# Software Transaction Roll Back Avoidance Master Proposal Talk

Lasse Folger

November 28, 2016

## Motivation

# **MVar**

Thread 1:

Thread 2:

transfer acc1 acc2 50

transfer acc2 acc1 50

# **MVar**

#### Thread 1:

a1 < takeMVar acc1 a2 < takeMVar acc2 writeMVar acc1 (a1 - 50) writeMVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

#### Thread 2:

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\sf a1} &<& {\sf takeMVar \ acc2} \\ {\sf a2} &<& {\sf takeMVar \ acc1} \\ {\sf writeMVar \ acc2} \ ({\sf a1} \ -\ {\sf 50}) \\ {\sf writeMVar \ acc1} \ ({\sf a2} \ +\ {\sf 50}) \end{array}$ 

# **MVar**

#### Thread 1:

# a1 < takeMVar acc1 a2 < takeMVar acc2 writeMVar acc1 (a1 - 50) writeMVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

#### Thread 2:

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\sf a1} < & {\sf takeMVar \ acc2} \\ {\sf a2} < & {\sf takeMVar \ acc1} \\ {\sf writeMVar \ acc2} \ ({\sf a1} - {\sf 50}) \\ {\sf writeMVar \ acc1} \ ({\sf a2} + {\sf 50}) \end{array}
```

 $\Rightarrow$  Deadlock

# Use Transactions

```
type Account = TVar Int

transfer :: Account -> Account -> Int -> STM ()
transfer src dst am = do
   a1 <- readTVar src
   a2 <- readTVar dst
   writeTVar src (a1 - am)
   writeTVar dst (a2 + am)</pre>
```

## **TVar**

Thread 1:

atomically \$ transfer acc1 acc2 50

Thread 2:

atomically \$ transfer acc2 acc1 50

## **TVar**

Thread 1:

Thread 2:

atomically \$ transfer acc1 acc2 50

atomically \$ transfer acc2 acc1 50

⇒ works fine, because transactions provide ACID properties

# Current Implementation (Control.Concurrent.STM)

- writeTVar, readTVar and newTVar modify TVars
- retry and orElse alter the control flow
- atomically executes a transaction
- composition via bind operator (or do)

# **Modify Operations**

- **newTVar**: creates a new, initialized TVar
- writeTVar: add the TVar and the value to the write set
- readTVar: add the TVar and its version number to the read set
- read set and write set serve as local buffer

# atomically :: STM a -> IO a

- 1. compute the read set and write set
- 2. lock TVars
- 3. validate the read set
- 4. if valid then commit the write set
- 5. else roll back

# Validation

- 1. compare version number in read set with actual version number
- 2. if there is a difference then return invalid
- 3. else return valid

## **Problem**

#### Thread 1:

# a1 < readTVar acc1 a2 < readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc1 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

#### Thread 2:

```
a1 <- readTVar acc2 a2 <- readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50)
```

## **Problem**

#### Thread 1:

# a1 < readTVar acc1 a2 < readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc1 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

#### Thread 2:

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\rm a1} < & {\rm readTVar \ acc2} \\ {\rm a2} < & {\rm readTVar \ acc1} \\ {\rm writeTVar \ acc2} \ ({\rm a1} - {\rm 50}) \\ {\rm writeTVar \ acc1} \ ({\rm a2} + {\rm 50}) \end{array}
```

⇒ either sequential or one transaction is rolled back

## Idea

#### Thread 1:

a1 < readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc1 (a1 - 50) a2 < readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

#### Thread 2:

a1 < readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50) a2 < readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50)

### ldea

#### Thread 1:

# a1 <- readTVar acc1 writeTVar acc1 (a1 - 50) writeTVar acc2 (a1 - 50)a2 <- readTVar acc2 writeTVar acc2 (a2 + 50)

#### Thread 2:

```
a1 <- readTVar acc2
a2 <- readTVar acc1
| writeTVar acc1 (a2 + 50) |
```

 $\Rightarrow$  delay the evaluation of readTVar to avoid rollback, but...

## Idea does not work

```
limitedTransfer src dst am = do
  a1 <- readTVar src
  if a1 < am
    then return ()
    else do a2 <- readTVar dst
        writeTVar src (a1 - am)
        writeTVar dst (a2 + am)</pre>
```

... the transaction may need the value

# Problem

- (>>=) :: STM a -> (a -> STM b) -> STM b
- Bind extracts the value from the STM context
- STM no longer controls this value.
- Need another Typeclass than Monad

# **Applicative**

- Applicative is less powerfull than Monad
- (<\*>) :: STM (a -> b) -> STM a -> STM b
- The value can be modified without leaving the STM context

# Project

- Improved a pure Haskell implementation
- Direct notification
- Explicit, ordered locking
- Optimisations

# Master Thesis until now

- Implementation based on Applicative
- Works without rollbacks...
- ...and without branches
- Constraints on TVars to gain some control
- Still no retry or orElse

# Master Thesis until now

- Composition by combination of Monad and Applicative
- >>= evaluates and enables rollbacks
- <\*> enables to modify values without rollbacks
- writeTVar :: TVar a -> STM a -> STM ()

## **New Combinators**

- (<\*>) :: STM (a -> b) -> STM a -> STM b
- (<\*\*>) :: STM a -> STM (a -> b) -> STM b
- (\*\*>) :: STM a -> (STM a -> STM b) -> STM b
- (>>=) :: STM a -> (a -> STM b) -> STM b
- (>>) :: STM a -> STM b -> STM b

## New Transfer

```
transfer :: Account -> Account -> Int -> STM ()
transfer src dst am = do
  readTVar src <**> pure (- am) **> writeTVar src
  readTVar dst <**> pure (+ am) **> writeTVar dst
```

## Problem solved

Thread 1:

atomically \$
 transfer acc1 acc2 50

Thread 2:

atomically \$
transfer acc2 acc1 50

 $\Rightarrow$  no more rollback

## Todo

- Reduce the number of combinators
- Change writeTVars type and use ApplicativeDo
- But it extracts the Value from STM context
- investigate other problems:
  - □ Branch condition is not changed by TVar modification
  - Recomputation of values which did not change

# Unnecessary Recomputation

```
transaction = do
  ImitedTransfer acc1 acc2 50
  IimtedTransfer acc3 acc4 100
```

If one transfer is invalidated, both are recomputed

# Questions about...

- ...Control.Concurrent.STM?
- ...rollback avoidance?
- ...unnecessary recomputation?
- ...something else?