

Can entropy-based image alignment metrics offer improved image aggregation of tissue density for mammographic risk assessment?

Final Report for CS39440 Major Project

Author: Laura Collins (lac32@aber.ac.uk)

Supervisor: Dr. Neil Mac Parthaláin (ncm@aber.ac.uk)

4th March 2016

Version: 1.0 (Draft)

This report was submitted as partial fulfilment of a BSc degree in
Artificial Intelligence and Robotics (inc Integrated Industrial and
Professional Training) (GH7P)

Department of Computer Science
Aberystwyth University
Aberystwyth
Ceredigion
SY23 3DB
Wales, UK

Declaration of originality

In signing below, I confirm that:

- This submission is my own work, except where clearly indicated.
- I understand that there are severe penalties for Unacceptable Academic Practice, which can lead to loss of marks or even the withholding of a degree.
- I have read the regulations on Unacceptable Academic Practice from the University's Academic Quality and Records Office (AQRO) and the relevant sections of the current Student Handbook of the Department of Computer Science.
- In submitting this work I understand and agree to abide by the University's regulations governing these issues.

Name

Date

Consent to share this work

In signing below, I hereby agree to this dissertation being made available to other students and academic staff of the Aberystwyth Computer Science Department.

Name

Date

Abstract

Include an abstract for your project. This should be no more than 300 words.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Project Description	1
1.2	Project Structure	3
1.2.1	Research	3
1.2.2	Software Implementation	3
1.2.3	Testing	3
1.3	Objectives	4
2	Background	5
2.1	Background	5
2.1.1	Tissue density classification	6
2.1.2	Mammograms	8
2.1.3	Existing Computer Systems	9
2.2	Research Method	10
2.2.1	Entropy	10
2.2.2	Uncertainty	11
2.2.3	Fuzzy Entropy	12
2.2.4	Joint Image Alignment	15
2.2.5	Image Alignment using Fuzzy Entropy	16
2.3	Analysis	18
2.3.1	Task composition	18
2.3.2	Research questions	19
3	Experiment Methods	20
3.1	Overview	20
3.1.1	Pixel Membership	20
3.1.2	Fuzzy Entropy choices	21
3.1.3	Image Alignment choice	22
3.2	Implementation tools	22
3.2.1	MATLAB	22
3.2.2	Version Control	23
3.3	Dataset	24
3.3.1	Mammographic Image Analysis Society (MIAS) database	24
3.3.2	Other datasets	25
3.4	Algorithms	26
3.4.1	Shannon Entropy	26
3.4.2	Non-Probabilistic Entropy	27
3.4.3	Hybrid Entropy	28

3.5	Software	32
3.5.1	Methodology	32
3.5.2	Design	37
3.5.3	Membership Implementation	41
3.5.4	GUI Implementation	41
3.5.5	Algorithm Implementation	42
3.5.6	Testing	42
3.6	Technical Difficulties	45
3.6.1	Image Rotation	45
3.6.2	Medical Marker Removal	47
3.6.3	.pgm file header	50
3.6.4	Vectorisation	52
4	Results and Conclusions	55
4.1	Results	55
4.1.1	Shannon Entropy	57
4.1.2	Non-Probabilistic Entropy	58
4.1.3	Hybrid Entropy	60
5	Critical Evaluation	62
Appendices		63
A	Third-Party Code and Libraries	64
1.1	Congealing Code	64
B	Ethics Submission	65
2.1	Ethics Application Number: 3958	66
C	Code Examples	69
D	CRC Cards	70
Glossary		76
Annotated Bibliography		76

List of Figures

1.1	Graphical depiction of the project	2
2.1	Breast tissue composition. <i>Image Source: EU Commission: Atlas on Mortality [20]</i>	5
2.2	Make up of breast structure. <i>Image Source: Gray's Anatomy [32]</i>	6
2.3	Comparison of the 4 BI-RADS classification	7
2.4	Comparison of the 4 mammogram angles typically used	8
2.5	Entropy mapped against probability (p) of occurrence.	10
2.6	A comparison between Fuzzy Sets and Crisp sets. <i>Image Source: Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic: Theory and Applications [26]</i>	12
2.7	Each pixel from the same location throughout the set creates a ‘Pixel Stack’	16
3.1	3 trapezium-shaped membership sets	21
3.2	Graph outlining git commit history in one branch during the project.	24
3.3	Example Mini-MIAS scan, scaled down for inclusion in document.	25
3.4	Two membership trapezia for Hybrid Entropy - Low and High grey-level values. .	28
3.5	3 fuzzy set trapezia	29
3.6	Graph showing the entropy output after 5 iterations	30
3.7	Project Burndown chart.	36
3.8	Example CRC Card as used in this project	37
3.9	Initial wireframe design for GUI	38
3.10	Second wireframe design for GUI	38
3.11	Third wireframe design for GUI	39
3.12	Final GUI	40
3.13	Results from MATLAB Unit Tests.	43
3.14	4 rotated input images concatenated into one larger image.	45
3.15	Final output image.	47
3.16	Image containing Medical Markers	47
3.17	Output of discarded methods of marker removal	48
3.18	Image depicting the steps taken to remove Medical Markers from a scan.	50
3.19	PGM header rules	51
3.20	Example MNIST PGM file header	51
3.21	Time per iteration before, during and after vectorisation	53
3.22	A comparison of the total time to run 5 iterations prior to vectorisation, during (part vectorisation) and post-vectorisation.	54
4.1	4 input scans.	55
4.2	Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations.	56
4.4	Shannon: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations, as in Table 4.1. .	57

4.6	Non-Probabilistic: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations, as in Table 4.2.	59
4.8	Hybrid: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations, as in Table 4.2. .	60
D.1	Initial design	71
D.2	Secondary design	72
D.3	Third design	73
D.4	Fourth design	74
D.5	Final design	75

List of Tables

3.1	Intepretation of the fuzzy sets across greyscale values	21
3.2	User stories defined during the project	35
3.3	Acceptance Test results	44
4.1	Entropy table for Shannon	58
4.2	Entropy table for Non-Probabilistic	59
4.3	Entropy table for Hybrid	61

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Project Description

This project is concerned with the alignment of multiple mammographic images using an image-alignment technique called Congealing [38]. The aim will be to implement image-alignment application which allows the user to not only choose standard Shannon entropy to align the images as in [38], but also 2 different light-weight Fuzzy Entropy metrics for alignment - Non-Probabilistic [22] and Hybrid entropy [54]. The user will be able to generate 3 mean images of the input set, 1 for each metric. By utilising different alignment metrics on the same sets of input images the result should be a range of varying average output images, which further may be used to ascertain the most useful entropy algorithm for the alignment of mammographic images.

Each input set of images must belong to the same tissue density category (as covered in Sub-subsection 2.1.1.3), but from different women, to allow the resulting mean image to be an accurate depiction of the average breast structure within that category. Once a mean image is constructed of each category, this should aid radiographers in their qualitative categorisation of a new patient's scans.

Simple and accurate categorisation is important due to the increased risk factors associated with denser tissue breasts. Therefore if a radiographer can be confident in their categorisation of a patient's breast tissue, should the patient fall within the higher risk category they can receive more frequent, specialised scans to detect any abnormalities quicker should they arise.




Figure 1.1: Graphical depiction of the project

Figure 1.1 outlines the major processes the User would take to align their input images. This includes:

- Selecting the appropriate input data of all the same BI-RADS classification
- Selecting which entropy alignment metric they would like to be run
- These are both fed into the Congealing algorithm
- An end average image is displayed

1.2 Project Structure

This section will give a brief overview of the structure of the project.

1.2.1 Research

The main piece of research to be undertaken in this project will be evaluating which of the fuzzy entropy algorithms will be light-weight and simple enough to be run quickly on a radiographer's own laptop. Typically, research implementations of fuzzy entropy algorithms tend to be complex, and therefore computationally expensive, something not ideal when a patient has a short time-slot with a radiographer.

1.2.2 Software Implementation

In order to assess the usefulness of basic fuzzy entropy algorithms in the alignment of mammographic scans, a tool must be built to handle the input images and all the output data. This tool will be created using MATLAB [51] and its Fuzzy Logic [44] and Image Processing [45] toolboxes.

The main functions of the tool will be:

- Allow the user to input a large image containing all the scans they wish to align
- Allow the user to remove any medical markers as they see fit
- Allow the user to choose their alignment metric and number of iterations to run on the input images
- Output the final mean image, the adjusted input images (how they look after aligning) and the entropy of the final image set

1.2.3 Testing

The testing to be undertaken during this project will include scientific and software.

1.2.3.1 Scientific testing

This will be testing the output after the Congealing process has been run using a fuzzy entropy alignment metric. One way to measure the result will be to evaluate the entropy value at the end of the alignment process - as the lower the entropy, the more aligned the images are. Another way in which to test the output of the experiments will be to visually inspect the final mean images produced to see how well aligned the input images are.

1.2.3.2 Software testing

Some software testing will be necessary to ensure the proper working of the tool developed for experimentation. Both Unit testing and acceptance testing off of the pre-defined user stories will be carried out.

1.3 Objectives

The Objectives for this project are follows:

- **Align images using fuzzy entropy algorithms.** Images should be passed in, and it should be clear that an aligned version of the input images is calculated and output.
- **Answer any other relevant research questions associated with this project.** These are covered in Subsection 2.3.2
- **Create a tool to streamline inputting images and viewing the output.** As this project uses light-weight, simpler fuzzy entropy algorithms to hopefully speed up processing time (*See next objective*), then the tool in which you run them should reflect this.
- **Create a quick tool which can be used on anyone's laptop or PC.** Not many people outside of the research community use tools such as MATLAB, so to be able to run a simple executable program is important.
- **Research and implement a solution to remove medical markers from mammogram scans.** As the Congealing algorithm looks to align the scans using grey-level pixel values, then the white medical markers in many mammograms create an issue as these will also try to align.

Chapter 2

Background

2.1 Background

In Europe, breast cancer is the leading cause of death through cancer for women, with 1 in 6 women dying from cancer having it in the glandular breast tissue [20]. The UK is contained within the higher mortality band which runs across the EU, sitting alongside countries such as the Netherlands, North-West France and Western Germany (see Figure 2.1). However the reason behind why these countries have a higher breast cancer mortality rate than their neighbours to the north and south is unknown.




Figure 2.1: Breast tissue composition. *Image Source: EU Commission: Atlas on Mortality [20]*

2.1.1 Tissue density classification

The internal breast structure consists of different kinds of tissue and glands [3]:

- Fatty and connective tissue: protects the lobules and ducts, gives shape to the breasts
- Lobules - milk-production glands
- Ducts - carry milk from Lobules to Nipple




Fig. 1108.—Right breast in sagittal section, inner surface of outer segment. (Testut.)

Figure 2.2: Make up of breast structure. *Image Source: Gray's Anatomy [32]*

Fatty and connective tissue density can vary widely between women. After extensive research into the links between a higher proportion of fibrous/glandular tissue versus fatty tissue and a higher risk of breast cancer, it is widely accepted there is a strong link between dense tissue and breast cancer [16]. Therefore, simple classification of denser tissue is vital for both radiographers and patients alike.

There exists several methods for classifying the density of breast tissue, as outlined in the following subsections.

2.1.1.1 Wolfe classification

Wolfe described the first qualitative means in which to classify breast tissue density in 1976 [71].

- **N1:** consisting mainly of fat (lowest risk)
- **P1:** fat plus linear densities occupying no more than 25% of the breast (low risk)
- **P2:** linear densities occupying >25% of breast (high risk)
- **DY:** dense (highest risk)

2.1.1.2 Boyd classification

Boyd and colleagues proposed a quantitative means to categorising breast tissue density, based on a percentage of ‘dense’ tissue assigned by a radiographer [16].

- **A:** 0%
- **B:** >0% - 10%
- **C:** >10% - 25%
- **D:** >25% - 50%
- **E:** >50% - 75%
- **F:** >75%

2.1.1.3 BI-RADS classification

A widely accepted quantitative tool for the classification and risk analysis of mammography and ultrasounds is BI-RADS (Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System) system, defined by the American College of Radiology [65].

- **a:** almost entirely fatty (Figure 2.3a)
- **b:** scattered areas of fibroglandular density (Figure 2.3b)
- **c:** heterogeneously dense, which may obscure small masses (Figure 2.3c)
- **d:** extremely dense, which lowers the sensitivity of mammography (Figure 2.3d)




Figure 2.3: Comparison of the 4 BI-RADS classification

This is the classification of choice for this project due to it’s wide-spread acceptance and usage in the industry.

2.1.1.4 Tabár classification

This technique is somewhat different from the previous 3 by utilising anatomic-mammographic correlations, as developed by Tabár [31].


- **I:** balanced proportion of all components of breast tissue with a slight predominance of fibrous tissue
- **II:** predominance of fat tissue (fat breast)
- **III:** predominance of fat tissue with retroareolar residual fibrous tissue
- **IV:** predominantly nodular densities
- **V:** predominantly fibrous tissue (dense breast)

2.1.2 Mammograms


Quite simply, a Mammogram is an X-Ray of the breast tissue pressed between 2 plates from a number of different angles. Below are a selection of the most common [59] [13]:

- Cranial-Caudal (CC) - taken from above (Figure 2.4a)
- Medio-Lateral Oblique (MLO) - from the side, at an angle (usually 45deg) (Figure 2.4b)
- Medio-Lateral (ML) - from the centre outwards (Figure 2.4d)
- Latero-Medial (LM) - from the side, into the centre (Figure 2.4c)


CC and MLO are generally standard practice angles, with ML and LM adding more information for the radiographer to assess.



(a) Cranial-Caudal: Case courtesy of Dr Garth Kruger, Radiopaedia.org, rID: 18580



(b) Medio-Lateral Oblique: Case courtesy of A.Prof Frank Gaillard, Radiopaedia.org, rID: 12608



(c) Medio-Lateral: Case courtesy of Mini-MIAS dataset [67]



(d) Latero-Medial: Case courtesy of Dr Paresh K Desai , Radiopaedia.org, rID: 5873

Figure 2.4: Comparison of the 4 mammogram angles typically used

Organisations such as Breast Test Wales invite women between the ages of 50 and 70 to attend a scan every 3 years [14]. However women with higher-density breasts, which is ascertained during a mammogram, could be called back for more regular screening, to ensure to catch any abnormalities sooner.

2.1.2.1 Alternatives to Mammograms

Although the input data of choice for this project will be Mammographic scans, it is important to remember that for some women, and under some circumstances, it may be more appropriate to use a different method of diagnosis.

Ultrasound

Women under 35 are often offered an ultrasound scan over a mammogram, due to their breasts being of a higher density naturally which makes obtaining a clear mammogram more difficult. Ultrasounds can also show if the breast lump is a cyst, or if it is solid internally [68].

Biopsy

A Biopsy is usually a secondary step after diagnosis of a breast lump via mammogram or ultrasound. It can take a number of forms including:

- Needle biopsy
- Vacuum biopsy
- Needle aspiration
- Punch biopsy
- Wire guided biopsy

2.1.3 Existing Computer Systems

A lot of the current computer systems focus on mammography computer aided diagnosis due to the ease in which a Radiographer can misdiagnose cancer from a mammogram. Mammograms are difficult to read, or technological issues may occur and as a result, radiographers can fail to detect between 10-15% of breast cancer cases [17].

However this is not the focus of this project. This project aims to focus upon healthy tissue, and the first steps towards computers classifying breast tissue into the correct density category. So what steps have been taken currently, in Industry and in research, towards this end goal?

Paper by Mohamed Abu ElSoud; Ahmed M. Anter - Automatic mammogram segmentation and computer aided diagnoses for breast tissue density according to BIRADS dictionary

Not sure if this section is needed? Cannot yet get ahold of above paper.

2.2 Research Method

For this project, a literature review was undertaken to assess the work completed by researchers in the fields of Entropy, Fuzzy Entropy and image alignment methods to help better understand what has been investigated, and to gain a personal background understanding.

2.2.1 Entropy

In terms of Information Theory, the Merriam-Webster Dictionary defines Entropy to be [1]:

Entropy (noun): the degree of disorder or uncertainty in a system

Shannon entropy, derived by Claude Shannon [63] can be mathematically defined as :

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=0}^N p_i \log_2 p_i \quad (1)$$

Where p_i is the set of probabilities for all the variables in X .

Let us consider a fair coin toss. The probability of heads is exactly $\frac{1}{2}$, therefore, the entropy of landing on heads is:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\text{heads}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \log_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= 1.0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

On the other side, if a system outputs solely the letter “M”, then the entropy of receiving the letter “M” is exactly 0. This is because when either the positive or the negative outcome is 100%, then both sides equal “0” when fed into the entropy equation.




Figure 2.5: Entropy mapped against probability (p) of occurrence.

It follows that entropy can only ever be between 0 and 1, with an entropy of 0 have a 50% probability, and an entropy of 1 being 100% certain.

2.2.2 Uncertainty

However real life is not 100% certain - a small amount of uncertainty in life is to be expected and sometimes desired. A surprise party for many is the nice kind, however uncertainty associated with risk - i.e. “Will I lose my job in the recession?” - is uncertainty with a negative impact. Modeling uncertainty is especially important so as researchers we can understand it, and use it to our advantage in techniques such as fuzzy entropy.

2.2.2.1 Probabilistic Uncertainty

By definition:

Probability: the chance that something will happen [8]

Probabilistic distribution is a widely accepted and used technique for representing expert judgements of uncertainty [52]. Early work carried out by DeGroot (1970) [24], built upon that of Savage (1954) [62], gave a simple layman’s explanation:

For instance, if the person prefers decision A to B and B to C then they must also prefer A to C.

2.2.2.2 Possibilistic Uncertainty

By definition:

Possibility: a chance that something might exist, happen, or be true : the state or fact of being possible [7]

Possibilistic uncertainty (closely related to “fuzziness”) indicates the lack of information we hold about the possible outcome values from a system - a sort of ambiguity. Possibilistic uncertainty models the possible outcomes from a system, as estimated by a decision maker because it is possibly impossible to determine beforehand [69].

2.2.2.3 Indiscernibility Uncertainty

By definition:

Indiscernibility: the quality or state of being indiscernible [5]

Indiscernible: impossible to see, hear, or know clearly [6]

Find an explanation of Indiscernibility

2.2.3 Fuzzy Entropy

Fuzzy entropy stems from combining standard Shannon entropy with the practices of Fuzzy Set Theory, discovered by Zadeh in 1965 [72]. This introduces the idea of “Membership” to a category, where an object can belong to more than one category to a certain degree.

One common example of this is listing someone as ‘Short’, ‘Average’ or ‘Tall’ in height. If a tall person is someone over 6 feet in height, would a person who measured 5foot 11inches not be classified as tall? Given crisp sets, then they would be classified as ‘Average’. In fuzzy set theory, they would be a certain degree of tall, and a certain degree of average, with the highest membership likely to win out when categorising their height. Another example of this can be seen in Figure 2.6




Figure 2.6: A comparison between Fuzzy Sets and Crisp sets. *Image Source: Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic: Theory and Applications [26]*

Figure 2.6: A comparison between Fuzzy Sets and Crisp sets. *Image Source: Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic: Theory and Applications [26]*

After combining Fuzzy Set Theory with Entropy, then the amount of fuzzy information gained from the fuzzy set(s) is known as fuzzy entropy.

2.2.3.1 Non-Probabilistic Entropy - 1972

De Luca and Termini are considered to be the first to have taken Shannon Entropy and extended it to include fuzziness [22]. They also defined properties which a fuzzy entropy must follow, in order to be classed as true.

Their non-probabilistic fuzzy entropy equation is as given:

$$H_A = -K \sum_{i=1}^n \{\mu_i \log(\mu_i) + (1 - \mu_i) \log(1 - \mu_i)\} \quad (3)$$

Where μ is the maximum membership across all the fuzzy sets.

The entropy given by equation (3) satisfies all 4 of De Luca and Termini's defined properties:

$$\mathbf{P-1} H_A = 0 \text{ iff } A \text{ is a crisp set } (\mu_i = 0 \text{ or } 1 \forall x_i \in A) \quad (4a)$$

$$\mathbf{P-2} H_A \text{ is maximum iff } \mu_i = 0.5 \forall x_i \in A \quad (4b)$$

$$\mathbf{P-3} H \geq H^* \text{ where } H^* \text{ is the entropy of } A, \text{ a sharpened version of } A \quad (4c)$$

$$\mathbf{P-4} H = \overline{H} \text{ where } \overline{H} \text{ is the entropy of the complement set } \overline{A} \quad (4d)$$

2.2.3.2 Fuzzy Shannon Entropy - 1989

Sander [61] presented a characterisation of a fuzzy entropy some time after De Luca and Termini's work was published. His implementation of Shannon fuzzy entropy is laid out in equation (5) below:

$$H(f) = -c \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \ln f(x_i), c > 0 \quad (5)$$

Where the power of a fuzzy set is defined as:

$$P(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \quad (6)$$

Sander further went on to propose some properties, which must be imposed on a fuzzy entropy d to ensure that $d(f) = H(f)$:

$$\mathbf{1. Sharpness: } d(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(X) \subset [0, 1], f \in [0, 1]^X \quad (7a)$$

$$\mathbf{2. Valuation: } d(f \wedge g) + d(f \vee g) = d(f) + d(g), f, g \in [0, 1]^X \quad (7b)$$

$$\mathbf{3. Generalised additivity: } \text{There exists two mappings } s, t: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \\ \text{such that } d(f \otimes g) = d(f)t(P(g)) + s(P(f))d(g) \text{ for all } f \in [0, 1]^X, g \in [0, 1]^Y, \quad (7c)$$

where X and Y are finite sets.

2.2.3.3 Object-background segmentation using new definitions of entropy - 1989

Pal & Pal outlined their first fuzzy entropy algorithm in 1989 [53], which satisfies all 4 of De Luca and Termini's 4 conditions (outlined in Equations(4)). It is as follows:

$$H = -k \sum_{i=1}^n \{\mu_i \exp(1 - \mu_i) + (1 - \mu_i) \exp(\mu_i)\} \quad (8)$$

2.2.3.4 Higher Order Fuzzy Entropy & Hybrid Entropy - 1992

In Pal & Pal's paper "Higher order fuzzy entropy and hybrid entropy of a set" [54], they not only prove some of De Luca & Termini's work to be flawed, but also defined two new fuzzy entropy algorithms, and a new set of definitions.

Higher Order Fuzzy Entropy

As defined by Pal & Pal:

- P = Fuzzy property set
- μ = the degree to which x_i possesses the property P
- n = number of elements, with r = a combination of elements from group n
- S_i^r = denotes the i th element of such a combination
- $\mu(S_i^r)$ = the degree to which the combination S' as a whole possesses P
- There are $\left[\binom{n}{r} \right]$ such combinations

The entropy of order r of the fuzzy set A is defined as:

$$H' = \left(\frac{I}{\binom{n}{r}} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{r}} \{ \mu(S_i^r) \exp(1 - \mu(S_i^r)) \} + \{ 1 - \mu(S_i^r) \} \log \{ \mu(S_i^r) \} \quad (9)$$

If $r = 1$, then (9) reduces to Equations (8) and (3)

Hybrid Entropy

Another fuzzy entropy implementation outlined in Pal & Pal's paper was Hybrid Entropy. This algorithm is particularly useful as it combines Probabilistic and Possibilistic (fuzziness) uncertainty and if fuzziness is removed or not present, it returns to that of a classical set.

Let us define Hybrid Entropy.

- Let p_0 and p_1 be the probabilities of receiving 0 and 1 symbols over a noisy digital communication line respectively.
- Let μ denote the membership functions of the fuzzy set "Symbol close to 1"
- Both E_1 is a monotonically increasing function of μ - E_0 can be perceived as the likelihood (possibility) of receiving a "1" symbol
 - as μ increases from 0 to 1, then E_1 also increases
 - e.g. with an incoming "0" symbol, if μ increases, than the difficulty of correct interpretation also *increases* - a wrong interpretation of a "0" becomes likely
 - e.g. for an incoming "1" symbol, if μ increases, then the difficulty of correct interpretation *decreases* - improving likelihood of correct classification

- At the same time, E_0 can be perceived as the likelihood (possibility) of receiving the “0” symbol for the same reasoning

E_0 and E_1 can be defined as:

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_i) \exp(\mu_i) \quad (10a)$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \exp(1 - \mu_i) \quad (10b)$$

Therefore, the hybrid entropy of fuzzy set A can be defined as:

$$H_{hy} = -p_0 \log(1 - E_0) - p_1 \log(E_1) \quad (11)$$

2.2.3.5 Fuzzy Entropy: a Brief Survey - 2001

Due to the older nature of some of the papers listed above, some were difficult to locate online. So when implementing the chosen algorithms (Non-Probalistic Entropy and Hybrid Entropy), Al-sharhan et al’s paper “Fuzzy Entropy: a Brief Survey” [15] was a useful tool.

Its concise nature, and chronological listing ensured a strong understanding of the basic principles, before introducing the more complex algorithms (such as Higher Order Fuzzy Entropy). The paper also highlights advantages and flaws to each solution.

2.2.4 Joint Image Alignment

Joint image alignment focuses on the alignment of several images, into one average image. This Subsection will look into a couple of the techniques available for this project.

2.2.4.1 Learned-Miller’s Congealing

Learned-Miller’s Congealing [38] is often cited as being one of the first to truly align simple sets of data (which must have minimal noise, no occlusions and illumination variation) [73] [56] [55]. Many more robust image alignment techniques have been developed off of the basis of this work, however with more computational-expense.

This algorithm works by iteratively reducing the pixel-wise entropy over the input images, using a set of standard image transformations such as:

- x & y translations
- rotation
- x & y sheer

- x & y scale

The entropy is calculated by assessing each individual set of pixel-locations in the ‘Pixel Stack’ (see Figure 2.7), and by calculating the entropy of the empirical distribution of values in the Pixel Stack.




Figure 2.7: Each pixel from the same location throughout the set creates a ‘Pixel Stack’

2.2.4.2 Least squares Congealing for unsupervised alignment of images

Further work was done upon the Congealing algorithm proposed by Learned-Miller by Cox et al. in 2008 [21]. They set out to address any performance issues and to remove the need for a pre-defined step size. It proposes to mitigate these issues by implementing an alternative method for aligning the images - utilising the Lucas & Kanade algorithm for aligning a single image to another using a gradient descent approach [40].

2.2.4.3 Unsupervised Joint Alignment of Complex Images

Huang and a team (notably including Learned-Miller) further extended the Congealing algorithm to be usable upon complex images - such as faces and cars at different orientations [35].

This method removes the need to hand-label the input data and improves the performance of face recognition systems, by ensuring the objects are properly oriented prior to recognition.

2.2.5 Image Alignment using Fuzzy Entropy

Research has been undertaken in the past to investigate image alignment using fuzzy entropy metrics, however typically they were found to be computationally costly, and therefore slow to run on a conventional PC or laptop. This project will be investigating whether there are simpler, more

light-weight fuzzy entropy metrics which could be implemented, for more everyday use in image alignment. It will also be investigated if, and further how, the outputs of these alignments differ per each fuzzy entropy metric.

Some of this work which has implemented a more computationally-costly Congealing algorithm is that presented by Mac Parthaláin and Strange in their 2013 paper “Fuzzy-entropy based image congealing” [41]. Their implementation included dynamically-calculated fuzzy sets and a fuzzy similarity relation matrix - allowing a comparison of all the objects to each other.

2.3 Analysis

2.3.1 Task composition

After both the background research and literature review were completed, a list of main “Tasks” to be undertaken in order to complete this Project was easily composed. These are outlined in the following subsections.

1: Decide how best to implement Membership functions

Fuzzy entropy requires Membership functions, in which the data can be classified. This task would be to decide whether to dynamically calculate the Membership functions, or whether to statically define them within the back-end of the system.

2: Research and choose which fuzzy entropy algorithms would be best suited to this project

This project stresses the importance of running image alignment techniques on a standard laptop or PC. With this in mind, each fuzzy entropy algorithm would need to be analysed based on their simplicity of calculations, in order to run quickly.

3: Research and decide upon which image alignment technique would be best

Given this project is about aligning images using entropy techniques, this may indeed be an easy task to complete. However, other options should indeed be considered, especially if they are accurate and have low computing cost.

5: Decide which programming language to use

A lot of image processing can be run using programs such as Python, however the demo code given by Learned-Miller [38] has been compiled in MATLAB. Research would have to be undertaken to see if Learned-Miller’s approach has been implemented in any other languages if this is the image alignment approach decided upon.

6: Decide how best to represent the input and output data

This most likely would be in the form of a GUI as the target user - Doctors - wouldn’t have access to tools like MATLAB, nor be well-versed in using the Command Line or Terminal.

7: Determine how well to best assess the output

This could possibly involve a professional medical opinion? Or it could be based of the amount the entropy declined over time? Or it could simply be a visual inspection of how well the scans aligned.

8: Research different ways in which to build the GUI

Which programming language would this be done in? If MATLAB were to be chosen, it is capable of linking in which many other languages, such as Java, C and C++. However if the image alignment was done in another programming language, it may be possible to stick to just one language.

9: Determine which dataset to use

Given the data of choice - Mammograms - there exists an ethical issue of utilising people’s medical scans. Research would have to be undertaken to determine whether there are freely-available, license-free Mammographic scans available for use in this project.

2.3.2 Research questions

The research questions are tightly interwoven with the Objectives of this project, outlined in Section 1.3

2.3.2.1 Does the use of fuzzy entropy alignment metrics improve the alignment of mammograms?

Through background research it would follow that there would be no issue in aligning images using fuzzy entropy techniques. However the implementation might be somewhat difficult or computationally-costly, which would undermine the objective of a quick tool.

And if so, could one be more useful than another? As the uncertainty in fuzzy entropy will help model different types of tissue, the way in which they assess uncertainty will affect the output image.

2.3.2.2 Do clinicians / radiographers / mammographers find the output at all useful?

Does the output show a Doctor something useful? Does one of the fuzzy entropy alignment metrics show something different to one of the others? These are questions which would help to validate the success of this research question.

This would be a step towards making a tool that one day could be utilised by Doctors worldwide to aid in their classification of a patient's breast tissue, by giving a second opinion based on image analysis.

2.3.2.3 What advantages / disadvantages does each fuzzy entropy alignment metric entail?

One algorithm may be slower, but produce better results, so it is important to weigh up the speed versus the quality of the output.

Chapter 3

Experiment Methods

3.1 Overview

In order to test the main hypothesis of “Does the use of Fuzzy Entropy alignment metrics improve the alignment of mammograms?” some kind of application was needed to portray the visual output. It would be built to take input images, select alignment metric, select amount of iterations desired and output the final congealed image. Details of the decreasing entropy would be a key output, along with the average image after each iteration completed, for a full picture of improvements.

However, the decisions about how to implement membership, which fuzzy entropy algorithms to use and which image alignment techniques remain.

3.1.1 Pixel Membership

From the analysis of the planned Fuzzy Entropy algorithms, one major task to be undertaken would be to calculate the membership of each pixel. Membership stems from Fuzzy set theory, as outlined in Subsection 2.2.3.

There are two common methods to modeling degrees of membership. The first is to manually define the category boundaries, so in the case of trapezium functions, the two bases and the two shoulders. The other solution would be to iterate over the values you have and to computationally build the an even distribution throughout your membership functions, as in [41]. Whilst this is the preferred method for being dynamic in it's calculations, it is also more computationally expensive as pre-processing of the image would have to be completed before the Congealing algorithm could be run.

Taking the computational-expense into account, for grey-level pixel values, ranging from 0 (black) to 255 (white), three trapezium functions would be sufficient, therefore modeling ‘Low’, ‘Medium’ and ‘High’ grey-level values. The bases and shoulders would be statically defined, as in Figure 3.5. For Non-Probabilistic entropy the highest membership for each pixel from each of the three trapezia would be taken as the membership degree. Hybrid entropy would take a slightly different approach, which will be covered later.

Low								
		Medium						
				High				
0	50	60	70.4	85	170	195	205	255
Black								

Table 3.1: Interpretation of the fuzzy sets across greyscale values




Figure 3.1: 3 trapezium-shaped membership sets

3.1.2 Fuzzy Entropy choices

Chosen algorithms:

- Non-Probability Entropy
- Hybrid Entropy

Given the simplistic nature of Non-Probabilistic entropy, this was one of the chosen Fuzzy Entropy algorithms to be implemented in the project.

Hybrid entropy was chosen for implementation in this project due to it's hybrid nature (implementing both Probabilistic and Possibilistic uncertainty) and for it's simplification nature - in the absence of fuzziness, then E_0 and E_1 reduce to p_0 and p_1 respectively, therefore classical Shannon entropy. This is especially useful in image processing, and other such areas which deal with a lot of noise.

Additionally, Shannon Entropy has already been implemented by Learned-Miller's Congealing algorithm, so this offers a non-fuzzy alternative to image alignment.

Discarded algorithms:

- Fuzzy Shannon Entropy
- Higher Order Entropy

The initial plan was to implement this algorithm in the project - however after further investigation which revealed that Fuzzy Shannon Entropy does not model Probabilistic uncertainty - it was decided that this algorithm was to be excluded.

This project does not implement Higher Order Fuzzy Entropy due to the computational-overhead needed to run - especially on images with as much detail as a mammogram.

3.1.3 Image Alignment choice

Image Alignment choice:

- Congealing

As this project will be working with mammograms, something with little variation nor inconsistency, Congealing is the perfect, light-weight image alignment algorithm to which to build upon, especially as the demonstration code available for research has an entropy implementation already developed.

Discarded Image Alignment choice:

- Least squares Congealing
- Joint Alignment of Complex Images

Least squares Congealing algorithm was disregarded for this project due to the preference to focus upon entropy-based alignment algorithms and the computational costs that the authors themselves regard to be a drawback of their algorithm.

The Complex implementation of Congealing was quickly identified as overly complex for this project. The original Congealing algorithm was more appropriate for grey-scale mammograms, with a consistent canonical pose.

3.2 Implementation tools

This section will go into detail about the tools used to implement the application built to support the hypotheses.

3.2.1 MATLAB

MATLAB [51] was chosen as the main implementation tool for the project as it is specifically designed to aid in scientific research. MATLAB was chosen due to the original Congealing algorithm was implemented in MATLAB and after alternatives, as outlined below, were ruled out:

- Java: after contacting Learned-Miller directly, it was concluded that there was no GlsCongealing algorithm demo code programmed in Java. The author did not want to further increase the workload to create a Java implementation as this could put the project at risk of non-completion.
- C++: the author decided not to pursue using a C++ implementation of the Congealing algorithm, as in [23] due to lack of experience in the language.

MATLAB offers a lot of built-in packages designed to alleviate the more mundane implementation tasks, such as reading in images (function: `imread`) and applying functions to every item in a matrix (`funciton bsxfun`). This also leads on to quicker run-times as MATLAB relies heavily on vectorisation of code (as outlined later in the document - Section 3.6), which reduces the time spent running `for` loops.

However, to use MATLAB as a student, a license must be purchased. This costs £29 + VAT as a stand-alone product, with additional Toolboxes costing an extra £16 each.

3.2.2 Version Control

Version control is an important tool in modern day software creation. It records changes to files (such as code or written documents), and allows the user to update versions, or rollback to a previous one. In teams this is vital due to developers often working on the same, or similar, pieces of code simultaneously.

The tools utilised for version control in this project were Git [30], Github online [28] and Github desktop tool [29].

Git

Git [30] is one of the most popular in terms of Software Configuration Management (SCM) tools. It is a command-line tool which allows you to work on sections of code completely independent of each other and later merge them back into one complete article. Due to being written in C, Git is extremely quick compared to its rivals, being up to 325 times faster than Apache Subversion (SVN) [27].

Github online

Github [28] - `github.com` - is an online hosting service for Git repositories. It allows the user to clearly see all the files in the repository, make minor changes via an online editor, and to easily track features such as Issues and Feature requests. By offering both public and private repositories, Github allows developers to work on new, incomplete projects that only they, or their team, can see, or to open-source their software and make it freely available to the world.

Github also allows visualisations of the commit history to the repository, as demonstrated in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.2: Graph outlining git commit history in one branch during the project.

Github desktop

Github desktop [29] is a simple tool which leverages the power of both Git and Github. It allows the user to run commands usually run via the command line in a GUI and provides a graphical representation of the repository being worked in. This reduces the complexity of using branches, as the user can simply compare their current branch against others, and pull, push, merge and create pull requests as desired.

Due to it being created by Github instead of a third party, this ensures that the latest version of Git is always implemented, and it seamlessly links in with the online project repository.

3.3 Dataset

There exists several open Mammogram datasets, so there was no issues in obtaining data without ethical concerns.

3.3.1 Mammographic Image Analysis Society (MIAS) database

The chosen dataset is a version of Mammographic Image Analysis Society (MIAS) database as it is commonly used within the research field, compiled for the sole purpose of trying to better understand mammograms. The original MIAS database has been refined to create the Mini-MIAS database which contains the same data, however with a size of 1024x1024 pixels. This size is preferable over the original MIAS data, as it is a lot quicker to process.

Examples of Mini-MIAS scans can be found throughout the document, however for reference, an example can be seen in Figure 3.3




Figure 3.3: Example Mini-MIAS scan, scaled down for inclusion in document.

3.3.2 Other datasets

Digital Database for Screening Mammography (DDSM)

The DDSM database was created after a collaboration between Massachusetts General Hospital, Sandia National Laboratories and the University of South Florida Computer Science and Engineering Department and contains around 2,500 scans [33] [34]. However, like the original MIAS database, the images available via the DDSM are extremely large, and therefore unsuitable for this project which aims to be light-weight and quick to process.

Mammographic Mass Data Set

As the name suggests, this dataset contains 961 instances of scans containing masses - both benign and malignant [25]. This project aims to work with solely healthy tissue, therefore this dataset is not beneficial to the project.

3.4 Algorithms

This section will provide an outline to the implementation of the Fuzzy Entropy algorithms, along with a brief outline of the Shannon Entropy implementation.

3.4.1 Shannon Entropy

Learned-Miller's demo code was implemented using Shannon Entropy [38]. Whilst the predominant dataset was MNIST handwriting data [39], which is a binary dataset (pixels were either black or white), this was not useful for this project as there is no variation in greyscale, even when a mean is taken of multiple images.

However, Learned-Miller had implemented code to handle the processing of greyscale and colour images, such as in MRI images. This ensured that no function needed to be created to handle grey-scale images, greatly reducing the pre-programming needed. The only image handling that was encountered was to do with the mammograms, and the creation of a large pgm file to pass into the Congealing algorithm, as in Section 3.6

As outlined in 2.2.1, Shannon entropy is defined as:

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=0}^N p_i \log_2 p_i \quad (1)$$

This can be computed very quickly using a lookup table containing all the possible values of p . This makes it likely that the Shannon entropy algorithm will be the quickest on each iteration. However as it does not take any type of uncertainty into consideration when aligning the scans, the outcome could be quite dramatically different from that of a Fuzzy entropy nature.

3.4.2 Non-Probabilistic Entropy

3.4.2.1 Fuzzy entropy description

As outlined in Section 2.2.3.1

$$H_A = -K \sum_{i=1}^n \{\mu_i \log(\mu_i) + (1 - \mu_i) \log(1 - \mu_i)\} \quad (2)$$

Al-sharhan et al's paper compiling several Fuzzy Entropy algorithms [15] contains a methodical,in-depth derivation of their algorithm, and has been instrumental in building my knowledge on the algorithm in question.

We will assume $-K$, the positive constant, is defined as $\frac{1}{n}$ as outlined in [22].

3.4.2.2 MATLAB implementation

After some research into current implementations of Fuzzy Entropy algorithms in MATLAB, it was concluded the best approach would be to implement De-Luca & Termini's algorithm from scratch. This entailed creating a membership class, which computes the grey-level membership of each pixel in the mean image (calculated from a set of input images).

This array of pixel memberships is fed into a 'De Luca' function where it is iteratively passed into latter part of equation 2 (after \sum). The output array is then summed and multiplied by $\frac{1}{n}$ as defined in Section 3.4.2.1 and 2.2.3.1. The final mean pixel entropy is calculated by taking the image entropy and dividing by the number of pixels in the image.

This is all relatively straight forward to implement in MATLAB, as it is designed to run mathematical equations.

3.4.2.3 Technical challenges

The main technical challenge for this implementation is ensuring maximum optimisation to keep running times to a minimum. Leveraging MATLAB's own functions for the membership saves a lot of time and lines of code, however it's been important to check what they call from within. One membership function was redrawing the trapezia every time it was called, significantly slowing down the process - reducing the amount of times the initial function was called helped reduced the run-time by over 60seconds. This challenge is covered in-depth in the latter Subsection 3.6.4.

Another technical challenge faced whilst implementing the De Luca & Termini algorithm, isn't directly tied to the implementation of their specific equation, but more of my lack of experience in MATLAB and this being the first Fuzzy entropy algorithm implemented. It has been a steep learning curve, getting to grips with standard error messages, the debugger tool and knowing which "Toolboxes" are needed to run specific MATLAB functions and therefore my programming rate was somewhat reduced during the early stages of this project.

3.4.3 Hybrid Entropy

As mentioned in Section 2.2.3.4, the Hybrid Entropy equation is as follows:

$$H_{hy} = -p_0 \log(1 - E_0) - p_1 \log(E_1) \quad (3)$$

Where E_0 and E_1 can be defined as:

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \mu_i) \exp(\mu_i) \quad (4a)$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \exp(1 - \mu_i) \quad (4b)$$

And p_0 and p_1 are the probabilities of receiving 0 and 1 symbols respectively.

3.4.3.1 MATLAB implementation

Due to reasons covered in the Subsubsection 3.4.3.2, Hybrid Entropy membership was implemented using 2 trapezia covering 2 fuzzy sets, as seen in Figure 3.4.




Figure 3.4: Two membership trapezia for Hybrid Entropy - Low and High grey-level values.

Two arrays are then fed into the Hybrid Entropy function - one listing all the pixel membership values from the low trapezium, and the other from the high trapezium. The final entropy is taken as a comparison between the low and high fuzzy sets.

3.4.3.2 Technical challenges

Whilst Hybrid Entropy utilises a membership function, much like Non-Probabilistic entropy, it was derived to work with binary entropy, not the ternary membership modeled for Non-Probabilistic. Because of the binary nature, the equation uses ‘inversion’ to depict if not this fuzzy set, then must belong to the other.

Experimentation was done as to whether the equation could be adapted in such a way to continue using three separate membership trapezia - low, medium and high grey-level values. Logic would dictate that if the comparison of two fuzzy sets works, then to compare the low fuzzy set to the medium, the medium to the high and the high to the medium should work.

For example:




Figure 3.5: 3 fuzzy set trapezia

In theory, calculating E_0 and E_1 for each trapezium, calculating the hybrid entropy for each, and then combining them, should work:

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{\text{No. of pixels in low trapezium}} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - Low\mu_i) \exp(-Low\mu_i) \quad (5)$$

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{\text{No. of pixels in low trapezium}} \sum_{i=1}^n Low\mu_i \exp(1 - Low\mu_i) \quad (6)$$

Where $Low\mu$ is the membership of the pixels in the low fuzzy set.

$$H_{hy} = -p_0 \log_{10}(1 - E_0) - p_1 \log_{10}(E_1) \quad (7)$$

Where

$$p_0 = \frac{\text{No. of pixels in low trapezium}}{\text{No. of pixels in low trapezium} + \text{med trapezium}}$$

and

$$p_1 = \frac{\text{No. of pixels in med trapezium}}{\text{No. of pixels in low trapezium} + \text{med trapezium}}$$

This was done for all 3 trapezia, then combined and divided by 3 (for the mean entropy). As the result for each trapezium should be between 0 and 1 (as each is an entropy value), then combining them should be no issue. However this was not the case.

First of all, the hybrid equation output was deemed to be 'NaN' - something which generally occurs when attempting to divide by 0. Anomalous outputs from the high trapezium was to be expected, as there are very few pixels which fall within the range nearer the white end of the grey-level scale. This was mitigated by setting the output equal to 0, in effect ignoring any output from the highest fuzzy set.

After this mitigation, the third and fourth iteration had suitable entropy values, however the fifth entropy value was a negative, something which is not possible in terms of entropy, as it must be between 0 and 1 - see Figure 3.6.




Figure 3.6: Graph showing the entropy output after 5 iterations

It was concluded that the implementation of three fuzzy sets within Hybrid Entropy would not be realistic within the remaining time-frame of the project, and the membership for Hybrid

Entropy was redefined to the concept of 2 fuzzy sets, as set out by Pal and Pal. This would mean, one trapezium for pixel grey-level values with low values, overlapping with a high grey-level value trapezium at approximately 128, as seen in Figure 3.4.

3.5 Software

3.5.1 Methodology

In the past, software projects followed a strict-plan driven approach, such as the Waterfall method, however more recently, Agile practices have become widely accepted, allowing the developer more freedom. This features an iterative development approach, with short “iterations” or “sprints” defined in which the developer should complete a block of work, typically a “story” or “feature” given the Agile methodology chosen.

The Agile Methodology has a manifesto [9], which perfectly encompasses all the values it strives to achieve:

- **Individuals and interactions** over processes and tools
- **Working software** over comprehensive documentation
- **Customer collaboration** over contract negotiation
- **Responding to change** over following a plan

That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more.

Scrum is one of the most popular interpretations of an Agile Methodology, due to its simplicity [2]. Scrum is *not* an agile methodology, however is a framework, to which agile practices such as Pair Programming and Test Driven Development (TDD) can be aligned.

Given its flexible and light-weight nature, an adapted Scrum methodology has been undertaken for this project. The flexible nature is particularly useful given the research nature of this project, as the requirements were not fully defined at the start of the process, and changed as time went on given the outcome of experimentation with mathematical concepts for image alignment.

Additionally, eXtreme Programming (XP) [70] dates back to 1996, and is one of the most recognisable Agile Methodologies used in the software industry currently. XP claims to create successful software projects by following 5 key principles:

- **Communication:** constantly communicate with their customers and fellow programmers
- **Simplicity:** keep the design simple and clean
- **Feedback:** testing the software starting on day one
- **Respect:** every small success deepens their respect for the unique contributions of each and every team member
- **Courage:** deliver the system to the customers as early as possible and implement changes as suggested

Given that this project is a single-person project, neither framework/methodology would work well on its own, so for this project, it was decided that Scrum would be the main framework, with elements of XP to help strengthen areas such as design and testing.

3.5.1.1 Tools to manage methodology

This project has been chiefly supported by the tool `taiga.io` - a beta web app [11], which aims to promote the use of Scrum and Kanban [57].

Having an online app to organise User Stories, Tasks, Issues and to track progress using a Burndown chart was extremely important in this single-person project, where work was carried out across several different devices and platforms. It also ensures a historical record of what was completed, and when, as is evident from Subsubsection 3.5.1.2.

3.5.1.2 User stories

User Stories are a bid to shift away from talking in technical-jargon, and to shift towards talking in plain english about project requirements. When working with a customer, this is obviously useful, as occasionally they can be non-technical, so this helps promote an open-dialogue between customer and developer, and a clear understanding of the customer's needs.

User Stories typically follow a template for consistency, usually something similar to:

As a <type of user>, I want <some goal> so that <some reason>. [18]

User Stories also have associated Story Points, which is a typically a numbering system leveraged to indicate the effort needed to implement the Story. Due to the uncertain nature of programming, it is not always an accurate reflection of effort, however through the Agile community it is generally accepted that to be consistent in your assignment of points is more useful than being accurate [64]. During the early stages of the project, it is often the case in which estimation is a little off what it should be, however as the project progresses, and the developer gains a better understanding of the tasks, and how to implement them, then estimation tends to become more accurate.

Table 3.2 outlines the User Stories used during this project, along with when they were working upon (during which Sprint) and how many Story Points are associated with it.

Reference	User Story	Milestone	Story Points	Additional Comments
1	Clinicians can upload a set of images (MATLAB Command Window) so they can control what images are input into the Congealing Algorithm	Sprint 0	5	
2	Developer will implement membership of a pixel so that Fuzzy Entropy can be calculated	Sprint 1	10	
3	Clinicians can align scans using Non-Probabilistic Entropy so it can be used in the Congealing Algorithm	Sprint 2 & 3	20	Due to complexity of the implementation, this was spread over 2 sprints

Reference	User Story	Milestone	Story Points	Additional Comments
4	Clinicians can select an alignment metric (MATLAB Command Window) so they can select which to align the images using	Sprint 4	5	
5	Developer will make standard GUI with no functionality so that this can be demoed as a proof of concept	Sprint 4	5	
6	Clinician can choose number of iterations (MATLAB Command Window) so they can run as many as they want to	Sprint 4	3	
7	Developer will implement Basic mammogram upload so that they can be aligned	Sprint 4	8	
8	Clinicians can align scans using standard Entropy so it can be used in the Congealing Algorithm	Sprint 5	8	
9	Clinicians can upload a set of images - GUI	Sprint 5	10	
10	Developer will optimise membership function so as to improve performance	Sprint 5	2	Promoted from an Issue
11	Developer will optimise Non-Probabilistic Function so as to improve performance	Sprint 5	2	Promoted from an Issue
12	Clinicians can clear an input image so that they can re-select an input image	Sprint 5	3	
13	Clinicians can align scans using Hybrid Entropy so it can be used in the Congealing Algorithm	Sprint 6	20	
14	Clinicians can select an alignment metric from a drop-down menu so it is easy to choose which alignment metric to use	Sprint 6	5	
15	Clinicians can select the number of iterations to be run using an alignment metric (GUI) so it is easy to select how many iterations to run	Sprint 6	5	

Reference	User Story	Milestone	Story Points	Additional Comments
16	Clinicians can see meta data about the input image so they can see if the uploaded image is the correct one	Sprint 6	2	
17	Clinicians can see each iteration mean image so they can compare the improvement over each iteration	Sprint 6	3	
18	Clinicians can see adjusted input images on final iteration so they can see how the input images have changed by the final iteration	Sprint 6	3	
19	Developer wants to know why Scans are rotated 90 to left as this is aesthetically displeasing	Sprint 7	8	Promoted from an Issue
20	Developer will research and implement removal of Medical Markers as this causes alignment issues	Sprint 7	5	
21	Clinicians can discard (clear) an alignment so they can start a new alignment	Sprint 8	5	
22	Clinicians can click on average image to view it bigger so they can see the detail easier	Sprint 8	2	
23	Clinicians can save the final mean image with a sensible name so they can easily find it again	Sprint 8	3	
24	Clinicians can see the iteration details so they can understand more about the improvement	Sprint 8	8	
25	Clinicians can see Congealing is running so they know it's in progress	Sprint 8	3	

Table 3.2: User stories defined during the project

3.5.1.3 Burndown chart




Figure 3.7: Project Burndown chart.

Figure 3.7 is a graphical representation of progress per week, as utilised in Scrum, called a Burndown chart. It allows the developer(s) a quick reference as to the progress of the project, and works by subtracting completed Story points as they're completed. Taiga includes the trend line which sets a target for completion per Sprint.

In Taiga, it was also possible to have a weekly burndown chart, so as to track progress throughout the week, rather than just the entire project.

3.5.1.4 Sprint Review & Retrospective

Sprint Reviews are held at the end of each Sprint, to assess what work was done during the week, and does the end product match the Sprint Goal set out at the start of the week. In this project, Sprint Goals and Sprint Reviews took shape in the form of an informal online blog. Sprints were defined as a week long in this project, running between supervisor meetings (Monday - Sunday). Weekly posts would outline what had been completed that week, how things went (good and bad) and what was to be completed during the following week. Whilst less structured than the conventional approach to Reviews, it works well within a single-person project, and was a good reflection of what had been accomplished.

In Agile Methodologies, Retrospectives are typically at the end of each Sprint, so the team can assess:

- What works well
- What doesn't work well
- What should they start doing

3.5.1.5 Daily Standup

Daily standups are a vital part of Scrum's teamwork ethos. Each morning (or during a set allotted time), the team would meet to discuss what was accomplished the day before, what are the plans for the day ahead, and what road-blocks are in their way. This provides the developer (and further the team) a clear picture of what has yet to be done, and allows fellow team-mates to offer expertise to

help overcome obstacles. Whilst this project is not being developed by a team, the benefit of daily standups to productivity, organisation and planning still stands, along with the crowd-sourcing element of expertise.

Throughout the project, stand ups have been held with peers, who're also working upon their Major Projects. Whilst not daily, they tended to fall bi-daily, and it gave the developer a chance to hone skills in explaining the project to people not well-versed in the subject. It was also a good breeding ground for new ideas, and an open forum for discussion into the pros and cons of certain approaches.

3.5.2 Design

In traditional plan-driven methodologies, such as the Waterfall method, Design would take shape in the form of a Design document where all the requirements would be outlined and written up in detail. As mentioned previously, this would be impractical for such a fluid, experimental project, so practices were leveraged from eXtreme Programming (XP) to ensure that the system design was not compromised by the lack of early, solid requirements.

3.5.2.1 CRC Cards

In XP, Class, Responsibilities, and Collaboration (CRC) Cards are an useful task in which the entire team can collaborate in the system design. Whilst there is no team in this project, they still play a vital role in structuring the system, can be iteratively updated and are easily discardable should the need arise.

Typically CRC cards would represent Objects, with the class of the written at the top, the responsibilities down the left and the collaborating classes down the right-hand side. However as mentioned in Section 3.2.1, MATLAB is built around a scripting language, and all the “Classes” in this project are replaced by Functions and Scripts. Therefore, each CRC card represents a function or a script, and its corresponding responsibilities and collaborations as normal - see Figure 3.8 and Appendix D for more detail.

Script/Function Name	NEW, EXISTING OR MODIFIED?
Responsibilities: <i>what does this script/function do?</i>	Collaboration: <i>what other scripts/functions does it call?</i>

Figure 3.8: Example CRC Card as used in this project

3.5.2.2 GUI Design

The name “Enantiomorph” was chosen as the application name as a more concise, recognisable alternative to the project title.

either of a pair of crystals (as of quartz) that are structural mirror images ??

This section will look at the design evolution of the application GUI.




Figure 3.9: Initial wireframe design for GUI

The initial design, as represented in Figure 3.9, was designed to incorporate the first set of project requirements.




Figure 3.10: Second wireframe design for GUI

Figure 3.10 represents the changes in requirements as the project progressed. It became clear that a load button which allowed the user to *both* generate a large pgm file from a folder of mammograms, or upload a large pgm file that already exists would be difficult to implement. Therefore the button got split into two, with appropriate text above the buttons to help the user decide which to use.

By the second GUI iteration, it became apparent that implementing a way in which to stop the Congealing algorithm automatically would be too time-consuming for the time left in the project. Therefore the user would have to specify how many iterations they would like to run. This meant a textbox with numerical validation had to be incorporated into the GUI and the extra iteration information fed into the back-end.

During the second application iteration, the outputs of each iteration mean and the adjusted input images were implemented for the user to see.




Figure 3.11: Third wireframe design for GUI

The final wireframe created is outlined in Figure 3.11. Additional information about the Congealing process can be accessed via the button in the bottom left corner and meta data about the input image displayed in the top section. Users can also clear the entire GUI to start a new alignment - this could be useful should they wish to compare the outputs from the 3 different entropy alignment techniques.

The final GUI




Figure 3.12: Final GUI

Figure 3.12 is a screenshot of the final application the user would use. This provides a more detailed insight into the finer details of the GUI, more so than the previous wireframes.

3.5.3 Membership Implementation

As covered in Section 3.1.1, the Membership trapezia would be defined within the system, rather than dynamically being calculated. This made use of the Fuzzy Logic Toolbox by leveraging the following functions:

- **trapmf:** trapezium-shaped membership function
- **evalmf:** a generic membership evaluation function

Having pre-defined functions for creating and evaluating the membership trapezia ensured that the function was a quick implementation. However it did reduce the number of Unit Tests I could have written to test the creation of such elements.

In this function, an image is read in, the membership of each pixel is evaluated against each of the two or three membership trapezia, adding the outcome to a corresponding array (e.g. one array for the membership of all the pixels against the low-trapezium etc). The two/three arrays (depending on number of trapezia) are then compared and the array which has the greater membership for each pixel, that membership value is added to another array which compiles all the highest values.

For example:

```

1   pixel[1,1] 's membership in trapezium low = 0.8
2   pixel[1,1] 's membership in trapezium medium = 0.4
3   pixel[1,1] 's membership in trapezium high = 0
4
5   Therefore as 0.8 is the highest membership value, this is added to
    ↳ the image membership array

```

The three/four arrays (one for each trapezium and one for the highest values) are then passed out of the function, and utilised in each of the algorithm functions.

3.5.4 GUI Implementation

Initially the Graphical User Interface (GUI) would be implemented using JavaFX, and any MATLAB additions would be linked in, however it's possible to create GUIs easily within MATLAB itself. GUIDE [46] is MATLAB's Application development environment where you can either build using purely drag-and-drop techniques, program the application as standard in the editor, or both.

The combination of both the drag-and-drop environment, and manually programming via the editor was undertaken during this project, to allow a greater amount of freedom and flexibility. Drag-and-drop was leveraged to style the GUI and the editor was used to program the functionality in the back-end and link in the Congealing and Fuzzy Entropy algorithms.

3.5.5 Algorithm Implementation

Details of the implementation of the Fuzzy Entropy Algorithms can be found:

Shannon Entropy: Subsection 3.4.1

Non-Probabilistic Entropy: Subsection 3.4.2

Hybrid Entropy: Subsection 3.4.3

3.5.6 Testing

Prior to the project beginning, it was outlined that this project should follow a TDD practice. In TDD, the Developer first writes a test, which will fail due to the lack of corresponding functionality. They would then go on to implement the functionality desired by the test. Finally, any refactoring of the initial test and/or code would take place.

However due to the nature of the research, it became increasingly more difficult to follow given the research which had to be undertaken alongside development. This led to a change from TDD to Retrospective Testing, in which I would write all tests, post functional implementation. This is a more traditional approach to testing, and still catches the same errors which might occur during TDD.

3.5.6.1 Unit Tests

Unit Tests were completed using MATLAB's Unit Testing Framework [66], which covers all the ways in which you can program in MATLAB:

- Script-Based Unit Tests
- Function-Based Unit Tests
- Class-Based Unit Tests

The majority of my work in MATLAB was Function-based, so this was the style followed for unit tests.

1 Name	2 Passed	3 Failed	4 Incomplete	5 Duration
'TESTnonProb/testNonProbEntropyLessThanOne'	1	0	0	1.8517
'TESTnonProb/testNonProbEntropyLargerThanZero'	1	0	0	1.8428
'TESThybrid/testHybridEntropyLessThanOne'	1	0	0	0.1140
'TESThybrid/testHybridEntropyLargerThanZero'	1	0	0	0.1190
'TESTpgmCreation/testImageType'	1	0	0	0.6913
'TESTpgmCreation/testCommentInsertion'	1	0	0	0.5880
'TESTpgmCreation/testImageSize'	1	0	0	0.6043
'TESTpgmCreation/testGreyScale'	1	0	0	0.5877
'TESTmembership/test3TrapeziumMembershipDegreeNotEmpty'	1	0	0	0.0828
'TESTmembership/testCalculatedMembershipDegreeForAllPixels3Trapeziums'	1	0	0	0.0841
'TESTmembership/test2TrapeziumMembershipDegreeNotEmpty'	1	0	0	0.0731
'TESTmembership/testCalculatedMembershipDegreeForAllPixels2Trapeziums'	1	0	0	0.0748
'TESTmembership/test3TrapeziumMembershipDegreeLessThanOne'	1	0	0	0.0810
'TESTmembership/test2TrapeziumMembershipDegreeLessThanOne'	1	0	0	0.0799
'TESTmembership/test3TrapeziumMembershipDegreeGreaterThanZero'	1	0	0	0.0798
'TESTmembership/test2TrapeziumMembershipDegreeGreaterThanZero'	1	0	0	0.0734

Figure 3.13: Results from MATLAB Unit Tests.

As Figure 3.13 demonstrates, all Unit tests passed.

3.5.6.2 Acceptance Tests

Table 3.3 outlines the results from the Acceptance Tests run. The left-most column “User Story Reference” aligns with Table 3.2, so more detail can be found.

User Story Reference	Expected Outcome	Actual Outcome	Pass/Fail
1	Image is loaded into the system	As expected	Pass
2	Membership array is passed out of the membership function and is usable in other functions	As expected	Pass
3	Images are aligned using Non-Probabilistic entropy and the output & entropy outputs are realistic	As expected	Pass
4	Images are aligned using the metric the user has selected when running the function	As expected	Pass
6	The number of iterations is run as specified by the User, then function stops	As expected	Pass
8	Images are aligned using Shannon entropy and the output & entropy outputs are realistic	As expected	Pass
9	Image(s) selected to be loaded into the GUI is displayed	As expected	Pass

12	Image box where input image appears goes blank after Clear button is selected	As expected	Pass
13	Images are aligned using Hybrid entropy and the output & entropy outputs are realistic	As expected	Pass
14	Images are aligned using the chosen alignment metric	As expected	Pass
15	The number of iterations is run as specified by the User, then function stops	As expected	Pass
16	When an input image is loaded in, Metadata is displayed in the GUI about the image	As expected	Pass
17	After Congealing, the user can press the “See all Mean images” button and a new Figure displays the mean image after each iteration	As expected	Pass
18	After Congealing, the user can press the “See Adjusted Inputs” button and a new Figure displays the adjusted input images after the final iteration	As expected	Pass
21	Image box where output image appears goes blank after Clear button is selected along with all other fields	As expected	Pass
22	Image is displayed larger in a new Figure	As expected	Pass
23	Save file dialog appears with a sensible name suggested (i.e. final image - alignment-chosen - number of iterations)	As expected	Pass
24	When “Entropy details” button is selected, a new Figure appears with a graph showing entropy decrease. Final Entropy & time taken also displays in the main GUI	As expected	Pass
25	Egg-timer appears when Congealing Algorithm is running	As expected	Pass

Table 3.3: Acceptance Test results

3.6 Technical Difficulties

3.6.1 Image Rotation

One issue which was faced when creating the large .pgm file containing all the input images, was that they were rotated 90° to the right, as demonstrated in Figure 3.14.




Figure 3.14: 4 rotated input images concatenated into one larger image.

It quickly became apparent that the order in which the image array was being written to file to create this larger pgm file was incorrect, however due to MATLAB's clever use of vectorisation, it was difficult to diagnose where the issue lay. After some investigation, it was revealed that the function `fwrite` by MATLAB [42], used for writing binary data to file, wrote each line out column-by-column, rather than the customary row-by-row approach.

To mitigate this issue, the array passed into `fwrite` would have to be transposed prior or during being passed into the function. There are two ways in which MATLAB permits the transposition of arrays:

3.6.1.1 Simple 2D array transposition

MATLAB has a “Transpose” function which simply flips two elements in a 2D array as utilised in:

```
1   fwrite(output,handles.finalImg.', 'uchar');
```

Where `handles.finalImg` is a GUI holder for a 2D array of pixel values. This example was taken from the `removeMarker.m` function - where the user can remove Medical Markers and save the output back to the original file.

3.6.1.2 3D+ array transposition

For arrays with more than 2 dimensions, simply swapping the values around will not work, so the MATLAB function `permute` [48] must be used.

```

1 sers=zeros(squareImageSize(1),squareImageSize(2),noOfScans); %set
   ↳ size of array
2
3 for i = 1:noOfScans
4
5     scan = fopen(strcat(pathname,'/',scanDirectory(i).name)); %open
      ↳ each input image individually
6     im=(fread(scan,[squareImageSize(1),squareImageSize(2)],'uchar'));
7     sers(:,:,:i) = im; %add each input image to a 3D array which
      ↳ compiles all the input images into one
8
9 end
10
11 outfname=sprintf('%s/big_scan.pgm', pathname);
12 s=sers(:,:,:);
13 saveSeries(outfname,permute(s,[2,1,3])); %use the saveSeries demo
   ↳ function to write the final image arrays out to a file

```

This example was taken from the `pgm2bigPgm.m` function - where a set of input images are passed in, and a large pgm image containing all the input images is outputted (as in Figure 3.15). This image is then passed into the Congealing algorithm for alignment.

3.6.1.3 Final Outcome

After transposing all arrays which are to be saved out to file, whether directly through `fwrite` or `saveSeries`, all images are saved in the correct orientation.




Figure 3.15: Final output image.

3.6.2 Medical Marker Removal

This subsection has been formalised from a blog post written on 28th March 2016 [19].

As the images are aligned using a comparison of the pixel-value, the Medical Markers included on mammograms cause an issue. This is because if more than one scan contains these white patches (left by the metal clip during scanning), then they will try and align with each other during the Congealing process.




Figure 3.16: Image containing Medical Markers

Two options were available for the avoidance of Medical Markers:

- Ask the User not to use scans containing Medical Markers
 - This is extremely restrictive
 - This could massively reduce their number of usable scans
- Find a computer vision and/or image processing technique to remove these clips

- Preferably automatically
- Manually removing would work for small input data sets

3.6.2.1 Discarded ideas




Figure 3.17: Output of discarded methods of marker removal

Morphological operation - remove squares from image

Utilising a morphological operation, such as the one demonstrated by Chandra Kurniawan in the thread [36]. However, as can be seen in Figure 3.17a, not only is the Marker itself not perfectly square, but because the image is square, it detects that instead. So removal would be made more difficult by the fact you would have to specify a maximum size square to remove, that smaller than the image size.

This idea was discarded due to the marker unlikely to ever be perfectly square in the scan.

MATLAB function regionprops

Another candidate function for removing Medical Markers was the MATLAB function `regionprops` [49]. The idea behind using this function would be to measure the area of the squares in the image, so then they could be removed. However, the output, as seen in Figure 3.17b, was not something desired, and without spending an inordinate amount of time tweaking the function, it is not useful to the detection of the markers.

Shape recognition demo

On the Mathworks File Exchange site, a community run to help MATLAB users, there was a demo created by Ahmed Samieh to aid in the recognition of certain shapes [60]. It classifies the shape by properties such as roundness, ratios of dimensions and centroids.

Modifying this demo slightly to make it compatible with the grey-scale mammograms, the output is somewhat promising, as seen in Figure 3.17c.

However, due to the slightly inaccurate identification of the tissue boundary, this is likely to remove data which is useful to the Congealing algorithm. Unless this can become a near perfect outline around the breast tissue, it is unlikely to be useful for selecting and focusing in the object of interest.

Removing white objects over a specified grey-level value

Back on the Mathworks forum, there is a thread about removing white glare from a jewellery photo [12]. This was adapted to detect the medical marker by specifying to find and remove patches over 220 grey-level value. As seen in Figure 3.17d, most of the marker has been removed, however it also removes a small bit of breast tissue.

To see if the entire marker could be removed, if you lower the grey-level threshold for removal to anything over 200 value, then the output is as in Figure 3.17e. Unfortunately it does not remove the entire marker, and some of the vital breast tissue is lost.

Further to that, by running the white removal at grey-level value at 220 (the suitable choice for my first test scan) on another test scan and absolutely nothing is removed. Lower the threshold to begin removing white areas (down to grey-level value of 180) the results are less desirable, as demonstrated in Figure 3.17f.

3.6.2.2 Chosen method

Another demo on the MATLAB forum outlined a way in which a user can draw an area to remove, then a mask can be applied over the top to hide any problem areas (such as the Medical Markers) [10].

After reading through the demo given as an answer by “Image Analyst” on the forum, I rewrote the function in order to fit the removal criteria. The User can utilise the MATLAB function `imfreehand` [47] to draw over the input image in order to indicate the area to be removed. This area is then filled in with the darkest grey-level value found in the drawn area (typically 0 for black, however may differ between scans).




Figure 3.18: Image depicting the steps taken to remove Medical Markers from a scan.

As shown in Figure 3.18 this has been shown to be extremely successful and therefore was utilised in the project.

3.6.3 .pgm file header

As this project was building upon the work done by Learned-Miller [38], it was useful to utilise the load function that was already in place. However, the nature in which the image files were loaded into the system caused some unexpected hurdles.

In order to understand how the demo data was uploaded, and therefore implement a function to compile a large set of mammogram scans in the correct format, research was carried out into the nature of PGM files, and the function which comments play in the headers.

3.6.3.1 PGM file format

Portable Gray Map (PGM) file format is part of a package called Netpbm, which contains 220 separate programs for dealing with files such as PGM, pbm and pnm. As the name suggests, it is a lightweight-greyscale image format, which is simple for use in programs, making it ideal for this project.

The structure of PGM files is very specific and is defined as [58]:

```

1   A 'magic number' which identifies the file type. A pgm image's
2     → magic number is the two characters 'P5'.
3   Whitespace (in the format of tab, space etc)
4   A width, formatted as ASCII characters in decimal.
5   Whitespace (in the format of tab, space etc)
6   A height (in the same format as width)
7   Whitespace (in the format of tab, space etc)
8   Maximum Grey Value (Maxval) - usually 255
9   Single whitespace character (typically new line)
A raster of Height rows, in order from top to bottom. Each row
  → consists of Width gray values, in order from left to right.
  → Each gray value is a number from 0 through Maxval, with 0
  → being black and Maxval being white. Each gray value is
  → represented in pure binary by either 1 or 2 bytes. If the
  → Maxval is less than 256, it is 1 byte. Otherwise, it is 2
  → bytes. The most significant byte is first.

```

Figure 3.19: PGM header rules

A comment in PGM is proceeded by the # symbol, and is not counted in the formatting as defined in Figure 3.19.

3.6.3.2 Specific file format for Congealing

When investigating the Congealing demo code, it became apparent that comments were utilised in the reading-in of image information.

```

1   P5
2   # 28 28 6742
3   2324 2324
4   255

```

Figure 3.20: Example MNIST PGM file header

Listing 3.20 above shows the first 5 lines of the PGM MNIST data which was included in the Congealing demo. The second line, proceeded by a # - therefore a comment, includes information on height and width of each individual MNIST number (28 and 28), and how many of these numbers are included in the large file (6742).

This information is then used to set the number of images per row and to set an array to the appropriate height, width and number of included images in the `loadSeries.m` function.

3.6.3.3 Creating an appropriate save function

The next step was to write a function which would appropriately concatenate the MINI-MIAS dataset [67] to create a large PGM input image for Conealging. This led to the function `pgm2bigPgm.m`, which is a refined version of the original `saveSeries.m` demo function, which will:

- read in the number of images in the chosen directory
- identifies the dimensions of each scan in the directory (with MINI-MIAS, they are all the same dimensions)
- creates a string containing all the suitable information needed for reading (as outlined in Subsubsection 3.6.3.2)
- creates a file called “big_scan.pgm” and saves all the images out to the one file (after transposition, as in Subsection 3.6.1)

3.6.4 Vectorisation

Vectorisation is the process to replace loop-based code with MATLAB matrix and vector operations. As stated in the MATLAB documentation [50], Vectorisation is important for several reasons:

1. Appearance - more concise, more like what is seen in textbooks
2. Less Error Prone - less for loops = less lines of code for errors to appear
3. Performance - vectorised code usually runs a lot faster

The initial implementations of both `membership.m` and `deLucaFuzzy.m` contained for loops, so experimentation was run before, during and after vectorisation to evaluate the supposed performance increase.




Figure 3.21: Time per iteration before, during and after vectorisation

Figure 3.21 demonstrates the time taken per iteration, in the same environment, to run the `binaryCongeal.m`¹ function on each iteration. A marked improvement can be seen just by vectorising the `membership.m` function, and further improvements once the `deLucaFuzzy.m` function for Non-Probabilistic entropy was vectorised.

¹The function which calls the specified entropy algorithm.




Figure 3.22: A comparison of the total time to run 5 iterations prior to vectorisation, during (part vectorisation) and post-vectorisation.

Figure 3.22 outlines the total time taken to run 5 iterations of Non-Probabilistic Entropy before vectorisation, once vectorisation was complete on the `membership.m` function, and finally after full vectorisation.

Chapter 4

Results and Conclusions

4.1 Results




Figure 4.1: 4 input scans.





Figure 4.2: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations.

4.1.1 Shannon Entropy



(a) 5 Shannon Entropy iterations.

(b) 10 Shannon Entropy iterations.

(c) 20 Shannon Entropy iterations.





Figure 4.4: Shannon: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations, as in Table 4.1.


Iteration (1/2)	Entropy (1/2)	Iteration (2/2)	Entropy (2/2)
1	0.574292	11	0.551807
2	0.570867	12	0.549876
3	0.568946	13	0.547188
4	0.567278	14	0.545286
5	0.565542	15	0.542942
6	0.562933	16	0.540746
7	0.560522	17	0.539067
8	0.558121	18	0.537744
9	0.555785	19	0.535056
10	0.553746	20	0.534195

Table 4.1: Entropy table for Shannon


4.1.2 Non-Probabilistic Entropy



(a) 5 Non-Probabilistic entropy iterations.



(b) 10 Non-Probabilistic entropy iterations.



(c) 20 Non-Probabilistic entropy iterations

Iteration (1/2)	Entropy (1/2)	Iteration (2/2)	Entropy (2/2)
1	0.015932	11	0.011260
2	0.013897	12	0.010531
3	0.013986	13	0.011056
4	0.011205	14	0.010350
5	0.012283	15	0.011628
6	0.010841	16	0.010867
7	0.011662	17	0.011381
8	0.010741	18	0.010900
9	0.011279	19	0.011710
10	0.010766	20	0.010889

Table 4.2: Entropy table for Non-Probabilistic




Figure 4.6: Non-Probabilistic: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations, as in Table 4.2.

4.1.3 Hybrid Entropy





Figure 4.8: Hybrid: Comparison of the reduction in entropy over iterations, as in Table 4.2.

Iteration (1/2)	Entropy (1/2)	Iteration (2/2)	Entropy (2/2)
1	0.193522	11	0.107298
2	0.192257	12	0.097968
3	0.188139	13	0.089378
4	0.182887	14	0.081780
5	0.173737	15	0.075122
6	0.162572	16	0.069405
7	0.151736	17	0.064241
8	0.140438	18	0.059527
9	0.129620	19	0.055169
10	0.117715	20	0.051228

Table 4.3: Entropy table for Hybrid

Chapter 5

Critical Evaluation

Appendices

Appendix A

Third-Party Code and Libraries

1.1 Congealing Code

The project focused on extending the existing Congealing Code implemented by Learned Miller et al in 2005. A Congealing demo is available on the Congealing website [37] which is open for experimentation. The original demo code was modified and extended to be able to read in mammograms and to work with 2 Fuzzy Entropy algorithms.

Appendix B

Ethics Submission

2.1 Ethics Application Number: 3958

AU Status

Undergraduate or PG Taught

Your aber.ac.uk email address

lac32@aber.ac.uk

Full Name

Laura Collins

Please enter the name of the person responsible for reviewing your assessment.

Reyer Zwiggelaar

Please enter the aber.ac.uk email address of the person responsible for reviewing your application

rrz@aber.ac.uk

Supervisor or Institute Director of Research Department

cs

Module code (Only enter if you have been asked to do so)

CS39440

Proposed Study Title

Entropy based metrics for joint image alignment

Proposed Start Date

25th January 2016

Proposed Completion Date

4th May 2015

Are you conducting a quantitative or qualitative research project?

Mixed Methods

Does your research require external ethical approval under the Health Research Authority?

No

Does your research involve animals?

No

Does your research involve human participants?

Yes

Are you completing this form for your own research?

Yes

Does your research involve human participants?

Yes

Institute

IMPACS

Please provide a brief summary of your project (150 word max)

I will be investigating the use of Congealing multiple MIAS dataset mammograms using several fuzzy entropy alignment metrics. If time permits I plan on speaking to a specialist (radiologist) to determine whether the output mean images of the congealing process are of any significant use to the research into breast cancer detection.

I can confirm that the study does not involve vulnerable participants including participants under the age of 18, those with learning/communication or associated difficulties or those that are otherwise unable to provide informed consent?

Yes

I can confirm that the participants will not be asked to take part in the study without their consent or knowledge at the time and participants will be fully informed of the purpose of the research (including what data will be gathered and how it shall be used during and after the study). Participants will also be given time to consider whether they wish to take part in the study and be given the right to withdraw at any given time.

Yes

I can confirm that there is no risk that the nature of the research topic might lead to disclosures from the participant concerning their own involvement in illegal activities or other activities that represent a risk to themselves or others (e.g. sexual activity, drug use or professional misconduct). Should a disclosure be made, you should be aware of your responsibilities and boundaries as a researcher and be aware of whom to contact should the need arise (i.e. your supervisor).

Yes

I can confirm that the study will not induce stress, anxiety, lead to humiliation or cause harm or any other negative consequences beyond the risks encountered in the participant's day-to-day lives.

Yes

Please include any further relevant information for this section here:**Where appropriate, do you have consent for the publication, reproduction or use of any unpublished material?**

Yes

Will appropriate measures be put in place for the secure and confidential storage of data?

Yes

Does the research pose more than minimal and predictable risk to the researcher?

No

Will you be travelling, as a foreign national, in to any areas that the UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office advise against travel to?

No

Please include any further relevant information for this section here:**If you are to be working alone with vulnerable people or children, you may need a DBS (CRB) check. Tick to confirm that you will ensure you comply with this requirement should you identify that you require one.**

Yes

Declaration: Please tick to confirm that you have completed this form to the best of your knowledge and that you will inform your department should the proposal significantly change.

Yes

Please include any further relevant information for this section here:

Appendix C

Code Examples

Appendix D

CRC Cards

The following CRC Cards are only an outline of the most important functions and scripts in the project. Other, less-important, existing functions/scripts may be referred to in the collaboration section.




Figure D.1: Initial design

Figure D.1 details the initial planned design for the implementation of De Luca & Termini's Non-Probabilistic entropy. The top-down structure represents the order in which the scripts or functions were called, with testCongeal being the function run by the user.

EXISTING	testCongeal	Shanner loadSeries binaryCongeal
	Loads in images Resizes image Runs binaryCongeal Outputs mean image (congealed) Outputs histograms of values both graphically	
MODIFIED	binaryCongeal	delLucaFuzzy fastEntLookup incrTrans
	Takes in series of images, iterations + metric Returns congealed matrix Returns arrays of changed input image values Returns array of entropy values Specifies which function to run when metric is chosen	
EXISTING	fastEntLookup	incrTrans
	- Read in image array - Compute Shannon entropy lookup table - Calculate entropy of image against lookup table - Output entropy	"Improves likelihood of the image" Finds incremental change to the transformation Takes in current mean, image count, image arrays + metric Specifies which function to run when metric is chosen
		delLucaFuzzy fastEntLookup Compute&Ranking
NEW	delLucaFuzzy	membership
	- Fuzzy entropy equation - Obtains membership - Outputs entropy	
NEW	Membership	
	- Read in image - Greyscale - Draws membership functions - Computes membership functions - Calculates highest membership (μ_i) - Outputs membership	

Figure D.2: Secondary design

Figure D.2 shows the second design iteration. Note the change in `incrTrans` and `binaryCongeal` CRC cards, and the addition of `fastEntLookup` when Learned-Miller's Shannon Entropy metric is reintroduced.

		EXISTING
testCongeal	<p>Loads in images Resizes images Runs binaryCongeal Outputs mean image (congealed) Outputs histograms of values both graphically</p>	shanner loadSeries binaryCongeal
binaryCongeal	<p>Takes in series of images, iterations + metric Returns congealed matrix Returns arrays of changed input image values Returns array of entropy values Specifies which function to run when metric is chosen</p>	nonProb FastEntLookup hybrid incrTrans
incrTrans	<p>"Improves likelihood of the image" Finds incremental change to the transformation Takes in current mean, image count, image array + metric Specifies which function to run when metric is chosen</p>	nonProb FastEntLookup hybrid computeXfrmImg
delucaFuzzy		NEW
hybrid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hybrid entropy equation - Obtains 2-trapezium membership - Outputs entropy 	hybridMembership
hybridMembership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reads in image array - Computes 2 membership functions - Computes membership degree for both membership functions - Outputs membership degrees 	
FastEntLookup	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Read in image array - Compute Shannon entropy lookup Table - Calculate entropy of image against lookup table - Output entropy 	EXISTING
Membership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Read in image - Grayscale - Divide membership functions - Computes membership functions - Calculates highest membership (μ) - Outputs membership 	NEW

Figure D.3: Third design

Figure D.3 represents the third iteration. The new hybrid and hybridMembership functions have been added, and binaryCongeal and incrTrans have once again been updated to reflect this.




Figure D.4: Fourth design

Figure D.4 shows the addition of the GUI element, called Enantiomorph and also the function designed to handle the concatenation of mammogram pgm files pgm2bigPgm.




Figure D.5: Final design

Figure D.5 is the final high-level design of the system. It includes the addition of the secondary GUI, removeMarker which handles the removal of any medical markings from the mammograms.

Glossary

Congealing is an algorithm concerned with joint image alignment, developed by Learned-Miller [38].

CRC Class, Responsibilities, and Collaboration.

DDSM Digital Database for Screening Mammography.

GUI Graphical User Interface.

MIAS Mammographic Image Analysis Society.

PGM Portable Gray Map.

SCM Software Configuration Management.

SVN Apache Subversion.

TDD Test Driven Development.

transposition to change the order of two or more objects.

XP eXtreme Programming.

Annotated Bibliography

- [1] [Online]. Available: <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/entropy>
Definition of Entropy with regards Information Theory (and thermodynamics)
- [2] “The agile movement.” [Online]. Available: <http://agilemethodology.org/>
Site dedicated to the Scrum.
- [3] “The anatomy and physiology of the breast.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.cancer.ca/en/cancer-information/cancer-type/breast/anatomy-and-physiology/?region=on>
Website outlining the make up of breast structure.
- [4] “Definition of enantiomorph.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/enantiomorph>
Definition of the name chosen for the application.
- [5] “Definition of indiscernibility.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/indiscernibility>
Helps define Indiscernibility uncertainty.
- [6] “Definition of indiscernible.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/indiscernible>
Helps define Indiscernibility uncertainty.
- [7] “Definition of possibility.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/possibility>
Helps define Possibilistic uncertainty
- [8] “Definition of probability.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/probability>
Helps define Probabilistic Entropy
- [9] “Manifesto for agile software development.” [Online]. Available: <http://agilemanifesto.org/>
Agile manifesto.

- [10] “Masking out image area using binary mask - matlab answers - matlab central.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/answers/38547-masking-out-image-area-using-binary-mask>
 Chosen method for removing Medical Markers - draw an outline, create a mask and overlay the mask over the drawn area.
- [11] “Taiga - love your projects.” [Online]. Available: <https://taiga.io/>
 Tool used to promote a scrum methodology
- [12] “Want to remove white patches of a image - matlab answers - matlab central.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/answers/141008-want-to-remove-white-patches-of-a-image>
 Thread on removing white objects/glare from an image - useful for removing medical markers from mammograms.
- [13] “Basic information about the typical mlo and cc views of mammography,” Apr 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://breast-cancer.ca/mammopics/>
 An informal website outlining some information about MLO and CC breast scans.
- [14] I. C. about Cancer Screening, “Nhs breast screening: Helping you decide,” Jun 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.breasttestwales.wales.nhs.uk/sitesplus/documents/1025/1554%20Yogi%20-%20Breast%20Screening%20English%20v4.pdf>
 Leaflet about Breast Screening created by Informed Choice about Cancer Screening with Cancer Research UK
- [15] S. Al-Sharhan, F. Karray, W. Gueaieb, and O. Basir, “Fuzzy entropy: a brief survey,” in *Fuzzy Systems, 2001. The 10th IEEE International Conference on*, vol. 3. IEEE, 2001, pp. 1135–1139. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/fuzz.2001.1008855>
 Paper outlining the different implementations of Fuzzy Entropy, of which 3 will be selected and focused on during this Project.
- [16] N. F. Boyd, J. W. Byng, R. A. Jong, E. K. Fishell, L. E. Little, A. B. Miller, G. A. Lockwood, D. L. Tritchler, and M. J. Yaffe, “Quantitative classification of mammographic densities and breast cancer risk: Results from the canadian national breast screening study,” *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 87, no. 9, p. 670675, May 1995, pMID: 7752271.
 This paper clearly outlines significant links between breast density and breast cancer risk.
- [17] J. L. Champaign and G. J. Cederbom, “Advances in breast cancer detection with screening mammography,” *The Ochsner Journal*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 33, Jan 2000, pMID: 21765659.
 Paper outlining the advancements in screening mammography in the years up to 2000.
- [18] M. Cohn, “User stories and user story examples by mike cohn.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.mountaingoatsoftware.com/agile/user-stories>

User Story example given in the Software section

- [19] L. Collins, “Hurdle #4 - removing labels from mammograms,” Mar 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://lauramcollins.co.uk/blog/removing-labels-from-mammograms/>

My personal blog post containing information about the methods in which I tried to remove Medical Markers from the data set of mammograms.

- [20] E. Commission, “Health statistics - atlas on mortality in the european union - product - eurostat,” 2009. [Online]. Available: <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/en/web/products-statistical-books/-/KS-AC-04-000>

2009 paper published by the European Commission on statistics into mortality rates and causes in the EU.

- [21] M. Cox, S. Sridharan, S. Lucey, and J. Cohn, *Least squares congealing for unsupervised alignment of images*, Jun 2008, p. 18.

A disregarded adaption of the Congealing algorithm - however was useful in highlighting performance issues in the original algorithm. Something which was near continuously faced when implementing heavier fuzzy entropy alignment metrics.

- [22] A. De Luca and S. Termini, “A definition of a nonprobabilistic entropy in the setting of fuzzy sets theory,” *Information and Control*, vol. 20, no. 4, p. 301312, May 1972.

De Luca & Termini’s 1972 paper focuses on their definition of Non-probabilistic entropy, along with several example derivations.

- [23] debonet. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/debonet/Congealing>

C++ implementation of the Congealing algorithm available on Github

- [24] M. H. DeGroot, *Optimal Statistical Decisions*. Reprinted: McGraw-Hill, 2004.

DeGroot gave a simple explanation about uncertainty in terms of probability.

- [25] M. Elter, R. Schulz-Wendtland, and T. Wittenberg, “The prediction of breast cancer biopsy outcomes using two cad approaches that both emphasize an intelligible decision process.” vol. Medical Physics 34(11), p. 41644172, 2007.

Mammogram dataset focusing purely upon scans containing masses - therefore not suitable for this project.

- [26] K. GEORGE J. and Y. BO, “Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic, theory and applications,” -, Mar 2008. [Online]. Available: <http://digilib.uin-suka.ac.id/7049/>

- [27] Git. [Online]. Available: <https://git-scm.com/about/small-and-fast>

Statistics on git runtimes

- [28] Github. [Online]. Available: github.com

Github is an online tool for Git repositories.

- [29] ——. [Online]. Available: <https://desktop.github.com/>

Github desktop tool utilised during this project

- [30] github.com, “Git’s GPL license at github,” Jan. 2014.

Git was utilised for version control in this project

- [31] I. T. Gram, E. Funkhouser, and L. Tabár, “The Tabár classification of mammographic parenchymal patterns. - pubmed - ncbi.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9097055>

Tabár method for categorising scans using an anatomic-mammographic comparison technique.

- [32] H. Gray, *Anatomy, Descriptive and Surgical*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1907, 1-58734-102-6.

Extremely influential book first written in 1858 by Henry Gray. It has been revised and republished, up until September 2015. Whilst this cited version is not 100% medically accurate by today’s standards, the diagrams still hold true.

- [33] M. Heath, K. Bowyer, D. Kopans, R. Moore, P. Kegelmeyer, and C. Processing, *THE DIGITAL DATABASE FOR SCREENING MAMMOGRAPHY*.

An example of an open mammogram database, not utilised during this project.

- [34] M. Heath, K. Bowyer, D. Kopans, W. P. Kegelmeyer, R. Moore, K. Chang, and S. MunishKumaran, “Current status of the digital database for screening mammography,” *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Digital Mammography*, no. 457–460, 1998. [Online]. Available: http://marathon.csee.usf.edu/Mammography/software/HeathEtAlIWDM_1998.pdf

Second citation needed for the DDSM database

- [35] G. B. Huang, V. Jain, and E. Learned-Miller, *Unsupervised Joint Alignment of Complex Images*. IEEE, Oct 2007, p. 18. [Online]. Available: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=4408858>

Further work done upon Congealing by a team including Learned-Miller. This was disregarded for the project due to the complexity added for inputting complex images - something mammograms are not.

- [36] C. Kurniawan, “Detect square in image.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/answers/24943-detect-square-in-image>

Mathworks forum thread on how to detect squares in an image using a morphological operation. This was investigated for possible use in medical marker removal.

- [37] E. Learned-Miller, “The congealing page.” [Online]. Available: <https://people.cs.umass.edu/~elm/congealing/>

This webpage was the source of the demo Congealing code modified and built upon in this project. It also contains several useful papers where Congealing has been used in research - such as Learned-Miller’s own paper on Congealing MNIST handwriting data and MRI scans.

- [38] E. G. Learned-Miller, “Data driven image models through continuous joint alignment,” *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 236–250, Feb. 2006. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tpami.2006.34>

Learned-Miller’s original Congealing method is the basis for this Project - however I am looking to further extend the alignment capabilities using fuzzy entropy metrics, rather than standard Shannon entropy as currently implemented. This paper was extremely useful for understanding of the basic concepts behind it, and will be a good reference guide throughout the project.

- [39] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, “Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, Nov. 1998.

MNIST handwriting dataset was utilised by Learned-Miller in the original Congealing algorithm. This however was not useful for our fuzzy entropy implementations due to the binary nature of the images (either white or black pixels).

- [40] B. D. Lucas and T. Kanade, *An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., Aug 1981, p. 674679. [Online]. Available: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1623264.1623280>

Lucas and Kanade approach to aligning images using gradient-descent - this is what Cox et al based their Least Squares Congealing algorithm off of.

- [41] N. Mac Parthalain and H. Strange, *Fuzzy-entropy based image congealing*, Jul 2013, p. 18.

Paper outlining a Fuzzy Entropy congealing implementation - something more computationally costly than this project desires.

- [42] MathWorks, “fwrite - write data to binary file.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/fwrite.html>

fwrite function which write binary data to file. As it writes the data column-wise, this was causing a transposition issue initially.

- [43] ——, “Using matlab with other programming languages.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/solutions/matlab-and-other-programming-languages/?refresh=true>

It was considered using MATLAB in conjunction with another programming language.

- [44] ——, “Fuzzy logic toolbox users guide,” Mar 2016. [Online]. Available: http://cn.mathworks.com/help/pdf_doc/fuzzy/fuzzy.pdf

Fuzzy Logic toolbox utilised in this project to calculate fuzzy sets.

- [45] ——, “Image processing toolbox users guide,” Mar 2016. [Online]. Available: http://it.mathworks.com/help/pdf_doc/images/images_tb.pdf

Image processing toolbox used to handle images

- [46] MATLAB, “Guide or programmatic workflow.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/help/matlab/guide-or-matlab-functions.html>

GUIDE was used to create the GUIs in the project

- [47] ——, “imfreehand - create draggable freehand region.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/help/images/ref/imfreehand.html>

Tool the user utilises to draw freehand the area they wish to remove from the scan.

- [48] ——, “permute - rearrange dimensions of n-d array.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/permute.html>

For the transposition of ND arrays (arrays greater than 2-dimensions.)

- [49] ——, “regionprops - measure properties of image regions.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/help/images/ref/regionprops.html>

A possible solution for removing medical markers from mammograms.

- [50] ——, “Vectorization.” [Online]. Available: http://uk.mathworks.com/help/matlab/matlab_prog/vectorization.html?refresh=true

Vectorisation was extremely useful in this project for reducing the run time of the Non-probabilistic entropy and membership functions. This was a new concept to myself.

- [51] ——, *version 9.0.0.341360 (R2016a)*. Natick, Massachusetts: The MathWorks Inc., 2016.

MATLAB citation

- [52] A. OHagan, “Probabilistic uncertainty specification: Overview, elaboration techniques and their application to a mechanistic model of carbon flux,” 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.319.9570>

A paper outlining a way in which to apply probabilistic uncertainty to an engineering problem. The opening sections of the paper give a detailed explanation of probabilistic uncertainty, enough to glean enough understanding to write a detailed analysis and apply it to my own case.

- [53] N. R. Pal and S. K. Pal, “Object-background segmentation using new definitions of entropy,” *IEE Proceedings E - Computers and Digital Techniques*, vol. 136, no. 4, p. 284295, Jul 1989.

Early fuzzy entropy working - similar to that of De Luca & Termini.

- [54] ——, “Higher order fuzzy entropy and hybrid entropy of a set,” *Information Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 3, p. 211231, Jun 1992.

Pal and Pal’s paper outlining both Hybrid Entropy and Higher-order entropy. Hybrid entropy was implemented following their 2 fuzzy-set approach, to ensure that inversion is preserved (sets A and B: $A = x$ and $B = 1 - A$).

- [55] Y. Peng, A. Ganesh, J. Wright, W. Xu, and Y. Ma, “Rasl: Robust alignment by sparse and low-rank decomposition for linearly correlated images,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 11, p. 22332246, Nov 2012.

Alternative Joint Image alignment technique.

- [56] ——, “Rasl: Robust alignment by sparse and low-rank decomposition for linearly correlated images,” *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 2233–2246, 2012.
- Alternative Joint Image alignment technique.
- [57] D. Peterson, “What is kanban?” [Online]. Available: <http://kanbanblog.com/explained/>
- Blog outlining Kanban - something not utilised specifically in this project.
- [58] J. Poskanzer, “Pgm format specification.” [Online]. Available: <http://netpbm.sourceforge.net/doc/pgm.html>
- Webpage outlining the header file of pgm images.
- [59] Radswiki, “Mammography views — radiology reference article — radiopaedia.org.” [Online]. Available: <http://radiopaedia.org/articles/mammography-views>
- Detailed online encyclopedia outlining the different types of mammogram scan which can be performed and their benefits/weaknesses.
- [60] A. Samieh, “Shape recognition - file exchange - matlab central,” 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/15491-shape-recognition>
- Demonstration on shape recognition which was investigated for the removal of medical markers from the mammograms.
- [61] W. Sander, “On measures of fuzziness,” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems - FSS*, vol. 29, no. 1, p. 4955, 1989.
- Presents a characterisation of a fuzzy entropy - decided not to be included in the project due to the lack of probabilistic modeling.
- [62] L. J. Savage, *The Foundations of Statistics*, 1954. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/The_Foundations_of_Statistics.html?id=zSv6dBWneMEC
- [63] C. E. Shannon, “A mathematical theory of communication,” *Bell System Technical Journal* 27, 1948.
- Paper outlining Shannon’s work which resulted in Shannon Entropy.
- [64] A. SHIRALIGE, “Agile estimation:8 steps to successful story point estimation.” [Online]. Available: <http://www.agilebuddha.com/agile/agile-estimation-8-steps-to-successful-story-point-estimation/>
- Blog discussing the benefits of consistent estimation and how being accurate in estimation is not necessarily as important.
- [65] E. Sickles, D. CJ, B. LW, *et al.*, *ACR BI-RADS® Mammography*. In: *ACR BI-RADS® Atlas*, Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System, 2013.
- BI-RADS breast density classification commonly used today.
- [66] M. . Simulink, “Testing frameworks.” [Online]. Available: <http://uk.mathworks.com/help/matlab/matlab-unit-test-framework.html>

- MATLAB's unit testing documentation.
- [67] J. Suckling, "The mammographic image analysis society digital mammogram database," *Excerpta Medica International Congress Series*, vol. 1069, 1994.
- The Mini-MIAS dataset which was used during this project. These images have been reduced in size to allow a more sensible computation time when compared to the original MIAS scans.
- [68] C. R. UK, "Breast cancer tests," Apr 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/type/breast-cancer/diagnosis/breast-cancer-tests>
- Webpage outlining the different types of breast scan which are available, including Mammogram via MRI, Ultrasound and needle biopsy.
- [69] E. Untiedt, *A Parametrized Model for Optimization with Mixed Fuzzy and Possibilistic Uncertainty*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010. [Online]. Available: http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-13935-2_9
- Good description of possibilistic uncertainty versus fuzzy uncertainty.
- [70] D. Wells, "Extreme programming: A gentle introduction." [Online]. Available: <http://www.extremeprogramming.org/>
- Practices such as CRC cards were leveraged from XP during this projects
- [71] J. N. Wolfe, "Risk for breast cancer development determined by mammographic parenchymal pattern," *Cancer*, vol. 37, no. 5, p. 24862492, May 1976.
- Paper outlining Wolfe's classification of breast tissue density.
- [72] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 338353, Jun 1965.
- Zadeh's work into Fuzzy Set Theory paved the way for Fuzzy Entropy as implemented in this project.
- [73] T. Zhou, Y. J. Lee, S. X. Yu, and A. A. Efros, *FlowWeb: Joint image set alignment by weaving consistent, pixel-wise correspondences*. IEEE, Jun 2015, p. 11911200. [Online]. Available: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=7298723>
- Alternative Joint Image alignment technique.