TABLE 1- Cluster characteristics (Composition by mode and unstandardized mean scores)

| Cluster | 1 Urban Core | 2 Inner urban | 3 Mixed use suburban | 4 Residential suburban | 5 Industrial | 6 Fringe Residential |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Mode** | **Membership** | | | | | |
| All | 811 | 3,350 | 2,020 | 3,580 | 242 | 636 |
| Bus | 319 | 2,840 | 1,910 | 3,550 | 236 | 632 |
| Tram | 54 | 84 | 58 | 19 | 1 | 4 |
| Train | 438 | 426 | 53 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| **BE variables** | **Cluster centroids (raw scores)** | | | | | |
| Ln (population density) | 8.61 | 8.03 | 7.38 | 7.70 | 3.60 | 5.59 |
| Proportion commercial | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 0.04 |
| Jobs-housing balance | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 0.04 |
| Land use entropy | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.37 |
| Housing diversity | 7.69 | 6.56 | 5.32 | 4.26 | 3.82 | 2.98 |
| Pedestrian connectivity | 153 | 80.2 | 85.5 | 80.2 | 56.1 | 48.7 |
| Cycle connectivity | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| Destination score | 5.68 | 3.60 | 2.79 | 2.10 | 1.31 | 1.44 |
| Dist. to CBD | 3.73 | 12.5 | 23.4 | 28.2 | 22.5 | 45.0 |
| Dist. to Activity Centre | 0.88 | 1.47 | 1.67 | 2.28 | 2.97 | 9.64 |
| Proportion "Urban" | 0.77 | 0.87 | 0.68 | 0.89 | 0.12 | 0.36 |
| Access to Employment | 340,100 | 41,000 | 18,300 | 6,330 | 17,800 | 1,080 |
| Prop. FTE[1] | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.54 |
| Mean Size[2] | 2.39 | 2.72 | 2.81 | 3.08 | 3.01 | 2.89 |
| Proportion elsewhere born | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| Proportion bachelors degree | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.29 |