



The figure shows values of a feature (x_1) before and after scaling. What is the scaling method used? [5 marks]

- a. Standard scaling
- b. Min-max scaling
- c. Max-abs scaling

output range $[0-1] \rightarrow \text{min-max}$

Data preprocessing example

➤ <https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html>

1. Standardization: scale the features of a dataset to have zero mean and unit variance.
2. Scaling features to a range e.g., between 0 and 1

- Min max scalar $\rightarrow [0, 1]$
- Max Abs Scaler $\rightarrow [-1, 1]$

$$\text{Standardization}(x) = \frac{x - \text{mean}(x)}{\text{std}(x)}$$

$$\text{MinMaxScaler}(x) = \frac{x - \text{min}(x)}{\text{max}(x) - \text{min}(x)}$$

$$\text{MaxAbsScaler}(x) = \frac{x}{\max(|x|)}$$

If outliers are there, will it work?

Suggestions?

Given a data set of observations $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3)^T$ and two machine learning models namely model 1 and 2. The likelihood values for model 1 are expressed as $p(\mathbf{x}_1|\theta_1) = 0.5$, $p(\mathbf{x}_2|\theta_1) = 0.7$ and $p(\mathbf{x}_3|\theta_1) = 0.4$, while for model 2 they are expressed as $p(\mathbf{x}_1|\theta_2) = 0.6$, $p(\mathbf{x}_2|\theta_2) = 0.5$ and $p(\mathbf{x}_3|\theta_2) = 0.4$. Here, θ_1 and θ_2 represents the parameters of the model 1 and 2, respectively. Which model would be chosen based on the utilization of maximum likelihood analysis? [15 marks]

- a. Model 2
- b. Model 1

Model 1 Likelihoods:

- $p(x_1|\theta_{01}) = 0.5$
- $p(x_2|\theta_{01}) = 0.7$
- $p(x_3|\theta_{01}) = 0.4$

These values represent the likelihood of observing each data point x_i under model 1, with parameters θ_{01} .

Model 2 Likelihoods:

- $p(x_1|\theta_{02}) = 0.6$
- $p(x_2|\theta_{02}) = 0.5$
- $p(x_3|\theta_{02}) = 0.4$

These values represent the likelihood of observing each data point x_i under model 2, with parameters θ_{02} .

Maximum Likelihood Decision:

For each model, the likelihood of the entire dataset $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is calculated as the product of individual likelihoods: $L(\theta) = p(x_1|\theta) \cdot p(x_2|\theta) \cdot p(x_3|\theta)$

Model 1:

$$L(\theta_{01}) = 0.5 \times 0.7 \times 0.4 = 0.14$$

Model 2:

$$L(\theta_{02}) = 0.6 \times 0.5 \times 0.4 = 0.12$$

model 1 likelihood > model 2 likelihood

Consider the linear regression model $y = w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$. Sum of squared errors (SSE) and total sum of squares (TSS) of this model for two datasets are given in the Table. For which dataset this model performs better? [15 marks]

Table 5: SSE and TSS of linear regression model.

	Dataset A	Dataset B
$\text{SSE} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2$	1	8
$\text{TSS} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y}_i)^2$	5	80
Number of data samples (N)	10000	10000

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{TSS}}$$

Given Data:

- **Dataset A:**

- SSE = 1
- TSS = 5

- **Dataset B:**

- SSE = 8
- TSS = 80

Calculating R^2 :

1. **For Dataset A:**

$$R_A^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}_A}{\text{TSS}_A} = 1 - \frac{1}{5} = 1 - 0.2 = 0.8$$

2. **For Dataset B:**

$$R_B^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}_B}{\text{TSS}_B} = 1 - \frac{8}{80} = 1 - 0.1 = 0.9$$

$$R_A^2 < R_B^2$$

model B performs better.

1. SSE (Sum of Squared Errors):

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

observed value
predicted value

where y_i are the observed values, \hat{y}_i are the predicted values, and n is the number of observations.

2. TSS (Total Sum of Squares):

$$TSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

observed value
mean

where \bar{y} is the mean of the observed values.

3. R-squared:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{TSS}$$

high R^2 value says a better model