Time comparison between kNN and KMeans

March 2020

1 Test:

We ran both algorithms on 3 dataset with different size. Each algorithm was teste 100 times on each dataset From this table we can see that k-Nearest

algorithm	Test Size	min	max	mean	median	σ
kNN	4kb	0.003957987	0.00601244	0.004603803	0.004979372	0.000522269
Kmeans	4kb	0.038895845	0.049860239	0.042895069	0.042883635	0.001642968
kNN	9kb	0.002991915	0.005017757	0.004039736	0.003989458	0.000191584
Kmeans	9kb	0.03490591	0.041889668	0.03805029	0.037898779	0.000964454
kNN	$3400 \mathrm{kb}$	2.0056355	2.067470074	2.027447652	2.026743174	0.006338317
Kmeans	$3400 \mathrm{kb}$	1.539861441	1.864499092	1.688719407	1.678056479	0.061035488

Figure 1: Results

Neighbours runs a lot faster than Kmeans, this my be caused by the fact that Kmeans runs 10 times with a different starting seed, this way improving its chance for a better result. At bigger datasets, the Kmeans algorithm runs faster than kNN this may be caused by the fact that kNN makes a lot more distance comparation compared to a run of Kmeans, and after 10 runs of Kmeans they have a smaller difference.