 The $r \times c$ frequency table χ^2 -test

For an $r \times c$ table the hypothesis

$$H_0: p_{i1} = p_{i2} = \dots = p_{ic} = p_i$$
, for all rows $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, (7-54)

is tested using the test statistic

$$\chi_{\text{obs}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(o_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}}.$$
 (7-55)

where o_{ij} is the observed number in cell (i,j) and e_{ij} is the expected number in cell (i,j). This test statistic should be compared with the χ^2 -distribution with (r-1)(c-1) degrees of freedom and the hypothesis is rejected at significance level α if

$$\chi_{\text{obs}}^2 > \chi_{1-\alpha}^2 ((r-1)(c-1)).$$
(7-56)

	4 weeks before	2 weeks before	1 week before	Row total
Candidate 1	79	91	93	263
Candidate 2	84	66	60	210
Undecided	37	43	47	127
Column total	200	200	200	600

$$e_{22} =$$
 "2'nd column total" \cdot "2'nd row total" $=$ $\frac{210 \cdot 200}{600} = 70$.

Simulation methods

Bootstrapping

normal LR

CI for parametre

Method 5.15 Parameter confidence intervals

 $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence intervals for β_0 and β_1 are given by

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\beta_0},\tag{5-52}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\beta_1},\tag{5-53}$$

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $(1-\alpha/2)$ -quantile of a t-distribution with n-2 degrees of freedom. Where $\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_0}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_1}$ are calculated from the results in Theorem 5.8, and Equations (5-43) and (5-44).

```
B1 <- 23.25

tquant <- qt(0.975, 18)

B1.sigma <- 1.74

B1 + c(-1,1)*tquant*B1.sigma
```

[1] 19.5944 26.9056

|| Method 5.18 Intervals for the line

The (1- α) **confidence interval** for the line $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{\text{new}}$ is

$$\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{\text{new}} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_{\text{new}} - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}},$$
 (5-59)

and the $(1-\alpha)$ **prediction interval** is

$$\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{\text{new}} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_{\text{new}} - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}},$$
 (5-60)

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $(1-\alpha/2)$ -quantile of the t-distribution with n-2 degrees of freedom.

Heights
$$(x_i)$$
 | 168 | 161 | 167 | 179 | 184 | 166 | 198 | 187 | 191 | 179
Weights (y_i) | 65.5 | 58.3 | 68.1 | 85.7 | 80.5 | 63.4 | 102.6 | 91.4 | 86.7 | 78.9

```
b0 <- -120
b1 <- 1.113
xnew <- 200
mean <- 178
n <- 10
df \leftarrow n-2
sd <- 3.88
sxx <- 1342
"CI:"
## [1] "CI:"
tquantile \leftarrow qt(0.975, df)
scale \leftarrow sd * sqrt(1/n + (mean - xnew)^2/sxx)
b0+b1*xnew + c(-1, 1)*tquantile*scale
## [1] 96.52732 108.67268
"Prediction Interval:"
## [1] "Prediction Interval:"
tquantile \leftarrow qt(0.975, df)
scale \leftarrow sd * sqrt(1 + 1/n + (mean - xnew)^2/sxx)
b0+b1*xnew + c(-1, 1)*tquantile*scale
## [1] 91.78651 113.41349
# Direkte med r
y \leftarrow c(8.43, 7.89, 8.28, 7.84, 9.62, 9.41, 9.40, 8.22, 9.18, 9.17,
9.25, 9.68, 8.49, 8.53, 9.30, 8.94, 9.46, 9.69, 9.37, 9.42,
9.13, 9.18)
x <- year <- 1984:2005
fit \leftarrow lm(y \sim x)
newdata \leftarrow data.frame(x = 2017)
predict(fit, newdata=newdata, interval="confidence",
level=0.95)
          fit
                    lwr
                              upr
## 1 10.03201 9.206954 10.85707
predict(fit, newdata=newdata, interval="prediction",
level=0.95)
          fit
                    lwr
## 1 10.03201 8.696461 11.36756
```

	Description	Formula	R command
5.4	Least square estimators	$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})(x_i - \bar{x})}{S_{xx}}$ $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$ where $S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$	
5.8	Variance of estimators	$V[\hat{\beta}_0] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2 \sigma^2}{S_{xx}}$ $V[\hat{\beta}_1] = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}$ $Cov[\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1] = -\frac{\bar{x}\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}$	
5.12	Tests statistics for $H_0: \beta_0 = 0$ and $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$	$T_{eta_0} = rac{\hateta_0 - eta_{0,0}}{\hat\sigma_{eta_0}} \ T_{eta_1} = rac{\hateta_1 - eta_{0,1}}{\hat\sigma_{eta_1}}$	
5.14	Level α <i>t</i> -tests for parameter	Test $H_{0,i}: \beta_i = \beta_{0,i}$ vs. $H_{1,i}: \beta_i \neq \beta_{0,i}$ with p -value $= 2 \cdot P(T > t_{\text{obs},\beta_i})$ where $t_{\text{obs},\beta_i} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_{0,i}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_i}}$. If p -value $< \alpha$ then $reject\ H_0$, otherwise $accept\ H_0$	<pre>D <- data.frame(x=c(), y=c()) fit <- lm(y~x, data=D) summary(fit)</pre>
5.15	Parameter confidence intervals	$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma}_{\beta_0} \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma}_{\beta_1} \end{vmatrix}$	confint(fit,level=0.95)
5.18	Confident and prediction interval	Confidence interval for the line: $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{\text{new}} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_{\text{new}} - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}$ Interval for a new point prediction: $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{\text{new}} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_{\text{new}} - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}$	<pre>predict(fit, newdata=data.frame(), interval="confidence", level=0.95) predict(fit, newdata=data.frame(), interval="prediction", level=0.95)</pre>
5.23	The matrix formulation of the parameter estimators in the simple linear regression model	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y}$ $V[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$ $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{RSS}{n-2}$	
5.25	Coefficient of determination \mathbb{R}^2	$r^2 = 1 - rac{\sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_i (y_i - \hat{y})^2}$	

	Description	Formula	R command
5.7	Model validation of assumptions	> Check the normality assumption with a q-q plot of the residuals. > Check the systematic behavior by plotting the residuals e_i as a function of fitted values \hat{y}_i	<pre>qqnorm(fit\$residuals) qqline(fit\$residuals) plot(fit\$fitted.values, fit\$residuals)</pre>

MLR

	Description	Formula	R command
6.2	Level α <i>t</i> -tests for parameter	Test $H_{0,i}: \beta_i = \beta_{0,i}$ vs. $H_{1,i}: \beta_i \neq \beta_{0,i}$ with p -value $= 2 \cdot P(T > t_{\text{obs},\beta_i})$ where $t_{\text{obs},\beta_i} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_{0,i}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\beta_i}}$. If p -value $< \alpha$ the $reject\ H_0$, otherwise $accept\ H_0$	<pre>D<-data.frame(x1=c(), x2=c(),y=c()) fit <- lm(y~x1+x2, data=D) summary(fit)</pre>
6.5	Parameter confidence intervals	$\hat{eta}_i \pm t_{1-lpha/2} \hat{\sigma}_{eta_i}$	confint(fit,level=0.95)
6.9	Confident and prediction interval (in R)	Confident interval for the line $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{1,\text{new}} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{p,\text{new}}$ Interval for a new point prediction $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{1,\text{new}} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{p,\text{new}} + \varepsilon_{\text{new}}$	<pre>predict(fit, newdata=data.frame(), interval="confidence", level=0.95) predict(fit, newdata=data.frame(), interval="prediction", level=0.95)</pre>
6.17	The matrix formulation of the parameter estimators in the multiple linear regression model	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y}$ $V[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$ $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{RSS}{n - (p+1)}$	
6.16	Model selection procedure	Backward selection: start with full model and stepwise remove insignifi- cant terms	