

---

# **RADS version 4.1.3**

## **Data Manual**

Remko Scharroo

1 December 2015

This document was typeset with L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub>.  
The layout was designed by Remko Scharroo © 1993–2015

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Time and location</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Time . . . . .	3
2.2	Latitude and longitude . . . . .	4
2.3	Orbital altitude . . . . .	4
2.4	Orbital altitude rate . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Sea level variables</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Sea level anomaly . . . . .	7
3.2	Altimeter range . . . . .	8
3.3	Altimeter range statistics . . . . .	9
3.4	Dry tropospheric correction and air tide . . . . .	10
3.5	Wet tropospheric correction . . . . .	11
3.6	Ionospheric correction . . . . .	13
3.7	Atmospheric (inverse barometer) correction . . . . .	14
3.8	Solid earth and pole tide . . . . .	15
3.9	Ocean and load tide . . . . .	16
3.10	Sea state bias . . . . .	18
3.11	Mean sea surface and geoid . . . . .	19
<b>4</b>	<b>Wind speed and wave height variables</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	Significant wave height . . . . .	21
4.2	Altimeter backscatter coefficient . . . . .	22
4.3	Wind speed . . . . .	23
4.4	Other wave model data . . . . .	24
<b>5</b>	<b>Radiometer variables</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1	Radiometer brightness temperatures . . . . .	26
5.2	Water vapour content . . . . .	26
5.3	Liquid water content . . . . .	26
<b>6</b>	<b>Variables for data editing</b>	<b>27</b>
6.1	Engineering and geophysical flags . . . . .	27
6.2	Bathymetry and topography . . . . .	29
6.3	Distance from coast and coastal proximity parameter . . . . .	30
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>31</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>37</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

This manual is intended to explain the details about the many variables available in the RADS data base. Many of the variables come in different “flavours”, like one could choose to use the wet tropospheric correction based on radiometer measurements (`wet_tropo_rad`) or one of the atmospheric models (e.g., `wet_tropo_ecmwf`). RADS also provides the option to use more generic variable names (like `wet_tropo`) that will pick the best available correction depending on the satellite mission (or period).

The variables are organised various chapters. Consult the table of contents to find the variables you are looking for, or go to the index at the end of the manual, where all variables are listed.

For each variable, a list of different flavours is presented. For an example, turn to Section 3.5. This Section describes the various wet tropospheric corrections. The table in that Section lists in the first column the various variable names, followed by the field number as was used in RADS 3 (and can still be used in RADS 4), a short description, and the units of this variable. The next column lists for which altimeter missions this variable is available (see Table 1.1), where “all”

Altimeter	Abbr.	Nr	References
GEOS 3	g3	1	(not included in RADS)
Seasat	ss	2	(not included in RADS)
Geosat	gs	3	
ERS-1	e1	4	[Francis, 1990; Francis et al., 1991]
TOPEX	tx	5	[Fu et al., 1994]
Poseidon	pn	6	
ERS-2	e2	7	[Francis et al., 1995]
GFO	g1	8	
Jason-1	j1	9	[Ménard et al., 2003]
Envisat	n1	10	
Jason-2	j2	11	[Lambin et al., 2010]
CryoSat-2	c2	12	[Wingham et al., 2006]
SARAL	sa	13	
HY-2A	hy	14	(not included in RADS)
Jason-3	j3	15	(to be launched summer 2015)
Sentinel-3A	3a	16	(to be launched autumn 2015)
Sentinel-3B	3b	17	(to be launched end 2016)

Table 1.1 Abbreviation and numbers used for the various altimeter missions.

stands of all missions, and "other" stands for all missions not mentioned above it. The second to last column is the default range used for editing (NaN is returned when the value exceeds this range). When this column is empty, no range limits are set. Finally, the rightmost column relates to a list of notes provided in that Section.

Near the bottom of each variable table a number of "aliases" are provided. These are short-cuts to one (or more) of the flavours of variables. For example, the tables in Section 3.5 shows that for most altimeter missions the alias `wet_tropo` means that radiometer wet tropospheric correction is used (`wet_tropo`), but, when not available, for example during extended outage, the ECMWF model wet tropospheric correction (`wet_tropo_ecmwf`) is used instead. These "aliases" make it easier to get the preferred flavour of the variable which may differ from mission to mission (for example, some do not have a radiometer). This largely simplifies the construction of sea level anomalies, as described in Section 3.1.

## Chapter 2

# Time and location

### 2.1 Time

Time in the RADS data sets is stored as 8-byte floats in UTC seconds since a given epoch (normally 1 January 1985 or 1 January 2000). RADS will automatically convert these values into a few common time scales, depending on which time variable is selected. However, irrespective of the time scale, the clock references to UTC, rather than an atomic clock, meaning that leap seconds may result in a duplication of measurement times. No provision has been made to avoid confusion between measurements made before and after a leap second.

The time corresponds to the moment of reflection of the radar pulse on the sea surface and is corrected for time tag biases.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
time_1985	101	time since 1985-01-01 00:00:00	s	all		
time_2000	106	time since 2000-01-01 00:00:00	s	all		
time_rel_eq	102	time relative to equator crossing	s	all		1
time_local_solar	103	local solar time	s	all		2
time_mjd	105	time since 1858-11-17 00:00:00	days	all		3
time_ymdhms	104	time formatted as ymdhms		all		4
time	1	<i>alias of time_1985</i>		all		5

#### Notes:

1. Time is negative prior to equator crossing, positive thereafter.
2. The local solar time is expressed as seconds since the start of the day.
3. Time in Modified Julian Days.
4. The variable `time_ymdhms` will produce a floating value of the type 20110908135001.536 for 8 Sep 2011 13:50:01.536 UTC.
5. No time limit is set by default. Can be controlled by the `--t` or `--ymd` flags on the command line.
6. A time tag bias (surplus) of 1.8 ms was removed from the time tags of ERS-1 as they occurred on the ESA OPR (Ocean Product) data product. Likewise, all time tags of ERS-2 were decreased by 1.3 ms. The orbital altitude and location has been adjusted accordingly.

## 2.2 Latitude and longitude

The position of the centre of the footprint of the measurement is given by its geographical longitude and latitude relative the TOPEX reference ellipsoid. Longitude is in degrees relative to the Greenwich meridian, positive measuring east. Latitude is in degrees relative to the equator, positive measuring north.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
lat	2, 201	latitude	degrees north	all	-90 90	
lon	3, 301	longitude	degrees east	all	-180 180	1

**Note:**

1. RADS will automatically adjust the values to be within the range specified. So, by default, longitudes are kept within the -180 to +180 range.

## 2.3 Orbital altitude

The orbital altitude is the height of the centre-of-mass of the satellite above the TOPEX reference ellipsoid (semi-major axis = 6378136.3 m, inverse flattening = 298.257) as computed by satellite orbit determination. Numerous solutions exist, based on varying combinations of tracking data or gravity field solutions, or computed at shorter or longer latency. Some "legacy solutions" (those that were provided on the original data products) are included for reference even when they have been long replaced by more accurate solutions.

The altitude is that of the centre-of-mass of the spacecraft, so corrections from the tracking devices (DORIS, GPS, PRARE, SLR) to the centre-of-mass, as well as motion of the centre-of-mass within the spacecraft are accounted for, and should also be accounted for when later subtracting the altimeter range referenced to the same point.

If the time tags on the original GDR data include a bias, the orbit has either been (re)interpolated at the *corrected* time tag, or a correction proportional to the orbital altitude rate has been applied.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
alt_jgm3	401	JGM-3 altitude	m	gs pn tx		1
alt_dgme04	402	DGM-E04 altitude	m	e1 e2		2
alt_cnes	404	CNES altitude	m	c2 n1 pn tx		3
alt_pgs7777	410	PGS7777 altitude	m	g1		4
alt_ggm02c_itr2000	411	GGM02c(ITRF2000) altitude	m	gs pn tx		5
alt_ggm02c_itr2005	413	GGM02c(ITRF2005) altitude	m	pn tx		5
alt_eiggl04s	414	EIGEN-GL04c altitude	m	j1		6
alt_gdrpc	415	GDR-C' altitude	m	g1 gs j1 j2 n1 pn tx		7
alt_gps	416	GPS altitude	m	j1 j2		8
alt_eig6c	417	EIGEN-6C altitude	m	c2 n1		9
alt_eig6s2	417	EIGEN-6S2 altitude	m	j1 j2		9
alt_gdrd	418	CNES GDR-D altitude	m	c2 j1 j2 n1 sa		10
alt_std1204	419	GSFC/Std1204 altitude	m	j1 j2 pn tx		11
alt_reaper	420	REAPER/COMBI altitude	m	e1 e2		12
alt_reaper_deos	421	REAPER/DEOS altitude	m	e1 e2		12
alt_reaper_gfz	422	REAPER/GFZ altitude	m	e1 e2		12
alt_reaper_esoc	423	REAPER/ESOC altitude	m	e1 e2		12
alt_std1404	424	GSFC/Std1404 altitude	m	j2		13
alt_gdre	425	CNES GDR-E altitude	m	c2 j2		14
alt_slcci	426	GFZ/SLCCI altitude	m	n1 pn tx		15
alt	4	alias of alt_gdre alt_cnes		c2		16
alt	4	alias of alt_reaper alt_gfz		e1 e2		16
alt	4	alias of alt_pgs7777		g1		16
alt	4	alias of alt_gdrpc		gs		16
alt	4	alias of alt_gdrd alt_eiggl04s		j1		16
alt	4	alias of alt_gdre alias of alt_gdrd		j2		16
alt	4	alias of alt_gdrd		sa		16
alt	4	alias of alt_gdrd alt_gdrpc		n1		16
alt	4	alias of alt_gdrpc alt_jgm3		pn tx		16

**Notes:**

1. JGM-3 [Tapley *et al.*, 1996] was the original gravity field solutions used by NASA for the orbit determination of Geosat and TOPEX/Poseidon that featured on the GDR products. Though the gravity field was tailored to the TOPEX orbit, it was generally regarded the best at the time and was hence also used more widely than just for TOPEX/Poseidon. The radial orbit accuracy of about 3 cm for TOPEX/Poseidon and 8 cm for Geosat has since been superseded by more up-to-date orbit solutions.
2. DGM-E04 was a gravity field model developed at the Delft University of Technology tailored to the ERS-1 and ERS-2 orbits and derived from the JGM-3 model. The model significantly improved the radial orbit accuracy to about 3.5 cm, better than any general purpose models available at the time [Scharroo and Visser, 1998].
3. CNES produces the operational and precise orbits for a number of satellites. Unfortunately, in RADS the CNES orbits are based on mix of gravity field solutions. The CNES orbits for the TOPEX/Poseidon mission feature on the GDRs and are based on the JGM-3 gravity field model [Tapley *et al.*, 1996]. The CNES orbits for CryoSat and Envisat are obtained from their respective GDR products and are based on the EIGEN-GL04c gravity field model [Ablain *et al.*, 2008].
4. PGS7777 is a NASA preliminary gravity field solution tailored to the Geosat



and GFO satellite orbits. The NASA PGS7777 orbit solution for GFO [Lemoine *et al.*, 2006] is based on SLR data only as the GPS tracking system on GFO failed.

5. Orbit solutions created at NASA using the GGM02c gravity field and station coordinates in the ITRF2000 or ITRF2005 reference frame.
6. Orbits produced with the EIGEN-GL04c or EIGEN-GL04s gravity fields.
7. Orbits produced under strict Jason GDR-C' standards.
8. Reduced-dynamic (fast-delivery) orbits based on GPS tracking data only.
9. Orbits provided by ESOC using the EIGEN-6C or EIGEN-6S2 gravity field. The orbits are available for parts of the various altimeter missions only: CryoSat-2 cycles 4-58, Envisat all cycles, Jason-1 cycles 1-260, Jason-2 cycles 1-220.
10. Orbits produced by CNES under strict Jason GDR-D standards (current baseline) [International DORIS Service, 2011]. Data since April 2015 are in fact produced with GDR-E standards, but the variable name and comment have not been updated to reflect this.
11. Orbits provided by GSFC using their standards "Std1204". They are "GDR-D compatible" and use the goce2s\_fit2 gravity field [Lemoine *et al.*, 2013].
12. Orbits produced by the REAPER project. A combined solution and individual solutions created by DEOS (TU Delft), GFZ and ESOC are available [Rudenko *et al.*, 2011].
13. Orbits provided by GSFC using their standards "Std1404". They are "GDR-E compatible".
14. Orbits produced by CNES under strict Jason GDR-E standards (baseline for the production of orbits since April 2015) [International DORIS Service, 2015].
15. Orbits produced by GFZ in the framework of the ESA Sea Level CCI project. The particular version of the orbits used in RADS are designated VER06 (CCI14), and are based on the EIGEN-6S2A gravity field model [Rudenko *et al.*, 2014].
16. The variable alt refers to the preferred (best) orbit solution for each satellite. If two variable names are mentioned, RADS picks the first one by preference; if that is not available, the second variable is used.

## 2.4 Orbital altitude rate

The rate of change of the orbital altitude is relevant for computing the Doppler correction and for correcting the altitude as a result of a time tag bias. Furthermore, the orbital altitude rate can be used to estimate time tag biases.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
alt_rate	5, 501	orbital altitude rate	m/s	all		

# Chapter 3

## Sea level variables

### 3.1 Sea level anomaly

---

The sea level anomaly (SLA) or sea surface height anomaly (SSHA) is the height for the sea surface relative to a long term mean. It can be constructed by subtracting from the satellite orbital altitude the altimeter range, propagation corrections, sea state bias, tides, and a mean sea surface model.

The sea level anomaly in RADS is always computed on-the-fly. This means that the RADS software will gather the required variables and their selected flavours from the netCDF data files, edit those data based on the user-selected criteria, and then constructs the sea level anomaly based on those. If any of the variables that make up the sea level anomaly is not available, marked invalid, or is out of range, then the sea level anomaly is also marked invalid (set to the NaN value). In addition, variables that do not strictly make up the sea level anomaly (like wave height or wind speed) can be used as edit criteria, e.g. rejecting data with high sea states.

The `rads.xml` configuration file spells out, in reverse polish notation (RPN), how the sea level anomaly (`sla`) is computed. The 'sea level equation' is written as:

```
<data>
  alt range SUB dry_tropo SUB wet_tropo SUB iono SUB
  inv_bar SUB tide_solid SUB tide_ocean SUB tide_load SUB
  tide_pole SUB ssb SUB mss SUB ref_frame_offset SUB
</data>
```

where SUB stands for 'subtract' and the others are names or aliases of the various variables that make up the sea level anomaly. If any of these variables is NaN, the result is NaN as well. The use of aliases comes in handy here. We can, for example, switch out the orbit solution between one flavour and another simply by directing the alias `alt` from say `alt.jgm3` to `alt.dgme04`. We do not have to change anything to the sea level equation.

The configuration file also spells out which variables are used as 'quality flag'. That is, if any of these variables is set to NaN, the sea level anomaly is also set to NaN, even though these variables are not added or subtracted as part of the 'sea level equation'. For example:

```
<quality_flag>
  swl sig0 range_rms range_numval flags
</quality_flag>
```

While the sea level anomaly variable `sla` is computed on-the-fly by the RADS software, there is a second variable `ssha` that is already stored on the RADS products. Users can use this variable directly as well, but then give up the opportunity to edit the results themselves.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
<code>sla</code>	0	sea level anomaly	m	all	-5 5	1
<code>ssha</code>		sea level anomaly (precomputed)	m	all		2

**Notes:**

1. The limits on the sea level anomaly can be changed in the configuration file, or by using the `--sla=MIN, MAX` option on the command line.
2. The variable `ssha` is read directly from the RADS products and already is screened by editing.

## 3.2 Altimeter range

The range between the satellite and the sea surface is based on the total travel time of the radar pulse divided by twice the speed of light. This range is then corrected for internal paths within the instrument (internal calibration), variations in the frequency of the ultra-stable oscillator (USO correction), and the distance between the antenna and the satellite centre of mass (centre-of-mass offset). The range is further corrected for the Doppler effect. As such the range measures the distance between the satellite centre of mass and the sea surface, except for path delays in the atmosphere and sea surface interactions.

To compute the height of the sea surface, we subtract the primary range (generally Ku-band) from the satellite orbital altitude and then further correct for path delays and other corrections.

Dual-frequency altimeters measure the range also on a secondary frequency (C- and S-band) which allows for the computation of the ionospheric path delay directly for altimeter observations rather than models.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
<code>range_ka</code>	601	altimeter range (Ka)	m	sa		
<code>range_ku</code>	601	altimeter range (Ku)	m	other		
<code>range_ku_mle3</code>	601	altimeter range (MLE3)	m	j2		10
<code>range_c</code>	602	altimeter range (C)	m	tx j1 j2		
<code>range_s</code>	602	altimeter range (S)	m	n1		
<code>range</code>	6	<i>alias of range_ka</i>		sa		
<code>range</code>	6	<i>alias of range_ku</i>		other		

**Notes:**

1. A constant bias of -124 mm is added to the Geosat range. An additional internal calibration and USO correction comes from an external file [Brian Beckley, priv. comm., 2002].
2. The range of the ERS-1 and ERS-2 altimeters has been corrected for SPTR bias jumps and USO drift based on offline tables [Martini and Féménias, 2000].

3. A constant bias of 409.2 mm is added to the ERS-1 range [Francis *et al.*, 1993; Stum *et al.*, 1998].
4. The ERS-2 USO correction appears to be low during the periods 1997-07-26 20:24:04 to 1998-01-07 03:58:09 and 1998-03-17 11:10:48 to 2000-01-08 06:04:13. During both periods 4.77 mm is added to the USO correction (and to range).
5. The USO correction for Envisat is based on external USO correction files (1-Hz data): <http://earth.esa.int/pcs/envisat/ra2/auxdata/>
6. Even though the Envisat Ku- and S-band ranges have biases of the order of 45 cm, this is not corrected for in the range, but in the reference frame offset (`ref_frame_offset`). A correction of 150 mm is added to the S-band range to account for a bias in the dual-frequency ionosphere correction [Scharroo and Smith, 2010]. During the short operation of the Side B altimeter, an additional 9 mm was added to both Ku- and S-band ranges.
7. S-band range for Envisat is available only until the loss of the S-band signal.
8. TOPEX Ku- and C-band ranges are corrected for internal path delays and oscillator drifts based on external correction files (one value per cycle: <http://topex.wff.nasa.gov/>) [Hayne *et al.*, 1994]. An additional -15.4 mm is added to the C-band range to account for a bias in the dual-frequency ionosphere correction [Scharroo and Smith, 2010].
9. A constant bias of -2.3 mm is added to the Jason-1 C-band range to account for a bias in the dual-frequency ionosphere correction [Scharroo and Smith, 2010].
10. A separate range based on an MLE3 retracker is available for Jason-2.

### 3.3 Altimeter range statistics

The altimeter ranges are provided in RADS as (approximately) 1-second averages based on 10, 20, or 40 'elementary' measurements during that second. The altimeter range reported is, in fact, not the statistical mean, but is generally determined as follows:

- Interpolate the orbital altitude at the same time tags as the elementary measurements;
- Subtract the orbital altitude from the range;
- Fit a linear trend true 'range minus orbit';
- Remove the linear trend;
- Compute the standard deviation of the residuals (using  $n - 2$  in the denominator) where  $n$  is the number of elementary measurements during a 1-Hz period;
- Evaluate the linear trend at the mid point and add the local orbital altitude back at this point to obtain the average range.

Hence, technically, `range_rms` is not the standard deviation of the altimeter range, but the standard deviation of the elementary 'orbit minus range' values with a trend fitted and removed as well, and taking into account the extra degree of freedom. It should also not be confused with an error estimate for the range measurement.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
range_rms_ka	2002	std dev of Ka-band range	m	sa	0.0 0.17	
range_rms_ku	2002	std dev of Ku-band range	m	g1 gs j1 j2 tx other	0.0 0.25 0.0 0.2 0.0 0.17 0.0 0.15 0.0 0.4	
range_rms_c	2004	std dev of C-band range	m	j1 j2	0.0 0.4	
range_rms_s	2004	std dev of S-band range	m	n1	0.0 0.4	1
range_rms	20	<i>alias of</i> range_rms_ka		sa		
range_rms	20	<i>alias of</i> range_rms_ku		other		

**Note:**

1. Standard deviation of S-band range for Envisat is available only until the loss of the S-band signal.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
range_numval_ku	2101	nr of valid Ka-band measurements		sa	33 40	
range_numval_ku	2101	nr of valid Ku-band measurements		g1 gs tx j1 j2 other	9 10 16 20 17 20	
range_numval_c	2102	nr of valid C-band measurements		j1 j2	17 20	
range_numval	21	<i>alias of</i> range_numval_ka		sa		
range_numval	21	<i>alias of</i> range_numval_ku		other		

### 3.4 Dry tropospheric correction and air tide

The dry tropospheric correction (the negative of the zenith hydrostatic delay, ZHD) accounts for the delay of the radar signal in the atmosphere, not counting the effect of water vapour. This effect is non-dispersive, i.e., it is the same on all frequencies, and is proportional to the surface pressure [Saastamoinen, 1972]. The surface pressure, in turn, is determined by interpolation (in space and time) of model grids of surface (or sea level) pressure (see Notes). Most altimeter missions provide the ECMWF operational analysis models as baseline.

Generally, the temporal spacing of the model grids is 6 hours, while the spatial resolution varies. The 6-hourly interval between successive model grids hampers the capturing of 12-hourly and 24-hourly phenomena. Common 24-hourly traveling waves turn into standing waves, while 12-hourly variations are insufficiently described. These phenomena are considered S1 and S2 *air tides* [Ponte and Ray, 2002].

To remedy this problem an air tide correction is applied to the sea level pressure, by removing the air tide from the 6-hourly grids before spatio-temporal interpolation and then adding the air tide back for the time and location of the measurement. This correction is already applied to the ECMWF dry tropospheric correction on the Jason-2 GDRs; for all others it is corrected in the RADS processing.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
dry_tropo_ecmwf	701	ECWMF dry tropo corr	m	all, but g1 gs	-2.4 -2.1	1
dry_tropo_ncep	702	NCEP dry tropo corr	m	all	-2.4 -2.1	2, 4
dry_tropo_era	709	ERA Interim dry tropo corr	m	all	-2.4 -2.1	3, 4
dry_tropo_airtide	4901	air tide corr	m	c2 e1 j1 pn tx		5
dry_tropo	7	<i>alias of dry_tropo_era</i>		g1 gs		
dry_tropo	7	<i>alias of dry_tropo_ecmwf</i>		other		

**Notes:**

1. The pressure fields on which this correction is based are from the ECMWF operational analysis runs. That means that numerous changes to the models and their resolution create an unstable reference for long-term studies. Please verify any of the ECMWF model results against the other models. Because of an unfortunate choice to use surface pressure fields, instead of sea level pressure fields, for this correction, coastal areas may be affected by “leaking” of the effect of higher terrain over land (thus lower pressure) into the sea and ocean (where there should be no terrain effect).
2. The NCEP reanalysis model used for this correction has *not* gone through any updates, so from that point of view the correction should be consistent over time. However, this older model also is less accurate and has a lower resolution ( $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ ) than the ECMWF analysis fields.
3. The ERA (ECMWF ReAnalysis) Interim model provides an excellent long-term consistency. The data is distributed by ECMWF as Gaussian grids with an approximately uniform spacing of 79 km [Berrisford *et al.*, 2011].
4. NCEP and ERA sea level pressure grids are interpolated and, over land and lakes, the pressure is then corrected for altitude based on a high-resolution terrain model, thus avoiding the coastal contamination seen in the ECMWF dry tropospheric correction.
5. The air tide correction to the dry tropospheric correction is provided for reference only. It is already applied to all variations of the dry tropospheric correction (ECMWF, NCEP, ERA interim).

### 3.5 Wet tropospheric correction

The wet tropospheric correction (the negative of zenith wet delay, ZWD) accounts for the delay of the radar signal in the atmosphere due to the presence of water vapour. This effect is non-dispersive, i.e., it is the same on Ku-, S- and C-band frequencies, and it can be determined by integrating a function of water vapour density and temperature [e.g., Askne and Nordius, 1987], which to good approximation simplifies to

$$\text{ZWD} = \text{IWV} R_w (k_3/T_m + k'_2)$$

where IWV is integrated water vapour,  $T_m$  is the mean temperature in the atmospheric column, and  $R_w$ ,  $k'_2$  and  $k_3$  are constants [Bevis *et al.*, 1994]. The mean temperature can further be approximated from model data of near-surface air temperature,  $T_s$ , by

$$T_m = 50.4 + 0.789T_s$$

[Mendes *et al.*, 2000]. In this way, the 6-hourly models of integrated water vapour and near-surface temperature are interpolated in space and time and then converted to a wet tropospheric correction. Generally, the temporal spacing of the model grids is 6 hours, but since the power of the water vapour content at 12 and 24 hours is low, an air tide correction like in case of the pressure is not needed.

Because of the large spatial and temporal variability (much more so than pressure), most altimeter missions are equipped with passive microwave radiometers, collecting brightness temperatures of the ocean surface at two or three frequencies. Using the three frequencies, or two frequencies plus the radar altimeter backscatter measurement, a wet tropospheric correction (and some related variables) can be derived. However, the radiometer measurements are strongly affected by land in the measurement footprint (extending to a radius of 40 km in some cases), limiting its use in coastal regions, hence the existence of a radiometer land flag.

The radiometer wet tropospheric correction for ERS-1, ERS-2, and Envisat is based on the altimeter backscatter coefficient (before correction for atmospheric attenuation) and the radiometer brightness temperatures at 23.8 GHz and 36.5 GHz, using all the same neural network algorithm as was developed for Envisat [Labroue and Obligis, 2003]. For TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1 and -2, the radiometer wet tropospheric correction is derived from their respective 3-channel brightness temperatures using multi-layer parametric algorithms [Keilm *et al.*, 1995; Dumont *et al.*, 2001]. For GFO, the the 2-channel brightness temperatures are combined with the altimeter wind speed using a log-linear model [Ruf *et al.*, 1996]. See Sections 4.2 and 5.1 for information about corrections applied to the backscatter and brightness temperatures prior to evaluating the models.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
wet_tropo_rad	801	radiometer wet tropo corr	m	n1	-0.6 0.05	1
				pn tx	-0.6 -0.001	2
				e2	-0.6 0.0	3
				e1 g1 j1 j2	-0.6 0.0	
wet_tropo_ecmwf	802	ECMWF wet tropo corr	m	all, but g1 gs	-0.6 0.0	4
wet_tropo_ncep	803	NCEP wet tropo corr	m	all	-0.6 0.0	5
wet_tropo_nvap	804	NASA NVAP wet tropo corr	m	gs	-0.6 0.0	6
wet_tropo_tovs_ssmi	805	TOVS/SSMI wet tropo corr	m	gs	-0.6 0.0	7
wet_tropo_tovs_ncep	807	TOVS/NCEP wet tropo corr	m	gs	-0.6 0.0	8
wet_tropo_era	809	ERA interim wet tropo corr	m	all	-0.6 0.0	9
wet_tropo	8	alias of wet_tropo_ecmwf		c2		10
wet_tropo	8	alias of wet_tropo_era		gs		10
wet_tropo	8	alias of wet_tropo_rad wet_tropo_era		g1		10
wet_tropo	8	alias of wet_tropo_rad wet_tropo_ecmwf		other		10

#### Notes:

1. Because of model bias and noise, allow positive values.
2. Need to exclude bogus zero values from TMR measurements.
3. ERS-2 MWR is not available from 2009-05-04 to 2010-01-15.
4. The ECMWF correction is actually computed by integrating several layers of the atmosphere, rather than using the simplified form discussed above. The meteorological fields on which this correction is based are from the ECMWF

operational analysis runs. That means that numerous changes to the models and their resolution create an unstable reference for long-term studies. Please verify any of the ECMWF model results against the other models.

5. NCEP reanalysis model used for this correction has *not* gone through any updates, so from that point of view the correction should be consistent over time. However, this older model also is less accurate and has a lower resolution ( $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ ) than the ECMWF analysis fields.
6. The NASA NVAP model is an old water vapour model used in the Geosat era.
7. TOVS and SSMI are separate radiometer constellations whose data were used to model the water vapour content globally.
8. This the result of a merger of the TOVS radiometer data with the NCEP water vapour and near-surface temperature models.
9. The ERA (ECMWF ReAnalysis) Interim model provides an excellent long-term consistency. The data is distributed by ECMWF as Gaussian grids with an approximately uniform spacing of 79 km [Berrisford *et al.*, 2011].
10. CryoSat-2 and Geosat have no radiometer, so the wet tropospheric correction is always based on models. For the other missions we may default to the model if the radiometer correction is not *at all* available on the product. This would be the case when the radiometer is *permanently* switched off, or off for a long time. The model will not be used during short outages.

### 3.6 Ionospheric correction

The radar signal is also delayed by ions and electrons in the upper layers of the atmosphere (the ionosphere). The delay is inversely proportional to the altimeter radar frequency, and otherwise proportional to the vertically integrated electron density, known as total electron content or TEC. This dispersive nature allows dual-frequency altimeters (TOPEX, Envisat, Jason-1 and -2) to directly determine the ionospheric path delay on either frequency. For single frequency altimeters we rely on TEC estimates from models based on other dual-frequency equipment (GPS, DORIS) or climatologies.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
iono_alt	901	dual-frequency iono corr	m	n1 j1 j2 tx	-0.4 0.04	1
iono_alt_smooth	903	smoothed dual-freq iono corr	m	n1 j1 j2 tx	-0.4 0.04	2
iono_bent	902	Bent iono corr	m	c2 e1 e2 n1 pn tx	-0.4 0.04	4
iono_doris	904	DORIS iono corr	m	n1 pn tx	-0.4 0.04	5
iono_gim	906	JPL GIM iono corr	m	all but gs e1 pn	-0.4 0.04	6
iono_iri2007	907	IRI2007 iono corr	m	all	-0.4 0.04	7
iono_nic09	908	NIC09 iono corr	m	all	-0.4 0.04	8
iono_alt_mle3	911	dual-freq iono corr (MLE3)	m	j2	-0.4 0.04	3
iono_alt_smooth_mle3	913	smoothed d-f iono corr (MLE3)	m	j2	-0.4 0.04	3
iono	9	<i>alias of</i> iono_alt_smooth				
		iono_gim iono_nic09		j1 j2 n1 tx		9
iono	9	<i>alias of</i> iono_gim iono_nic09		c2 e2 g1 sa		9
iono	9	<i>alias of</i> iono_nic09		e1 gs pn		9



**Notes:**

1. Positive correction values are allowed to account for noise in the altimeter dual-frequency ionospheric correction. The values for this correction have been adjusted from the original GDR products to account for relative C-band and S-band biases (Section 3.2).
2. Because of the relatively large noise in the dual-frequency ionospheric correction, `iono_alt` is smoothed over 35 seconds along the altimeter track (approximately 250 km), in contrast to the 21 seconds suggested by *Imel* [1994].
3. For Jason-2, a separate dual-frequency correction based on the MLE3 retracker is available.
4. The “ancient” Bent TEC climatology [*Llewellyn and Bent*, 1973] should no longer be used.
5. The TEC model based on DORIS featured for a while on TOPEX/Poseidon and Envisat altimeter products but was far behind the accuracy of the (similar in construct) GPS-derived GIM models.
6. JPL produces, based on the global constellation of GPS satellites and IGS GPS receivers, 2-hourly maps of TEC, known as the JPL GIM model [*Komjathy et al.*, 2000]. The models have a spatial resolution of  $5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$  and start in August 1998.
7. The International Reference Ionosphere went through several versions, the latest of which is IRI2007 [*Bilitza and Reinisch*, 2008]. Because of its rather coarse spatial and temporal resolution, it cannot compete with the JPL GIM model, or even the NIC09 climatology.
8. The NIC09 climatology is based on 12 years of JPL GIM maps and can be extended as far back as the 1950s or extrapolated using predicted solar flux values [*Scharroo and Smith*, 2010]. This model is particularly useful for the period prior to August 1998 (before the availability of `iono_gim`).
9. The alias `iono` with use either the smoothed dual-frequency ionospheric correction or one of the models in the order as given.

### 3.7 Atmospheric (inverse barometer) correction

---

The inverse barometer (IB) correction accounts for the suppression of sea level due to higher sea level pressure, and its rise during lower sea level pressure. When we assume a linear relation between pressure and suppression we talk about a “static” IB correction, and because the sea level goes down with increasing pressure, opposite to the way we think of a mercury barometer, we use the term “inverse”. The simplest form of this correction is:

$$IB = C(p - p_0)$$

where  $C$  is -9.948 mm/mbar,  $p$  is the sea level pressure and  $p_0$  is a reference pressure. Since the global ocean as a whole is incompressible,  $p_0$  here stands for the global mean sea level pressure over oceans.

However, there are also dynamics involved in this. For example, an ocean basin cannot instantly be suppressed as a whole because of rapidly increasing regional pressure. Also wind can play a role as well. Hence, the static correction is too simplistic. A more accurate model includes wind and ocean dynamics

and is hence called a dynamic atmospheric correction (DAC). RADS, AVISO, and other altimeter datasets include the dynamic atmospheric correction produced by CLS Space Oceanography Division using the MOG2D model from Legos [Carrère and Lyard, 2003; Roblou *et al.*, 2008] and distributed by AVISO, with support from CNES.

Over inland waters, this correction should not be applied [Crétaux and Birkett, 2006].

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
inv_bar_static	1001	static inverse baro corr	m	all	-1 1	1
inv_bar_global	1002	global mean inv baro corr	m	all	-1 1	2
inv_bar_mog2d	1003	MOG2D dynamic atmospheric corr	m	all	-1 1	3
inv_bar_mok2d	1004	MOK2D dynamic atmospheric corr	m	all	-1 1	4
inv_bar_mog2d_mean	1005	local mean of MOG2D	m	all	-1 1	5
inv_bar	10	<i>alias of inv_bar_mog2d inv_bar_mok2d</i>		all		6

#### Notes:

1. This correction is the simplification explained above.
2. For reference the global mean pressure, converted to an IB correction,  $Cp_0$ , is provided.
3. The dynamic atmospheric correction model MOG2D includes the ocean dynamic response to wind and pressure forcing. It also accounts for the aliasing of the air tides as discussed in Section 3.4. MOG2D also comes with two latencies: (a) a few days, and thus features on the IGDR-derived products, and (b) a few weeks, and thus features on the GDR-derived products. For fast-delivery products there is generally no MOG2D correction (so MOK2D will be used), but the MOG2D field will be filled in as soon as the MOG2D maps have been distributed.
4. Since the MOG2D only start in 1992, we have created a “mock-up” version of MOG2D (a simple linear variant of inv\_bar\_static) to match the mean of MOG2D as to not disturb continuity of the DAC prior to 1992..
5. For reference, the local long-term mean of the MOG2D correction is provided. This is the interpolation of a static grid computed as the average of all MOG2D maps over the period 1993-2009.
6. When using the inv\_bar alias, inv\_bar\_mog2d is used while automatically defaulting to inv\_bar\_mok2d.

## 3.8 Solid earth and pole tide

The solid earth tide is the variation of the elevation of the crust of the earth surface as a result of the attraction by the sun and moon (other planets are generally ignored as their influence is at least an order of magnitude smaller). As per geodetic convention the “permanent tide” (the mean tide resulting from the mere presence of the sun and moon) is excluded from the solid earth tide but is included in the geoid.

The RADS implementation of the Cartwright-Taylor-Edden solid earth tide model includes 386 second order waves and 99 third order waves [Cartwright and Taylor, 1971; Cartwright and Edden, 1973].

The pole tide is the vertical deformation of the earth crust as a result of polar motion. We can visualise this as the ellipsoidal shape of the earth being moved as the rotation axis of the earth moves away or closer to the mean pole [Munk and MacDonald, 1960]. We use the IERS(EOP)05C04 earth orientation parameters and their predictions. The motion of the mean pole, describing the effect of global isostatic adjustment (GIA) is represented by a linear motion, as suggested by Wahr *et al.* [2015] following the work of Argus and Gross [2004]. The implicit effect on pole tide is further discussed by Desai *et al.* [2015].

Two Love numbers multiply the results of this simple equilibrium model:  $(1 + k_2) = 1.302$  for the combination of solid earth and oceans, and  $h_2 = 0.609$  for the solid earth only (over land and lakes) [Wahr, 1985].

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
tide_solid	1101	solid earth tide	m	all	-1 1	
tide_pole	1401	pole tide	m	all	-0.1 0.1	
	11	alias of tide_solid		all		
	14	alias of tide_pole		all		

### 3.9 Ocean and load tide

The (pure) ocean tide is the variation of the height of the water column as a result of luni-solar attraction. Since this is measured relative to a fixed point on the solid earth (like the sea floor), the ocean tide compares directly to tide gauge measurements. The load tide is the effect of the tides weighing on the elastic earth. In general, when the ocean tide goes up, the sea floor is going down, hence reducing the sum of the two, the geocentric ocean tide. Consequently, in order to detide the measurement of the water surface from altimetry, both the ocean tide (tide\_ocean) and the load tide (tide\_load) need to be subtracted over ocean surfaces, while over lakes only the load tide is subtracted.

Although some altimeter products provide the geocentric ocean tide, we have chosen to keep ocean and load tide separate, for two reasons: (1) it makes it easier to differentiate tides over land/lakes and ocean, and (2) it makes it easier to add regional tides which are generally expressed as pure ocean tides.

Tides are expressed as the sum of a (large) number of *waves* with different frequencies, each combinations of the frequencies associated with the rotation and progression of the earth, sun and moon. A select portion of those waves are expressed as grids of amplitude and phase, which can be interpolated in space and evaluated at the time of the altimeter measurement. Then, by a process called *admittance*, the amplitude and phase of a number of minor tides are inferred.

Tide models generally only include the diurnal and semi-diurnal waves (those around a period of 12 and 24 hours). On top of that there are secondary and tertiary waves with periods of a week or longer. Most of these waves can be expressed by a simple latitude and time dependent equilibrium model (the long-period equilibrium tide). The FES2004 ocean tide model also includes the non-equilibrium component of the monthly, fortnightly, tri-monthly, and weekly tides.

Although the FES and GOT models are global, they are limited in scope. Over land, the ocean tide is not defined and will be set to the NaN value. In some coastal regions, where the models may likely not be defined, the ocean tide value is set to NaN as well.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
tide_ocean_fes04	1213	FES2004 ocean tide	m	all	-5 5	1
tide_load_fes04	1313	FES2004 load tide	m	all	-0.5 0.5	1
tide_ocean_webtide	1215	WebTide ocean tide	m	all	-5 5	2
tide_ocean_got48	1219	GOT4.8 ocean tide	m	all	-5 5	3
tide_load_got48	1319	GOT4.8 load tide	m	all	-0.5 0.5	3
tide_ocean_got410	1222	GOT4.10 ocean tide	m	all	-5 5	4
tide_load_got410	1322	GOT4.10 load tide	m	all	-0.5 0.5	4
tide_ocean_fes12	1223	FES2012 ocean tide	m	all	-5 5	5
tide_equil	3901	long-period equilib. tide	m	all	-1 1	6
tide_non_equil	3902	long-period non-equil. tide	m	all	-1 1	7
tide_ocean	12	<i>alias of tide_ocean_got410</i>		all		
tide_load	13	<i>alias of tide_load_got410</i>		all		
	39	<i>alias of tide_equil</i>		all		

**Notes:**

1. The Finite Element Solution (FES2004) includes 9 short-period waves (Q1, O1, K1, P1, 2N2, N2, M2, K2, and S2) plus 24 additional short-period waves determined by admittance, and 4 long-period waves (Mf, Mm, Mtm and MSqm) [Lyard *et al.*, 2006]. Long-period equilibrium tides (not yet included in FES2004) are added to these.
2. WebTide is a collection of regional tide models along the Canadian coast, made available on the web by the Bedford Institute of Oceanography <http://www.bio.gc.ca/research-recherche/WebTide-MareeWeb/webtide-eng.htm>. In RADS, a single value is presented in tide\_ocean\_webtide, determined from (in order of decreasing preference) the regional models for: Scotia/Fundy/Maine, Northeast Pacific, Arctic, Hudson Bay. Each of these models has a very limited amount of constituents, does not include admittance to infer others, and also does not include any long-period tides (equilibrium or non-equilibrium).
3. The Goddard Ocean Tide model GOT 4.7 includes 10 short-period waves (K1, O1, P1, Q1, S1, K2, M2, N2, S2, and M4) plus 17 additional short-period waves determined by admittance [Ray *et al.*, 2011]. The 4 long-period waves from FES2004 and additional long-period equilibrium tides are added to these. The Goddard Ocean Tide model GOT 4.8 differs from GOT 4.7 only in its S2 component.
4. The Goddard Ocean Tide model GOT4.10c differs from GOT4.8 in two ways. First, GOT4.10c is based only on Jason data, whereas 4.8 was from only TOPEX data. Second, it includes an adjustment for the geocenter. (Hence the 'c' in the name.) The processing for 4.8 and 4.10 was largely identical; one exception involved an improvement to P1 alone. GOT4.10c is an update of Ray [2013]. This model is now the default tide model.
5. FES2012 (Finite Element Solution 2012) takes advantage of longer altimeter time series, improved modelling, and data assimilation techniques, and more accurate and higher resolution ocean bathymetry. A new global finite element grid (with approx. 1.5 million nodes) was used to create a 'free' solution (independent of in situ and remote-sensing data) that has twice accuracy of the FES2004 version. The 'free' solution was assimilated with long-term altimetry data from TOPEX/POSEIDON, Jason-1, Jason-2, ERS-1, ERS-2, and ENVISAT.

The FES2012 solution shows particular improvement in coastal and shelf regions, but not in overall open ocean statistics.

Please note that tide loading effects have not yet been computed for FES2012, and therefore you should use the load tide from one of the GOT load tide models with the ocean tide from FES2012. Since the load tide models are global, this would not limit the area for which you can determine geocentric tides (ocean plus load tides). <http://www.aviso.oceanobs.com/en/data/products/auxiliary-products/global-tide-fes2004-fes99/description-fes2012.html>

6. The equilibrium ocean tide includes 15 tidal spectrum lines from the Cartwright-Tayler-Edden tables [Cartwright and Taylor, 1971; Cartwright and Edden, 1973] plus an additional 123 second and third order waves [Tamura, 1987].
7. The four long-period non-equilibrium ocean tidal components (Mm, Mf, Mtm, and MSqm) from the FES2004 model are represented in tide\_non\_equil. The equilibrium parts of those have been removed to avoid double accounting.

### 3.10 Sea state bias

Sea state bias (SSB) is the term used for any altimetric range offset as a function of the sea state (wave height, wind speed, wave age, swell). In fact, there are three components to the sea state bias:

**Electromagnetic (EM) bias** is the tendency of a radar to measure towards the wave troughs since they are better reflectors than the wave peaks.

**Skewness bias** comes from the fact that the sea surface has a skewed height distribution. While the altimeter measures the median height of the surface in the footprint, what we want to measure is the mean height, which is lower.

**Tracker bias** is the any error in the waveform tracker that is a function of the sea state, which may be either instrumental or algorithmic.

Because of the instrumental part of sea state bias, every altimeter, in principle, requires a different sea state bias correction model. But also when a new tracker algorithm is implemented, the sea state bias changes. This is one of the reasons for the wide proliferation of SSB models.

In the earlier days of altimetry the sea state bias was generally considered as a simple fraction, around  $-3.5\%$ , of significant wave height [Chelton, 1994]. Then Gaspar *et al.* [1994] brought a major improvement in SSB modelling by expressing SSB as a polynomial function of SWH and wind speed, recognising that not only the wave height, but also the shape of the waves (altered by wind) has influence on the altimeter range bias. But this approach still exhibits some limitations, in that it imposes the type of variations that are allowed as a result of changes in SWH or wind speed. Currently, SSB models tend to be non-parametric, generally expressed in the form of a grid with SWH and wind speed as coordinates [e.g., Gaspar and Florens, 1998]. But several more complex multi-dimensional models are currently under development [e.g., Feng *et al.*, 2010; Tran *et al.*, 2010].

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
ssb_bm3	1501	parametric sea state bias	m	e1 e2 g1 gs pn tx	-1 1	1
ssb_cls	1502	CLS non-parametric SSB	m	j1 j2 n1 tx	-1 1	2
ssb_csr	1503	CSR BM4 sea state bias	m	tx	-1 1	3
ssb_hyb	1504	NOAA hybrid sea state bias	m	g1 gs n1 pn sa	-1 1	4
ssb_cls_c	1505	CLS non-parametric SSB (C-band)	m	j1 j2	-1 1	2
ssb_cls_mle3	1512	CLS non-parametric SSB (MLE3)	m	j2	-1 1	5
ssb_tran2012	1513	Tran et al. 2012 non-parametric sea state bias	m	j2	-1 1	6
ssb	15	alias of ssb_bm3		e1 e2 pn		
ssb	15	alias of ssb_cls		j1 j2 n1 tx		
ssb	15	alias of ssb_hyb		c2 g1 gs sa		

**Notes:**

1. One-, three- or four-term polynomials of SWH and wind speed [Gaspar et al., 1994].
2. Non-parametric sea state bias models for Ku-band and C-band by CLS [Gaspar et al., 2002; Labroue et al., 2004].
3. Four-term sea state bias model for TOPEX (different model for side A and side B altimeters) [Chambers et al., 2003].
4. Hybrid (mix between parametric and non-parametric techniques) sea state bias models produced at NOAA [Scharroo and Lillibridge, 2005].
5. For Jason-2 data retracked by MLE3, a separate non-parametric model is available.
6. The non-parametric SSB model developed by Tran et al. [2012] will be part of the upcoming GDR-D standards.

### 3.11 Mean sea surface and geoid

The sea level anomaly (SLA) is expressed as the difference of the instantaneous tide-corrected sea surface with respect to a well-established mean. Over the years several (more or less) global mean sea surface models have been developed from the compilation of satellite altimeter (and sometimes gravity) data. Generally, the more altimeter data collected, the more precise the model. But also the resolution of the model counts. Each model has been referenced to the TOPEX reference ellipsoid, just as the satellite orbits (Section 2.3).

Another reference surface is the geoid (the theoretical mean sea surface in absence of ocean currents, wind, etc.). Geoid models are generally made from satellite tracking data (for the longer wave lengths), GRACE and/or GOCE (for the medium wave lengths), and altimetry and in-situ gravimetry (for the shorter wave lengths).

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
mss_egm2008	1610	EGM2008 mean sea surface	m	all	-200 200	1
mss_dtu10	1613	DTU10 mean sea surface	m	all	-200 200	2
mss_cls11	1614	CNES-CLS11 mean sea surface	m	all	-200 200	3
mss_dtu13	1616	DTU13 mean sea surface	m	all	-200 200	4
geoid_egm2008	1611	EGM2008 geoid	m	all	-200 200	1
geoid_eigen6	1617	EIGEN-6C3stat geoid	m	all	-200 200	5
mss	16	<i>alias of mss_dtu13</i>		all		
geoid		<i>alias of geoid_eigen6</i>		all		

**Notes:**

1. Combined geoid and mean sea surface solution [Pavlis *et al.*, 2008].
2. The DTU10 mean sea surface model was created at DTU and based on RADS altimeter data [Andersen and Knudsen, 2010]. It is a follow-on of the DNSC08 mean sea surface model [Andersen and Knudsen, 2009a].
3. Newest iteration of mean sea surface models at CNES/CLS [Schaeffer *et al.*, 2012].
4. The DTU13MSS is the latest release of the global high-resolution mean sea surface from DTU Space, which includes two major advances over DTU10MSS. First, the time series have been extended to 20 years from 17 years. Second, the DTU13MSS ingests Cryosat-2 SAR lead data in order to map the high latitude parts of the Arctic Ocean. In high-latitude regions a combination of joint ERS-1/ERS-2/ENVISAT and Cryosat-2 altimetry have been used. Also, the Jason-1 geodetic mission has been used for the DTU13MSS [Andersen *et al.*, 2013]. This is the default mean sea surface model and is used as reference for the sea level anomaly variable (sla).
5. The EIGEN-6C3stat geoid model has been generated in preparation for the final release of EIGEN-6C4. It was computed from a combination of LAGEOS, GRACE, and GOCE data, augmented with DTU13 surface gravity data to degree and order 1949 (corresponding to approximately 10 km spatial resolution). [Förste *et al.*, 2013; Shako *et al.*, 2014]. This is the default geoid model.

# Wind speed and wave height variables

### 4.1 Significant wave height

---

The significant wave height (SWH) is generally defined as the mean wave height (peak to trough) of the highest one-third of the ocean waves. Another commonly used definition is four times the standard deviation of the elevation of the sea surface in the radar footprint.

SWH is determined from the rate of increase of returned power of the radar altimeter pulse (the waveform slope) and requires no further correction other than some instrument parameters. There is one complexity in this, and that is that SWH is defined as follows:

$$\text{SWH}^2 = \alpha^2(\sigma_c^2 - \sigma_p^2)$$

where  $\sigma_c$  is a measure of the waveform slope and  $\sigma_p$  is an instrument parameter, and  $\alpha$  is a constant. Because of noise in the measurement of  $\sigma_c$  and a possible bias in  $\sigma_p$ ,  $\text{SWH}^2$  could become negative. In most GDR products, SWH is then set to zero, which creates a wrongly truncated measurement, and makes it difficult to correct for any biases in SWH the measurement (which would raise the zero SWH above zero). Where we can, however, in RADS, this case is tackled by writing out the negative of the square root of the absolute value of the argument instead. Hence:

$$\text{SWH} = -\alpha\sqrt{\sigma_p^2 - \sigma_c^2} \text{ when } \sigma_c < \sigma_p$$

$$\text{SWH} = \alpha\sqrt{\sigma_c^2 - \sigma_p^2} \text{ when } \sigma_c \geq \sigma_p$$

(See Note 1 below).

The 1-Hz standard deviation of SWH is determined from the individual 10-, 20-, or 40-Hz elementary measurements. Note that this is the standard deviation of the elementary measurements (denominator is  $(n - 1)$ ), not an estimate of the error of SWH.



Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
swh_ka	1701	Ka-band significant wave height	m	sa	0 8	
swh_ku	1701	Ku-band significant wave height	m	c2 n1 other	-0.5 8 0 8	1,2
swh_c	1702	C-band significant wave height	m	j1 j2 tx	0 8	
swh_s	1702	S-band significant wave height	m	n1	-0.5 8	1,3
swh_ku_mle3	1711	Ku-band significant wave height (MLE3)	m	j2	0 8	4
swh_ww3	1712	WAVEWATCH3 significant wave height	m	c2 j2 sa		5
swh_rms_ka	2802	std dev of Ku-band SWH	m	sa	0 2.1	
swh_rms_ku	2802	std dev of Ku-band SWH	m	g1 j1 j2 tx other	0 0.5 0 1.5 0 0.9 0 2.1	
swh_rms_c	2804	std dev of C-band SWH	m	j1 j2 tx	0 2.1	
swh_rms_s	2804	std dev of S-band SWH	m	n1	0 2.1	3
swh	17	alias of swh_ka		sa		
swh	17	alias of swh_ku		other		
swh_rms	28	alias of swh_rms_ka		sa		
swh_rms	28	alias of swh_rms_ku		other		

**Notes:**

1. The SWH of Envisat and CryoSat will be set to a negative value when  $\sigma_c < \sigma_p$ . For other missions, the value is set to 0.
2. During the degradation of the TOPEX SWH measurements (cycles 98-235) the SWH values are corrected according to *Queffelec* [2004]. Thereafter 32 mm was added.
3. S-band SWH for Envisat is only until the loss of the S-band signal.
4. For Jason-2, significant wave heights from the MLE3 retracker are available as well.
5. The SWH in this field is based on wave hindcasts done by NOAA using the WAVEWATCH III model [Tolman, 2009] and GFS analysis winds [Chawla et al., 2011]. The hindcasts cover the entire globe and are carried out in monthly installments, so they are only available on delay-time data in RADS. The original resolution of these model grids is  $1^\circ$  by  $1^\circ$  by 6 hours. For other WAVEWATCH III fields see Section 4.4.

## 4.2 Altimeter backscatter coefficient

The backscatter coefficient is derived from the total returned power of the radar altimeter pulse. After correction for losses due to water vapour in the atmosphere, it identifies the small scale ripples on the sea surfaces, and hence becomes a measure for wind speed (Section 4.3).

The correction for atmospheric losses is generally determined from the radiometer measurements. In case the brightness temperatures were corrected with respect to the GDR values (Section 5.1), so is the wet tropospheric correction, the atmospheric correction to the backscatter and the backscatter coefficient itself.

The 1-Hz standard deviation of backscatter coefficient is determined from the individual 10-, 20- or 40-Hz elementary measurements. Note that this is the stan-

dard deviation of the elementary measurements (denominator is  $(n - 1)$ ), not an estimate of the error of the backscatter coefficient.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
sig0_ka	1801	Ka-band backscatter coefficient	dB	sa	6 27	
sig0_ku	1801	Ku-band backscatter coefficient	dB	other	6 27	1-5
sig0_c	1802	C-band backscatter coefficient	dB	j1 j2 tx	6 27	3-5
sig0_s	1802	S-band backscatter coefficient	dB	n1	6 27	6
sig0_ku_mle3	1811	Ku-band backscatter coefficient (MLE3)	dB	j2	6 27	7
sig0_rms_ka	2902	std dev of Ka-band SWH	dB	sa	0 1	
sig0_rms_ku	2902	std dev of Ku-band SWH	dB	all	0 1	
sig0_rms_c	2904	std dev of C-band SWH	dB	j1 j2 tx		8
sig0_rms_s	2904	std dev of S-band SWH	dB	n1		6
sig0	18	<i>alias of sig0_ka</i>		sa		
sig0	18	<i>alias of sig0_ku</i>		other		
sig0_rms	29	<i>alias of sig0_rms_ka</i>		sa		
sig0_rms	29	<i>alias of sig0_rms_ku</i>		other		

#### Notes:

1. The ERS-1 backscatter coefficient is corrected for varying biases due to the attitude control. Between 0 and 0.35 dB was added.
2. The GFO backscatter is corrected for a few deficiencies in lookup tables, adding 0.37 dB before 6 Dec 2000 and between 7 and 9 March 2001.
3. The Jason-1 Ku- and C-band backscatter are aligned with TOPEX values by subtracting 2.40 and 0.725 dB, respectively. For the time being, the same biases are applied to Jason-2. However, wind speed values are not adjusted.
4. The Jason-2 Ku- and C-band backscatter are reduced in noise based on a correlation with off-nadir angle [Quartly, 2009]. In addition, biases of 2.40 and 0.725 dB have been removed from the Ku- and C-band backscatter, respectively.
5. TOPEX backscatter is corrected following the off-line Wallops correction tables.
6. S-band backscatter for Envisat is only until the loss of the S-band signal.
7. For Jason-2, the backscatter coefficient from the MLE3 retracker is available as well.
8. For TOPEX, the standard deviation is that of the automatic gain control, not of the backscatter coefficient. That means that the variation of the total volume of the waveform is not included, only the variation of the gain setting of the instrument.

### 4.3 Wind speed

Wind speed can be derived from the altimeter backscatter coefficient (Section 4.2). The larger the backscatter, the lower the wind speed. Several models have been developed to map this relationship, some depending merely on backscatter, some also taking into account significant wave height. Which models are applied to which satellites is shown in the notes below.

Three-channel radiometers provide the opportunity to estimate wind speed. In essence this is the reverse side of the fact that one of those channels can be replaced with the altimeter wind speed to obtain the wet tropospheric correction. This variable is available for all missions with 3-channel radiometers.

Atmospheric models, like those at ECMWF also provide wind speed and wind directions, or their vectorial components pointing north and east. Those model values are provided for some missions as well.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
wind_speed_alt	1901	altimeter wind speed	m/s	all	-1 30	1-5
wind_speed_rad	1902	radiometer wind speed	m/s	j1 j2 pn tx	0 30	
wind_speed_ecmwf_u	1903	ECMWF model wind speed (U)	m/s	e2 j1 j2 n1 sa		6
wind_speed_ecmwf_v	1904	ECMWF model wind speed (V)	m/s	e2 j1 j2 n1 sa		6
wind_speed_ecmwf		ECMWF model wind speed	m/s	e2 j1 j2 n1 sa		6
wind_speed_gfs_u	1903	NOAA/GFS model wind speed (U)	m/s	sa		7
wind_speed_gfs_v	1904	NOAA/GFS model wind speed (V)	m/s	sa		7
wind_speed_gfs		NOAA/GFS model wind speed	m/s	sa		7
wind_speed	19	<i>alias of wind_speed_alt</i>		all		

#### Notes:

1. For Geosat, GFO, ERS-1 and ERS-2, the wind speed is based on the Modified Chelton-Wentz (MCW) algorithm [Witter and Chelton, 1991]. In case of GFO 0.63 dB was subtracted from the backscatter coefficient before feeding it into the MCW algorithm.
2. The CryoSat and Envisat wind speed is based on the ECMWF 1-parameter algorithm tailored to Envisat [Abdalla, 2007].
3. The Ka-band altimeter of SARAL required a new 1-parameter algorithm, similar to Envisat's, again matching ECMWF wind fields [Lillibridge et al., 2014].
4. The TOPEX/Poseidon wind speed is based on the 2-parameter model by Gourrion et al. [2002].
5. For Jason-1 and Jason-2, a variant of the 2-parameter model by Gourrion et al. [2002] tailored to Jason-1 is used to derive wind speed [Collard, 2005].
6. The U (towards east) and V (towards north) components of the wind speed according to ECMWF model data. The absolute magnitude can be computed on-the-fly.
7. The U (towards east) and V (towards north) components of the wind speed according to  $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ \times 6h$  model grids from NOAA's Global Forecast System. The absolute magnitude can be computed on-the-fly. *The inclusion of these fields is experimental, and may be removed in the future.*

## 4.4 Other wave model data

For calibration and validation purposes, and to support further studies that include wind and wave processes (like swell and their effect on sea state bias) a number of variables from the WAVEWATCH III model (version 3.14) [Tolman, 2009] as run by the University of New Hampshire. These variables are currently only available during the year 2000 to 2012 (inclusive). The original resolution of

the model grids is  $1^\circ$  by  $1^\circ$  by 6 hours, and are restricted to latitudes lower than  $77.5^\circ$ .

In addition, SWH from the WAVEWATCH III model is made available as `swh_ww3` (see Section 4.1).

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
<code>wave_m0</code>	4001	WaveWatch3 wave height variance	$\text{m}^2$	all		
<code>wave_m1</code>	4002	WaveWatch3 first moment of wave height	$\text{m}^2/\text{s}$	all		
<code>wave_m2</code>	4003	WaveWatch3 wave velocity variance	$\text{m}^2/\text{s}^2$	all		
<code>wave_m4</code>	4004	WaveWatch3 wave slope variance	$\text{rad}^2$	all		
<code>wave_shs</code>	4005	WaveWatch3 wave swell	m	all		

# Radiometer variables

## 5.1 Radiometer brightness temperatures

---

## 5.2 Water vapour content

---

## 5.3 Liquid water content

---

# Variables for data editing

## 6.1 Engineering and geophysical flags

The engineering and geophysical flags are historically a number of bits in a 2-byte integer number that describe either instrument settings, type of surface overflow, or warnings about the quality of the data. In RADS4 there are a number of *aliases* to help pick out single bits from this word. The editing, however, is currently still determined by the limits set on the flag word, where the lower limits indicates which bits of the flag word *should not* be set, and the upper limits indicates the bits of the flag word that *should* be set. In other words, a record will be rejected if either:

- `flags AND flags_low` is not equal to 0.
- `flags AND flags_high` is not equal to `flags_high`.

where `flags_low` and `flags_high` are the lower and higher limit of `flags` specified, and `AND` is the logical bitwise AND operator.

In a future version of the data base the flag word `flags` will be phased out and only the more elementary flag variables that are now defined as aliases will be available.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
flags	2601	flag word		c2	48 0	
				e1 e2 j1 j2	65512 0	
				g1	65384 0	
				gs	51176 0	
				n1	36712 0	
				pn	448 0	
				tx	49640 0	
26 <i>alias of flags</i>						

The individual bits of the flag word `flags` are described in the following table. Note that the limits on these alias are not actually set at this time, but they are a transposition of the editing ranges for each individual altimeter as indicated in the table above. In general, 0 means no or OK, 1 means yes or bad.

Variable	field	name	values	sat	note
flag_alt_oper_mode	2516	bit 0: hardware/software status	0 = nominal, 1 = bad	pn tx	
	2516	bit 0: altimeter status	0 = Side A, 1 = Side B	j1 j2 n1	
	2516	bit 0: altimeter status	0 = LRM, 1 = PLRM	c2	
	2516	bit 0: SPTR availability		e1	
qual_sptr	2501	bit 1: quality of attitude	0 = ok, 1 = bad	e2 j1 j2 n1 tx sa	
qual_attitude		bit 1: quality of attitude	0 = ok, 1 = suspect	g1 gs pn	
		bit 2: dH status	1 = suspect	gs	
qual_dh	2502	bit 2: TMP 21 GHz Channel status	0 = A, 1 = B	pn tx	
flag_rad_oper_mode	2502	bit 3: quality of dual-frequency iono corr	0 = ok, 1 = bad	j1 j2 n1 tx	
qual_iono_alt	2503	bit 4: water/dry flag	0 = open ocean or enclosed sea or lake, 1 = land	all	
flag_water	2504	bit 5: ocean/land flag	0 = open ocean, 1 = land or enclosed sea or lake	all	
flag_ocean	2505	bit 6: radiometer land flag	0 = water, 1 = land	all but c2 gs	
surface_type_rad	2506	bit 7: altimeter rain/ice flag	0 = no rain/ice, 1 = rain/ice	all but c2 gs	
qual_alt_rain_ice	2507	bit 8: radiometer rain/ice flag	0 = no rain/ice, 1 = rain/ice	e1 e2 j1 j2 n1 pn tx	
qual_rad_rain_ice	2508	bit 9/10: radiometer quality flag	0 = ok, 1 = interp. near land, 2 = extrap., 3 = interp. failed	pn tx	
qual_rad_tb	2509		0 = ok, 1 = bad tb238, 2 = bad tb365, 3 = both bad	e1 e2 n1	
qual_range			0 = ok, 1 = bad tb220, 2 = bad tb370, 3 = both bad	g1	
			0 = ok, 1 = bad tb187/tb238, 2 = bad tb340, 3 = both bad	j1 j2	
qual_swh			0 = ok, 1 = bad tb238, 2 = bad tb370, 3 = both bad	sa	
			0 = ok, 1 = some 10Hz invalid	gs	
flag_alt_track_mode			0 = ok, 1 = suspect	other	
			0 = ok, 1 = suspect	gs	
qual_orbit			0 = ok, 1 = suspect	other	
			0 = nominal, 1 = preset	e1 e2	
flag_land	2515	bit 15: orbital quality flag	0 = nominal, 1 = coarse or acquisition	gs tx	
flag_ocean			0 = nominal, 1 = C-band coarse	j1	
			0 = nominal, 1 = acquisition	pn	
surface_type			0 = ok, 1 = suspect	all	
			0 = water, 1 = land	all	
surface_type			0 = ocean, 1 = non-ocean	all	
			0 = open ocean, 2 = enclosed sea or lake, 3 = land, 4 = continental ice	all	1
				all	1

**Note:**

1. A new variable `surface_type` has been introduced to combine the original flag bits 2, 4, and 5 into a single variable. Generally, the flag mask determined by `flags_low` as discussed above will be set to only allow data over open ocean. Effort is made to get rid of this quirky method of screening the data and use the individual flags instead.

The values of `surface_type` are based on the GSHHG coastline dataset [Wessel and Smith, 1996] that is distributed with the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) plotting package [Wessel *et al.*, 2013]. Version 2.3.4 of this data set was used to create land mask of ocean/land/lake indicators at 1 arcminute resolution. This grid was then queried to determine whether the satellite nadir point was over ocean, land, or lakes or enclosed seas. The additional information about continental ice came for the original GDR data. If this indicator was set in the GDR, `surface_type` was set to the value 4, and bits 4 and 5 of flags were both set to 1, irrespective of the aforementioned land mask.

Experience has shown that for Antarctica only the grounded ice is marked as "continental ice" (4). The ice sheets are marked "land" (2) as the GSHHG coastline datasets marks the (minimum) extent of the ice sheets.

The value of 1 of `surface_type` has been reserved for later use.

## 6.2 Bathymetry and topography

Bathymetry is the depth of the oceans (and seas). It is given as a negative number, and thus constitutes the elevation of the sea bottom with respect to the geoid. The bathymetry is generally predicted from altimeter data, by inverting altimeter-derived gravity anomalies into ocean depth [Smith and Sandwell, 1994, e.g.].

Topography is the elevation of the land (and lakes). It is represented generally by a positive value and is measured relative to the geoid. Occasionally the values can be negative, like in large parts of The Netherlands, and around the Dead Sea. By convention, the elevation of the lake surfaces (not the lake bottom) is stored, except for the Caspian Sea for which generally the bottom topography is given. The topography models are based on a number of different sources: altimetry, the SRTM mission, and local leveling.

In RADS the bathymetry and topography are combined into a single field. Please use the `surface_type` variable to distinguish between ocean, land, and lakes.

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
topo_dtm2000	2202	DTM2000 topography	m	j1 j2 n1 sa		1
topo_srtm30plus	2204	SRTM30PLUS topography	m	all		2
topo_dtu10	2205	DTU10 topography	m	all		3
topo	22	alias of topo_srtm30plus		all		

**Notes:**

1. On some of the GDR products, the topography/bathymetry is determined from the DTM2000.1 model (N. Pavlis and J. Saleh, GSFC) and is copied into the RADS data base.



2. Ocean data are based on the Smith and Sandwell global 1-minute grid between the latitudes 81°S and 81°N degrees [Sandwell *et al.*, 2014]. Higher resolution grids have been added from the LDEO Ridge Multibeam Synthesis Project, the JAMSTEC Data Site for Research Cruises, and the NGDC Coastal Relief Model. Arctic bathymetry is from the International Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (IBCAO) [Jakobsson *et al.*, 2012].

Land data are based on the 1-km averages of topography derived from the USGS SRTM30 gridded DEM data product created with data from the NASA Shuttle Radar Topography Mission. GTOPO30 data are used for high latitudes where SRTM data are not available.

V10 of SRTM30\_PLUS was released in May 2014. For more information about SRTM30\_PLUS, please see: [http://topex.ucsd.edu/WWW.html/srtm30\\_plus.html](http://topex.ucsd.edu/WWW.html/srtm30_plus.html)

3. The DTU10 topography/bathymetry model was derived from altimeter data together with the DTU10 mean sea surface model (mss\_dtu10) [Andersen and Knudsen, 2010] and is an update of the DNSC08 bathymetry model [Andersen and Knudsen, 2009b]. It is not clear where the topographic (land) data stem from. The model is interpolated to the altimeter ground track location.

### 6.3 Distance from coast and coastal proximity parameter

Because the altimeter and radiometer measurements are affected by land in their respective footprints, it is worthwhile to know what the distance from the satellite nadir to any coastline is, since it would facilitate editing out of possibly corrupted measurements. RADS contains two parameters for this purpose, to be used by the user at leisure: the distance from the coast and the coastal proximity parameter. Both are based on the proximity of the altimeter footprint to land, but potentially suit different purposes.

The distance to (of from) the coast is measured from the centre of the altimeter footprint (i.e. the satellite nadir point) to the *nearest* ocean or lake shoreline. The values in the RADS data base have been interpolated in a grid with a resolution of 1 arcminute. Positive values are offshore distances to the nearest shoreline, negative values are inland distances to the nearest ocean or lake shore. The grid is based on Version 2.3.0 of the GSHHG shoreline dataset [Wessel and Smith, 1996] that is distributed with the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) plotting package [Wessel *et al.*, 2013]. Any islets or lakes of less than 1 square kilometer have been excluded.

The coastal proximity parameter is a dimensionless measure of the effect of land over altimetric waveforms, and has values in the range from -1 to +1, where -1 means unaffected by land (normally offshore, open-ocean points) and 1 means totally affected by land (for instance points a few km inland). Therefore this parameter can be used for screening purposes in place of distance from coast. The grid for this parameter was developed by NOC Southampton in the framework of the ESA Sea Level CCI project and has a resolution of  $0.01^\circ \times 0.01^\circ$  [Cipollini, 2011].

Variable	field	name	units	sat	range	note
dist_coast	45, 4501	distance to coast	km	all		
prox_coast	4502	coastal proximity parameter		all		

# Bibliography

- Abdalla, S. (2007), Ku-band radar altimeter surface wind speed algorithm, in *Proc. of the 2007 Envisat Symposium, Montreux, Switzerland, 23-27 April 2007, Eur. Space Agency Spec. Publ., ESA SP-636*.
- Ablain, M., M.-I. Pujol, S. Philipps, and N. Picot (2008), Assessment of Jason-2 and Jason-1 orbit quality from SSH analysis, Ocean Science Topography Science Team Meeting, Nice, France, 10-12 November 2008.
- Andersen, O. B., and P. Knudsen (2009a), DNSC08 mean sea surface and mean dynamic topography models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *114*, C11001, doi:10.1029/2008JC005179.
- Andersen, O. B., and P. Knudsen (2009b), The DNSC08BAT bathymetry developed from satellite altimetry, *Tech. rep.*, Danish National Spacecenter.
- Andersen, O. B., and P. Knudsen (2010), The DTU10 mean sea surface and mean dynamic topography: Improvements in the Arctic and coastal zone, Ocean Science Topography Science Team Meeting, Lisbon, Portugal, 19-21 October 2010.
- Andersen, O. B., P. Knudsen, and L. Stenseng (2013), The DTU13 global mean sea surface from 20 years of satellite altimetry, Ocean Science Topography Science Team Meeting, Boulder, Colorado, 8-11 October 2013.
- Argus, D. F., and R. S. Gross (2004), An estimate of motion between the spin axis and the hotspots over the past century, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *31*, L06614, doi:10.1029/2004GL019657.
- Askne, J., and H. Nordius (1987), Estimation of tropospheric delay for microwaves from surface weather data, *Radio Sci.*, *22*(3), 379–386, doi:10.1029/RS022i003p00379.
- Berrisford, P., et al. (2011), The ERA-interim archive Version 2.0, *ERA Report Series 1*, ECMWF, Reading, UK.
- Bevis, M., S. Businger, S. Chriswell, T. A. Herring, R. A. Anthes, C. Rocken, and R. H. Ware (1994), GPS meteorology: Mapping zenith wet delays onto precipitable water, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, *33*(3), 379–386, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033<0379:GMMZWD>2.0.CO;2.
- Bilitza, D., and B. W. Reinisch (2008), International Reference Ionosphere 2007: Improvements and new parameters, *Adv. Space Res.*, *42*(4), 599–609, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2007.07.048.
- Carrère, L., and F. Lyard (2003), Modeling the barotropic response of the global ocean to atmospheric wind and pressure forcing - comparisons with observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30*(6), doi:10.1029/2002GL016473.

- Cartwright, D. E., and A. C. Edden (1973), Corrected tables of tidal harmonics, *Geophys. J. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 33, 253–264, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1973.tb03420.x.
- Cartwright, D. E., and R. J. Taylor (1971), New computations of the tide-generating potential, *Geophys. J. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, 23, 45–74, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1971.tb01803.x.
- Chambers, D. P., S. A. Hayes, J. C. Ries, and T. J. Urban (2003), New TOPEX sea state bias models and their effect on global mean sea level, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(C10).
- Chawla, A., D. Spindler, and H. L. Tolman (2011), WAVEWATCH III hindcasts with re-analysis winds: Initial report on model setup, *MMAB Contribution No. 291*, NOAA National Weather Service, Camp Springs, Maryland.
- Chelton, D. B. (1994), The sea state bias in altimeter estimates of sea level from collinear analysis of TOPEX data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(C12), 24,995–25,008.
- Cipollini, P. (2011), A new parameter to facilitate screening of coastal altimetry data and corrections, Ocean Science Topography Science Team Meeting, San Diego, 19-21 October 2011.
- Collard, F. (2005), Algorithmes de vent et periode moyenne des vagues JASON a base de reseaux de neurons, *Rapport BO-021-CLS-0407-RF*, Boost Technologies.
- Crétaux, J.-F., and C. M. Birkett (2006), Lake studies from satellite radar altimetry, *C. R. Geoscience*, 338, 1098–1112, doi:10.1016/j.crte.2006.08.002.
- Desai, S. D., J. M. Wahr, and B. D. Beckley (2015), Revisiting the pole tide for and from satellite altimetry, *J. Geod.*, doi:10.1007/s00190-015-0848-7.
- Dumont, J.-P., P. Sicard, J. Stum, and O.-Z. Zanifé (2001), Algorithm definition, accuracy and specification volume 4: CMA altimeter level 2 processing, *Tech. Rep. SMM-ST-M2-EA-11005-CN*, CNES/SSALTO.
- Feng, H., S. Yao, L. Li, N. Tran, D. Vandemark, and S. Labroue (2010), Spline-based nonparametric estimation of the altimeter sea state bias correction, *IEEE Geosci. Rem. Sens. Lett.*, 7(3), 577–581.
- Förste, C., et al. (2013), EIGEN-6C3stat – the newest high resolution global combined gravity field model based on the 4th release of the GOCE direct approach, *Tech. rep.*, ICGEM/GFZ Postdam.
- Francis, C. R. (1990), The ERS-1 radar altimeter, paper presented at the 2nd ERS-1 PI meeting, Noordwijk, The Netherlands.
- Francis, C. R., et al. (1993), The Calibration of the ERS-1 Radar Altimeter – The Venice Calibration Campaign, *ESA Report ER-RP-ESA-RA-0257 issue 2.0*, ESA/ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands.
- Francis, C. R., et al. (1995), The ERS-2 spacecraft and its payload, *ESA Bulletin*, 83, 13–31.
- Francis, C. R., et al. (1991), The ERS-1 spacecraft and its payload, *ESA Bulletin*, 65, 26–48.
- Fu, L.-L., E. J. Christensen, C. A. Yamarone, M. Lefebvre, Y. Ménard, M. Dorner, and P. Escudier (1994), TOPEX mission overview, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(C12), 24,369–24,382.

- Gaspar, P., and J.-P. Florens (1998), Estimation of the sea state bias in radar altimeter measurements of sea level: Results from a new nonparametric method, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(C8), 15,803–15,814.
- Gaspar, P., F. Ogor, P.-Y. Le Traon, and O.-Z. Zanifé (1994), Estimating the sea state bias of the TOPEX and POSEIDON altimeters from crossover differences, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(C12), 24,981–24,994.
- Gaspar, P., S. Labroue, F. Ogor, G. Lafitte, L. Marchal, and M. Rafanel (2002), Improving nonparametric estimates of the sea state bias in radar altimeter measurements of sea level, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 19(10), 1690–1707.
- Gourrion, J., D. Vandemark, S. Bailey, B. Chapron, G. P. Gommenginger, P. G. Challenor, and M. A. Srokosz (2002), A two-parameter wind speed algorithm for Ku-band altimeters, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 19(12), 2030–2048, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<2030:ATPWSA>2.0.CO;2.
- Hayne, G. S., D. W. Hancock, III, and C. L. Purdy (1994), TOPEX altimeter range stability estimates from calibration mode data, *TOPEX Research News*, 3, 18–22.
- Imel, D. A. (1994), Evaluation of the TOPEX dual-frequency ionosphere correction, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(C12), 24,895–24,906.
- International DORIS Service (2011), New GDR-D orbit standards, [ftp://ftp.ids-doris.org/pub/ids/data/POD\\_configuration\\_GDRD.pdf](ftp://ftp.ids-doris.org/pub/ids/data/POD_configuration_GDRD.pdf) (retrieved 25 June 2015).
- International DORIS Service (2015), New GDR-E orbit standards, [ftp://ftp.ids-doris.org/pub/ids/data/POD\\_configuration\\_GDRE.pdf](ftp://ftp.ids-doris.org/pub/ids/data/POD_configuration_GDRE.pdf) (retrieved 25 June 2015).
- Jakobsson, M., et al. (2012), The International Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean (IBCAO) version 3.0, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L12609, doi:10.1029/2012GL052219.
- Keihm, S. J., M. A. Janssen, and C. S. Ruf (1995), TOPEX microwave radiometer (TMR): III. Wet troposphere range correction algorithm and pre-launch error budget, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Rem. Sens.*, 33(1), 147–161.
- Komjathy, A., G. H. Born, and D. N. Anderson (2000), An improved high precision ionospheric total electron content modeling using GPS, in *Proc. International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), Honolulu, Hawaii, 2000*, vol. 7, pp. 2858–2860, doi:10.1109/IGARSS.2000.86027.
- Labroue, S., and E. Obligis (2003), Neural network retrieval algorithms for the Envisat/MWR, *Tech. Rep. CLS/DOS/NT/03.xxx*, Collect., Localisation, Satell., Ramonville St. Agne, France.
- Labroue, S., P. Gaspar, J. Dorandeu, O.-Z. Zanifé, F. Mertz, P. Vincent, and D. Choquet (2004), Nonparametric estimates of the sea state bias for the Jason-1 radar altimeter, *Mar. Geod.*, 27(3–4), 453–481, doi:10.1080/01490410490902089.
- Lambin, J., et al. (2010), The OSTM/Jason-2 mission, *Mar. Geod.*, 33(S1), 4–25, doi:10.1080/01490419.2010.491030.
- Lemoine, F. G., N. P. Zelensky, D. S. Chinn, B. D. Beckley, and J. L. Lillibridge (2006), Towards the GEOSAT Follow-On precise orbit determination goals of high accuracy and near-real-time processing, paper 2006-6402, AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Specialist Conference, August 21–24, 2006, Keystone, Colorado.

- Lemoine, F. G., N. P. Zelensky, S. A. Melachroinos, D. S. Chinn, and B. D. Beckley (2013), Status of the GSFC precise orbit ephemerides for Jason-2, Jason-1 and TOPEX/Poseidon, Ocean Science Topography Science Team Meeting, Boulder, Colorado, 8-11 October 2013.
- Lillibridge, J. L., R. Scharroo, S. Abdalla, and D. C. Vandemark (2014), One- and two-dimensional wind speed models for Ka-band altimetry, *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 31(3), 630–638, doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-13-00167.1.
- Llewellyn, S. K., and R. B. Bent (1973), Documentation and description of the Bent ionospheric model, *Rep. AFCRL-TR-73-0657*, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratory, Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts.
- Lyard, F., F. Lefèvre, T. Letellier, and O. Francis (2006), Modelling the global ocean tides: modern insights from FES2004, *Ocean Dynamics*, 56(5-6), 394–415, doi:10.1007/s10236-006-0086-x.
- Martini, A., and P. Féménias (2000), The ERS SPTR2000 altimetric range correction: Results and validation, *Technical Note ERE-TN-ADQ-GSO-6001*, ESA/ESRIN, Frascati, Italy.
- Ménard, Y., L.-L. Fu, P. Escudier, B. J. Haines, G. Kunstmann, F. Parisot, J. Perbos, P. Vincent, and S. D. Desai (2003), The Jason-1 mission, *J. Mar. Geod.*, Jason-1.
- Mendes, V. B., G. Prates, L. Santos, and R. B. Langley (2000), An evaluation of the accuracy of models for the determination of the weighted mean temperature of the atmosphere, in *Proc. of the 2000 National Technical Meeting of The Institute of Navigation, Anaheim, CA, January 2000*, pp. 433–438.
- Munk, W. H., and G. J. F. MacDonald (1960), *The Rotation of the Earth: A Geophysical Discussion*, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Pavlis, N. K., S. A. Holmes, S. C. Kenyon, and J. K. Factor (2008), An Earth gravitational model to degree 2160: EGM2008, presented at the 2008 General Assembly of the European Geosciences Union, Vienna, Austria, April 13-18.
- Ponte, R. M., and R. D. Ray (2002), Atmospheric pressure corrections in geodesy and oceanography: A strategy for handling air tides, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(24), 2153–2156, doi:10.1029/2002GL016340.
- Quartly, G. D. (2009), Optimizing  $\sigma^0$  information from Jason-2 altimeter, *IEEE Geosci. Rem. Sens. Lett.*, 6(3), 398–402, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2009.2013973.
- Queffelec, P. (2004), Long-term validation of wave height measurements from altimeters, *Mar. Geod.*, 27, 495–510, doi:10.1080/01490410490883478.
- Ray, R. D. (2013), Precise comparisons of bottom-pressure and altimetric ocean tides, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118(4570-4584), doi:10.1002/jgrc.20336.
- Ray, R. D., G. D. Egbert, and S. Y. Erofeeva (2011), Tide predictions in shelf and coastal waters: status and prospects, in *Coastal Altimetry*, edited by S. Vignudelli, A. Kostianoy, P. Cipollini, and J. Benveniste, Springer-Verlag.
- Roblou, L., F. Lyard, J. Dorandeu, J. Lamouroux, and J. Bouffard (2008), Dealiasing high frequency ocean response to atmospheric forcing, presented at the Coastal Altimetry Workshop, Silver Spring, Maryland, 5-7 Feb 2008.
- Rudenko, S., M. Otten, P. N. A. M. Visser, R. Scharroo, and T. Schöne (2011), Improvements in ERS-1 and ERS-2 precise orbit determination, Poster presentation at EGU 2011 General Assembly.

- Rudenko, S., D. Dettmering, S. Esselborn, T. Schöne, C. Förste, J.-M. Lemoine, M. Ablain, D. Alexandre, and K.-H. Neumayer (2014), Influence of time variable geopotential models on precise orbits of altimetry satellites, global and regional mean sea level trends, *Adv. Space Res.*, 54(1), 92–118, doi:10.106/j.asr.2014.03.010.
- Ruf, C. S., R. P. Dewan, and B. Sabramanya (1996), Combined microwave radiometer and altimeter retrieval of wet path delay for Geosat Follow-On, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Rem. Sens.*, 34(4), 991–999.
- Saastamoinen, J. (1972), Atmospheric corrections for the troposphere and stratosphere in radio ranging of satellites, in *The Use of Artificial Satellites for Geodesy, Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 15, edited by S. W. Hendriksen, A. Mancini, and B. H. Chovitz, pp. 247–251, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C.
- Sandwell, D. T., R. D. Müller, W. H. F. Smith, E. S. Garcia, and C. R. Francis (2014), New global marine gravity model from CryoSat-2 and Jason-1 reveals buried tectonic structure, *Science*, 346(6205), 65–67, doi:10.1126/science.1258213.
- Schaeffer, P., Y. Faugère, J.-F. Legeais, A. Ollivier, T. Guinle, and N. Picot (2012), The CNES-CLS11 global mean sea surface computed from 16 years of satellite altimeter data, *Mar. Geod.*, 35(sup1), 3–19, doi:10.1080/01490419.2012.718231.
- Scharroo, R., and J. L. Lillibridge (2005), Non-parametric sea-state bias models and their relevance to sea level change studies, in *Proceedings of the 2004 Envisat & ERS Symposium, Eur. Space Agency Spec. Publ., ESA SP-572*, edited by H. Lacoste and L. Ouwehand.
- Scharroo, R., and W. H. F. Smith (2010), A global positioning system-based climatology for the total electron content in the ionosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115(A10318), doi:10.1029/2009JA014719.
- Scharroo, R., and P. N. A. M. Visser (1998), Precise orbit determination and gravity field improvement for the ERS satellites, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(C4), 8113–8127, doi:10.1029/97JC03179.
- Shako, R., C. Förste, O. Abrikosov, S. Bruinsma, J. C. Marty, J.-M. Lemoine, F. Flechtner, K.-H. Neumayer, and C. Dahle (2014), EIGEN-6C: A high-resolution global gravity combination model including GOCE data, in *Observation of the System Earth from Space - CHAMP, GRACE, GOCE and future missions*, edited by F. Flechtner, N. Sneeuw, and W.-D. Schuh, Advanced Technologies in Earth Sciences, pp. 155–161, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-32135-1\_20.
- Smith, W. H. F., and D. T. Sandwell (1994), Bathymetric prediction from dense satellite altimetry and sparse shipboard bathymetry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(B11), 21,803–21,824.
- Stum, J., F. Ogor, P.-Y. Le Traon, J. Dorandeu, P. Gaspar, and J.-P. Dumont (1998), An intercalibration study of TOPEX, ERS-1 and ERS-2 altimetric missions: Final report of IFREMER contract No. 97/2 426 086/C, *Tech. Rep. CLS/DOS/NT/98.070*, Collect., Localisation, Satell., Ramonville St. Agne, France.
- Tamura, Y. (1987), A harmonic development of the tide-generating potential, *Bull. d'Inform. Marées Terr.*, 99, 6813–6855.

- Tapley, B. D., M. M. Watkins, J. C. Ries, G. W. Davis, R. J. Eanes, S. R. Poole, H. J. Rim, B. E. Schutz, and C.-K. Shum (1996), The Joint Gravity Model 3, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101(B12), 28,029–28,049.
- Tolman, H. L. (2009), User manual and system documentation of WAVEWATCH III version 3.14, *Tech. rep.*, NOAA National Weather Service.
- Tran, N., D. Vandemark, S. Labroue, H. Feng, B. Chapron, H. L. Tolman, J. Lambin, and N. Picot (2010), The sea state bias in altimeter sea level estimates determined by combining wave model and satellite data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, C03020, doi:10.1029/2009JC005534.
- Tran, N., S. Philipps, J. C. Poisson, S. Urien, E. Bronner, and N. Picot (2012), Impact of GDR-D standards on SSB correction, Ocean Science Topography Science Team Meeting, Venice, Italy, 27-29 September 2012.
- Wahr, J. M. (1985), Deformation of the Earth induced by polar motion, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90(B11), 9363–9368, doi:10.1029/JB090iB11p09363.
- Wahr, J. M., R. S. Nerem, and S. V. Bettadpur (2015), The pole tide and its effect on GRACE time-variable gravity measurements: implications for the estimates of surface mass variations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120, doi:10.1002/2015JB011986.
- Wessel, P., and W. H. F. Smith (1996), A global, self-consistent, hierarchical, high-resolution shoreline, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101(B4), 8741–8743.
- Wessel, P., W. H. F. Smith, R. Scharroo, J. Luis, and F. Wobbe (2013), Generic Mapping Tools: Improved version released, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 94(45), 409–410, doi:10.1002/2013EO450001.
- Wingham, D. J., et al. (2006), CryoSat: A mission to determine the fluctuations in Earth's land and marine ice fields, *Adv. Space Res.*, 37(4), 841–871, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2005.07.027.
- Witter, D. L., and D. B. Chelton (1991), A Geosat altimeter wind speed algorithm and a method for altimeter wind speed algorithm development, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96(C5), 8853–8860.

# Index

files  
    rads.xml, 7

variables  
    alt, 5–7  
    alt\_cnes, 5  
    alt\_dgme04, 5, 7  
    alt\_eig6c, 5  
    alt\_eig6s2, 5  
    alt\_eiggl04s, 5  
    alt\_gdrp, 5  
    alt\_gdrd, 5  
    alt\_gdre, 5  
    alt\_gfz, 5  
    alt\_ggm02c\_itrf2000, 5  
    alt\_ggm02c\_itrf2005, 5  
    alt\_gps, 5  
    alt\_jgm3, 5, 7  
    alt\_pgs7777, 5  
    alt\_rate, 6  
    alt\_reaper, 5  
    alt\_reaper\_deos, 5  
    alt\_reaper\_esoc, 5  
    alt\_reaper\_gfz, 5  
    alt\_slcci, 5  
    alt\_std1204, 5  
    alt\_std1404, 5  
    dist\_coast, 30  
    dry\_tropo, 11  
    dry\_tropo\_airtide, 11  
    dry\_tropo\_ecmwf, 11  
    dry\_tropo\_era, 11  
    dry\_tropo\_ncep, 11  
    flag\_alt\_oper\_mode, 28  
    flag\_alt\_track\_mode, 28  
    flag\_land, 28  
    flag\_ocean, 28  
    flag\_rad\_oper\_mode, 28  
    flag\_water, 28  
    flags, 27, 29  
    flags\_high, 27  
    flags\_low, 27, 29  
    geoid, 20  
    geoid\_egm2008, 20  
    geoid\_eigen6, 20  
    inv\_bar, 15  
    inv\_bar\_global, 15  
    inv\_bar\_mog2d, 15  
    inv\_bar\_mog2d\_mean, 15  
    inv\_bar\_mok2d, 15  
    inv\_bar\_static, 15  
    iono, 13, 14  
    iono\_alt, 13, 14  
    iono\_alt\_mle3, 13  
    iono\_alt\_smooth, 13  
    iono\_alt\_smooth\_mle3, 13  
    iono\_bent, 13  
    iono\_doris, 13  
    iono\_gim, 13, 14  
    iono\_iri2007, 13  
    iono\_nic09, 13  
    lat, 4  
    lon, 4  
    mss, 20  
    mss\_cls11, 20  
    mss\_dtu10, 20, 30  
    mss\_dtu13, 20  
    mss\_egm2008, 20  
    prox\_coast, 30  
    qual\_alt\_rain\_ice, 28  
    qual\_attitude, 28  
    qual\_dh, 28  
    qual\_iono\_alt, 28  
    qual\_orbit, 28  
    qual\_rad\_rain\_ice, 28  
    qual\_rad\_tb, 28  
    qual\_range, 28  
    qual\_sptr, 28  
    qual\_swh, 28  
    range, 8



---

range\_c, 8  
 range\_ka, 8  
 range\_ku, 8  
 range\_ku\_mle3, 8  
 range\_numval, 10  
 range\_numval\_c, 10  
 range\_numval\_ka, 10  
 range\_numval\_ku, 10  
 range\_rms, 9, 10  
 range\_rms\_c, 10  
 range\_rms\_ka, 10  
 range\_rms\_ku, 10  
 range\_rms\_s, 10  
 range\_s, 8  
 ref\_frame\_offset, 9  
 sig0, 23  
 sig0\_c, 23  
 sig0\_ka, 23  
 sig0\_ku, 23  
 sig0\_ku\_mle3, 23  
 sig0\_rms, 23  
 sig0\_rms\_c, 23  
 sig0\_rms\_ka, 23  
 sig0\_rms\_ku, 23  
 sig0\_rms\_s, 23  
 sig0\_s, 23  
 sla, 7, 8, 20  
 ssb, 19  
 ssb\_bm3, 19  
 ssb\_cls, 19  
 ssb\_cls\_c, 19  
 ssb\_cls\_mle3, 19  
 ssb\_csr, 19  
 ssb\_hyb, 19  
 ssb\_tran2012, 19  
 ssha, 8  
 surface\_type, 28, 29  
 surface\_type\_rad, 28  
 swl, 22  
 swl\_c, 22  
 swl\_ka, 22  
 swl\_ku, 22  
 swl\_ku\_mle3, 22  
 swl\_rms, 22  
 swl\_rms\_c, 22  
 swl\_rms\_ka, 22  
 swl\_rms\_ku, 22  
 swl\_rms\_s, 22  
 swl\_s, 22  
 swl\_ww3, 22, 25  
 tide\_equil, 17  
 tide\_load, 16, 17  
 tide\_load\_fes04, 17  
 tide\_load\_got410, 17  
 tide\_load\_got48, 17  
 tide\_non\_equil, 17, 18  
 tide\_ocean, 16, 17  
 tide\_ocean\_fes04, 17  
 tide\_ocean\_fes12, 17  
 tide\_ocean\_got410, 17  
 tide\_ocean\_got48, 17  
 tide\_ocean\_webtide, 17  
 tide\_pole, 16  
 tide\_solid, 16  
 time, 3  
 time\_1985, 3  
 time\_2000, 3  
 time\_local\_solar, 3  
 time\_mjd, 3  
 time\_rel\_eq, 3  
 time\_ymdhms, 3  
 topo, 29  
 topo\_dtm2000, 29  
 topo\_dtu10, 29  
 topo\_srtm30plus, 29  
 wave\_m0, 25  
 wave\_m1, 25  
 wave\_m2, 25  
 wave\_m4, 25  
 wave\_shs, 25  
 wet\_tropo, 1, 2, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_ecmwf, 1, 2, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_era, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_ncep, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_nvap, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_rad, 1, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_tovs\_ncep, 12  
 wet\_tropo\_tovs\_ssmi, 12  
 wind\_speed, 24  
 wind\_speed\_alt, 24  
 wind\_speed\_ecmwf, 24  
 wind\_speed\_ecmwf\_u, 24  
 wind\_speed\_ecmwf\_v, 24  
 wind\_speed\_gfs, 24  
 wind\_speed\_gfs\_u, 24  
 wind\_speed\_gfs\_v, 24  
 wind\_speed\_rad, 24