Software Transaction Roll Back Avoidance Master Proposal Talk

Lasse Folger

November 24, 2016

Motivation

```
type Account = MVar Int

transfer :: Account -> Account -> Int -> IO ()
transfer src dst am = do
  a1 <- takeMVar src
  a2 <- takeMVar dst
  putMVar src (a1 - am)
  putMVar dst (a2 + am)</pre>
```

MVar

Thread 1:

Thread 2:

transfer acc1 acc2 50

 $transfer\ acc2\ acc1\ 50$

MVar

Thread 1:

Thread 2:

transfer acc1 acc2 50

 $transfer\ acc2\ acc1\ 50$

 \Rightarrow Deadlock

Use Transactions

```
type Account = TVar Int

transfer :: Account -> Account -> Int -> STM ()
transfer src dst am = do
  a1 <- readTVar src
  a2 <- readTVar dst
  writeTVar src (a1 - am)
  writeTVar dst (a2 + am)</pre>
```

TVar

Thread 1:

atomically \$ transfer acc1 acc2 50

Thread 2:

atomically \$ transfer acc2 acc1 50

TVar

Thread 1:

Thread 2:

atomically \$ transfer acc1 acc2 50

atomically \$ transfer acc2 acc1 50

⇒ works fine, because transactions provide ACID properties

Current Implementation (Control.Concurrent.STM)

- writeTVar, readTVar and newTVar modify TVars
- retry and orElse alter the control flow
- atomically executes a transaction
- composition via bind operator (or do)

Modify Operations

- **newTVar**: creates a new, initialized TVar
- readTVar: add the TVar and its version number to the read set
- writeTVar: add the TVar and the value to the write set
- read set and write set serve as local cache

atomically :: STM a -> IO a

- 1. compute the read set and write set
- 2. validate the read set
- 3. if valid commit the write set
- 4. else restart

Validation

- 1. compare version number in read set with actual version number
- 2. if there is a difference return invalid
- 3. else return valid

Problem

Thread 1:

a1 < readTVar acc2 a2 < readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50)

Thread 2:

```
a1 <- readTVar acc2
a2 <- readTVar acc1
writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50)
writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50)
```

Problem

Thread 1:

a1 < readTVar acc2 a2 < readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50)

Thread 2:

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\rm a1} < & {\rm readTVar \ acc2} \\ {\rm a2} < & {\rm readTVar \ acc1} \\ {\rm writeTVar \ acc2} \ ({\rm a1} - {\rm 50}) \\ {\rm writeTVar \ acc1} \ ({\rm a2} + {\rm 50}) \end{array}
```

⇒ either sequential or one transaction is rolled back

Idea

Thread 1:

a1 < readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc1 (a1 - 50) a2 < readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

Thread 2:

a1 <- readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50) a2 <- readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50)

ldea

Thread 1:

a1 <- readTVar acc1 a2 <- readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

Thread 2:

```
a1 <- readTVar acc2
writeTVar acc1 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50)
                          a2 <- readTVar acc1
                          | writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50) |
```

 \Rightarrow delay the evaluation of readTVar to avoid rollback, but...

Idea does not work

```
limitedTransfer acc1 acc2 am = do
  a1 <- readTVar src
  if a1 < am
    then return ()
    else do a2 <- readTVar dst
        writeTVar src (a1 - am)
        writeTVar dst (a2 + am)</pre>
```

... the transaction needs the value to determine the branch condition

Problem

- (>>=) :: STM a -> (a -> STM b) -> STM b
- Bind extracts the value from the STM context
- STM no longer controls this value.
- Need another Typeclass than Monad

Applicative

- Applicative is less powerfull than Monad
- (<*>) :: STM (a -> b) -> STM a -> STM b
- The value can be modified without leaving the STM context

Project

- Improved a pure Haskell implementation
- Direct notification
- Explicit, ordered locking
- Optimisations

Master Thesis until now

- Composition by combination of Monad and Applicative
- >>= evaluates and enables rollbacks
- <*> enables to modify values without rollbacks
- writeTVar :: TVar a -> STM a -> STM ()

New Combinators

- (<*>) :: STM (a -> b) -> STM a -> STM b
- (<**>) :: STM a -> STM (a -> b) -> STM b
- (*>) :: STM a -> STM b -> STM b
- (**>) :: STM a -> (STM a -> STM b) -> STM b
- (>>=) :: STM a -> (a -> STM b) -> STM b
- (>>) :: STM a -> STM b -> STM b

New Transfer

```
transfer :: Account -> Account -> Int -> STM ()
transfer src dst am = do
  readTVar src <**> pure (- am) **> writeTVar src
  readTVar dst <**> pure (+ am) **> writeTVar dst
```

Todo

- Reduce the number of combinators
- ApplicativeDo might do that
- investigate other problems:
 - □ Branch condition is not changed by TVar modification
 - $\hfill\Box$ Recomputation of values which did not change

Unnecessary Recomputation

```
action = do
  transfer acc1 acc2 50
  transfer acc3 acc4 100
```

If one transfer is invalidated, both are recomputed