# Laura Raposo

*Student Feedback Report BIOL 3065 Winter 2020*

*Department of Biology, Dalhousie University*

## Your Student Feedback Report Includes

# Information

| | |
|---|---|
| **Report date:** | Tuesday, April 7, 2020 12:18:41 PM ADT |
| **Start date:** | Friday, March 20, 2020 9:00:00 AM ADT |
| **Stop date:** | Friday, April 3, 2020 11:59:00 PM ADT |
| **Stored responses:** | 21 |
| **Number of completed responses:** | 21 |
| **Number of invitees:** | 55 |
| **Invitees that responded:** | 21 |
| **Invitee response rate:** | 38.18% |

# Question 2

**The teaching assistant was prepared and well organized.**

### Frequency table

| Levels | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 14.29% | 14.29% | 14.29% | 14.29% |
| 5 (Always) | 18 | 21 | 85.71% | 100% | 85.71% | 100% |
| Sum: | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Average: | 4.86 | Minimum: | 4 | Variance: | 0.13 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | Std. deviation: | 0.36 | |

**Total answered: 21**

# Question 3

**The teaching assistant was knowledgeable in the subject matter.**

### Frequency table

| Levels | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 9.52% | 9.52% | 9.52% | 9.52% |
| 5 (Always) | 19 | 21 | 90.48% | 100% | 90.48% | 100% |
| Sum: | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Average: | 4.9 | Minimum: | 4 | Variance: | 0.09 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | Std. deviation: | 0.3 | |

**Total answered: 21**

# Question 4

**The teaching assistant was able to explain concepts and principles clearly.**

### Frequency table

| Levels | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| N/A | 1 | 1 | 4.76% | 4.76% | 4.76% | 4.76% |
| 4 | 6 | 7 | 28.57% | 33.33% | 28.57% | 33.33% |
| 5 (Always) | 14 | 21 | 66.67% | 100% | 66.67% | 100% |
| Sum: | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Average: | 4.7 | Minimum: | 4 | Variance: | 0.22 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | Std. deviation: | 0.47 | |

**Total answered: 21**

# Question 5

**The teaching assistant showed interest and enthusiasm.**

## Frequency table

| Levels | | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | | 2 | 2 | 9.52% | 9.52% | 9.52% | 9.52% |
| 5 (Always) | | 19 | 21 | 90.48% | 100% | 90.48% | 100% |
| Sum: | | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |
| Average: | 4.9 | Minimum: | 4 | | Variance: | 0.09 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | | Std. deviation: | 0.3 | |

**Total answered: 21**

# Question 6

**The teaching assistant was approachable.**

## Frequency table

| Levels | | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | | 4 | 4 | 19.05% | 19.05% | 19.05% | 19.05% |
| 5 (Always) | | 17 | 21 | 80.95% | 100% | 80.95% | 100% |
| Sum: | | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |
| Average: | 4.81 | Minimum: | 4 | | Variance: | 0.16 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | | Std. deviation: | 0.4 | |

**Total answered: 21**

# Question 7

**The teaching assistant was helpful and showed concern for students' progress.**

## Frequency table

| Levels | | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | | 1 | 1 | 4.76% | 4.76% | 4.76% | 4.76% |
| 4 | | 4 | 5 | 19.05% | 23.81% | 19.05% | 23.81% |
| 5 (Always) | | 16 | 21 | 76.19% | 100% | 76.19% | 100% |
| Sum: | | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |
| Average: | 4.71 | Minimum: | 3 | | Variance: | 0.31 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | | Std. deviation: | 0.56 | |

**Total answered: 21**

# Question 8

**The teaching assistant offered useful feedback on the assignments.**

### Frequency table

| Levels | | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | | 1 | 1 | 4.76% | 4.76% | 4.76% | 4.76% |
| 4 | | 6 | 7 | 28.57% | 33.33% | 28.57% | 33.33% |
| 5 (Always) | | 14 | 21 | 66.67% | 100% | 66.67% | 100% |
| Sum: | | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |
| Average: | 4.62 | Minimum: | 3 | Variance: | | 0.35 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | Std. deviation: | | 0.59 | |

Total answered: 21

# Question 9

**The teaching assistant's overall teaching ability was:**

### Frequency table

| Levels | | Absolute frequency | Cum. absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Cum. relative frequency | Adjusted relative frequency | Cum. adjusted relative frequency |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | | 6 | 6 | 28.57% | 28.57% | 28.57% | 28.57% |
| 5 (Excellent) | | 15 | 21 | 71.43% | 100% | 71.43% | 100% |
| Sum: | | 21 | - | 100% | - | 100% | - |
| Not answered: | | 0 | - | 0% | - | - | - |
| Average: | 4.71 | Minimum: | 4 | Variance: | | 0.21 | |
| Median: | 5 | Maximum: | 5 | Std. deviation: | | 0.46 | |

Total answered: 21

# Question 10

**Further comments**

Laura was a very good TA, she was approachable and was able to help with any questions regarding the tutorial material.

Laura was encouraging during the presentations and gave good feedback.

Laura was very helpful and greatly improved the energy of the tutorial with her bright personality.

A little bit more feedback to students would have been nicer, but overall good!

Laura was so great!! Not only was she very interested and enthusiastic about the course content but she did a great job connecting with the students. She was very approachable and always answered any questions whether in person or by email. Would highly recommend Laura for more positions like this one