

Introduction to Logic (CS)

Answers Exam of 8 November 2019

1. (a) $D \wedge (\neg R \rightarrow \neg I)$
 D : It is dark outside.
 I : I will remain inside.
 R : It is raining.
- (b) $S \rightarrow (G \wedge \neg N)$
 S : We call it a success.
 G : There are many guests.
 N : The neighbours complain.
2. (a) $(a = b(c) \vee d = b(c)) \wedge c = b(a) \wedge c = b(d)$
(b) $\forall x(b(x) = a \rightarrow (x = b(a) \vee x = b(d) \vee x = b(c)))$
(c) $\exists x \forall y(x = y \leftrightarrow b(y) = c)$
or $\exists x(b(x) = c \wedge \forall y(b(y) = c \rightarrow x = y))$
or $\exists x b(x) = c \wedge \forall x \forall y((b(x) = c \wedge b(y) = c) \rightarrow x = y)$

3. (a)

P	Q	$(P \wedge \neg Q) \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$							
T	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
1	2	3	8	7	4	10	5	9	6

The numbers in the last row indicate the order in which the columns are computed. The final column (numbered 10) contains only the value F, so the sentence is a *contradiction*.

- (b)

P	Q	R	$((P \vee Q) \leftrightarrow \neg R) \leftrightarrow \neg (R \wedge (P \rightarrow Q))$												
T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	F	F	F	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
1	2	3	4	10	5	12	11	6	16	15	7	14	8	13	9

The numbers in the last row indicate the order in which the columns are computed. The final column (numbered 16) contains the value F in the 3rd, 7th and 8th row, so the sentences are *not tautologically equivalent*.

4. (a)		1. $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (R \rightarrow \neg P)$	
		2. $P \wedge R$	
		3. P	\wedge Elim: 2
		4. $P \rightarrow Q$	
		5. Q	\rightarrow Elim: 4, 3
		6. $R \rightarrow \neg P$	
		7. R	\wedge Elim: 2
		8. $\neg P$	\rightarrow Elim: 6, 7
		9. \perp	\perp Intro: 3, 8
		10. Q	\perp Elim: 10
		11. Q	\vee Elim: 1, 4–5, 6–10
		12. $(P \wedge R) \rightarrow Q$	\rightarrow Intro: 2–11

(b)		1. $\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(y))$	
		2. $\exists x Q(x)$	
		3. \boxed{a}	
		4. $\boxed{b} Q(b)$	
		5. $\forall y (\neg P(a) \rightarrow \neg Q(y))$	\forall Elim: 1
		6. $\neg P(a) \rightarrow \neg Q(b)$	\forall Elim: 5
		7. $\neg P(a)$	
		8. $\neg Q(b)$	\rightarrow Elim: 6, 7
		9. \perp	\perp Intro: 4, 8
		10. $\neg \neg P(a)$	\neg Intro: 7–9
		11. $P(a)$	\neg Elim: 10
		12. $P(a)$	\exists Elim: 2, 4–11
		13. $\forall x P(x)$	\forall Intro: 3–12

(c)	1. $\forall x \exists y (\neg P(x) \leftrightarrow P(y))$	
	2. $\exists y (\neg P(a) \leftrightarrow P(y))$	\forall Elim: 1
	3. $\boxed{b} \neg P(a) \leftrightarrow P(b)$	
	4. $a = b$	
	5. $\neg P(b) \leftrightarrow P(b)$	$=$ Elim: 3, 4
	6. $P(b)$	
	7. $\neg P(b)$	\leftrightarrow Elim: 5, 6
	8. \perp	\perp Intro: 6, 7
	9. $\neg P(b)$	\neg Intro: 6–8
	10. $P(b)$	\leftrightarrow Elim: 5, 9
	11. \perp	\perp Intro: 10, 9
	12. $\neg(a = b)$	\neg Intro: 4–11
	13. $\exists y \neg(a = y)$	\exists Intro: 12
	14. $\exists x \exists y \neg(x = y)$	\exists Intro: 13
	15. $\exists x \exists y \neg(x = y)$	\exists Elim: 2, 3–14

5. (a) $\mathfrak{M} \models (Q(a) \rightarrow \forall x R(b, x))[h]$
 \Leftrightarrow { definition of satisfaction for implication }
 $\mathfrak{M} \not\models Q(a)[h]$ or $\mathfrak{M} \models \forall x R(b, x)[h]$
 \Leftrightarrow { definition of satisfaction for universal quantification }
 $\mathfrak{M} \not\models Q(a)[h]$ or for all $d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall)$ $\mathfrak{M} \models R(b, x)[h[x/d]]$
 \Leftrightarrow { definition of satisfaction for atomic formulae }
 $\llbracket a \rrbracket_h^{\mathfrak{M}} \notin \mathfrak{M}(Q)$ or for all $d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall)$ $\langle \llbracket b \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}}, \llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}(R)$
 \Leftrightarrow { $\llbracket a \rrbracket_h^{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{M}(a) = 3$, $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{M}(b) = 1$, $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}} = d$,
definition of $\mathfrak{M}(Q)$, $\mathfrak{M}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\forall)$ }
 $3 \notin \{1, 3\}$ or for all $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ $\langle 1, d \rangle \in \{\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle\}$
 \Leftrightarrow { elementary set theory }
false or $\{\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle\} \subseteq \{\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle\}$
 \Leftrightarrow { elementary set theory }
false or false \Leftrightarrow false

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) \quad & \mathfrak{M} \models (R(b, a) \vee \exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)))[h] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for disjunction} \} \\
& \mathfrak{M} \models R(b, a)[h] \text{ or } \mathfrak{M} \models \exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))[h] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for existential quantification} \} \\
& \mathfrak{M} \models R(b, a)[h] \text{ or for some } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \mathfrak{M} \models (P(x) \wedge Q(x))[h[x/d]] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for conjunction} \} \\
& \mathfrak{M} \models R(b, a)[h] \text{ or for some } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) (\mathfrak{M} \models P(x)[h[x/d]] \text{ and } \mathfrak{M} \models Q(x)[h[x/d]]) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for atomic formulae} \} \\
& \langle \llbracket b \rrbracket_h^{\mathfrak{M}}, \llbracket a \rrbracket_h^{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}(R) \text{ or for some } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) (\llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathfrak{M}(P) \text{ and } \llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathfrak{M}(Q)) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \llbracket b \rrbracket_h^{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{M}(b) = 1, \llbracket a \rrbracket_h^{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{M}(a) = 3, \llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d]}^{\mathfrak{M}} = d, \\
& \quad \text{definition of } \mathfrak{M}(P), \mathfrak{M}(Q) \text{ and } \mathfrak{M}(R) \} \\
& \langle 1, 3 \rangle \in \{ \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle \} \text{ or for some } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) (d \in \{2\} \text{ and } d \in \{1, 3\}) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{elementary set theory, } \{2\} \cap \{1, 3\} = \emptyset \} \\
& \text{false or for some } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) d \in \emptyset \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{elementary set theory} \} \\
& \text{false or false} \Leftrightarrow \text{false} \\
\\
(c) \quad & \mathfrak{M} \models \forall x \exists y (R(x, y) \wedge \neg(x = y))[h] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for universal quantification} \} \\
& \text{for all } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall): \mathfrak{M} \models \exists y (R(x, y) \wedge \neg(x = y))[h[x/d]] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for existential quantification} \} \\
& \text{for all } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \text{ there is an } e \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \text{ with } \mathfrak{M} \models (R(x, y) \wedge \neg(x = y))[h[x/d, y/e]] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for conjunction and for negation} \} \\
& \text{for all } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \text{ there is an } e \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \text{ with} \\
& \quad (\mathfrak{M} \models R(x, y)[h[x/d, y/e]] \text{ and } \mathfrak{M} \not\models x = y[h[x/d, y/e]]) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{definition of satisfaction for atomic formulae} \} \\
& \text{for all } d \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \text{ there is an } e \in \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \text{ with} \\
& \quad (\langle \llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d, y/e]}^{\mathfrak{M}}, \llbracket y \rrbracket_{h[x/d, y/e]}^{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}(R) \text{ and } \llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d, y/e]}^{\mathfrak{M}} \neq \llbracket y \rrbracket_{h[x/d, y/e]}^{\mathfrak{M}}) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \llbracket x \rrbracket_{h[x/d, y/e]}^{\mathfrak{M}} = h[x/d, y/e](x) = d, \llbracket y \rrbracket_{h[x/d, y/e]}^{\mathfrak{M}} = h[x/d, y/e](y) = e, \\
& \quad \text{definition of } \mathfrak{M}(R) \text{ and } \mathfrak{M}(\forall) \} \\
& \text{for all } d \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ there is an } e \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ with} \\
& \quad (\langle d, e \rangle \in \{ \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 1 \rangle \} \text{ and } d \neq e) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \{ \text{for } d = 1 \text{ take } e = 2; \text{ for } d = 2, \text{ take } e = 3; \text{ for } d = 3, \text{ take } e = 1 \} \\
& \mathbf{true}
\end{aligned}$$

6. (a) $\neg((P \wedge \neg Q) \rightarrow (R \wedge S))$
 \Leftrightarrow { eliminate \rightarrow }
 $\neg(\neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (R \wedge S))$
 \Leftrightarrow { apply De Morgan }
 $\neg\neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \wedge \neg(R \wedge S)$
 \Leftrightarrow { eliminate $\neg\neg$, apply De Morgan }
 $P \wedge \neg Q \wedge (\neg R \vee \neg S)$
 \Leftrightarrow { apply distribution }
 $(P \wedge \neg Q \wedge \neg R) \vee (P \wedge \neg Q \wedge \neg S)$
- (b) $\forall x \exists y R(x, y) \rightarrow \forall z \neg \forall x Q(x, z)$
 \Leftrightarrow { rename x in the right hand part }
 $\forall x \exists y R(x, y) \rightarrow \forall z \neg \forall w Q(w, z)$
 \Leftrightarrow { eliminate \rightarrow }
 $\neg \forall x \exists y R(x, y) \vee \forall z \neg \forall w Q(w, z)$
 \Leftrightarrow { move \neg inside, using De Morgan }
 $\exists x \forall y \neg R(x, y) \vee \forall z \exists w \neg Q(w, z)$
 \Leftrightarrow { move $\exists x \forall y$ outside }
 $\exists x \forall y (\neg R(x, y) \vee \forall z \exists w \neg Q(w, z))$
 \Leftrightarrow { move $\forall z \exists w$ outside }
 $\exists x \forall y \forall z \exists w (\neg R(x, y) \vee \neg Q(w, z))$

The Skolem normal form for this prenex formula is

$$\forall y \forall z (\neg R(c, y) \vee \neg Q(f(y, z), z))$$

- (c) Horn algorithm for the Horn sentence

$$((A \wedge D) \rightarrow H) \wedge (G \rightarrow A) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge ((A \wedge B \wedge H) \rightarrow \perp) \wedge C \wedge ((C \wedge D) \rightarrow G) \wedge ((A \wedge G) \rightarrow B)$$

A	B	C	D	G	H	\perp
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
4	5	1	2	3	6	7

In step 1, we assign T to C , because C is a conjunct of the Horn sentence.

In step 2, we observe that the premiss of conjunct $C \rightarrow D$ is true, so we assign T to D .

In step 3, we observe that the premiss of conjunct $(C \wedge D) \rightarrow G$ is true, so we assign T to G .

In step 4, we observe that the premiss of conjunct $G \rightarrow A$ is true, so we assign T to A .

In step 5, we observe that the premiss of conjunct $(A \wedge G) \rightarrow B$ is true, so we assign T to B .

In step 6, we observe that the premiss of conjunct $(A \wedge D) \rightarrow H$ is true, so we assign T to H .

In step 7, we observe that the premiss of conjunct $(A \wedge B \wedge H) \rightarrow \perp$ is true, so we must assign T to \perp . We conclude that the Horn formula is *not satisfiable*.

7.		1. $\forall xP(x) \rightarrow \exists xQ(x)$	
		2. $\neg\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	
		3. \boxed{a}	
		4. $\neg P(a)$	
		5. $P(a)$	
		6. \perp	\perp Intro: 5, 4
		7. $Q(a)$	\perp Elim: 6
		8. $P(a) \rightarrow Q(a)$	\rightarrow Intro: 5–7
		9. $\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	\exists Intro: 8
		10. \perp	\perp Intro: 9, 2
		11. $\neg\neg P(a)$	\neg Intro: 4–10
		12. $P(a)$	\neg Elim: 11
		13. $\forall xP(x)$	\forall Intro: 3–12
		14. $\exists xQ(x)$	\rightarrow Elim: 1, 13
		15. $Q(b)$	
		16. $P(b)$	
		17. $Q(b)$	Reit: 15
		18. $P(b) \rightarrow Q(b)$	\rightarrow Intro: 16–17
		19. $\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	\exists Intro: 18
		20. $\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	\exists Elim: 14, 15–19
		21. \perp	\perp Intro: 20, 2
		22. $\neg\neg\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	\neg Intro: 2–21
		23. $\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	\neg Elim: 22