

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION

## 1 Introduction

**Mapping Our Universe:** The earliest examples of astronomy included following the nearby planets and charting the “fixed” stars which were projected onto the celestial sphere and organized into constellations. Ultimately this led to a physics-based, low resolution, 3D description of the Galaxy. The situation today in cosmology is somewhat similar. We have large surveys of comparatively nearby galaxies [1–11] and a splendid two dimensional map of the microwave background [12] and this has led to a standard model of the universe in which inflation-based, Gaussian, potential fluctuations, with a well-defined spectrum, grew according to deterministic laws to produce contemporary large scale structure in a flat universe endowed with a cosmological constant. However, the traditional goal of astronomy, to describe the complete disposition of this actual structure, has hitherto been subsumed into statistical investigations designed to elucidate the underlying physics.

This is a staged proposal to combine recent observations with what we have learned about the physics to make the best map we can of the 3D structure of the universe within and slightly beyond our horizon. In addition to satisfying a natural desire to describe our universe, success in this program will naturally furnish ongoing and planned physics investigations with additional priors which should tighten up their accuracy. **Contents:** The last decade has seen remarkable advances in cosmology, spearheaded by increasingly detailed measurements of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation (see e.g. [12, 13]). These accurate measurements have affirmed that a description of a homogeneous, spatially flat general relativistic universe with relatively few ingredients – photons ( $T_\gamma = 2.7$  K), neutrinos (three flavors), baryons ( $\Omega_b = 0.05$ ), dark matter ( $\Omega_d = 0.26$ ) and a cosmological constant ( $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.69$ ) supplemented by (almost) scale-free, adiabatic, Gaussian initial perturbations suffices to describe essentially all that is secure in the observations [13]. There is still room for revision, retraction and major discovery but, right now, we have a good working hypothesis that the universe is basically this simple (e.g. [14, 15]). There is some tension in the reported measurements, *e.g.* of the Hubble constant, but this is not important for our purpose and we shall simply adopt Planck values. Much effort is being expended to see if a ubiquitous and eternal cosmological constant needs to be replaced by a dynamical dark energy. If this turns out to be true then simple changes will be needed to what follows.

**Evolution:** The description of the average expansion of the universe is relatively uncontroversial. When  $t \sim 50$  kyr, the scale factor – the size of a region relative to its contemporary size – was  $a \sim 0.0003$  and the universe became (dark) matter-dominated. When  $t \sim 380$  kyr,  $a \sim 0.0009$ , the hydrogen plasma quickly formed atoms, decoupling from the radiation and forming the inside-out, CMB photosphere where the majority of CMB photons we observe today were last scattered with a temperature  $\sim 2900$  K. When  $t \sim 600$  Myr,  $a \sim 0.1$ , the first stars formed and the universe (re)-ionized. This epoch is becoming accessible to observation. Finally when  $t \sim 8$  Gyr,  $a \sim 0.6$ , the cosmological constant began to dominate the matter, and the universal expansion started to accelerate.

**Fluctuations:** The observed fluctuations are adequately described by a set of spatial Fourier modes expressed in terms of contemporary or comoving coordinates. These modes are longitudinal and the ones that mostly concern us here evolved linearly. It is convenient to describe their amplitude using the (effective) Newtonian potential/gauge  $\Phi$  from which the density and fluid




Figure 1: a) The local universe interior to the CMB photosphere expressed in comoving coordinates. The circles are, in order, redshift  $z \sim 0.7$ , schematically the limit of present surveys,  $z \sim 2$  roughly the effective limit of future surveys, the nominal Epoch of Reionization at  $z \sim 10$  and the CMB photosphere at  $z \sim 1100$  and a distance  $x_{\text{CMB}} \sim 14$  Gpc. Also shown as dashed lines are the nodes of a single wave mode with  $k \sim 3 \text{ Gpc}^{-1}$  which contributes significantly to spherical harmonics with  $\ell \lesssim 10$ . b) Variation of the amplitude of this wave with scale factor  $a$ .

velocity perturbations can be computed (in the “Sachs-Wolfe” limit at long wavelength [16]).<sup>1</sup> The modes that mostly concern us remain linear and are “adiabatic”, so that we only need to know their amplitude and phase at one epoch, e.g. recombination, to predict them for all time.

It has recently been demonstrated, mainly using CMB observations [13], that the adiabatic hypothesis is quite accurate. Furthermore, the amplitudes associated with each mode of the initial potential scale approximately as  $k^{-3/2}$  and are drawn from a Gaussian distribution, so that the potential fluctuations associated with each length scale are scale-independent. This behavior is consistent with a remarkable early conjecture by Harrison [17] as elaborated by Zel’dovich [18].

The potential associated with a mode was essentially frozen until it “entered the horizon,” that is, until the timescale for its dynamical evolution, became smaller than the expansion timescale. We are mostly concerned with long wavelength modes for which this happened after recombination and  $k \lesssim 40 \text{ Gpc}^{-1}$ .<sup>2</sup> For such wavenumbers, the waves evolve at roughly constant  $\Phi$  until the cosmological constant takes over and the potential falls by roughly 20 percent today. We make this explicit in Fig. 1 where we show the interior of the last scattering surface in comoving coordinate space and a particular wave whose amplitude and phase we are trying to measure.

**Inflation:** The flatness of the geometry today, the isotropy of the CMB temperature, and the very existence of fluctuations with wavelengths longer than naively allowed by causality are all consistent with the simplest version of a much more specific and even bolder conjecture by Guth [19], Linde [20] and others (e.g. [21–26]), that the universe underwent a period of “inflation” at much earlier times. This theory is based on the idea that all the structures in the observed universe emerged from quantum fluctuations about  $10^{-33}$  seconds after the Big Bang. Inflation, which describes a phase of accelerated cosmic expansion, is the leading theory providing a causal mechanism for generating these fluctuations and stretching them to cosmological scales. The microphysics of inflation makes detailed predictions for the spectra of these fluctuations as observed in the CMB, in particular a slight tilt in the power spectrum, which has been measured

<sup>1</sup>There may also be tensor modes which we shall ignore here. If, and when, they are detected, only minor modifications will be needed.

<sup>2</sup> $k_0$  can be considered as approximately the wavenumber associated with the first acoustic peak and its consequence, Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO).

(see e.g. [27, 28] and references therein).

Qualitatively, the causal mechanism seeding the primordial perturbations is easily understood. During inflation, the Hubble radius,  $H^{-1}$ , which can be thought of as the “apparent horizon”, was roughly constant. Meanwhile, quantum fluctuations in the matter field(s) and metric are constantly generated with wavelength  $H^{-1}$  at most [29]. Once produced, a fluctuation with comoving wavelength  $\lambda$  is stretched with the expansion of space past the Hubble radius, at which point its dynamical timescale,  $\sim ac/k$  was larger than the expansion time and it “exited” the horizon and its amplitude froze. Throughout inflation, such fluctuations are continuously created at the physical scale  $H^{-1}$ . Therefore, by the end of inflation, perturbations will finally have been produced on a whole spectrum of physical scales.

## 2 Proposed Research

The long term goal of this proposal is to connect the CMB to local surveys, and to produce an evolving three-dimensional (or in other words, a 4D) map of the universe that is valid from before 380 kyr to today, and out beyond 14 Gpc. The exercise is not purely cartographic as it is essential that we use the secure physical inferences that have been drawn about the early universe in making this map.

The first stage of our proposed program uses 2D CMB observations alone to test internal consistency and to recover as much as we can of the 3D potential, velocity and density fields interior to the last scattering surface. In the second stage, we augment CMB data with existing 3D measurements from galaxy surveys, gravitational lensing, intermediate Sachs-Wolfe measurements and so on. This should improve the resolution. The third stage involves estimating the improvement that should come on a decade timescale from future surveys such as LSST and Epoch of Reionization investigations, “Stage IV” CMB observations, SKA etc. The fourth and final stage is an investigation of how far it is possible, even in principle, to reconstruct the structure of the universe including what can be inferred beyond our horizon.

### 2.1 Stage 1. From 2D to 3D: Potential Reconstruction from CMB Data

**Temperature Fluctuations:** Most quantitative cosmology derives from CMB observations. The conventional way to describe the observations is in terms of spherical harmonics – the generalization of Fourier modes to a sphere – labeled by  $\ell$  and  $m$ . It is convenient to use an equivalent vector of real spherical harmonics,  $Y_y(\theta, \phi) = \{Y_{0,0}, Y_{1,0}, 2^{1/2}\Re[Y_{1,1}], 2^{1/2}\Im[Y_{1,1}], Y_{2,0}, \dots, 2^{1/2}\Im[Y_{\ell_{\max}, \ell_{\max}}]\}$  of length  $(\ell_{\max} + 1)^2$  and where  $\theta, \phi$  are standard spherical polar coordinates. Note that there are  $2\ell + 1$  independent, real, basis function in each  $\ell$ -shell. Note also that  $\int d\Omega Y_y Y_{y'} = \delta_{yy'}$ . It is convenient to treat  $\ell_{\max}$  as a continuous variable by adding a fraction between zero and unity of the largest  $\ell$  shell and therefore smoothly change the angular resolution. (The use of a real basis helps identify systematic effects.)

Most investigations have focused on measuring the “power” in the temperature fluctuations (including polarization) associated with a given  $\ell$ , obtained by summing products of the coefficients of the harmonic components over  $m$ , and comparing it with the predictions of various cosmological models. This program has been wonderfully productive, and has resulted in the world model just outlined. Furthermore this power spectrum has been successfully reconciled with features of the local universe, such as galaxy counts. One common assumption is that the particular realization of the universe that we are observing is drawn from a statistical ensemble of universes. When  $\ell$  is large, we have many independent measurements on the associated angular scale,  $\sim \pi/\ell$ , and so we can measure an rms value for the harmonic component with a small




Figure 2: Photospheric potential fluctuations of the CMB for  $\ell_{\max} = 2, 4.5, 10$  derived from Planck data shown as Mollweide projections.

variance. However, when  $\ell$  is small, we have only a few such measurements and the “cosmic” variance is large. Despite their great value, these statistical measurements inevitably discard information which may be valuable.<sup>3</sup> In the proposed study, only one specific realization of the universe – the one we inhabit – is considered.

In order to start us on a pilot investigation, Planck team members Wehus & Eriksen (Oslo) have kindly supplied 100 sample Planck temperature fluctuation maps for  $0 \leq \ell \leq 10$  or  $1 \leq y \leq 121$ . From this ensemble we are able to compute the mean photospheric potential fluctuation map at the time of recombination  $\Phi = a_y Y_y$ , (including the monopole and dipole components and adopting the summation convention) and the covariance matrix  $C_{yy'}$  associated with the harmonic components  $a_y$ . We find that this matrix is invertible and can be used directly up to  $\ell = 8$ . More careful treatment of the data is needed beyond this. The fractional variance in individual harmonics varies between  $\sim 0.0001$  and  $\sim 0.01$ . Undoubtedly, there are systematic effects present in this data set which need to be explored, but the accuracy is high enough to proceed without this.

**Fourier Modes:** It is conventional to Fourier expand the potential  $\Phi$  today; the expansion at other times is then simply calculable. Although the full spectrum of the Fourier modes we are discussing is continuous in  $\mathbf{k}$ , the fact that our observations are made over a restricted volume means that we can treat the waves as a discrete Fourier transform of modes associated with a box in comoving space of side  $L$  on which periodic boundary conditions are imposed.  $L$  is chosen here to have a compromise value of four times the radius of the CMB photosphere, 13.9 Gpc<sup>4</sup> which we adopt as our unit of length.

$$\Phi[\mathbf{x}(r, \theta, \phi)] = \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} [f_n \cos(\mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{x}) + f_{N+1-n} \sin(\mathbf{k}_n \cdot \mathbf{x})] \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients  $f_n$  are also real and  $\mathbf{k} = \Delta k \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$ , with  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  integers and  $\Delta k = 2\pi/L = \pi/2$ . We restrict the sum to  $(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2)^{1/2} \leq n_{\max}$  and only need consider  $\mathbf{k}$  over a hemisphere (since the potential must everywhere be real.) We label the coefficients by the index  $n$  running from 1 to  $N \sim 4\pi n_{\max}^3/3$ . ( $N = 6$  through 4168 for  $n_{\max} = 1$  through 10.)

<sup>3</sup>This is in the sense that music is far more than a “flicker” power spectrum. To pursue our musical metaphor, different voices and instruments contribute different ranges of frequencies to a musical performance over a total range of roughly ten octaves. We are only listening to the bass range but higher voices and instruments can still contribute to what we hear.

<sup>4</sup>The comoving radius of the big bang is 14.2 Gpc.

$\Phi(\mathbf{x})$  can be expanded formally as an infinite sum of Legendre polynomials and approximately as a finite sum:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}; \ell) = \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\ell} (2\ell' + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} j_{\ell'}(k_n x) P_{\ell'}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_n \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}} [\cos(\ell\pi/2)f_n + \sin(\ell\pi/2)f_{N+1-n}]. \quad (2)$$

**Gaussian Prior:** Detailed study of the CMB (e.g. [30, 31]) has led to the conclusion that the amplitude of each discrete mode with wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  is well modeled as having been drawn from a Gaussian distribution of variance  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^2 = \alpha(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2)^{-3/2}$ . Adopting this model, we can determine the coefficient  $\alpha$  approximately and empirically, by computing the spherical harmonic coefficients  $a_y = \mathbf{R}_{yn}f_n$  for sets of Gaussian  $f_n$ s where the “response matrix” is given by:

$$\mathbf{R}_{yn} = 4\pi Y_y(\theta'\phi') j_{\ell}(k) [\cos(\pi\ell/2), \sin(\pi\ell/2)] \text{ for } [1 \leq n \leq N/2, N/2 < n \leq N], \quad (3)$$

and then adjusting  $\alpha$  such that the model-predicted and measured spherical harmonics match. This approach is adequate for our pilot study; an improved determination will be investigated following the evidence analysis of [32], *en route* to a hierarchical modeling of the system where the normalization  $\alpha$  is one of a set of hyperparameters governing the statistics of the potential field.

**Preliminary Results:** The simple question that motivated this investigation, and which did not seem to have a well-known answer, was how much of the 3D potential could be reconstructed interior to the 2D CMB photosphere using CMB observations alone. This is an example of what is sometimes called *holography*.<sup>5</sup> At first sight this might seem hopeless, because if one associates  $\ell$  with  $k x_{\text{CMB}}$ , then we are trying to solve for  $O((k_{\text{max}} x_{\text{CMB}})^3)$  Fourier modes using only  $O(\ell_{\text{max}}^2)$  spherical harmonics. However, if we confine our attention to the longest wavelength waves,<sup>6</sup> and use all the information that is at our disposal to exploit the high accuracy of the measurements while accepting uncertainty in the result, then it is possible to make some progress.

We approximately characterize the posterior PDF (which under our assumptions is a multivariate Gaussian distribution) for the coefficients  $f_n$  by first finding its peak, minimizing the quantity

$$-2 \ln \mathcal{P}(f_n | a_n) \approx (a_y - \mathbf{R}_{yn}f_n)^T C_{yy'}^{-1} (a_{y'} - \mathbf{R}_{y'n'}f_n') + \frac{f_n^2}{\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^2} + \text{const.} \quad (4)$$

with respect to variation of  $f_n$ . Note that  $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$  contains the normalization  $\alpha$ .

Here,  $C_{yy'}^{-1}$  is the inverse of the covariance matrix. This leads to the linear equations:

$$f_n = \left( \frac{\delta_{nn'}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^2} + \mathbf{R}_{yn}^T C_{yy'}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{y'n'} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{yn'}^T C_{yy'}^{-1} a_{y'} \quad (5)$$

The approximate form given here is sufficient for a vital early feasibility check: using mock data, we have found that *it is generally possible to solve for stable, low order maximum posterior Fourier coefficients, which successfully predict replica harmonic coefficients  $a_y$  that are statistically consistent with the original data*. We have also applied this approximate procedure to the actual Planck data, and our results are exhibited in Fig. 3. It is proposed to refine and improve upon this basic approach to obtain the best map we can based upon the CMB data alone. In particular we intend to set clear, statistical criteria for assessing when it is significant to add additional Fourier components to the map.

<sup>5</sup>This is not the original meaning of the word.

<sup>6</sup>Our approach is quite complementary to that of Yadav and Wandelt [33] who are concerned with wavelengths comparable with the thickness of the recombination surface around the acoustic peak near  $\ell \sim 200$ .




Figure 3: Preliminary recovery of the potential  $\Phi$  at the time of inflation from the CMB data alone. Fourier coefficients up to  $n_{\text{max}} = ???$  are used, and the posterior mode located. A higher resolution map should be possible using a more sophisticated inversion process and including polarization data.

**Inflationary Origin of Perturbations and Hyperparameter Estimation:** As explained above, inflation provides a mechanism for seeding perturbations in the CMB from quantum fluctuations in the early Universe. It is standard, as we shall do here, for most models to assume that the main matter components during inflation are in the form of scalar fields. Moreover, for simplicity, we shall assume that only one scalar field is dynamically relevant, so that we will work within the framework of “single-field” inflation. Apart from these caveats, the framework developed in what follows will remain model-independent.

We want to describe perturbations of the scalar field, which we call  $\varphi$ , about a homogeneous background. It is common to quantify these perturbations with the gauge-invariant curvature perturbation,  $\zeta$ .<sup>7</sup> In the co-moving gauge, the Fourier modes of  $\zeta$  as they exit the horizon are

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{i\theta} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} (-\tau)^\nu \mathcal{H}_\nu^{(1)}(-k\tau). \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\nu$  is a slowly varying function which encapsulates the specific dynamics of the inflationary model,  $\mathcal{H}_\nu^{(1)}$  is a Hankel function of the first kind,  $\tau$  is the conformal time, defined by  $d\tau = a(t)dt$ , and  $\theta$  is a random variable endowing each mode with a random phase. A prediction of inflation is that  $\theta$  should have a uniform probability distribution between 0 and  $2\pi$ . This is a fundamental prediction of inflation which stems from the assumption that the fluctuations are quantum mechanical in origin, the very assumption the idea of inflation is based upon.

However, the actual distribution of the phases for the modes of  $\zeta$  (or  $\Phi$ ) in the CMB has yet to be measured. This is because, so far, most experiments have strived to measure the power spectrum of fluctuations,  $\Delta_\zeta^2$ . Because the power spectrum is proportional to  $|\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$ , when such a measurement is made, the phase information of the modes is lost. With the reconstruction method proposed in this proposal, such measurement will become possible.

One of the main uncertainties pertaining to inflation remains the shape of the potential for the scalar field  $\varphi$ , which determines the specific dynamics of inflation, e.g. its energy scale and the precise shape of the power spectrum, bi-spectrum, etc. it produces. Using our low- $k$  3D reconstruction of the potential  $\Phi$ , it will also be possible to reconstruct the inflationary potential over a limited range of  $\varphi$ . This is achieved by expanding the potential locally around a fixed

<sup>7</sup>At late times (i.e. after the time of BBN) and inside of the horizon, when we use the Newtonian gauge to describe the Newtonian potential  $\Phi$ , one can think of  $\zeta$  and  $\Phi$  interchangeably. The main advantage of using  $\zeta$  during inflation is that, in single-field inflation, the amplitude of its Fourier modes remain constant from horizon exit to horizon re-entry, significantly simplifying their evaluation [14].




Figure 4: *Left panel:* Distribution of the modes of  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$  at fixed  $|\mathbf{k}|$ . *Right panel:* Representation of the norm of the first few  $k$ -modes ( $|\mathbf{n}|$  from 2 to 10), versus their random spatial angle  $\theta$ . If the individual modes were clustering around an angle, this would demonstrate that  $\theta$  is actually not a uniformly distributed random variable, representing a serious challenge for inflation. Regardless of the distribution of  $\theta$ , observations of  $\Delta_\zeta^2$  would not be affected.

$\varphi = \varphi_*$  in a model independent way in terms of the “slow-roll” parameters. We can then define the inflationary vector parameter

$$\vec{\eta} = (H_*^2/\epsilon_*, \epsilon_*, \eta_*, (\eta_2)_*, (\eta_3)_*), \quad (7)$$

which defines uniquely a shape for the potential around  $\varphi_*$ . Here, the star quantities refer to their values when  $\varphi = \varphi_*$ . Using Bayes theorem, we then infer the probability of a given vector  $\vec{\eta}$  given the data  $a_y$ , via the potential map  $f_n$  (which we marginalize over),  $\mathcal{P}(\vec{\eta}|a_y)$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}(\vec{\eta}|a_y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} [\text{Det}(C_{nn'})\text{Det}(C_{yy'})\text{Det } \mathbf{A}_{nn'}]^{-1/2} e^{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}_n^T \mathbf{A}_{nn'}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_n - \frac{1}{2} a_y^T C_{yy'}^{-1} a_y \right\}} \frac{\mathcal{P}(\vec{\eta})}{\mathcal{P}(a_y)}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(\vec{\eta})$  is the prior on  $\vec{\eta}$ ,  $C_{n'n}^{-1}$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements given by  $1/|\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$ , generalizing  $1/\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^2$  from our Gaussian prior and the  $\mathbf{A}$  matrix and  $\mathbf{B}$  vector are given by:

$$\mathbf{A}_{nn'} = \mathbf{R}_{y'n}^T C_{y'y}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{yn'} + C_{nn'}^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{B}_n^T = a_y^T C_{y'y}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{yn}. \quad (9)$$

CAN WE DISPENSE WITH THE TRANSPOSING? WE CAN USE THIS NOTATION ABOVE. DO SO IF YOU THINK IT HELPS

**Detailed Approach Incorporating Planck Polarization Data:** Up to this point, we have only considered the CMB temperature data. We anticipate making significant gains in map fidelity when we incorporate the Planck polarization data as well after it is made public. While these will be more sensitive to systematic effects (such as galactic dust and synchrotron emission), the additional signal to noise alone should allow us to make maps of higher spatial resolution. The prediction of E and B mode CMB polarization at low  $\ell$  from a 3D model potential is more involved compared to the temperature field. Initial simple Monte Carlo ray tracing experiments suggest that this may be an instructive way to capture the dependence of the polarized radiation field on the underlying potential – a challenge will be to capture this in a form that preserves our ability to use only the fast linear inversions described above.

Then, we will carry out an extensive systematic error analysis, assessing sources of contamination from the various foregrounds (using the Planck products as templates) and quantifying the robustness of our results to them. We expect all our inferences to increase in precision as a result of including the polarization information; whether we can reach the commensurate degree of accuracy will depend on both the computational and modeling problems outlined here.

**Tree Representation and Non-Parametric Investigation of Gaussianity:** There is another way to think about this problem. Let us build up the resolution of the potential on




Figure 5: a) Nesting of separatrices for Planck data, with  $\ell_{\max} = ???$ , on the CMB photosphere punctured at the absolute minimum potential. The extrema, H, L and saddles, K, X are identified. Also shown are the L (yellow), S (green) and H (blue) zones. b) Equivalent tree describing the same data. The ordinates of the forks and leaves corresponds to the actual potential values.

the CMB photosphere by increasing  $\ell$  continuously from zero. Saddle points – designated S – accompanied by extrema – either maxima, designated H, or minima, designated, L – will be created. They will be accompanied by fresh separatrices – the contours that pass through the saddles. These come in two types - “lemniscates”, like an infinity symbol, and designated X, and “limaçons”, with the shape of a pinched annulus, and designated K [34]. New separatrices may be created between existing separatrices or out of a contour encircling a *L* or a *H*. Occasionally, the inverse process – annihilation – will occur. If we designate the total number of maxima, minima, saddles, lemniscates and limaçons by  $N_H, N_L, N_S, N_X, N_K$ , respectively, then clearly  $N_S = N_X + N_K = N_H + N_L - 2$ .<sup>8</sup>

The nesting of these contours defines a specific topology which suffices to describe all the equipotentials. It is convenient to represent it using a “tree” containing “forks” (corresponding to separatrices and labeled K or S), and branches terminating on “leaves” (corresponding to extrema and labeled H or L) [36]. There is only one “path” connecting any two leaves. Although our investigation has only begun, it is already clear that the statistics and structure of the tree, satisfy many rules if the underlying fluctuations are truly drawn from a random distribution, for example  $N_K \sim N_X$  as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ . We propose to explore this novel approach to testing Gaussianity. We can actually extend this 2D approach on the photosphere to a 3D approach<sup>9</sup> exploring the nesting of the equipotential surfaces within the photosphere. This leads to similar type of tree. We propose to explore this as well with similar goals in mind.

## 2.2 Stage 2. From 3D to 4D: Incorporating Existing Volumetric Data

**CMB Lensing and ISW Measurements:** An important way to add 3D information is to include CMB lensing [13]. A uniform CMB is unchanged by gravitational lensing. However, if there is a gradient in the background temperature, intervening structure will appear as extra power on the scale of intervening large scale structure.<sup>10</sup> The consequences are largest on much smaller scales than those in which we are primarily interested. However there are still integral

<sup>8</sup>It is also instructive to calculate the Hessian matrix for the potential on the sphere and divide the sphere into “H-zones” (where both eigenvalues are negative), “L-zones” (where they are both positive) and “S-zones” (where they have opposite signs). These “curvature maps” [35] are complementary to the tree representation.

<sup>9</sup>Another generalization that we plan to explore is describe the topological arrangement of the polarization patterns [37].

<sup>10</sup>More subtle manifestations including those involving polarization are possible [38], but this is the main effect.



effects with  $\ell \sim 30 - 100$  which are relevant. Furthermore the intense interest in the claim that inflationary B-modes have been detected [13] has focused much observational and analytical effort on this region of the spectrum. It is proposed to see if the addition of these measurements will improve the specification of the 3D body modes.

Similar remarks apply to the Integrated Sachs Wolfe effect which is caused by variation in the potential over time attributable to the cosmological constant at late times. It is proposed to see if such measurements can also contribute to the specification of structure on the largest scale, although here the challenge seems even greater.

**Galaxy Surveys and the Local Universe:** Most of the use of surveys has been for drawing statistical inferences relating the growth of structure to the CMB emphasizing shorter length scales, notably those associated with BAO and the largest voids  $\sim 0.1$  Gpc. However, these same surveys can also be used to augment the long wavelength CMB data and improve the accuracy and resolution of the resulting 3D map. A good example is the SDSS/BOSS program,<sup>11</sup> which covered nearly a third of the sky with over a million redshifts and photometry on galaxies out to  $z \sim 0.7$ .<sup>12</sup> For our purposes this translates to a comoving volume  $\sim 50\text{Gpc}^3$ , about 0.005 of the total. Surveys of much rarer quasars and the brightest star forming galaxies which extend to  $z \sim 6$  provide much greater volumes over which the potential on Gpc scales can be estimated but with inferior precision.

It is helpful at this point to consider a volume limited-survey of objects out to some radius  $r$ . Suppose we have a set of objects, ( $L^*$  galaxies, quasars, bright, star-forming galaxies ...) with space density  $n$  and we want to measure the amplitude of a given Fourier component with wave vector  $k$  of the relative density perturbation associated with this potential  $\delta \sim -2k^2\Phi/3a^2H^2$ . Now the accuracy with which the amplitude of a single relative density perturbation Fourier mode can be measured is comparable with the precision with which the fractional density perturbation can be measured in a single region of size equal to the associated length scale. This is  $\sim k^{3/2}n^{-1/2}$  and must exceed  $\delta$ . This suggests that the density of such objects must exceed  $\sim H_0^4/c^2\Phi k_m a x$  if local surveys can possibly connect with the CMB. A slightly more careful calculation indicates that making such a connection with existing survey and CMB data from stage 1 is just possible and so it is worth exploring this further. If this is achievable, then although the data increment will be small, its value will be much greater because it can act as a *phase reference* for anchoring the imperfectly specified modes measured by CMB observations.

**Constraints on Inflation:** The newly developed effective field theory of large scale structure [39] has made great promises in terms of pushing constraints on the primordial Universe far beyond the scope of the CMB. This formalism relies on a separation of scales between the linear physics on large, cosmological scales in the infra-red (IR) and the non-linear physics on small scales in the ultra-violet (UV) (under  $\sim 10$  Mpc). These small scales are strongly coupled as a result of gravitational collapse. The UV modes are then integrated out in a way that yields a classical loop expansion correcting the dynamics of the linear IR theory. By pushing the theoretical control of modes with  $k$  closer to the non-linear regime, a far greater amount of information becomes available. The future application of this method to current and upcoming surveys will certainly allow the improvement of our 3D map in accuracy and resolution, which will in turn be translated into better constraints on the inflaton potential, primordial non-Gaussianities, etc. Quantifying the astrophysical systematic errors will be an important part of this phase of the investigation: the connection between observed galaxy summaries such as their number density and the underlying potential will need to be quantified and explored. Both galaxy bias and halo

<sup>11</sup><http://www.sdss.org>

<sup>12</sup>21 cm redshift surveys provide an important complement to optical surveys but the survey volumes to date are comparatively modest.

bias will need to be incorporated into the treatment.

### 2.3 Stage 3. Future Surveys

Many facilities that are being planned or built will provide data relevant to our approach. In this phase of our program we will use simulated data for a selection of these future surveys to make forecasts of how our maps should improve, and look for new opportunities to exploit them.

**Ground-based CMB Telescopes:** While there are exciting proposals for future space-based CMB measurements, most attention is currently focused on the next two generations – Stages 3 and 4 – of ground-based CMB telescopes, which have been proposed to deliver results in very roughly five and ten years respectively. While these are mostly focused on probing the physics of inflation and cosmological neutrinos [40, 41], they will also improve the measurement of CMB temperature and E-mode polarization on the scales  $\ell \lesssim 200$  in which we are primarily interested, with signal to noise  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ , roughly ten times higher than Planck.

**Survey Telescopes:** Construction has begun on the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST<sup>13</sup>), which will commence a decade-long survey in 2022. It will survey half the sky from Chile (with consequently very strong overlap with the ground-based CMB observations) in six optical and near infrared bands, detecting about ten billion galaxies out to  $z \sim 2$  for  $L^*$  galaxies and  $z \sim 6$  for bright, star-forming galaxies and quasars [42]. Its primary cosmological goal is to perform a multi-probe joint analysis (involving weak lensing, galaxy clustering, type Ia supernovae, time delay lenses and cluster number counts) to see if dark energy (and not just a cosmological constant) is responsible for cosmic acceleration [43]. However, it will, in practise, contribute to many more important cosmological tests. LSST will only provide photometric redshifts, but these will be well-calibrated by large spectroscopic surveys including that of DESI<sup>14</sup>, which is projected to measure  $\sim 20$  million redshifts to  $z \sim 1$  starting in 2018, and, potentially, the all-sky SphereX mission.<sup>15</sup> A complementary source of new local data will be the Euclid space mission<sup>16</sup>, which is scheduled for a 2020 launch and which will carry out weak lensing, baryon acoustic oscillation and redshift space distortion measurements using 1.5 billion galaxies and 50 million redshifts over more than a third of the sky [44]. The proposed WFIRST-AFTA <http://wfirst.gsfc.nasa.gov> also has an impressive program in observational cosmology [45], that will build on the LSST and Euclid surveys [46]. Meanwhile, at radio wavelengths, the Canadian High Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME<sup>17</sup>, see e.g. [47]) will measure BAO out to  $z \sim 2.5$  over half the sky.

As with the CMB, weak lensing and galaxy clustering observations can provide “tomographic” distance information and, in principle, should lead to a better map of the long wavelength potential perturbations. Systematic error control on these large scales is as yet uncharted territory: our 4D potential maps may have a role to play in this, effectively regularizing the local analysis on the largest angular scales. At the same time, local constraints on the 3D potential will pin down the model considerably, improving our constraints on the inflation model.

**Epoch of Reionization:** There is a large effort underway to probe the Epoch of Reionization, (EoR)  $6 \lesssim z \lesssim 30$  through hydrogen line measurements. This is an exciting area of discovery, as the relevant physics depends upon many factors, notably first star formation and galaxy

---

<sup>13</sup><http://www.lsst.org/lsst/>

<sup>14</sup><http://desi.lbl.gov>

<sup>15</sup><http://spherex.caltech.edu/>

<sup>16</sup><http://www.euclid-ec.org>

<sup>17</sup><http://chime.phas.ubc.ca>

assembly that are very hard to anticipate.<sup>18</sup> The experiments will probe an ideal range of comoving radius  $\sim 8 - 12$  Gpc, interpolating between the CMB photosphere and local surveys, for either contributing to or expanding upon our incorporating our 3D potential map.

On a longer time scale there are ambitious plans to construct an international Square Kilometer Array (SKA<sup>19</sup>). The long term goals include measuring the redshifts of a billion galaxies, performing weak lensing surveys and carrying out more sensitive surveys of the epoch of reionization (see [48] and references therein). It is likely that the SKA capabilities and schedule will become better-defined over the lifetime of this proposed research program.

With a 4D potential model constrained both at  $z = 1100$  by the CMB and at  $z = 0.5$  by the Dark Energy surveys of the previous subsection, we will be able to make predictions about the large scale structure present in the volume at  $6 \lesssim z \lesssim 30$  probed by EoR surveys. Such a prediction should assist in the interpretation of the survey data, and increase the fidelity of the measurements made there.

## 2.4 Stage 4. Limits

It is of interest to consider the limitations to what could be learned in principle about the idiosyncratic structure of our universe with *any* conceivable observing facility. Galaxy and quasar survey combined with EoR studies and CMB lensing should be able to give a detailed description of 4D potential though the resolution during the dark ages will be poor relative to that earlier and later epochs. Any explicit (not just statistical) linkage between large scale structure at recombination and today must strengthen investigations into basic physics questions including the presence of dynamical dark energy instead of a cosmological constant. Implicit in this approach is the opportunity to make statements about structure somewhat outside our horizon predicated on our adoption of the Gaussian prior on these large scales and involving the  $\ell = 0, 1$  harmonics. This raises interesting issues of theoretical principle which we intend to try to clarify.

## 3 Personnel Plans

This work will be primarily a collaboration between the PI and the co-Investigator Dr. Phil Marshall who is currently a Project Scientist at SLAC and chair of the LSST Dark Energy Science Collaboration Council. It is proposed to support a postdoctoral fellow, Laurence Perreault-Levasseur to work on this project half time for two years. As we are conducting this research in open view, we expect to attract additional collaborators and several local colleagues have already expressed interest in it.

## 4 Responsibilities and Schedule

Blandford will start by taking the lead experimenting with the simulation of potential maps and then CMB temperature and polarization maps and finally using the publicly released Planck temperature and polarization data to do the best job we can on this data alone. Meanwhile, Marshall will lead the consideration of existing local surveys including those listed above and work on addressing the underlying Bayesian inference problems posed by combining them with

---

<sup>18</sup>JWST (<http://www.jwst.nasa.gov>), scheduled for launch in 2018, will also help indirectly in understanding the universe during this epoch but seems unlikely to provide quantitative measurements of very large scale structure.

<sup>19</sup><https://www.skatelescope.org>

CMB data. Marshall will also handle the inclusion of future surveys under stage 3, Perreault-Levasseur is already working on the connection to inflation and intends to participate fully in developing the new statistical approaches as well as lead the Stage 4 discussion of the limits of the approach. Our goal, if funded, is to complete the baseline investigation described in this proposal by summer, 2018.

## 5 Broader Impact

The research program that we propose has a broad and popular interest analogous to the images of say Comet 67P.<sup>20</sup> As the map is essentially 3D, we will explore the use of 3D printing as well as sophisticated 2D movie representations to exhibit the results. This project also necessarily brings together many disparate research communities both astronomical and statistical. As a consequence, we are developing the statistical machinery for combining the various cosmological datasets in the open, via the GitHub web service at <http://github.com/rogerblandford/Music>, to enable and encourage broad participation.<sup>21</sup> If our approach is fruitful, we believe that it may be of value to other investigations. It will certainly help disseminate our 3D models and 2D posterior predictive distributions, in the interest of those working in other big dataset visualizations: interactive presentation of these products via IPython notebooks is ongoing, and can be supplemented by screenshared video recording to provide a narrative for a wider audience.

Marshall has a long and ongoing interest and record in public outreach including various initiatives in citizen science, public talks and large outreach events. Blandford continues to give many public talks on black holes, high energy astrophysics and cosmology. He has also spent much of the past year completing a 1600+ pp text book, *Modern Classical Physics*, coauthored with Kip Thorne, which will appear in the spring, published by Princeton University Press. The 28th and final chapter of this book comprises a relatively new approach to presenting the results of modern cosmology to a graduate physics audience. The ideas discussed above arose out of the writing of this chapter.

## 6 Results from AST08-07458. PI: R. Blandford

This proposal was to carry out research on gravitational lensing. Some principal results will be summarized here.

### 6.1 Strong Lensing

**Time delays:** Blandford and Hilbert, lately joined by Hezaveh, as members of a large collaboration led by former student Suyu and also including KIPAC member Marshall, refined the measurement of the Hubble constant and other cosmological parameters using the gravitational lens B1608+656. They successfully tested and implemented new formalisms to include the perturbative effects of intervening galaxies and large scale structure upon the time delays. They have also used the Millennium simulation to devise a procedure for estimating the statistical distribution of the mean convergence along the line of sight, given a catalog of observations of nearby galaxies. This improves the accuracy with which lensing determinations of the Hubble constant

---

<sup>20</sup>If WMAP produced the “baby pictures of the universe”, then perhaps the goal here is to produce the corresponding adult movies.

<sup>21</sup>Marshall has worked in this way on other projects that lend themselves to this approach, most notably a recent Annual Reviews article on Ideas for Citizen Science in Astronomy [49], and the Space Warps citizen science project [50].

can be achieved with imminent observations. Recent research has been extended to RXJ1131-1231, where the effect of extrinsic convergence should be lower than for B1608+656. [51] [52] This has already furnished a seven percent distance solution. More generally, the convergence distributions are also important for galaxy counts or halo models based upon photometry. [53] There has been recent tension between Planck-based determinations of the Hubble constant and lensing on most other approaches. Some progress has been made on clarifying the practical strengths and limitations of lens approaches. [54]

**Galactic structure and Initial Mass Function:** Barnabe [55] continued to refine the CAULDRON code that he developed for his thesis that combines stellar dynamics with gravitational lensing. He then applied it to observations made under the Sloan Lens ACS Survey (SLACS). The main galaxies of study were early type and it was possible to study their IMF, especially their low mass cut offs and to deduce that there had been little evolution since  $z$  0.35. Barnabe [56] [57] [58] [59] has also been a leader of the Sloan WFC Edge on Late type Lens Survey (SWELLS). This is a major project to model edge on spirals that act as gravitational lenses. The goal is to obtain a better understanding of the dynamical structure of spiral galaxies and, especially, to study the stellar distribution and to infer the initial mass functions associated with the different components of the galaxy, the disk, the bulge and the halo. Over several publications it has been concluded that there is no universal IMF.

## 6.2 ALMA

Hezaveh and collaborators have demonstrated that ALMA observations of strong gravitational lenses can be used to provide a gravitational measurement of the power spectrum of dark matter subhalos. [60] Observations have now been made have the potential to validate the particulate nature of dark matter. Hezaveh has proposed that high redshift galactic nuclei that are strongly lensed may have their gas kinematics well enough resolved to furnish reliable black holes masses. [61] This technique will soon be practical with ALMA and eventually with GSMTs. A paper has been published.

## 6.3 Cosmic Shear

**Weak lensing surveys:** Hilbert and others used shear correlations from the Deep Lens Survey to derive constraints on the cosmic mean matter density and the amplitude of matter fluctuations. [62] In particular, Hilbert contributed cosmic shear data covariance matrices. The first full analysis has been published and a tomographic extension is in preparation. Hilbert and colleagues developed a new method for detecting shear peaks in weak lensing surveys and studying their abundance and spatial correlation using simulations, They showed how to constrain more effectively cosmological parameters from weak lensing surveys when this analysis was combined with complementary measurements. Looking to the future, Hilbert has simulated the cosmological constraints one could obtain from an LSST or a Euclid weak lensing survey by combining shear correlations, aperture mass statistics, and shear peak counts. [63] [64] He demonstrated that competitive constraints on cosmological parameters, including non-Gaussianity would be possible. [65] Such observations might thus provide valuable constraints on models of inflation and the physics of the very early Universe. Schrabback, who has been designated as the deputy lead for Euclid weak lensing shape measurements, has studied the calibration and the influence of color gradients in galaxies on the accuracy. [66]

**Improving photometric redshifts:** Schrabback and others have re-analyzed the CFHT Legacy Survey as part of the CFHT Lens Survey. They have paid particular attention to

performing more accurate photometry and used the results to improve the determination of photometric redshifts. [67] The results of this exercise are mixed with the most positive outcome being an improved PSF procedure which may lead ultimately to improved redshift determinations.

**Galaxy Shapes, Intrinsic Alignment, and Weak Lensing:** Hilbert and colleagues used simulations by Springel of cosmic structure to make a more direct assessment of the impact of intrinsic alignment on cosmic shear surveys. Two papers have been published and a third is in preparation. [68] [69] Hilbert, Schrabback and colleagues then investigated the possible contamination of weak lensing measurements by intrinsic alignments of galaxy shapes. They quantified the shape distribution of various galaxy samples in the COSMOS survey and compared the results to predictions from N-body simulations finding that simple models of galaxy shapes in the literature fail to reproduce the observed shape distribution. Currently, they are considering a broader set of semi-analytic models to understand this discrepancy. Schrabback and colleagues have made a study of the influence of color gradients on the shapes of faint galaxies used for gravitational lensing investigations of dark energy. They find that these effects can be significant but are correctable and give prescriptions for keeping the errors that they may engender below statistical errors.

## 6.4 Galaxy-Galaxy Lensing

**Light-matter Correlation:** The CFHT Legacy Survey-Wide is the most powerful data set for weak lensing measurements currently existing. It comprises 170 square degrees of sky imaged in five bands. Schrabback is a core member of the team which is conducting a thorough analysis of the complete dataset, which is now corrected for systematic bias and includes all the available photometric redshift information. The primary goals of the survey are to explore the relationship between luminous and dark matter and to place significant constraints on cosmological parameters. He has also completed an analysis of the flattening of halos. Hilbert et al. used N-body simulations of structure formation along with semi-analytic galaxy-formation models and ray-tracing to show that higher-order galaxy-galaxy lensing correlations can be used to provide new information about galaxy formation. An analysis of galaxy-galaxy lensing in the CFHTLS yielded a number of unexpected results for the relation between matter and galaxies which may be a consequence of systematic error. A paper on lensing 2- and 3-point correlations has been published and another paper is in preparation. [70]

Evolution of the ratio of stellar to dark mass in galaxies: Schrabback and colleagues have used data from the COSMOS field to perform a new investigation of how the light and mass from galaxies varies with redshift out to  $z=1$ . They are able to measure the downsizing at modest redshift and discuss some mechanisms involving AGN feedback and disk instabilities which may be responsible. [71]

## 6.5 Clusters

**Weak Lensing Observations of Rich Clusters of Galaxies:** Schrabback has also been devising new techniques for galaxy shape measurements in weak lensing studies of rich clusters of galaxies. The goal is to increase the number density of background galaxies that can be included. These techniques have been successfully applied mainly to HST-ACS observations. In particular they are being used by von der Linden in the analysis of MACS 0717.5+3745, which also includes wide-field imaging from the ground. The pipeline now includes the merging system MACSJ0417.5-1154 as well as several clusters from the HST Multi-Cycle Treasury Program

CLASH, and discovered by the South Pole Telescope. Studies of the cosmological evolution of clusters are limited by the small number of high redshift clusters suitable for combined strong and weak lensing analysis. In order to increase the sample, Schrabback is combining the ROSAT X-ray Survey and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey samples. This involves optical imaging with the WHT and LBT telescopes, and radio (Sunyaev-Zel'dovich) observations with the CARMA (SZA) Array. Chandra and HST observations have also been proposed. Von der Linden has led a large team including Blandford on an ambitious project to carry out a systematic weak lensing analysis of a sample of 52 rich clusters. The project involved developing new accurate photometric procedures and new algorithms for measuring cluster masses. In ongoing work, this sample is being used to propose new cluster scaling relationships and to furnish new constraints on cosmological parameters. [72]

**Detecting Tidal Stripping of Halos Using Weak Lensing:** A new approach to demonstrating the stripping of dark matter from the halos around distant group using weak gravitational lensing has been proposed. Its implementation was heavily simulated using the Millennium Simulation. [73]

**Chandra Observations of the Largest Quasar Lens:** Blandford and Schrabback have participated in a investigation led by former postdoc Oguri to analyze Chandra observations of the largest separation, triply-imaged quasar, SDSS J 1029+2623. [74] [75] The X-ray observations from the associated  $z=0.58$  rich cluster exhibit a subpeak suggestive of a merger and a mass consistent with that obtained from gravitational lensing. The consistency of the magnifications suggested that microlensing is not important in this system. However, their ratios point to the presence of dark matter substructure. A multi-telescope study of this massive X-ray cluster has been performed, finding additional multiple images, measuring a time delay and performing a weak lensing analysis. The lens model is able to account for the salient features of these observations, deriving a magnification of a background quasar of 30. There is a serious discrepancy with the X-ray cluster mass estimate which is attributed to shock heating in a merger.

## 6.6 Miscellaneous

**Cosmic Strings:** Morganson, Marshall, Schrabback and Blandford continue to explore ways to improve the lensing limit on the incidence of cosmic strings. [76]

**Hubble Workshop:** Suyu, Blandford, Freedman and Treu, organized a highly successful workshop on the measurement of the Hubble constant. Many competing approaches were contrasted and compared. [77]

**Angular Correlation Function:** Blandford is collaborating with Morganson and Schrabback on the measurement of cosmic shear using asymmetry in the angular correlation function on arc second angular scales following earlier work by Morganson and Blandford. The theory has been developed and an attempt is underway to seek this effect in existing data.

**Microlensing Studies of Nomad Planets:** Blandford, Barnabe and Marshall [78] have collaborated with former postdoc Strigari on an investigation of the use of microlensing observations, from ground and space, to enhance our statistical understanding of the frequency of planetary companions to main sequence stars in mass - semi major axis - eccentricity space in the light of the results from the Kepler space mission. They have gone on to assess the prospects of detecting interstellar planets and dwarf planets using microlensing. They argued that there could be as many as a hundred thousand of these nomads per star with masses larger than that of Pluto. In collaboration with Porter, they considered  $\gamma$ -ray limits on the incidence of nomads and began a study the probabilities of their carrying microbial life between stars (Panspermia). This last has led to a discussion of several experiments designed to quantify the viability of

microorganisms under interstellar and re-entry conditions.



## References

- [1] N. Kaiser, H. Aussel, B. E. Burke, H. Boesgaard, K. Chambers, M. R. Chun, J. N. Heasley, K.-W. Hodapp, B. Hunt, R. Jedicke, D. Jewitt, R. Kudritzki, G. A. Luppino, M. Maberry, E. Magnier, D. G. Monet, P. M. Onaka, A. J. Pickles, P. H. H. Rhoads, T. Simon, A. Szalay, I. Szapudi, D. J. Tholen, J. L. Tonry, M. Waterson, and J. Wick, *Pan-STARRS: A Large Synoptic Survey Telescope Array*, in *Survey and Other Telescope Technologies and Discoveries* (J. A. Tyson and S. Wolff, eds.), vol. 4836 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, pp. 154–164, Dec., 2002.
- [2] Ž. Ivezić, K. Menou, G. R. Knapp, M. A. Strauss, R. H. Lupton, D. E. Vanden Berk, G. T. Richards, C. Tremonti, M. A. Weinstein, S. Anderson, N. A. Bahcall, R. H. Becker, M. Bernardi, M. Blanton, D. Eisenstein, X. Fan, D. Finkbeiner, K. Finlator, J. Frieman, J. E. Gunn, P. B. Hall, R. S. J. Kim, A. Kinkhabwala, V. K. Narayanan, C. M. Rockosi, D. Schlegel, D. P. Schneider, I. Strateva, M. SubbaRao, A. R. Thakar, W. Voges, R. L. White, B. Yanny, J. Brinkmann, M. Doi, M. Fukugita, G. S. Hennessy, J. A. Munn, R. C. Nichol, and D. G. York, *Optical and Radio Properties of Extragalactic Sources Observed by the FIRST Survey and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey*, *Ap. J.* **124** (Nov., 2002) 2364–2400, [[astro-ph/0202408](#)].
- [3] M. Davis, S. M. Faber, J. Newman, A. C. Phillips, R. S. Ellis, C. C. Steidel, C. Conselice, A. L. Coil, D. P. Finkbeiner, D. C. Koo, P. Guhathakurta, B. Weiner, R. Schiavon, C. Willmer, N. Kaiser, G. A. Luppino, G. Wirth, A. Connolly, P. Eisenhardt, M. Cooper, and B. Gerke, *Science Objectives and Early Results of the DEEP2 Redshift Survey*, in *Discoveries and Research Prospects from 6- to 10-Meter-Class Telescopes II* (P. Guhathakurta, ed.), vol. 4834 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, pp. 161–172, Feb., 2003. [astro-ph/0209419](#).
- [4] M. Giavalisco, H. C. Ferguson, A. M. Koekemoer, M. Dickinson, D. M. Alexander, F. E. Bauer, J. Bergeron, C. Biagetti, W. N. Brandt, S. Casertano, C. Cesarsky, E. Chatzichristou, C. Conselice, S. Cristiani, L. Da Costa, T. Dahlen, D. de Mello, P. Eisenhardt, T. Erben, S. M. Fall, C. Fassnacht, R. Fosbury, A. Fruchter, J. P. Gardner, N. Grogin, R. N. Hook, A. E. Hornschemeier, R. Idzi, S. Jogee, C. Kretchmer, V. Laidler, K. S. Lee, M. Livio, R. Lucas, P. Madau, B. Mobasher, L. A. Moustakas, M. Nonino, P. Padovani, C. Papovich, Y. Park, S. Ravindranath, A. Renzini, M. Richardson, A. Riess, P. Rosati, M. Schirmer, E. Schreier, R. S. Somerville, H. Spinrad, D. Stern, M. Stiavelli, L. Strolger, C. M. Urry, B. Vandame, R. Williams, and C. Wolf, *The Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey: Initial Results from Optical and Near-Infrared Imaging*, *Ap. J. Lett.* **600** (Jan., 2004) L93–L98, [[astro-ph/0309105](#)].
- [5] The Dark Energy Survey Collaboration, *The Dark Energy Survey*, *ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints* (Oct., 2005) [[astro-ph/0510346](#)].
- [6] M. F. Skrutskie, R. M. Cutri, R. Stiening, M. D. Weinberg, S. Schneider, J. M. Carpenter, C. Beichman, R. Capps, T. Chester, J. Elias, J. Huchra, J. Liebert, C. Lonsdale, D. G. Monet, S. Price, P. Seitzer, T. Jarrett, J. D. Kirkpatrick, J. E. Gizis, E. Howard, T. Evans, J. Fowler, L. Fullmer, R. Hurt, R. Light, E. L. Kopan, K. A. Marsh, H. L. McCallon, R. Tam, S. Van Dyk, and S. Wheelock, *The Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS)*, *Ap. J.* **131** (Feb., 2006) 1163–1183.

- [7] S. M. Faber, C. N. A. Willmer, C. Wolf, D. C. Koo, B. J. Weiner, J. A. Newman, M. Im, A. L. Coil, C. Conroy, M. C. Cooper, M. Davis, D. P. Finkbeiner, B. F. Gerke, K. Gebhardt, E. J. Groth, P. Guhathakurta, J. Harker, N. Kaiser, S. Kassin, M. Kleinheinrich, N. P. Konidaris, R. G. Kron, L. Lin, G. Luppino, D. S. Madgwick, K. Meisenheimer, K. G. Noeske, A. C. Phillips, V. L. Sarajedini, R. P. Schiavon, L. Simard, A. S. Szalay, N. P. Vogt, and R. Yan, *Galaxy Luminosity Functions to  $z \sim 1$  from DEEP2 and COMBO-17: Implications for Red Galaxy Formation*, *Ap. J.* **665** (Aug., 2007) 265–294, [astro-ph/0506044].
- [8] N. Scoville, H. Aussel, M. Brusa, P. Capak, C. M. Carollo, M. Elvis, M. Giavalisco, L. Guzzo, G. Hasinger, C. Impey, J.-P. Kneib, O. LeFevre, S. J. Lilly, B. Mobasher, A. Renzini, R. M. Rich, D. B. Sanders, E. Schinnerer, D. Schminovich, P. Shopbell, Y. Taniguchi, and N. D. Tyson, *The Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS): Overview*, *Ap. J. Supp.* **172** (Sep., 2007) 1–8, [astro-ph/0612305].
- [9] N. Kaiser, W. Burgett, K. Chambers, L. Denneau, J. Heasley, R. Jedicke, E. Magnier, J. Morgan, P. Onaka, and J. Tonry, *The Pan-STARRS wide-field optical/NIR imaging survey*, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, vol. 7733 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, p. 0, July, 2010.
- [10] C. Blake, E. A. Kazin, F. Beutler, T. M. Davis, D. Parkinson, S. Brough, M. Colless, C. Contreras, W. Couch, S. Croom, D. Croton, M. J. Drinkwater, K. Forster, D. Gilbank, M. Gladders, K. Glazebrook, B. Jelliffe, R. J. Jurek, I.-H. Li, B. Madore, D. C. Martin, K. Pimbblet, G. B. Poole, M. Pracy, R. Sharp, E. Wisnioski, D. Woods, T. K. Wyder, and H. K. C. Yee, *The WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey: mapping the distance-redshift relation with baryon acoustic oscillations*, *MNRAS* **418** (Dec., 2011) 1707–1724, [arXiv:1108.2635].
- [11] S. Alam, F. D. Albareti, C. Allende Prieto, F. Anders, S. F. Anderson, T. Anderton, B. H. Andrews, E. Armengaud, É. Aubourg, S. Bailey, and et al., *The Eleventh and Twelfth Data Releases of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey: Final Data from SDSS-III*, *Ap. J. Sup. Series* **219** (July, 2015) 12, [arXiv:1501.0096].
- [12] **Planck** Collaboration, R. Adam et al., *Planck 2015 results. IX. Diffuse component separation: CMB maps*, arXiv:1502.0595.
- [13] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, arXiv:1502.0158.
- [14] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology*. Cosmology. OUP Oxford, 2008.
- [15] P. Schneider, *Extragalactic astronomy and cosmology: An introduction*. SpringerLink : Bücher. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.
- [16] R. K. Sachs and A. M. Wolfe, *Perturbations of a Cosmological Model and Angular Variations of the Microwave Background*, *Ap. J* **147** (Jan., 1967) 73.
- [17] E. R. Harrison, *Fluctuations at the threshold of classical cosmology*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 1** (May, 1970) 2726–2730.

- [18] Y. B. Zel'dovich, *A hypothesis, unifying the structure and the entropy of the universe*, *MNRAS* **160** (Mar., 1972) 1–3.
- [19] A. H. Guth, *Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems*, *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (Jan., 1981) 347–356.
- [20] A. D. Linde, *A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems*, *Phys. Lett. B* **108** (Feb., 1982) 389–393.
- [21] V. F. Mukhanov and G. Chibisov, *Quantum fluctuation and nonsingular Universe. (In Russian)*, *JETP Lett.* **33** (1981) 532–535.
- [22] K. Sato, *First-order phase transition of a vacuum and the expansion of the Universe*, *MNRAS* **195** (May, 1981) 467–479.
- [23] S. Hawking, *The development of irregularities in a single bubble inflationary universe*, *Phys. Lett. B* **115** (1982), no. 4 295 – 297.
- [24] A. A. Starobinsky, *Dynamics of phase transition in the new inflationary Universe scenario and generation of perturbations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **117** (1982) 175–178.
- [25] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, *Cosmology for grand unified theories with radiatively induced symmetry breaking*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (Apr., 1982) 1220–1223.
- [26] J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt, and M. S. Turner, *Spontaneous Creation of Almost Scale-Free Density Perturbations in an Inflationary Universe*, *Phys. Rev. D* **28** (1983) 679.
- [27] K. A. Malik and D. Wands, *Cosmological perturbations*, *Phys. Rept.* **475** (2009) 1–51, [[arXiv:0809.4944](#)].
- [28] C. Gordon, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett, and R. Maartens, *Adiabatic and entropy perturbations from inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 023506, [[astro-ph/0009131](#)].
- [29] N. Birrell and P. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*. Cambridge monographs on mathematical physics. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [30] **Planck** Collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., *Planck 2015 results. XI. CMB power spectra, likelihoods, and robustness of parameters*, *Submitted to: Astron. Astrophys.* (2015) [[arXiv:1507.0270](#)].
- [31] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2015 results. XVII. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity*, [arXiv:1502.0159](#).
- [32] S. H. Suyu, P. J. Marshall, M. P. Hobson, and R. D. Blandford, *A bayesian analysis of regularised source inversions in gravitational lensing*, *MNRAS* **371** (2006) 983–998, [[astro-ph/0601493](#)].
- [33] A. P. Yadav and B. D. Wandelt, *CMB tomography: Reconstruction of adiabatic primordial scalar potential using temperature and polarization maps*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (June, 2005) 123004, [[astro-ph/0505386](#)].
- [34] R. Blandford and R. Narayan, *Fermat's principle, caustics, and the classification of gravitational lens images*, *ApJ* **310** (Nov., 1986) 568–582.

- [35] J. F. Nye, *Optical Caustics in the Near Field from Liquid Drops*, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A* **361** (May, 1978) 21–41.
- [36] D. B. West et al., *Introduction to graph theory*, vol. 2. Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, 2001.
- [37] P. A. G. Scheuer, J. H. Hannay, and P. J. Hargrave, *A note on the interpretation of polarization maps*, *MNRAS* **180** (July, 1977) 163–168.
- [38] W. Hu and T. Okamoto, *Mass Reconstruction with Cosmic Microwave Background Polarization*, *ApJ* **574** (Aug., 2002) 566–574, [[astro-ph/0111606](#)].
- [39] J. J. M. Carrasco, M. P. Hertzberg, and L. Senatore, *The effective field theory of cosmological large scale structures*, *JHEP* **9** (Sep., 2012) 82, [[arXiv:1206.2926](#)].
- [40] K. N. Abazajian, K. Arnold, J. Austermann, B. A. Benson, C. Bischoff, J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Borrill, I. Buder, D. L. Burke, E. Calabrese, J. E. Carlstrom, C. S. Carvalho, C. L. Chang, H. C. Chiang, S. Church, A. Cooray, T. M. Crawford, B. P. Crill, K. S. Dawson, S. Das, M. J. Devlin, M. Dobbs, S. Dodelson, O. Doré, J. Dunkley, J. L. Feng, A. Fraisse, J. Gallicchio, S. B. Giddings, D. Green, N. W. Halverson, S. Hanany, D. Hanson, S. R. Hildebrandt, A. Hincks, R. Hlozek, G. Holder, W. L. Holzapfel, K. Honscheid, G. Horowitz, W. Hu, J. Hubmayr, K. Irwin, M. Jackson, W. C. Jones, R. Kallosh, M. Kamionkowski, B. Keating, R. Keisler, W. Kinney, L. Knox, E. Komatsu, J. Kovac, C.-L. Kuo, A. Kusaka, C. Lawrence, A. T. Lee, E. Leitch, A. Linde, E. Linder, P. Lubin, J. Maldacena, E. Martinec, J. McMahon, A. Miller, V. Mukhanov, L. Newburgh, M. D. Niemack, H. Nguyen, H. T. Nguyen, L. Page, C. Pryke, C. L. Reichardt, J. E. Ruhl, N. Sehgal, U. Seljak, L. Senatore, J. Sievers, E. Silverstein, A. Slosar, K. M. Smith, D. Spergel, S. T. Staggs, A. Stark, R. Stompor, A. G. Viereg, G. Wang, S. Watson, E. J. Wollack, W. L. K. Wu, K. W. Yoon, O. Zahn, and M. Zaldarriaga, *Inflation Physics from the Cosmic Microwave Background and Large Scale Structure*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Sept., 2013) [[arXiv:1309.5381](#)].
- [41] K. N. Abazajian, K. Arnold, J. Austermann, B. A. Benson, C. Bischoff, J. Bock, J. R. Bond, J. Borrill, E. Calabrese, J. E. Carlstrom, C. S. Carvalho, C. L. Chang, H. C. Chiang, S. Church, A. Cooray, T. M. Crawford, K. S. Dawson, S. Das, M. J. Devlin, M. Dobbs, S. Dodelson, O. Dore, J. Dunkley, J. Errard, A. Fraisse, J. Gallicchio, N. W. Halverson, S. Hanany, S. R. Hildebrandt, A. Hincks, R. Hlozek, G. Holder, W. L. Holzapfel, K. Honscheid, W. Hu, J. Hubmayr, K. Irwin, W. C. Jones, M. Kamionkowski, B. Keating, R. Keisler, L. Knox, E. Komatsu, J. Kovac, C.-L. Kuo, C. Lawrence, A. T. Lee, E. Leitch, E. Linder, P. Lubin, J. McMahon, A. Miller, L. Newburgh, M. D. Niemack, H. Nguyen, H. T. Nguyen, L. Page, C. Pryke, C. L. Reichardt, J. E. Ruhl, N. Sehgal, U. Seljak, J. Sievers, E. Silverstein, A. Slosar, K. M. Smith, D. Spergel, S. T. Staggs, A. Stark, R. Stompor, A. G. Viereg, G. Wang, S. Watson, E. J. Wollack, W. L. K. Wu, K. W. Yoon, and O. Zahn, *Neutrino Physics from the Cosmic Microwave Background and Large Scale Structure*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Sept., 2013) [[arXiv:1309.5383](#)].
- [42] Z. Ivezic, J. A. Tyson, B. Abel, E. Acosta, R. Allsman, Y. AlSayyad, S. F. Anderson, J. Andrew, R. Angel, G. Angeli, R. Ansari, P. Antilogus, K. T. Arndt, P. Astier, E. Aubourg, T. Axelrod, D. J. Bard, J. D. Barr, A. Barrau, J. G. Bartlett, B. J. Bauman, S. Beaumont, A. C. Becker, J. Becla, C. Beldica, S. Bellavia, G. Blanc, R. D. Blandford,

- J. S. Bloom, J. Bogart, K. Borne, J. F. Bosch, D. Boutigny, W. N. Brandt, M. E. Brown, J. S. Bullock, P. Burchat, D. L. Burke, G. Cagnoli, D. Calabrese, S. Chandrasekharan, S. Chesley, E. C. Cheu, J. Chiang, C. F. Claver, A. J. Connolly, K. H. Cook, A. Cooray, K. R. Covey, C. Cribbs, W. Cui, R. Cutri, G. Daubard, G. Daues, F. Delgado, S. Digel, P. Doherty, R. Dubois, G. P. Dubois-Felsmann, J. Durech, M. Eracleous, H. Ferguson, J. Frank, M. Freemon, E. Gangler, E. Gawiser, J. C. Geary, P. Gee, M. Geha, R. R. Gibson, D. K. Gilmore, T. Glanzman, I. Goodenow, W. J. Gressler, P. Gris, A. Guyonnet, P. A. Hascall, J. Haupt, F. Hernandez, C. Hogan, D. Huang, M. E. Huffer, W. R. Innes, S. H. Jacoby, B. Jain, J. Jee, J. G. Jernigan, D. Jevremovic, K. Johns, R. L. Jones, C. Juramy-Gilles, M. Juric, S. M. Kahn, J. S. Kalirai, N. Kallivayalil, B. Kalmbach, J. P. Kantor, M. M. Kasliwal, R. Kessler, D. Kirkby, L. Knox, I. Kotov, V. L. Krabbendam, S. Krughoff, P. Kubanek, J. Kuczewski, S. Kulkarni, R. Lambert, L. Le Guillou, D. Levine, M. Liang, K. Lim, C. Lintott, R. H. Lupton, A. Mahabal, P. Marshall, S. Marshall, M. May, R. McKercher, M. Migliore, M. Miller, D. J. Mills, D. G. Monet, M. Moniez, D. R. Neill, J. Nief, A. Nomerotski, M. Nordby, P. O'Connor, J. Oliver, S. S. Olivier, K. Olsen, S. Ortiz, R. E. Owen, R. Pain, J. R. Peterson, C. E. Petry, F. Pierfederici, S. Pietrowicz, R. Pike, P. A. Pinto, R. Plante, S. Plate, P. A. Price, M. Prouza, V. Radeka, J. Rajagopal, A. Rasmussen, N. Regnault, S. T. Ridgway, S. Ritz, W. Rosing, C. Roucelle, M. R. Rumore, S. Russo, A. Saha, B. Sassolas, T. L. Schalk, R. H. Schindler, D. P. Schneider, G. Schumacher, J. Seab, G. H. Sembroski, L. G. Seppala, I. Shipsey, N. Silvestri, J. A. Smith, R. C. Smith, M. A. Strauss, C. W. Stubbs, D. Sweeney, A. Szalay, P. Takacs, J. J. Thaler, R. Van Berg, D. Vanden Berk, K. Vetter, F. Virieux, B. Xin, L. Walkowicz, C. W. Walter, D. L. Wang, M. Warner, B. Willman, D. Wittman, S. C. Wolff, W. M. Wood-Vasey, P. Yoachim, H. Zhan, and for the LSST Collaboration, *LSST: from Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2008) [[arXiv:0805.2366](#)].
- [43] LSST Dark Energy Science Collaboration, *Large Synoptic Survey Telescope: Dark Energy Science Collaboration*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov., 2012) [[arXiv:1211.0310](#)].
- [44] A. Refregier, A. Amara, T. D. Kitching, A. Rassat, R. Scaramella, J. Weller, and f. t. Euclid Imaging Consortium, *Euclid Imaging Consortium Science Book*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2010) [[arXiv:1001.0061](#)].
- [45] D. Spergel, N. Gehrels, C. Baltay, D. Bennett, J. Breckinridge, M. Donahue, A. Dressler, B. S. Gaudi, T. Greene, O. Guyon, C. Hirata, J. Kalirai, N. J. Kasdin, B. Macintosh, W. Moos, S. Perlmutter, M. Postman, B. Rauscher, J. Rhodes, Y. Wang, D. Weinberg, D. Benford, M. Hudson, W.-S. Jeong, Y. Mellier, W. Traub, T. Yamada, P. Capak, J. Colbert, D. Masters, M. Penny, D. Savransky, D. Stern, N. Zimmerman, R. Barry, L. Bartusek, K. Carpenter, E. Cheng, D. Content, F. Dekens, R. Demers, K. Grady, C. Jackson, G. Kuan, J. Kruk, M. Melton, B. Nemati, B. Parvin, I. Poberezhskiy, C. Peddie, J. Ruffa, J. K. Wallace, A. Whipple, E. Wollack, and F. Zhao, *Wide-Field Infrared Survey Telescope-Astrophysics Focused Telescope Assets WFIRST-AFTA 2015 Report*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Mar., 2015) [[arXiv:1503.0375](#)].
- [46] B. Jain, D. Spergel, R. Bean, A. Connolly, I. Dell'antonio, J. Frieman, E. Gawiser, N. Gehrels, L. Gladney, K. Heitmann, G. Helou, C. Hirata, S. Ho, Ž. Ivezić, M. Jarvis, S. Kahn, J. Kalirai, A. Kim, R. Lupton, R. Mandelbaum, P. Marshall, J. A. Newman, S. Perlmutter, M. Postman, J. Rhodes, M. A. Strauss, J. A. Tyson, L. Walkowicz, and

- W. M. Wood-Vasey, *The Whole is Greater than the Sum of the Parts: Optimizing the Joint Science Return from LSST, Euclid and WFIRST*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2015) [[arXiv:1501.0789](#)].
- [47] K. Vanderlinde and Chime Collaboration, *The Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME)*, in *Exascale Radio Astronomy*, p. 10102, Apr., 2014.
- [48] R. Maartens, F. B. Abdalla, M. Jarvis, M. G. Santos, and f. t. SKA Cosmology SWG, *Cosmology with the SKA – overview*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2015) [[arXiv:1501.0407](#)].
- [49] P. J. Marshall, C. J. Lintott, and L. N. Fletcher, *Ideas for Citizen Science in Astronomy*, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **53** (Aug., 2015) 247–278, [[arXiv:1409.4291](#)].
- [50] P. J. Marshall, A. Verma, A. More, C. P. Davis, S. More, A. Kapadia, M. Parrish, C. Snyder, J. Wilcox, E. Baeten, C. Macmillan, C. Cornen, M. Baumer, E. Simpson, C. J. Lintott, D. Miller, E. Paget, R. Simpson, A. M. Smith, R. Küng, P. Saha, T. E. Collett, and M. Tecza, *Space Warps: I. Crowd-sourcing the Discovery of Gravitational Lenses*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2015) [[arXiv:1504.0614](#)].
- [51] S. H. Suyu, P. J. Marshall, M. W. Auger, S. Hilbert, R. D. Blandford, L. V. E. Koopmans, C. D. Fassnacht, and T. Treu, *Dissecting the Gravitational lens B1608+656. II. Precision Measurements of the Hubble Constant, Spatial Curvature, and the Dark Energy Equation of State*, *ApJ* **711** (Mar., 2010) 201–221, [[arXiv:0910.2773](#)].
- [52] S. H. Suyu, M. W. Auger, S. Hilbert, P. J. Marshall, M. Tewes, T. Treu, C. D. Fassnacht, L. V. E. Koopmans, D. Sluse, R. D. Blandford, F. Courbin, and G. Meylan, *Two Accurate Time-delay Distances from Strong Lensing: Implications for Cosmology*, *ApJ* **766** (Apr., 2013) 70, [[arXiv:1208.6010](#)].
- [53] T. E. Collett, P. J. Marshall, M. W. Auger, S. Hilbert, S. H. Suyu, Z. Greene, T. Treu, C. D. Fassnacht, L. V. E. Koopmans, M. Bradač, and R. D. Blandford, *Reconstructing the lensing mass in the Universe from photometric catalogue data*, *MNRAS* **432** (June, 2013) 679–692, [[arXiv:1303.6564](#)].
- [54] Z. S. Greene, S. H. Suyu, T. Treu, S. Hilbert, M. W. Auger, T. E. Collett, P. J. Marshall, C. D. Fassnacht, R. D. Blandford, M. Bradač, and L. V. E. Koopmans, *Improving the Precision of Time-delay Cosmography with Observations of Galaxies along the Line of Sight*, *ApJ* **768** (May, 2013) 39, [[arXiv:1303.3588](#)].
- [55] M. Barnabè, O. Czoske, L. V. E. Koopmans, T. Treu, and A. S. Bolton, *Two-dimensional kinematics of SLACS lenses - III. Mass structure and dynamics of early-type lens galaxies beyond  $z = 0.1$* , *MNRAS* **415** (Aug., 2011) 2215–2232, [[arXiv:1102.2261](#)].
- [56] M. Barnabè, M. W. Auger, T. Treu, L. V. E. Koopmans, A. S. Bolton, O. Czoske, and R. Gavazzi, *The non-evolving internal structure of early-type galaxies: the case study SDSSJ0728+3835 at  $z = 0.206$* , *MNRAS* **406** (Aug., 2010) 2339–2351, [[arXiv:1002.1083](#)].
- [57] B. J. Brewer, A. A. Dutton, T. Treu, M. W. Auger, P. J. Marshall, M. Barnabè, A. S. Bolton, D. C. Koo, and L. V. E. Koopmans, *The SWELLS survey - III. Disfavouring ‘heavy’ initial mass functions for spiral lens galaxies*, *MNRAS* **422** (June, 2012) 3574–3590, [[arXiv:1201.1677](#)].

- [58] M. Barnabè, A. A. Dutton, P. J. Marshall, M. W. Auger, B. J. Brewer, T. Treu, A. S. Bolton, D. C. Koo, and L. V. E. Koopmans, *The SWELLS survey - IV. Precision measurements of the stellar and dark matter distributions in a spiral lens galaxy*, *MNRAS* **423** (June, 2012) 1073–1088, [arXiv:1201.1692].
- [59] A. A. Dutton, T. Treu, B. J. Brewer, P. J. Marshall, M. W. Auger, M. Barnabè, D. C. Koo, A. S. Bolton, and L. V. E. Koopmans, *The SWELLS survey - V. A Salpeter stellar initial mass function in the bulges of massive spiral galaxies*, *MNRAS* **428** (Feb., 2013) 3183–3195, [arXiv:1206.4310].
- [60] Y. Hezaveh, N. Dalal, G. Holder, T. Kisner, M. Kuhlen, and L. Perreault Levasseur, *Measuring the power spectrum of dark matter substructure using strong gravitational lensing*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Mar., 2014) [arXiv:1403.2720].
- [61] Y. D. Hezaveh, *Prospects for Measuring the Mass of Black Holes at High Redshifts with Resolved Kinematics Using Gravitational Lensing*, *ApJ* **791** (Aug., 2014) L41, [arXiv:1408.3631].
- [62] M. J. Jee, J. A. Tyson, M. D. Schneider, D. Wittman, S. Schmidt, and S. Hilbert, *Cosmic Shear Results from the Deep Lens Survey. I. Joint Constraints on  $\Omega_M$  and  $\sigma_8$  with a Two-dimensional Analysis*, *ApJ* **765** (Mar., 2013) 74, [arXiv:1210.2732].
- [63] L. Marian, R. E. Smith, S. Hilbert, and P. Schneider, *Optimized detection of shear peaks in weak lensing maps*, *MNRAS* **423** (June, 2012) 1711–1725, [arXiv:1110.4635].
- [64] L. Marian, R. E. Smith, S. Hilbert, and P. Schneider, *The cosmological information of shear peaks: beyond the abundance*, *MNRAS* **432** (June, 2013) 1338–1350, [arXiv:1301.5001].
- [65] S. Hilbert, L. Marian, R. E. Smith, and V. Desjacques, *Measuring primordial non-Gaussianity with weak lensing surveys*, *MNRAS* **426** (Nov., 2012) 2870–2888, [arXiv:1204.4530].
- [66] L. M. Voigt, S. L. Bridle, A. Amara, M. Cropper, T. D. Kitching, R. Massey, J. Rhodes, and T. Schrabback, *The impact of galaxy colour gradients on cosmic shear measurement*, *MNRAS* **421** (Apr., 2012) 1385–1398, [arXiv:1105.5595].
- [67] H. Hildebrandt, T. Erben, K. Kuijken, L. van Waerbeke, C. Heymans, J. Coupon, J. Benjamin, C. Bonnett, L. Fu, H. Hoekstra, T. D. Kitching, Y. Mellier, L. Miller, M. Velander, M. J. Hudson, B. T. P. Rowe, T. Schrabback, E. Semboloni, and N. Benitez, *CFHTLenS: improving the quality of photometric redshifts with precision photometry*, *MNRAS* **421** (Apr., 2012) 2355–2367, [arXiv:1111.4434].
- [68] B. Joachimi, E. Semboloni, P. E. Bett, J. Hartlap, S. Hilbert, H. Hoekstra, P. Schneider, and T. Schrabback, *Intrinsic galaxy shapes and alignments - I. Measuring and modelling COSMOS intrinsic galaxy ellipticities*, *MNRAS* **431** (May, 2013) 477–492, [arXiv:1203.6833].
- [69] B. Joachimi, E. Semboloni, S. Hilbert, P. E. Bett, J. Hartlap, H. Hoekstra, and P. Schneider, *Intrinsic galaxy shapes and alignments - II. Modelling the intrinsic alignment contamination of weak lensing surveys*, *MNRAS* **436** (Nov., 2013) 819–838, [arXiv:1305.5791].

- [70] H. Saghiha, S. Hilbert, P. Schneider, and P. Simon, *Galaxy-galaxy(-galaxy) lensing as a sensitive probe of galaxy evolution*, *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **547** (Nov., 2012) A77, [[arXiv:1204.2232](#)].
- [71] A. Leauthaud, J. Tinker, K. Bundy, P. S. Behroozi, R. Massey, J. Rhodes, M. R. George, J.-P. Kneib, A. Benson, R. H. Wechsler, M. T. Busha, P. Capak, M. Cortès, O. Ilbert, A. M. Koekemoer, O. Le Fèvre, S. Lilly, H. J. McCracken, M. Salvato, T. Schrabback, N. Scoville, T. Smith, and J. E. Taylor, *New Constraints on the Evolution of the Stellar-to-dark Matter Connection: A Combined Analysis of Galaxy-Galaxy Lensing, Clustering, and Stellar Mass Functions from  $z = 0.2$  to  $z = 1$* , *ApJ* **744** (Jan., 2012) 159, [[arXiv:1104.0928](#)].
- [72] A. von der Linden, M. T. Allen, D. E. Applegate, P. L. Kelly, S. W. Allen, H. Ebeling, P. R. Burchat, D. L. Burke, D. Donovan, R. G. Morris, R. Blandford, T. Erben, and A. Mantz, *Weighing the Giants - I. Weak-lensing masses for 51 massive galaxy clusters: project overview, data analysis methods and cluster images*, *MNRAS* **439** (Mar., 2014) 2–27, [[arXiv:1208.0597](#)].
- [73] B. R. Gillis, M. J. Hudson, S. Hilbert, and J. Hartlap, *Probing satellite haloes with weak gravitational lensing*, *MNRAS* **429** (Feb., 2013) 372–384, [[arXiv:1211.1108](#)].
- [74] N. Ota, M. Oguri, X. Dai, C. S. Kochanek, G. T. Richards, E. O. Ofek, R. D. Blandford, T. Schrabback, and N. Inada, *The Chandra View of the Largest Quasar Lens SDSS J1029+2623*, *ApJ* **758** (Oct., 2012) 26, [[arXiv:1202.1645](#)].
- [75] M. Oguri, T. Schrabback, E. Jullo, N. Ota, C. S. Kochanek, X. Dai, E. O. Ofek, G. T. Richards, R. D. Blandford, E. E. Falco, and J. Fohlmeister, *The Hidden Fortress: structure and substructure of the complex strong lensing cluster SDSS J1029+2623*, *MNRAS* **429** (Feb., 2013) 482–493, [[arXiv:1209.0458](#)].
- [76] E. Morganson, P. Marshall, T. Treu, T. Schrabback, and R. D. Blandford, *Direct observation of cosmic strings via their strong gravitational lensing effect - II. Results from the HST/ACS image archive*, *MNRAS* **406** (Aug., 2010) 2452–2472, [[arXiv:0908.0602](#)].
- [77] S. H. Suyu, T. Treu, R. D. Blandford, W. L. Freedman, S. Hilbert, C. Blake, J. Braatz, F. Courbin, J. Dunkley, L. Greenhill, E. Humphreys, S. Jha, R. Kirshner, K. Y. Lo, L. Macri, B. F. Madore, P. J. Marshall, G. Meylan, J. Mould, B. Reid, M. Reid, A. Riess, D. Schlegel, V. Scowcroft, and L. Verde, *The Hubble constant and new discoveries in cosmology*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb., 2012) [[arXiv:1202.4459](#)].
- [78] L. E. Strigari, M. Barnabè, P. J. Marshall, and R. D. Blandford, *Nomads of the Galaxy*, *MNRAS* **423** (June, 2012) 1856–1865, [[arXiv:1201.2687](#)].