

Definition (Entity regulation). *An entity regulation identifies the entities (i.e., users or roles) whose behavior is being regulated by a given policy. Its input parameters are one policy and the set of relationships defined between entities and contexts that are represented in the domain ontology.*

$$\text{Entity regulation} = \text{Policy} \times \text{Rel} \rightarrow \text{SR}$$

Definition (Object regulation). *An object regulation identifies the objects used by the behavior being regulated by a given policy. Its input parameters are one policy and the relationships defined views and objects that are represented in the domain ontology.*

$$\text{Object regulation} = \text{Policy} \times \text{Rel} \rightarrow O$$

I. CORRECTNESS OF POLICY PROPAGATION

The aim of this section is to demonstrate that, given a set of policies, the policy propagation restrictions specified in the paper preserves conflicts in the given set of policies, i.e., *they do not include or remove any conflict*. Essentially, the propagation restrictions only require a given set of policies to make explicit regulations that were otherwise implicit as they are defined at the level of composite elements such as contexts or entities. In other words, *no new regulation is created or removed by propagation*.

Lemma 1 (Object composition correctness). *Object composition propagation preserves regulation.*

Proof. Let P be a set of policies with $p \in P$, $p = \langle kp, org, sr, aa, v, ac, dc \rangle$, that is, p is a policy that states a vision. Therefore, by applying *objects regulation function* (see Definition above), p applies to all objects linked by the object composition relationship to v . The propagation of p requires policies $p_o = \langle kp, org, sr, aa, o, ac, dc \rangle$, where *objectComposition* (v, o, org), also to be in P . The propagation of p does not imply any new regulation in P that was not already specified by p . The objects implicitly identified in p are exactly the same objects explicitly identified by the set of p_o . In addition, since p_o is propagated based on p , its other parameters have not been changed.

Lemma 2 (Hierarchy propagation correctness). *Hierarchy propagation preserves regulation.*

Proof. Let P be a set of policies with $p \in P$, $p = \langle kp, org, _, aa, ov, acc, dac \rangle$, that is, p is a policy defined solely in the context of an organization org . Therefore, by applying *entity regulation function* (see Definition above), p governs the behavior of all suborganizations of org . The propagation of p requires policies $p_s = \langle kp, org, suborg, aa, ov, acc, dac \rangle$, where *hierarchy*($org, suborg$), also to be in P . The propagation of p does not imply any new regulation in P that was not already specified by p . The suborganizations whose behaviors were regulated by p are exactly the same suborganizations whose behaviors are being regulated by the set of p_s . In addition, since p_s is propagated based on p , its other parameters have not been changed.

Lemma 3 (Play propagation correctness). *Play propagation preserves regulation.*

Proof. Let P be a set of policies with $p \in P$, $p = \langle kp, org, r, aa, ov, ac, dc \rangle$, that is, p is a policy defined in the context of an organization

o and applied to role r . Therefore, by applying *entity regulation function* (see Definition above), p governs the behavior of all users playing role r in o . The propagation of p requires policies $p_u = \langle kp, org, s, aa, ov, ac, dc \rangle$, where $play(org, s, r)$, also to be in P . The propagation of p does not imply any new regulation in P that was not already specified by p . The users whose behaviors were regulated by p are exactly the same users whose behaviors are being regulated by the set of p_e . In addition, since p_e is propagated based on p , its other parameters have not been changed.

Lemma 4 (Ownership propagation correctness). *Ownership propagation preserves regulation.*

Proof. Let P be a set of policies with $p \in P$, $p = \langle kp, org, _, aa, ov, ac, dc \rangle$, that is, p is a policy defined in the context of an organization org . Therefore, by applying *entity regulation function* (see Definition above), p governs the behavior of all role r played in org . The propagation of p requires policies $p_r = \langle kp, org, r, aa, ov, ac, dc \rangle$, where $ownership(org, r)$, also to be in P . The propagation of p does not imply any new regulation in P that was not already specified by p . The roles governed by p are exactly the same roles governed by the set of p_r . In addition, since p_r is propagated based on p , its other parameters have not been changed.

Theorem 1 (Policy propagation correctness). *Policy propagation does not add or remove regulation of a given set of policies.*

Proof. By lemmata 1 to 4.