

CAN WE SEE THE HADRON-QUARK TRANSITION HAPPENING IN NEUTRON STARS?

FRÉDÉRIQUE GRASSI

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, C. P. 66318, 05315-970 São Paulo-SP, Brazil, and Instituto de Física,
 Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil

Received 1996 December 26; accepted 1997 August 12

ABSTRACT

In order to actually see the hadron-quark transition happening in a neutron star, we point out and study two static conditions (the transition hadronic density must be lower than the neutron star maximum hadronic density; the neutron star mass at the transition hadronic density must be in the observed range $\approx 1.4 M_\odot$) and one dynamical condition (nucleation must occur during the star lifetime). We find that the minicollapse accompanying the transition from metastable hadronic matter to quark matter may be relevant to explain macro-glitches and gamma-ray bursts, but that the mechanism increasing the star density must be relatively fast, e.g., accretion, but not slowing down. This rules out a scenario for gamma-ray bursts proposed recently.

Subject headings: dense matter — elementary particles — gamma rays: bursts — stars: neutron

1. INTRODUCTION

A first-order transition may be triggered in a neutron star whose central density is increasing. Such an increase may come from the fact that the star is slowing down, accreting matter, or cooling. For a second-order phase transition or a first-order transition occurring in equilibrium, all the macroscopic quantities of the star (mass, radius, momentum of inertia) vary continuously (the only discontinuity being—possibly—in the central density). For a first-order transition occurring out of equilibrium, with the appearance of a metastable, supercompressed neutron phase, the star undergoes a minicollapse when it goes from one configuration with a metastable neutron core, a mass M_1 , and radius R_1 , to another configuration with mass M_2 and radius R_2 , consisting of a core made of the new phase, surrounded by normal neutron matter, (all this occurring at constant baryonic number). In the minicollapse, a large amount of energy may be released in a short time, just how big is this amount of energy, depends on the equation of state inside the neutron star, how quickly the new phase nucleates, etc., so it has to be determined for the particular type of new phase considered: pion matter, quark matter, kaon condensate, etc.. A more familiar example of a metastable system is a gas undergoing a first-order phase transition to its liquid if compressed to a volume V_c at fixed T . If it is slowly compressed at fixed T , it may stay in the vapor state even for $V < V_c$. Other examples are liquid water freed of dissolved air, which may be heated above boiling temperature without the formation of vapor and cooled below freezing temperature without solidification.

Various papers have tried to explore the possibility of a minicollapse during a first-order transition. Migdal et al. (1979) first studied the dynamical effects of the neutron-pion transition in dense stars and showed that 10^{53} ergs of energy could be emitted in 10^{-4} s; this led them to suggest that this transition could provide an alternative explanation to the supernova phenomena (see also Berezin et al. 1982). This calculation was taken up by Haensel & Prószyński (1980, 1982) with a more realistic pion equation of state. These authors concluded that the amount of energy released would probably be smaller than previously predicted, $\sim 10^{48}$ ergs, but that because of the change in the momentum of inertia (0.1%), the pion transition might cause

observable macro-glitches. It was also proposed that a pion transition might be at the origin of the 1979 March 5 γ transient (Ramaty et al. 1980; Ellison & Kazanas 1983). Finally, details of the actual relaxation from a one-phase star to a two-phase star via oscillations of the pion core surface, were worked out by Kämpfer (1981) and Diaz Alosa (1983) for simplified equations of state. However, measurements of the coefficient g' (Carey et al. 1984) of the short-range spin-isospin repulsion seem to exclude the possibility that the pion transition happen in stable neutron stars (Baym & Campbell 1979). In principle, another type of condensate, the kaon condensate, may exist with effects similar to a pion condensate (Brown & Bethe 1994) but the details of kaon condensation are very sensitive to the kaon-dense matter interactions (Pandharipande, Pethick, & Thorsson 1995). Finally, recently it was suggested that the hadron-quark transition occurring in slowing down neutron stars might be responsible for gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) (Ma & Xie 1996).

In this paper, we concentrate on the following question: can we hope to see the hadron-quark transition happen in a neutron star (via the minicollapse manifestations)? The question can be divided in three conditions that must be satisfied to get a positive answer. First, as usual, the transition density must be smaller than the maximum central density of a pure neutron star. Second, the mass of the neutron star at the transition should be around $1.4 M_\odot$ since this is the typical mass of the neutron stars observed so far (the errors bars on measured masses are big, but taking them into account only widens the interval of permitted parameters for the quark matter equation of state). If the transition is predicted to occur in stars outside that mass range, since such stars are not observed, we would not see the transition happening. Finally, normally one expects that at n_{H^*} , the neutron and quark phases coexist. However, due to surface effects, the neutron phase may survive for a range of densities greater than n_{H^*} (it is said to be metastable) during a time called its lifetime or nucleation time, after that quark matter droplets appear. The nucleation time decreases with increasing density and becomes smaller than the estimated neutron star lifetime at some hadronic density $n_{H^*} > n_{H^*}$. The third condition will therefore be that the mechanism that increases the central

TABLE 1
PARAMETERS AT THE TRANSITION

B (MeV fm ⁻³)	n_{Ht} (fm ⁻³)	n_{qt} (fm ⁻³)	M_t (M_\odot)	I_t (10 ⁴⁵ g cm ⁻²)	R_t (km)	$n'_H + 5\%$				$n'_H + 15\%$			
						E (10 ⁵⁰ ergs)	ΔR	ΔI	τ_{ff} (10 ⁻⁴ s)	E (10 ⁵⁰ ergs)	ΔR	ΔI	τ_{ff} (10 ⁻⁴ s)
Soft Equation													
56.	1.46	1.85	1.7582	1.3	9.2
66.	0.23	0.29	0.3095	0.2	13.1
	1.50	1.94	Unstable
68.	1.50	1.95	Unstable
Stiff Equation													
56.
62.	0.18	0.27	0.2340	0.1	14.0	4.	0.7	0.02	3.	1.	1.2	0.04	2.
67.	0.26	0.33	0.8626	0.8	12.7	3.	0.2	0.05	2.	30.	0.6	0.17	1.
73.	0.36	0.41	1.4304	1.8	13.2	2.	0.3	0.12	1.	60.	1.1	0.46	1.
495.	0.76	2.05	Unstable

NOTE.—Various quantities of interest at the transition (see text for notations) in the case of neutron matter with a soft equation of state (Bethe-Jonhson) or a stiff equation of state (Walecka). The first set of E , ΔR , ΔI , τ_{ff} , corresponds to a transition occurring in metastable neutron matter of density $n'_H + 5\%$, and the second set corresponds to a density $n'_H + 15\%$.

density, starting say from n_{Ht} , can bring it to $n_{H'}$ in less than the star lifetime so that the transition will actually occur. The first two conditions correspond to a static problem: we only need to solve the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations. The third condition corresponds to a dynamical problem, the nucleation of quark droplets in cold hadronic matter.

2. THE STATIC PROBLEM

In order to solve the equations of hydrostatic equilibrium, we need to specify the equation of state. The equation of state of quark matter is taken to be the M.I.T. one (Baym & Chin 1976), i.e., $\epsilon = An^{4/3} + B$, $p = An^{4/3}/3 - B$. The values $B = 55 \text{ MeV fm}^{-3}$, $\alpha = 2.2$, give a reasonable fit to observed hadronic masses in the original MIT analysis, however, when dealing with dense stars, one has no good clue as to what the value of these parameters should be. We will therefore work to zeroth order in α , so that for two flavor electrically neutral quark matter, $A = 3/4\pi^{2/3}(1 + 2^{4/3})$, and we will keep B as a parameter. By doing so, even though the exact equation of state of quark matter is unknown, we can enumerate and study various possible scenarios for the transition, which arise according to the value of B . (We consider two flavors because when the hadrons “melt” at the transition, mostly u and d quarks are present for the hadronic equations of state that we use; s quarks appear later when the bubble is formed already via weak interactions. To make the transition directly from mostly neutron matter to u-d-s matter would require several simultaneous weak interactions, which is unlikely).

The equation of state for normal neutron stars used, consists of, as usually done, a matching of the Feynman-Metropolis-Teller (1949), Baym-Pethick-Sutherland (1971), and Baym-Bethe-Pethick (1971) equations of state. In addition, for the star center, two equations are considered: a soft one, the model “VN” of Bethe-Johnson (1974) denoted as BJVN, (softer equations of state predict too low maximum masses for neutron stars), and a stiff one, the Walecka (1974) equation of state; the real equation of state of hadron matter should lie between these two extremes. In order to determine the density for the hadron-quark transition, we plot the pressure p as a function of the Gibbs energy or

baryonic chemical potential [$\equiv(\epsilon + p)/n_B$] for various values of B and for the BJVN equation of state or the Walecka equation of state, then look for intersections, as they signal phase transitions.¹

We can then proceed to solve the TOV equations. For a neutron star with a BJVN equation of state and no quarks inside, the maximum mass is $M_{\max} = 1.76 M_\odot$ at a radius $R = 9.2 \text{ km}$ and a central density $n_c = 1.49 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. In the case of a star with a Walecka equation of state and no quarks inside, $M_{\max} = 2.59 M_\odot$ at $R = 12.4 \text{ km}$ and $n_c = 0.76 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. In Table 1, we show the mass M_t of the neutron star when the transition occurs, (also shown are its momentum of inertia I_t and radius R_t). For a BJVN equation of state, except for a finely tuned $B \sim 56 \text{ MeV fm}^{-3}$, condition 1 and/or 2 is not satisfied. On the other side, for a Walecka equation of state, for a large interval of B 's, conditions 1 and 2 are satisfied. Therefore, if a hadron-quark transition occurs in a neutron star with an equation of state somewhat stiffer than the BJVN one, there is hope that we could see some effects associated with it. In the remaining of this section, we concentrate on such a prototype, the Walecka equation of state.

In Figure 1, we show the $M(R)$ curve around the transition, for a neutron star with a Walecka type equation of state. As mentioned in the introduction, two scenarios can occur: (1) the phase transition occurs with equilibrium of p and G as the central density increases, and the star passes smoothly from the neutron branch (*dashed line*) to the quark core branch (*solid branch*) at the bifurcation point; (2) as its central density increases, the star continues evolving along the neutron star branch past the bifurcation point, when the star actually makes a transition to a quark core configuration, a certain quantity of energy (depending on the amount of metastability) will be emitted in a short time. This energy can be used to heat the star core, produce vibrations, etc., so it is not emitted all at once. The upper

¹ We study here the standard case of neutron and quark matters coexisting for a single pressure and not a range of pressures as suggested by Glendenning (1992), first because our formalism is easier to construct in that case, and second because it is not established which of these two possibilities is correct (see Heiselberg, Pethick, & Stubo 1993).




FIG. 1.—Behavior of the mass (M_\odot) as a function of radius (km). The dashed line corresponds to a (Walecka) neutron star without transition, the solid line to quark core configurations.

bound for the energy released during the collapse is $E = M_1 - M_2$. A lower bound for the duration of release of energy can be estimated by computing the free-fall time $\tau_{ff} = \pi[R_1^3/(8GM_1)]^{1/2}$. This does not take into account all the physics of the transition (e.g., matter does not fall freely but is slowed by pressure gradients, the matter at the periphery of the metastable core probably does not make the transition at the same time than the one at the center, etc.); in the case of the pion transition, its use is supported by results from numerical computations.

In Table 1, τ_{ff} , E , ΔI , and ΔR are shown, for comparison, for various degrees of metastability. These quantities are of the same order of magnitude as in the pion transition (Haensel & Prószyński 1980, 1982) so one may conclude also, that the amount of energy released and the time for it, makes the quark transition relevant to explain macroglitches or γ transients such as the 1979 March 5 one but not supernovae. (Note that not the whole released gravitational energy is expected to be transformed in γ rays).

3. THE DYNAMICAL PROBLEM

We now turn to the calculation of the nucleation time. To do that, we adapt to quark matter the formalism developed by Lifshitz & Kagan (1972) and Haensel & Schaeffer (1981).

The nucleation of quark bubbles occurs as follows. First the hadronic density increases above the transition hadronic density. The formation of a quark droplet of negligible size occurs instantaneously. It may decay back to the hadronic phase or increase its size by quantum fluctuation. This process depends on two parameters: the baryonic content of the quark droplet A and its baryonic density n_q . To simplify, one considers fluctuations with fixed n_q and varying A .

We write the Hamiltonian describing the behavior of A , as

$$H(A, n_q) = K(A, n_q) + W(A, n_q). \quad (1)$$

Considering the surface of the bubble as a discontinuity and writing the equality of baryonic flux on both sides of the surface as well as the continuity equation inside and outside

the bubble, we get the velocity field

$$v(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r < R, \\ -\frac{\dot{R}R^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{n_q}{n_H} - 1 \right) & r > R; \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

hence, the kinetic energy reads

$$K(A, n_q) = M(A, n_q) \dot{A}^2, \quad (3)$$

with

$$M(A, n_q) = (1/2)3m(n_H/n_q)[(n_q/n_H) - 1]^2(1/9) \times [(4\pi/3)n_q]^{-2/3}A^{-1/3}.$$

Then we turn to the potential term. Since we are going to suppose nucleation at constant temperature and pressure, the work needed to create a bubble is just the increase in its Gibbs free energy

$$W(A, n_q) = \Delta G(A, n_q) \equiv G_q - G_H = \mu_q N_q - \mu_H N_H + 4\pi R^2 \mathcal{S} + 8\pi R \gamma. \quad (4)$$

The first two terms correspond respectively to bulk quark and hadronic matter, the next terms, the surface term and the curvature term, arise because the quark matter-hadronic matter interface is not plane. But since we consider u and d quarks, i.e., massless quarks, the surface term vanishes (Berger & Jaffe 1987). Using $\mu_u \equiv \mu_d = 2^{-1/3}\mu_d$ and the fact that the baryonic number in quarks equal that in hadrons, i.e., A , we get

$$\Delta G(A, n_q) = g_v(n_q)A + g_l(n_q)A^{1/3}, \quad (5)$$

with $g_v = (1 + 2^{4/3})\mu_q - \mu_H$ and $g_l = 8\pi^{2/3}(3/4\pi)^{1/3}1/\mu_q\gamma$, with $\gamma = (1 + 2^{2/3})/8\pi^2\mu_q^2$ (Madsen 1993). For comparison, in previous studies (Haensel & Schaeffer 1981) pions have a surface term and no curvature.

From this form, we see that ΔG starts from 0 for $A = 0$, increases to a maximum, $\Delta G_{\max} = 1/[3(3)^{1/2}(-g_v)] + g_l/[(3)^{1/2}(-g_v)]$, at $A_c = (-3g_v)^{-3/2}$ then decreases, crossing 0 for $A_0 = (-g_l/g_v)^{3/2}$ and going to $-\infty$ for infinite A 's. Therefore, quark bubbles with $A \geq A_0$ increase without bound because this decreases ΔG . Such bubbles can be created by tunneling effect from $A = 0$ to $A = A_0$, (which have equal values of ΔG). Using the WKB approximation for the probability, the nucleation time reads

$$t_{\text{nucl}} = t_{IF} \exp W, \quad (6)$$

with $t_{IF} \sim 1$ fm and $W = \Delta G_{\max}/\tilde{T}$, where

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{-g_v 2n_q}{3mn_H}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} n_q \right)^{1/3} A_0^{-1/3} \frac{n_H}{n_q - n_H} \right],$$

and n_q and n_H are related one to the other by the equality of pressures $p_q = p_H$. We are therefore ready to calculate the nucleation times for various $n_H > N_{Ht}$.

4. ASTROPHYSICAL CONSEQUENCES

In Table 2, we show the results of such a calculation. For $B = 56$ MeV fm $^{-3}$ and a BJVN equation of state, the transition occurs for $n_{Ht} = 1.46$ fm $^{-3}$. One sees that when n_H goes from 1.62 to 1.63 fm $^{-3}$, the nucleation time falls from 10^{11} to 10^5 yr, i.e., at that density nucleation happens during the star lifetime. (Based on spin-down, one gets a

TABLE 2
CALCULATIONS OF A_0 AND THE EXPONENT
 W' IN $\tau_{\text{nucl}} = 10^{-30} \times 10^{W'}$ yr FOR
VARIOUS VALUES OF n_{Ht}

n_{Ht}	A_0	W'
1.55	4363	912
1.56	1510	321
1.57	811	175
1.58	522	114
1.59	370	82
1.60	279	63
1.61	219	50
1.62	178	41
1.63	148	35
1.64	125	30
1.65	108	26
1.66	94	23
1.67	83	20
1.68	73	18
1.69	66	17
1.70	59	15
0.36	4731	1103
0.37	648	153
0.38	292	70
0.39	371	43
0.40	123	30
0.41	90	23
0.42	68	17

NOTE.—The MIT bag equation with $B = 56 \text{ MeV fm}^{-3}$ (73) and BJVN (Walecka) equation of state are used in the top (bottom) part of the table.

typical value for this of 10^7 yr, but higher values are possible. We take 10^7 to be conservative in what follows). The question that arises, then, is whether there exist astrophysical mechanisms able to increase the central density of a neutron star, from n_{Ht} or less, to $n_H \approx 1.63 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ in less than the star lifetime. A similar observation holds for the Walecka equation of state.

Ma & Xie (1996) estimated in their paper, that due to slowing down, the increase in central energy density during the star lifetime is $\Delta\rho_{\text{centr}}/\rho_{\text{centr}} \simeq 10^{-3}$. In term of hadronic density, starting from n_{Ht} , this corresponds to an increase of 10^{-3} , i.e., for practical purposes the nucleation time remains infinite (see Table 2) and the transition does not happen during the star lifetime. This rules out their explanation of GRBs.

A more optimistic scenario arises when considering an accreting neutron star. For a BJVN star, $dM/dn_{\text{centr}}|_{n_{Ht}} \sim 10^{-2}$. Using the typical accretion rate of $10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, we see that a star goes from n_{Ht} to $n_H = 1.63 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ in $\sim 10^6$ yr, i.e., less than its lifetime. In other words, an accreting

neutron star may be able to make the hadron-quark transition during its life. A similar argument holds for a Walecka equation of state. However, since accretion is a complicated mechanism and its description is controversial, it would be interesting to check the precise behavior of central density for various scenarios to be more affirmative.

Estimates of $\Delta n/n$ due to cooling and subsequent nucleation times are underway.

Note that even if we could not find an astrophysical mechanism permitting neutron stars to make the hadron-quark transition during their lifetime, this would not rule out the possible existence of quark core neutron stars; they may simply have to be born like that. Also, we are only making estimates of quantum nucleation, early in its life, a star could undergo thermal nucleation (but this phase does not last very long).

5. CONCLUSION

In summary, we studied the possibility of actually seeing the transition from hadron matter to quark matter occurring in neutron stars.

Since the dense matter equation of state is unknown and to be rather general, we used the MIT bag equation of state for quark matter with varying values of B and two different hadronic equations of state, namely a soft and a stiff one. We first impose the condition that quark core neutron stars be stable, i.e., that $n_{Ht} < n_{\text{max}}^*$, this restricts the B -space available (first condition). Imposing that the mass of the star at the transition is above $1.4 M_{\odot}$ (if below, all observed neutron star would already have a quark core) further restricts the B -space that is interesting for us (second condition). Next we calculated the nucleation time as a function of the metastable hadronic matter density. We showed that nucleation may occur during a star lifetime in a process where central density increases fast (e.g., not slowing down but accretion, which invalidates the model by Ma & Xie (1996) (condition 3). (Consideration of the inclusion of the strong coupling constant, density fluctuations, etc., will be presented elsewhere but does not change noticeably the results presented here.)

This opens the possibility of detecting some effects of the quark transition. It was not the case in previous studies for the pion transition (namely, condition 1 or 2 was not satisfied). A first estimate of the energy released and time for release shows that the minicollapse metastable matter to quark matter may be relevant to explain macro-glitches or GRBs but not supernovae.

This work was partially supported by FAPESP (proc. 95/4635-0), MCT/FINEP/CNPq (PRONEX) under contract 41.96.0886.00 and CNPq (proc. 300054/92-0).

REFERENCES

- Baym, G., Bethe, A., & Pethick, C. 1971, Nucl. Phys. A, 175, 225
 Baym, G., & Campbell, D. 1979, in Mesons in Nuclei, Vol. 3, ed. M. Rho & D. H. Wilkinson (Elsevier: North-Holland), 1031
 Baym, G., & Chin, S. A. 1976, Phys. Lett. B, 62, 241
 Baym, G., Pethick, C., & Sutherland, P. 1971, ApJ, 170, 299
 Berezin, Yu. A., Dmitrieva, O. E., & Yanenko, N. N. 1982, Soviet Astron. Lett., 8, 43
 Berger, M., & Jaffe, R. 1987, Phys. Rev. C, 35, 213; erratum 44, 566 (1991)
 Bethe, H. A., & Johnson, M. B. 1974, Nucl. Phys. A, 230, 1
 Brown, G. E., & Bethe, H. A. 1994, ApJ, 423, 659
 Carey, T. A., et al. 1984, Phys. Rev. Lett., 53, 144
 Diaz Alonso, J. 1983, A&A, 125, 287
 Ellison, D. C., & Kazanas, D. 1983, A&A, 128, 102
 Feynman, R. P., Metropolis, N., & Teller, E. 1949, Phys. Rev., 75, 1561
 Glendenning, N. K. 1992, Phys. Rev. D, 46, 1274
 Haensel, P., & Prószyński, M. 1980, Phys. Lett. B, 96, 233
 ———. 1982, Astrophys. J., 258, 258
 Haensel, P., & Schaeffer, R. 1981, Nucl. Phys. A, 381, 519
 Heiselberg, H., Pethick, C. J., & Satubo, E. F. 1993, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 1355
 Kämpfer, B. 1981, Phys. Lett. B, 101, 366
 Lifshitz, I. M., & Kagan, Yu. 1972, JETP, 35, 206
 Ma, F., & Xie, B. 1996, ApJ, 462, L63
 Madsen, J. 1993, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 391
 Migdal, A. B., Chernoutsian, A. I., & Mishustin, I. N. 1979, Phys. Lett. B, 83, 158
 Pandharipande, V. R., Pethick, C. J., & Thorsson, V. 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett., 75, 4567
 Ramaty, R., et al. 1980, Nature, 122, 287
 Walecka, J. D. 1974, Ann. Phys., 83, 491